REVIEW



# Implementation of photobiological H<sub>2</sub> production: the O<sub>2</sub> sensitivity of hydrogenases

Maria L. Ghirardi<sup>1</sup>

Received: 2 March 2015/Accepted: 13 May 2015/Published online: 29 May 2015 © Springer Science+Business Media Dordrecht (outside the USA) 2015

**Abstract** The search for the ultimate carbon-free fuel has intensified in recent years, with a major focus on photoproduction of H<sub>2</sub>. Biological sources of H<sub>2</sub> include oxygenic photosynthetic green algae and cyanobacteria, both of which contain hydrogenase enzymes. Although algal and cyanobacterial hydrogenases perform the same enzymatic reaction through metallo-clusters, their hydrogenases have evolved separately, are expressed differently (transcription of algal hydrogenases is anaerobically induced, while bacterial hydrogenases are constitutively expressed), and display different sensitivity to O<sub>2</sub> inactivation. Among various physiological factors, the sensitivity of hydrogenases to  $O_2$  has been one of the major factors preventing implementation of biological systems for commercial production of renewable H<sub>2</sub>. This review addresses recent strategies aimed at engineering increased O2 tolerance into hydrogenases (as of now mainly unsuccessful), as well as towards the development of methods to bypass the O<sub>2</sub> sensitivity of hydrogenases (successful but still yielding low solar conversion efficiencies). The author concludes with a description of current approaches from various laboratories to incorporate multiple genetic traits into either algae or cyanobacteria to jointly address limiting factors other than the hydrogenase O<sub>2</sub> sensitivity and achieve more sustained H<sub>2</sub> photoproduction activity.

**Keywords** Hydrogenases  $\cdot$  Photosynthetic microbes  $\cdot$  O<sub>2</sub> sensitivity

Maria L. Ghirardi maria.ghirardi@nrel.gov

#### Introduction

Fuels derived from biological renewable energy sources (e.g., bioethanol or biodiesel) are carbon neutral, since fuel production through photosynthesis captures CO<sub>2</sub> from the atmosphere and fuel utilization re-emits CO<sub>2</sub> back into the atmosphere. The ultimate carbon-free fuel is hydrogen, when produced directly from inorganic sources such as water. A number of photosynthetic microbes are known to uncouple H<sub>2</sub> photoproduction from photosynthetic carbon fixation, using reductant generated directly from photosynthetic water oxidation to reduce protons (Weaver et al. 1980; Brand et al. 1989; Boichenko et al. 2004; Skjanes et al. 2008). If these organisms were to be utilized as photocatalysts, they would produce H<sub>2</sub> fuel in a non-polluting, carbon-independent manner, and thus bypass the issue of CO<sub>2</sub> emissions that plagues most of the biofuel industry (Fargione et al. 2008; Searchinger et al. 2008). Theoretically, production of H<sub>2</sub> linked to photosynthetic water oxidation has a maximum solar to hydrogen (STH) conversion efficiency of about 10-13 % (Ghirardi et al. 2009; Walker 2009; Blankenship et al. 2011), which is lower than the 24 % that more expensive photovoltaic/electrolysis approaches can be expected to achieve (Blankenship et al. 2011; Hanna and Nozik 2006). However, in practice, photosynthetic microbes are only capable of photoproducing H<sub>2</sub> from water for short periods of time, following prolonged (hours) anaerobic incubation. In order to achieve sustained H<sub>2</sub> photoproduction, numerous challenges related to the organism's metabolism need to be addressed (Dubini and Ghirardi 2014; Posewitz et al. 2008; Rupprecht 2009), the major being the  $O_2$  sensitivity of the hydrogenase catalysts. Extensive research has been done in the last 50 years to further understand, solve, or bypass this challenge. We will describe the past research and current

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> National Renewable Energy Laboratory, 15013 Denver West Pkway, Golden, CO 80401, USA

state of the art in this review, and discuss perspectives for the future.

## Hydrogenases

The photoproduction of H<sub>2</sub> gas by microalgae was first discovered in the 1940s (Gaffron and Rubin 1942) and was the focus of extensive research starting in the 1970-1980s (Healey 1970; Kessler 1974; Godde and Trebst 1980; Maione and Gibbs 1986a, b; Ben-Amotz and Gibbs 1975; Roessler and Lien 1984). At that time, it was recognized that the various capabilities required for H<sub>2</sub> production were distributed among photoautotrophic and non-photoautotrophic microbes in communities (Adams and Mortenson 1984; Adams et al. 1980; Vignais et al. 1985). These include: (a) hydrogenase-containing photoautotrophic microbes (green algae and cyanobacteria) and anaerobic hetero- or lithotrophic bacteria, in which the H<sub>2</sub> production reaction is easily reversible, due to the isopotential or slightly negative  $\Delta G^0$  between hydrogenases and their electron donors; and (b) nitrogenase-containing cyanobacteria and other N2-fixing microbes, using a reaction that requires ATP as a co-factor and is essentially irreversible. Although promising, due to its irreversible nature, the ATP requirement lowers the potential solar to hydrogen (STH) conversion efficiency and renders nitrogenase-containing organisms inadequate as commercial H<sub>2</sub> producers (Ghirardi et al. 2009). Indeed, STH values are directly correlated with the overall cost of the process, and technoeconomic analyses have been used by the U.S. Department of Energy to limit funding only to the most promising technologies (James et al. 2009).

Algal and cyanobacterial hydrogenases belong to different classes of enzymes. The first contain a [2Fe2S] catalytic cluster linked by a cysteine residue to a [4Fe4S] center (H-cluster), while the latter operate through a catalytic center comprised a [NiFe]-cluster attached to a conventional [4Fe4S] unit through 3 cysteine residues (Adams and Mortenson 1984; Adams et al. 1980; Vignais et al. 2001). [FeFe]-hydrogenases, in general, favor the H<sub>2</sub> production reaction, while [NiFe]-hydrogenases are mostly involved in H<sub>2</sub> uptake, the reversible reaction. This advantage of the green algal enzyme is counteracted by the fact that [FeFe]-hydrogenases are irreversibly inhibited by O<sub>2</sub>, while the O<sub>2</sub>-inhibition of [NiFe]-hydrogenases has a reversible character (English et al. 2009). The prompt inactivation of hydrogenases by O2 is considered to be a major limiting factor preventing sustained and efficient H<sub>2</sub> production by photosynthetic microbes (Ghirardi et al. 2007; Goldet et al. 2009; Lambertz et al. 2011).

Algal hydrogenases were purified in the late 1980s (Roessler and Lien 1984; Happe and Naber 1993). It was

found that Chlamvdomonas harbors two separate hydrogenase genes that encode for two proteins, HYDA1 and HYDA2 (Forestier et al. 2003). The two proteins have molecular weights of about 47 kDa and interact physiologically with Ferredoxin (Winkler et al. 2009), which shuttles electrons to hydrogenases from either the photosynthetic electron transport chain or from the fermentative pyruvate-ferredoxin oxidoreductase (PFOR) enzyme (Hemschemeier and Happe 2011). To date, the differences in the physiological roles of the two hydrogenases are not known, since both are involved in fermentative and photoproduction of  $H_2$ , as shown by Meuser et al. (2012) in their seminal paper describing the functions of single and double knock-out mutants of Chlamydomonas reinhardtii hydrogenases. Although the algal enzymes have not been crystallized in their mature form [the apoprotein's structure was solved by Mulder et al. (2010)], homology models were constructed (Cohen et al. 2005a, b), based on the available crystal structures of the Clostridium pasteurianum (Peters et al. 1998) and Desulfovibrio desulfuricans (Nicolet et al. 1999) hydrogenases. These models show that the major differences between these two classes of [FeFe]hydrogenases are (a) the lack of additional FeS centers in the algal enzymes; and (b) the presence of additional amino acid loops of unknown function in the algal hydrogenases. Interestingly, non-algal hydrogenases are about two orders of magnitude more tolerant to O<sub>2</sub> inactivation (Cohen et al. 2005a); the hypothesis that their higher  $O_2$  tolerance was due to the lack of the additional FeS clusters was proved not to be true (King et al. 2006).

