

# Unitarily invariant strictly positive definite kernels on spheres

J. C. Guella<sup>1</sup> · V. A. Menegatto<sup>1</sup>

Received: 3 February 2017 / Accepted: 15 May 2017 / Published online: 20 May 2017  
© Springer International Publishing 2017

**Abstract** We present a Fourier characterization for the continuous and unitarily invariant strictly positive definite kernels on the unit sphere in  $\mathbb{C}^q$ , thus adding to a celebrated work of I. J. Schoenberg on positive definite functions on real spheres.

**Keywords** Positive definite · Spheres · Disk polynomials · Zernike polynomials · Unitary group

**Mathematics Subject Classification** 42A82 · 42C10 · 43A35

## 1 Introduction

Let  $S^q$  be the unit sphere in the Euclidean space  $\mathbb{R}^{q+1}$  and  $\cdot$  the usual inner product in  $\mathbb{R}^{q+1}$ . Positive definite kernels of the form

$$K(x, y) = K'(x \cdot y), \quad x, y \in S^q,$$

in which  $K' : [-1, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is continuous, were studied and characterized by Schoenberg [21] a long time ago. A kernel as above is positive definite on  $S^q$  if, and only if, the function  $K'$  has the form

---

The second author recognizes a partial support from FAPESP, Grant 2016/09906-0.

---

✉ V. A. Menegatto  
menegatt@icmc.usp.br

J. C. Guella  
jcguella@gmail.com

<sup>1</sup> ICMC-USP - São Carlos, Caixa Postal 668, São Carlos, SP 13560-970, Brazil

$$K'(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k^q P_k^q(t), \quad t \in [0, 1],$$

in which all coefficients  $a_k^q$  are nonnegative,  $P_k^q$  is the Gegenbauer or ultra-spherical polynomial of degree  $k$  associated with the real number  $(q-1)/2$ , as described in [22], and  $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k P_k^q(1) < \infty$ . Since a kernel  $K$  as above is real and symmetric, it is meaningful to recall that its positive definiteness demands that

$$\sum_{\mu, \nu=1}^n c_{\mu} c_{\nu} K(x_{\mu} \cdot x_{\nu}) \geq 0, \quad (1.1)$$

for all  $n \geq 1$ , any choice of distinct points  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$  on  $S^q$  and real numbers  $c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n$ .

The kernels in Schoenberg's class are usually called either *zonal* or *isotropic* on  $S^q$ , since they are invariant with respect to the orthogonal group  $\mathcal{O}_q$  in  $\mathbb{R}^{q+1}$ , in the sense that

$$K(Ax, Ay) = K(x, y), \quad x, y \in S^q, \quad A \in \mathcal{O}_q.$$

The function  $K'$  is usually called the *isotropic part* of  $K$ .

Schoenberg's result was complemented many decades later with a characterization for the strictly positive definite kernels from his class. The term *strict* is employed if the inequalities in (1.1) are strict for nonzero scalars  $c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n$ . According to [5, 19] (see also [2]), a kernel  $K$  from Schoenberg's class is strictly positive definite if, and only if, in the series representation for the function  $K'$ , one has:

- ( $q \geq 2$ ):  $a_k^q > 0$  for infinitely many even  $k$  and infinitely many odd  $k$ .
- ( $q = 1$ ):  $a_{|k|}^1 > 0$  for  $k$  belonging to a set that intersects every full arithmetic progression in  $\mathbb{Z}$ .

The strict positive definiteness of a positive definite kernel is usually required when interpolation procedures based on the kernel need to be solved. It implies that no matter how many points the interpolation procedure uses, the matrices are always positive definite, in particular, invertible. In statistics language, the strict positive definiteness of the covariance functions (positive definite kernels) provides invertible kriging coefficient matrices and, therefore, the existence of a unique solution for the associated kriging system.

Extensions of the results we have described so far, can be found in [8–11] and references therein.

In this paper, we will consider the analogous problem in  $\Omega_{2q}$ , the unit sphere in  $\mathbb{C}^q$ . In this complex setting, the kernels have the form

$$K(z, w) = K'(z \cdot w), \quad z, w \in \Omega_{2q},$$

with a continuous generating function  $K' : \Delta[0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ . Here, we also employ the same dot notation to denote the usual inner product in  $\mathbb{C}^q$ ,  $\Delta[0, 1] := \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| \leq 1\}$

in the case  $q \geq 2$  while  $\Delta[0, 1] = \Omega_2$  otherwise. The notion of positive definiteness now requires that

$$\sum_{\mu, \nu=1}^n c_\mu \bar{c}_\nu K(z_\mu \cdot z_\nu) \geq 0, \tag{1.2}$$

for  $n \geq 1$ , any choice of distinct points  $z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n$  on  $\Omega_{2q}$  and complex numbers  $c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n$ . Strict positive definiteness now demands strict inequalities in (1.2) whenever the complex numbers  $c_\mu$  are nonzero. These kernels are invariant with respect to the unitary group  $\mathcal{U}_q$  in  $\mathbb{C}^q$  in the sense that

