

Sum uncertainty relations based on Wigner–Yanase skew information

Bin Chen^{1,2} • Shao-Ming Fei^{3,4} • Gui-Lu Long^{1,2,5}

Received: 13 December 2015 / Accepted: 12 February 2016 / Published online: 1 March 2016 © Springer Science+Business Media New York 2016

Abstract We study sum uncertainty relations for arbitrary finite *N* quantum mechanical observables. Some uncertainty inequalities are presented by using skew information introduced by Wigner and Yanase. These uncertainty inequalities are nontrivial as long as the observables are mutually noncommutative. The relations among these new and existing uncertainty inequalities have been investigated. Detailed examples are presented.

Keywords Uncertainty relation · Wigner–Yanase skew information · Noncommuting observables

1 Introduction

Uncertainty principle is considered to be one of the most singular characteristics of quantum mechanics. Ever since the first uncertainty relation of position–momentum

 \boxtimes Bin Chen chenbin5134@163.com

> Shao-Ming Fei feishm@cnu.edu.cn

Gui-Lu Long gllong@tsinghua.edu.cn

- ¹ State Key Laboratory of Low-Dimensional Quantum Physics and Department of Physics, Tsinghua University, Beijing 100084, China
- ² Tsinghua National Laboratory for Information Science and Technology, Beijing 100084, China
- ³ School of Mathematical Sciences, Capital Normal University, Beijing 100048, China
- ⁴ Max-Planck-Institute for Mathematics in the Sciences, 04103 Leipzig, Germany
- ⁵ Collaborative Innovation Center of Quantum Matter, Beijing 100084, China

was proposed by Heisenberg in 1927 [\[1\]](#page-8-0), many efforts have been made in interpreting the intrinsic meaning of this kind of inequalities. The traditional approach that formulates uncertainty relations is based on the variance of measurement outcomes. The most famous one is due to Robertson [\[2](#page-8-1)], who derived the following uncertainty inequality for two observables *A* and *B*:

$$
\Delta_{\rho} A \Delta_{\rho} B \ge \frac{1}{2} |\langle [A, B] \rangle_{\rho}|, \tag{1}
$$

where $\Delta_{\rho} \Omega = \sqrt{\langle \Omega^2 \rangle_{\rho} - \langle \Omega \rangle_{\rho}^2}$ is the standard deviation of an observable Ω , and $[A, B] = AB - BA$. It is obvious that the above relation is nontrivial for two noncommuting observables when suitable states are measured. That is to say, the lower bound in [\(1\)](#page-1-0) can be used to capture the noncommutativity of two observables. There also have been many ways to describe uncertainty relations, such as in terms of entropies [\[3](#page-8-2)[–8](#page-8-3)] and by means of majorization technique [\[9](#page-8-4)[–14](#page-8-5)].

Based on several nice properties such as convexity and additivity, Wigner and Yanase (WY) introduced their skew information. Being a measure for the noncommutativity between a state ρ and an observable *H*, the skew information provides a measure of quantum uncertainty of *H* in the state ρ and was used by Luo to derive a refinement of Heisenberg's uncertainty relation for mixed states [\[16](#page-8-6)].

On the other hand, the skew information

$$
I_{\rho}(H) = -\frac{1}{2} \text{Tr}\left([\sqrt{\rho}, H]^2 \right) = \frac{1}{2} ||[\sqrt{\rho}, H]||^2
$$

was introduced by Wigner and Yanase in 1963 [\[15](#page-8-7)] to quantify the information content of a quantum state ρ with respect to the observables not commuting with (i.e., skew to) the conserved quantity *H*. It becomes a useful tool in quantum information theory in recent years, such as characterizing nonclassical correlations [\[21\]](#page-8-8), being a measure of the *H* coherence of the state ρ [\[22\]](#page-8-9), and quantifying the dynamics of some physical phenomena [\[23](#page-8-10)[–25](#page-8-11)].