In contrast with algal [FeFe]-hydrogenases, cyanobacterial [NiFe]-hydrogenases are pentameric complexes, consisting of a large and small hydrogenase subunits (HoxH and HoxY) and three diaphorase subunits (HoxE, HoxF, and HoxU), the first of which is a membrane-bound protein. All three diaphorase subunits harbor additional FeS clusters, and they are responsible for creating an interface for interaction of the hydrogenase with NAD(P)H (Boison et al. 1998; Aubert-Jousset et al. 2011). Cyanobacterial hydrogenases, thus, are linked to both photosynthetic and respiratory electron transport chains (Cournac et al. 2004; Schmitz and Bothe 1996; Appel and Schulz 1996). Moreover, recent evident suggests that both ferredoxins and flavodoxins could act as electron donors to the cyanobacterial hydrogenase (Gutekunst et al. 2014). Besides the major enzymatic differences between [FeFe] and [NiFe]enzymes described above, it must be noted that [NiFe]hydrogenases are constitutively expressed, while the expression of [FeFe]-hydrogenases requires anaerobic induction. Both enzymes have similar turnover numbers when measured on an electrode surface (Jones et al. 2002; Armstrong 2004), although the redox potential of NAD(P)H is more positive than that of ferredoxin (-0.32 vs. -0.42 eV),

which favors  $H_2$  production by [FeFe]-hydrogenases and  $H_2$ -uptake by [NiFe]-hydrogenases at pH7 and standard conditions. Moreover, recent work (Maness et al. 2002) has shown that it is possible to purify truncated [NiFe]-hydrogenases containing only two subunits that are active in  $H_2$  production and are able to interact with ferredoxin. However, the expression of heterologous O<sub>2</sub>-tolerant hydrogenases in cyanobacteria has been extremely challenging, due to the fact that the maturation of [NiFe]-hydrogenases requires a large number of species-specific maturation enzymes (Ghirardi et al. 2014).

Research effort to understand the factors responsible for the high O<sub>2</sub> sensitivity of hydrogenases is taking place in many parts of the world. The use of cyclic voltammetry to study hydrogenases made a major impact in the field (Armstrong 2004; Armstrong and Albracht 2005; Lamle et al. 2005; Leger et al. 2002; Vincent and Armstrong 2005; Vincent et al. 2005a, b), and provided a tool to measure the performance of hydrogenases under a variety of redox conditions, following exposure to H<sub>2</sub>, O<sub>2</sub>, or CO. These measurements confirmed previously observed major differences between [FeFe]- and [NiFe]-hydrogenases, which included the reversibility of inactivation by the latter (Vincent et al. 2007), and the existence of [NiFe] enzymes with lower sensitivity to O<sub>2</sub> (Cracknell et al. 2008). Additionally, these studies, combined with more refined EPR and FTIR techniques, are still unveiling a series of redox states of [FeFe]-hydrogenases that have been shown to affect its catalytic activity and O<sub>2</sub> sensitivity (Mulder et al. 2013; Stripp and Happe 2009), such as the fact that reduced hydrogenases and CO-treated [FeFe]-hydrogenases are less sensitive to  $O_2$  inactivation (Vincent et al. 2005b; Stripp et al. 2009), probably because of competition between  $H_2$ , CO, and O<sub>2</sub> for binding at the catalytic site.

## Engineering O<sub>2</sub>-tolerant [FeFe]-hydrogenases

The O<sub>2</sub> inactivation of hydrogenases has been the major factor precluding their commercial application (Dubini and Ghirardi 2014; Rupprecht 2009; Lee et al. 2010). Earlier research efforts demonstrated that the *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii* hydrogenases had an I<sub>50</sub> of 0.3–0.4 % O<sub>2</sub> following a 2-min incubation in vivo, and that using chemical mutagenesis one could select for strains with O<sub>2</sub> I<sub>50</sub>s up to 9 times higher than the wild-type strain (Ghirardi et al. 1997; Seibert et al. 1998, 2001a). At the time, the lack of inexpensive genome sequencing technologies and of highthroughput screening approaches precluded the investigation of the genotype responsible for these results. However, these observations provided the evidence that it was possible to genetically alter the overall sensitivity of algal H<sub>2</sub> production to O<sub>2</sub>.

The subsequent search for O<sub>2</sub>-tolerant [FeFe]-hydrogenases focused on two approaches: (a) the use of site-directed mutagenesis to address the origin of O<sub>2</sub> sensitivity; and (b) the generation of random hydrogenase mutants followed by high-throughput screening for H<sub>2</sub>-producers in the presence of or following exposure to O<sub>2</sub>. These studies were only possible due to the discovery of the maturation proteins required for assembly of the [FeFe]-hydrogenases catalytic site (Posewitz et al. 2004a) and the demonstration that they were the only requirement for expression of the structural genes in E. coli (King et al. 2006) or in Clostridium acetobutylicum (Girbal et al. 2005; von Abendroth et al. 2008). This capability opened up the door for studies requiring large amounts of these enzymes. Indeed, by coexpressing the maturation genes with the [FeFe] structural hydrogenase genes, it has been possible to detect heterologous expression of the Clostridium acetobutylicum hydrogenases in Synechococcus elongatus (Ducat et al. 2011) and of the Shewanella MR-1 hydrogenase in the heterocystis of Anabaena PCC 7120 (Gartner et al. 2012). Similarly, the development of high-throughput screening methods to detect H<sub>2</sub> production by individual colonies proved essential for progress in this area. Among the various techniques devised, the following have been successfully used by various research groups to screen large populations of recombinant hydrogenases of H<sub>2</sub>-producers:

- (a) Chemochromic films consisting of layers tungsten oxide (WO<sub>3</sub>) and platinum, which turn blue as the WO<sub>3</sub> becomes reduced (Seibert et al. 2001b); the response time of the films is fast and they are able to sense down to 1 nmol H<sub>2</sub> emitted from a 3-mm-diameter colony. However, they are not available commercially and their signal is transient. The films have been successfully used to screen chemical mutagenesis-based libraries of Chlamydomonas for mutants unable to produce H<sub>2</sub> (Seibert et al. 1998, 2001b), and they identified novel factors required for expression of an active hydrogenase from random insertional mutagenesis libraries (Posewitz et al. 2004a, b).
- (b) Sulfonated Wilkinson's catalyst coupled with a tetrazolium indicator. This method is less sensitive than the WO<sub>3</sub> films, detecting down to 20 nmol H<sub>2</sub> in microwell plates. It has been used to detect H<sub>2</sub> production by cyanobacterial libraries (Katsuda et al. 2006; Schrader et al. 2008) and for identifying algal strains with lowered Photosynthesis/Respiration capacity ratios (Ruhle et al. 2008; Hemschemeier et al. 2008)—see "By-passing the O<sub>2</sub> sensitivity of [FeFe]-hydrogenases" section for context.
- (c) Reduction of resazurin to resorufin by  $H_2$  (Stapleton and Swartz 2010a) or production of  $H_2$  by reduced

ferredoxin or methyl viologen (Bingham et al. 2012a; Stapleton and Swartz 2010b). Since resazurin is not specific for  $H_2$  and can be reduced by other metabolic intermediates, the assay's specificity was optimized by absorption of the dyes to beads displaying recombinant hydrogenases. This method was used for detecting  $O_2$ -tolerant,  $H_2$  uptake activity by libraries of recombinant hydrogenases.

(d) Biosensor based on the H<sub>2</sub>-sensing promoter of Rhodobacter uptake hydrogenases coupled to the GFP marker. This method relies on an overlay of GFP-expressing Rhodobacter that fluorescences when in contact with H<sub>2</sub>-producing single colonies of various organisms (Wecker et al. 2011). The assay is extremely sensitive to H<sub>2</sub>, and can detect fermentatively produced H<sub>2</sub> gas as well. It has been successfully used to identify Chlamydomonas strains that photoproduce H<sub>2</sub> upon exposure to high light, conditions that normally inhibit the wild-type activity under the assay conditions (Wecker and Ghirardi 2014).