$$K(Az, Aw) = K(z, w), \quad z, w \in \Omega_{2q}, \quad A \in \mathcal{U}_q.$$

According to [17], a kernel  $K$  as in the previous paragraph is positive definite on  $\Omega_{2q}$ ,  $q \geq 2$ , if, and only if,

$$K'(z) = \sum_{m, n=0}^\infty a_{m, n}^q R_{m, n}^{q-2}(z), \quad z \in \Delta[0, 1], \tag{1.3}$$

in which all the coefficients  $a_{m, n}^q$  are nonnegative,  $R_{m, n}^{q-2}$  is the disk polynomial of bi-degree  $(m, n)$  associated to the integer  $q - 2$  and normalized so that  $R_{m, n}^q(1) = 1$  and  $\sum_{m, n=0}^\infty a_{m, n}^q < \infty$ . In the case  $q = 1$ , the representation becomes

$$K'(z) = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} a_m z^m, \quad z \in \Omega_2, \tag{1.4}$$

in which all coefficients  $a_m$  are nonnegative and  $\sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} a_m < \infty$ . At this point it is worth mentioning references [14, 15, 20] for additional information on the harmonic analysis on  $\Omega_{2q}$ .

For  $\alpha > -1$ , the *disk polynomial*  $R_{m, n}^\alpha$  of bi-degree  $(m, n)$  is given by the formula

$$R_{m, n}^\alpha(z) := r^{|m-n|} e^{i(m-n)\theta} R_{m \wedge n}^{(\alpha, |m-n|)}(2r^2 - 1), \quad z = r e^{i\theta} = x + iy,$$

in which  $R_{m \wedge n}^{(\alpha, |m-n|)}$  is the Jacobi polynomial of degree  $m \wedge n := \min\{m, n\}$  associated to the numbers  $\alpha$  and  $|m - n|$ , and normalized by  $R_{m \wedge n}^{(\alpha, |m-n|)}(1) = 1$ . Obviously,  $R_{m, n}^\alpha$  is a polynomial of degree  $m$  in the variable  $z$  and of degree  $n$  in the variable  $\bar{z}$ . Due to the orthogonality relations for Jacobi polynomials, the set  $\{R_{m, n}^\alpha : 0 \leq m, n < \infty\}$  is a complete orthogonal system in  $L^2(\Delta[0, 1], dw_\alpha)$ , where  $dw_\alpha$  is the positive measure of total mass one on  $\Delta[0, 1]$  defined by

$$dw_\alpha(z) = \frac{\alpha + 1}{\pi} (1 - x^2 - y^2)^\alpha dx dy, \quad z = x + iy.$$

Earlier studies on disk polynomials are [4, 6, 14]. Disk polynomials are also known as generalized Zernike polynomials, since they are natural extensions of the standard

radial Zernike polynomials used in the characterization of circular optical imaging systems with non-uniform pupil functions in Optics [13, 16]. Recent references on disk polynomials are [1, 24] and references therein while [23] is a source for applications.

For a function  $K'$  as in (1.4), it is shown in [19] that the kernel  $K(z, w) = K'(z \cdot w)$ ,  $z, w \in \Omega_{2q}$ , is strictly positive definite if, and only if, the set  $\{m : a_m > 0\}$  from (1.4) intersects every full arithmetic progression in  $\mathbb{Z}$ . In view of the previous comments, our intention here is to prove the following complement:

**Theorem 1.1** *Let  $K'$  be a function as in (1.3). The kernel  $K$  given by  $K(z, w) = K'(z \cdot w)$ ,  $z, w \in \Omega_{2q}$ , is strictly positive definite if, and only if, the set  $\{m - n : a_{m,n}^{q-2} > 0\}$  intersects every full arithmetic progression in  $\mathbb{Z}$ .*

The proof of the theorem will appear in Sect. 3. In Sect. 4, we will point how to extend the characterization for positive definite kernels of the same nature on the unit sphere in the complex  $\ell_2$ .

## 2 Technical results

In this section, we describe an asymptotic formula for disk polynomials to be required in the closing arguments in the proof of the main result of the paper to be presented in Sect. 3.

Let us formalize the normalization for the Jacobi polynomials we are using here:

$$R_k^{(\alpha, \beta)} = \frac{P_k^{(\alpha, \beta)}}{P_k^{(\alpha, \beta)}(1)}, \quad k = 0, 1, \dots,$$

in which  $P_k^{(\alpha, \beta)}$  is the standard Jacobi polynomial as explored in [22]. Since the Jacobi polynomials satisfy the recurrence formula [22, p. 71]

$$(1-t)P_k^{(\alpha+1, \beta)}(t) = \frac{2}{2k + \alpha + \beta + 2} \left[ (k + \alpha + 1)P_k^{(\alpha, \beta)}(t) - (k + 1)P_{k+1}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(t) \right],$$

we have that

$$(1-t)R_k^{(\alpha+1, \beta)}(t) = \frac{2}{2k + \alpha + \beta + 2} \left[ (k + \alpha + 1) \frac{P_k^{(\alpha, \beta)}(1)}{P_k^{\alpha+1, \beta}(1)} R_k^{(\alpha, \beta)}(t) - (k + 1) \frac{P_{k+1}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(1)}{P_k^{\alpha+1, \beta}(1)} R_{k+1}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(t) \right].$$