However, previous works demonstrated that the skew information is not suitable for formulating uncertainty relations: The following relation:

$$
I_{\rho}(A)I_{\rho}(B) \ge \frac{1}{4} |\langle [A, B] \rangle_{\rho}|^2
$$

turned to be false [\[17](#page-8-12)[–20\]](#page-8-13). Alternatively, in Ref. [\[16](#page-8-6)], Luo defined the quantity $U_{\rho}(H)$,

$$
U_{\rho}(H) = \sqrt{(\Delta_{\rho}H)^{4} - [(\Delta_{\rho}H)^{2} - I_{\rho}(H)]^{2}},
$$

and provided a new Heisenberg-type uncertainty relation:

$$
U_{\rho}(A)U_{\rho}(B) \ge \frac{1}{4} |\langle [A, B] \rangle_{\rho}|^2.
$$
 (2)

Besides uncertainty relations in the product form, variance-based and standard deviation-based sum uncertainty relations are also attracting considerable attention recently [\[28](#page-8-16)[–31\]](#page-9-0). In Ref. [\[28\]](#page-8-16), the authors derived two variance-based sum uncertainty relations for two incompatible observables. They show that the lower bounds are nontrivial whenever the two observables are incompatible on the state of the system. Thus, the lower bounds of these uncertainty inequalities can be used to capture the incompatibility of the observables. After that, Chen and Fei [\[29](#page-9-1)] presented two uncertainty inequalities in terms of the sum of variances and standard deviations for arbitrary *N* incompatible observables, respectively.

In this paper, we formulate sum uncertainty relations by use of the skew information. We presented several uncertainty inequalities for arbitrary *N* observables. These lower bounds have explicit physical meaning, i.e., they can be used to capture the noncommutativity of the observables like Heisenberg–Robertson product uncertainty relation [\(1\)](#page-1-0). Some applications and examples are also provided.

2 Skew information-based sum uncertainty relations for two observables

We first present two skew information-based sum uncertainty inequalities for two observables.

Theorem 1 *For two observables A and B, we have*

$$
I_{\rho}(A) + I_{\rho}(B) \ge \frac{1}{2} \max \{ I_{\rho}(A+B), I_{\rho}(A-B) \}.
$$
 (3)

Proof Consider the parallelogram law in a Hilbert space: $||u + v||^2 + ||u - v||^2 =$ $2(\|u\|^2 + \|v\|^2)$. Let $u = [\sqrt{\rho}, A], v = [\sqrt{\rho}, B]$. Then, we have

$$
I_{\rho}(A) + I_{\rho}(B) = \frac{1}{2} \left[I_{\rho}(A + B) + I_{\rho}(A - B) \right]
$$

$$
\geq \frac{1}{2} \max \left\{ I_{\rho}(A + B), I_{\rho}(A - B) \right\}. \tag{4}
$$

This completes the proof.

If the lower bound in [\(3\)](#page-2-0) is zero, then we have $[\sqrt{\rho}, A + B] = [\sqrt{\rho}, A - B] = 0$, which implies that $[\sqrt{\rho}, A] = [\sqrt{\rho}, B] = 0$. Further, we can conclude that $[\rho, A] =$ $[\rho, B] = 0$ and $\langle [A, B] \rangle_{\rho} = 0$. Hence, it is easy to see that if the two observables A and *B* are noncommutative, the relation [\(3\)](#page-2-0) is nontrivial. That is to say, skew information can be used to formulate sum uncertainty relations, and the lower bound we derived in [\(3\)](#page-2-0) is meaningful. In particular, for pure states, we get the uncertainty relations presented in Refs. [\[28](#page-8-16)[,32](#page-9-2)], since in this case, $I_{\rho}(H) = (\Delta_{\rho} H)^2$.

$$
\Box
$$

Similar to the standard deviation-based sum uncertainty relations, we provide another uncertainty inequality by using the quantity $\sqrt{I_0(H)}$. From the inequality $||u \pm v|| \le ||u|| + ||v||$, we have the following Theorem.