A major hypothesis regarding the factors responsible for the high O2 sensitivity of [FeFe]-hydrogenases was derived from comparative studies of hydrogenases from different organisms, which revealed the presence of putative hydrophobic gas channels connecting the surface of the protein to the catalytic site. Such channels have been proposed to be pathways by which O2 and/or H2 diffuse in and out of a protein (Cohen et al. 2005a; Montet et al. 1997). These features suggested that O<sub>2</sub> sensitivity could be altered by interfering with the gas diffusion pathway, as long as the rate of diffusion is limiting the overall H<sub>2</sub> production reaction, compared to the rate of catalysis (Fontecilla-Camps et al. 2007). In order to further investigate this hypothesis and apply it to [FeFe]-hydrogenases, molecular dynamic simulations (MD), volumetric solvent accessibility maps (VOAM), and potential energy (PMF) plots of the diffusion of O<sub>2</sub>- and H<sub>2</sub>-sized particles from the surface to the catalytic site of clostridial and algal [FeFe]-hydrogenases were performed (Cohen et al. 2005a, b).

The studies indicated that there were two major  $H_2$  diffusion pathways converging at a large central cavity located near the catalytic site of [FeFe]-hydrogenases, while a small percentage of the  $H_2$  molecules diffused through other less-defined pathways, suggesting that the *Clostridium pasteurianum* CpI hydrogenase is fairly porous to  $H_2$  gas. In contrast,  $O_2$  molecules diffuse at much slower rates through the same pathways and often stay within the channels, without equilibrating with the solvent. The  $O_2$  diffusion motion suggested that it depends on the opening/closing of transient channels. Indeed, when the enzyme was probed for transient cavities using the VOAM method,

it was found that the two major pathways seen by the MD simulations correspond to major trajectories predicted by VOAM. These structures seem to correspond to transient protein conformations that are formed spontaneously, as a result of the equilibrium dynamics of the protein structure. Moreover, VOAMs were used to identify specific residues that might be responsible for transiently blocking gas diffusion along each pathway. The relative relevance of each residue was assessed through PMF maps. It was found that the major barrier to O<sub>2</sub> diffusion along either pathway was at the intersection of both pathways, while minor barriers (or wells) were observed along both pathways. Specific residues in CpI were selected for mutagenesis, aimed at replacing them with bulkier residues. However, only minor improvements in O<sub>2</sub> tolerance were detected with the L283 W mutant, accompanied by decreased activity and increased O<sub>2</sub> sensitivity in all other single or double mutants (unpublished). A few years later, an explanation for the lack of success in generating high H<sub>2</sub>-producing, O<sub>2</sub>tolerant mutants at those specific positions appeared, when Mulder et al. (Mulder et al. 2010) showed that the residues lining the hydrophobic cavity in the algal hydrogenases were required for the proper folding of the apoprotein; mutation of any of the residues would be expected to prevent maturation of the protein and result in loss of activity, improper protein folding, and higher permeability to  $O_2$ . Interestingly, the narrowing of gas channels to slow down O<sub>2</sub> inactivation has been successful when implemented with [NiFe]-hydrogenases (Dementin et al. 2009), as described in more detail in "Engineering O<sub>2</sub>-tolerant [NiFe]-hydrogenases" section.

Swartz' group at Stanford took advantage of in vitro cell-free translation systems and used directed evolution to generate hydrogenase mutants, followed by the methyl viologen assay [see (c) above] to screen for H<sub>2</sub> uptake activity after exposure of the enzyme to  $O_2$  (Stapleton and Swartz 2010a, b). After a series of rounds of mutagenesis using error-prone PCR to amplify the CpI gene, the authors detected 20 mutants with H<sub>2</sub> uptake activity levels above the CpI control but only one mutant with decreased  $O_2$  sensitivity. Among the 13 mutations present in this strain, 3 were found to be responsible for the higher  $O_2$  tolerance phenotype. Site-directed saturation mutagenesis was then used to optimize the phenotype. However, neither of the mutants showed increased  $O_2$  tolerance during active H<sub>2</sub> production (Bingham et al. 2012b).

All these discouraging results, however, have been accompanied by progress in the understanding of the factors that confer  $O_2$  tolerance to [NiFe]-hydrogenases (see "Engineering  $O_2$ -tolerant [NiFe]-hydrogenases" section), suggesting that cyanobacteria may be a more appropriate organism for expressing heterologous  $O_2$ -tolerant hydrogenases.

## Engineering O<sub>2</sub>-tolerant [NiFe]-hydrogenases

In contrast with [FeFe]-hydrogenases, the O<sub>2</sub> sensitivity of [NiFe]-hydrogenases has been found to be amenable to molecular engineering, and O2 tolerance was conferred to the Desulfovibrio fructosovorans hydrogenase through two major strategies: a reduction in O<sub>2</sub> access to the active site (as described in "Engineering O2-tolerant [FeFe]-hydrogenases" section with respect to [FeFe]-hydrogenases), and scavenging  $O_2$  from the active site by methionine residues located close to it (Dementin et al. 2009; Leroux et al. 2008). These modifications were achieved by exchanging a valine residue within the enzyme's hydrophobic channel by a methionine, and by substituting another residue near the active site by a second methionine. Both modifications were shown to additively contribute to spontaneous enzyme reactivation after O<sub>2</sub> exposure. These genetic modifications were shown to be further associated with changes in the gas diffusion characteristics and they did affect the directionality of the enzyme (Abou Hamdan et al. 2012). Recent reports have shown that a similar strategy could be developed on the hydrogenase of Synechocystis, resulting in the expression of an engineered hydrogenase exhibiting a sustained activity in the presence of  $O_2$  with a bias toward production (Cano et al. 2014). Other engineering strategies involving different targets can be considered and might even be required as part of a larger scale combination of unique redesigning in order to obtain an efficient O<sub>2</sub>-tolerant hydrogenase. Indeed, O<sub>2</sub> tolerance has also been tightly linked to electron transfer reactions involving the proximal Fe-S cluster (Fargione et al. 2008; Wu et al. 2011; Lukey et al. 2011) which can be altered through its coordinating cysteine residues (Fargione et al. 2008; Pandelia et al. 2011). Mechanisms involving water molecules and protons transport pathways have also been suggested to play a role in [NiFe]hydrogenase O<sub>2</sub> tolerance (Cornish et al. 2011; Sumner and Both 2012).

Other approaches toward efficient biohydrogen photoproduction include the heterologous expression of [NiFe]hydrogenases more tolerant toward  $O_2$  in cyanobacteria, which has proved to be particularly difficult, since their maturation proteins seem to be extremely species specific (English et al. 2009) and, to date, very few successful efforts have been reported (Weyman et al. 2011). Strategies aiming at sequestrating the intracellular  $O_2$ have also been contemplated (Shestakov and Mikheeva 2006), but no reports of such approaches are currently available.

# Bypassing the O<sub>2</sub> sensitivity of [FeFe]hydrogenases

A physiological method to bypass the O2 inactivation of algal hydrogenases was first demonstrated in 2000 by Melis et al. (2000). These studies relied on the effect of sulfur deprivation on algal physiology. When sulfur is depleted from the growth medium, Chlamydomonas cultures gradually lose their O<sub>2</sub>-evolution capacity, over-accumulate starch, and eventually become anaerobic. Anaerobiosis induces both the expression of the hydrogenase enzyme and starch degradation. As a result, the culture produces H<sub>2</sub> in the light using reductant from the residual water oxidation activity and from the indirect, starch degradation-linked photosynthetic pathway. Recent papers showed a similar effect by depriving the cultures of other nutrients, such as nitrogen (Philipps et al. 2012) which was shown to also induce H<sub>2</sub> production but not always as promptly or as specifically as the sulfur-deprivation method.