Recalling that

$$P_k^{(\alpha, \beta)}(1) = \binom{k + \alpha}{k}, \quad k = 0, 1, \dots,$$

the previous equality reduces itself to the following recurrence relation for normalized Jacobi polynomials

$$(1-t)R_k^{(\alpha+1,\beta)}(t) = \frac{2(\alpha+1)}{2k+\alpha+\beta+2} \left[ R_k^{(\alpha,\beta)}(t) - R_{k+1}^{(\alpha,\beta)}(t) \right].$$

In particular, for  $r \in [0, 1)$ , we deduce that

$$(1-r^2)R_k^{(\alpha+1,\beta)}(2r^2-1) = \frac{\alpha+1}{2k+\alpha+\beta+2} \left[ R_k^{(\alpha,\beta)}(2r^2-1) - R_{k+1}^{(\alpha,\beta)}(2r^2-1) \right].$$

If  $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ ,  $\beta := |m-n|$  and  $k := m \wedge n = \min\{m, n\}$ , then the previous relation takes the form

$$(1-r^2)R_{m \wedge n}^{(\alpha+1,|m-n|)}(2r^2-1) = \frac{\alpha+1}{m+n+\alpha+2} \left[ R_{m \wedge n}^{(\alpha,|m-n|)}(2r^2-1) - R_{(m+1) \wedge (n+1)}^{(\alpha,|m-n|)}(2r^2-1) \right],$$

where we have used the relation  $2m \wedge n + |m-n| = m+n$  in order to simplify the equality. Another adjustment leads to

$$\begin{aligned} & e^{i\theta(m-n)} r^{|m-n|} (1-r^2) R_{m \wedge n}^{(\alpha+1,|m-n|)}(2r^2-1) \\ &= \frac{\alpha+1}{m+n+\alpha+2} \left[ e^{i\theta(m-n)} r^{|m-n|} R_{m \wedge n}^{(\alpha,|m-n|)}(2r^2-1) - e^{i\theta((m+1)-(n+1))} r^{|(m+1)-(n+1)|} R_{(m+1) \wedge (n+1)}^{(\alpha,|(m+1)-(n+1)|)}(2r^2-1) \right] \end{aligned}$$

for  $r \in [0, 1)$  and  $\theta \in [0, 2\pi)$ . We are ready to prove the following limit formula for disk polynomials.

**Lemma 2.1** *If  $\alpha > -1$ ,  $z \in \Delta[0, 1]$  and  $|z| \neq 1$ , then*

$$\lim_{m+n \rightarrow \infty} R_{m,n}^{\alpha+1}(z) = 0.$$

*Proof* Writing  $z = re^{i\theta}$ , with  $r \in [-1, 1]$  and  $\theta \in [0, 2\pi)$  and applying the equality preceding the lemma in the definition of disk polynomials leads to the following recurrence formula

$$(1-|z|^2)R_{m,n}^{\alpha+1}(z) = \frac{\alpha+1}{m+n+\alpha+2} \left[ R_{m,n}^{\alpha}(z) - R_{m+1,n+1}^{\alpha}(z) \right], \quad |z| \leq 1, \quad \alpha > -1.$$

Due to the normalization adopted for the disk polynomials, we know that  $|R_{m,n}^{\alpha}(z)| \leq 1$ ,  $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ . Hence, if  $|z| < 1$ , then

$$\left| R_{m,n}^{\alpha+1}(z) \right| \leq \frac{2}{1-|z|^2} \frac{\alpha+1}{m+n+\alpha+2},$$

which implies the limit formula in the statement of the lemma.  $\square$

Since the definition for the Jacobi polynomials  $P_k^{(\alpha, \beta)}$  demands  $\alpha > -1$ , the previous lemma does not hold for the disk polynomials  $R_{m,n}^0$ . Indeed, since

$$R_{m,n}^0(0) = (-1)^m \delta_{m,n}, \quad m, n \in \mathbb{Z}_+,$$

the limit  $\lim_{m+n \rightarrow \infty} R_{m,n}^0(0)$  may not exist while

$$\lim_{\substack{m+n \rightarrow \infty \\ m \neq n}} R_{m,n}^0(0) = 0.$$

However, the point  $z = 0$  is the only exception, as we now show.

