Another elementary proof that $p(11n + 6) \equiv 0 \pmod{11}$

Syrous Marivani

Received: 26 October 2009 / Accepted: 29 August 2012 / Published online: 12 December 2012 © Springer Science+Business Media, LLC 2012

Abstract In this paper we give an elementary proof of the partition congruence $p(11n + 6) \equiv 0 \pmod{11}$, using only Euler's Pentagonal Number Theorem and Jacobi's Identity for $(q; q)_{\infty}^3$.

Keywords Partitions · Congruences · Congruential restrictions

Mathematics Subject Classification Primary 11P83

Let *n* be a positive integer, let p(n), denotes the number of unrestricted representations of n as a sum of positive integers, where representations with different orders of the same summands are not regarded as distinct. We call p(n) the partition function.

In 1919, Ramanujan [1], [2, pp. 210–213] announced that he had found three simple congruences satisfied by p(n), namely,

```
p(5n+4) \equiv 0 \pmod{5},

p(7n+5) \equiv 0 \pmod{7},

p(11n+6) \equiv 0 \pmod{11}.
```

He gave the proofs of the first two of the above congruences in [1] and later in a short one page note [2, p. 230] and [3] announced that he had also found a proof of the third identity above. Of the proofs given for the third identity above, the most elementary proof is due to L. Winquist [4] and uses Winquist's Identity. Another elementary approach of proving the third identity has been devised by Berndt, S.H. Chan, Z.-G. Liu, and H. Yesilyurt [5], who established a new identity for $(q; q)_{\infty}^{10}$. Hirschhorn [6] has devised a common approach to proving all three congruences.

S. Marivani (🖂)

Mathematics Department, LSU Alexandria, 8100 HWY 71S Alexandria, LA 71302, USA e-mail: smarivani@lsua.edu

In an unpublished paper, the author proved the third congruence above in 2009. It was pointed out to us that this proof is similar to a proof by J.M. Rushforth which Berndt [8] has reproduced in 2007. In this paper, we prove the third congruence above along the same lines as the elementary proof given in [7, pp. 34–42] for the first two congruences. This is the first time such a proof has been given. First we review some *q*-series, and two corollaries whose proof can be found in [7].

Definition 1 Define

$$(a)_{0} := (a; q)_{0} := 1,$$

$$(a; q)_{n} := \prod_{k=0}^{n-1} (1 - aq^{k}), \quad n \ge 1,$$

$$(a)_{\infty} := (a; q)_{\infty} := \prod_{k=0}^{\infty} (1 - aq^{k}), \quad |q| < 1$$

We call q the base. The generating function for p(n), due to Euler, is given by

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p(n)q^n = \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{1-q^k} = \frac{1}{(q;q)_{\infty}},$$
(1.1)

where, as usual, we define p(0) = 1.

In the proof of the main result, we need Euler's Pentagonal Number Theorem and Jacobi's Identity.

Corollary 1 (Euler's Pentagonal Number Theorem) We have

$$\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} (-1)^n q^{n(3n-1)/2} = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} (-1)^n q^{n(3n+1)/2} = (q;q)_{\infty}.$$
 (1.2)

Corollary 2 (Jacobi's Identity) We have

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n (2n+1)q^{n(n+1)/2} = (q;q)_{\infty}^3.$$
(1.3)

This is all we need to prove our main theorem.

Theorem 1 We have

$$p(11n+6) \equiv 0 \pmod{11}.$$

Proof Using Corollary 1 and some elementary calculations on the exponents, it is easy to see that

$$\frac{(q;q)_{\infty}}{(q^{121};q^{121})_{\infty}} = a + bq + cq^2 + eq^4 + q^5 + fq^7,$$
(1.4)

where a, b, c, e, and f are power series in q^{11} with integer coefficients. Also it is easy to see using the Pentagonal Number Theorem that the contribution from $(q; q)_{\infty}$ when $n \equiv 2 \pmod{11}$ is $q^5(q^{121}; q^{121})_{\infty}$, which counts for the term q^5 in (1.4). Let

$$L = a(q^{11}) + b(q^{11})q + c(q^{11})q^2 + e(q^{11})q^4 + q^5 + f(q^{11})q^7.$$
(1.5)

