

# **New** *q***-ary quantum MDS codes with distances bigger than**  $\frac{\tilde{q}}{2}$

**Xianmang He<sup>1</sup> · Liqing Xu2 · Hao Chen2**

Received: 19 November 2015 / Accepted: 1 April 2016 / Published online: 18 April 2016 © Springer Science+Business Media New York 2016

**Abstract** The construction of quantum MDS codes has been studied by many authors. We refer to the table in page 1482 of (IEEE Trans Inf Theory 61(3):1474–1484, [2015\)](#page-12-0) for known constructions. However, there have been constructed only a few *q*-ary quantum MDS  $[[n, n-2d+2, d]]_q$  codes with minimum distances  $d > \frac{q}{2}$  for sparse lengths *n* > *q* + 1. In the case  $n = \frac{q^2-1}{m}$  where  $m|q+1$  or  $m|q-1$  there are complete results. In the case  $n = \frac{q^2 - 1}{m}$  while  $m|q^2 - 1$  is neither a factor of  $q - 1$  nor  $q + 1$ , no *q*-ary quantum MDS code with  $d > \frac{q}{2}$  has been constructed. In this paper we propose a direct approach to construct Hermitian self-orthogonal codes over  $\mathbf{F}_{q^2}$ . Then we give some new  $q$ -ary quantum codes in this case. Moreover many new  $q$ -ary quantum MDS codes with lengths of the form  $\frac{w(q^2-1)}{u}$  and minimum distances  $d > \frac{q}{2}$  are presented.

**Keywords** Quantum MDS code · Hermitian self-orthogonal code · Generalized Reed–Solomon code

 $\boxtimes$  Hao Chen haochen@hdu.edu.cn

> Xianmang He hexianmang@nbu.edu.cn

Liqing Xu lqxu@hdu.edu.cn

<sup>2</sup> The Department of Mathematics, School of Sciences, Hangzhou Dianzi University, Hangzhou 310018, Zhejiang Province, China

X. He was supported by NSFC Grant 61202007; L. Xu and H. Chen were supported by NSFC Grants 11371138 and 11531002.

<sup>1</sup> School of Information Science and Technology, Ningbo University, Ningbo 315211, Zhejiang Province, China

## **1 Introduction**

Quantum error-correcting codes are important for quantum information processing and quantum computation. The construction of quantum error-correcting codes has been an active field of quantum information theory since the publication of [\[15](#page-13-0)[,19](#page-13-1)[,20](#page-13-2)]. It is known for any pure quantum  $[[n, k, d]]_q$  code the parameters satisfy the quantum singleton bound  $k \leq n - 2d + 2$ . The *q*-ary quantum codes reaching this bound are called quantum MDS codes [\[2](#page-12-1),[14,](#page-13-3)[15\]](#page-13-0). Many constructions of *q*-ary quantum MDS codes have been proposed based on the Hermitian self-orthogonal codes over  $\mathbf{F}_q$ <sup>2</sup>.

The Hermitian inner product over  $\mathbf{F}_{q^2}^n$  is defined as follows.  $\langle \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \rangle_h = u_1 v_1^q +$  $\cdots + u_n v_n^q$ , where  $\mathbf{u} = (u_1, \ldots, u_n)$  and  $\mathbf{v} = (v_1, \ldots, v_n)$  are vectors in  $\mathbf{F}_{q^2}^n$ . The following result gives a construction of  $q$ -ary quantum MDS codes from Hermitian self-orthogonal MDS codes over **F***q*<sup>2</sup> .

<span id="page-1-0"></span>**Theorem 1.1** ([\[2\]](#page-12-1)) *If* **C** *is a* [*n*, *k*, *n*−*k*+1]<sub>*q*</sub>2</sub> *MDS code over*  $\mathbf{F}_{q}$ <sup>2</sup> *which is orthogonal under the Hermitian inner product. Then we have a q-ary quantum MDS* [[*n*, *n* −  $2k, k + 1$ ]]<sub>*q*</sub> *code.* 

There have been published many papers on the construction of quantum MDS codes [\[1](#page-12-2)[,2](#page-12-1),[4](#page-13-4)[–17](#page-13-5)]. They were constructed from generalized Reed–Solomon codes [\[8](#page-13-6)– [10\]](#page-13-7), cyclic or constacyclic codes [\[3](#page-12-0),[7,](#page-13-8)[11](#page-13-9)[,12](#page-13-10)]. However, it seems that for many lengths  $q + 1 < n < q<sup>2</sup> - 1$  whether there is a *q*-ary quantum MDS code with length *n* and minimum distance  $d > \frac{q}{2}$  is still an un-solved problem. For only very few sparse lengths such *q*-ary quantum MDS codes with  $d > \frac{q}{2}$  have been constructed [\[3](#page-12-0)[,7](#page-13-8)– [12,](#page-13-10)[21\]](#page-13-11). In the case of length  $n = \frac{q^2-1}{m}$  where *m* is an integer satisfying  $m|q + 1$  or  $m|q - 1$  the following results have been proved ([\[3](#page-12-0)[,13](#page-13-12)[,21](#page-13-11)], or see lines 13, 14 and 20 in the table of page 1482 of [\[3](#page-12-0)]).

- 1. For odd prime powers  $q = 2^e s + 1$  where *s* is odd, an odd factor  $\lambda |s$  of *s* and *f* ≤ *e* − 1, a quantum MDS  $[[2<sup>f</sup> \lambda(q + 1), 2<sup>f</sup> \lambda(q + 1) - 2d + 2, d]]_q$  code with minimum distance *d* for each integer *d* in the range  $2 \le d \le \frac{q+1}{2} + 2^f \lambda$  was constructed ([\[3\]](#page-12-0) Theorem 4.11).
- 2. In the case  $m|q+1$  and  $m$  odd there is a  $q$ -ary quantum MDS code with length  $\frac{q^2-1}{m}$ and minimum distance *d* for each integer *d* in the range  $2 \le d \le \frac{q+1}{2} + \frac{q+1}{2m} - 1$ . In the case  $m|q + 1$  and *m* even there is a *q*-ary quantum MDS code with length  $\frac{q^2-1}{m}$ and minimum distance *d* for each integer *d* in the range  $2 \le d \le \frac{q+1}{2} + \frac{q+1}{m} - 1$ ( see [\[3](#page-12-0)[,21](#page-13-11)]).

However, in the case  $n = \frac{q^2-1}{m}$  where  $m|q^2-1$  is neither a factor of  $q-1$  nor *q* + 1, no *q*-ary quantum MDS code with length  $\frac{q^2-1}{m}$  and minimum distance  $d > \frac{q}{2}$ has been constructed. Though in this case each cyclotomic set has only one element, the technique in  $[3,8,12,13]$  $[3,8,12,13]$  $[3,8,12,13]$  $[3,8,12,13]$  $[3,8,12,13]$  is not sufficient to get the desirable  $q$ -ary quantum MDS codes. In this paper some new *q*-ary quantum MDS codes in this case with minimum distance  $d > \frac{q}{2}$  are constructed. We use a direct approach of constructing Hermitian self-orthogonal MDS codes over  $\mathbf{F}_{q^2}$ . Many new *q*-ary quantum MDS codes for the length  $n = \frac{w(q^2-1)}{u}$  and  $d > \frac{q}{2}$  for some integers w and *u* are also presented.