Theorem 2 *For two observables A and B, we have*

$$
\sqrt{I_{\rho}(A)} + \sqrt{I_{\rho}(B)} \ge \max\{\sqrt{I_{\rho}(A+B)}, \sqrt{I_{\rho}(A-B)}\}.
$$
 (5)

It is obvious that the lower bound in [\(5\)](#page-3-0) is nonzero as long as *A* and *B* are noncommutative. Thus, the relation [\(5\)](#page-3-0) can be used to characterize the noncommutativity of *A* and *B* too.

3 Skew information-based sum uncertainty relations for arbitrary *N* **observables**

Before generalizing the uncertainty relations [\(3\)](#page-2-0) and [\(5\)](#page-3-0) to arbitrary *N* observables case, let us first improve several uncertainty relations for *N* observables. Let A_1, \ldots, A_N be *N* observables. Since

$$
\sqrt{I_{\rho}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{N} A_{i}\right)} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left\| \left[\sqrt{\rho}, \sum_{i=1}^{N} A_{i}\right] \right\|
$$

$$
\leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left\| [\sqrt{\rho}, A_{i}] \right\|
$$

$$
= \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sqrt{I_{\rho}(A_{i})},
$$
 (6)

we get

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{N} \sqrt{I_{\rho}(A_i)} \ge \sqrt{I_{\rho}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{N} A_i\right)}.
$$
\n(7)

If ρ is a pure state, then the relation [\(7\)](#page-3-1) gives rise to the result presented in Ref. [\[30\]](#page-9-3):

$$
\sum_i \Delta_{\rho}(A_i) \geq \Delta_{\rho}\left(\sum_i A_i\right).
$$

Let $\{A_i\}_{i=1}^N$ and $\{B_j\}_{j=1}^N$ be two sets of noncommuting observables satisfying $[A_i, B_j] = i\delta_{ij}C$. In Ref. [\[30\]](#page-9-3), it has been proved that the product of sum of uncertainties in the individual observables satisfies the following inequality:

$$
\left(\sum_{i=1}^{N} \Delta_{\rho} A_i\right) \left(\sum_{i=1}^{N} \Delta_{\rho} B_i\right) \ge \frac{N}{2} |\langle C \rangle_{\rho}|. \tag{8}
$$

By using uncertainty relation [\(2\)](#page-1-1) and noting that $\sqrt{U_{\rho}(H)} \leq \Delta_{\rho}H$, we have a refined form of (8) :

$$
\left(\sum_{i=1}^{N} \sqrt{U_{\rho}(A_i)}\right) \left(\sum_{j=1}^{N} \sqrt{U_{\rho}(B_j)}\right) = \sum_{ij} \sqrt{U_{\rho}(A_i)U_{\rho}(B_j)}
$$

$$
\geq \frac{1}{2} \sum_{ij} |\langle [A_i, B_j] \rangle_{\rho}|
$$

$$
= \frac{N}{2} |\langle C \rangle_{\rho}|. \tag{9}
$$

The above uncertainty relation [\(8\)](#page-4-0) can be further improved:

Theorem 3 Let $\{A_i\}_{i=1}^N$ and $\{B_j\}_{j=1}^N$ be two sets of noncommuting observables sat*isfying* $[A_i, B_j] = i\delta_{ij}C$. Then, we have

$$
\left(\sum_{i=1}^N U_\rho(A_i)\right)\left(\sum_{j=1}^N U_\rho(B_j)\right) \ge \frac{N}{4} |\langle C \rangle_\rho|^2. \tag{10}
$$

