



# Positive solutions for nonlocal differential equations with concave and convex coefficients

Qingcong Song<sup>1</sup> · Xinan Hao<sup>1</sup>

Received: 3 August 2024 / Accepted: 3 September 2024  
© The Author(s), under exclusive licence to Springer Nature Switzerland AG 2024

## Abstract

In this paper, we study the positive solutions for nonlocal differential equations with concave and convex coefficients:

$$-A \left( \int_0^1 (u^p(s) + u^q(s)) ds \right) u''(t) = f(t, u(t)), \quad t \in (0, 1),$$

where  $0 < p < 1 \leq q$ . Using the fixed point index theory and fixed point theorems on cones, existence and multiplicity results are obtained, when the nonlinear term  $f(t, x)$  is continuous, has a singularity at  $x = 0$ , changes sign, respectively.

**Keywords** Nonlocal differential equation · Nonlocal boundary condition · Concave and convex coefficients · Positive solution · Fixed point

**Mathematics Subject Classification** 34B10 · 34B18

## 1 Introduction

In this paper, we are concerned with the existence and multiplicity of positive solutions for the following nonlocal differential equation

$$-A \left( \int_0^1 (u^p(s) + u^q(s)) ds \right) u''(t) = f(t, u(t)), \quad t \in (0, 1), \quad (1.1)$$

---

✉ Xinan Hao  
haoxinan2004@163.com

Qingcong Song  
songqingcong2020@163.com

<sup>1</sup> School of Mathematical Sciences, Qufu Normal University, Qufu 273165, Shandong, People's Republic of China

where  $0 < p < 1 \leq q$ , the function  $A : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is continuous. Note the differential Eq. (1.1) is nonlocal due to the coefficient function  $A \left( \int_0^1 (u^p(s) + u^q(s)) ds \right)$  involving an integral, and  $u^p$  is a concave function and  $u^q$  is a convex function.

In order to better study the positive solutions for nonlocal differential Eq. (1.1), we convert Eq. (1.1) coupled with different nonlocal boundary conditions into the following integral equation

$$u(t) = \gamma(t)H(\varphi(u)) + \int_0^1 \left( A \left( \int_0^1 (u^p(r) + u^q(r)) dr \right) \right)^{-1} G(t, s) f(s, u(s)) ds, \quad t \in [0, 1], \quad (1.2)$$

where functions  $\gamma$ ,  $H$  and  $\varphi$  are involved in boundary data, and then investigate the existence of positive solutions to the Eq. (1.2).

Nonlocal differential equations as (1.1) arise in various areas of physics and applied mathematics (see [1–3, 7, 9] and the references therein). The nonlocal differential equations and nonlocal boundary conditions are intensively studied by many scholars; for example, see [4–6, 8, 10, 12–22, 24–33].

Recently, Goodrich [14] studied the following nonlocal differential equation Dirichlet boundary value problems:

$$\begin{cases} -A \left( \int_0^1 |u(s)|^q ds \right) u''(t) = \lambda f(t, u(t)), & t \in (0, 1), \\ u(0) = u(1) = 0, \end{cases} \quad (1.3)$$

where  $q \geq 1$ ,  $\lambda > 0$ ,  $A : [0, +\infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is continuous, and  $f : [0, 1] \times [0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$  is continuous. Under weak condition on the coefficient function  $A$ , the author established the existence of at least one positive solution by using a nonstandard order cone and fixed point index theory. In fact, (1.3) is a special case of the nonlocal elliptic PDE

$$-A \left( \int_{\Omega} |u|^q ds \right) \Delta u(\mathbf{x}) = \lambda g(u(\mathbf{x})), \quad \mathbf{x} \in \Omega,$$

subject to  $u(\mathbf{x}) \equiv 0$ , for  $\mathbf{x} \in \partial\Omega$ , where  $\Omega$  is an annular region. There are also many variants on (1.3). For example, the case  $u$  in coefficient function  $A$  of (1.2) is replaced by  $u'$  is a one-dimensional Kirchhoff-type problem (see [4, 13, 29]).

In [18], Goodrich discussed the following nonlocal differential equation:

$$-A \left( \int_0^1 (g \circ u)(s) ds \right) u''(t) = \lambda f(t, u(t)), \quad t \in (0, 1), \quad (1.4)$$

where  $A : [0, +\infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is continuous,  $g : [0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$  is continuous concave strictly increasing, and  $f : [0, 1] \times [0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$  is continuous. In contrast to [14],  $g$  is concave in the coefficient function. A model case of (1.4) is the

nonlocal differential equation

$$-A \left( \int_0^1 |u(s)|^p ds \right) u''(t) = \lambda f(t, u(t)), \quad t \in (0, 1), \quad 0 < p < 1.$$

In [20], Goodrich considered the existence result of positive solution for the following perturbed Hammerstein integral equation:

$$u(t) = \gamma(t)H(\varphi(u)) + \lambda \int_0^1 \left( A \left( \int_0^1 |u(\xi)|^q d\xi \right) \right)^{-1} G(t, s) f(s, u(s)) ds, \quad t \in (0, 1), \tag{1.5}$$

where  $q \geq 1$ ,  $A : [0, +\infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,  $G : [0, 1] \times [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ ,  $f : [0, 1] \times [0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ ,  $H : [0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ , and  $\gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$  are continuous, and  $\varphi(u) = \int_0^1 u(s) d\alpha(s)$ , where  $\alpha$  is of bounded variation and monotone increasing on  $[0, 1]$ . Solutions of (1.5) can be associated to solutions of a boundary value problem, which possess two nonlocal elements. The nonlocality is embodied in differential equation itself and boundary condition.

More recently, Goodrich [17] studied the following nonlocal convolution-type differential equations

$$-A((a * (g \circ u))(1))u''(t) = \lambda f(t, u(t)), \quad t \in (0, 1), \tag{1.6}$$

where  $g$  is a continuous function, and there exist constants  $c_1, c_2 \in (0, \infty)$  and  $c_3 \in [0, \infty)$  such that

$$c_1 u^p \leq g(u) \leq c_2(c_3 + u^q), \quad u \geq 0, \quad 1 \leq p \leq q < +\infty.$$

The author established the existence of positive solution by using fixed point index theory. If we choose  $a(x) \equiv 1$ ,  $g(u) = u^p + u^q$  and  $\lambda = 1$ , then convolution-type Eq. (1.6) reduces to the nonlocal differential Eq. (1.1). We note that Goodrich only consider the case  $1 \leq p < q$ .

Greatly inspired by above works, in this paper, we study the positive solutions for nonlocal differential Eq. (1.1) with concave and convex coefficients. Firstly, we establish the existence and multiplicity results of Eq. (1.2) when the nonlinear term  $f$  is continuous. Secondly, we obtain the existence of positive solutions of Eq. (1.2) when  $f(t, x)$  is singular at  $x = 0$ . Finally, we discuss the existence result when  $f$  changes sign.

## 2 Multiple positive solutions for Eq. (1.2)

In this section, by using the fixed point index theory in cones, we give the existence and multiplicity results of positive solutions for integral Eq. (1.2), where  $0 < p < 1 \leq q$ , the functions  $f : [0, 1] \times [0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$  and  $H : [0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$  are

continuous.  $\varphi(u) = \int_0^1 u(s)d\alpha(s)$ , where  $\alpha : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is of bounded variation and monotonically increasing on  $[0, 1]$ .

For example, when  $\gamma(t) = 1 - t$ , and

$$G(t, s) = \begin{cases} t(1 - s), & 0 \leq t \leq s \leq 1, \\ s(1 - t), & 0 \leq s \leq t \leq 1, \end{cases}$$

a solution of the integral Eq. (1.2) is equivalent to a solution of the boundary value problem of differential equation

$$\begin{cases} -A \left( \int_0^1 (u^p(s) + u^q(s))ds \right) u''(t) = f(t, u(t)), & t \in (0, 1), \\ u(0) = H(\varphi(u)), & u(1) = 0. \end{cases}$$

Set  $E = C([0, 1])$ . Then  $E$  is a Banach space with the norm  $\|u\| = \sup_{t \in [0,1]} |u(t)|$ .

We define the cone

$$P = \left\{ u \in E : u(t) \geq 0, t \in [0, 1], \min_{t \in [c,d]} u(t) \geq \eta_0 \|u\| \right\},$$

where  $0 \leq c < d \leq 1$ , and the constant  $\eta_0$  will be given in  $(H_1)$ . In addition, for  $\rho > 0$ , the sets  $\widehat{V}_\rho$  and  $\Omega_\rho$  are given by

$$\widehat{V}_\rho = \left\{ u \in P : \int_0^1 (u^p(s) + u^q(s))ds < \rho \right\}$$

and

$$\Omega_\rho = \{u \in P : \|u\| < \rho\}.$$

For  $H : [0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$  a continuous function and given numbers  $0 \leq a < b < +\infty$ , we denote

$$H_{[a,b]}^m = \min_{y \in [a,b]} H(y), \quad H_{[a,b]}^M = \max_{y \in [a,b]} H(y).$$

For  $f : [0, 1] \times [0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$  a continuous function and given numbers  $0 \leq a_1 < b_1 \leq 1$  and  $0 \leq a_2 < b_2 < +\infty$ , then by  $f_{[a_1,b_1] \times [a_2,b_2]}^m$  and  $f_{[a_1,b_1] \times [a_2,b_2]}^M$  we will denote, respectively, the numbers

$$\begin{aligned} f_{[a_1,b_1] \times [a_2,b_2]}^m &= \min_{(t,x) \in [a_1,b_1] \times [a_2,b_2]} f(t, x), \\ f_{[a_1,b_1] \times [a_2,b_2]}^M &= \max_{(t,x) \in [a_1,b_1] \times [a_2,b_2]} f(t, x). \end{aligned}$$

Denote by  $\mathbf{1}$  the function  $\mathbf{1} : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \{1\}$ . Similarly, the notation  $\mathbf{0}$  will denote the function that is identically zero. Define the operator  $T : E \rightarrow E$  by

$$(Tu)(t) = \gamma(t)H(\varphi(u)) + \int_0^1 \left( A \left( \int_0^1 (u^p(r) + u^q(r))dr \right) \right)^{-1} G(t, s)f(s, u(s))ds, \quad t \in [0, 1].$$

A fixed point of  $T$  is a solution of nonlocal differential Eq. (1.1) equipped with some boundary data.

The following assumptions are used in this section.