We need the following lemmas in this paper.

<span id="page-2-0"></span>**Lemma 1.1** *If* θ *is a primitive element of the multiplicative group* **F**∗ *<sup>q</sup>*<sup>2</sup> *and suppose m is a factor of*  $q^2 - 1$ *, then*  $\sum_{j=1}^{\frac{q^2-1}{m}} \theta^{jtm} = 0$  except the case that t is divisible by  $\frac{q^2-1}{m}$ *. Proof* For any  $1 \le t \le \frac{q^2-1}{m} - 1$ ,  $\theta^{mt}$  generates a subgroup *G* of the group **Z**/( $\frac{q^2-1}{m}$ )**Z** generated by  $\theta^m$ . The order of the group *G* is  $\frac{q^2-1}{gcd(t, \frac{q^2-1}{m})} > 1$ . Since  $G \neq \{1\}$ , for any non-unit element  $\theta^{mt}$ ,  $\theta^{mt}G = G$ . Thus  $\theta^{mt} \sum_{j=1}^{\frac{q^2-1}{m}} \theta^{mtj} = \sum_{j=1}^{\frac{q^2-1}{m}} \theta^{mtj}$ . It is clear  $\theta^{mt}$  ≠ 1 when *t* is not divisible by  $\frac{q^2-1}{m}$ . The conclusion follows directly.  $\Box$ **Lemma 1.2** *Suppose*  $v_1, \ldots, v_n$  *are n nonzero elements in the multiplicative group* 

<span id="page-2-1"></span> $\mathbf{F}_q^*$ . If  $\mathbf{g}_l = (g_{1l}, \ldots, g_{nl})$  where  $l = 1, \ldots, k$ , are k linear independent rows in  $\mathbf{F}_q^n$ *satisfying that*  $\sum_{j=1}^{n} v_j g_{jl_1} g_{jl_2}^q = 0$  *for any two indices*  $l_1$  *and*  $l_2$  *in the set*  $\{1, \ldots, k\}$ *(here*  $l_1 = l_2$  *is possible). Then we have a Hermitian self-orthogonal*  $[n, k]_{q^2}$  *code generated by these k rows.*

*Proof* We can set  $v_j = (v'_j)^{q+1}$  for  $j = 1, ..., n$ . Thus the equivalent code  $(v'_1, \ldots, v'_n)$ **C** is a Hermitian self-orthogonal code, where **C** is a  $q^2$ -ary code generated by these  $k$  rows  $\mathbf{g}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{g}_k$ .

The main idea to construct Hermitian self-orthogonal codes in this paper is as follows. It is well known that from Lemma [1.1](#page-2-0) we can prove that the dual of a Reed– Solomon code (evaluation vectors of all polynomials with degrees less than *k* at a subset **S** of  $\mathbf{F}_{q^2}$ ) is another Reed–Solomon code (evaluation vectors of all polynomials with degrees less than  $|\mathbf{S}| - k$  at this subset **S** of  $\mathbf{F}_{q^2}$ ) (see [\[18\]](#page-13-13)). Hence we only need to guarantee the condition of Lemma [1.1](#page-2-0) is satisfied so that Hermitian selforthogonal MDS codes can be constructed. There are *q*-th powers in the Hermitian inner product  $\sum_{i=1}^{n} u_i v_i^q$ . For the purpose to enlarge dimensions of constructed Hermitian self-orthogonal MDS codes, we need some number theoretical conditions on the lengths to guarantee that the exponential sums in the Hermitian inner products are zero. Then *q*-ary quantum MDS codes with minimum distances bigger than  $\frac{q}{2}$  can be  $\Box$ constructed.  $\Box$ 

### **2 New quantum MDS codes I**

### **2.1 Construction 1**

Let *m* be a factor of  $q^2 - 1$ . For any fixed positive integer w we define a length  $\frac{q^2 - 1}{m}$ linear error code over  $\mathbf{F}_{q^2}$  as follows.

$$
\mathbf{C}_{w} = \{(\theta^{m} f(\theta^{m}), \theta^{2m} f(\theta^{2m}), \dots, \theta^{jm} f(\theta^{jm}), \dots, \\ \theta^{(\frac{q^{2}-1}{m}-1)m} f(\theta^{(\frac{q^{2}}{m}-1)m}), f(1)) : f \in \mathbf{F}_{q^{2}}[x], \deg(f) \leq w - 1\}
$$

It is clear that  $\mathbf{C}_w$  is a MDS  $\left[\frac{q^2-1}{m}, w, \frac{q^2-1}{m} - w + 1\right]$  code over  $\mathbf{F}_{q^2}$ . Actually this code is equivalent to a evaluation code at the elements  $\theta^m$ ,  $\theta^{2m}$ , ...,  $\theta^{\left(\frac{q^2-1}{m}-1\right)m}$ , 1. Hence it is equivalent to a Reed–Solomon code.

The Hermitian inner product of any two codewords (corresponding to two polynomials  $f$  and  $g$ ) is  $\Sigma$  $\int_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{q^2-1}{p^m} \theta^{jm+jqm} f g^q(\theta^{jm})$ . Thus we only need to check

$$
\sum_{j=1}^{\frac{q^2-1}{m}} \theta^{(q+1)mj} \theta^{jm(t_1+t_2q)} = \sum_{j=1}^{\frac{q^2-1}{m}} \theta^{jm(q+1+t_1+t_2q)} = 0,
$$

<span id="page-3-1"></span>where  $0 \le t_1, t_2 \le w - 1$ .

**Theorem 2.1** *If*  $m = 2k + 1$  *is an odd positive factor of q* + 1 *and*  $w < \frac{k+1}{2k+1}(q-1)$ ,  $d$  *k* or far all non-non-time interests and to activation 0.64 *k* of  $x = 1$ ,  $x = 1$ *then for all non-negative integers t*<sub>1</sub> *and t*<sub>2</sub> *satisfying*  $0 \leq t_1, t_2 \leq w-1, q+1+t_1+t_2q$ *is not divisible by*  $\frac{q^2-1}{m}$ *. Hence the code*  $\mathbf{C}_w$  *is Hermitian self-orthogonal.* 