The sulfur-deprivation process consists of two phases: a photosynthetic phase, during which O<sub>2</sub> evolution is gradually inhibited and starch overaccumulates; and a H<sub>2</sub> production anaerobic phase that is characterized by three major metabolic activities-residual O<sub>2</sub> evolution and H<sub>2</sub> photoproduction from water oxidation, respiration of organic substrates, and starch fermentation, yielding additional H<sub>2</sub> gas and other fermentative products such as formate, acetate, and ethanol (Kosourov et al. 2003). The effects of sulfur deprivation on algal physiology have been extensively studied; the loss of O2 evolution was attributed to the inhibition of D1 (a major protein forming the Photosystem II reaction center) turnover, which requires sulfurylated amino acid residues, followed by loss of Rubisco, the most abundant protein in Chlamydomonas. It was hypothesized that the latter was a result of an attempt by the organism to recycle its sulfurylated residues from abundant proteins in order to preserve its minimum metabolic functions and survive the deprivation period ((Zhang et al. 2002). Various studies aimed at deconvoluting the complexities associated with the process and optimizing the H<sub>2</sub> production yield of sulfur-deprived cultures, either by engineering additional properties into Chlamydomonas or by further manipulating the process followed. It was observed that, although the original method was implemented under photoheterotrophic conditions (acetate was present in the growth medium), the process could be reproduced under photoautotrophic conditions (using  $CO_2$  as the only carbon source), as long as optimum conditions were maintained for starch accumulation and degradation (Tolstygina et al. 2009).

Indeed, one of the major questions regarding the sulfurdeprivation method is the role of starch. Many reports showed that DCMU, an inhibitor of O<sub>2</sub> evolution, partially inhibited H<sub>2</sub> production when added at the beginning of the anaerobic phase, and the relative level of DCMU inhibition decreased during the 3-4-day duration period (Laurinavichene et al. 2004). This suggested that the contribution from the direct (reductant originated from PSII-catalyzed water oxidation) and indirect (reductant originated from the initial steps of starch degradation and transferred to the photosynthetic plastoquinone pool by the NAD(P)H/plastoquinone oxidoreductase) pathways varies during the process. The H<sub>2</sub> photoproduction capability of starch-less mutants sta6 (Zabawinski et al. 2001) and sta7 (Posewitz et al. 2004) following dark anaerobic induction showed transient and low levels of H<sub>2</sub> photoproduction; however, wild-type rates of H<sub>2</sub> photoproduction by both starch-less mutants were detected under sulfur deprivation (Chochois et al. 2009). The latter was explained by the observation that significant acetate consumption occurs in starch-deficient mutants during sulfur deprivation, and acetate consumption is responsible for maintaining anaerobiosis and providing reductant through the indirect H<sub>2</sub> photoproduction pathway during sulfur deprivation. Interestingly, though, the low H<sub>2</sub> production of starch-less mutants under dark anaerobic conditions correlates with low hydrogenase genes transcription (Posewitz et al. 2004). It was hypothesized that, since electrons generated from starch degradation reduce the PQ, this triggers a regulatory process that affects the expression of various photosynthetic components (Escoubas et al. 1995) (Jans et al. 2008), including hydrogenases (Hemschemeier et al. 2008; White and Melis 2006). Alternatively, based on inhibitor studies under sulfur-deprivation conditions, Chochois et al. (2009) proposed that the regulation of hydrogenase activity occurred instead by the proton gradient generated by cyclic electron flow during the process, thus confirming the ATP requirement for hydrogenase induction reported previously by others (Lien and Pietro 1981).

Curiously, two Rubisco mutants that are unable to fix  $CO_2$  have been reported to exhibit either low (White and Melis 2006) or high H<sub>2</sub> photoproduction activity under sulfur deprivation (Hemschemeier et al. 2008). Since neither mutant accumulates starch, it was finally agreed that the two different phenotypes were due to the production of an unstable truncated Rubisco, while the other completely lacks starch (Hemschemeier et al. 2008).

Although not directly related to the  $O_2$  sensitivity limitation, the non-dissipation of the proton gradient during  $H_2$  photoproduction and the induction of state transitions (which direct light energy preferentially toward PSI and CEF) during anaerobiosis are major issues responsible for the low rates of the process (Dubini and Ghirardi 2014; Hankamer et al. 2007). A recent manuscript (Tolleter et al. 2011) describes the effects of genetically uncoupling the proton gradient from photosynthetic electron transport, which is accompanied by an increase in the rates of  $H_2$  photoproduction, either under anaerobically induced or sulfur-deprived conditions. Similarly, efforts to eliminate state transitions while increasing the rates of respiration have successfully led to higher rates of  $H_2$  photoproduction ((Kruse et al. 2005).

The success of partial inactivation of Photosystem II activity to achieve culture anaerobiosis led to the design of alternative processes to inhibit D1 turnover. Initial experiments targeted the sulfate permease enzyme, which translocates sulfate from the cytosol into the chloroplast. Sulfate permease mutants had a phenotype similar to that of sulfur-deprived wild-type algae and produced H<sub>2</sub> at similar levels (Chen et al. 2005). A different approach focused on the feasibility of using D1 mutants with reported lower O<sub>2</sub> evolution capability as H<sub>2</sub>-producers in closed photobioreactors (Makarova et al. 2007). Unfortunately, the specific mutants used in this study were unable to over-accumulate starch, a sine-qua-non for efficient H<sub>2</sub> photoproduction during anaerobiosis. Markov et al. (Markov et al. 2006) demonstrated sustained H<sub>2</sub> photoproduction for a total of about 90 min by algal cells previously photoinhibited to decrease their O<sub>2</sub> evolution capability. More recently, Surzycki et al. (2007) devised a cyclic process based on the properties of cytochrome c promoter sequence, which is responsive to anaerobiosis and low Cu levels, as a regulator expression of the nucleus-encoded Nac2 chloroplast protein. The latter is required for stable accumulation of the psaD RNA (encoding the reaction center D2 protein) and, if it is not expressed, Photosystem II activity is inhibited. The cycle operates by manipulating the copper concentration in the growth medium; in the presence of copper, PSII is inhibited, the culture gradually becomes anaerobic, the hydrogenase is induced, and H<sub>2</sub> production is observed. Hydrogen accumulates for a total of about 1.5 h, at which point anaerobiosis induces the synthesis of the Nac2 protein and PSII activity is restored. The cycle can be repeated upon re-addition of Cu<sup>2+</sup> to the medium, and the authors demonstrated the occurrence of two successive cycles during a total period of 50 h. These results compare well with the cyclic production of H<sub>2</sub> using sulfur deprivation (Ghirardi et al. 2000), which showed three cycles over 350 h of operation.

Recent reports show that the engineered D1 double mutant in which L159 was replaced by isoleucine and N230 by tyrosine exhibits higher rates of photosynthesis and respiration, lower Chl and higher D1 content (but only slightly higher Chl a/b ratio, resembling high light-grown cells), and higher rates of  $H_2$  photoproduction under sulfur

deprivation than the common cc124 wild-type strain (Scoma et al. 2012; Torzillo et al. 2009). The two mutated amino acid residues are located, respectively, near Tyrosine  $Y_Z$  (the primary electron donor to Photosystem II), and in the loop between helixes IV–V. The double mutant accumulates more biomass during photomixotrophic growth and has higher levels of zeaxanthin during the H<sub>2</sub> production phase, suggesting higher photoprotection by the violoxanthin/zeaxanthin cycle, resulting in a longer H<sub>2</sub> production phase.

Finally, a novel method to bypass the O<sub>2</sub>-sensitivity property of hydrogenases was reported by Wu et al. (2011). The authors expressed two codon-optimized O<sub>2</sub>-binding proteins, leghemoglobin (from *Glycine max*), and ferrochelatase (from *Bradyrhizobium japonicum*) in the chloroplast of Chlamydomonas. Both genes were shown to be transcribed and translated, although biochemical activity measurements were unsuccessful. Nevertheless, faster O<sub>2</sub> consumption under sulfur deprivation and higher H<sub>2</sub> yields were reported, although the strain chosen for transformation is a known low H<sub>2</sub>-producer and the levels of the transgenes were still too low.

It is difficult to compare literature data on the application of sulfur deprivation to  $H_2$  photoproduction, since different researchers use different cell densities, report either maximum or average rates, and are able to sustain the process for different amounts of time. Table 1 summarizes the highest rates that have been currently achieved using a combination of sulfur deprivation with other genetic or cultivation approaches to increase productivity. It is important to point out that neither of the reported processes has achieved economic parity with the current cost of gasoline. More details about the genotypes of each mutant can be found in the original reference (4th column).