(H<sub>1</sub>)  $G : [0, 1] \times [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$  is continuous, and there exist a set  $[c, d] \subseteq [0, 1]$  and a constant  $\eta_0 := \eta_0(c, d) \in (0, 1]$  such that

$$\min_{t \in [c, d]} G(t, s) \geq \eta_0 \mathcal{G}(s), \quad s \in [0, 1],$$

where  $\mathcal{G}(s) = \max_{t \in [0, 1]} G(t, s)$ ;

(H<sub>2</sub>)  $\gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$  is continuous, and  $\min_{t \in [c, d]} \gamma(t) \geq \eta_0 \|\gamma\|$ , where  $c, d$  and  $\eta_0$  are the same as we defined in (H<sub>1</sub>);

(H<sub>3</sub>)  $A : [0, +\infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is continuous, and there exist  $0 \leq \rho_1 \leq \rho_2$  such that  $A(t) > 0$  for  $t \in [\rho_1, \rho_2]$ .

Denote

$$Q_1 = \min_{t \in [\rho_1, \rho_2]} A(t), \quad Q_2 = \max_{t \in [\rho_1, \rho_2]} A(t).$$

**Remark 2.1** From the definitions of  $\widehat{V}_\rho$  and  $\Omega_\rho$ , it is clear that  $\widehat{V}_\rho$  and  $\Omega_\rho$  are relatively open sets in  $P$ .

**Lemma 2.2** For each fixed  $\rho > 0$ , it holds that

$$\overline{\Omega_{M_\rho}} \subseteq \widehat{V}_\rho \subseteq \overline{\Omega_{N_\rho}},$$

where  $M_\rho \in (0, \rho^{\frac{1}{q}})$  is the unique positive solution of  $x^p + x^q = \rho$  and  $N_\rho \in (0, \frac{1}{\eta_0} (\frac{\rho}{d-c})^{\frac{1}{q}})$  is the unique positive solution of  $(\eta_0 x)^p + (\eta_0 x)^q = \frac{\rho}{d-c}$ .

**Proof** For any  $u \in \overline{\Omega_{M_\rho}}$ , we have  $\|u\| \leq M_\rho$ . Thus,

$$\int_0^1 (u^p(t) + u^q(t))dt \leq \|u\|^p + \|u\|^q \leq M_\rho^p + M_\rho^q = \rho.$$

Then we obtain  $u \in \widehat{V}_\rho$ , i.e.,  $\overline{\Omega_{M_\rho}} \subseteq \widehat{V}_\rho$ .

Next, we prove  $\widehat{V}_\rho \subseteq \overline{\Omega_{N_\rho}}$ . In fact, for any  $u \in \widehat{V}_\rho$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \rho &\geq \int_0^1 (u^p(t) + u^q(t))dt \geq \int_c^d ((\eta_0\|u\|)^p + (\eta_0\|u\|)^q)dt \\ &= (d - c)((\eta_0\|u\|)^p + (\eta_0\|u\|)^q). \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\frac{\rho}{d - c} \geq (\eta_0\|u\|)^p + (\eta_0\|u\|)^q.$$

Let

$$h(x) = (\eta_0x)^p + (\eta_0x)^q - \frac{\rho}{d - c}.$$

It is easy to see that  $h(x)$  is a strictly monotone increasing function on  $[0, +\infty)$ . Thus, we obtain  $\|u\| \leq N_\rho$ . Therefore,  $u \in \overline{\Omega_{N_\rho}}$ , i.e.,  $\widehat{V}_\rho \subseteq \overline{\Omega_{N_\rho}}$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

By using standard arguments, we obtain the following result.

**Lemma 2.3** *Assume that  $(H_1)$ - $(H_3)$  hold. Then  $T : \widehat{V}_{\rho_2} \setminus \widehat{V}_{\rho_1} \rightarrow P$  is completely continuous.*

**Lemma 2.4** [23] *Let  $U$  be a bounded open set, and with  $K$  a cone in a real Banach space  $X$ . Suppose both that  $U_K = U \cap K \supseteq \{0\}$  and that  $\overline{U_K} \neq K$ . Assume that  $T : \overline{U_K} \rightarrow K$  is completely continuous such that  $x \neq Tx$ , for any  $x \in \partial U_K$ . Then the fixed point index  $i_K(T, U_K)$  has the following properties.*

- (1) *If there exists  $e \in K \setminus \{0\}$  such that  $x \neq Tx + \lambda e$  for each  $x \in \partial U_K$  and  $\lambda > 0$ , then  $i_K(T, U_K) = 0$ .*
- (2) *If  $\mu x \neq Tx$  for  $x \in \partial U_K$  and  $\mu \geq 1$ , then  $i_K(T, U_K) = 1$ .*
- (3) *Let  $U_K^1$  be a open set in  $X$  with  $U_K^1 \subseteq U_K$ . If  $i_K(T, U_K) = 0$  and  $i_K(T, U_K^1) = 1$ , then  $T$  has a fixed point in  $U_K \setminus U_K^1$ . The same result holds if  $i_K(T, U_K) = 1$  and  $i_K(T, U_K^1) = 0$ .*

**Theorem 2.5** *Assume that  $(H_1) - (H_3)$  hold. If*

$$\begin{aligned} (H_4) \int_0^1 &\left[ \left( \frac{1}{A(\rho_1)} f_{[c,d] \times [\eta_0 M_{\rho_1}, N_{\rho_1}]}^m \int_c^d G(t, s) ds \right)^p \right. \\ &\left. + \left( \frac{1}{A(\rho_1)} f_{[c,d] \times [\eta_0 M_{\rho_1}, N_{\rho_1}]}^m \int_c^d G(t, s) ds \right)^q \right] dt > \rho_1; \end{aligned}$$

$$(H_5) \int_0^1 \left[ \left( H_{[0, N_{\rho_2} \varphi(\mathbf{1})]}^M + \frac{1}{A(\rho_2)} f_{[0, 1] \times [0, N_{\rho_2}]}^M \int_0^1 G(t, s) ds \right)^p + \left( H_{[0, N_{\rho_2} \varphi(\mathbf{1})]}^M + \frac{1}{A(\rho_2)} f_{[0, 1] \times [0, N_{\rho_2}]}^M \int_0^1 G(t, s) ds \right)^q \right] dt < \rho_2,$$

then Eq. (1.2) has at least one positive solution  $u$  with  $M_{\rho_1} \leq \|u\| \leq N_{\rho_2}$ .

**Proof** Clearly,  $\mathbf{0} \in \widehat{V}_{\rho_1} \subseteq \widehat{V}_{\rho_2}$  and  $c\mathbf{1} \in \widehat{V}_{\rho_1}$  for small constant  $c > 0$ , so  $\widehat{V}_{\rho_1} \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\} \neq \emptyset$ . From Lemma 2.3 and the extension theorem of a completely continuous operator, there exists  $\widetilde{T} : \widehat{V}_{\rho_2} \rightarrow P$ , which is still completely continuous. Without loss of the generality, we still write it as  $T$ .

Now, we claim that  $u \neq Tu + \mu\mathbf{1}$  for any  $\mu > 0$  and  $u \in \partial\widehat{V}_{\rho_1}$ . Suppose that  $T$  has no fixed points on  $\partial\widehat{V}_{\rho_1}$  (otherwise, the proof is finished). Assume by contradiction that there exist  $u \in \partial\widehat{V}_{\rho_1}$  and  $\mu > 0$  such that  $u = Tu + \mu\mathbf{1}$ . It follows from Lemma 2.2 that

$$M_{\rho_1} \leq \|u\| \leq N_{\rho_1}, \quad \forall u \in \partial\widehat{V}_{\rho_1}.$$

Therefore, for  $s \in [c, d]$ , we have

$$\eta_0 M_{\rho_1} \leq \eta_0 \|u\| \leq u(s) \leq \|u\| \leq N_{\rho_1}.$$

Then for  $u \in \partial\widehat{V}_{\rho_1}$ ,

$$(Tu)(t) \geq \frac{1}{A(\rho_1)} f_{[c, d] \times [\eta_0 M_{\rho_1}, N_{\rho_1}]}^m \int_c^d G(t, s) ds, \quad t \in [0, 1].$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_1 &= \int_0^1 (u^p + u^q)(t) dt = \int_0^1 ((Tu + \mu\mathbf{1})^p + (Tu + \mu\mathbf{1})^q)(t) dt \\ &\geq \int_0^1 ((Tu)^p + (Tu)^q)(t) dt \geq \int_0^1 \left[ \left( \frac{1}{A(\rho_1)} f_{[c, d] \times [\eta_0 M_{\rho_1}, N_{\rho_1}]}^m \int_c^d G(t, s) ds \right)^p + \left( \frac{1}{A(\rho_1)} f_{[c, d] \times [\eta_0 M_{\rho_1}, N_{\rho_1}]}^m \int_c^d G(t, s) ds \right)^q \right] dt, \end{aligned}$$

which is a contradiction to (H4). So we get

$$i_K(T, \widehat{V}_{\rho_1}) = 0.$$

We next show that  $\mu u \neq Tu$  for any  $u \in \partial\widehat{V}_{\rho_2}$  and  $\mu \geq 1$ . If otherwise, there exist  $u \in \partial\widehat{V}_{\rho_2}$  and  $\mu \geq 1$  such that  $\mu u = Tu$ . For  $u \in \partial\widehat{V}_{\rho_2}$ , it is easy to check

that  $M_{\rho_2} \leq \|u\| \leq N_{\rho_2}$  and  $0 \leq u(t) \leq \|u\| \leq N_{\rho_2}$  for  $t \in [0, 1]$ , and  $0 \leq \varphi(u) \leq \|u\|\varphi(\mathbf{1}) \leq N_{\rho_2}\varphi(\mathbf{1})$ . It follows that

$$(Tu)(t) \leq H_{[0, N_{\rho_2}\varphi(\mathbf{1})]}^M + \frac{1}{A(\rho_2)} f_{[0,1] \times [0, N_{\rho_2}]}^M \int_0^1 G(t, s) ds, \quad t \in [0, 1].$$