*Proof* It is clear that if  $\Sigma$  $\frac{q^2-1}{m}$  θ *jm*(*q*+1+*t*<sub>1</sub>+*t*2*q*) = 0 for all *t*<sub>1</sub> and *t*<sub>2</sub> satisfying 0 ≤  $t_1, t_2 \leq w - 1$ , the code is Hermitian self-orthogonal. Hence from Lemma [1.1](#page-2-0) it is sufficient to prove that if  $w < \frac{k+1}{2k+1} (q-1)$ ,  $q+1+t_1+t_2q$ , where  $t_1 < w, t_2 < w$ , is not divisible by  $\frac{q^2-1}{m}$ . Since  $q+1+t_1+t_2q \le (q+1)(1+w-1) < (k+1)\frac{q^2-1}{m}$ , if  $q + 1 + t_1 + t_2q$  is divisible by  $\frac{q^2-1}{m}$ , the quotient  $\frac{q+1+t_1+t_2q}{q^2-1} \leq k$ . On the other  $\lim_{m \to \infty} \frac{q^2 - 1}{m} = \frac{q+1}{m}q - \frac{q+1}{m}$ . That is,  $\frac{q^2 - 1}{m} \equiv q - \frac{q+1}{m} \mod q$  because  $\frac{q+1}{m}$  is an integer. Therefore, if  $q + 1 + t_1 + t_2q$  is divisible by  $\frac{q^2-1}{m}$ , then residue of  $q + 1 + t_1 + t_2q$ module *q* is in the range  $\left[\frac{k+1}{m}(q+1)-1,q-1\right]$ . It is obvious that the residue of  $q + t_2q + 1 + t_1$  module  $q$  is  $1 + t_1 \leq w < \frac{k+1}{2k+1}(q-1)$ . Since  $\frac{k+1}{m}(q+1)$  is a positive integer and  $\frac{k+1}{m}(q-1) = \frac{k+1}{m}(q+1) - 1 - \frac{1}{m} < \frac{k+1}{m}(q+1)$ , the conclusion follows directly.  $\Box$ 

<span id="page-3-0"></span>**Corollary 2.1** *If*  $m = 2k + 1$  *is an odd factor of*  $q + 1$ *, for each positive integer d in the range*  $2 \le d \le \lfloor \frac{k+1}{2k+1} (q-1) + 1 \rfloor$ , there exists a q-ary quantum MDS code with *length <sup>q</sup>*2−<sup>1</sup> *<sup>m</sup> and minimum distance d.*

Suppose *q* is a prime power and  $q + 1 = \lambda r$  where *r* is an odd integer, then for each integer *d* in the range  $2 \le d \le \frac{q-1}{2} + \frac{\lambda}{2}$ , a length  $\lambda(q-1)$  *q*-ary quantum MDS code with the minimum distance  $d$  was constructed in  $[3,12,13,21]$  $[3,12,13,21]$  $[3,12,13,21]$  $[3,12,13,21]$  $[3,12,13,21]$  $[3,12,13,21]$ . Its construction was based on constacyclic codes over  $\mathbf{F}_{q^2}$ . However, this kind of quantum q-ary MDS codes is a direct consequence from the constructed quantum MDS codes in Corollary [2.1.](#page-3-0)

We can extend the construction 1 to  $\left[\frac{q^2-1}{m}+1, w+1, \frac{q^2-1}{m} - w + 1\right]$  Hermitian self-orthogonal code over **F***q*<sup>2</sup> with the following generator matrix.

<span id="page-4-1"></span>

$$
\begin{pmatrix}\n\frac{q+1}{m} & 1 & \cdots & 1 & 1 \\
0 & \theta^m & \cdots & \theta^{\left(\frac{q^2-1}{m}-2\right)m} & \theta^{\frac{q^2-1}{m}m} = 1 \\
\cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\
0 & \theta^{im} & \cdots & \theta^{\left(\frac{q^2-1}{m}-2\right)im} & 1 \\
\cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\
0 & \theta^{wm} & \cdots & \theta^{\left(\frac{q^2-1}{m}-2\right)wm} & 1\n\end{pmatrix}
$$

<span id="page-4-0"></span>Therefore, the following result which can be thought as a generalization of Theorem 4.4 of  $[11]$  is proved.

**Theorem 2.2** *For each odd number m* =  $2k + 1$  *satisfying m* $|q + 1$ *, we have a*  $[[\frac{q^2+m-1}{m},\frac{q^2+m-1}{m}-2d,d+1]]_q$  *quantum MDS code for each integer d in the range*  $2 \leq d \leq \lfloor \frac{k+1}{2k+1} (q-1) + 1 \rfloor.$ 

In the case *q* + 1 is divisible by 3 we have a length  $\frac{q^2-1}{3} + 1 = \frac{q^2+2}{3}$  *q*-ary quantum MDS code with minimum distance *d* for each integer *d* in the range  $2 \le d \le \frac{2(q+1)}{3}$ . This recovers the 2nd conclusion of Theorem 4.4 of [\[10\]](#page-13-7). Moreover if  $5|q+1$ , then we have a length  $\frac{q^2-1}{5}+1=\frac{q^2+4}{5}q$ -ary quantum MDS code with the minimum distance *d* for each integer *d* in the range  $2 \le d \le \frac{3(q+1)}{5}$ . We list some new quantum MDS codes from Theorem [2.2](#page-4-0) in Table [1.](#page-4-1)

#### <span id="page-4-2"></span>**2.2 Construction 2**

We need the following two lemmas in construction 2. The main idea of the construction 2 is the consideration of the sum of two identities as in Lemma [1.1](#page-2-0) with respect to two subsets. Then we have new identities that some exponential sums at a new subset are zero. This leads to some new Hermitian self-orthogonal codes with different lengths.

**Lemma 2.1** *Suppose q is an even prime power*  $2^h$ *. Let*  $\theta \in \mathbf{F}_{q^2}$  *be a primitive element which generate the multiplicative group*  $\mathbf{F}_{q^2}^*$ *. If m*<sub>1</sub> *and m*<sub>2</sub> *are factors of*  $q^2 - 1$ 

*satisfying*  $gcd(m_1, m_2) = 1$ *. We set*  $m_3 = \frac{q^2 - 1}{m_1}$  *and*  $m_4 = \frac{q^2 - 1}{m_2}$ *. Let*  $M_1$  *be the set of all indices j satisfying*  $1 \leq j \leq m_3 - 1$  *and j is not divisible by*  $m_2$ *, and*  $\mathbf{M}_2$  *be the set of all indices j satisfying*  $1 \le j \le m_4 - 1$  *and j is not divisible by*  $m_1$ *. Then*  $\sum_{i \in \mathbf{M}_1} \theta^{m_1 t j} + \sum_{i \in \mathbf{M}_2} \theta^{m_2 t j} = 0$  for  $t = 1, \ldots, m i n \{m_3, m_4\} - 1$ .