#### **Future directions**

Although most of the research to date has focused on *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii* and *Synechocystis* sp. PCC 6803 as model organisms,  $H_2$  photoproduction has been observed in other algal species (Brand et al. 1989; Boichenko et al. 2004; Skjanes et al. 2008; Timmins et al. 2009; Wang et al. 2011). It is interesting to notice that, instead of using sulfur deprivation, a large number of researchers cultivate algae under low light intensity in a sealed reactor, as an alternative method to induce anaerobiosis (Wang et al. 2011; Maneeruttanarungroj et al. 2010). Although very useful from a research point of view, such systems are incompatible with commercial applications, where decreases in light intensity require larger areas of cultivation which would increase capital and operational costs (James et al. 2009; Hankamer et al. 2007).

The limited success in generating organisms that photoproduce H<sub>2</sub> from water at high STH conversion efficiency by genetically engineering hydrogenases or through physiological approaches to prevent O<sub>2</sub> accumulation is forcing the community to re-evaluate the relevance of  $O_2$ sensitivity in limiting H<sub>2</sub> photoproduction vis a vis other known barriers. Those have been mentioned above and were discussed in detail by Dubini and Ghirardi (2014). Suffice it to say that success will involve engineering multiple traits into a single organism, and that various research groups are currently involved in approaches to achieve this goal, as shown in Table 1. A recent report on the transformation of the algal [FeFe]-hydrogenase in the Chlamydomonas chloroplast (Reifschneider-Wegner et al. 2014) of a double hydrogenase knock-out strain (Meuser et al. 2012) may represent a potential useful method to increase the availability of the enzyme, which may be a rate-limiting step in H<sub>2</sub> production. However, current

**Table 1** Rates, yield, and long-term duration of  $H_2$  photoproduction by different mutants of *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii* under sulfur-deprivation conditions

Strain name	Maximum rates under S deprivation	Final Yield and duration under S deprivation	Reference
Wild-type (strain cc124)	9.4 μmol mg Chl <sup>-1</sup> h <sup>-1</sup> (measured by water- displacement)	276 ml $L^{-1}$ in 4 days (measured by water- displacement)	Kosourov et al. (2003)
stm6	7 $\mu$ mol mg Chl <sup>-1</sup> h <sup>-1</sup> (measured by gas chromatography)	271 mL $L^{-1}$ in 10–14 days (measured by gas chromatography)	Kruse et al. (2005)
pgrll	Not reported	540 mL $L^{-1}$ in 4 days	Tolleter et al. (2011)
D1 <i>L1951-N230Y</i>	Not reported	700 mL $L^{-1}$ in 7 days	Scoma et al. (2012)
stm6GLC4lo1	14.22 $\mu$ mol mg Chl <sup>-1</sup> h <sup>-1</sup> (measured by gas chromatography)	361 mL $L^{-1}$ in 8 days (measured by gas chromatography)	Oey et al. (2013)

See references for more detail about each genotype

expression levels and rates are still too low and need to be substantially increased to demonstrate its benefit over the wild-type strain.

**Acknowledgments** The author would like to acknowledge support from the U.S. DOE's Office of Science BER and BES, and from the EERE's Fuel Cell Technologies Office. The U.S. Government retains and the publisher, by accepting the article for publication, acknowledges that the U.S. Government retains a nonexclusive, paid up, irrevocable, worldwide license to publish or reproduce the published form of this work, or allow others to do so, for U.S. Government purposes.

**Conflict of interest** The author declares that she has no conflict of interest.

#### References

- Abou Hamdan A, Dementin S, Liebgott PP, Gutierrez-Sanz O, Richaud P, De Lacey AL, Rousset M, Bertrand P, Cournac L, Leger C (2012) Understanding and tuning the catalytic bias of hydrogenase (vol 134, p 8368, 2012). J Am Chem Soc 134:9828
- Adams MW, Mortenson LE (1984) The physical and catalytic properties of hydrogenase II of *Clostridium pasteurianum*. A comparison with hydrogenase I. J Biol Chem 259:7045–7055
- Adams MW, Mortenson LE, Chen JS (1980) Hydrogenase. Biochim Biophys Acta 594:105–176
- Appel J, Schulz R (1996) Sequence analysis of an operon of a NAD(P)-reducing nickel hydrogenase from the cyanobacterium *Synechocystis* sp. PCC 6803 gives additional evidence for direct coupling of the enzyme to NAD(P)H-dehydrogenase (complex I). Biochim Biophys Acta 1298:141–147
- Armstrong FA (2004) Hydrogenases: active site puzzles and progress. Curr Opin Chem Biol 8:133–140
- Armstrong FA, Albracht SP (2005) [NiFe]-hydrogenases: spectroscopic and electrochemical definition of reactions and intermediates. Philos Trans 363:937–954 discussion 1035–1040
- Aubert-Jousset E, Cano M, Guedeney G, Richaud P, Cournac L (2011) Role of HoxE subunit in *Synechocystis* PCC6803 hydrogenase. FEBS J 278:4035–4043
- Ben-Amotz A, Gibbs M (1975) H2 metabolism in photosynthetic organisms. II: light-dependent H<sub>2</sub> evolution by preparations from *Chlamydomonas, Scenedesmus* and spinach. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 64:355–359
- Bingham AS, Smith PR, Swartz JR (2012a) Evolution of an [FeFe] hydrogenase with decreased oxygen sensitivity. Int J Hydrog Energy 37:2965–2976
- Bingham AS, Smith PR, Swartz JR (2012b) Evolution of an [FeFe] hydrogenase with decreased oxygen sensitivity. Int J Hydrog Energy 37:2965–2976
- Blankenship RE, Tiede DM, Barber J, Brudvig GW, Fleming G, Ghirardi M, Gunner MR, Junge W, Kramer DM, Melis A, Moore TA, Moser CC, Nocera DG, Nozik AJ, Ort DR, Parson WW, Prince RC, Sayre RT (2011) Comparing photosynthetic and photovoltaic efficiencies and recognizing the potential for improvement. Science 332:805–809
- Boichenko VA, Greenbaum E, Seibert M (2004) Hydrogen production by photosynthetic microorganisms. In: Barber J, Archer MD (eds) Photoconversion of solar energy, molecular to global photosynthesis. Imperial College Press, London, pp 397–452
- Boison G, Schmitz O, Schmitz B, Bothe H (1998) Unusual gene arrangement of the bidirectional hydrogenase and functional analysis of its diaphorase subunit HoxU in respiration of the