**Lemma 2.2** *If  $z \in \Delta[0, 1]$  and  $0 < |z| < 1$ , then*

$$\lim_{m+n \rightarrow \infty} R_{m,n}^0(z) = 0.$$

*Proof* Here, we will employ the Bernstein inequality for Jacobi polynomials recently proved by Haagerup and Schlichtkrull [12]. For  $\alpha = 0$ , it reads

$$\left| (1-t^2)^{1/4} \left( \frac{1+t}{2} \right)^{\beta/2} R_k^{(0, \beta)}(t) \right| \leq \frac{C}{(2k + \beta + 1)^{1/4}}, \quad k = 0, 1, \dots, \quad t \in [-1, 1],$$

in which  $C$  is a constant at most 12 and not depending upon  $k$ . Replacing  $t$  with  $2r^2 - 1$ , leads to

$$\left[ 4r^2(1-r^2) \right]^{1/4} r^\beta \left| R_k^{(0, \beta)}(2r^2 - 1) \right| \leq \frac{C}{(2k + \beta + 1)^{1/4}}, \quad k = 0, 1, \dots, \quad r \in [0, 1].$$

It is now clear that

$$\begin{aligned} |R_{m,n}^0(z)| &\leq \frac{2^{-1/2} C}{r^{1/2} (1-r^2)^{1/4} (2m \wedge n + |m-n| + 1)^{1/4}} \\ &= \frac{2^{-1/2} C}{r^{1/2} (1-r^2)^{1/4} (m+n+1)^{1/4}}, \quad m, n \in \mathbb{Z}_+, \quad 0 < |z| < 1. \end{aligned}$$

This implies the limit formula in the statement of the lemma.  $\square$

### 3 The Proof of Theorem 1.1

In this section, we will assume that  $q \geq 2$ . We begin recalling the notion of antipodal points on  $\Omega_{2q}$ : two distinct points  $z$  and  $w$  over  $\Omega_{2q}$  are *antipodal* if  $|z \cdot w| = 1$ . In particular,  $z$  and  $w$  are antipodal if, and only if, there exists  $\theta \in (0, 2\pi)$  so that  $z = e^{i\theta} w$ . Thus, for  $z \in \Omega_{2q}$  fixed, there is a whole  $\Omega_2$  of points in  $\Omega_{2q}$  that are antipodal to  $z$ .

For a finite subset  $\{z_1, z_2, \dots, z_k\}$  of  $\Omega_{2q}$ , not containing any pairs of antipodal points, and a subset  $\{\theta_1, \theta_2, \dots, \theta_l\}$  of  $[0, 2\pi)$ , the *enhanced subset* of  $\Omega_{2q}$  generated by them is the set

$$\left\{ e^{i\theta_1 z_1}, e^{i\theta_2 z_1}, \dots, e^{i\theta_l z_1}, e^{i\theta_1 z_2}, e^{i\theta_2 z_2}, \dots, e^{i\theta_l z_2}, \dots, e^{i\theta_1 z_k}, e^{i\theta_2 z_k}, \dots, e^{i\theta_l z_k} \right\}.$$

For a positive definite kernel  $K(z, w) = K'(z \cdot w)$ ,  $z, w \in \Omega_{2q}$ , with  $K'$  having the disk polynomial expansion (1.3), the quadratic form (1.2) associated to an enhanced set as above becomes

$$\sum_{\mu, v=1}^k \sum_{\tau, \lambda=1}^l c_{\mu}^{\tau} \overline{c_{v}^{\lambda}} K' \left( \left( e^{i\theta_{\tau} z_{\mu}} \right) \cdot \left( e^{i\theta_{\lambda} z_{v}} \right) \right).$$

Indeed, since an enhanced set may be thought as a double indexed set, we need to double index the complex scalars in the quadratic form accordingly. The quadratic form is zero if, and only if,

$$\sum_{\mu, v=1}^k \sum_{\tau, \lambda=1}^l c_{\mu}^{\tau} \overline{c_{v}^{\lambda}} R_{m,n}^{q-2} \left( e^{i(\theta_{\tau} - \theta_{\lambda})(z_{\mu} \cdot z_{v})} \right) = 0,$$

whenever  $(m, n)$  belongs to the set  $\left\{ (m, n) : a_{m,n}^{q-2} > 0 \right\}$  associated to the representation (1.3) of  $K'$ . Taking into account that disk polynomials are homogeneous in the sense that

$$R_{m,n}^{\alpha}(e^{i\theta} z) = e^{i(m-n)\theta} R_{m,n}^{\alpha}(z), \quad m, n \in \mathbb{Z}_+, \quad z \in \Delta[0, 1], \quad \theta \in [0, 2\pi),$$

the following characterization for strict positive definiteness hold.

**Theorem 3.1** *Let  $K'$  be a function as in (1.3). The following assertions are equivalent:*

- (i) *The kernel  $K(z, w) = K'(z \cdot w)$ ,  $z, w \in \Omega_{2q}$ , is strictly positive definite;*
- (ii) *If  $k$  and  $l$  are positive integers,  $\{\theta_1, \theta_2, \dots, \theta_l\}$  is a subset of  $[0, 2\pi)$  and  $\{z_1, z_2, \dots, z_k\}$  is a subset of  $\Omega_{2q}$ , not containing any pairs of antipodal points, then the only solution  $\{c_{\mu}^{\tau} : \mu = 1, 2, \dots, k; \tau = 1, 2, \dots, l\}$  of the system of equations*

$$\sum_{\mu, v=1}^k \sum_{\tau, \lambda=1}^l c_{\mu}^{\tau} \overline{c_{v}^{\lambda}} e^{i(m-n)(\theta_{\tau} - \theta_{\lambda})} R_{m,n}^{q-2}(z_{\mu} \cdot z_{v}) = 0, \quad (m, n) \in \left\{ (m, n) : a_{m,n}^{q-2} > 0 \right\},$$