*Proof* From Lemma [1.1](#page-2-0) and the fact  $-1 = 1$  in the finite field  $\mathbf{F}_{22h}$  we get the conclusion. Here we should note that in the two equalities  $\sum_{j=1}^{m_3} \theta^{m_1 t j} = 0$  and  $\sum_{j=1}^{m_4} \theta^{m_2 t j} = 0$ . The common part is  $\sum_{j=1}^{m_5} \theta^{m_1 m_2 t j}$ , where  $m_5 = \frac{q^2 - 1}{m_1 m_2}$ . на продатка на предиодат на предиодат и предиодат и предиодат и предлага на предиодат и предлага и предлага <br>Село в село во село

Here  $|\mathbf{M}_1| = m_3 - \frac{q^2 - 1}{m_1 m_2}$  and  $|\mathbf{M}_2| = m_4 - \frac{q^2 - 1}{m_1 m_2}$ .

Similarly we have the following Lemma [2.2.](#page-5-0) Suppose *q* is an even prime power  $2^h$ . Let  $\theta \in \mathbf{F}_{q^2}$  be a primitive element which generate the multiplicative group  $\mathbf{F}_{q^2}^*$ . Consider  $m_1, \ldots, m_s$  factors of  $q^2 - 1$  satisfying gcd( $m_{s_1}, m_{s_2}$ ) = 1 for any  $s_1 \neq s_2$ . We set  $m'_1 = \frac{q^2-1}{m_1}, \ldots, m'_s = \frac{q^2-1}{m_s}$ . Set  $\mathbf{M}_u$  the subgroup of the multiplicative group  $\mathbf{F}_{q^2}^*$  generated by  $\theta^{m_u}$ . Let  $\mathbf{M}_{s_1,\dots,s_l}$  be the intersection of  $\mathbf{M}_{s_1},\dots,\mathbf{M}_{s_l}$  for distinct indices  $s_1, \ldots, s_l$  in the set  $\{1, \ldots, s\}$ . The set **M** is defined as the set of elements in  $M_1$  ∪  $\cdots$  ∪  $M_s$  by deleting these elements in the set  $M_{s_1,...,s_l}$  where *l* is even. The elements in  $M_{s_1,...,s_{l'}}$  where *l'* is odd are remained.

<span id="page-5-0"></span>**Lemma 2.2**  $\Sigma_{j \in \mathbf{M} \cap \mathbf{M}_1} \theta^{m_1 t j} + \Sigma_{j \in \mathbf{M}_2 \cap \mathbf{M}} \theta^{j m_2 t} + \cdots + \Sigma_{j \in \mathbf{M} \cap \mathbf{M}_s} \theta^{j m_2 t} = 0$  for  $t =$  $1, \ldots, \min\{m'_1, \ldots, m'_s\} - 1.$ 

If *q* be an even prime power  $2^h$ ,  $m_1 = 2k_1 + 1 < m_2 = 2k_2 + 1$  are odd factors of  $q + 1$  satisfying gcd( $m_1, m_2$ ) = 1. Set  $m_3 = \frac{q^2 - 1}{m_1}, m_4 = \frac{q^2 - 1}{m_2}, M = m_3 +$  $m_4 - \frac{2(q^2-1)}{m_1m_2}$ . We construct a length *M* linear code **C<sub>M</sub>** over **F**<sub>*q*</sub><sup>2</sup> as follows. **C**<sub>M</sub> =  ${(xf(x))_{x \in \mathbf{M}} : 0 \le \deg(f) \le w - 1},$  where  $w < \frac{k_2+1}{2k_2+1}(q-1)$ . This is equivalent to a evaluation code (a Reed–Solomon code) at all elements of the set **M**. Thus this is a  $[M, w, M - w + 1]$  MDS code over  $\mathbf{F}_{q^2}$ .

We need to check the exponential sum  $\Sigma_{j \in \mathbf{M}_1} \theta^{jm_1(q+1+t_1+t_2q)} + \Sigma_{j \in \mathbf{M}_2}$  $\theta^{jm_2(q+1+t_1+t_2q)}$  for the purpose to get the Hermitian self-orthogonal codes.

**Theorem 2.3** *Let m*1, *m*2, *m*3, *m*4, *M and* w *be positive integers as above. If for all non-negative integers*  $t_1$  *and*  $t_2$  *satisfying*  $0 \le t_1, t_2 \le w - 1, q + 1 + t_1 + t_2q$  *cannot be divisible by m*<sup>3</sup> *and m*4*, then the code* **CM** *is Hermitian self-orthogonal. When*  $w < \frac{k_2+1}{2k_2+1} (q-1)$ , the above condition is satisfied.

*Proof* The conclusion follows from the proof of Theorem [2.1](#page-3-1) and the fact  $w <$  $min\{\frac{k_1+1}{2k_1+1}(q-1), \frac{k_2+1}{2k_2+1}(q-1)\}.$ 

<span id="page-5-1"></span>**Corollary 2.2** *Suppose that q is an even prime power*  $2^h$ *, m*<sub>1</sub> =  $2k_1 + 1$  *and m*<sub>2</sub> =  $2k_2 + 1$  *are odd positive integers satisfying*  $gcd(m_1, m_2) = 1, m_1 < m_2$  *and*  $m_1|q + q_2$  $1, m_2|q + 1$ . We set  $m_3 = \frac{q^2-1}{m_1}$ ,  $m_4 = \frac{q^2-1}{m_2}$ ,  $M = m_3 + m_4 - \frac{2(q^2-1)}{m_1m_2}$ . For each *positive integer d in the range*  $2 \le d \le \lfloor \frac{k_2+1}{2k_2+1} (q-1)+1 \rfloor$ , there is a length M q-ary *quantum MDS code with minimum distance d.*

<span id="page-6-0"></span>

<span id="page-6-1"></span>From Lemma [2.2](#page-5-0) we can generalize our recent results to the case that  $q + 1$  has several factors  $m_1$ , ...  $m_s$ , where  $gcd(m_{s_1}, m_{s_2}) = 1$  for  $s_1 \neq s_2$ . Some quantum MDS codes coming from Corollary [2.2](#page-5-1) are listed in Table [2.](#page-6-0)

Actually in the case  $q$  is an odd prime power we can use equivalent codes to get new quantum MDS codes as follows. If *q* is an odd prime power, then 2 is a nonzero element in  $\mathbf{F}_q \subset \mathbf{F}_{q^2}$ . If  $m_1 = 2k_1 + 1 < m_2 = 2k_2 + 1$  are two odd factors of  $q + 1$ , then we have the following identity. When *t* is not divisible by  $\frac{q^2-1}{m_1}$  or  $\frac{q^2-1}{m_2}$ ,

$$
\sum_{j=1}^{\frac{q^2-1}{m_1}} \theta^{m_1 t j} + \sum_{j=1}^{\frac{q^2-1}{m_2}} \theta^{m_2 j t} = 0
$$

For those indices *j*'s which are in both summands, that is,  $j = m_1 m_2 j'$ , we have  $2\theta^{m_1m_2t j'}$  in the above identity. Since  $2 = u^{q+1}$  for some  $u \in \mathbf{F}_{q^2}$ , the equivalent code can be used to get a Hermitian orthogonal code from Lemma [1.2.](#page-2-1) Hence we have the following result.