Then we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_2 &= \int_0^1 (u^p + u^q)(t) dt \leq \int_0^1 ((\mu u)^p + (\mu u)^q)(t) dt \\ &= \int_0^1 ((Tu)^p + (Tu)^q)(t) dt \\ &\leq \int_0^1 \left[ \left( H_{[0, N_{\rho_2}\varphi(\mathbf{1})]}^M + \frac{1}{A(\rho_2)} f_{[0,1] \times [0, N_{\rho_2}]}^M \int_0^1 G(t, s) ds \right)^p \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \left( H_{[0, N_{\rho_2}\varphi(\mathbf{1})]}^M + \frac{1}{A(\rho_2)} f_{[0,1] \times [0, N_{\rho_2}]}^M \int_0^1 G(t, s) ds \right)^q \right] dt, \end{aligned}$$

which contradicts  $(H_5)$ . So we obtain

$$i_K(T, \widehat{V}_{\rho_2}) = 1.$$

It follows from Lemma 2.4 that  $T$  has a fixed point  $u \in \widehat{V}_{\rho_2} \setminus \widehat{V}_{\rho_1}$  with  $M_{\rho_1} \leq \|u\| \leq N_{\rho_2}$ , and  $u$  is a positive solution of the Eq. (1.2). □

**Corollary 2.6** *If we reverse  $\rho_1$  and  $\rho_2$  in Theorem 2.5, then  $i_K(T, \widehat{V}_{\rho_1}) = 1$ ,  $i_K(T, \widehat{V}_{\rho_2}) = 0$ . We can get the same result as Theorem 2.5.*

*Next, we prove the following multiplicity results.*

**Theorem 2.7** *Assume that  $(H_1) - (H_4)$  hold. If*

$$(H_6) \quad N_{\rho_1} < M_{\rho_2};$$

$$(H_7) \quad \int_0^1 \left[ \left( \frac{1}{A(\rho_2)} f_{[c,d] \times [\eta_0 M_{\rho_2}, N_{\rho_2}]}^m \int_c^d G(t, s) ds \right)^p \right. \\ \left. + \left( \frac{1}{A(\rho_2)} f_{[c,d] \times [\eta_0 M_{\rho_2}, N_{\rho_2}]}^m \int_c^d G(t, s) ds \right)^q \right] dt > \rho_2;$$

$$(H_8) \quad H_{[0, N_{\rho_1}\varphi(\mathbf{1})]}^M + \frac{1}{Q_1} f_{[0,1] \times [0, N_{\rho_1}]}^M \int_0^1 \mathcal{G}(s) ds < N_{\rho_1},$$

*then Eq. (1.2) has at least two positive solutions.*

**Proof** Firstly, we prove that  $T$  has a fixed point which is either on  $\partial \widehat{V}_{\rho_1}$  or in  $\Omega_{N_{\rho_1}} \setminus \widehat{V}_{\rho_1}$ . If  $x \neq Tx$  for  $x \in \partial \widehat{V}_{\rho_1}$ , by Theorem 2.5, we obtain

$$i_K(T, \widehat{V}_{\rho_1}) = 0.$$



We next prove that  $\|Tu\| < \|u\|$  for any  $u \in \partial\Omega_{N_{\rho_1}}$ . From Lemma 2.2, it follows that

$$\partial\Omega_{N_{\rho_1}} \subseteq \overline{\Omega_{N_{\rho_1}}} \subseteq \overline{\Omega_{M_{\rho_2}}} \subseteq \widehat{V}_{\rho_2}.$$

Then

$$\int_0^1 (u^p(r) + u^q(r))dr \leq \rho_2.$$

Owing to

$$\int_0^1 (u^p(r) + u^q(r))dr \geq \int_c^d (\eta_0 \|u\|)^p + (\eta_0 \|u\|)^q dr = \rho_1,$$

we have

$$Q_1 \leq A \left( \int_0^1 (u^p(r) + u^q(r))dr \right) \leq Q_2.$$

Since  $0 \leq \varphi(u) \leq \|u\|\varphi(\mathbf{1}) = N_{\rho_1}\varphi(\mathbf{1})$ , we have

$$\|Tu\| \leq H_{[0, N_{\rho_1}\varphi(\mathbf{1})]}^M + \frac{1}{Q_1} f_{[0, 1] \times [0, N_{\rho_1}]}^M \int_0^1 \mathcal{G}(s)ds < N_{\rho_1} = \|u\|.$$

Therefore, it is obvious that  $Tu \neq u$  for  $u \in \partial\Omega_{N_{\rho_1}}$ , and  $\mu u \neq Tu$  for  $u \in \partial\Omega_{N_{\rho_1}}$  and  $\mu \geq 1$ . By Lemma 2.4,

$$i_K(T, \Omega_{N_{\rho_1}}) = 1.$$

Since  $\widehat{V}_{\rho_1} \subseteq \Omega_{N_{\rho_1}}$ , by Lemma 2.4,  $T$  has a fixed point in  $\Omega_{N_{\rho_1}} \setminus \widehat{V}_{\rho_1}$ . So  $T$  has a fixed point which is either on  $\partial\widehat{V}_{\rho_1}$  or in  $\Omega_{N_{\rho_1}} \setminus \widehat{V}_{\rho_1}$ .

On the other hand, we prove  $T$  has a fixed point which is either on  $\partial\widehat{V}_{\rho_2}$  or in  $\widehat{V}_{\rho_2} \setminus \overline{\Omega_{N_{\rho_1}}}$ . If  $x \neq Tx$ ,  $x \in \partial\widehat{V}_{\rho_2}$ , by Theorem 2.5, we conclude that

$$i_K(T, \widehat{V}_{\rho_2}) = 0.$$

It follows from Lemma 2.4 that  $T$  has a fixed point in  $\widehat{V}_{\rho_2} \setminus \overline{\Omega_{N_{\rho_1}}}$ . So  $T$  has a fixed point which is either on  $\partial\widehat{V}_{\rho_2}$  or in  $\widehat{V}_{\rho_2} \setminus \overline{\Omega_{N_{\rho_1}}}$ .

Therefore,  $T$  has at least two fixed points  $u_1$  and  $u_2$  with  $0 < M_{\rho_1} \leq \|u_1\| \leq N_{\rho_1} < M_{\rho_2} < \|u_2\| \leq N_{\rho_2}$ , i.e., Eq. (1.2) has at least two positive solutions.  $\square$

**Theorem 2.8** Assume that  $(H_1)$ – $(H_3)$ ,  $(H_5)$  and  $(H_6)$  hold. If

$$(H_9) \int_0^1 \left[ \left( H_{[0, N_{\rho_1} \varphi(\mathbf{1})]}^M + \frac{1}{A(\rho_1)} f_{[0,1] \times [0, N_{\rho_1}]}^M \int_0^1 G(t, s) ds \right)^p + \left( H_{[0, N_{\rho_1} \varphi(\mathbf{1})]}^M + \frac{1}{A(\rho_1)} f_{[0,1] \times [0, N_{\rho_1}]}^M \int_0^1 G(t, s) ds \right)^q \right] dt < \rho_1;$$

$$(H_{10}) \frac{\eta_0}{Q_2} f_{[c, d] \times [\eta_0 N_{\rho_1}, N_{\rho_1}]}^m \int_c^d \mathcal{G}(s) ds > N_{\rho_1},$$

then Eq. (1.2) has at least two positive solutions.

**Proof** Similar to the proof of Theorem 2.5, we obtain that  $i_K(T, \widehat{V}_{\rho_1}) = 1$  and  $i_K(T, \widehat{V}_{\rho_2}) = 1$ . So it suffices to show that  $i_K(T, \Omega_{N_{\rho_1}}) = 0$ . Assume that there exist  $u \in \partial \Omega_{N_{\rho_1}}$  and  $\mu > 0$  such that  $u = Tu + \mu \mathbf{1}$ . From Theorem 2.7, we have  $Q_1 \leq A \left( \int_0^1 (u^p(r) + u^q(r)) dr \right) \leq Q_2$ . Then we have

$$N_{\rho_1} = \|u\| = \|Tu + \mu \mathbf{1}\| \geq (Tu)(c) \geq \frac{\eta_0}{Q_2} f_{[c, d] \times [\eta_0 N_{\rho_1}, N_{\rho_1}]}^m \int_c^d \mathcal{G}(s) ds,$$

which contradicts the assumption. Then for all  $u \in \partial \Omega_{N_{\rho_1}}$  and  $\mu > 0$ , we have  $u \neq Tu + \mu \mathbf{1}$ . It follows from Lemma 2.4 that  $i_K(T, \Omega_{N_{\rho_1}}) = 0$ . Then Eq. (1.2) has at least two positive solutions.  $\square$

By arguments similar to Theorems 2.5, 2.7 and 2.8, we have the following results.

**Theorem 2.9** Assume that  $(H_1)$ – $(H_3)$ ,  $(H_6)$ ,  $(H_7)$ ,  $(H_9)$  and  $(H_{10})$  hold. If

$$(H_{11}) H_{[0, M_{\rho_2} \varphi(\mathbf{1})]}^M + \frac{1}{Q_1} f_{[0,1] \times [0, M_{\rho_2}]}^M \int_0^1 \mathcal{G}(s) ds < M_{\rho_2},$$

then Eq. (1.2) has at least three positive solutions.

**Theorem 2.10** Assume that  $(H_1)$ – $(H_6)$  and  $(H_8)$  hold. If

$$(H_{12}) \frac{\eta_0}{Q_2} f_{[c, d] \times [\eta_0 M_{\rho_2}, M_{\rho_2}]}^m \int_c^d \mathcal{G}(s) ds > M_{\rho_2},$$

then Eq. (1.2) has at least three positive solutions.

