Nonlinear Anisotropic Elliptic and Parabolic Equations in \mathbb{R}^N with Advection and Lower Order Terms and Locally Integrable Data *

MOSTAFA BENDAHMANE¹ and KENNETH H. KARLSEN²

 ¹Department of Mathematics, University of Bergen, Johs. Brunsgt. 12, N-5008 Bergen, Norway, and UFR des S. T. G. I de Belfort-Montbéliard, Université de Franche-Comté, 4 place Tharadin, B.P. 71427, 25211 Montbélliard, France (e-mail: mostafab@math.uio.no)
 ²Department of Mathematics, University of Bergen, Johs. Brunsgt. 12, N-5008 Bergen, Norway, and Centre of Mathematics for Applications, Department of Mathematics, University of Oslo,

P.O. Box 1053, Blindern, N-0316 Oslo, Norway (e-mail: kennethk@mi.uib.no)

(Received: 5 May 2003; accepted: 7 April 2004)

Abstract. We prove existence and regularity results for distributional solutions in \mathbb{R}^N for nonlinear elliptic and parabolic equations with general anisotropic diffusivities as well as advection and lower-order terms that satisfy appropriate growth conditions. The data are assumed to be merely locally integrable.

Mathematics Subject Classifications (2000): 35J60, 35K55.

Key words: elliptic equation, parabolic equation, anisotropic diffusion, L^1 data.

1. Introduction

In this paper we prove existence and regularity of distributional solutions in an appropriate function space for nonlinear anisotropic elliptic equations. A prototype example is

$$-\sum_{\ell=1}^{N} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{l}} \left(\beta_{l}(x) \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{l}} \right|^{p_{l}-2} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{l}} \right) - \operatorname{div} g(u) + |u|^{s-1} u = f \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^{N}, \quad (1.1)$$

where $N \ge 2$, each $\beta_l : \mathbb{R}^N \to \mathbb{R}$ is a strictly positive and bounded function; $g = (g_1, \ldots, g_N)$ is a continuous vector field with components that grow like $|u|^{s-\eta}$ for s > 1 and some $\eta \in (1, s)$; and f is locally integrable. We also prove

^{*} This work was supported by the BeMatA program of the Research Council of Norway and the European network HYKE, funded by the EC as contract HPRN-CT-2002-00282. This work was done while M. Bendahmane visited the Centre of Mathematics for Applications (CMA) at the University of Oslo, Norway.

corresponding results for nonlinear anisotropic parabolic equations. For (1.1) we assume that the exponents p_1, \ldots, p_N and s are restricted as follows:

$$\begin{cases} \overline{p} < N, & \frac{1}{\overline{p}} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{l=1}^{N} \frac{1}{p_l}, \\ p_l > 1 & \text{and} & \frac{\overline{p}(N-1)}{N(\overline{p}-1)} < p_l < \frac{\overline{p}(N-1)}{N-\overline{p}}, \quad l = 1, \dots, N, \\ s > p_l, \quad l = 1, \dots, N. \end{cases}$$
(1.2)

We recall that for isotropic elliptic equations with $p_l = 2$ for l = 1, ..., N and s > 1, and no advection field, existence and uniqueness results for distributional solutions are proved in [7]. In the isotropic case with $p_l = p > 2 - 1/N$ for l = 1, ..., N and s > p - 1, still with no advection field, existence and regularity results for distributional solutions are proved in [5]. The corresponding results for isotropic parabolic equations are developed in [6].

Compared to [5, 6], the main feature of of the present paper is the combination of an anisotropic diffusion operator, nonlinear advection and lower-order terms, a locally integrable right-hand side f, and an unbounded domain. In the case of the Dirichlet problem on a bounded domain, existence and regularity results for distributional solutions with L^1 -data have been obtained in [4, 11] for a class of anisotropic elliptic and parabolic equations. For an anisotropic parabolic reaction– diffusion–advection system with a zero-flux boundary condition, still on a bounded domain, similar results are established in [2].

Our main purpose is to prove the existence of at least one function $u \in L^s_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ that possesses the regularity

$$u \in \bigcap_{l=1}^{N} W_{\text{loc}}^{1,q_l}(\mathbb{R}^N), \quad 1 \leqslant q_l < \frac{N(\overline{p}-1)}{\overline{p}(N-1)} p_l, \tag{1.3}$$

where \overline{p} is defined in (1.2), and solves (1.1) in the distributional sense. The anisotropic Sobolev spaces appearing in (1.3) are defined in the next section. Observe that (1.2) implies $\overline{p} > 2 - 1/N$ and thus $\frac{N(\overline{p}-1)}{\overline{p}(N-1)}p_l > 1$, which is in accordance with the "isotropic" theory [5]. On the other hand, the condition $s > p_l$ in (1.2) is stronger than in [5]. This is a consequence of the anisotropic Sobolev inequality [17] that we have at our disposal here.

As in [5, 6], the strategy of an existence proof consist of deriving "good" a priori estimates for suitable approximate solutions $(u_{\varepsilon})_{0<\varepsilon<1}$ (to which the standard variational framework applies) and passing to the limit as $\varepsilon \to 0$. There are two difficulties associated with this strategy. In view of the assumption that f is only locally integrable on \mathbb{R}^N , the first difficulty is to obtain suitable local a priori estimates on u_{ε} and the partial derivatives $\partial u_{\varepsilon}/\partial x_l, l = 1, \ldots, N$, that are independent of ε . The second difficulty lies in passing to the limit in the nonlinear vector field $A(x, \nabla u_{\varepsilon}) + g(u_{\varepsilon})$ and the nonlinear term $|u_{\varepsilon}|^{s-1}u_{\varepsilon}$.

208

The remaining part of the paper is organized as follows: In Section 2 we recall some basic notations and a Sobolev inequality for anisotropic Sobolev spaces. In addition, we prove an "interpolation" lemma that will be used later to obtain local a priori estimates. Our main "elliptic" results are stated in Section 3, while the proofs are given in Section 4. In Section 5 we briefly discuss the Dirichlet problem on a bounded domain. Finally, we convert our "elliptic" results to "parabolic" results in Section 6.

2. Anisotropic Sobolev Spaces and a Technical Lemma

We start by recalling the notion of anisotropic Sobolev spaces. These spaces were introduced and studied by Nikol'skiĭ [14], Slobodeckiĭ [16], and Troisi [17], and later by Trudinger [18] in the framework of Orlicz spaces.

Let Ω be a bounded domain in \mathbb{R}^N with Lipschitz boundary $\partial \Omega$. Let p_1, \ldots, p_N be N real numbers with $p_l \ge 1, l = 1, \ldots, N$. With a slight abuse of the notation, we introduce the anisotropic Sobolev space

$$W^{1,p_l}(\Omega) = \left\{ u \in L^{p_l}(\Omega) : \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_l} \in L^{p_l}(\Omega) \right\},\,$$

which is a Banach space under the norm

$$\|u\|_{W^{1,p_l}(\Omega)} = \|u\|_{L^{p_l}(\Omega)} + \left\|\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_l}\right\|_{L^{p_l}(\Omega)}$$

for l = 1, ..., N.

Let us recall the anisotropic Sobolev imbedding theorem due to Troisi [17] (see also [1]).

THEOREM 2.1. Let Q be a cube of \mathbb{R}^N with faces parallel to the coordinate planes. Suppose $u \in \bigcap_{l=1}^N W^{1,p_l}(Q)$, and set

$$\frac{1}{\overline{p}} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{l=1}^{N} \frac{1}{p_l}, \qquad r = \begin{cases} \overline{p}^{\star} := \frac{N\overline{p}}{N - \overline{p}}, & \text{if } \overline{p}^{\star} < N, \\ any number from [1, \infty), & \text{if } \overline{p}^{\star} \ge N. \end{cases}$$

Then there exists a constant C, depending on N, p_1, \ldots, p_N if $\overline{p} < N$ and also on r and meas(Q) if $\overline{p} \ge N$, such that

$$\|u\|_{L^{p}(Q)} \leq C \prod_{l=1}^{N} \left[\left\| \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{l}} \right\|_{L^{p_{l}}(Q)} + \|u\|_{L^{p_{l}}(Q)} \right]^{1/N}.$$
(2.1)

Theorem 2.1 is used to prove the "interpolation" lemma below, which is a technical result we will use later to obtain a priori estimates. A similar result is found in [4] with $W^{1,p_l}(Q)$ replaced by $W_0^{1,p_l}(\Omega)$ in the case of a Dirichlet boundary condition.