<span id="page-6-2"></span>**Theorem 2.4** *Suppose that q is an odd prime power,*  $m_1 = 2k_1 + 1$  *and*  $m_2 = 2k_2 + 1$ *are odd positive integers satisfying*  $gcd(m_1, m_2) = 1, m_1 < m_2$  *and*  $m_1|q+1, m_2|q+1$ 1*. We set*  $m_3 = \frac{q^2-1}{m_1}$ ,  $m_4 = \frac{q^2-1}{m_2}$ ,  $M = m_3 + m_4 - \frac{q^2-1}{m_1m_2}$ . For each positive integer *d* in the range  $2 \le d \le \lfloor \frac{k_2+1}{2k_2+1}(q-1)+1 \rfloor$ , there is a length M q-ary quantum MDS *code with minimum distance d.*

In Table [3](#page-6-1) we give some new quantum MDS *q*-ary codes from Theorem [2.4.](#page-6-2)

### **3 New quantum codes II**

### **3.1 Odd** *q* and even  $m|q-1$  (Recovery of Theorem 4.11 in [\[3\]](#page-12-0))

<span id="page-6-3"></span>Suppose *q* is an odd prime power and  $q - 1 = 2<sup>h</sup>a_1a_2$  where  $a_1$  and  $a_2$  are odd numbers. We assume  $m = 2^{\lambda_1} a_1 \ge 6$  is an even factor of  $q - 1$  where  $h_1 \le h$ . We first prove the following lemma.

<span id="page-7-0"></span>

**Lemma 3.1** *When*  $0 \le t_1, t_2 \le \frac{q+1}{2} + 2^{h-h_1}a_2 - 2$ *, the following equality holds:* 

$$
\sum_{j=1}^{\frac{q^2-1}{m}} \theta^{jm(t_1+t_2q+\frac{q+1}{2})} = 0
$$

*Proof* From the condition  $m \ge 6$ ,  $t_1 + t_2q + \frac{q+1}{2} < q^2 - 1$ . Thus if  $(t_1 + \frac{q+1}{2}) + t_2q$ is divisible by  $\frac{q^2-1}{m}$ , the quotient *u* < *m*. In the case  $t_1 + \frac{q+1}{2} \leq q - 1$  we have  $u \frac{q^2-1}{m} = t_2q + t_1 + \frac{q+1}{2}$ . The quotient is  $t_2$  and the remainder is  $t_1 + \frac{q+1}{2}$ . The quotient and the remainder have to be the same since  $u(\frac{q-1}{m})$  is an integer.

Since  $t_1 + \frac{q+1}{2} = t_2$  is divisible by  $\frac{q-1}{m}$ ,  $t_1 + 1 + \frac{q-1}{2}$  is divisible by  $\frac{q-1}{m} = 2^{h-h_1} a_2$ . From  $t_1 \ge 0$  we have  $t_1 + 1 \ge 1$ , and  $t_1 \ge 2^{h-h_1}a_2 - 1$ . On the other hand  $t_2 = t_1 + \frac{q+1}{2}$ ,  $t_2 \geq \frac{q+1}{2} + 2^{h-h_1}a_2 - 1$ . This is a contradiction. Thus  $t_1 + t_2q + \frac{q^2-1}{2^{h-h_1+1}m}$  is not divisible by  $\frac{q^2-1}{m}$ .

In the case  $t_1 + \frac{q+1}{2} \ge q$  we have  $u \frac{q^2-1}{m} = (t_2 + 1)q + (t_1 - \frac{q-1}{2})$ . The quotient is  $t_2 + 1$  and the remainder is  $t_1 - \frac{q-1}{2}$ . These two numbers have to be the same since *u* < *m*. Thus *t*<sub>2</sub> + 1 = *t*<sub>1</sub> −  $\frac{q-1}{2}$  is divisible by  $\frac{q-1}{m} = 2^{h-h_1}a_2$ . From *t*<sub>2</sub> + 1 ≥ 1, we have  $t_2 \ge 2^{h-h_1}a_2 - 1$ . Thus  $t_1 \ge t_2 + 1 + \frac{q-1}{2} \ge \frac{q+1}{2} + 2^{h-h_1}a_2 - 1$ . This is a contradiction.

The code is the set  $\{ (f(\theta^{ml}), f(\theta^{2ml}), \dots, f(\theta^{jml}), \dots, f(\theta^{\frac{q^2-1}{m}ml}) : \deg(f)$ *k*}. In Lemma [1.2](#page-2-1) we can set  $v'_j = \theta^{j\frac{m(q+1)}{2}} \in \mathbf{F}_q^*$ .  $\mathbf{g}_l = (\theta^{ml}, \theta^{2ml}, \dots, \theta^{jml}, \dots, \theta^{jml})$  $\theta \frac{q^2-1}{m}ml$ ), where  $0 \le l \le k-1$ . Thus a  $\left[\frac{q^2-1}{m}, k\right]_{q^2}$  Hermitian self-orthogonal MDS code can be constructed from Lemmas [1.2](#page-2-1) and [3.1,](#page-6-3) where *k* is in the range  $1 \le k \le$  $\frac{q+1}{2} + 2^{h-h_1}a_2 - 1$ . From Theorem [1.1](#page-1-0) we have a length  $\frac{q^2-1}{m}$  quantum MDS *q*-ary code with the minimum distance  $d = k + 1$  in the range  $2 \le d \le \frac{q+1}{2} + 2^{h-h_1}a_2$ .

<span id="page-7-1"></span>**Theorem 3.1** *If*  $q = 2^h a_1 a_2 + 1$  *is an odd prime power where a<sub>1</sub> and a<sub>2</sub> are odd numbers and m* =  $2^{h_1}a_1 \ge 6$  *is an even factor of q* − 1 *where h*<sub>1</sub>  $\le h$ *, then for each integer d in the range*  $2 \leq d \leq \frac{q+1}{2} + 2^{\tilde{h}-h_1}a_2$ , we have a q-ary quantum MDS code *with length*  $\frac{q^2-1}{m}$  and minimum distance d.

This recovers Theorem 4.11 in [\[3](#page-12-0)].

# **3.2 Length**  $\frac{w(q^2-1)}{u}$  quantum *q*-ary MDS codes

The main idea of the construction in this subsection is similar to the Sect. [2.2.](#page-4-2) We add some identities in Lemma [3.1](#page-6-3) to get some new identities that some exponential sums are zero. Thus we can construct some new Hermitian self-orthogonal codes.