Proof

$$
\left(\sum_{i=1}^{N} U_{\rho}(A_i)\right) \left(\sum_{j=1}^{N} U_{\rho}(B_j)\right) = \sum_{ij} U_{\rho}(A_i) U_{\rho}(B_j)
$$

$$
\geq \frac{1}{4} \sum_{ij} |\langle [A_i, B_j] \rangle_{\rho}|^2
$$

$$
= \frac{N}{4} |\langle C \rangle_{\rho}|^2.
$$
 (11)

To show that the uncertainty relation (10) is stronger than (9) , one only need to note that $\left(\sum_{i=1}^N \sqrt{U_\rho(\Omega_i)}\right)^2 \ge \left(\sum_{i=1}^N U_\rho(\Omega_i)\right), \Omega = A, B.$

Let us now generalize the uncertainty relation [\(3\)](#page-2-0) to arbitrary *N* observables.

Theorem 4 *For arbitrary N observables* A_1, A_2, \ldots, A_N *, we have*

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{N} I_{\rho}(A_i) \ge \frac{1}{N-2} \left[\sum_{1 \le i < j \le N} I_{\rho}(A_i + A_j) - \frac{1}{(N-1)^2} \right] \left(\sum_{1 \le i < j \le N} \sqrt{I_{\rho}(A_i + A_j)} \right)^2 \right]. \tag{12}
$$

If A_i *s are mutually noncommutative, then the lower bound in [\(12\)](#page-5-0) is nonzero. Proof* Consider the following inequality in a Hilbert space [\[29](#page-9-1)]:

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{N} \|u_i\|^2 \ge \frac{1}{N-2} \left[\sum_{1 \le i < j \le N} \|u_i + u_j\|^2 - \frac{1}{(N-1)^2} \left(\sum_{1 \le i < j \le N} \|u_i + u_j\| \right)^2 \right]
$$
\n
$$
\ge \frac{1}{2(N-1)} \sum_{1 \le i < j \le N} \|u_i + u_j\|^2. \tag{13}
$$

Let $u_i = [\sqrt{\rho}, A_i]$. We obtain [\(12\)](#page-5-0) directly. Moreover, if the lower bound (12) is zero, then each $I_{\rho}(A_i + A_j)$ is equal to zero, which implies that $I_{\rho}(A_i) = 0$, $\forall i$. Thus, we have $\langle [A_i, A_j] \rangle_\rho = 0$. That is to say, for *N* noncommuting observables, the relation (12) is nontrivial [\(12\)](#page-5-0) is nontrivial.

Remark From [\(3\)](#page-2-0), one may also trivially derive an uncertainty relation for *N* observables by adding the skew information of every pair of the observables. Nevertheless, it can be seen from (13) that the lower bound in (12) is tighter than the one trivially derived from [\(3\)](#page-2-0) in such way.

We now generalize uncertainty relation [\(5\)](#page-3-0) to arbitrary *N* observables case.

Theorem 5 *For arbitrary N observables* A_1, A_2, \ldots, A_N *, we have*

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{N} \sqrt{I_{\rho}(A_i)} \ge \frac{1}{N-2} \left[\sum_{1 \le i < j \le N} \sqrt{I_{\rho}(A_i + A_j)} - \sqrt{I_{\rho} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{N} A_i \right) } \right]. \tag{14}
$$

If A_i *s are mutually noncommutative, then the lower bound in [\(14\)](#page-5-2) is nonzero. Proof* Using the following inequality [\[29](#page-9-1),[33,](#page-9-4)[34\]](#page-9-5),

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{N} \|u_i\| \ge \frac{1}{N-2} \left(\sum_{1 \le i < j \le N} \|u_i + u_j\| - \left\| \sum_{i=1}^{N} u_i \right\| \right) \ge \left\| \sum_{i=1}^{N} u_i \right\|,
$$
\n(15)

 \mathcal{L} Springer

and setting $u_i = [\sqrt{\rho}, A_i]$, we get [\(14\)](#page-5-2). If the lower bound in (14) is zero, so is [\(7\)](#page-3-1), and all $I_{\rho}(A_i + A_j)$ are equal to zero. In this case, we have $I_{\rho}(A_i) = 0$, $\forall i$, and $\langle [A_i, A_j] \rangle_{\rho} = 0$. Thus, as long as $A_i s$ are mutually noncommutative, the relation [\(14\)](#page-5-2) is nontrivial. \Box is nontrivial.