unicellular cyanobacterium anacystis nidulans. Curr Microbiol 36:253-258

- Brand JJ, Wright JN, Lien S (1989) Hydrogen production by eukaryotic algae. Biotechnol Bioeng 33:1482–1488
- Cano M, Volbeda A, Guedeney G, Aubert-Jousset E, Richaud P, Peltier G, Cournac L (2014) Improved oxygen tolerance of the Synechocystis sp PCC 6803 bidirectional hydrogenase by sitedirected mutagenesis of putative residues of the gas diffusion channel. Int J Hydrog Energy 39:16872–16884
- Chen HC, Newton AJ, Melis A (2005) Role of SulP, a nuclear-encoded chloroplast sulfate permease, in sulfate transport and H<sub>2</sub> evolution in *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii*. Photosynth Res 84:289–296
- Chochois V, Dauvillee D, Beyly A, Tolleter D, Cuine S, Timpano H, Ball S, Cournac L, Peltier G (2009) Hydrogen production in Chlamydomonas: photosystem II-dependent and -independent pathways differ in their requirement for starch metabolism. Plant Physiol 151:631–640
- Cohen J, Kim K, King P, Seibert M, Schulten K (2005a) Finding gas diffusion pathways in proteins: application to  $O_2$  and  $H_2$  transport in CpI [FeFe]-hydrogenase and the role of packing defects. Structure 13:1321–1329
- Cohen J, Kim K, Posewitz M, Ghirardi ML, Schulten K, Seibert M, King P (2005b) Molecular dynamics and experimental investigation of H(2) and O(2) diffusion in [Fe]-hydrogenase. Biochem Soc Trans 33:80–82
- Cornish AJ, Gartner K, Yang H, Peters JW, Hegg EL (2011) Mechanism of proton transfer in [FeFe]-hydrogenase from *Clostridium pasteurianum*. J Biol Chem 286:38341–38347
- Cournac L, Guedeney G, Peltier G, Vignais PM (2004) Sustained photoevolution of molecular hydrogen in a mutant of *Syne chocystis* sp. strain PCC 6803 deficient in the type I NADPHdehydrogenase complex. J Bacteriol 186:1737–1746
- Cracknell JA, Vincent KA, Ludwig M, Lenz O, Friedrich B, Armstrong FA (2008) Enzymatic oxidation of  $H_2$  in atmospheric O<sub>2</sub>: the electrochemistry of energy generation from trace  $H_2$  by aerobic microorganisms. J Am Chem Soc 130:424–425
- Dementin S, Leroux F, Cournac L, de Lacey AL, Volbeda A, Leger C, Burlat B, Martinez N, Champ S, Martin L, Sanganas O, Haumann M, Fernandez VM, Guigliarelli B, Fontecilla-Camps JC, Rousset M (2009) Introduction of methionines in the gas channel makes [NiFe] hydrogenase aero-tolerant. J Am Chem Soc 131:10156–10164
- Dubini A, Ghirardi ML (2014) Engineering photosynthetic organisms for the production of biohydrogen. PhotosynthRes
- Ducat DC, Sachdeva G, Silver PA (2011) Rewiring hydrogenasedependent redox circuits in cyanobacteria. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 108:3941–3946
- English CM, Eckert C, Brown K, Seibert M, King PW (2009) Recombinant and in vitro expression systems for hydrogenases: new frontiers in basic and applied studies for biological and synthetic H<sub>2</sub> production. Dalton Trans 45:9970–9978
- Escoubas JM, Lomas M, Laroche J, Falkowski PG (1995) Light intensity regulation of cab gene transcription is signaled by the redox state of the plastoquinone pool. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 92:10237–10241
- Fargione J, Hill J, Tilman D, Polasky S, Hawthorne P (2008) Land clearing and the biofuel carbon debt. Science 319:1235–1238
- Fontecilla-Camps JC, Volbeda A, Cavazza C, Nicolet Y (2007) Structure/function relationships of [NiFe]- and [FeFe]-hydrogenases. Chem Rev 107:4273–4303
- Forestier M, King P, Zhang L, Posewitz M, Schwarzer S, Happe T, Ghirardi ML, Seibert M (2003) Expression of two [Fe]hydrogenases in *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii* under anaerobic conditions. Eur J Biochem FEBS 270:2750–2758
- Gaffron H, Rubin J (1942) Fermentative and photochemical production of hydrogen in algae. J Gen Physiol 26:219–240

- Gartner K, Lechno-Yossef S, Cornish AJ, Wolk CP, Hegg EL (2012) Expression of Shewanella oneidensis MR-a [FeFe]-hydrogenase genes in *Anabaena* sp. strain PCC 7120. Appl Environ Microbiol 78:8579–8586
- Ghirardi ML, Togasaki RK, Seibert M (1997) Oxygen sensitivity of algal H<sub>2</sub>-production. Appl Biochem Biotechnol 63–65:141–151
- Ghirardi ML, Zhang JP, Lee JW, Flynn T, Seibert M, Greenbaum E, Melis A (2000) Microalgae: a green source of renewable H-2. Trends Biotechnol 18:506–511
- Ghirardi ML, Posewitz MC, Maness P-C, Dubini A, Yu J, Seibert M (2007) Hydrogenases and hydrogen photoproduction in oxygenic photosynthetic organisms. Annu Rev Plant Biol 58:71–91
- Ghirardi ML, Dubini A, Yu JP, Maness PC (2009) Photobiological hydrogen-producing systems (vol 38, p. 52, 2009). Chem Soc Rev 38:3505
- Ghirardi ML, King PW, Mulder DW, Eckert C, Dubini A, Maness PC, Yu J (2014) Hydrogen production by water biophotolysis. In: Zannoni D, De Philippis R (eds) Microbial bioenergy: hydrogen. Springer, Dordrecht
- Girbal L, von Abendroth G, Winkler M, Benton PM, Meynial-Salles I, Croux C, Peters JW, Happe T, Soucaille P (2005) Homologous and heterologous overexpression in *Clostridium acetobutylicum* and characterization of purified clostridial and algal Fe-only hydrogenases with high specific activities. Appl Environ Microbiol 71:2777–2781
- Godde D, Trebst A (1980) NADH as electron donor for the photosynthetic membrane of *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii*. Arch Microbiol 127:245–252
- Goldet G, Brandmayr C, Stripp ST, Happe T, Cavazza C, Fontecilla-Camps JC, Armstrong FA (2009) Electrochemical kinetic investigations of the reactions of [FeFe]-hydrogenases with carbon monoxide and oxygen: comparing the importance of gas tunnels and active-site electronic/redox effects. J Am Chem Soc 131:14979–14989
- Gutekunst K, Chen X, Schreiber K, Kaspar U, Makam S, Appel J (2014) The bidirectional NiFe-hydrogenase in *Synechocystis* sp. PCC 6803 is reduced by flavodoxin and ferredoxin and is essential under mixotrophic, nitrate-limiting conditions. J Biol Chem 289:1930–1937
- Hankamer B, Lehr F, Rupprecht J, Mussgnug JH, Posten C, Kruse O (2007) Photosynthetic biomass and H<sub>2</sub> production by green algae: from bioengineering to bioreactor scale-up. Physiol Plant 131:10–21
- Hanna MC, Nozik AJ (2006) Solar conversion efficiency of photovoltaic and photoelectrolysis cells with carrier multiplication absorbers. J Appl Phys 100:74510(1–8)
- Happe T, Naber JD (1993) Isolation, characterization and N-terminal amino acid sequence of hydrogenase from the green alga *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii*. Eur J Biochem FEBS 214:475–481
- Healey F (1970) Hydrogen evolution by several algae. Planta 91:220–226
- Hemschemeier A, Happe T (2011) Alternative photosynthetic electron transport pathways during anaerobiosis in the green alga *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii*. Biochim Biophys Acta 1807:919–926
- Hemschemeier A, Fouchard S, Cournac L, Peltier G, Happe T (2008) Hydrogen production by *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii*: an elaborate interplay of electron sources and sinks. Planta 227:397–407
- James BD, Baum GN, Perez J, Baum KN (2009) Technoeconomic boundary analysis of biological pathways to hydrogen production
- Jans F, Mignolet E, Houyoux PA, Cardol P, Ghysels B, Cuine S, Cournac L, Peltier G, Remacle C, Franck R (2008) A type II NAD(P)H dehydrogenase mediates light-independent plastoquinone reduction in the chloroplast of Chlamydomonas. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 105:20546–20551