*is the trivial one, that is, all the complex numbers  $c_{\mu}^{\tau}$  are zero.*

*Proof* One implication is obvious while the other one follows from the fact that the matrix appearing in the quadratic form (1.2) associated to an enhanced set contains, as a principal sub-matrix, the matrix in the quadratic form associated to the subset of  $\Omega_{2q}$  that generates the enhanced set.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.2** *Let  $K'$  be a function as in (1.3). If  $K(z, w) = K'(z \cdot w)$ ,  $z, w \in \Omega_{2q}$ , is strictly positive definite, then the set  $\{m - n : a_{m,n}^{q-2} > 0\}$  intersects every full arithmetic progression in  $\mathbb{Z}$ .*

*Proof* Assume

$$\{m - n : a_{m,n}^{q-2} > 0\} \cap (N\mathbb{Z} + j) = \emptyset,$$

for some  $N \geq 1$  and some  $j \in \{0, 1, \dots, N - 1\}$ . We will show that Assertion (ii) in Theorem 3.1 does not hold when we consider  $l = N$ ,  $k = 1$ , and we take  $\theta_\tau = e^{i2\pi\tau/N}$ ,  $\tau = 1, 2, \dots, N$ , while  $\{z_1\}$  is an arbitrary unitary subset of  $\Omega_{2q}$ . Indeed, the corresponding system in Theorem 3.1-(ii) takes the form

$$\sum_{\tau, \lambda=1}^l c_1^\tau \overline{c_1^\lambda} e^{i2\pi(\tau-\lambda)(m-n)/N} = 0, \quad (m, n) \in \{(m, n) : a_{m,n}^{q-2} > 0\},$$

that is,

$$\sum_{\tau=1}^l c_1^\tau e^{i2\pi\tau(m-n)/N} = 0, \quad (m, n) \in \{(m, n) : a_{m,n}^{q-2} > 0\}.$$

But, the scalars  $c_\tau := e^{-i2\pi\tau j/N}$ ,  $\tau = 1, 2, \dots, N$ , provides a nonzero solution  $\{c_1^\tau : \tau = 1, 2, \dots, N\}$  for the system. Indeed, for this choice of the scalars, the system reduces itself to

$$\sum_{\tau=1}^l e^{i2\pi\tau(m-n-j)/N} = 0, \quad (m, n) \in \{(m, n) : a_{m,n}^{q-2} > 0\}.$$

If  $(m, n) \in \{(m, n) : a_{m,n}^{q-2} > 0\}$ , then the integer  $m - n - j$  is not divisible by  $N$ . Since  $e^{i2\pi/N}$  is a primitive  $n$ -th root of unity, the sum is zero. Thus,  $K$  cannot be strictly positive definite in this case.  $\square$

Next, we demonstrate a technical result involving general exponentials sums of the same type of that used in the proof of the previous theorem.

**Lemma 3.3** *Let  $z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n$  be distinct points on  $\Omega_2$ . If  $c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n$  are complex numbers, not all zero, then the set*

$$\left\{ p \in \mathbb{Z} : \sum_{\tau=1}^l c_\tau z_\tau^p \neq 0 \right\}$$

*contains a full arithmetic progression of  $\mathbb{Z}$ .*



*Proof* Assume that at least one  $c_\tau$  is nonzero and consider the complement of the set quoted in the statement of the lemma in  $\mathbb{C}$ , that is,

$$\left\{ p \in \mathbb{Z} : \sum_{\tau=1}^l c_\tau z_\tau^p = 0 \right\}.$$

This set is both a linear recurrence and a proper subset of  $\mathbb{Z}$ . According to the Skolem–Mahler–Lech theorem [7, p. 25], this set is the union of a finite subset of  $\mathbb{Z}$  and a finite number of full arithmetic progressions of  $\mathbb{Z}$ . Therefore, at least one full arithmetic progression must be a subset of the set in the statement of the lemma.  $\square$

The next theorem settles the sufficiency part in Theorem 1.1.