It can be verified from (15) that the inequality (14) is tighter than (7) . In the following, we provide a new skew information-based sum uncertainty relation.

Theorem 6 *Let* A_1 , A_2 , ..., A_N *be N observables,* ρ *a quantum state such that* $I_{\rho}(A_i) \neq 0$, $\forall i$. Let G be an $N \times N$ matrix with entries $G_{ij} = \text{Tr}(X_i X_j)$, where $X_i = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\rho}}, A_i]/\|\sqrt{\rho}, A_i\|\|, i = \sqrt{-1}, \forall i$. Then, we have

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{N} I_{\rho}(A_i) \ge \frac{1}{\lambda_{\max}(G)} I_{\rho}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{N} A_i\right),\tag{16}
$$

where $\lambda_{\text{max}}(G)$ *denotes the maximal eigenvalue of G.*

Proof Since *Xis* are Hermitian matrices, *G* is also Hermitian. Further, *G* is a positive semi-definite matrix, since $\sum_{i,j} x_i^* G_{ij} x_j = \sum_{i,j} x_i^* \text{Tr}(X_i X_j) x_j = ||\sum_i x_i X_i||^2 \ge$ 0. Thus, all eigenvalues of *G* are nonnegative numbers. Note that

$$
I_{\rho}\left(\sum_{i} A_{i}\right) = -\frac{1}{2} \text{Tr}\left(\left[\sqrt{\rho}, \sum_{i} A_{i}\right]^{2}\right)
$$

\n
$$
= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j} \text{Tr}\left[(i[\sqrt{\rho}, A_{i}]) (i[\sqrt{\rho}, A_{j}])\right]
$$

\n
$$
= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j} ||[\sqrt{\rho}, A_{i}]||G_{ij}||[\sqrt{\rho}, A_{j}]||
$$

\n
$$
= \sum_{i,j} \sqrt{I_{\rho}(A_{i})} G_{ij} \sqrt{I_{\rho}(A_{j})}
$$

\n
$$
\leq \lambda_{\text{max}}(G) \sum_{i} I_{\rho}(A_{i}), \qquad (17)
$$

we get (16) .

As an example, let us consider three observables case, the Pauli matrices σ_1 = $|0\rangle\langle 1| + |1\rangle\langle 0|$, $\sigma_2 = -i|0\rangle\langle 1| + i|1\rangle\langle 0|$, and $\sigma_3 = |0\rangle\langle 0| - |1\rangle\langle 1|$. Let the measured states be given with Bloch vector $\vec{r} = (\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \cos \theta, \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \sin \theta, 0)$. Then, we have $I_{\rho}(\sigma_1) = \frac{1}{2} \sin^2 \theta$, $I_{\rho}(\sigma_2) = \frac{1}{2} \cos^2 \theta$, $I_{\rho}(\sigma_3) = \frac{1}{2}$, $\lambda_{\text{max}}(G) = 2$, $I_{\rho}(\sigma_1 + \sigma_2) = \frac{1}{2}(1 - \sin 2\theta), I_{\rho}(\sigma_2 + \sigma_3) = \frac{1}{4}(3 + \cos 2\theta), I_{\rho}(\sigma_1 + \sigma_3) = \frac{1}{4}(3 - \cos 2\theta),$ $I_p(\sigma_1 + \sigma_2 + \sigma_3) = 1 - \frac{1}{2} \sin 2\theta$. The comparison between the lower bounds [\(12\)](#page-5-0) and (16) is given in Fig [1.](#page-7-0)