Suppose  $m_1 = 2^{h_1} a_1 \ge 6$  and  $m_2 = 2^{h_2} b_1 \ge 6$  are two even factors of  $q - 1 =$  $2^h a_1 a_2 = 2^h b_1 b_2$  where  $a_1, a_2, b_1, b_2$  are odd numbers. Then we have two identities from Lemma [3.1.](#page-6-3) The addition of these two identities gives another identity. For those indices *j* which are divisible by both  $m_1$  and  $m_2$ , we have to use the element  $\theta^{j} \frac{m_1(q+1)}{2} + \theta^{j} \frac{m_2(q+1)}{2} \in \mathbf{F}_q$ . It is obvious that this is a nonzero element in  $\mathbf{F}_q^*$  when  $lcm(m_1, m_2) = q - 1$  (here *lcm* is the least common multiple). Set  $M_1$  the set of indices  $m_1 \cdot \{1, ..., \frac{q^2-1}{m_1}\}$  and  $\mathbf{M}_2 = m_2 \cdot \{1, ..., \frac{q^2-1}{m_2}\}$ ,  $\mathbf{M} = \mathbf{M}_1 \cup \mathbf{M}_2$ . Here  $|\mathbf{M}| = |\mathbf{M}_1| + |\mathbf{M}_2| - \frac{q-1}{\text{lcm}(m_1, m_2)}(q+1) = \frac{q^2-1}{m_1} + \frac{q^2-1}{m_2} - (q+1)$  when  $\text{lcm}(m_1, m_2) =$ *q* − 1. The code is the set { $(f(x))_{x \in M}$  :  $0 \le \deg(f) \le k - 1$ }, where  $1 \le k \le$  $\frac{q-1}{2}$  + min{2*h*<sup>−*h*1</sup>*a*<sub>2</sub>, 2<sup>*h*−*h*<sub>2</sub></sup>*b*<sub>2</sub>}.

<span id="page-8-1"></span>**Theorem 3.2** Assuming that  $q = 2^{h_1}a_1a_2 + 1 = 2^{h_2}b_1b_2 + 1$  *is an odd prime power as above and*  $a_1, a_2, b_1, b_2$  *are odd numbers. Suppose also that*  $m_1 = 2^{h_1} a_1$  *and*  $m_2 = 2^{h_2} b_1$  *are two even factors of q* − 1 *satisfying lcm*( $m_1, m_2$ ) =  $q - 1$  *as above. Then for each integer d in the range*  $2 \le d \le \frac{q+1}{2} + min\{2^{h-h_1}a_2, 2^{h-h_2}b_2\}$  *we have a q-ary quantum MDS code with length*  $|\mathbf{M}| = \frac{q^2-1}{m_1} + \frac{q^2-1}{m_2} - (q+1)$  *and minimum distance d.*

<span id="page-8-0"></span>**Corollary 3.1** *If*  $2m_1m_2 + 1$  *is a prime power where*  $m_1 < m_2$  *are two co-prime odd numbers, then for each integer d in the range*  $2 \le d \le m_1 m_2 + m_1 + 1$  *we have a*  $length \frac{(m_1+m_2-1)(q^2-1)}{2m_1m_2} = (m_1+m_2-1)(2m_1m_2+2)$  *q-ary quantum MDS code and the minimum distance d.*

We list some new quantum MDS codes from Corollary [3.1](#page-8-0) in Table [4.](#page-7-0)

### **4 New quantum codes III**

Just as in Sect. [2.2](#page-4-2) the idea of the construction in this section is that the addition of two identities in Lemmas [1.1](#page-2-0) and [3.1](#page-6-3) gives us some new identities showing that some exponential sums are zero. This leads to some new Hermitian self-orthogonal codes with different lengths.

Let *q* be an odd prime power and  $m_1 = 2k_1 + 1$  is an odd factor of  $q + 1$ . From Theorem [2.1](#page-3-1) we have that the following identity holds when  $0 \le t_1, t_2 \le$  $\frac{q-1}{2} + \frac{q+1}{2m_1} - 2.$ 

$$
\sum_{j=1}^{\frac{q^2-1}{m_1}} \theta^{jm_1(t_1+t_2q)} \cdot \theta^{jm_1(q+1)} = 0
$$

From Lemma [3.1](#page-6-3) if  $m_2|q-1$  is an even factor of  $q-1$  we have the following identity when  $0 \le t_1, t_2 \le \frac{\bar{q}-1}{2} + \frac{\bar{q}-1}{m_2} - 1$ .

$$
\sum_{j=1}^{\frac{q^2-1}{m_2}} \theta^{jm_2(t_1+t_2q)} \cdot \theta^{j\frac{m_2(q+1)}{2}} = 0
$$

We can get the following identity by adding these two identities.

$$
\sum_{j=1}^{\frac{q^2-1}{m_1}} \theta^{jm_1(t_1+t_2q)} \cdot \theta^{jm_1(q+1)} + H(\sum_{j=1}^{\frac{q^2-1}{m_2}} \theta^{jm_2(t_1+t_2q)} \cdot \theta^{j\frac{m_2(q+1)}{2}}) = 0
$$

Here *H* can be any nonzero  $H \in \mathbf{F}_q^*$  and the common  $t_1$  and  $t_2$  are in the range  $0 \le t_1, t_2 \le \frac{q-1}{2} + \min\{\frac{q+1}{2m_1} - 2, \frac{q-1}{m_2} - 1\}.$  At the position  $\theta^{m_1 m_2 t}$  it is clear that  $\theta^{m_1^2 m_2 t(q+1)} + H\theta^{m_1 m_2^2 t(q+1)}$  is an element in **F**<sub>*q*</sub>. Since  $\theta^{(m_1 - \frac{m_2}{2}) m_1 m_2 t(q+1)}$  can only be the  $\frac{q-1}{m_2}$  nonzero elements in the subgroup of  $\mathbf{F}_q^*$  generated by  $\theta^{m_2(q+1)}$ , there exists a  $H \in \mathbf{F}_q^*$  such that  $\theta^{m_1^2 m_2 t (q+1)} + H \theta^{m_1 m_2^2 t (q+1)}$  is a nonzero element in  $\mathbf{F}_q^*$  for any possible *t*.

Let **M** be the set  $\{\theta^{jm_1} : j = 1, ..., \frac{q^2-1}{m_1}\} \cup \{\theta^{jm_2} : j = 1, ..., \frac{q^2-1}{m_2}\}$ . The code is the set { $(f(x))_{x \in \mathbf{M}}$  :  $0 \le \deg(f) \le \frac{q-1}{2} + \min\{\frac{q+1}{2m_1} - 2, \frac{q-1}{m_2} - 1\}$ }. This is equivalent to a Reed–Solomon code.

<span id="page-9-0"></span>**Theorem 4.1** *If q is an odd prime power, m<sub>1</sub> <i>is an odd factor of q* + 1 *and m<sub>2</sub> an even*  $a=1$ *factor of q* − 1*, then for each integer d in the range*  $2 \le d \le \frac{q-1}{2} + \min\{\frac{q+1}{2m_1}, \frac{q-1}{m_2} + 1\}$ , *we have a q-ary quantum MDS code with length*  $\frac{q^2-1}{m_1} + \frac{q^2-1}{m_2} - \frac{q^2-1}{m_1m_2}$  *and minimum distance d.*

Actually Theorem [4.1](#page-9-0) is quite general as illustrated in the following results.

