

# Associate space with respect to a locally $\sigma$ -finite measure on a $\delta$ -ring and applications to spaces of integrable functions defined by a vector measure

Celia Avalos-Ramos<sup>1</sup> · Fernando Galaz-Fontes<sup>1</sup>

Received: 13 February 2015 / Accepted: 16 September 2015 / Published online: 26 September 2015  
© Springer Basel 2015

**Abstract** We show that for a locally  $\sigma$ -finite measure  $\mu$  defined on a  $\delta$ -ring, the associate space theory can be developed as in the  $\sigma$ -finite case, and corresponding properties are obtained. Given a saturated  $\sigma$ -order continuous  $\mu$ -Banach function space  $E$ , we prove that its dual space can be identified with the associate space  $E^\times$  if, and only if,  $E^\times$  has the Fatou property. Applying the theory to the spaces  $L^p(\nu)$  and  $L_w^p(\nu)$ , where  $\nu$  is a vector measure defined on a  $\delta$ -ring  $\mathcal{R}$  and  $1 \leq p < \infty$ , we establish results corresponding to those of the case when the vector measure is defined on a  $\sigma$ -algebra.

**Keywords** Banach function space · Associate space · Locally  $\sigma$ -finite measure ·  $\delta$ -ring · Fatou property · Order continuous · Vector measure

**Mathematics Subject Classification** 46E30 · 46G10 · 46B10

## 1 Introduction

Let  $\Omega$  be a set and  $\mathcal{R}$  a  $\delta$ -ring consisting of subsets of  $\Omega$ . Given a vector measure  $\nu : \mathcal{R} \rightarrow X$ , where  $X$  is a (real or complex) Banach space, we obtain the Banach space of weakly-integrable functions  $L_w^1(\nu)$ , which has the space of  $\nu$ -integrable functions  $L^1(\nu)$  as a closed subspace. With the order given by  $f \geq g$  if  $f \geq g$  outside a  $\nu$ -null set, we have that  $L_w^1(\nu)$  is a  $\sigma$ -Fatou Banach lattice and  $L^1(\nu)$  is an order continuous

---

✉ Celia Avalos-Ramos  
celia.avalos@cimat.mx

Fernando Galaz-Fontes  
galaz@cimat.mx

<sup>1</sup> Centro de investigación en Matemáticas, Col. Valenciana, 36240 Guanajuato, GTO, Mexico

Banach lattice. (See Sect. 2 for definitions.) The integration theory with respect to vector measures defined on  $\delta$ -rings was developed mainly by Lewis [18], Masani and Niemi [21, 22] and Delgado [8]. It extends the well known theory for vector measures defined on  $\sigma$ -algebras [25, Ch. 3].

If  $E$  is a real or complex order continuous Banach lattice, Curbera [5, p. 22], [10, p. 246] showed that there is a set  $\Omega$ , a  $\delta$ -ring  $\mathcal{R}$  consisting of subsets of  $\Omega$ , and a vector measure  $\nu : \mathcal{R} \rightarrow E$ , such that  $E$  and  $L^1(\nu)$  are order and isometrically isomorphic. It follows that the dual spaces  $L^1(\nu)^*$  and  $E^*$ , both of which are Banach lattices, are also order and isometrically isomorphic. Hence by studying a dual space of the form  $L^1(\nu)^*$  we are implicitly analyzing the dual space of the most general order continuous Banach lattice.

The study of  $L^1(\nu)^*$  can be done through the associate space theory, systematically developed by Luxemburg and Zaanen [28, Ch. 15], [29, Ch. 16, Sect. 112]. This theory begins with an arbitrary positive measure space  $(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu)$  and applies to a  $\mu$ -Banach function space ( $\mu$ -B.f.s. for short)  $E$  which is saturated. Then also its associate space  $E^\times$  is a  $\mu$ -Banach function space and the operator  $R : E^\times \rightarrow E^*$ , defined by  $R(g) := R_g$ , where  $R_g(f) := \int_\Omega fg d\mu$ , is a linear isometry. This operator, called the canonical isometry, allows us to consider the associate space  $E^\times$  as a closed subspace of the dual space  $E^*$ . When the involved measure  $\mu$  is  $\sigma$ -finite and the saturated B.f.s.  $E$  is  $\sigma$ -order continuous, then the canonical isometry  $R$  is onto. Moreover,  $R$  also preserves the lattice structure and so we write  $E^\times = E^*$ . In the following we will maintain this notation to indicate that  $R$  is onto.

To apply the above theory for studying  $L^1(\nu)^*$ , in the first place we have to find a positive measure  $\mu$  which is also a local control measure for  $\nu$ , that is, such that  $\mu$  and  $\nu$  have the same null sets. In this situation  $L^1(\nu)$  is a  $\mu$ -B.f.s. Assume the  $\delta$ -ring  $\mathcal{R}$  on which the vector measure  $\nu$  is defined is a  $\sigma$ -algebra. Then it is well known that  $\nu$  has a finite local control measure  $\mu$  with respect to which  $L^1(\nu)$  is a saturated  $\mu$ -B.f.s. [25, pp. 107–108]. It follows that in this case we have  $L^1(\nu)^\times = L^1(\nu)^*$ .