**Theorem 3.4** *Let  $K'$  be a function as in (1.3). If  $\{m - n : a_{m,n}^{q-2} > 0\}$  intersects each full arithmetic progression in  $\mathbb{Z}$ , then  $K(z, w) = K'(z \cdot w)$ ,  $z, w \in \Omega_{2q}$ , is strictly positive definite.*

*Proof* Assume  $\{m - n : a_{m,n}^{q-2} > 0\}$  intersects each full arithmetic progression in  $\mathbb{Z}$ . We will apply Theorem 3.1 in order to conclude that  $K$  is strictly positive definite. Let  $k$  and  $l$  be positive integers,  $\{\theta_1, \theta_2, \dots, \theta_l\}$  be distinct angles in  $[0, 2\pi)$  and  $\{z_1, z_2, \dots, z_k\}$  a subset of  $\Omega_{2q}$  containing no pair of antipodal points. We will suppose that the system

$$\sum_{\mu,v=1}^k \sum_{\tau,\lambda=1}^l c_\mu^\tau \overline{c_\nu^\lambda} e^{i(m-n)(\theta_\tau - \theta_\lambda)} R_{m,n}^{q-2}(z_\mu \cdot z_\nu) = 0, \quad (m, n) \in \left\{ (m, n) : a_{m,n}^{q-2} > 0 \right\},$$

has a nontrivial solution and will reach a contradiction. Without loss of generality, we can assume that at least one of the scalars  $c_1^1, c_1^2, \dots, c_1^l$  is nonzero. Taking into account that the inner double sum in the previous equation is

$$\sum_{\tau,\lambda=1}^l c_\mu^\tau \overline{c_\nu^\lambda} e^{i(m-n)(\theta_\tau - \theta_\lambda)} = \sum_{\tau=1}^l c_\mu^\tau e^{i(m-n)\theta_\tau} \overline{\sum_{\lambda=1}^l c_\nu^\lambda e^{i(m-n)\theta_\lambda}},$$

we will consider the set

$$S := \left\{ p \in \mathbb{Z} : \sum_{\tau=1}^l c_1^\tau e^{ip\theta_\tau} \neq 0 \right\}.$$

Lemma 3.3 asserts that  $S$  contains a full arithmetic progression of  $\mathbb{Z}$ , say  $N\mathbb{Z} + j$ . Since  $\{m - n : a_{m,n}^{q-2} > 0\}$  intersects each full arithmetic progression in  $\mathbb{Z}$ , it is clear that the set  $\{m - n : a_{m,n}^{q-2} > 0\} \cap (N\mathbb{Z} + j)$  must be infinite. Now, we can select  $\mu_0 \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$  and an infinite set  $Q \subset \{m - n : a_{m,n}^{q-2} > 0\} \cap (N\mathbb{Z} + j)$  so that

$$\left| \sum_{\tau=1}^l c_{\mu_0}^{\tau} e^{i(m-n)\theta_{\tau}} \right| \geq \left| \sum_{\tau=1}^l c_{\mu}^{\tau} e^{i(m-n)\theta_{\tau}} \right|, \quad \mu \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\}, \quad m - n \in Q.$$

It is worth mentioning that

$$\left| \sum_{\tau=1}^l c_{\mu_0}^{\tau} e^{i(m-n)\theta_{\tau}} \right| \geq \left| \sum_{\tau=1}^l c_1^{\tau} e^{i(m-n)\theta_{\tau}} \right| > 0, \quad m - n \in Q.$$

Next, let us denote by  $Q'$  the unbounded set

$$\{(m, n) : m - n \in Q \setminus \{0\}\} \cap \left\{ (m, n) : a_{m,n}^{q-2} > 0 \right\}.$$

Here, we need to consider  $Q \setminus \{0\}$  instead of  $Q$  in order to accommodate the unexpected limit quoted before Lemma 2.2 and, consequently, to be able to handle the case  $q = 2$ . Returning to the original system, but restricting ourselves to  $Q'$ , we have that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= R_{m,n}^{q-2}(z_{\mu_0} \cdot z_{\mu_0}) + \sum_{\mu \neq \mu_0} \frac{\left| \sum_{\tau=1}^l c_{\mu}^{\tau} e^{i(m-n)\theta_{\tau}} \right|^2}{\left| \sum_{\tau=1}^l c_{\mu_0}^{\tau} e^{i(m-n)\theta_{\tau}} \right|^2} R_{m,n}^{q-2}(z_{\mu} \cdot z_{\mu}) \\ &+ \sum_{\mu \neq \nu} \frac{\sum_{\tau=1}^l c_{\mu}^{\tau} e^{i(m-n)\theta_{\tau}} \overline{\sum_{\tau=1}^l c_{\nu}^{\tau} e^{i(m-n)\theta_{\tau}}}}{\sum_{\tau=1}^l c_{\mu_0}^{\tau} e^{i(m-n)\theta_{\tau}} \overline{\sum_{\tau=1}^l c_{\mu_0}^{\tau} e^{i(m-n)\theta_{\tau}}}} R_{m,n}^{q-2}(z_{\mu} \cdot z_{\nu}). \end{aligned}$$

Then, we can deduce the main inequality

$$0 \geq 1 + \sum_{\mu \neq \nu} \frac{\sum_{\tau=1}^l c_{\mu}^{\tau} e^{i(m-n)\theta_{\tau}} \overline{\sum_{\tau=1}^l c_{\nu}^{\tau} e^{i(m-n)\theta_{\tau}}}}{\sum_{\tau=1}^l c_{\mu_0}^{\tau} e^{i(m-n)\theta_{\tau}} \overline{\sum_{\tau=1}^l c_{\mu_0}^{\tau} e^{i(m-n)\theta_{\tau}}}} R_{m,n}^{q-2}(z_{\mu} \cdot z_{\nu}), \quad (m, n) \in Q'.$$