LEMMA 2.2. Let Q be a cube of \mathbb{R}^N with faces parallel to the coordinate planes and $(u_{\varepsilon})_{0<\varepsilon \leq 1}$ be a sequence in $\bigcap_{l=1}^{N} W^{1,p_l}(Q)$ with $\overline{p} \leq N$. Suppose that there exists a constant c, independent of ε , such that

$$\|u_{\varepsilon}\|_{L^{p_{l}}(Q)} \leq c, \quad l = 1, \dots, N,$$
(2.2)

and

$$\sup_{\gamma>0}\sum_{l=1}^{N}\int_{B_{\gamma}}\left|\frac{\partial u_{\varepsilon}}{\partial x_{l}}\right|^{p_{l}}\mathrm{d}x\leqslant c,$$
(2.3)

where $B_{\gamma} = \{x \in Q : \gamma \leq |u_{\varepsilon}| \leq \gamma + 1\} f \text{ or } \gamma > 0, \text{ or }$

$$\sum_{l=1}^{N} \int_{Q} \frac{\left|\frac{\partial u_{\varepsilon}}{\partial x_{l}}\right|^{p_{l}}}{(1+|u_{\varepsilon}|)^{\gamma}} \, \mathrm{d}x \leqslant c.$$
(2.4)

Then for every q_l such that

$$1 \leqslant q_l < \frac{N(\overline{p}-1)}{\overline{p}(N-1)} p_l, \tag{2.5}$$

there exists a constant C, depending on Q, N, $p_1, \ldots, p_N, q_1, \ldots, q_N$, and c, but not ε , such that

$$\left\|\frac{\partial u_{\varepsilon}}{\partial x_{l}}\right\|_{L^{q_{l}}(Q)} \leqslant C, \quad l = 1, \dots, N,$$
(2.6)

and

$$\|u_{\varepsilon}\|_{L^{\overline{q}}(\mathcal{Q})} \leqslant C, \quad \frac{1}{\overline{q}} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{l=1}^{N} \frac{1}{q_l}.$$
(2.7)

Proof. We adapt the proof in [3, 4] to our setting. Let $q_l < p_l$ and $\gamma_0 \ge 1$. Then, using (2.3),

$$\begin{split} \int_{Q} \left| \frac{\partial u_{\varepsilon}}{\partial x_{l}} \right|^{q_{l}} \mathrm{d}x &= \sum_{\gamma=0}^{\gamma_{0}-1} \int_{B_{\gamma}} \left| \frac{\partial u_{\varepsilon}}{\partial x_{l}} \right|^{q_{l}} \mathrm{d}x + \sum_{\gamma=\gamma_{0}}^{\infty} \int_{B_{\gamma}} \left| \frac{\partial u_{\varepsilon}}{\partial x_{l}} \right|^{q_{l}} \mathrm{d}x \\ &\leqslant C_{\gamma_{0}} + \sum_{\gamma=\gamma_{0}}^{\infty} \int_{B_{\gamma}} \left| \frac{\partial u_{\varepsilon}}{\partial x_{l}} \right|^{q_{l}} \mathrm{d}x \\ &\leqslant C_{\gamma_{0}} + \sum_{\gamma=\gamma_{0}}^{\infty} \left(\int_{B_{\gamma}} \left| \frac{\partial u_{\varepsilon}}{\partial x_{l}} \right|^{p_{l}} \mathrm{d}x \right)^{q_{l}/p_{l}} (\mathrm{meas}(B_{\gamma}))^{1-q_{l}/p_{l}} \\ &\leqslant C_{\gamma_{0}} + C_{1} \sum_{\gamma=\gamma_{0}}^{\infty} (\mathrm{meas}(B_{\gamma}))^{(p_{l}-q_{l})/p_{l}}. \end{split}$$
(2.8)

Clearly, $\frac{1}{\gamma^{\overline{q^*}}} \int_{B_{\gamma}} |u_{\varepsilon}|^{\overline{q^*}} dx \ge \text{meas}(B_{\gamma})$. From this estimate and Hölder's inequality, we deduce

$$\begin{split} \int_{Q} \left| \frac{\partial u_{\varepsilon}}{\partial x_{l}} \right|^{q_{l}} \mathrm{d}x &\leqslant C_{2} + C_{3} \sum_{\gamma=\gamma_{0}}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\gamma^{\frac{p_{l}-q_{l}}{p_{l}}} \overline{q^{\star}}} \left(\int_{B_{\gamma}} |u_{\varepsilon}|^{\overline{q^{\star}}} \mathrm{d}x \right)^{(p_{l}-q_{l})/p_{l}} \\ &\leqslant C_{2} + C_{4} \left(\sum_{\gamma=\gamma_{0}}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\gamma^{\frac{p_{l}-q_{l}}{q_{l}}} \overline{q^{\star}}} \right)^{q_{l}/p_{l}} \\ &\times \left(\sum_{\gamma=\gamma_{0}}^{\infty} \int_{B_{\gamma}} |u_{\varepsilon}|^{\overline{q^{\star}}} \mathrm{d}x \right)^{(p_{l}-q_{l})/p_{l}}. \end{split}$$
(2.9)

The anisotropic Sobolev inequality (2.1) gives

$$\left(\int_{Q} |u_{\varepsilon}|^{\overline{q^{\star}}} dx\right)^{1/\overline{q^{\star}}} \leq C_{5} \prod_{l=1}^{N} \left[\left(\int_{Q} \left| \frac{\partial u_{\varepsilon}}{\partial x_{l}} \right|^{q_{l}} dx \right)^{1/q_{l}} + \left(\int_{Q} |u_{\varepsilon}|^{q_{l}} dx\right)^{1/q_{l}} \right]^{1/N}, \quad (2.10)$$

where $\overline{q}^* := N\overline{q}/(N - \overline{q})$ (note $\overline{q} \in (1, N)$). Since $q_l < p_l$, it follows from (2.10) and (2.2) that

$$\left(\int_{Q} |u_{\varepsilon}|^{\overline{q^{\star}}} \,\mathrm{d}x\right)^{\frac{1}{\overline{q^{\star}}}} \leqslant C_{6} \prod_{l=1}^{N} \left(\int_{Q} \left|\frac{\partial u_{\varepsilon}}{\partial x_{l}}\right|^{q_{l}} \,\mathrm{d}x\right)^{\frac{1}{q_{l}N}} + C_{7}.$$
(2.11)

By (2.11), (2.9), and the fact that $\frac{p_l-q_l}{q_l}\overline{q}^{\star} > 1$ thanks to (2.5),

$$\begin{split} \left(\int_{Q} |u_{\varepsilon}|^{\overline{q^{\star}}} \mathrm{d}x\right)^{\frac{1}{\overline{q^{\star}}}} &\leqslant C_{9} + C_{10} \prod_{l=1}^{N} \left(\int_{Q} |u_{\varepsilon}|^{\overline{q^{\star}}} \mathrm{d}x\right)^{\frac{p_{l}-q_{l}}{q_{l}p_{l}N}} \\ &= C_{9} + C_{10} \left(\int_{Q} |u_{\varepsilon}|^{\overline{q^{\star}}} \mathrm{d}x\right)^{\sum_{l=1}^{N} \frac{p_{l}-q_{l}}{q_{l}p_{l}N}} \\ &= C_{9} + C_{10} \left(\int_{Q} |u_{\varepsilon}|^{\overline{q^{\star}}} \mathrm{d}x\right)^{\frac{1}{\overline{q}} - \frac{1}{\overline{p}}}. \end{split}$$

In other words,

$$\|u_{\varepsilon}\|_{L^{\overline{q}^{\star}}(Q)} \leqslant C_{9} + C_{10} \|u_{\varepsilon}\|_{L^{\overline{q}^{\star}}(Q)}^{a}, \quad a := \frac{\overline{p} - \overline{q}}{\overline{q} \, \overline{p}} \overline{q}^{\star}.$$

One checks easily that the assumption $\overline{p} < N$ implies a < 1, and we can therefore conclude that (2.7) holds. Moreover, (2.6) follows from (2.9) and (2.7).

Let $q_l = \kappa p_l$, l = 1, ..., N, for any $\kappa \in (0, \frac{N(\overline{p}-1)}{\overline{p}(N-1)})$. Let $\gamma = \frac{1-\kappa}{\kappa} \overline{q}^{\star}$, so that $\gamma \frac{q_l}{p_l - q_l} = \overline{q}^{\star}$. Recalling $\frac{p_l - q_l}{q_l} \overline{q}^{\star} > 1$, we see that $\gamma > 1$. Using Hölder's inequality and then estimate (2.4), we obtain

$$\begin{split} \int_{Q} \left| \frac{\partial u_{\varepsilon}}{\partial x_{l}} \right|^{q_{l}} \mathrm{d}x &\leq \left(\int_{Q} \frac{\left| \frac{\partial u_{\varepsilon}}{\partial x_{l}} \right|^{p_{l}}}{(1 + |u_{\varepsilon}|)^{\gamma}} \mathrm{d}x \right)^{q_{l}/p_{l}} \left(\int_{Q} (1 + |u_{\varepsilon}|)^{\gamma \frac{q_{l}}{p_{l}-q_{l}}} \mathrm{d}x \right)^{(p_{l}-q_{l})/p_{l}} \\ &\leq C_{11} \left(\int_{Q} \left(1 + |u_{\varepsilon}| \right)^{\gamma \frac{q_{l}}{p_{l}-q_{l}}} \mathrm{d}x \right)^{(p_{l}-q_{l})/p_{l}} \\ &\leq C_{12} \left(\int_{Q} |u_{\varepsilon}|^{\overline{q^{*}}} \mathrm{d}x \right)^{(p_{l}-q_{l})/p_{l}} + C_{13}. \end{split}$$
(2.12)

Inserting this into (2.11) and proceeding as above, we conclude that (2.6) and (2.7) hold under condition (2.4) instead of (2.3). \Box

3. Statements of Results

Instead of (1.1) we will consider more general nonlinear anisotropic elliptic equations of the form

$$-\operatorname{div} A(x, \nabla u) - \operatorname{div} g(x, u) + h(x, u) = f(x) \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^{N}.$$
(3.1)

The vector field $A : \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}^N \to \mathbb{R}^N$ has components $a_l : \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}^N \to \mathbb{R}$, l = 1, ..., N, and we assume that there exist two constants C_A and C'_A such that for all $\xi_1, \xi_2 \in \mathbb{R}^N$ and for a.e. x

$$A(x,\xi) \cdot \xi \ge C_A \sum_{l=1}^N |\xi|^{p_l}, \qquad (3.2)$$

$$|a_l(x,\xi)| \leqslant C'_A \left(1 + \sum_{\ell=1}^N |\xi_\ell|^{p_\ell - 1} \right), \quad l = 1, \dots, N,$$
(3.3)

$$[A(x,\xi_1) - A(x,\xi_2)][\xi_1 - \xi_2] > 0, \quad \xi_1 \neq \xi_2.$$
(3.4)

The advection field $g : \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}^N$ has continuous components $g_l : \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}, l = 1, ..., N$, and satisfies the following conditions:

$$|g(x,\sigma)| \leq C_g |\sigma|^{s-\eta}$$
, for a.e. $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$ and for all $\sigma \in \mathbb{R}$, (3.5)

$$|\operatorname{div}_{x} g(x,\sigma)| \leq C'_{g} |\sigma|^{s-\eta}, \quad \text{for a.e. } x \in \mathbb{R}^{N} \text{ and for all } \sigma \in \mathbb{R},$$
 (3.6)

for some constants C_g , C'_g and some $\eta \in (1, s)$.