<span id="page-9-3"></span>**Corollary 4.1** Let q be an odd prime power. If there exists an odd integer  $m|q + 1$ *such that m* − 1 *is an even factor of q* − 1*. Then for each integer d in the range* 2 ≤ *d* ≤  $\frac{q-1}{2}$  +  $\frac{q+1}{2m}$  we have a length  $\frac{2(q^2-1)}{m}$  *q*-ary quantum MDS code with *minimum distance d.*

There are many such odd prime powers *q* as illustrated in Table [5.](#page-10-0)

The lengths of some quantum MDS *q*-ary codes in Table [5](#page-10-0) have the form  $4(q - 1)$ where q is an odd prime power such that  $(q + 1)$  is not divisible by 4. This case is not covered in the previous results (see the table in page 1482 of [\[3\]](#page-12-0)).

<span id="page-9-2"></span>**Corollary 4.2** If q is an odd prime power of the form  $q \equiv 1 \text{ mod } 4$ , then for each *integer d in the range*  $2 \le d \le \frac{q+1}{2}$  *we have a length*  $4(q-1)$  *q-ary quantum MDS code with minimum distance d.*

<span id="page-9-1"></span>From the main result in [\[9\]](#page-13-14) (or see 3 in the table in page 1482 of [\[3\]](#page-12-0)), only the range 3 ≤ *d* ≤  $\frac{q-1}{2}$  is allowed. Our result gives a quantum *q*-ary MDS [[4(*q* − 1), 3*q* − 3,  $\frac{q+1}{2}$ ]]<sub>*q*</sub> code when  $q = 4k + 1$  is an odd prime power.

<span id="page-10-0"></span>

<b>Table 5</b> Quantum MDS codes with lengths $\frac{2(q^2-1)}{m}$		
	<b>Ouantum MDS</b> code	q, m, d
	$[[48, 48 - 2d + 2, d]]_{13}$	13, 7, 2 $d < 7$
	$[148, 48 - 2d + 2, d]$	17, 9, 2 < d < 9
	$[156, 56 - 2d + 2, d]]$	29, 15, 2 $< d < 15$
	$[[144, 144 - 2d + 2, d]]_{41}$	37, 19, 2 $< d < 19$
	$[[192, 192 - 2d + 2, d]]_{49}$	49, 25, 2 $< d < 25$
	$[1960, 960 - 2d + 2, d]$	49, 5, 2 < d < 29
	$[288, 288 - 2d + 2, d]]$	73, 37, 2 < d < 37
	$[1760, 1760 - 2d + 2, d]$	89, 9, 2 < d < 49

**Table 6** Quantum MDS codes with lengths  $\frac{(q-1)}{2k+1} \cdot (q+1)$ 

<span id="page-10-1"></span>

**Corollary 4.3** Let q be an odd prime power. If there exists an even factor  $2(2k + 1)$ *of q* − 1 *such that* 4*k* + 1 *is a odd factor of q* + 1*, then for each integer d in the range*  $2 \le d \le \frac{q-1}{2} + \frac{q+1}{2(4k+1)}$  *we have a length*  $\frac{q-1}{2k+1} \cdot (q+1)$  *q-ary quantum MDS code with minimum distance d.*

In Theorem 4.11 of [\[3\]](#page-12-0) and Theorem [3.1](#page-7-1) here *m* cannot be an odd factor. This Corollary [4.3](#page-9-1) partially solves this case under an assumption on *q*. However, there are a lot of such odd prime powers *q* and odd factors  $(2k + 1)|q - 1$  as illustrated in Table [6.](#page-10-1)

### **5 New quantum codes IV**

In this section we treat the case that *q* is an odd prime power and  $n = \frac{q^2 - 1}{m}$ , where  $m|q^2 - 1$ , and *m* is neither a factor of  $q - 1$  nor  $q + 1$ .

We need the following two lemmas.

<span id="page-10-2"></span>**Lemma 5.1** If  $m_1$  is an even integer and  $m_2$  is an odd integer satisfying gcd( $m_1$ ,  $m_2$ ) = 1*, there are infinitely many primes q satisfying*  $m_1|q-1$  *and*  $m_2|q+1$ *.* 

*Proof* Since  $gcd(m_1, m_2) = 1$  we have two integers  $l_0$  and  $k_0$  satisfying  $l_0m_1 + 2 =$  $k_0m_2$ . Thus  $l = l_0 + m_2t$  and  $k = k_0 + m_1t$  also satisfy  $lm_1 + 2 = km_2$  for all integers

 $\Box$ 

<span id="page-11-2"></span>

Table 7 Ouantum MDS codes from Theorem [5.1](#page-11-0)

 $t = 0 \pm 1, \pm 2, \ldots$  It is clear  $gcd(l_0m_1 + 1, m_1) = 1$ . We have  $l_0m_1 + 1 + 1 = k_0m_2$ , then  $gcd(l_0m_1 + 1, m_2) = 1$ .

From Dirichlet Theorem there are infinitely many primes in the arithmetic sequence  $m_2m_1t + l_0m_1 + 1$  because of gcd( $l_0m_1 + 1$ ,  $m_1m_2$ ) = 1. It is direct to verify  $m_1|q-1$ and  $m_2|q + 1$ .

<span id="page-11-1"></span>**Lemma 5.2** *There are infinitely many pairs of positive integers*  $(m_1, m_2)$  *satisfying the following conditions.*

- *1)*  $m_1$  *is even,*  $m_2$  *is odd and*  $gcd(m_1, m_2) = 1$ *;*
- 2)  $\frac{m_1 + m_2 1}{m_1 m_2} = \frac{1}{m}$  where *m* is a positive integer satisfying gcd(*m*<sub>1</sub>, *m*) > 1 and  $gcd(m_2, m) > 1.$

*Proof* We consider  $m_2 = k_1 k_2$  where  $k_1$  and  $k_2$  are odd numbers. Set  $k_3$  and  $k_4$  two un-determined positive integers satisfying  $k_1k_2 - 1 + 2k_3k_4 = k_1k_3$ . Then  $k_1k_2 - 1 =$  $k_3(k_1 - 2k_4)$ . From the factorization of  $k_1k_2 - 1$  we get suitable  $k_3$  and  $k_4$ . Hence  $m_1 = k_1 k_2$  and  $m_2 = 2k_3 k_4$  are the integers satisfying the conditions.

For example when  $k_1 = 35$  and  $k_2 = 3$ ,  $105 - 1 = 8 \cdot 13 = k_3(35 - 2k_4)$ , we can set  $k_3 = 8$  and  $k_4 = 11$ . Then  $m_1 = 176$  and  $m_2 = 105$ .  $\frac{105+176-1}{176+105} = \frac{1}{66}$ . When  $k_1 = 35$  and  $k_2 = 5$ . 174 =  $6 \cdot 29 = k_3(35 - 2k_4)$ , we can set  $k_3 = 6$  and  $k_4 = 3$ . Then  $m_1 = 36$  and  $m_2 = 175$ .  $\frac{175+36-1}{36 \cdot 175} = \frac{1}{30}$ .