Since  $Q'$  is unbounded, the same is true of the set  $\{m + n : (m, n) \in Q'\}$ . On the other hand, since the set  $\{z_1, z_2, \dots, z_k\}$  does not contain pairs of antipodal points, we have that

$$|z_{\mu} \cdot z_{\nu}| < 1, \quad \mu, \nu = 1, 2, \dots, k, \quad \mu \neq \nu.$$

Taking into account these two pieces of information and also that

$$\left| \frac{\sum_{\tau=1}^l c_{\mu}^{\tau} e^{i(m-n)\theta_{\tau}} \overline{\sum_{\tau=1}^l c_{\nu}^{\tau} e^{i(m-n)\theta_{\tau}}}}{\sum_{\tau=1}^l c_{\mu_0}^{\tau} e^{i(m-n)\theta_{\tau}} \overline{\sum_{\tau=1}^l c_{\mu_0}^{\tau} e^{i(m-n)\theta_{\tau}}}} \right| \leq 1, \quad \mu \neq \nu, \quad (m, n) \in Q',$$

we can apply Lemmas 2.1 and 2.2, to conclude that

$$\lim_{m+n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sum_{\tau=1}^l c_{\mu}^{\tau} e^{i(m-n)\theta_{\tau}} \overline{\sum_{\tau=1}^l c_{\nu}^{\tau} e^{i(m-n)\theta_{\tau}}}}{\sum_{\tau=1}^l c_{\mu 0}^{\tau} e^{i(m-n)\theta_{\tau}} \overline{\sum_{\tau=1}^l c_{\mu 0}^{\tau} e^{i(m-n)\theta_{\tau}}}} R_{m,n}^{q-2}(z_{\mu} \cdot z_{\nu}) = 0, \quad \mu \neq \nu,$$

as long as  $(m, n) \in Q'$ . Therefore, we can return to the main inequality to deduce that  $0 \geq 1 - 1/2$ , a clear contradiction.  $\square$

We would like to observe that Theorem 1.1 proved here corrects a wrong argument developed in the proof of the main Theorem in [18]. There, the reader may also find some other partial results on positive definiteness and strict positive definiteness of kernels fitting in the complex setting considered here.

### 4 The unit sphere in the complex $\ell_2$

Here, we consider kernels of the form  $K(z, w) = K'(z \cdot w)$ ,  $z, w \in \Omega_{\infty}$ , in which  $\Omega_{\infty}$  is the unit sphere in the complex  $\ell_2$ ,  $\cdot$  is the usual inner product of  $\ell_2$  and  $K'$  is a complex continuous function on  $\Delta[0, 1] = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| \leq 1\}$ . The concepts previously introduced for kernels on  $\Omega_{2q}$  hold true for kernels on  $\Omega_{\infty}$  modulus obvious modifications. The positive definiteness of the kernel corresponds to the following series representation for  $K'$  [3, p. 171]:

$$K'(z) = \sum_{m,n=0}^{\infty} a_{m,n}^{\infty} R_{m,n}^{\infty}(z), \quad z \in \Delta[0, 1], \tag{4.1}$$

in which all the coefficients  $a_{m,n}^{\infty}$  are nonnegative,

$$R_{m,n}^{\infty}(z) = z^m \bar{z}^n, \quad z \in \Delta[0, 1],$$

and  $\sum_{m,n=0}^{\infty} a_{m,n}^{\infty} < \infty$ .

The characterization for strict positive definiteness follows the same pattern of that in Theorem 1.1.

**Theorem 4.1** *Let  $K'$  be a function as in (4.1). The kernel  $K(z, w) = K'(z \cdot w)$ ,  $z, w \in \Omega_{\infty}$ , is strictly positive definite if, and only if, the set  $\{m - n : a_{m,n}^{\infty} > 0\}$  intersects every full arithmetic progression in  $\mathbb{Z}$ .*

*Proof* The necessity part of the theorem goes along the lines of the proof of Theorem 3.2. If we assume that

$$\{m - n : a_{m,n}^{\infty} > 0\} \cap (N\mathbb{Z} + j) = \emptyset,$$

for some  $N \geq 1$  and some  $j \in \{0, 1, \dots, N - 1\}$ , we may consider the points  $z_1, z_2, \dots, z_N$  on  $\Omega_{\infty}$  given by

$$z_{\mu} := (e^{i2\pi\mu/N}, 0, 0, \dots), \quad \mu = 1, 2, \dots, N,$$

and the scalars

$$c_\mu = \exp(-i2\pi\mu j/N), \quad \mu = 1, 2, \dots, N,$$

in order to see that

$$\sum_{\mu, \nu=1}^N c_\mu \bar{c}_\nu K'(z_\mu \cdot z_\nu) = \sum_{m, n=0}^{\infty} a_{m, n}^\infty \left| \sum_{\mu=1}^N e^{i2\pi\mu(m-n-j)/N} \right|^2 = 0,$$

a contradiction with the strict positive definiteness of the kernel. Since

$$\lim_{m+n \rightarrow \infty} |R_{m, n}^\infty(z)| = \lim_{m+n \rightarrow \infty} |z|^{m+n} = 0, \quad z \in \Delta[0, 1], \quad |z| \neq 1,$$

the proof of Theorem 3.4 can be adapted to hold in the present case, after one verifies that Theorem 3.1 can be also adapted. Thus, the sufficiency of the condition holds in this case as well.  $\square$