One can see that (16) is stronger than (12) in some cases. Therefore, we have the following skew information-based sum uncertainty relation:

Fig. 1 *Horizontal line* is the sum of the skew information $I_\rho(\sigma_1) + I_\rho(\sigma_2) + I_\rho(\sigma_3)$. The *dot-dashed line* is the bound [\(12\)](#page-5-0). The *dashed line* is the bound [\(16\)](#page-6-0)

Theorem 7

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{N} I_{\rho}(A_i) \ge \max\{(lb1), (lb2)\},\tag{18}
$$

where (*lb*1) *and* (*lb*2) *stand for the lower bounds in [\(12\)](#page-5-0) and [\(16\)](#page-6-0), respectively.*

4 Conclusion

Skew information is an important quantity in the theory of quantum information and plays significant roles in many quantum information processing tasks. In this paper, we have demonstrated that skew information can be used to formulate sum uncertainty relations for arbitrary *N* observables. The skew information-based sum uncertainty relations are rather different from the variance-based ones like in Refs. [\[28](#page-8-16)[,29](#page-9-1)[,32](#page-9-2)]. First, the physical meaning of skew information is quite different to the variances of measurement outcomes, although they are numerically equal when the physical system is in a pure quantum state. On the other hand, uncertainty relations based on skew information and variances have their own advantages. The lower bounds of variance-based sum uncertainty relations in Refs. [\[28](#page-8-16)[,29](#page-9-1)[,32](#page-9-2)] capture the incompatibility of the observables, i.e., the lower bound is nonzero as long as the quantum states are not the common eigenstates of the observables, while the lower bounds of skew information-based uncertainty relations we derived in this paper capture the noncommutativity of the observables, i.e., the inequalities are nontrivial when the observables are noncommutative with respect to the measured states. For pure states, some of these skew information-based inequalities are reduced to the variance-based ones [\[28](#page-8-16)[,29](#page-9-1)[,32](#page-9-2)]. We hope that these results may shed lights on further investigations on skew information-based uncertainty relations.

Acknowledgments We would like to thank Dr. Shu-Hao Wang for his helpful discussions and suggestions. This work is supported by the National Basic Research Program of China (2015CB921002), the National Natural Science Foundation of China Grant Nos. 11175094, 91221205, and 11275131.