- Jones AK, Sillery E, Albracht SP, Armstrong FA (2002) Direct comparison of the electrocatalytic oxidation of hydrogen by an enzyme and a platinum catalyst. Chem Commun (Camb) 8:866–867
- Katsuda T, Oshima H, Azuma M, Kato J (2006) New detection method for hydrogen gas for screening hydrogen-producing microorganisms using water-soluble Wilkinson's catalyst derivative. J Biosci Bioeng 102:220–226
- Kessler E (1974) Hydrogenase, photoreduction and anaerobic growth. In: Steward WDP (ed) Algal physiology and biochemistry. Blackwell, Oxford, pp 456–473
- King PW, Posewitz MC, Ghirardi ML, Seibert M (2006) Functional studies of [FeFe] hydrogenase maturation in an *Escherichia coli* biosynthetic system. J Bacteriol 188:2163–2172
- Kosourov S, Seibert M, Ghirardi ML (2003a) Effects of extracellular pH on the metabolic pathways in sulfur-deprived, H-2-producing *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii cultures*. Plant Cell Physiol 44:146–155
- Kosourov S, Seibert M, Ghirardi ML (2003b) Effects of extracellular pH on the metabolic pathways in sulfur-deprived, H<sub>2</sub>-producing *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii* cultures. Plant Cell Physiol 44:146–155
- Kruse O, Rupprecht J, Bader KP, Thomas-Hall S, Schenk PM, Finazzi G, Hankamer B (2005) Improved photobiological H<sub>2</sub> production in engineered green algal cells. J Biol Chem 280:34170–34177
- Lambertz C, Leidel N, Havelius KGV, Noth J, Chernev P, Winklers M, Happe T, Haumann M (2011) O<sub>2</sub> reactions at the six-iron active site (H-cluster) in [FeFe]-hydrogenase. J Biol Chem 286:40614–40623
- Lamle SE, Albracht SP, Armstrong FA (2005) The mechanism of activation of a [NiFe]-hydrogenase by electrons, hydrogen, and carbon monoxide. J Am Chem Soc 127:6595–6604
- Laurinavichene T, Tolstygina I, Tsygankov A (2004) The effect of light intensity on hydrogen production by sulfur-deprived *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii*. J Biotechnol 114:143–151
- Lee HS, Vermaas WFJ, Rittmann BE (2010) Biological hydrogen production: prospects and challenges. Trends Biotechnol 28:262–271
- Leger C, Jones AK, Roseboom W, Albracht SP, Armstrong FA (2002) Enzyme electrokinetics: hydrogen evolution and oxidation by *Allochromatium vinosum* [NiFe]-hydrogenase. Biochemistry 41:15736–15746
- Leroux F, Dementin S, Burlatt B, Cournac L, Volbeda A, Champ S, Martin L, Guigliarelli B, Bertrand P, Fontecilla-Camps J, Rousset M, Leger C (2008) Experimental approaches to kinetics of gas diffusion in hydrogenase. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 105:11188–11193
- Lien S, Pietro AS (1981) Effect of uncouplers on anaerobic adaptation of hydrogenase activity in *C. reinhardtii*. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 103:139–147
- Lukey MJ, Roessler MM, Parkin A, Evans RM, Davies RA, Lenz O, Friedrich B, Sargent F, Armstrong FA (2011) Oxygen-tolerant [NiFe]-hydrogenases: the individual and collective importance of supernumerary cysteines at the proximal Fe-S cluster. J Am Chem Soc 133:16881–16892
- Maione TE, Gibbs M (1986a) Association of the chloroplastic respiratory and photosynthetic electron transport chains of *Chlamydomonas reinhardii* with photoreduction and the oxyhydrogen reaction. Plant Physiol 80:364–368
- Maione TE, Gibbs M (1986b) Hydrogenase-mediated activities in isolated chloroplasts of *Chlamydomonas reinhardii*. Plant Physiol 80:360–363
- Makarova VV, Kosourov S, Krendeleva TE, Semin BK, Kukarskikh GP, Rubin AB, Sayre RT, Ghirardi ML, Seibert M (2007) Photoproduction of hydrogen by sulfur-deprived *C. reinhardtii* mutants with impaired photosystem II photochemical activity. Photosynth Res 94:79–89

- Maneeruttanarungroj C, Lindblad P, Incharoensakdi A (2010) A newly isolated green alga, *Tetraspora* sp. CU2551, from Thailand with efficient hydrogen production. Int J Hydrog Energy 35:13193–13199
- Maness PC, Smolinski S, Dillon AC, Heben MJ, Weaver PF (2002) Characterization of the oxygen tolerance of a hydrogenase linked to a carbon monoxide oxidation pathway in *Rubrivivax gelatinosus*. Appl Environ Microbiol 68:2633–2636
- Markov SA, Eivazova ER, Greenwood J (2006) Photostimulation of H-2 production in the green alga *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii* upon photoinhibition of its O-2-evolving system. Int J Hydrog Energy 31:1314–1317
- Melis A, Zhang L, Forestier M, Ghirardi ML, Seibert M (2000) Sustained photobiological hydrogen gas production upon reversible inactivation of oxygen evolution in the green alga *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii*. Plant Physiol 122:127–136
- Meuser JE, D'Adamo S, Jinkerson RE, Mus F, Yang W, Ghirardi ML, Seibert M, Grossman AR, Posewitz MC (2012a) Genetic disruption of both *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii* [FeFe]-hydrogenases: insight into the role of HYDA2 in H(2) production. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 417:704–709
- Meuser JE, D'Adamo S, Jinkerson RE, Mus F, Yang WQ, Ghirardi ML, Seibert M, Grossman AR, Posewitz MC (2012b) Genetic disruption of both *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii* [FeFe]-hydrogenases: insight into the role of HYDA2 in H-2 production. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 417:704–709
- Montet Y, Amara P, Volbeda A, Vernede X, Hatchikian EC, Field MJ, Frey M, Fontecilla-Camps JC (1997) Gas access to the active site of Ni–Fe hydrogenases probed by X-ray crystallog-raphy and molecular dynamics. Nat Struct Biol 4:523–526
- Mulder DW, Boyd ES, Sarma R, Lange RK, Endrizzi JA, Broderick JB, Peters JW (2010) Stepwise [FeFe]-hydrogenase H-cluster assembly revealed in the structure of HydA(Delta EFG). Nature 465:248-U143
- Mulder DW, Ratzloff MW, Shepard EM, Byer AS, Noone SM, Peters JW, Broderick JB, King PW (2013) EPR and FTIR analysis of the mechanism of H<sub>2</sub> activation by [FeFe]-hydrogenase HydA1 from *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii*. J Am Chem Soc 135:6921–6929
- Nicolet Y, Piras C, Legrand P, Hatchikian CE, Fontecilla-Camps JC (1999) Desulfovibrio desulfuricans iron hydrogenase: the structure shows unusual coordination to an active site Fe binuclear center. Structure 7:13–23
- Oey M, Ross I, Stephens E, Steinbeck J, Wolf J, Radzun K, Kugler J, Ringsmuth A, Kruse O, Hankamer B (2013) RNAi knock-down of LHCBM1, 2 and 3 increases photosynthetic H<sub>2</sub> production efficiency of the green alga *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii*. PLoS ONE 8:e61375
- Pandelia ME, Nitschke W, Infossi P, Giudici-Orticoni MT, Bill E, Lubitz W (2011) Characterization of a unique [FeS] cluster in the electron transfer chain of the oxygen tolerant [NiFe] hydrogenase from Aquifex aeolicus. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 108:6097–6102
- Peters JW, Lanzilotta WN, Lemon BJ, Seefeldt LC (1998) X-ray crystal structure of the Fe-only hydrogenase (CpI) from *Clostridium pasteurianum* to 1.8 angstrom resolution. Science 282:1853–1858
- Philipps G, Happe T, Hemschemeier A (2012) Nitron deprivation results in photosynthetic hydrogen production in *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii*. Planta 235:729–745
- Posewitz MC, King PW, Smolinski SL, Zhang L, Seibert M, Ghirardi ML (2004a) Discovery of two novel radical S-adenosylmethionine proteins required for the assembly of an active [Fe] hydrogenase. J Biol Chem 279:25711–25720
- Posewitz MC, Smolinski SL, Kanakagiri S, Melis A, Seibert M, Ghirardi ML (2004b) Hydrogen photoproduction is attenuated

by disruption of an isoamylase gene in *Chlamydomonas* reinhardtii. Plant Cell 16:2151–2163