If the  $\delta$ -ring  $\mathcal{R}$  is not a  $\sigma$ -algebra, we cannot proceed directly as above to obtain for  $L^1(\nu)$  similar results to those we have just mentioned, since in this situation  $\nu$  may not have a  $\sigma$ -finite local control measure. This is a problem, since a key fact for the associate space theory to work is that when the measure  $\mu$  is  $\sigma$ -finite, then the saturation of a  $\mu$ -B.f.s.  $E$  implies that of  $E^\times$ .

However, relying on a result of Brooks and Dinculeanu [3], it has recently been pointed out by Jiménez et al. [16] the fact that any vector measure defined on a  $\delta$ -ring  $\mathcal{R}$ , always has a local control measure  $\mu$  that is also  $\sigma$ -finite on any set  $B \in \mathcal{R}$ . We will see that a measure of this kind, which we have called *Brooks–Dinculeanu measure*, is appropriate for our objectives.

In this paper we first study the associate space  $E^\times$  of a saturated  $\mu$ -B.f.s., for a locally  $\sigma$ -finite positive measure  $\mu$ . Thereafter we consider a vector measure  $\nu$  and apply the results to the  $\mu$ -Banach function spaces of  $p$  integrable functions  $L^p(\nu)$  and of weakly integrable functions  $L_w^p(\nu)$ ,  $1 \leq p < \infty$ , where  $\mu$  is a Brooks–Dinculeanu measure for  $\nu$ . The main question we discussed was that of the validity of the equality  $L^1(\nu)^\times = L^1(\nu)^*$ .

We divided our work in five sections, including this Introduction. In Sect. 2 we present the notation, definitions and basic results that we have needed.

The general theory for the associate space  $E^\times$  of a saturated  $\mu$ -B.f.s  $E$  is given in Sect. 3. As in the well known  $\sigma$ -finite case, it turns out that the associate space  $E^\times$  always has the  $\sigma$ -Fatou property. When  $\mu$  is a  $\sigma$ -Fatou property, we show that the  $\sigma$ -Fatou property and the Fatou properties are equivalent for  $E$ . Based on general properties of the dual space of an arbitrary Banach lattice [29, Ch. 14, Ch. 15], we establish that if  $E^\times = E^*$ , then  $E$  is order continuous and  $E^\times$  has the Fatou property.

In Sect. 4 we restrict our considerations to a locally  $\sigma$ -finite measure  $\mu$ . In this setting we obtain results that are well known in the  $\sigma$ -finite case. Particularly we show that  $E^\times$  is also a saturated  $\mu$ -B.f.s., that  $E^{\times\times} = E$  when  $E$  has the Fatou property, and that the factorization  $L^1(\mu) = EE^\times$  holds. When the saturated  $\mu$ -B.f.s. is  $\sigma$ -order continuous, we prove that  $E^\times = E^*$  if, and only if,  $E^\times$  has the Fatou property. In a forthcoming work we plan to show that this last is not always the case.

In the last section we apply our development to the spaces  $L^p(\nu)$  and  $L_w^p(\nu)$ ,  $1 \leq p < \infty$ , obtained from a vector measure  $\nu$ . These are Banach function spaces with respect to any Brooks–Dinculeanu measure for  $\nu$ . Thus we show that  $L^p(\nu)^{\times\times} = L_w^p(\nu)$  and  $L^p(\nu)^\times = L_w^p(\nu)^\times$  for  $1 \leq p < \infty$ . When the vector measure  $\nu$  is defined on a  $\sigma$ -algebra the first of these equalities was established by Curbera and Ricker [6, Prop. 2.4], [7, Prop. 1].

We give several situations where  $L^1(\nu)^\times = L^1(\nu)^*$  holds, one of them being the case of a decomposable vector measure. This turns out to be important, since the vector measure  $\nu$  that Calabuig et al. used to represent an order continuous Banach lattice as  $L^1(\nu)$ , is a decomposable vector measure [4].

Finally, we establish that  $L^p(\nu)^\times = L^p(\nu)^*$  if  $1 < p < \infty$  and that  $L^1(\nu)^\times = L^1(\nu)^*$  when  $L^1(\nu)$  is reflexive. We also verify that a reflexivity criterion proven by Fernández et al. [12, Cor. 3.10] for a vector measure defined on a  $\sigma$ -algebra is still valid in the  $\delta$ -ring case.

To complete this introduction, we want to note that Okada was the first to obtain a description of  $L^1(\nu)^*$  for a classical vector measure  $\nu$  [24]. Later Galaz-Fontes gave a representation for  $L^p(\nu)^*$  when  $1 < p < \infty$  [14] and recently Mastyló and Sánchez Pérez have established representations of these kind for a dual Banach space in a more general context [23].