<span id="page-11-0"></span>**Theorem 5.1** *There are infinitely many pairs of integers* (*m*1, *m*2) *as in Lemma [5.2](#page-11-1) and infinitely many primes q as in Lemma [5.1](#page-10-2) for each such pair* (*m*1, *m*2)*. For each such pair* (*m*1, *m*2) *and the infinitely many primes q as in Lemma [5.1,](#page-10-2) we have a q-ary quantum MDS code with length n* =  $\frac{q^2-1}{m}$  *and minimum distance d for each integer d* in the range  $2 \le d \le \frac{q-1}{2} + \min\{\frac{q+1}{2m_2}, \frac{q-1}{m_1} + 1\}.$ 

*Proof* The conclusion follows from Lemmas [5.1](#page-10-2) and [5.2](#page-11-1) and Theorem [4.1](#page-9-0) directly.

We list some new *q*-ary quantum MDS codes from Theorem [5.1](#page-11-0) in Table [7.](#page-11-2)

<span id="page-11-3"></span>**Corollary 5.1** *Let k be any positive integer satisfying k*  $\equiv$  5 *mod* 9*. If q*  $= 16k^2$  − 12*k* + 1 *is an odd prime power, then we have a q-ary quantum MDS code with length*  $\frac{q^2-1}{3k}$  and minimum distance d for each integer d in the range  $2 \le d \le \frac{q+1}{2} + \frac{2k-1}{3}$ .

*Proof* Set  $m_1 = 4k$  and  $m_2 = 3(4k - 1)$  in Theorem [5.1](#page-11-0) we get the conclusion.

For example when  $k = 14$  and  $q = 2969$  is a prime we have a 2969-ary quantum MDS [[209880, 209880 – 2*d* + 2, *d*]]<sub>2969</sub> code for each integer *d* in the range 2  $\le$ 



#### <span id="page-12-3"></span>**Table 8** Quantum MDS codes

*d* ≤ 1494. In the above Corollary [5.1](#page-11-3) we should note that 3*k* is not a factor of *q* − 1 or *q* + 1. This case has not been treated in the previous works [3,8–13]. or  $q + 1$ . This case has not been treated in the previous works [\[3,](#page-12-0)[8](#page-13-6)[–13\]](#page-13-12).

### **6 Summary**

In this paper we give a direct method constructing  $q^2$ -ary Hermitian self-orthogonal MDS codes with dimensions  $k > \frac{q}{2}$ . This leads to many new *q*-ary quantum MDS codes with minimum distances  $d > \frac{q}{2}$ . Some new *q*-ary quantum MDS codes with  $q > \frac{q}{2}$  constructed in this paper are listed in Table [8.](#page-12-3)

## <span id="page-12-2"></span>**References**

- 1. Aly, S.A., Klappenecker, A., Sarvepalli, P.K.: On quantum and classical BCH codes. IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory **53**(3), 1183–1188 (2007)
- <span id="page-12-1"></span>2. Ashikhmin, A., Knill, E.: Nonbinary quantum stabilizer codes. IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory **47**(7), 3065– 3072 (2001)
- <span id="page-12-0"></span>3. Chen, B., Ling, S., Zhang, G.: Application of constacyclic codes to quantum MDS codes. IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory **61**(3), 1474–1484 (2015)
- <span id="page-13-4"></span>4. Feng, K.: Quantum code [[6, 2, 3]]*<sup>p</sup>* and [[7, 3, 3]]*<sup>p</sup>* ( *p* ≥ 3) exists. IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory **48**(8), 2384–2391 (2002)
- 5. Grassl, M., Beth, T., Roetteler, M.: On optimal quantum codes. Int. J. Quantum Inf. **2**(1), 757–766 (2004)
- 6. Grassl, M., Roetteler, M., Beth, T.: On quantum MDS codes. In: Proceedings of the International Symposium on Information Theory. Chicago, p. 356, (2004)
- <span id="page-13-8"></span>7. Grassl, M., Roetteler, M.: Quantum MDS codes over small fields. [arXiv:1502.05267](http://arxiv.org/abs/1502.05267)
- <span id="page-13-6"></span>8. La Guardia, G.G.: New quantum MDS codes. IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory **57**(8), 5551–5554 (2011)
- <span id="page-13-14"></span>9. Jin, L., Ling, S., Luo, J., Xing, C.: Application of classical Hermitian self-orthogonal MDS codes to quantum MDS codes. IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory **56**(9), 4735–4740 (2010)
- <span id="page-13-7"></span>10. Jin, L., Xing, C.: Euclidean and Hermitian self-orthogonal algebraic geometry codes and their application to quantum codes. IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory **58**, 5484–5489 (2012)
- <span id="page-13-9"></span>11. Jin, L., Xing, C.: A construction of new quantum MDS codes. IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory **60**(5), 2921– 2925 (2014)
- <span id="page-13-10"></span>12. Kai, X., Zhu, S.: New quantum MDS codes from negacyclic codes. IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory **59**(2), 1193–1197 (2013)
- <span id="page-13-12"></span>13. Kai, X., Zhu, S., Li, P.: Constacyclic codes and some new quantum MDS codes. IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory **60**(4), 2080–2086 (2014)
- <span id="page-13-3"></span>14. Knill, E., Laflamme, R.: Theory of quantum error-correcting codes. Phys. Rev. A **55**(2), 900–911 (1997)
- <span id="page-13-0"></span>15. Laflamme, R., Miquel, C., Paz, J.P., Zurek, W.H.: Perfect quantum error correcting code. Phys. Rev. Lett. **77**(1), 198–201 (1996)
- 16. Li, Z., Xing, L.J., Wang, X.M.: Quantum generalized Reed–Solomon codes: unified framework for quantum MDS codes. Phys. Rev. A **77**(1), 012308-1–12308-4 (2008)
- <span id="page-13-5"></span>17. Li, R., Xu, Z.: Construction of [[*n*, *n* −4, 3]]*q* quantum MDS codes for odd prime power *q*. Phys. Rev. A **82**(5), 052316-1–052316-4 (2010)
- <span id="page-13-13"></span>18. MacWilliams, F.J., Sloane, N.J.A.: Theory of Error-Correcting Codes, 2nd edn. North Holland, Amsterdam (1978)
- <span id="page-13-1"></span>19. Shor, P.W.: Scheme for reducing decoherence in quantum computer memory. Phys. Rev. A **52**(4), R2493–R2496 (1995)
- <span id="page-13-2"></span>20. Steane, A.M.: Enlargement of Calderbank–Shor–Steane quantum codes. IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory **45**(7), 2492–2495 (1999)
- <span id="page-13-11"></span>21. Wang, L., Zhu, S.: New quantum MDS codes derived from constacyclic codes. Quantum Inf. Process. **14**(3), 881–889 (2015). [arXiv:1405.5421v1](http://arxiv.org/abs/1405.5421v1)