The nonlinear function $h : \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ is assumed to be measurable in $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$ for all $\sigma \in \mathbb{R}$ and continuous in $\sigma \in \mathbb{R}$ for a.e. $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$. Furthermore,

$$h(x,\sigma)\sigma \ge 0$$
, for all $\sigma \in \mathbb{R}$ and a.e. $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$, (3.7)

$$\sup\{|h(x,\sigma)|: |\sigma| \leq \tau\} \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^N), \quad \forall \tau \in \mathbb{R}.$$
(3.8)

ANISOTROPIC ELLIPTIC AND PARABOLIC EQUATIONS

Finally, there should exist $s > p_l, l = 1, ..., N$, such that

$$h(x,\sigma)\operatorname{sign}(\sigma) \ge |\sigma|^s$$
, for all $\sigma \in \mathbb{R}$ and a.e. $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$. (3.9)

3.7

We look for distributional solutions to (3.1) in the following sense:

DEFINITION 3.1. A distributional solution of (3.1) is a function $u : \mathbb{R}^N \to \mathbb{R}$ such

$$u \in W^{1,1}_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^N) \cap L^s_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^N), \quad A(x, \nabla u) \in (L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^N))^N,$$

and $\forall \varphi \in C_c^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (A(x, \nabla u) + g(x, u)) \cdot \nabla \varphi \, \mathrm{d}x + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} h(x, u) \varphi \, \mathrm{d}x = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f \varphi \, \mathrm{d}x. \quad (3.10)$$

Note that (1.3) and the conditions on g, h imply that all the terms in (3.10) are well-defined.

Our main results are collected in the following theorem:

THEOREM 3.1. Assume (3.2)–(3.9) hold and the corresponding exponents p_1, \ldots, p_N and s are restricted as in (1.2). Let $f \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Then (3.1) has at least one distributional solution u. If $f \ge 0$, then $u \ge 0$. Moreover, u possesses the regularity stated in (1.3). Finally, if $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and $\overline{p} > N$, then $u \in L^\infty_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^N)$.

4. Proof of Theorem 3.1

For any R > 0, let $B_R = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^N : |x| < R\}$. In what follows, it is always understood that ε takes values in a sequence tending to zero. Let $(f_{\varepsilon})_{0 < \varepsilon < 1} \subset C_c^{\infty}(\Omega)$ be a sequence of smooth approximations of f such that

$$\begin{cases} |f_{\varepsilon}| \leqslant \frac{1}{\varepsilon} & \text{and } |f_{\varepsilon}| \leqslant |f|; \\ f_{\varepsilon} \to f & \text{in } L^{1}_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^{N}) \text{ as } \varepsilon \to 0. \end{cases}$$

$$(4.1)$$

Then classical results, see, e.g., [13, 12, 10], provide us with the existence of a sequence of functions

$$(u_{\varepsilon})_{0<\varepsilon\leqslant 1}\subset \bigcap_{l=1}^{N}W_{0}^{1,p_{l}}(B_{\frac{1}{\varepsilon}})\cap L^{s}(B_{\frac{1}{\varepsilon}}),$$

each of them satisfying the weak formulation

$$\int_{B_{\frac{1}{\varepsilon}}} (A(x, \nabla u_{\varepsilon}) + g(x, u_{\varepsilon})) \cdot \nabla \varphi \, \mathrm{d}x + \int_{B_{\frac{1}{\varepsilon}}} h(x, u_{\varepsilon})\varphi = \int_{B_{\frac{1}{\varepsilon}}} f_{\varepsilon} \varphi \, \mathrm{d}x, \quad (4.2)$$

for all $\varphi \in \bigcap_{l=1}^{N} W_0^{1, p_l}(B_{\frac{1}{\varepsilon}}) \cap L^{\infty}(B_{\frac{1}{\varepsilon}})$, where

$$W_0^{1,p_l}(B_{\frac{1}{\varepsilon}}) = \left\{ u \in W_0^{1,1}(B_{\frac{1}{\varepsilon}}) : \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_l} \in L^{p_l}(B_{\frac{1}{\varepsilon}}) \right\}.$$

The proof of Theorem 3.1 consists of three main steps. First, we prove ε -uniform local a priori estimates for u_{ε} , which imply a.e. convergence of u_{ε} . Second, we prove strong L^1_{loc} convergence of the nonlinear terms in (4.2). Finally, we complete the proof of Theorem 3.1 by passing to the limit in (4.1) as $\varepsilon \to 0$.

In the remaining part of this paper, we use C, C_1 , C_2 , etc. to denote constants that are independent of ε .

4.1. A PRIORI ESTIMATES

PROPOSITION 4.1. Assume (3.2)–(3.9) hold, and that the exponents p_1, \ldots, p_N and *s* are restricted as in (1.2). Set $R := 1/\varepsilon$, and let ρ be any number such that $0 < 2\rho < R$. Then, there exist a constant *C*, not depending on ε , such that

$$\|u_{\varepsilon}\|_{L^{s}(B_{\rho})} \leqslant C \tag{4.3}$$

and

$$\|h(x, u_{\varepsilon})\|_{L^{1}(B_{\rho})} \leqslant C. \tag{4.4}$$

Moreover, for every $1 \leq q_l < \frac{N(\overline{p}-1)}{\overline{p}(N-1)}p_l$ there exists a constant C, depending on B_{ρ} , N, $p_1, \ldots, p_N, q_1, \ldots, q_N$, $\|f\|_{L^1(B_{2\rho})}$ but not ε , such that

$$\left\|\frac{\partial u_{\varepsilon}}{\partial x_{l}}\right\|_{L^{q_{l}}(B_{o'})} \leqslant C, \quad l = 1, \dots, N,$$
(4.5)

and

$$\|u_{\varepsilon}\|_{L^{\overline{q}}(B_{\rho'})} \leq C, \quad \frac{1}{\overline{q}} := \frac{1}{N} \sum_{l=1}^{N} \frac{1}{q_l},$$
(4.6)

for any ρ' such that $0 < \rho' < \rho$.

Proof. Following [5], we introduce for $\gamma > 1$ the test function

$$\varphi_{\gamma}(\sigma) = \begin{cases} (\gamma - 1) \int_{0}^{\sigma} \frac{1}{(1+t)^{\gamma}} dt = 1 - \frac{1}{(1+\sigma)^{\gamma-1}}, & \sigma \ge 0, \\ -\varphi_{\gamma}(-\sigma), & \sigma < 0, \end{cases}$$
(4.7)

and a smooth cut-off function $\theta = \theta(x)$ that is supported in the ball $B_{2\rho}$ (recall $0 < 2\rho < R$) such that $0 \le \theta \le 1$, $\theta(x) = 1$ for $|x| \le \rho$, and $|\nabla \theta| \le 2/\rho$. Observe that $|\varphi_{\gamma}| \le 1$ and, by assuming $\rho \ge 2$, there holds $|\nabla \theta| \le 1$.

214

ANISOTROPIC ELLIPTIC AND PARABOLIC EQUATIONS

Let $\alpha > 1$. Inserting $\varphi = \varphi_{\gamma}(u_{\varepsilon})\theta^{\alpha}$ into (4.2) gives

$$\int_{B_R} A(x, \nabla u_{\varepsilon}) \cdot \nabla u_{\varepsilon} \varphi_{\gamma}'(u_{\varepsilon}) \theta^{\alpha} \, \mathrm{d}x + \int_{B_R} g(x, u_{\varepsilon}) \cdot \nabla u_{\varepsilon} \varphi_{\gamma}'(u_{\varepsilon}) \theta^{\alpha} \, \mathrm{d}x + \int_{B_R} h(x, u_{\varepsilon}) \varphi_{\gamma}(u_{\varepsilon}) \theta^{\alpha} \, \mathrm{d}x + \alpha \int_{B_R} A(x, \nabla u_{\varepsilon}) \cdot \nabla \theta \, \varphi_{\gamma}(u_{\varepsilon}) \theta^{\alpha-1} \, \mathrm{d}x + \alpha \int_{B_R} g(x, u_{\varepsilon}) \cdot \nabla \theta \varphi_{\gamma}(u_{\varepsilon}) \theta^{\alpha-1} \, \mathrm{d}x = \int_{B_R} f_{\varepsilon} \varphi_{\gamma}(u_{\varepsilon}) \theta^{\alpha} \, \mathrm{d}x.$$
(4.8)

Now we choose γ and α so that (recall from (3.5) and (3.6) that $\eta \in (1, s)$)

$$1 < \gamma < \frac{s}{p_l - 1}, \qquad \alpha > \max\left\{s, \frac{s}{\eta - 1}\right\},$$

and $\alpha > \frac{p_l s}{s - \gamma(p_l - 1)}, \quad l = 1, \dots, N.$ (4.9)

Let us introduce the vector field $G = (G_1, \ldots, G_N)$ defined by

$$G_l(x,\sigma) = \int_0^\sigma g_l(x,t)\varphi'_{\gamma}(t) \,\mathrm{d}t, \quad l = 1, \dots, N.$$