**Example 2.11** Let  $p = \frac{1}{2}$ ,  $q = 2$ ,  $A(t) = \sin t$ ,  $\varphi(u) = \frac{1}{2}u\left(\frac{1}{3}\right) + \frac{1}{50}u\left(\frac{1}{10}\right)$ ,  $\gamma(t) = 1 - t$ ,  $H(t) = \frac{9}{100}\sqrt{t}$ ,  $f(t, x) = tx$ . We consider the following nonlocal problem

$$\begin{cases} -\sin\left(\int_0^1 (u^{\frac{1}{2}}(s) + u^2(s)) ds\right) u''(t) = tu(t), & t \in (0, 1), \\ u(0) = \frac{9}{100}\sqrt{\frac{1}{2}u\left(\frac{1}{3}\right) + \frac{1}{50}u\left(\frac{1}{10}\right)}, & u(1) = 0. \end{cases} \quad (2.1)$$

Then

$$G(t, s) = \begin{cases} t(1 - s), & 0 \leq t \leq s \leq 1, \\ s(1 - t), & 0 \leq s \leq t \leq 1, \end{cases}$$

and  $\mathcal{G}(s) = \max_{t \in [0,1]} G(t, s) = s(1 - s)$ . Choose  $c = \frac{1}{4}$ ,  $d = \frac{3}{4}$ . Then  $\eta_0 = \min \{c, 1 - d\} = \frac{1}{4}$ . Obviously,  $(H_1)$  and  $(H_2)$  hold. Take  $\rho_1 = 0.002$ ,  $\rho_2 = \frac{\pi}{2}$ . Then  $A(t) = \sin t > 0$  on  $[0.002, \frac{\pi}{2}]$ , which implies  $(H_3)$  holds. It follows from Lemma 2.2 that  $M_{\rho_1} \approx 4 \times 10^{-6}$ ,  $N_{\rho_1} \approx 6.4 \times 10^{-5}$ ,  $M_{\rho_2} \approx 0.817$ ,  $N_{\rho_2} \approx 5.598$ . Direct computation demonstrates that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^1 \left[ \left( \frac{1}{A(\rho_1)} f_{[c,d] \times [\eta_0 M_{\rho_1}, N_{\rho_1}]}^m \int_c^d G(t, s) ds \right)^p \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \left( \frac{1}{A(\rho_1)} f_{[c,d] \times [\eta_0 M_{\rho_1}, N_{\rho_1}]}^m \int_c^d G(t, s) ds \right)^q \right] dt \\ & \approx \int_0^1 \left[ \left( \frac{1}{\sin(0.002)} \times \frac{1}{4} \times \frac{1}{4} \times 4 \times 10^{-6} \times \int_{\frac{1}{4}}^{\frac{3}{4}} G(t, s) ds \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \left( \frac{1}{\sin(0.002)} \times \frac{1}{4} \times \frac{1}{4} \times 4 \times 10^{-6} \times \int_{\frac{1}{4}}^{\frac{3}{4}} G(t, s) ds \right)^2 \right] dt \\ & \approx 0.0023 > \rho_1, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^1 \left[ \left( H_{[0, N_{\rho_2} \varphi(\mathbf{1})]}^M + \frac{1}{A(\rho_2)} f_{[0,1] \times [0, N_{\rho_2}]}^M \int_0^1 G(t, s) ds \right)^p \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \left( H_{[0, N_{\rho_2} \varphi(\mathbf{1})]}^M + \frac{1}{A(\rho_2)} f_{[0,1] \times [0, N_{\rho_2}]}^M \int_0^1 G(t, s) ds \right)^q \right] dt \\ & \approx \int_0^1 \left[ \left( \frac{9}{100} \times \sqrt{5.598 \times \frac{13}{25}} + 1 \times 5.598 \times \int_0^1 G(t, s) ds \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \left( \frac{9}{100} \times \sqrt{5.598 \times \frac{13}{25}} + 1 \times 5.598 \times \int_0^1 G(t, s) ds \right)^2 \right] dt \\ & \approx 1.202 < \rho_2. \end{aligned}$$

So assumptions  $(H_4)$  and  $(H_5)$  hold. By Theorem 2.5 we conclude that problem (2.1) has at least one positive solution  $u$  with  $4 \times 10^{-6} \leq \|u\| \leq 5.598$ .

**Remark 2.12** Note in Example 2.11 that the nonlocal coefficient function  $z \mapsto \sin z$  is sign changing on  $\mathbb{R}$ . This is in considerable contrast to most of the the existing literature. Our main tool is topological fixed point theory in a nonstandard order cone due to Goodrich (for example, [14,17,18,20]).

**Example 2.13** Let  $p, q, A, \varphi, \gamma, c$  and  $d$  are same as those in Example 2.11. Take  $\rho_1 = 0.01$  and  $\rho_2 = \frac{\pi}{2}$ . Then  $(H_1) - (H_3)$  hold. From Lemma 2.2, we know that  $M_{\rho_1} \approx 0.0001, N_{\rho_1} \approx 0.0016, M_{\rho_2} \approx 0.817$  and  $N_{\rho_2} \approx 5.598$ . Obviously,  $(H_6)$  holds. Let  $f : [0, 1] \times [0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$  be defined by

$$f(t, x) = 10^{-8}t + \begin{cases} \frac{1}{1250}x + \frac{3}{50000}, & 0 \leq x < 0.1, \\ 140x - \frac{699993}{50000}, & x \geq 0.1. \end{cases}$$

Choose  $H(t) = \frac{9}{1000}\sqrt{t}$ . By calculation, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^1 \left[ \left( \frac{1}{A(\rho_1)} f_{[c,d] \times [\eta_0 M_{\rho_1}, N_{\rho_1}]}^m \int_c^d G(t, s) ds \right)^p \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \left( \frac{1}{A(\rho_1)} f_{[c,d] \times [\eta_0 M_{\rho_1}, N_{\rho_1}]}^m \int_c^d G(t, s) ds \right)^q \right] dt \\ & \approx \int_0^1 \left[ \left( \frac{1}{\sin(0.01)} \times \left( \frac{10^{-8}}{4} + \frac{0.0001}{5000} + \frac{3}{50000} \right) \times \int_{\frac{1}{4}}^{\frac{3}{4}} G(t, s) ds \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \left( \frac{1}{\sin(0.01)} \times \left( \frac{10^{-8}}{4} + \frac{0.0001}{5000} + \frac{3}{50000} \right) \times \int_{\frac{1}{4}}^{\frac{3}{4}} G(t, s) ds \right)^2 \right] dt \\ & \approx 0.016 > \rho_1, \\ & \int_0^1 \left[ \left( \frac{1}{A(\rho_2)} f_{[c,d] \times [\eta_0 M_{\rho_2}, N_{\rho_2}]}^m \int_c^d G(t, s) ds \right)^p \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \left( \frac{1}{A(\rho_2)} f_{[c,d] \times [\eta_0 M_{\rho_2}, N_{\rho_2}]}^m \int_c^d G(t, s) ds \right)^q \right] dt \\ & \approx \int_0^1 \left[ \left( \frac{1}{\sin \frac{\pi}{2}} \times \left( \frac{10^{-8}}{4} + 140 \times 0.204 - \frac{699993}{50000} \right) \times \int_{\frac{1}{4}}^{\frac{3}{4}} G(t, s) ds \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \left( \frac{1}{\sin \frac{\pi}{2}} \times \left( \frac{10^{-8}}{4} + 140 \times 0.204 - \frac{699993}{50000} \right) \times \int_{\frac{1}{4}}^{\frac{3}{4}} G(t, s) ds \right)^2 \right] dt \\ & \approx 1.642 > \rho_2, \\ & H_{[0, N_{\rho_1} \varphi(1)]}^M + \frac{1}{Q_1} f_{[0, 1] \times [0, N_{\rho_1}]}^M \int_0^1 G(s) ds \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\approx \frac{9}{1000} \sqrt{0.0016 \times \frac{13}{25} + \frac{1}{\sin(0.01)}} \times \left( 10^{-8} + \frac{1}{1250} \times 0.0016 + \frac{3}{50000} \right) \\ &\times \int_0^1 s(1-s)ds \approx 0.0013 < 0.0016 \approx N_{\rho_1}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,  $(H_4)$ ,  $(H_7)$  and  $(H_8)$  hold. By Theorem 2.7 we conclude that problem (2.1) has at least two positive solutions.

### 3 The case $f(t, x)$ is singular at $x = 0$

In this section, by applying the Guo–Krasnoselskii fixed point theorem on cones, we obtain the existence of positive solutions for Eq. (1.1) with nonlocal boundary conditions when the nonlinear term  $f(t, x)$  has singularity at  $x = 0$ . It is worth mentioning that the restrictions on functions  $A$  and  $H$  are different from those in Sect. 2.

We continue to study the integral Eq. (1.2), where  $0 < p < 1 \leq q$ ,  $A : [0, +\infty) \rightarrow (0, +\infty)$  is continuous and monotone increasing,  $H : [0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$  is continuous and bounded (we will assume that there exists  $0 < \overline{H} < +\infty$  such that  $0 \leq H(x) \leq \overline{H}$  for  $x \in [0, +\infty)$ ).  $\varphi(u)$  is the same as Sect. 2.  $f : [0, 1] \times (0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$  is continuous and singular at  $x = 0$ .

We define a cone

$$P' = \{u \in E : u(t) \geq c(t)\|u\|, t \in [0, 1]\},$$

and a set

$$\Omega_\rho = \{u \in P' : \|u\| < \rho\},$$

where  $c(t)$  will be given in  $(H_1)'$ .

$(H_1)'$   $G : [0, 1] \times [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$  is continuous and there exists a continuous function  $c : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$  with  $0 < c(t) < 1$  for  $t \in (0, 1)$  such that

$$G(t, s) \geq c(t)\mathcal{G}(s), \quad t, s \in [0, 1],$$

where  $\mathcal{G}(s) = \max_{t \in [0, 1]} G(t, s)$ ,  $s \in [0, 1]$ ;

$(H_2)'$   $\gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$  is continuous and  $\gamma(t) \geq c(t)\|\gamma\|$  for  $t \in [0, 1]$ , where  $c(t)$  is the same as we defined in  $(H_1)'$ ;

$(H_3)'$  For any  $0 < r < R < +\infty$ ,

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{u \in \overline{\Omega_R} \setminus \Omega_r} \int_{e(m)} \mathcal{G}(s)f(s, u(s))ds = 0,$$

where  $e(m) = [0, \frac{1}{m}] \cup [\frac{m-1}{m}, 1]$ .

For a given  $\theta \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$ , denote  $\eta = \min\{c(t) : \theta \leq t \leq 1 - \theta\}$ .

**Lemma 3.1** Assume that  $(H_1)'$ - $(H_3)'$  hold. Then  $T : \overline{\Omega_R} \setminus \Omega_r \rightarrow P'$  is completely continuous.