References

- 1. Heisenberg, W.: Über den anschaulichen Inhalt der quantentheoretischen Kinematik und Mechanik. Z. Phys. **43**, 172 (1927)
- 2. Robertson, H.P.: The uncertainty principle. Phys. Rev. **34**, 163 (1929)
- 3. Deutsch, D.: Uncertainty in quantum measurements. Phys. Rev. Lett. **50**, 631 (1983)
- 4. Maassen, H., Uffink, J.B.M.: Generalized entropic uncertainty relations. Phys. Rev. Lett. **60**, 1103 (1988)
- 5. Wehner, S., Winter, A.: Entropic uncertainty relations—a survey. New J. Phys. **12**, 025009 (2010)
- 6. Wu, S., Yu, S., Mølmer, K.: Entropic uncertainty relation for mutually unbiased bases. Phys. Rev. A **79**, 022104 (2009)
- 7. Rastegin, A.E.: Uncertainty relations for MUBs and SIC-POVMs in terms of generalized entropies. Eur. Phys. J. D **67**, 269 (2013)
- 8. Chen, B., Fei, S.M.: Uncertainty relations based on mutually unbiased measurements. Quantum Inf. Process. **14**, 2227 (2015)
- 9. Bialynicki-Birula, I., Rudnicki, L.: Statistical complexity. In: Sen, K.D. (ed.) Entropic Uncertainty Relations in Quantum Physics. Springer, Berlin (2011)
- 10. Puchala, Z., Rudnicki, L., Zyczkowski, K.: Majorization entropic uncertainty relations. J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. **46**, 272002 (2013)
- 11. Rudnicki, L., Puchala, Z., Zyczkowski, K.: Strong majorization entropic uncertainty relations. Phys. Rev. A **89**, 052115 (2014)
- 12. Rudnicki, L.: Majorization approach to entropic uncertainty relations for coarse-grained observables. Phys. Rev. A **91**, 032123 (2015)
- 13. Friedland, S., Gheorghiu, V., Gour, G.: Universal uncertainty relations. Phys. Rev. Lett. **111**, 230401 (2013)
- 14. Narasimhachar, V., Poostindouz, A., Gour, G.: The principle behind the Uncertainty Principle. [arXiv:1505.02223](http://arxiv.org/abs/1505.02223)
- 15. Wigner, E.P., Yanase, M.M.: Information contents of distributions. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA **49**, 910 (1963)
- 16. Luo, S.: Heisenberg uncertainty relation for mixed states. Phys. Rev. A **72**, 042110 (2005)
- 17. Luo, S., Zhang, Q.: On skew information. IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory **50**, 1778 (2004)
- 18. Luo, S., Zhang, Q.: Correction to "On Skew Information". IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory **51**, 4432 (2005)
- 19. Kosaki, H.: Matrix trace inequalities related to uncertainty principle. Int. J. Math. **16**, 629 (2005)
- 20. Yanagi, K., Furuichi, S., Kuriyama, K.: A generalized skew information and uncertainty relation. IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory **51**, 4401 (2005)
- 21. Girolami, D., Tufarelli, T., Adesso, G.: Characterizing nonclassical correlations via local quantum uncertainty. Phys. Rev. Lett. **110**, 240402 (2013)
- 22. Girolami, D.: Observable measure of quantum coherence in finite dimensional systems. Phys. Rev. Lett. **113**, 170401 (2014)
- 23. Metwally, N., Al-Mannai, A., Abdel-Aty, M.: Skew information for a single cooper pair box interacting with a single cavity field. Commun. Theor. Phys. **59**, 769 (2013)
- 24. Sun, H.G., Liu, W.F., Li, C.J.: Maximal and total skew information for a two-qubit system using nonlinear interaction models. Chin. Phys. B **20**, 090301 (2011)
- 25. Sun, H.G., Zhang, L.H., Liu, W.F., Li, C.J.: Maximal and total skew information of three-qubit system obtained using nonlinear interaction models. Chin. Phys. B **21**, 010301 (2012)
- 26. Furuichi, S.: Schrödinger uncertainty relation with Wigner–Yanase skew information. Phys. Rev. A **82**, 034101 (2010)
- 27. Li, Q., Cao, H.X., Du, H.K.: A generalization of Schrödinger's uncertainty relation described by the Wigner–Yanase skew information. Quantum. Inf. Process. **14**, 1513 (2015)
- 28. Maccone, L., Pati, A.K.: Stronger uncertainty relations for all incompatible observables. Phys. Rev. Lett. **113**, 260401 (2014)
- 29. Chen, B., Fei, S.M.: Sum uncertainty relations for arbitrary *N* incompatible observables. Sci. Rep. **5**, 14238 (2015)
- 30. Pati, A.K., Sahu, P.K.: Sum uncertainty relation in quantum theory. Phys. Lett. A **367**, 177 (2007)
- 31. Huang, Y.: Variance-based uncertainty relations. Phys. Rev. A **86**, 024101 (2012)
- 32. Yao, Y., Xiao, X., Wang, X., Sun, C.P.: Implications and applications of the variance-based uncertainty equalities. Phys. Rev. A **91**, 062113 (2015)
- 33. Horn, R.A., Johnson, C.R.: Matrix Analysis, 2nd edn. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, England (2013)
- 34. Honda, A., Okazaki, Y., Takahashi, Y.: Generalizations of the Hlawka's inequality. Pure Appl. Math. **45**, 9–15 (1998)