Using the divergence theorem, G(x, 0) = 0, (3.5) and (3.6), the condition (4.9) on α , $|\nabla \theta| \leq 1$, $\theta^{\alpha} \leq \theta^{\alpha-1}$, and Young's inequality, we estimate as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{B_R} g(x, u_{\varepsilon}) \cdot \nabla u_{\varepsilon} \varphi_{\gamma}'(u_{\varepsilon}) \theta^{\alpha} \, \mathrm{d}x \right| \\ &= \left| \int_{B_R} \operatorname{div} G(x, u_{\varepsilon}) \theta^{\alpha} \, \mathrm{d}x - \int_{B_R} \left(\int_0^{u_{\varepsilon}} \operatorname{div}_x g_l(x, t) \varphi_{\gamma}'(t) \, \mathrm{d}t \right) \theta^{\alpha} \, \mathrm{d}x \right| \\ &= \left| - \int_{B_R} \alpha \theta^{\alpha - 1} G(x, u_{\varepsilon}) \cdot \nabla \theta \, \mathrm{d}x - \int_{B_R} \left(\theta^{\alpha} \int_0^{u_{\varepsilon}} \operatorname{div}_x g(x, t) \varphi_{\gamma}'(t) \, \mathrm{d}t \right) \mathrm{d}x \right| \\ &\leq C_1 \int_{B_R} |u_{\varepsilon}|^{s - \eta + 1} \theta^{\alpha - 1} \, \mathrm{d}x + C_2 \int_{B_R} |u_{\varepsilon}|^{s - \eta + 1} \theta^{\alpha} \, \mathrm{d}x \\ &\leq C_3 \int_{B_R} |u_{\varepsilon}|^{s - \eta + 1} \theta^{\alpha - 1} \, \mathrm{d}x = C_3 \int_{B_R} |u_{\varepsilon}|^{s - \eta + 1} \theta^{\frac{s - \eta + 1}{s} \alpha} \theta^{\frac{\eta - 1}{s} \alpha - 1} \, \mathrm{d}x \\ &\leq \frac{1}{8} \int_{B_R} \varphi_{\gamma}(1) |u_{\varepsilon}|^{s} \theta^{\alpha} \, \mathrm{d}x + C_4 \int_{B_R} \theta^{\alpha - \frac{s}{\eta - 1}} \, \mathrm{d}x \\ &\leq \frac{1}{8} \int_{B_R} \varphi_{\gamma}(1) |u_{\varepsilon}|^{s} \theta^{\alpha} \, \mathrm{d}x + C_5 \mathrm{meas}(B_{2\rho}). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we deduce the estimate

$$\left|\int_{B_R} g(x, u_{\varepsilon}) \cdot \nabla \theta \varphi_{\gamma}(u_{\varepsilon}) \theta^{\alpha - 1} \, \mathrm{d}x\right|$$

MOSTAFA BENDAHMANE AND KENNETH H. KARLSEN

$$\leq \int_{B_{\rho}} |g(x, u_{\varepsilon})| \theta^{\alpha - 1} \, \mathrm{d}x \leq \frac{1}{8} \int_{B_{R}} \varphi_{\gamma}(1) |u_{\varepsilon}|^{s} \theta^{\alpha} \, \mathrm{d}x + C_{6} \mathrm{meas}(B_{2\rho}).$$
(4.11)

Using the structure conditions (3.2) and (3.3) in (4.8) along with (4.10) and (4.11), we get

$$C_{A} \int_{B_{R}} \sum_{l=1}^{N} \left| \frac{\partial u_{\varepsilon}}{\partial x_{l}} \right|^{p_{l}} \varphi_{\gamma}'(u_{\varepsilon}) \theta^{\alpha} \, \mathrm{d}x + \int_{B_{R}} h(x, u_{\varepsilon}) \varphi_{\gamma}(u_{\varepsilon}) \theta^{\alpha} \, \mathrm{d}x$$

$$\leqslant \int_{B_{2\rho}} |f| + C_{7} \int_{B_{R}} \sum_{l=1}^{N} \left| \frac{\partial u_{\varepsilon}}{\partial x_{l}} \right|^{p_{l}-1} \theta^{\alpha-1} \, \mathrm{d}x$$

$$+ \frac{1}{4} \int_{B_{R}} \varphi_{\gamma}(1) |u_{\varepsilon}|^{s} \theta^{\alpha} \, \mathrm{d}x + C_{8} \mathrm{meas}(B_{2\rho}). \tag{4.12}$$

An application of Young's inequality gives

$$\frac{\partial u_{\varepsilon}}{\partial x_{l}}\Big|^{p_{l}-1} \theta^{\alpha-1} \\
= \left|\frac{\partial u_{\varepsilon}}{\partial x_{l}}\right|^{p_{l}-1} \left(\varphi_{\gamma}'(u_{\varepsilon})\right)^{\frac{p_{l}-1}{p_{l}}} \theta^{\alpha} \frac{p_{l}-1}{p_{l}} \left(\varphi_{\gamma}'(u_{\varepsilon})\right)^{\frac{1-p_{l}}{p_{l}}} \theta^{\alpha} \frac{\alpha-p_{l}}{p_{l}} \\
\leqslant \frac{C_{A}}{2C_{7}} \left|\frac{\partial u_{\varepsilon}}{\partial x_{l}}\right|^{p_{l}} \varphi_{\gamma}'(u_{\varepsilon}) \theta^{\alpha} + C_{9} \frac{\theta^{\alpha-p_{l}}}{\varphi_{\gamma}'(u_{\varepsilon})^{p_{l}-1}} \\
= \frac{C_{A}}{2C_{7}} \left|\frac{\partial u_{\varepsilon}}{\partial x_{l}}\right|^{p_{l}} \varphi_{\gamma}'(u_{\varepsilon}) \theta^{\alpha} + C_{10} (1+|u_{\varepsilon}|)^{\gamma(p_{l}-1)} \theta^{\alpha-p_{l}} \\
= \frac{C_{A}}{2C_{7}} \left|\frac{\partial u_{\varepsilon}}{\partial x_{l}}\right|^{p_{l}} \varphi_{\gamma}'(u_{\varepsilon}) \theta^{\alpha} + C_{11} |u_{\varepsilon}|^{\gamma(p_{l}-1)} \theta^{\alpha-p_{l}} + C_{12} \theta^{\alpha-p_{l}}.$$
(4.13)

We can estimate the last term in (4.13) by another application of Young's inequality and (3.9):

$$C_{11}|u_{\varepsilon}|^{\gamma(p_{l}-1)}\theta^{\alpha-p_{l}} = C_{11}|u_{\varepsilon}|^{\gamma(p_{l}-1)}\theta^{\alpha\frac{\gamma(p_{l}-1)}{s}}\theta^{\alpha\frac{s-\gamma(p_{l}-1)}{s}-p_{l}}$$

$$\leqslant \frac{\varphi_{\gamma}(1)}{4}|u_{\varepsilon}|^{s}\theta^{\alpha} + C_{13}\theta^{\alpha-\frac{p_{l}s}{s-\gamma(p_{l}-1)}}.$$
 (4.14)

From (4.7) and (3.9), it follows that

$$h(x,\sigma)\varphi_{\gamma}(\sigma) \ge |\sigma|^{s}\varphi_{\gamma}(1), \text{ for } \sigma \ge 1 \text{ and a.e. } x \in \mathbb{R}^{N},$$

and hence

$$|\sigma|^{s} \leq h(x,\sigma) \frac{\varphi_{\gamma}(\sigma)}{\varphi_{\gamma}(1)} + 1, \quad \text{for } \sigma \in \mathbb{R} \text{ and a.e. } x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}.$$
 (4.15)

216

Using (4.13), (4.14), and (4.15) in (4.12) we obtain

$$\frac{C_A}{2} \int_{B_R} \sum_{l=1}^{N} \left| \frac{\partial u_{\varepsilon}}{\partial x_l} \right|^{p_l} \varphi_{\gamma}'(u_{\varepsilon}) \theta^{\alpha} \, \mathrm{d}x + \frac{1}{2} \int_{B_R} h(x, u_{\varepsilon}) \varphi_{\gamma}(u_{\varepsilon}) \theta^{\alpha} \, \mathrm{d}x \\
\leqslant \int_{B_{2\rho}} |f| \, \mathrm{d}x + C_{14} \mathrm{meas}(B_{2\rho}).$$
(4.16)

Using the definitions of φ_{γ} and θ , we obtain from (4.16) and (4.15) that

$$\int_{B_{\rho}} |u_{\varepsilon}|^{s} \, \mathrm{d}x \leqslant C_{15},\tag{4.17}$$

which proves (4.3) and, via (3.9), also (4.4). Moreover, it follows that

$$\sum_{l=1}^{N} \int_{B_{\rho}} \frac{\left|\frac{\partial u_{\varepsilon}}{\partial x_{l}}\right|^{p_{l}}}{(1+|u_{\varepsilon}|)^{\gamma}} \,\mathrm{d}x \leqslant C_{16}. \tag{4.18}$$

Now let $0 < \rho' < \rho$. We cover $\overline{B}_{\rho'}$ with a finite number of cubes well contained in B_{ρ} with edges parallel to the coordinate axes, and let Q be any of them. We deduce from (4.17) and (4.18) that

$$\int_{\mathcal{Q}} |u_{\varepsilon}|^s \,\mathrm{d}x \leqslant C_{17} \tag{4.19}$$

and

$$\sum_{l=1}^{N} \int_{\mathcal{Q}} \frac{\left|\frac{\partial u_{\varepsilon}}{\partial x_{l}}\right|^{p_{l}}}{(1+|u_{\varepsilon}|)^{\gamma}} \,\mathrm{d}x \leqslant C_{18}. \tag{4.20}$$

Estimates (4.5) and (4.6) are then direct consequences of (4.19), (4.20), and Lemma 2.2. $\hfill \Box$

4.2. STRONG CONVERGENCE

In this section, we will denote $B_{\rho'}$ by B_{ρ} . Given any $\rho > 0$, let ε be such that $1/\varepsilon > 2\rho$. In view of Proposition 4.1, u_{ε} is uniformly (in ε) bounded in $W^{1,q_0}(B_{\rho})$, where

$$q_0 := \min_{1 \le l \le N} q_l, \tag{4.21}$$

and q_1, \ldots, q_N are restricted as in Proposition 4.1. Without loss of generality, we can therefore assume that

$$\begin{cases} u_{\varepsilon} \to u & \text{strongly in } L^{q_0}(B_{\rho}) \text{ and a.e. in } B_{\rho}, \\ h(x, u_{\varepsilon}) \to h(x, u), & g(x, u_{\varepsilon}) \to g(x, u) & \text{a.e. in } B_{\rho}. \end{cases}$$
(4.22)

By a standard diagonal process, we can in fact assume that $u_{\varepsilon} \to u$ in $L^{1}_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^{N})$ and a.e. in \mathbb{R}^{N} , $u_{\varepsilon} \to u$ weakly in $W^{1,q_{0}}_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^{N})$, and $h(x, u_{\varepsilon}) \to h(x, u)$, $g(x, u_{\varepsilon}) \to g(x, u)$ a.e. in \mathbb{R}^{N} .