**Proof** For any  $u \in \overline{\Omega_R} \setminus \Omega_r$ , we have  $r \leq \|u\| \leq R$ , and  $0 \leq \varphi(u) \leq \|u\|\varphi(\mathbf{1}) \leq R\varphi(\mathbf{1}) < +\infty$ . For any  $u \in \overline{\Omega_R} \setminus \Omega_r$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 < M_1 &\triangleq (1 - 2\theta)((\eta r)^p + (\eta r)^q) \\ &\leq \int_{\theta}^{1-\theta} (\eta\|u\|)^p + (\eta\|u\|)^q dr \\ &\leq \int_0^1 (u^p(r) + u^q(r)) dr \\ &\leq \|u\|^p + \|u\|^q \leq R^p + R^q \triangleq M_2. \end{aligned}$$

It follows from  $(H_3)'$  that there exists a natural number  $l > 0$  such that

$$\sup_{u \in \overline{\Omega_R} \setminus \Omega_r} \int_{e(l)} \mathcal{G}(s) f(s, u(s)) ds < 1.$$

For  $s \in [\frac{1}{l}, \frac{l-1}{l}]$ , we have  $\eta_1 r \leq \eta_1 \|u\| \leq u(s) \leq \|u\| \leq R$ , where  $\eta_1 = \min\{c(t) : \frac{1}{l} \leq t \leq \frac{l-1}{l}\}$ . Then for any  $t \in [0, 1]$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} Tu(t) &\leq \overline{H} + (A(M_1))^{-1} \left[ \int_{e(l)} \mathcal{G}(s) f(s, u(s)) ds + f_{[\frac{1}{l}, \frac{l-1}{l}] \times [\eta_1 r, R]}^M \int_{\frac{1}{l}}^{\frac{l-1}{l}} \mathcal{G}(s) ds \right] \\ &\leq \overline{H} + (A(M_1))^{-1} \left( 1 + f_{[\frac{1}{l}, \frac{l-1}{l}] \times [\eta_1 r, R]}^M \int_0^1 \mathcal{G}(s) ds \right) < +\infty. \end{aligned}$$

The proof of  $(Tu)(t) \geq c(t)\|Tu\|$  is similar to the proof of Lemma 2.3, so we omit it. Thus,  $T(\overline{\Omega_R} \setminus \Omega_r) \subseteq P'$ .

Suppose that  $u_n, u_0 \in \overline{\Omega_R} \setminus \Omega_r$  and  $\|u_n - u_0\| \rightarrow 0$  ( $n \rightarrow \infty$ ). Then

$$0 \leq \varphi(u_n) \leq R\varphi(\mathbf{1}) < +\infty, \quad 0 \leq \varphi(u_0) \leq R\varphi(\mathbf{1}) < +\infty,$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} 0 < M_1 &\leq \int_0^1 ((u_n(r))^p + (u_n(r))^q) dr \leq M_2, \\ 0 < M_1 &\leq \int_0^1 ((u_0(r))^p + (u_0(r))^q) dr \leq M_2. \end{aligned}$$

By  $(H_3)'$ ,  $\forall \epsilon > 0$ , there exists a natural number  $m_0 > 0$  such that

$$\sup_{u \in \overline{\Omega_R} \setminus \Omega_r} \int_{e(m_0)} \mathcal{G}(s) f(s, u(s)) ds < \frac{\epsilon A(M_1)}{6}.$$

For  $s \in [\frac{1}{m_0}, \frac{m_0-1}{m_0}]$ , we have

$$\eta_2 r \leq u_n(s) \leq R, \quad \eta_2 r \leq u_0(s) \leq R,$$

where  $\eta_2 = \min\{c(s) : \frac{1}{m_0} \leq s \leq \frac{m_0-1}{m_0}\}$ . Since  $f$  is uniformly continuous on  $[\frac{1}{m_0}, \frac{m_0-1}{m_0}] \times [\eta_2 r, R]$ , then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |f(s, u_n(s)) - f(s, u_0(s))| = 0.$$

Applying Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem, we have

$$(A(M_1))^{-1} \int_{\frac{1}{m_0}}^{\frac{m_0-1}{m_0}} \mathcal{G}(s) |f(s, u_n(s)) - f(s, u_0(s))| ds \rightarrow 0 \quad (n \rightarrow +\infty).$$

For the above  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists a natural number  $N_1$  such that if  $n > N_1$ , then

$$(A(M_1))^{-1} \int_{\frac{1}{m_0}}^{\frac{m_0-1}{m_0}} \mathcal{G}(s) |f(s, u_n(s)) - f(s, u_0(s))| ds < \frac{\epsilon}{3}.$$

Since  $H$  and  $\varphi$  are continuous, then there exists a natural number  $N_2$  such that for  $n > N_2$ ,

$$|H(\varphi(u_n)) - H(\varphi(u_0))| < \frac{\epsilon}{3}.$$

Therefore, for  $n > N = \max\{N_1, N_2\}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|Tu_n - Tu_0\| &\leq |H(\varphi(u_n)) - H(\varphi(u_0))| + 2(A(M_1))^{-1} \int_{e(m_0)} \mathcal{G}(s) f(s, u_0(s)) ds \\ &\quad + (A(M_1))^{-1} \int_{\frac{1}{m_0}}^{\frac{m_0-1}{m_0}} \mathcal{G}(s) |f(s, u_n(s)) - f(s, u_0(s))| ds < \epsilon, \end{aligned}$$

which implies  $T$  is continuous.

Assume that  $B$  is a bounded subset in  $\overline{\Omega_R} \setminus \Omega_r$ , from Ascoli–Arzela theorem and the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem, it is easy to prove that  $T(B)$  is uniformly bounded and equicontinuous. Thus,  $T : \overline{\Omega_R} \setminus \Omega_r \rightarrow P'$  is completely continuous.  $\square$

The main tool is the Guo–Krasnoselskii fixed point theorem on cones.

**Lemma 3.2** [23] *Let  $E$  be a Banach space and let  $P$  be a cone in  $E$ . Let  $\Omega_1$  and  $\Omega_2$  be two bounded open subsets in  $E$  such that  $0 \in \Omega_1$  and  $\overline{\Omega_1} \subset \Omega_2$ . Let the operator  $A : P \cap (\overline{\Omega_2} \setminus \Omega_1) \rightarrow P$  be completely continuous. If the following conditions are satisfied:*

- (i)  $\|Au\| \leq \|u\|$  for any  $u \in P \cap \partial\Omega_1$ ,  $\|Au\| \geq \|u\|$  for any  $u \in P \cap \partial\Omega_2$ , or

(ii)  $\|Au\| \geq \|u\|$  for any  $u \in P \cap \partial\Omega_1$ ,  $\|Au\| \leq \|u\|$  for any  $u \in P \cap \partial\Omega_2$ ,

then  $A$  has at least one fixed point in  $P \cap (\overline{\Omega_2} \setminus \Omega_1)$ .

Denote

$$L = \frac{1}{A(2)} \int_0^1 \mathcal{G}(s) ds, \quad l = \frac{\eta^2}{A(2)} \int_\theta^{1-\theta} \mathcal{G}(s) ds,$$

where  $\theta \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$  is given previously.

**Theorem 3.3** Assume that  $(H_1)'$ - $(H_3)'$  hold. Further assume that the following conditions hold:

$(H_4)'$

$$0 < l^{-1} < f^0 = \liminf_{x \rightarrow 0} \min_{t \in [0,1]} \frac{f(t, x)}{x} \leq \infty;$$

$(H_5)'$

$$0 \leq f^\infty = \limsup_{x \rightarrow +\infty} \max_{t \in [0,1]} \frac{f(t, x)}{x} \leq L^{-1}.$$

Then (1.2) has at least one positive solution.

**Proof** From Lemma 3.1 and the extension theorem of a completely continuous operator, for any  $R > 0$ , there exists  $\tilde{T} : \overline{\Omega_R} \rightarrow P'$ , which is still completely continuous. Without loss of the generality, we still write it as  $T$ .

By  $(H_4)'$ , there exist  $\epsilon_1 > 0$  and  $0 < r \leq 1$  such that

$$f(t, x) \geq (l^{-1} + \epsilon_1)x, \quad 0 < x \leq r, \quad 0 \leq t \leq 1.$$

For  $u \in \partial\Omega_r$ , we have

$$\int_0^1 (u^p(r) + u^q(r)) dr \leq \|u\|^p + \|u\|^q = r^p + r^q \leq 2.$$

Thus,

$$0 < A \left( \int_0^1 (u^p(r) + u^q(r)) dr \right) \leq A(2).$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} (Tu)(t) &\geq \frac{1}{A(2)} \int_0^1 G(t, s) f(s, u(s)) ds \\ &\geq \frac{1}{A(2)} \int_0^1 c(t) \mathcal{G}(s) (l^{-1} + \epsilon_1) u(s) ds \end{aligned}$$



$$\begin{aligned} &\geq \frac{c(t)}{A(2)}(l^{-1} + \epsilon_1) \int_{\theta}^{1-\theta} \mathcal{G}(s)\eta\|u\|ds \\ &\geq \frac{\eta^2}{A(2)}(l^{-1} + \epsilon_1)\|u\| \int_{\theta}^{1-\theta} \mathcal{G}(s)ds > \|u\|, \quad t \in [\theta, 1 - \theta]. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,  $\|Tu\| \geq \|u\|$  for  $u \in \partial\Omega_r$ .

On the other hand, by  $(H_5)'$ , there exist  $0 < \epsilon_2 < L^{-1}$  and  $R_1 > 1$  such that

$$f(t, x) \leq (L^{-1} - \epsilon_2)x, \quad x \geq R_1, \quad 0 \leq t \leq 1$$

Let

$$M_0 = \bar{H} + \sup_{u \in \partial\Omega_{R_1}} \int_0^1 \left( A \left( \int_0^1 (u^p(r) + u^q(r))dr \right) \right)^{-1} \mathcal{G}(s)f(s, u(s))ds.$$

From Lemma 3.1, we know  $M_0 < +\infty$ . We choose  $R > \max\{R_1, \frac{1}{\epsilon_2 L} M_0\}$ . Let

$$D(u) = \{t \in [0, 1] : u(t) > R_1\}.$$

For any  $u \in \partial\Omega_R$  and  $t \in D(u)$ , we have  $R_1 < u(t) \leq R$ , which implies  $f(t, u(t)) \leq (L^{-1} - \epsilon_2)u(t)$ . For  $u \in \partial\Omega_R$ , there exists  $t_0 \in [0, 1]$  such that  $\|u\| = u(t_0)$ . Let  $u_1(t) = \min\{u(t), R_1\}$ . Then  $u_1(t) \leq R_1$  for  $t \in [0, 1]$  and  $u_1(t_0) = \min\{u(t_0), R_1\} = \min\{\|u\|, R_1\} = R_1$ , which implies that  $u_1 \in \partial\Omega_{R_1}$ . Thus, for  $t \in [0, 1]$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} Tu(t) &\leq \bar{H} + \int_{D(u)} \left( A \left( \int_0^1 (u^p + u^q)(r)dr \right) \right)^{-1} \mathcal{G}(s)f(s, u(s))ds \\ &\quad + \int_{[0,1] \setminus D(u)} \left( A \left( \int_0^1 ((u_1)^p + (u_1)^q)(r)dr \right) \right)^{-1} \mathcal{G}(s)f(s, u_1(s))ds \\ &\leq \bar{H} + \frac{1}{A(2)}(L^{-1} - \epsilon_2) \int_{D(u)} \mathcal{G}(s)\|u\|ds \\ &\quad + \int_0^1 \left( A \left( \int_0^1 ((u_1)^p + (u_1)^q)(r)dr \right) \right)^{-1} \mathcal{G}(s)f(s, u_1(s))ds \\ &\leq (L^{-1} - \epsilon_2)L\|u\| + M_0 < \|u\|. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,  $\|Tu\| \leq \|u\|$  for  $u \in \partial\Omega_R$ .