- Posewitz M, Dubini A, Meuser JE, Seibert M, Ghirardi ML (2008) Hydrogenases, hydrogen production, and anoxia. Chlamydomonas Sourceb 2:215–255
- Reifschneider-Wegner K, Kanygin A, Redding KE (2014) Expression of the [FeFe hydrogenase in the chloroplast of *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii*. Int J Hydrog Energy 39:3657–3665
- Roessler P, Lien S (1984) Purification of hydrogenase from *Chlamy*domonas reinhardtii. Plant Physiol 75:705–709
- Ruhle T, Hemschemeier A, Melis A, Happe T (2008) A novel screening protocol for the isolation of hydrogen producing *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii* strains. BMC Plant Biol 8:107
- Rupprecht J (2009) From systems biology to fuel–Chlamydomonas reinhardtii as a model for a systems biology approach to improve biohydrogen production. J Biotechnol 142:10–20
- Schmitz O, Bothe H (1996) The diaphorase subunit HoxU of the bidirectional hydrogenase as electron transferring protein in cyanobacterial respiration? Die Naturwissenschaften 83:525–527
- Schrader PS, Burrows EH, Ely RL (2008) High-throughput screening assay for biological hydrogen production. Anal Chem 80:4014–4019
- Scoma A, Krawietz D, Faraloni C, Giannelli L, Happe T, Torzillo G
  (2012) Sustained H<sub>2</sub> production in *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii* D1 protein mutant. J Biotechnol 157:613–619
- Searchinger T, Heimlich R, Houghton RA, Dong F, Elobeid A, Fabiosa J, Tokgoz S, Hayes D, Yu TH (2008) Use of US croplands for biofuels increases greenhouse gases through emissions from land-use change. Science 319:1238–1240
- Seibert M, Flynn T, Benson D, Tracy E, Ghirardi ML (1998) Development of selection/screening procedures for rapid identification of H<sub>2</sub>-producing algal mutants with increased O<sub>2</sub>tolerance. In: Zaborsky OR (ed) Biohydrogen. Plenum Publishing Corporation, New York, pp 227–234
- Seibert M, Flynn T, Ghirardi ML (2001a) Strategies for improving oxygen tolerance of algal hydrogen production. In: Miyake J, Matsunaga T, Pietro AS (eds) BioHydrogen II. Pergamon Press, Amsterdam, pp 65–76
- Seibert M, Benson DK, Flynn TM (2001) Method and apparatus for rapid biohydrogen phenotypic screening of microorganisms using a chemochromic sensor. US Patent
- Shestakov SV, Mikheeva LE (2006) Genetic control of hydrogen metabolism in cyanobacteria. Russ J Genet 42:1272–1284
- Skjanes K, Knutsen G, Kallqvist T, Lindblad P (2008) H-2 production from marine and freshwater species of green algae during sulfur deprivation and considerations for bioreactor design. Int J Hydrog Energy 33:511–521
- Stapleton JA, Swartz JR (2010a) Development of an in vitro compartmentalization screen for high-throughput directed evolution of [FeFe] hydrogenases. PLoS ONE 5:e15275
- Stapleton JA, Swartz JR (2010b) A cell-free microtiter plate screen for improved [FeFe] hydrogenases. PLoS ONE 5:e10554
- Stripp ST, Happe T (2009) How algae produce hydrogen—news from the photosynthetic hydrogenase. Dalton Trans 45:9960–9969
- Stripp ST, Goldet G, Brandmayr C, Sanganas O, Vincent KA, Haumann M, Armstrong FA, Happe T (2009) How oxygen attacks [FeFe] hydrogenases from photosynthetic organisms. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 106:17331–17336
- Sumner I, Both GA (2012) Proton transport pathways in [NiFe]hydrogenase. J Phys Chem B 116:2917–2926
- Surzycki R, Cournac L, Peltier G, Rochaix JD (2007) Potential for hydrogen production with inducible chloroplast gene expression in Chlamydomonas. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 104:17548–17553
- Timmins M, Thomas-Hall SR, Darling A, Zhang E, Hankamer B, Marx UC, Schenk PM (2009) Phylogenetic and molecular

analysis of hydrogen-producing green algae. J Exp Bot 60:1691–1702

- Tolleter D, Ghysels B, Alric J, Petroutsos D, Tolstygina I, Krawietz D, Happe T, Auroy P, Adriano JM, Beyly A, Cuine S, Plet J, Reiter IM, Genty B, Cournac L, Hippler M, Peltier G (2011) Control of hydrogen photoproduction by the proton gradient generated by cyclic electron flow in *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii*. Plant Cell 23:2619–2630
- Tolstygina IV, Antal TK, Kosourov SN, Krendeleva TE, Rubin AB, Tsygankov AA (2009) Hydrogen production by photoautotrophic sulfur-deprived *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii* pre-grown and incubated under high light. Biotechnol Bioeng 102:1055–1061
- Torzillo G, Scoma A, Faraloni C, Ena E, Johanningmeier U (2009) Increased hydrogen photoproduction by means of a sulfurdeprived *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii* D1 protein mutant. Int J Hydrog Energy 34:4529–4536
- Vignais PM, Colbeau A, Willison JC, Jouanneau Y (1985) Hydrogenase, nitrogenase, and hydrogen metabolism in the photosynthetic bacteria. Adv Microb Physiol 26:155–234
- Vignais PM, Billoud B, Meyer J (2001) Classification and phylogeny of hydrogenases. FEMS Microbiol Rev 25:455–501
- Vincent KA, Armstrong FA (2005) Investigating metalloenzyme reactions using electrochemical sweeps and steps: fine control and measurements with reactants ranging from ions to gases. Inorg Chem 44:798–809
- Vincent KA, Cracknell JA, Parkin A, Armstrong FA (2005a) Hydrogen cycling by enzymes: electrocatalysis and implications for future energy technology. Dalton Trans 21:3397–3403
- Vincent KA, Parkin A, Lenz O, Albracht SP, Fontecilla-Camps JC, Cammack R, Friedrich B, Armstrong FA (2005b) Electrochemical definitions of O<sub>2</sub> sensitivity and oxidative inactivation in hydrogenases. J Am Chem Soc 127:18179–18189
- Vincent KA, Parkin A, Armstrong FA (2007) Investigating and exploiting the electrocatalytic properties of hydrogenases. Chem Rev 107:4366–4413
- von Abendroth G, Stripp ST, Silakov A, Croux C, Soucaille P, Girbal L, Happe T (2008) Optimized over-expression of [FeFe] hydrogenases with high specific activity in *Clostridium acetobutylicum*. Int J Hydrog Energy 33:6076–6081

- Walker DA (2009) Biofuels, facts, fantasy and feasibility. J Appl Phycol 21:509–517
- Wang H, Fan X, Zhang Y, Yang D, Guo R (2011) Sustained photohydrogen production by *Chlorella pyrenoidosa* without sulfur depletion. Biotechnol Lett 33:1345–1350
- Weaver PF, Lien S, Seibert M (1980) Photobiological production of hydrogen. Sol Energy 24:3–45
- Wecker MS, Ghirardi ML (2014) High-throughput biosensor discriminates between different algal H<sub>2</sub>-photoproducing strains. Biotechnol Bioeng 111:1332–1340
- Wecker MSA, Meuser JE, Posewitz MC, Ghirardi ML (2011) Design of a new biosensor for algal H<sub>2</sub> production based on the H<sub>2</sub>sensing system of *Rhodobacter capsulatus*. Int J Hydrog Energy 36:11229–11237
- Weyman PD, Vargas WA, Tong Y, Yu J, Maness PC, Smith HO, Xu Q (2011) Heterologous expression of Alteromonas macleodii and Thiocapsa roseopersicina [NiFe] hydrogenases in Synechococcus elongatus. PLoS ONE 6:e20126
- White A, Melis A (2006) Biochemistry of hydrogen metabolism in Chlamydomonas reinhardtii wild type and a Rubisco-less mutant. Int J Hydrog Energy 31:455–464
- Winkler M, Kuhlgert S, Hippler M, Happe T (2009) Characterization of the key step for light-driven hydrogen evolution in green algae. J Biol Chem 284:36620–36627
- Wu S, Xu L, Huang R, Xang Q (2011) Improved biohydrogen production with an expression of codon-optrimized hemH and lba genes in the chloroplast of *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii*. Bioresour Technol 102:2610–2616
- Zabawinski C, Van den Koornhuyse N, D'Hulst C, Schlichting R, Giersch C, Delrue B, Lacroix JM, Preiss J, Ball S (2001) Starchless mutants of *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii* lack the small subunit of a heterotetrameric ADP-glucose pyrophosphorylase. J Bacteriol 183:1069–1077
- Zhang L, Happe T, Melis A (2002) Biochemical and morphological characterization of sulfur-deprived and H<sub>2</sub>-producing *Chlamy- domonas reinhardtii* (green alga). Planta 214:552–561