For passing to the limit in (4.2), we prove first the convergence in $L^1(B_{\rho})$ of the sequences $(h(x, u_{\varepsilon}))_{0 < \varepsilon \leq 1}$, $(g(x, u_{\varepsilon}))_{0 < \varepsilon \leq 1}$ to respectively h(x, u), g(x, u).

PROPOSITION 4.2. Assume (3.2)–(3.9) hold, and that the corresponding exponents p_1, \ldots, p_N and s are restricted as in (1.2). Then the sequences $(h(x, u_{\varepsilon}))_{0 < \varepsilon \leq 1}$ and $(g(x, u_{\varepsilon}))_{0 < \varepsilon \leq 1}$ converge to respectively h(x, u) and g(x, u) a.e. in \mathbb{R}^N and strongly in $L^1(B_{\rho})$ for any $\rho > 0$.

Proof. In view of (4.22) and a theorem of Vitali (see, e.g., [8]), it is sufficient to establish the equi-integrability of $(h(x, u_{\varepsilon}))_{0 < \varepsilon \leq 1}$ on B_{ρ} . To this end, we follow [5, 6] and introduce for $\gamma, \beta > 1$ the test function $\varphi_{\gamma,\beta}$ defined by

$$\varphi_{\gamma,\beta}(\sigma) = \begin{cases} \varphi_{\gamma}(\sigma - \beta), & \sigma \ge \beta, \\ 0, & |\sigma| < \beta, \\ -\varphi_{\gamma,\beta}(-\sigma), & \sigma \le -\beta, \end{cases}$$
(4.23)

where φ_{γ} is defined in (4.7). Let $\alpha > 1$. Inserting $\varphi = \varphi_{\gamma,\beta}(u_{\varepsilon})\theta^{\alpha}$ into (4.2) and proceeding more or less as we did up to (4.16), we find

$$\frac{C_A}{2} \int_{B_R} \sum_{l=1}^N \left| \frac{\partial u_{\varepsilon}}{\partial x_l} \right|^{p_l} \varphi'_{\gamma,\beta}(u_{\varepsilon}) \theta^{\alpha} \, \mathrm{d}x + \frac{1}{2} \int_{B_R} h(x, u_{\varepsilon}) \varphi_{\gamma,\beta}(u_{\varepsilon}) \theta^{\alpha} \, \mathrm{d}x \\
\leqslant \int_{B_{2\rho} \cap \{|u_{\varepsilon}| \ge \beta\}} |f| \, \mathrm{d}x + C_1 \mathrm{meas}(B_{2\rho} \cap \{|u_{\varepsilon}| \ge \beta\}).$$
(4.24)

Since $f \in L^1(B_{2\rho})$ and u_{ε} is bounded in $L^1(B_{2\rho})$ uniformly with respect to ε ,

$$\int_{B_{2\rho} \cap \{|u_{\varepsilon}| \ge \beta\}} |f| \, \mathrm{d}x + \operatorname{meas}(B_{2\rho} \cap \{|u_{\varepsilon}| \ge \beta\}) \to 0, \quad \text{as } \beta \to \infty.$$
(4.25)

From (4.23), (3.7), (4.24), and (4.25), we conclude that

$$\int_{B_{\rho} \cap \{|u_{\varepsilon}| \ge \beta + 1\}} |h(x, u_{\varepsilon})| \, \mathrm{d}x \leqslant C \int_{B_{R}} h(x, u_{\varepsilon}) \varphi_{\gamma, \beta}(u_{\varepsilon}) \theta^{\alpha} \, \mathrm{d}x \xrightarrow{\beta \to \infty} 0$$
(uniformly in ε).

By (3.8), this implies the desired equi-integrability of $(h(x, u_{\varepsilon}))_{0 < \varepsilon \leq 1}$.

From (3.5) and the convergence proof just given, we deduce easily that $g(x, u_{\varepsilon})$ converges to g(x, u) a.e. in \mathbb{R}^N and strongly in $L^1(B_{\rho})$ for any $\rho > 0$.

PROPOSITION 4.3. Assume (3.2)–(3.9) hold, and that the corresponding exponents p_1, \ldots, p_N and s are restricted as in (1.2). Then the sequence $(A(x, \nabla u_{\varepsilon}))_{0 < \varepsilon \leq 1}$ converges to $A(x, \nabla u)$ a.e. in \mathbb{R}^N and strongly in $L^1(B_{\rho})$ for any $\rho > 0$.

Proof. As in [5, 6], we prove first that the sequence $(\nabla u_{\varepsilon})_{0 < \varepsilon \leq 1}$ converges to ∇u in measure on B_{ρ} , which implies a.e. convergence after passing to a suitable

subsequence. It suffices to show that $(\nabla u_{\varepsilon})_{0 < \varepsilon \leq 1}$ is a Cauchy sequence in measure on B_{ρ} , i.e., for any $\mu > 0$,

$$\operatorname{meas}(\{x \in B_{\rho} : |(\nabla u_{\varepsilon'} - \nabla u_{\varepsilon})(x)| \ge \mu\}) \to 0, \quad \operatorname{as} \varepsilon, \varepsilon' \to 0.$$

For any γ , $\delta > 0$, we have

$$\{x \in B_{\rho} : |(\nabla u_{\varepsilon'} - \nabla u_{\varepsilon})(x)| \ge \mu\} \subset L_1 \cup L_2 \cup L_3 \cup L_4,$$

where $L_1 = \{x \in B_\rho : |\nabla u_\varepsilon(x)| \ge \gamma\}, L_2 = \{x \in B_\rho : |\nabla u_{\varepsilon'}(x)| \ge \gamma\},\$

$$L_3 = \{ x \in B_{\rho} : |(u_{\varepsilon} - u_{\varepsilon'}(x))| \ge \delta \},\$$

and

$$L_4 = \{ x \in B_{\rho} : |(\nabla u_{\varepsilon} - \nabla u_{\varepsilon'})(x)| \ge \mu, |\nabla u_{\varepsilon}(x)| \le \gamma, \\ |\nabla u_{\varepsilon'}(x)| \le \gamma, |(u_{\varepsilon} - u_{\varepsilon'})(x)| \le \delta \}.$$

In view of Proposition 4.1, by choosing γ large we can make meas (L_1) and meas (L_2) arbitrarily small. Since $(u_{\varepsilon})_{0 < \varepsilon \leq 1}$ is a Cauchy sequence in $L^1(B_{\rho})$, then, for $\delta > 0$ fixed, meas (L_3) tends to 0 as ε , $\varepsilon' \to 0$. It remains to control meas (L_4) . Since the set of (ξ_1, ξ_2) such that $|\xi_1| \leq \gamma$, $|\xi_2| \leq \gamma$, and $|\xi_1 - \xi_2| \leq \mu$ is a compact set and $\xi \mapsto A(x, \xi)$ is continuous for a.e. $x \in B_{\rho}$, the quantity

 $[A(x,\xi_1) - A(x,\xi_2)][\xi_1 - \xi_2]$

reaches its minimum value on this compact set, and we will denote it by q(x). By (3.4), it is not hard to verify that q(x) > 0 a.e. in B_{ρ} . Consequently, for any $\beta > 0$ there exists $\beta' > 0$ such that

$$\int_{L_4} q(x) \, \mathrm{d}x < \beta' \Longrightarrow \operatorname{meas}(L_4) \leqslant \beta.$$
(4.26)

Hence, it is sufficient to show that for any given $\beta' > 0$, one can produce a small enough $\delta > 0$ such that

$$\int_{L_4} q(x) \, \mathrm{d}x < \beta'. \tag{4.27}$$

For any $\delta > 0$, define $T_{\delta}(z) = \min(\delta, \max(z, -\delta))$. Note that T_{δ} is a Lipschitz continuous function satisfying $0 \leq |T_{\delta}(z)| \leq \delta$. By the definitions of q(x) and L_4 , we have

$$\int_{L_4} q(x) \, \mathrm{d}x \, \leqslant \, \int_{L_4} [A(x, \nabla u_\varepsilon) - A(x, \nabla u_{\varepsilon'})] [\nabla u_\varepsilon - \nabla u_{\varepsilon'}] \mathbf{1}_{\{|u_\varepsilon - u_{\varepsilon'}| \leqslant \delta\}} \, \mathrm{d}x$$
$$= \, \int_{L_4} [A(x, \nabla u_\varepsilon) - A(x, \nabla u_{\varepsilon'})] \nabla T_\delta(u_\varepsilon - u_{\varepsilon'}) \, \mathrm{d}x. \tag{4.28}$$