By Lemma 3.2, we conclude that  $T$  has a fixed point  $u \in \overline{\Omega_R} \setminus \Omega_r$ , and Eq. (1.2) has at least one positive solution. □

**Example 3.4** Let  $p = \frac{1}{2}$ ,  $q = 2$ ,  $A(x) = 2 + x$ ,  $H(x) = 3 - e^{-x}$ ,  $\varphi(u) = \int_0^1 u(s)d(2s)$ ,  $f(t, x) = 2 - t + |\ln x|$ . Consider the following nonlocal problem:

$$\begin{cases} - \left( 2 + \int_0^1 (u^{\frac{1}{2}}(s) + u^2(s))ds \right) u''(t) = 2 - t + |\ln u(t)|, & t \in (0, 1), \\ u(0) = 3 - e^{-\int_0^1 u(s)d(2s)}, \quad u(1) = 0. \end{cases} \quad (3.1)$$

Obviously,  $f(t, x)$  is singular at  $x = 0$ . It is easy to see that  $\gamma(t) = 1 - t$ , and

$$G(t, s) = \begin{cases} t(1-s), & 0 \leq t \leq s \leq 1, \\ s(1-t), & 0 \leq s \leq t \leq 1. \end{cases}$$

Let  $\mathcal{G}(s) = s(1-s)$ ,  $c(t) = t(1-t)$ . Then  $(H_1)'$  and  $(H_2)'$  are satisfied.

For any  $0 < r < R < +\infty$  and  $u \in \overline{\Omega_R} \setminus \Omega_r$ , we have  $0 < rc(t) \leq u(t) \leq R$  for  $t \in [0, 1]$ . Then  $|\ln u(t)| \leq |\ln R| + |\ln rc(t)|$ . Due to  $\int_0^1 |\ln c(s)| ds = \int_0^1 (|\ln s| + |\ln(1-s)|) ds = 2$ , the absolute continuity of the integral yields that  $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \int_{e(m)} | \ln c(s) | ds = 0$ . Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{u \in \overline{\Omega_R} \setminus \Omega_r} \int_{e(m)} \mathcal{G}(s) f(u(s)) ds \\ & \leq \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \int_{e(m)} (2-s + |\ln R| + |\ln rc(s)|) ds \\ & = (3 + 2|\ln R| + 2|\ln r|) \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{m} + \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \int_{e(m)} |\ln c(s)| ds = 0. \end{aligned}$$

So assumption  $(H_3)'$  is satisfied.

We choose  $\theta = \frac{1}{4}$ . Then  $\eta = \frac{3}{16}$ ,  $l = \frac{(\frac{3}{16})^2}{4} \int_{\frac{1}{4}}^{\frac{3}{4}} s(1-s) ds = \frac{11}{98304}$ ,  $L = \frac{1}{4} \int_0^1 s(1-s) ds = \frac{1}{24}$ . Direct computation shows that

$$\liminf_{x \rightarrow 0} \min_{t \in [0, 1]} \frac{f(t, x)}{x} = \infty, \quad \limsup_{x \rightarrow +\infty} \max_{t \in [0, 1]} \frac{f(t, x)}{x} = 0.$$

Therefore the assumptions of Theorem 3.3 are satisfied, and nonlocal boundary value problem (3.1) has at least one positive solution.

## 4 The case $f$ changes sign

In this section, we investigate nonlocal differential Eq. (1.1) subject to a specific nonlocal boundary condition

$$\alpha u(0) - \beta u'(0) = 0, \quad \delta u'(1) = \varphi(u), \quad (4.1)$$

where  $\alpha > 0$ ,  $\beta > 0$ ,  $\delta > 0$ ,  $\varphi(u)$  is the same as Sect. 2. Using the fixed point theorem in double cones, we obtain the existence of positive solutions. It is worth mentioning that the nonlinearity is allowed to change sign and tend to negative infinity.

$(H)''$   $f : [0, 1] \times [0, +\infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is continuous, and  $f(t, 0) \geq 0$  ( $\neq 0$ ) for  $t \in [0, 1]$ .

Let

$$\Delta = 1 - \int_0^1 \frac{\beta + \alpha t}{\alpha \delta} d\alpha(t), \quad H(t, s) = \frac{\beta}{\alpha} + \begin{cases} s, & 0 \leq s \leq t \leq 1, \\ t, & 0 \leq t \leq s \leq 1. \end{cases}$$

By using standard arguments we obtain the following lemma.

**Lemma 4.1** *Suppose that  $\Delta \neq 0$ . For any  $g \in C([0, 1])$ , the nonlocal problem*

$$\begin{cases} -A \left( \int_0^1 (u^p(s) + u^q(s)) ds \right) u''(t) = g(t), & t \in (0, 1), \\ \alpha u(0) - \beta u'(0) = 0, \quad \delta u'(1) = \varphi(u). \end{cases}$$

has a solution

$$u(t) = \int_0^1 \left( A \left( \int_0^1 (u^p + u^q)(r) dr \right) \right)^{-1} G(t, s) g(s) ds, \quad t \in [0, 1],$$

where

$$G(t, s) = \frac{\beta + \alpha t}{\alpha \delta \Delta} \int_0^1 H(\tau, s) d\alpha(\tau) + H(t, s).$$

In the following we always assume that  $\Delta > 0$ . Obviously,  $G : [0, 1] \times [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$  is continuous.

Define two cones  $K$  and  $K'$ :

$$K = \{u \in E : u(t) \geq 0, t \in [0, 1]\}, \quad K' = \{u \in K : u \text{ is concave on } [0, 1]\}.$$

Define  $\acute{\alpha} : K' \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$  by

$$\acute{\alpha}(x) = \min_{t \in [\theta, 1-\theta]} x(t), \quad \theta \in \left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right).$$

For  $\rho > 0, a > 0, b > 0$ , let

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{V}_\rho &= \left\{ u \in K : \int_0^1 (u^p(s) + u^q(s)) ds < \rho \right\}, \\ K_r &= \{u \in K : \|u\| < r\}, \quad K'_r = \{u \in K' : \|u\| < r\}, \\ K(b) &= \{u \in K : \acute{\alpha}(u) < b\}, \quad K_a(b) = \{u \in K : a < \|u\|, \acute{\alpha}(u) < b\}. \end{aligned}$$

From the definition of  $\acute{\alpha}$ , we immediately obtain the following properties.

**Lemma 4.2**  *$\acute{\alpha}$  is a continuous increasing function satisfying  $\acute{\alpha}(x) \leq \|x\| \leq M\acute{\alpha}(x)$ , where  $M \geq 1$  is a constant.*

Define operators  $S, T$  and  $\tilde{T} : E \rightarrow E$  as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
 Su(t) &= \int_0^1 \left( A \left( \int_0^1 (u^p(r) + u^q(r)) dr \right) \right)^{-1} G(t, s) f(s, u(s)) ds, \quad t \in [0, 1], \\
 Tu(t) &= \left( \int_0^1 \left( A \left( \int_0^1 (u^p(r) + u^q(r)) dr \right) \right)^{-1} G(t, s) f(s, u(s)) ds \right)^+, \quad t \in [0, 1], \\
 \tilde{T}u(t) &= \int_0^1 \left( A \left( \int_0^1 (u^p(r) + u^q(r)) dr \right) \right)^{-1} G(t, s) f^+(s, u(s)) ds, \quad t \in [0, 1],
 \end{aligned}$$

where  $f^+(t, x) = \max\{f(t, x), 0\}$ . We define  $\psi : E \rightarrow K$  by  $(\psi u)(t) = \max\{u(t), 0\}$ . Then  $T = \psi \circ S$  and  $u$  is a positive solution of problem (1.1) and (4.1) if and only if  $u$  is a positive fixed point of operator  $S$ .

By the arguments similar to Lemma 3.2 in [11], we have the following result.

**Lemma 4.3** *If  $S : \overline{\widehat{V}_{\rho_2}} \setminus \widehat{V}_{\rho_1} \rightarrow E$  is completely continuous, then  $T = \psi \circ S : \overline{\widehat{V}_{\rho_2}} \setminus \widehat{V}_{\rho_1} \rightarrow K$  is completely continuous.*

**Lemma 4.4** *Assume that  $(H)''$  and  $(H_3)$  hold. Then  $T : \overline{\widehat{V}_{\rho_2}} \setminus \widehat{V}_{\rho_1} \rightarrow K$  and  $\tilde{T} : K' \cap (\overline{\widehat{V}_{\rho_2}} \setminus \widehat{V}_{\rho_1}) \rightarrow K'$  are completely continuous.*

**Proof** From the continuity of  $f$ , it is easy to show that  $S : \overline{\widehat{V}_{\rho_2}} \setminus \widehat{V}_{\rho_1} \rightarrow E$  is completely continuous. So  $T : \overline{\widehat{V}_{\rho_2}} \setminus \widehat{V}_{\rho_1} \rightarrow K$  is completely continuous by Lemma 4.3. By standard arguments,  $\tilde{T} : K' \cap (\overline{\widehat{V}_{\rho_2}} \setminus \widehat{V}_{\rho_1}) \rightarrow K'$  is completely continuous.  $\square$

**Lemma 4.5** *For any  $\rho > 0$ ,  $\overline{K'_M} \subseteq \overline{\widehat{V}_\rho} \cap K' \subseteq \overline{K'_E}$ , where  $M_\rho \in (0, \rho^{\frac{1}{q}})$  is the unique positive solution of  $x^p + x^q = \rho$  and  $E_\rho \in (0, \frac{1}{\theta} (\frac{\rho}{1-2\theta})^{\frac{1}{q}})$  is the unique positive solution of  $(\theta x)^p + (\theta x)^q - \frac{\rho}{1-2\theta} = 0$ .*

**Proof** The proof is similar to Lemma 2.2, so we omit it.  $\square$

**Lemma 4.6** [11] *Let  $X$  be a real Banach space with norm  $\|\cdot\|$ , and let  $K, K' \subset X$  be two cones with  $K' \subseteq K$ . Suppose that  $T : K \rightarrow K$  and  $\tilde{T} : K' \rightarrow K'$  are two completely continuous operators and  $\acute{\alpha}(x) : K' \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$  is a continuous increasing functional satisfying  $\acute{\alpha}(x) \leq \|x\| \leq M\acute{\alpha}(x)$  for all  $x \in K'$  and for some constant  $M \geq 1$ . Suppose that there exist two constants  $b > a > 0$  such that*

- (1)  $\|\tilde{T}x\| < a$  for  $x \in \partial K'_a$ , and  $\acute{\alpha}(\tilde{T}x) > b$  for  $x \in \partial K'(b)$ ;
- (2)  $Tx = \tilde{T}x$  for  $x \in K'_a(b) \cap \{x : \tilde{T}x = x\}$ .