## References

1. Aharmim, B., Amal, E.H., Fouzia, E.W., Ghanmi, A.: Generalized Zernike polynomials: operational formulae and generating functions. *Integral Transforms Spec. Funct.* **26**(6), 395–410 (2015)
2. Barbosa, V.S., Menegatto, V.A.: Strictly positive definite kernels on compact two-point homogeneous spaces. *Math. Inequal. Appl.* **19**(2), 743–756 (2016)
3. Berg, C., Christensen, J.P.R., Ressel, P.: Harmonic analysis on semigroups. In: *Theory of Positive Definite and Related Functions*. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 100. Springer, New York (1984)
4. Boyd, J.N., Raychowdhury, P.N.: Zonal harmonic functions from two dimensional analogs of Jacobi polynomials. *Appl. Anal.* **16**, 243–259 (1983)
5. Chen, Debao, Menegatto, V.A., Sun, Xingping: A necessary and sufficient condition for strictly positive definite functions on spheres. *Proc. Am. Math. Soc.* **131**(9), 2733–2740 (2003)
6. Dreseler, B., Hrach, R.: Summability of Fourier expansions in terms of disc polynomials. In: “*Functions, Series, Operators*”, Vol. **I, II** (Budapest, 1980), pp. 375–384. North Holland, Amsterdam, New York (1983)
7. Everest, G., van der Poorten, A., Shparlinski, I., Ward, T.: Recurrence sequences. In: *Mathematical Surveys and Monographs*, 104. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI (2003)
8. Guella, J.C., Menegatto, V.A.: Strictly positive definite kernels on a product of spheres. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **435**(1), 286–301 (2016)
9. Guella, J.C., Menegatto, V.A., Peron, A.P.: An extension of a theorem of Schoenberg to products of spheres. *Banach J. Math. Anal.* **10**(4), 671–685 (2016)
10. Guella, J.C., Menegatto, V.A., Peron, A.P.: Strictly positive definite kernels on a product of circles. *Positivity* **21**(1), 329–342 (2017)
11. Guella, J.C., Menegatto, V.A., Peron, A.P.: Strictly positive definite kernels on a product of spheres II. *SIGMA Symmetry Integr. Geom. Methods Appl.* **12**, 103 (2016)
12. Haagerup, U., Schlichtkrull, H.: Inequalities for Jacobi polynomials. *Ramanujan J.* **33**(2), 227–246 (2014)
13. Janssen, A.J.E.M.: New analytic results for the Zernike circle polynomials from a basic result in the Nijboer-Zernike diffraction theory. *J. Eur. Opt. Soc. Rapid Publ.* **6**, 11028 (2011). doi:[10.2971/jeos.2011.11028](https://doi.org/10.2971/jeos.2011.11028)
14. Koornwinder, T.H.: The addition formula for Jacobi polynomials II. In: *The Laplace Type Integral Representation and the Product Formula*. Mathematisch Centrum Amsterdam, Report TW133 (1972)
15. Koornwinder, T.H.: The addition formula for Jacobi polynomials III. In: *Completion of the Proof*, Mathematisch Centrum Amsterdam, Report TW135 (1972)
16. Lakshminarayana, T.H., Fleck, A.: Zernike polynomials: a guide. *J. Mod. Opt.* **58**(7), 545–561 (2001)

17. Menegatto, V.A., Peron, A.P.: Positive definite kernels on complex spheres. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **254**(1), 219–232 (2001)
18. Menegatto, V.A., Peron, A.P.: Strict positive definiteness on spheres via disk polynomials. *Int. J. Math. Math. Sci.* **31**(12), 715–724 (2002)
19. Menegatto, V.A., Oliveira, C.P., Peron, A.P.: Strictly positive definite kernels on subsets of the complex plane. *Comput. Math. Appl.* **51**(8), 1233–1250 (2006)
20. Rudin, W.: Function theory in the unit ball of  $\mathbb{C}^n$ . In: *Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften (Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Science)*, vol. 241. Springer, New York, Berlin (1980)
21. Schoenberg, I.J.: Positive definite functions on spheres. *Duke Math. J.* **9**, 96–108 (1942)
22. Szegő, G.: Orthogonal polynomials, 4th edn. In: *Colloquium Publications, Vol. XXIII*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI (1975)
23. Torre, A.: Generalized Zernike or disc polynomials: an application in quantum optics. *J. Comput. Appl. Math.* **222**(2), 622–644 (2008)
24. Wünsche, A.: Generalized Zernike or disc polynomials. *J. Comput. Appl. Math.* **174**(1), 135–163 (2005)