Let θ be the cut-off function used in the proof of Proposition 4.1. Set $p^0 := \max_{1 \le l \le N} p_l$, and let q_0 be the number defined in (4.21). Thanks to Proposition 4.1, we can find a $q \in [p^0 - 1, q_0)$ such that $\|\frac{\partial u_{\varepsilon}}{\partial x_l}\|_{L^q(B_{2\rho})}$ is bounded independently of ε for all l = 1, ..., N. Specifying $T_{\delta}(u_{\varepsilon} - u_{\varepsilon'})\theta$ as test function in the weak formulations for u_{ε} and $u_{\varepsilon'}$ and then subtracting the results, we find

$$\int_{B_{\rho}} [A(x, \nabla u_{\varepsilon}) - A(x, \nabla u_{\varepsilon'})] \cdot \nabla T_{\delta}(u_{\varepsilon} - u_{\varepsilon'}) dx$$

$$\leq 2\delta \left[C_{1} + C_{2} \int_{B_{2\rho}} \sum_{l=1}^{N} \left| \frac{\partial u_{\varepsilon}}{\partial x_{l}} \right|^{p_{l}-1} dx + C_{3} \|u_{\varepsilon}\|_{L^{s}(B_{2\rho})} + \|f\|_{L^{1}(B_{2\rho})} \right]$$

$$\leq 2\delta \left[C_{1} + C_{4} \int_{B_{2\rho}} \left| \frac{\partial u_{\varepsilon}}{\partial x_{l}} \right|^{q} dx + C_{3} \|u_{\varepsilon}\|_{L^{s}(B_{2\rho})} + \|f\|_{L^{1}(B_{2\rho})} \right]$$

$$\stackrel{\delta \to 0}{\longrightarrow} 0 \quad (\text{uniformly in } \varepsilon \text{ and } \varepsilon'). \tag{4.29}$$

For δ small enough, we have from (4.28) and (4.29) that (4.27) holds, and, by (4.26), also that meas(L_4) $\leq \beta$. Thus, we have the convergence of $(\nabla u_{\varepsilon})_{0<\varepsilon \leq 1}$ to ∇u in measure. Thanks to this measure convergence and (4.5), we can finally conclude that along a subsequence

$$A(x, \nabla u_{\varepsilon}) \to A(x, \nabla u)$$
 strongly in $L^{1}(B_{\rho})$.

4.3. COMPLETING THE PROOF OF THEOREM 3.1

In view of the previous results, we can indeed send $\varepsilon \to 0$ in the weak formulation (4.2) with $\varphi \in C_c^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$, thereby obtaining the existence of a distributional solution (in the sense of Definition 3.1) to (3.1), which possesses the regularity stated in (1.3). If $f \ge 0$, then $u_{\varepsilon} \ge 0$ a.e. in \mathbb{R}^N for any $\varepsilon > 0$. Hence the limit uis also nonnegative. The L_{loc}^{∞} -bound for u_{ε} is proved by replacing \overline{q}^* in the proof Lemma 2.2 by any number $r \in [1, \infty)$ and using Theorem 2.1.

5. The Dirichlet Problem on a Bounded Domain

Let Ω be an open bounded domain in \mathbb{R}^N ($N \ge 2$). In this section we wish to point out that the existence result obtained in the previous section also applies to the Dirichlet problem on a bounded domain. In fact, on a bounded domain (under stronger assumptions) it is possible to prove that the constructed distributional solution has regularity corresponding to the limiting case of equality in the upper bound on q_l in (1.3). Our results generalize those obtained in [4] to general problems of the form

$$\begin{cases} -\operatorname{div} A(x, \nabla u) - \operatorname{div} g(x, u) + h(x, u) = f(x) & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial \Omega, \end{cases}$$
(5.1)

where A, g, h satisfy the conditions stated in (3.2)–(3.9).

THEOREM 5.1. Assume (3.2)–(3.9) hold, and that the exponents p_1, \ldots, p_N and s are restricted as in (1.2). In addition, assume

$$p_l > \frac{1}{1+\eta-s}, \quad l = 1, \dots, N, \ \eta \in (s-1,s),$$
 (5.2)

where η is given in (3.5) and (3.6). Let $f \in L^1(\Omega)$. Then the exists at least one function $u \in W_0^{1,1}(\Omega) \cap L^s(\Omega)$ such that $A(x, \nabla u) \in L^1(\Omega)$, $\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_l} \in L^{q_l}(\Omega)$ with $1 \leq q_l < \frac{N(\overline{p}-1)}{\overline{p}(N-1)}p_l$, l = 1, ..., N, and (5.1) holds in the distribution sense. If $f \in L^1 \log L^1(\Omega)$, i.e.,

$$\int_{\Omega} |f| \log(1+|f|) \, \mathrm{d}x < \infty,$$

then there exists a distributional solution u of (5.1) such that

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_l} \in L^{q_l}(\Omega), \quad q_l = \frac{N(\overline{p}-1)}{\overline{p}(N-1)} p_l, \ l = 1, \dots, N.$$
(5.3)

Proof. Let $(u_{\varepsilon})_{0<\varepsilon \leq 1}$ be a sequence of approximate solutions satisfying the weak formulation (4.2) with $B_{\frac{1}{\varepsilon}}$ replaced by Ω . The first part of the theorem can be proved by adapting the proof of Theorem 3.1. Let us prove (5.3). Since, by (5.2), $\frac{(s-\eta)p_l}{p_l-1} < 1$, we deduce from (3.5)

$$\begin{split} &\int_{\Omega} \left| g(x, u_{\varepsilon}) \frac{\nabla u_{\varepsilon}}{(1 + |u_{\varepsilon}|)} \right| dx \\ &\leqslant C_{g} \int_{\Omega} \left| \frac{\nabla u_{\varepsilon}}{(1 + |u_{\varepsilon}|)^{1/p_{l}}} \frac{u_{\varepsilon}^{s-\eta}}{(1 + |u_{\varepsilon}|)^{1-1/p_{l}}} \right| \\ &\leqslant \frac{C_{A}}{2} \sum_{l=1}^{N} \int_{\Omega} \frac{\left| \frac{\partial u_{\varepsilon}}{\partial x_{l}} \right|^{p_{l}}}{(1 + |u_{\varepsilon}|)} dx + C_{1} \sum_{l=1}^{N} \int_{\Omega} \frac{\left| u_{\varepsilon} \right|^{\frac{(s-\eta)p_{l}}{p_{l}-1}}}{(1 + |u_{\varepsilon}|)} dx \\ &\leqslant \frac{C_{A}}{2} \sum_{l=1}^{N} \int_{\Omega} \frac{\left| \frac{\partial u_{\varepsilon}}{\partial x_{l}} \right|^{p_{l}}}{(1 + |u_{\varepsilon}|)} dx + C_{1} \sum_{l=1}^{N} \int_{\Omega} \left| u_{\varepsilon} \right|^{\frac{(s-\eta)p_{l}}{p_{l}-1}} dx \\ &\leqslant \frac{C_{A}}{2} \sum_{l=1}^{N} \int_{\Omega} \frac{\left| \frac{\partial u_{\varepsilon}}{\partial x_{l}} \right|^{p_{l}}}{(1 + |u_{\varepsilon}|)} dx + C_{1} \sum_{l=1}^{N} \int_{\Omega} (1 + |u_{\varepsilon}|)^{\frac{(s-\eta)p_{l}}{p_{l}-1}} dx \\ &\leqslant \frac{C_{A}}{2} \sum_{l=1}^{N} \int_{\Omega} \frac{\left| \frac{\partial u_{\varepsilon}}{\partial x_{l}} \right|^{p_{l}}}{(1 + |u_{\varepsilon}|)} dx + C_{2} \int_{\Omega} (1 + |u_{\varepsilon}|) dx. \end{split}$$
(5.4)

Following [4], we shall modify the proofs of Proposition 4.1 and Lemma 2.2. Inserting the test function $\varphi = \log(1 + |u_{\varepsilon}|)\operatorname{sign}(u_{\varepsilon})$ into the weak formulation for u_{ε} and using (5.4), we find after some work the following a priori estimate:

$$\frac{C_A}{2} \sum_{l=1}^N \int_{\Omega} \frac{\left|\frac{\partial u_{\varepsilon}}{\partial x_l}\right|^{p_l}}{(1+|u_{\varepsilon}|)} dx$$

$$\leq C_3 \int_{\Omega} (1+|u_{\varepsilon}|) dx + C_4 \int_{\Omega} f_{\varepsilon} \log(1+|u_{\varepsilon}|) dx$$

$$\leq C_4 \int_{\Omega} |f_{\varepsilon}| \log(1+|f_{\varepsilon}|) dx + (C_3+C_4) \int_{\Omega} (1+|u_{\varepsilon}|) dx$$

$$\leq C_5 + C_6 \int_{\Omega} (1+|u_{\varepsilon}|) dx,$$
(5.5)

where we have used the well-known inequality $xy \le x \log(1 + x) + \exp(y)$ for $x, y \ge 0$.

To turn (5.5) into an $L^{q_l}(\Omega)$ estimate on $\partial u_{\varepsilon}/\partial x_l$, we proceed as in (2.12). As in the proof of Proposition 4.1, one can prove that u_{ε} is uniformly (in ε) bounded in $L^s(\Omega)$ and thus $L^1(\Omega)$. By Hölder's inequality and then (5.5),

$$\int_{\Omega} \left| \frac{\partial u_{\varepsilon}}{\partial x_{l}} \right|^{q_{l}} \mathrm{d}x \leqslant \left(\int_{\Omega} \frac{\left| \frac{\partial u_{\varepsilon}}{\partial x_{l}} \right|^{p_{l}}}{(1+|u_{\varepsilon}|)} \mathrm{d}x \right)^{q_{l}/p_{l}} \left(\int_{\Omega} (1+|u_{\varepsilon}|)^{q_{l}/(p_{l}-q_{l})} \mathrm{d}x \right)^{(p_{l}-q_{l})/p_{l}} \\ \leqslant C_{7} \left(\int_{\Omega} (1+|u_{\varepsilon}|)^{q_{l}/(p_{l}-q_{l})} \mathrm{d}x \right)^{(p_{l}-q_{l})/p_{l}}.$$
(5.6)

Inserting (5.6) into (2.11) with Q replaced by Ω , keeping in mind that $\frac{q_l}{p_l-q_l} < \overline{q}^*$, we find

$$\begin{split} \left(\int_{\Omega} |u_{\varepsilon}|^{\overline{q}^{\star}} \,\mathrm{d}x\right)^{1/\overline{q}^{\star}} &\leqslant C_8 + C_9 \prod_{l=1}^N \left(\int_{\Omega} (1+|u_{\varepsilon}|)^{q_l/(p_l-q_l)} \,\mathrm{d}x\right)^{\frac{p_l-q_l}{q_l p_l N}} \\ &\leqslant C_{10} + C_{11} \left(\int_{\Omega} |u_{\varepsilon}|^{\overline{q}^{\star}} \,\mathrm{d}x\right)^{1/\overline{q}-1/\overline{p}}, \end{split}$$

and then we obtain (5.3) as in the proof of Lemma 2.2.