Then  $T$  has a fixed point  $y$  in  $K$  satisfying  $\|y\| > a, \acute{\alpha}(y) < b$ .

**Theorem 4.7** *Assume that  $(H)''$  and  $(H_3)$  hold, and  $\delta > \varphi(\mathbf{1})$ . Suppose that there exist constants  $d > 0, \theta \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$  such that  $0 < \left(1 + \frac{\alpha}{\beta}\right) d < E_{\rho_1} < \theta M_{\rho_2} < M_{\rho_2}$ , and*

- (1)  $f(t, x) < \frac{E_{\rho_1}}{I}$  for  $(t, x) \in [0, 1] \times [0, E_{\rho_1}]$ , where  $I = \frac{1}{Q_1} \max_{t \in [0,1]} \int_0^1 G(t, s) ds$ ;
- (2)  $f(t, x) > \frac{\theta M_{\rho_2}}{J}$  for  $(t, x) \in [\theta, 1 - \theta] \times [\theta M_{\rho_2}, M_{\rho_2}]$ , where  $J = \frac{1}{Q_2} \min_{t \in [\theta, 1-\theta]} \int_{\theta}^{1-\theta} G(t, s) ds$ ;
- (3)  $f(t, x) \geq 0$  for  $(t, x) \in [0, 1] \times [d, M_{\rho_2}]$ .

Then boundary value problem (1.1) and (4.1) has a positive solution.

**Proof** For  $u \in \partial K'_{E_{\rho_1}}$  and  $t \in [0, 1]$ , we have  $0 \leq u(t) \leq \|u\| = E_{\rho_1}$ . Then

$$f^+(t, u(t)) \leq \frac{E_{\rho_1}}{I}.$$

Since  $\min_{t \in [\theta, 1-\theta]} u(t) \geq \theta \|u\|$  and  $\partial K'_{E_{\rho_1}} \subseteq \overline{K'_{E_{\rho_1}}} \subseteq \overline{K'_{M_{\rho_2}}} \subseteq \overline{\widehat{V}_{\rho_2}} \cap K'$ , we have

$$\int_0^1 (u^p(r) + u^q(r)) dr \geq \int_{\theta}^{1-\theta} [(\theta \|u\|)^p + (\theta \|u\|)^q] dr = \rho_1,$$

and

$$\int_0^1 (u^p(r) + u^q(r)) dr \leq \int_0^1 (\|u\|^p + \|u\|^q) dr \leq (M_{\rho_2})^p + (M_{\rho_2})^q = \rho_2.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|\tilde{T}u\| &\leq \frac{1}{Q_1} \max_{t \in [0,1]} \int_0^1 G(t, s) f^+(s, u(s)) ds \\ &\leq \frac{1}{Q_1} \max_{t \in [0,1]} \int_0^1 G(t, s) \frac{E_{\rho_1}}{I} ds = E_{\rho_1}, \quad u \in \partial K'_{E_{\rho_1}}. \end{aligned}$$

For  $u \in \partial K'(\theta M_{\rho_2})$ , we have  $E_{\rho_1} < \theta M_{\rho_2} = \acute{\alpha}(u) \leq \|u\| \leq \frac{1}{\theta} \acute{\alpha}(u) = M_{\rho_2}$ . By Lemma 4.5, we deduce that  $\rho_1 < \int_0^1 (u^p(r) + u^q(r)) dr \leq \rho_2$ , and  $\theta M_{\rho_2} \leq u(t) \leq M_{\rho_2}$  for  $t \in [\theta, 1 - \theta]$ . Thus, we calculate

$$\begin{aligned} \acute{\alpha}(\tilde{T}u) &= \min_{t \in [\theta, 1-\theta]} (\tilde{T}u)(t) > \min_{t \in [\theta, 1-\theta]} \frac{1}{Q_2} \int_{\theta}^{1-\theta} G(t, s) \frac{\theta M_{\rho_2}}{J} ds \\ &= \theta M_{\rho_2}, \quad u \in \partial K'(\theta M_{\rho_2}). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, for  $u \in K'_{E_{\rho_1}}(\theta M_{\rho_2}) \cap \{u : \tilde{T}u = u\}$ , we have  $\|u\| > E_{\rho_1} > (1 + \frac{\alpha}{\beta})d$ ,  $\acute{\alpha}(u) < \theta M_{\rho_2}$ ,  $\alpha u(0) - \beta u'(0) = 0$  and  $\delta u'(1) = \varphi(u)$ . Since  $u$  is concave,  $u(t)$  minimizes at  $t = 0$  or  $t = 1$ . Due to  $\varphi(u) = \int_0^1 u(s) d\alpha(s) \geq 0$ , we have  $u'(1) = \frac{1}{\delta} \varphi(u) \geq 0$ . Therefore,  $u(0) = \min_{0 \leq t \leq 1} u(t)$  and  $u(1) = \|u\|$ .

We claim that  $u(0) \geq d$ . Otherwise, we assume  $u(0) < d$ . Then there exists  $t_0 \in (0, 1)$  such that  $u'(t_0) = u(1) - u(0) = \|u\| - u(0) > \frac{\alpha}{\beta}d$ . Thanks to the fact that  $u$  is concave,  $u'(0) \geq u'(t_0) > \frac{\alpha}{\beta}d$ . It follows that

$$0 = \alpha u(0) - \beta u'(0) < \alpha d - \beta \frac{\alpha}{\beta}d = 0,$$

which is a contradiction. Then for  $t \in [0, 1]$ , we have  $d \leq u(0) \leq u(t) \leq \|u\| \leq \frac{1}{\theta} \hat{\alpha}(u) < M_{\rho_2}$ . By condition (3) of the theorem, we have  $f^+(t, u(t)) = f(t, u(t))$ . Thus, for  $u \in K'_{E_{\rho_1}}(\theta M_{\rho_2}) \cap \{u : \tilde{T}u = u\}$ , we obtain that  $Tu = \tilde{T}u = u$ . It follows from Lemma 4.6 that  $T$  has a fixed point  $u_0$  in  $K$  satisfying  $\|u_0\| > E_{\rho_1} > 0$  and  $\hat{\alpha}(u_0) < \theta M_{\rho_2}$ . Thus  $E_{\rho_1} \leq \|u_0\| \leq M_{\rho_2}$ , and  $\rho_1 \leq \int_0^1 (u_0^p(r) + u_0^q(r))dr \leq \rho_2$ .

We next show that  $u_0$  is a positive solution of problem (1.1) and (4.1). It is sufficient to prove that  $u_0$  is a fixed point of  $S$ . Arguing indirectly, we suppose that there exists  $t_1 \in (0, 1)$  such that  $u_0(t_1) \neq (Su_0)(t_1)$ . From  $u_0(t) = (Tu_0)(t) = \max\{(Su_0)(t), 0\}$ , it follows that  $(Su_0)(t_1) < 0$  and  $u_0(t_1) = 0$ . Assume that  $(t_2, t_3)$  contains  $t_1$ , and it is the maximum interval which satisfies  $(Su_0)(t) < 0$  for any  $t \in (t_2, t_3)$ . By  $(H)''$ , we get  $[t_2, t_3] \neq [0, 1]$ . Thus  $t_2 > 0$  or  $t_3 < 1$ .

For the case  $t_3 < 1$ , we have  $(Su_0)(t) < 0$ ,  $u_0(t) = 0$  for any  $t \in (t_2, t_3)$ , so  $(Su_0)(t_3) = 0$ , and  $(Su_0)'(t_3) \geq 0$ . Noting that

$$(Su_1)''(t) = - \left( A \left( \int_0^1 (u_0^p(r) + u_0^q(r))ds \right) \right)^{-1} f(t, 0) \leq 0, \quad t \in (t_2, t_3),$$

so  $(Su_0)'(t) \geq (Su_0)'(t_3) \geq 0$  for  $t \in [t_2, t_3]$ . It follows that  $(Su_0)(t) \leq (Su_0)(t_3) = 0$  for  $t \in [t_2, t_3]$ , which implies  $t_2 = 0$ , which contradicts  $(Su_0)'(0) = \frac{\alpha}{\beta}(Su_0)(0) < 0$ .

For the case  $t_2 > 0$ , we have  $u_0(t) = 0$  for  $t \in [t_2, t_3]$  and  $(Su_0)(t_2) = 0$ . Then  $(Su_0)'(t_2) \leq 0$ . From  $(H_1)''$ , we have

$$(Su_0)''(t) = - \left( A \left( \int_0^1 (u_0^p(r) + u_0^q(r))dr \right) \right)^{-1} f(t, 0) \leq 0, \quad t \in (t_2, t_3),$$

and

$$(Su_0)'(t) \leq (Su_0)'(t_2) \leq 0, \quad t \in [t_2, t_3].$$

Then  $(Su_0)(t) \leq (Su_0)(t_2) = 0$  for  $t \in [t_2, t_3]$ . Therefore,  $t_3 = 1$  and  $(Su_0)'(1) < 0$ .