Using the fact that, for integers x and y, $(x + y)^{11} \equiv x^{11} + y^{11} \pmod{11}$, it is easy to see that

$$\frac{(q^{11};q^{11})_{\infty}^{12}}{(q^{121};q^{121})_{\infty}^{12}}\frac{(q^{121};q^{121})_{\infty}}{(q;q)_{\infty}} \equiv \frac{(q:q)_{\infty}^{10}}{(q^{121};q^{121})^{10}} \equiv L^{10} \pmod{11}, \qquad (1.6)$$

where we have used (1.4) and (1.5).

Using (1.1) we have

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p(n)q^n = \frac{1}{(q;q)_{\infty}} = \frac{(q^{121};q^{121})^{11}}{(q^{11};q^{11})^{12}} \frac{(q^{11};q^{11})_{\infty}^{12}}{(q^{121};q^{121})_{\infty}^{12}} \frac{(q^{121};q^{121})_{\infty}}{(q;q)_{\infty}}.$$

Using the latter and (1.6), we have

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p(n)q^n = J'(q^{11})L^{10} + 11J.$$
(1.7)

As in (1.4), but instead using Corollary 2, it is easy to show

$$\frac{(q;q)_{\infty}^{3}}{(q^{121};q^{121})_{\infty}^{3}} = M = A + Bq + Cq^{3} - 11q^{15} + Eq^{6} + Fq^{10}, \qquad (1.8)$$

where *A*, *B*, *C*, *E*, and *F* are power series in q^{11} with integer coefficients. Using (1.4) and (1.8) it follows that $M = L^3$. So it follows that $L^{10} = LM^3$. Using the latter in (1.7) and doing some expansions and equating the coefficients of 11n + 6 powers on both sides and dividing both sides by q^6 . We have

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p(11n+6)q^{11n} = J'(q^{11})H(q^{11}) + 11J,$$
(1.9)

where

$$H = (3EF^{2}c + 3CF^{2} + 3BF^{2}f)q^{22} + (6ACFe + 3C^{2}E + 3C^{2}Fb + 3BE^{2}e + 6BCEf + 6BEFa + 3AE^{2} + 3B^{2}F + 6AEFb + 3CE^{2}c + 3A^{2}Ff)q^{11} + 3A^{2}B + 6ABCc + 3AB^{2}e + 3A^{2}Ea + 3B^{2}Cb + 3AC^{2}a.$$
(1.10)

Using the fact that $L^3 = M$ and using the expressions for L and M, it follows by equating coefficients,

$$A = (3b + 6ce + 3c^2f + 6aef)q^{11} + a^3,$$
(1.11)

$$B = (e^{3} + 6bef + 3c + 6af)q^{11} + 3a^{2}b, \qquad (1.12)$$

$$C = (3af^{2} + 6cf + 3e)q^{11} + 6abc + b^{3},$$
(1.13)

$$E = 3fq^{11} + 6ab + 3b^2e + c^3 + 6ace, (1.14)$$

and

$$F = f^{3}q^{11} + 3ce^{2} + 6bcf + 6be + 3a.$$
(1.15)

Also we have the identities

$$(6cef + 3e2 + 6bf)q11 + 3a2c + 3ab2 = 0, (1.16)$$

$$(12+3bf^2+3e^2f)q^{11}+3a^2e+3b^2c+3ac^2=0,$$
(1.17)

$$(2ef + cf2)q11 + bc2 + 2abe + a2 = 0, (1.18)$$

$$ef^{2}q^{11} + (b^{2} + 2ac + a^{2}f + 2bce) = 0, \qquad (1.19)$$

$$2ae + b^2f + 2acf + c^2 + be^2 = 0, (1.20)$$

and

$$f^{2}q^{11} + (2abf + 2bc + c^{2}e + ae^{2}) = 0.$$
(1.21)