6. Parabolic Case

We consider nonlinear anisotropic parabolic equations of the form

$$\begin{cases} u_t - \operatorname{div} A(t, x, \nabla u) - \operatorname{div} g(t, x, u) + h(t, x, u) = f(t, x), \\ u(x, 0) = u_0(x), \end{cases}$$
(6.1)

where $(t, x) \in (0, T) \times \mathbb{R}^N$ and T > 0 is a fixed number. The vector field $A : (0, T) \times \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}^N \to \mathbb{R}^N$ has components $a_l : (0, T) \times \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}^N \to \mathbb{R}$, l =

1,..., *N*, and we assume that there exist two constants C_A and C'_A such that for all $\xi_1, \xi_2 \in \mathbb{R}^N$ and for a.e. (t, x)

$$A(t, x, \xi) \cdot \xi \ge C_A \sum_{l=1}^N |\xi|^{p_l},$$
(6.2)

$$|a_l(t, x, \xi)| \leqslant C'_A \left(1 + \sum_{\ell=1}^N |\xi_\ell|^{p_\ell - 1} \right), \quad l = 1, \dots, N,$$
(6.3)

$$[A(t, x, \xi_1) - A(t, x, \xi_2)][\xi_1 - \xi_2] > 0, \quad \xi_1 \neq \xi_2.$$
(6.4)

We assume that the advection field $g : (0, T) \times \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}^N$ has continuous components $g_l : (0, T) \times \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$, l = 1, ..., N, and satisfies the following conditions:

$$\begin{aligned} |g(t, x, \sigma)| &\leq C_g |\sigma|^{s-\eta}, \quad \text{for a.e. } (t, x) \in (0, T) \times \mathbb{R}^N \\ \text{and for all } \sigma \in \mathbb{R} \\ |\text{div}_x \, g(t, x, \sigma)| &\leq C'_g |\sigma|^{s-\eta}, \quad \text{for a.e. } (t, x) \in (0, T) \times \mathbb{R}^N \\ \text{and for all } \sigma \in \mathbb{R}, \end{aligned}$$
(6.5)

for some constants C_g , C'_g and some $\eta \in (1, s)$.

The function $h: (0, T) \times \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ is assumed to be measurable in $(t, x) \in (0, T) \times \mathbb{R}^N$ for all $\sigma \in \mathbb{R}$ and continuous in $\sigma \in \mathbb{R}$ for a.e. $(t, x) \in (0, T) \times \mathbb{R}^N$. Furthermore,

$$h(t, x, \sigma)\sigma \ge 0$$
, for all $\sigma \in \mathbb{R}$ and a.e. $(t, x) \in (0, T) \times \mathbb{R}^N$, (6.7)

$$\sup\{|h(t, x, \sigma)| : |\sigma| \leq \tau\} \in L^1(0, T; L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^N)), \quad \forall \tau \in \mathbb{R}.$$
(6.8)

Finally, there should exist $s > p_l, l = 1, ..., N$, such that

 $h(t, x, \sigma)$ sign $(\sigma) \ge |\sigma|^s$,

for all
$$\sigma \in \mathbb{R}$$
 and a.e. $(t, x) \in (0, T) \times \mathbb{R}^N$. (6.9)

The data f, u_0 are assumed to satisfy

$$f \in L^1(0, T; L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^N)), \qquad u_0 \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^N).$$
 (6.10)

We assume that the exponents p_1, \ldots, p_N and s satisfy the following conditions:

$$\begin{cases} \overline{p} < N + \frac{N}{N+1}, & \frac{1}{\overline{p}} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{l=1}^{N} \frac{1}{p_l}, \\ 2 - \frac{1}{N+1} < p_l < \frac{\overline{p}(N+1)}{N}, & l = 1, \dots, N, \\ s > p_l, & l = 1, \dots, N. \end{cases}$$
(6.11)

We seek solutions to (6.1) in the following sense:

DEFINITION 6.1. A distributional solution of (6.1) is a function

$$u \in L^{1}(0, T; W^{1,1}_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^{N})) \cap L^{s}(0, T, L^{s}_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^{N})),$$
$$A(t, x, \nabla u) \in (L^{1}(0, T; L^{1}_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^{N})))^{N},$$

that satisfies

$$-\int_{0}^{T} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} u\varphi_{t} \, \mathrm{d}x \, \mathrm{d}t + \int_{0}^{T} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} (A(t, x, \nabla u) + g(t, x, u)) \cdot \nabla \varphi \, \mathrm{d}x \, \mathrm{d}t$$
$$+ \int_{0}^{T} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} h(t, x, u)\varphi \, \mathrm{d}x \, \mathrm{d}t$$
$$= \int_{0}^{T} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} f\varphi \, \mathrm{d}x \, \mathrm{d}t + \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} u_{0}(x)\varphi(0, x) \, \mathrm{d}x, \qquad (6.12)$$

for all $\varphi \in C_0^1([0, T) \times \mathbb{R}^N)$.

Our main existence result for (6.1) is stated the following theorem:

THEOREM 6.1. Assume (6.2)–(6.10) hold and that the corresponding exponents p_1, \ldots, p_N and s are restricted as in (6.11). Then (6.1) has at least one distributional solution u. If $f, u_0 \ge 0$, then $u \ge 0$. Moreover, u possesses the regularity

$$u \in \bigcap_{l=1}^{N} L^{q_{l}}(0, T, W_{\text{loc}}^{1,q_{l}}(\mathbb{R}^{N})), \quad 1 \leq q_{l} < \frac{p_{l}}{\overline{p}} \left(\overline{p} - \frac{N}{N+1}\right).$$
(6.13)

Finally, if $f, u_0 \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and $\overline{p} > N$, then $u \in L^{\infty}_{loc}((0, T) \times \mathbb{R}^N)$.

Proof. The proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 3.1, so we just sketch it. Let $\{f_{\varepsilon}\}_{0<\varepsilon\leq 1}$ and $\{u_{0,\varepsilon}\}_{0<\varepsilon\leq 1}$ be sequences functions satisfying

$$\begin{cases} f_{\varepsilon} \in C_{c}^{\infty}([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^{N}) & \text{and} \quad u_{0,\varepsilon} \in C_{c}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{N}); \\ |f_{\varepsilon}| \leq \frac{1}{\varepsilon}, \quad |f_{\varepsilon}| \leq |f|, \quad f_{\varepsilon} \to f \quad \text{in } L^{1}(0, T; L_{\text{loc}}^{1}(\mathbb{R}^{N})) \text{ as } \varepsilon \to 0; \\ |u_{0,\varepsilon}| \leq \frac{1}{\varepsilon}, \quad |u_{0,\varepsilon}| \leq |u_{0}|, \quad u_{0,\varepsilon} \to u_{0} \quad \text{in } L_{\text{loc}}^{1}(\mathbb{R}^{N}) \text{ as } \varepsilon \to 0; \end{cases}$$
(6.14)

Set $R = 1/\varepsilon$. Then, classical results, see, e.g., [12, 9], provide the existence of a sequence of functions

$$\begin{cases} u_{\varepsilon} \in \bigcap_{l=1}^{N} L^{p_{l}}(0, T; W_{0}^{1, p_{l}}(B_{R})) \cap L^{s}((0, T) \times B_{R}) \cap C([0, T]; L^{2}(B_{R})), \\ \partial_{t} u_{\varepsilon} \in \sum_{l=1}^{N} L^{p_{l}'}(0, T; (W_{0}^{1, p_{l}}(B_{R}))'), \end{cases}$$

each of them satisfying the weak formulation

$$\int_{0}^{T} \langle \partial_{t} u_{\varepsilon}, \varphi \rangle \, \mathrm{d}t + \int_{0}^{T} \int_{B_{R}} (A(t, x, \nabla u_{\varepsilon}) + g(t, x, u_{\varepsilon})) \cdot \nabla \varphi \, \mathrm{d}x \, \mathrm{d}t + \int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Omega} h(t, x, u_{\varepsilon}) \varphi \, \mathrm{d}x \, \mathrm{d}t = \int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Omega} f_{\varepsilon} \varphi \, \mathrm{d}x \, \mathrm{d}t,$$
(6.15)

for all $\varphi \in \bigcap_{l=1}^{N} L^{p_l}(0, T; W_0^{1, p_l}(B_R)) \cap L^{\infty}((0, T) \times B_R)$. Moreover, the maximum principle holds, so that $u_{0,\varepsilon} \ge 0$ and $f_{\varepsilon} \ge 0$ imply $u_{\varepsilon} \ge 0$.