We claim that  $(Su_0)(t) \geq 0$  for  $t \in [0, t_2]$ . If otherwise, there exists  $t_5 \in (0, t_2)$  such that  $(Su_0)(t_5) < 0$  and  $u_0(t_5) = 0$ . Assume that  $(t_6, t_7) \subseteq [0, t_2]$  contains  $t_5$ , and it is the maximum interval which satisfies  $(Su_0)(t) < 0$  for any  $t \in (t_6, t_7)$ . Due to  $t_7 < t_2 < 1$ , we can obtain a contradiction by a similar proof to the case of  $t_3 < 1$ . Thus,  $(Su_0)(t) \geq 0$  for  $t \in [0, t_2]$ . Explicit computations show that

$$0 > \delta(Su_0)'(1) = \varphi(Su_0) = \int_0^1 (Su_0)(t)d\alpha(t) \geq \int_{t_2}^1 (Su_0)(t)d\alpha(t),$$

and

$$|\delta(Su_0)'(1)| \leq \left| \int_{t_2}^1 (Su_0)(t) d\alpha(t) \right| \leq \int_{t_2}^1 |(Su_0)(t)| d\alpha(t).$$

In view of the fact that  $(Su_0)'(t) \leq 0$  and  $(Su_0)(t) \leq 0$  for  $t \in [t_2, 1]$ , we have

$$|(Su_0)'(1)| \geq \frac{|(Su_0)(t) - (Su_0)(t_2)|}{t - t_2}, \quad t \in (t_2, 1).$$

Thus,  $|(Su_0)(t)| \leq (t - t_2)|(Su_0)'(1)| \leq |(Su_0)'(1)|$  for  $t \in (t_2, 1)$ . It follows that

$$|\delta(Su_0)'(1)| \leq \int_{t_2}^1 |(Su_0)'(1)| d\alpha(t) \leq \varphi(\mathbf{1})|(Su_0)'(1)|.$$

Hence  $\delta \leq \varphi(\mathbf{1})$ , which is a contradiction to  $\delta > \varphi(\mathbf{1})$ . In a conclusion,  $u_0$  is a fixed point of  $S$ , i.e.,  $u_0$  is a positive solution of problem (1.1) and (4.1).  $\square$

**Example 4.8** Let  $p = \frac{1}{2}$ ,  $q = 2$ ,  $\alpha = \beta = \delta = 1$ ,  $\varphi(u) = \int_0^1 u(s) d(\frac{1}{2}s)$ . Then  $\delta > \varphi(\mathbf{1})$ . Choose  $A(t) = \sin t$ ,  $\rho_1 = 0.1$  and  $\rho_2 = 2$ . Then  $(H_3)$  holds and  $E_{\rho_1} \approx 0.16$ ,  $M_{\rho_2} = 1$ . Define the function  $f : [0, 1] \times [0, +\infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by

$$f(t, x) = \frac{1}{1000}t + \begin{cases} \frac{1}{1000}x, & x \in [0, 0.2], \\ 15.98x^2 - 3.195x, & x \in (0.2, 1], \\ -x + 13.785, & x \in (1, +\infty). \end{cases}$$

It is easy to see that  $(H)''$  holds. Consider the nonlocal problem

$$\begin{cases} -\sin\left(\int_0^1 (u^{\frac{1}{2}}(s) + u^2(s)) ds\right) u''(t) = f(t, u(t)), & t \in (0, 1), \\ u(0) - u'(0) = 0, \quad u'(1) = \int_0^1 u(s) d\left(\frac{1}{2}s\right). \end{cases} \tag{4.2}$$

Let  $d = \frac{1}{20} > 0$ ,  $\theta = \frac{1}{4}$ . Then  $0 < \left(1 + \frac{\alpha}{\beta}\right)d < E_{\rho_1} < \theta M_{\rho_2} < M_{\rho_2}$ . Simple calculation shows that  $I = \frac{935}{9}$ ,  $J = \frac{895}{384}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \max_{(t,x) \in [0,1] \times [0,0.16]} f(t, x) &\approx 0.00116 < \frac{0.16}{I} \approx 0.0015, \\ \min_{(t,x) \in [\frac{1}{4}, \frac{3}{4}] \times [0.25, 1]} f(t, x) &\approx 0.2 > \frac{0.2}{J_1} \approx 0.11 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\min_{(t,x) \in [0,1] \times [\frac{1}{20}, 1]} f(t, x) \geq 0.$$

So, all conditions of Theorem 4.7 are satisfied. Then nonlocal problem (4.2) has a positive solution.

**Author Contributions** Q. Song and X. Hao wrote the main manuscript text. All authors reviewed the manuscript.

**Funding** The paper is supported by the Natural Science Foundation of Shandong Province of China (ZR2022MA049).

**Data availability** No datasets were generated or analysed during the current study.

## Declarations

**Conflict of interest** The authors declare no Conflict of interest.

## References

1. Aly, J.J.: Thermodynamics of a two-dimensional self-gravitating system. *Phys. Rev. E* **49**, 3771–3783 (1994)
2. Bavaud, F.: Equilibrium properties of the Vlasov functional: the generalized Poisson–Boltzmann–Emden equation. *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **63**, 129–148 (1991)
3. Biler, P., Nadzieja, T.: Nonlocal parabolic problems in statistical mechanics. *Nonlinear Anal.* **30**, 5343–5350 (1997)
4. Boulaaras, S., Bouizem, Y., Guefaifia, R.: Further results of existence of positive solutions of elliptic Kirchhoff equation with general nonlinearity of source terms. *Math. Methods Appl. Sci.* **43**, 9195–9205 (2020)
5. Cabada, A., Infante, G., Tojo, F.A.F.: Nonlinear perturbed integral equations related to nonlocal boundary value problems. *Fixed Point Theory* **19**, 65–92 (2018)
6. Cabada, A., Infante, G., Tojo, F.A.F.: Nonzero solutions of perturbed Hammerstein integral equations with deviated arguments and applications. *Topol. Methods Nonlinear Anal.* **47**, 265–287 (2016)
7. Caglioti, E., Lions, P.-L., Marchioro, C., Pulvirenti, M.: A special class of stationary flows for two-dimensional Euler equations: a statistical mechanics description. *Commun. Math. Phys.* **143**, 501–525 (1992)
8. do Ó, J.M., Lorca, S., Sanchez, J., Ubilla, P.: Positive solutions for some nonlocal and nonvariational elliptic systems. *Complex Var. Elliptic Equ.* **61**, 297–314 (2016)
9. Esposito, P., Grossi, M., Pistoia, A.: On the existence of blowing-up solutions for a mean field equation. *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. NonLinéaire* **22**, 227–257 (2005)
10. Franco, D., Infante, G., Zima, M.: Second order nonlocal boundary value problems at resonance. *Math. Nachr.* **284**, 875–884 (2011)
11. Ge, W., Ren, J.: Fixed point theorems in double cones and their applications to nonlinear boundary value problems. *Chin. J. Contemp. Math.* **27**, 123–138 (2006)
12. Goodrich, C.S.: A new coercivity condition applied to semipositone integral equations with nonpositive, unbounded nonlinearities and applications to nonlocal BVPs. *J. Fixed Point Theory Appl.* **19**, 1905–1938 (2017)
13. Goodrich, C.S.: A topological approach to a class of one-dimensional Kirchhoff equations. *Proc. Am. Math. Soc. Ser. B* **8**, 158–172 (2021)
14. Goodrich, C.S.: A topological approach to nonlocal elliptic partial differential equations on an annulus. *Math. Nachr.* **294**, 286–309 (2021)
15. Goodrich, C.S.: Coercive functionals and their relationship to multiplicity of solution to nonlocal boundary value problems. *Topol. Methods Nonlinear Anal.* **54**, 409–426 (2019)
16. Goodrich, C.S.: New Harnack inequalities and existence theorems for radially symmetric solutions of elliptic PDEs with sign changing or vanishing Green’s function. *J. Differ. Equ.* **264**, 236–262 (2018)
17. Goodrich, C.S.: Nonlocal differential equations with  $(p, q)$  growth. *Bull. Lond. Math. Soc.* **55**, 1373–1391 (2023)



18. Goodrich, C.S.: Nonlocal differential equations with concave coefficients of convolution type. *Nonlinear Anal.* **211**, 112437 (2021)
19. Goodrich, C.S.: Semipositone boundary value problems with nonlocal, nonlinear boundary conditions. *Adv. Differ. Equ.* **20**, 117–142 (2015)
20. Goodrich, C.S.: Topological analysis of doubly nonlocal boundary value problems. *J. Fixed Point Theory Appl.* **23**, 29 (2021)
21. Goodrich, C.S., Lizama, C.: Existence and monotonicity of nonlocal boundary value problems: the one-dimensional case. *Proc. R. Soc. Edinb. Sect. A. Math.* **152**, 1–27 (2022)
22. Graef, J., Webb, J.R.L.: Third order boundary value problems with nonlocal boundary conditions. *Nonlinear Anal.* **71**, 1542–1551 (2009)
23. Guo, D., Lakshmikantham, V.: *Nonlinear Problems in Abstract Cones*. Academic Press, Boston (1988)
24. Infante, G.: Nonzero positive solutions of a multi-parameter elliptic system with functional BCS. *Topol. Methods Nonlinear Anal.* **52**, 665–675 (2018)
25. Infante, G.: Positive and increasing solutions of perturbed Hammerstein integral equations with derivative dependence. *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. Ser. B* **25**, 691–699 (2020)
26. Infante, G., Pietramala, P.: Nonzero radial solutions for a class of elliptic systems with nonlocal BCs on annular domains. *NoDEA Nonlinear Differ. Equ. Appl.* **22**, 979–1003 (2015)
27. Infante, G., Pietramala, P., Tojo, F.A.F.: Non-trivial solutions of local and non-local Neumann boundary-value problems. *Proc. R. Soc. Edinb. Sect. A* **146**, 337–369 (2016)
28. Karakostas, G.L., Tsamatos, P.C.: Existence of multiple positive solutions for a nonlocal boundary value problem. *Topol. Methods Nonlinear Anal.* **19**, 109–121 (2002)
29. Liu, F., Luo, H., Dai, G.: Global bifurcation and nodal solutions for homogeneous Kirchhoff type equations. *Electron. J. Qual. Theory Differ. Equ.* **2020**, 29 (2020)
30. Webb, J.R.L., Infante, G.: Non-local boundary value problems of arbitrary order. *J. Lond. Math. Soc.* **79**, 238–258 (2009)
31. Webb, J.R.L., Infante, G.: Positive solutions of nonlocal boundary value problems: a unified approach. *J. Lond. Math. Soc.* **74**, 673–693 (2006)
32. Whyburn, W.M.: Differential equations with general boundary conditions. *Bull. Am. Math. Soc.* **48**, 692–704 (1942)
33. Yang, Z.: Existence and nonexistence results for positive solutions of an integral boundary value problem. *Nonlinear Anal.* **65**, 1489–1511 (2006)

**Publisher's Note** Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Springer Nature or its licensor (e.g. a society or other partner) holds exclusive rights to this article under a publishing agreement with the author(s) or other rightsholder(s); author self-archiving of the accepted manuscript version of this article is solely governed by the terms of such publishing agreement and applicable law.