Using Maple we substitute (1.11)–(1.15) in (1.10), and simplify to obtain an expression too big to display here. Then again using Maple and (1.16)–(1.21) in the latter expression to simplify, the following expression is obtained for *H*:

$$\begin{split} H &= \left(-\frac{1197042}{13} f^{34} e^2 - \frac{1197042}{13} f^{33} \right) q^{110} \\ &+ \left(-\frac{1197042}{13} f^{29} c - \frac{9576336}{13} f^{29} e^3 - \frac{3591126}{13} f^{28} e \right) \\ &- \frac{2394084}{13} f^{30} e^5 - \frac{1197042}{13} f^{31} e^7 \right) q^{99} \\ &+ \left(\frac{35950530}{13} f^{22} - \frac{5985210}{13} e^8 f^{26} - \frac{11970420}{13} f^{24} e^4 \right) \\ &- \frac{9576336}{13} f^{25} e^6 + \frac{31162362}{13} f^{23} e^2 - \frac{1197042}{13} e^{10} f^{27} \right) q^{88} \\ &+ \left(\frac{263284560}{13} f^{18} e^3 + \frac{96293274}{13} f^{17} e - \frac{4788168}{13} e^9 f^{21} + \frac{34753488}{13} f^{18} c \right) \\ &+ \frac{26374194}{13} f^{20} e^7 + \frac{59139696}{13} f^{19} e^5 - \frac{1197042}{13} e^{11} f^{22} \right) q^{77} \end{split}$$

$$+ \left(\frac{161418180}{13}e^8f^{15} + \frac{294848862}{13}f^{12}e^2 + \frac{441732522}{13}f^{13}e^4 + \frac{255013374}{13}f^{14}e^6 + \frac{29174376}{13}e^{10}f^{16} + \frac{53420136}{13}f^{11}\right)q^{66} + \left(\frac{38837568}{13}e^{11}f^{11} + \frac{346896396}{13}e^3f^7 + 6468f^7c + \frac{243154758}{13}e^9f^{10} + \frac{71876574}{13}ef^6 + \frac{471666888}{13}f^9e^7 + \frac{577282398}{13}f^8e^5\right)q^{55} + (7177170e^4f^2 + 5281584e^{10}f^5 + 1428042e^2f + 13870164e^6f^3 + 924 + 12825582f^4e^8)q^{44} + (-725802e^{13}f - 1025640e^{15}f^2 - 7854c^5f^2 - 152922e^{19}f^4 - 645876e^{17}f^3 - 193116e^{11})q^{33} + 3696q^{22}c^8f - 462c^{11}q^{11}.$$

It is easy using (1.22) to conclude that

$$H = 11J''(q^{11}). (1.23)$$

The result now follows from (1.9) and (1.23).

It is also easy to use this method and give proofs of other congruences mod 5 and 7. It remains to be seen whether H can be simplified further.

Acknowledgements I wish to thank Professor Brendt for his guidance. Without his help through correspondence and the inspiration of his book [7] the proof presented here would not be possible.

References

- 1. Ramanujan, S.: Some properties of p(n), the number of partitions of *n*. Proc. Camb. Philos. Soc. **19**, 210–213 (1919)
- Ramanujan, S.: Collected Papers. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (1927). Reprinted by, Chelsea, New York (1962); Reprinted by the American Mathematical Society, Providence (2000)
- Ramanujan, S.: Congruence properties of partitions. In: Proc. London Math. Soc., vol. 18 (1920). Records for 13 March 1919, xix
- 4. Winquist, L.: An elementary proof of $p(11m + 6) \equiv 0 \pmod{11}$. J. Comb. Theory **6**, 56–59 (1969)
- Berndt, B.C., Chan, S.H., Liu, Z.-G., Yesilyurt, H.: A new identity for (q; q)¹⁰/_∞ with an application to Ramanujan's partition congruence modulo 11. Q. J. Math. (Oxford) 55, 13–30 (2004)
- 6. Hirschhorn, M.D.: Ramanujan's partition congruences. Discrete Math. 131, 351-355 (1994)
- Berndt, B.C.: Number Theory in the Spirit of Ramanujan. American Mathematical Society, Providence (2006)
- Berndt, B.C.: Ramanujan's congruences for the partition function modulo 5, 7, and 11. Int. J. Number Theory 3, 349–354 (2007)

 \square