We introduce the function

$$\psi_{\gamma}(\sigma) = \int_0^{\sigma} \varphi_{\gamma}(s) \,\mathrm{d}s, \quad \text{where } \varphi_{\gamma} \text{ is defined in (4.7).}$$
 (6.16)

As in the proof of Proposition 4.1, we take $\varphi = \varphi_{\gamma}(u_{\varepsilon})\theta^{\alpha}$ as a test function in (6.15) and find

$$\begin{split} \int_{B_R} \psi_{\gamma}(u_{\varepsilon}(x,T))\theta^{\alpha} \, \mathrm{d}x &+ \int_0^T \!\!\!\int_{B_R} A(t,x,\nabla u_{\varepsilon}) \cdot \nabla u_{\varepsilon} \varphi_{\gamma}'(u_{\varepsilon})\theta^{\alpha} \, \mathrm{d}x \, \mathrm{d}t \\ &+ \int_0^T \!\!\!\int_{B_R} g(t,x,u_{\varepsilon}) \cdot \nabla u_{\varepsilon} \varphi_{\gamma}'(u_{\varepsilon})\theta^{\alpha} \, \mathrm{d}x \, \mathrm{d}t \\ &+ \int_0^T \!\!\!\int_{B_R} h(t,x,u_{\varepsilon}) \varphi_{\gamma}(u_{\varepsilon})\theta \, \mathrm{d}x \, \mathrm{d}t \\ &+ \alpha \int_0^T \!\!\!\int_{B_R} A(t,x,\nabla u_{\varepsilon}) \cdot \nabla \theta \varphi_{\gamma}(u_{\varepsilon})\theta^{\alpha-1} \, \mathrm{d}x \, \mathrm{d}t \\ &+ \alpha \int_0^T \!\!\!\int_{B_R} g(t,x,u_{\varepsilon}) \cdot \nabla \theta \varphi_{\gamma}(u_{\varepsilon})\theta^{\alpha-1} \, \mathrm{d}x \, \mathrm{d}t \\ &= \int_{B_R} \psi_{\gamma}(u_{0,\varepsilon})\theta^{\alpha} \, \mathrm{d}x + \int_0^T \!\!\!\int_{B_R} f_{\varepsilon} \varphi_{\gamma}(u_{\varepsilon})\theta^{\alpha} \, \mathrm{d}x \, \mathrm{d}t. \end{split}$$

We choose γ and α according to (4.9).

Proceeding as in the proof of Proposition 4.1 up to (4.16), we find eventually that

$$\int_{B_R} \psi_{\gamma}(u_{\varepsilon}(x,T))\theta(x)^{\alpha} dx + \frac{C_A}{2} \int_0^T \int_{B_R} \sum_{l=1}^N \left| \frac{\partial u_{\varepsilon}}{\partial x_l} \right|^{p_l} \varphi_{\gamma}'(u_{\varepsilon})\theta^{\alpha} dx dt$$
$$+ \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T \int_{B_R} h(t,x,u_{\varepsilon})\varphi_{\gamma}(u_{\varepsilon})\theta^{\alpha} dx dt$$
$$\leqslant \int_{B_{2\rho}} \psi_{\gamma}(u_0(x)) dx + \int_0^T \int_{B_{2\rho}} |f| dx dt + C_1 T \operatorname{meas}(B_{2\rho}), \qquad (6.17)$$

which in turn implies the existence of a constant C_2 , independent of ε as long as $R = 1/\varepsilon > 2\rho$ for a given ρ , such that

$$\sum_{l=1}^{N} \int_{0}^{T} \int_{B_{\rho}} \frac{\left|\frac{\partial u_{\varepsilon}}{\partial x_{l}}\right|^{p_{l}}}{(1+|u_{\varepsilon}|)^{\gamma}} \,\mathrm{d}x \,\mathrm{d}t \leqslant C_{2}$$

$$(6.18)$$

and

$$\int_0^T \int_{B_{\rho}} |u_{\varepsilon}|^s \,\mathrm{d}x \,\mathrm{d}t \leqslant C_2. \tag{6.19}$$

Note that from definition of ψ_{γ} and estimate (6.17), we deduce also that

$$\sup_{t\in(0,T)}\int_{B_{\rho}}|u_{\varepsilon}|\,\mathrm{d}x\leqslant C_{2}.$$
(6.20)

Now let $0 < \rho' < \rho$. We cover $\overline{B}_{\rho'}$ with a finite number of cubes well contained in B_{ρ} with edges parallel to the coordinate axes, and let Q be any of them. In view of (6.18), (6.19), (6.20), we can then carry out the "interpolation" step as in [2, 11] and obtain

$$\left\|\frac{\partial u_{\varepsilon}}{\partial x_{l}}\right\|_{L^{q_{l}}((0,T)\times Q)} \leqslant C_{3}, \quad l=1,\ldots,N,$$
(6.21)

and

$$\|u_{\varepsilon}\|_{L^{\overline{q}}((0,T)\times Q)} \leqslant C_4, \tag{6.22}$$

for every q_l satisfying the condition in (6.13).

Then we deduce from (6.21) and (6.22) that

$$\left\|\frac{\partial u_{\varepsilon}}{\partial x_{l}}\right\|_{L^{q_{l}}((0,T)\times B_{o'})} \leqslant C_{5}, \quad l=1,\ldots,N,$$
(6.23)

and

$$\|u_{\varepsilon}\|_{L^{\overline{q}}((0,T)\times B_{q'})} \leqslant C_6, \tag{6.24}$$

for every q_l satisfying the condition in (6.13).

Let $q_0 = \min_{1 \le l \le N} q_l$. Given any ρ , ρ' such that $0 < \rho' < \rho$, u_{ε} is uniformly bounded in $L^{q_0}(0, T; W^{1,q_0}(B_{\rho'}))$ as long as $1/\varepsilon > 2\rho$. This is a consequence of (6.23) and (6.24). This implies that $\partial_t u_{\varepsilon}$ is uniformly bounded in $L^1(0, T; (W^{1,q_0}(B_{\rho'}))') + L^1(0, T; L^1(B_{\rho'}))$.

We can therefore assume that as $\varepsilon \to 0$ (see, e.g., [15, Corollary 4])

 $u_{\varepsilon} \to u$ strongly in $L^{q_0}((0, T) \times B_{\rho'})$ for any ρ' and a.e. in $(0, T) \times \mathbb{R}^N$,

and $h(t, x, u_{\varepsilon}) \rightarrow h(t, x, u)$ a.e. in $(0, T) \times \mathbb{R}^{N}$.

226

We prove the strong convergence in $L^1(0, T; L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^N))$ of

 $(h(t, x, u_{\varepsilon}))_{0 < \varepsilon \leq 1}, \qquad (g(t, x, u_{\varepsilon}))_{0 < \varepsilon \leq 1}, \qquad (A(t, x, \nabla u_{\varepsilon}))_{0 < \varepsilon \leq 1}$

as in the proofs of Propositions 4.2 and 4.3. Hence we conclude that the limit function u is a distribution solution of (1.1) possessing the regularity stated in (6.13). \Box

References

- Acerbi, E. and Fusco, N.: 'Partial regularity under anisotropic (p, q) growth conditions', J. Differential Equations 107(1) (1994), 46–67.
- Bendahmane, M., Langlais, M. and Saad, M.: 'On some anisotropic reaction-diffusion systems with L¹-data modeling the propagation of an epidemic disease', *Nonlinear Anal.* 54(4) (2003), 617–636.
- Boccardo, L. and Gallouët, T.: 'Nonlinear elliptic and parabolic equations involving measure data', J. Funct. Anal. 87(1) (1989), 149–169.
- Boccardo, L., Gallouët, T. and Marcellini, P.: 'Anisotropic equations in L¹', Differential Integral Equations 9(1) (1996), 209–212.
- 5. Boccardo, L., Gallouët, T. and Vázquez, J.L.: 'Nonlinear elliptic equations in \mathbb{R}^N without growth restrictions on the data', *J. Differential Equations* **105**(2) (1993), 334–363.
- 6. Boccardo, L., Gallouët, T. and Vazquez, J.L.: 'Solutions of nonlinear parabolic equations without growth restrictions on the data', *Electron. J. Differential Equations*, 2001. Pages No. 60, 20 pp. (electronic).
- 7. Brezis, H.: 'Semilinear equations in \mathbb{R}^N without condition at infinity', *Appl. Math. Optim.* **12**(3) (1984), 271–282.
- 8. Edwards, R.E.: *Functional Analysis. Theory and Applications*, Holt, Rinehart and Winston, New York, 1965.
- Ladyženskaja, O.A., Solonnikov, V.A. and Ural'ceva, N.N.: *Linear and Quasilinear Equations* of *Parabolic Type*, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1967.
- 10. Ladyzhenskaya, O.A. and Ural'tseva, N.N.: *Linear and Quasilinear Elliptic Equations*, Academic Press, New York, 1968.
- 11. Li, F. and Zhao, H.: 'Anisotropic parabolic equations with measure data', *J. Partial Differential Equations* **14**(1) (2001), 21–30.
- 12. Lions, J.-L.: *Quelques méthodes de résolution des problèmes aux limites non linéaires*, Dunod, 1969.
- 13. Lions, J.-L. and Magenes, E.: *Problèmes aux limites non homogènes et applications*, Travaux et Recherches Mathématiques, No. 17, Dunod, Paris, 1968.
- Nikol'skiĭ, S.M.: 'An imbedding theorem for functions with partial derivatives considered in different metrics', *Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat.* 22 (1958), 321–336. English translation: *Amer. Math. Soc. Transl.* 90 (1970), 27–44.
- 15. Simon, J.: 'Compact sets in the space $L^p(0, T; B)$ ', Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. (4) **146** (1987), 65–96.
- Slobodeckiĭ, L.N.: 'Generalized Sobolev spaces and their application to boundary problems for partial differential equations', *Leningrad. Gos. Ped. Inst. Učen. Zap.* 197 (1958), 54–112. English translation: *Amer. Math. Soc. Transl.* (2) 57 (1966), 207–275.
- 17. Troisi, M.: 'Teoremi di inclusione per spazi di sobolev non isotropi', *Ricerche. Mat.* **18** (1969), 3–24.
- 18. Trudinger, N.S.: 'An imbedding theorem for $H_0(G, \Omega)$ space', *Studia Math.* **50** (1974), 17–30.