## 2 Notation and basic results

### 2.1 Banach lattices

Throughout this paper all vector spaces considered will be with respect to  $\mathbb{K}$ , where  $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{C}$ , the field of complex numbers or  $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$ , the field of real numbers. Let  $X$  be a normed space. By  $B_X$  we will indicate its unit closed ball and by  $X^*$  its dual space. We will represent by  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  the duality pairing, i.e.  $\langle x, x^* \rangle := x^*(x)$ ,  $\forall x \in X$  and  $x^* \in X^*$ . If  $Y$  is other normed space, to express that  $X = Y$  as sets and with equal norms, we will write  $X \equiv Y$ .

Let  $X$  be a real vector lattice with order  $\leq$ . For  $A \subset X$  we will denote by  $A^+$  the subset of  $X$  consisting of all  $f \in A$  such that  $0 \leq f$ . Given  $f \in X$ , we indicate by  $f^+$ ,  $f^-$  and  $|f|$  its *positive part*, *negative part* and *modulus*, respectively. Finally  $X$  is

called *Dedekind  $\sigma$ -complete* if every non-empty countable subset which is bounded from above has a supremum.

Let  $J$  be a directed set. A net  $\{f_\tau\}_{\tau \in J} \subset X$  is an *upwards directed system* if for  $\tau_1$  and  $\tau_2$  in  $J$ , there exists  $\tau_3 \in J$  such that  $f_{\tau_1} \leq f_{\tau_3}$  and  $f_{\tau_2} \leq f_{\tau_3}$ . In this case we will use the notation  $f_\tau \uparrow$ . If additionally there exists  $f = \sup_\tau f_\tau \in X$  we write  $f_\tau \uparrow f$ . Similarly, if the sequence  $\{f_n\} \subset X$  is such that  $f_n \leq f_{n+1}, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$ , we will indicate  $f_n \uparrow$  and if additionally  $f = \sup_n f_n$  then we will write  $f_n \uparrow f$ . Analogously, we define a *downwards directed system*  $\{f_\tau\}$  and the notations  $f_\tau \downarrow$  and  $f_\tau \downarrow f$ .

A *real normed vector lattice*  $X$  is a real normed space that is a vector lattice and whose norm  $\|\cdot\|_X$  has the *lattice property*, that is

$$\text{if } f, g \in Y \text{ satisfy, } |f| \leq |g|, \text{ then } \|f\|_X \leq \|g\|_X. \tag{2.1}$$

If in addition the space is complete, we will say that  $X$  is *real Banach lattice*.

Let  $X$  be a real normed vector lattice. Then  $X$  has the *weak Fatou property* if for each upwards directed system  $\{f_\tau\} \subset X^+$  with  $\sup_\tau \|f_\tau\|_X < \infty$ , there exists  $f = \sup_\tau f_\tau \in X$ ; if additionally  $\|f\|_X = \sup_n \|f_n\|_X$ , then  $X$  has the *Fatou property*. Similarly,  $X$  has the *weak  $\sigma$ -Fatou property*, if given  $\{f_n\} \subset X^+$  such that  $f_n \uparrow$  and  $\sup_n \|f_n\|_X < \infty$ , then there exists  $f = \sup_n f_n \in X$ , and if additionally  $\|f\|_X = \sup_n \|f_n\|_X$ , then  $X$  is said to have the  *$\sigma$ -Fatou property*. We say that  $X$  is *order continuous*, if for any system  $\{f_\tau\} \subset X$  satisfying  $f_\tau \downarrow 0$  it follows that  $\|f_\tau\|_X \downarrow 0$ . Analogously,  $X$  is  *$\sigma$ -order continuous* if for any sequence  $\{f_n\} \subset X$  satisfying  $f_n \downarrow 0$  we have that  $\|f_n\|_X \downarrow 0$ .

Take a real Banach lattice  $X$ . Then in  $Z := X + iX$ , the complexification of  $X$ , the modulus is defined by  $|h| := \sup\{(\cos \theta)f + (\sin \theta)g : 0 \leq \theta < 2\pi\}, \forall h := f + ig \in Z$  [29, Ch. 14; Thm. 91.2], the norm by  $\|h\|_Z = \| |h| \|_X, \forall h \in Z$ , and the order is given by  $f \leq g$  in  $Z$ , if  $f, g \in X$  and  $f \leq g$ . In this case  $Z$  is called a *complex Banach lattice*,  $X$  is its *real part* and we write  $X = Z_{\mathbb{R}}$ . Observe that  $Z^+ = X^+$ . We will say that a complex Banach lattice has one of the properties we introduced above if its real part has it. Henceforth we will say only Banach lattice (normed vector lattice) to refer to a complex or real Banach lattice (normed vector lattice).

Let  $X$  be a Banach lattice. An *ideal*  $Y$  of  $X$  is a vector subspace of  $X$  if  $f \in X$  with  $|f| \leq |g|$  for some  $g \in Y$  implies  $f \in Y$ .

Let  $T : X \rightarrow Y$  be a linear operator between Banach lattices. Then  $T$  is said to be *positive* if for each  $f \in X^+$  we have that  $T(f) \in Y^+$ . In this case  $T(X_{\mathbb{R}}) \subset Y_{\mathbb{R}}$  and  $T$  is bounded [1, Lemma 3.22]. We will say that  $T$  is an *order isometry* if  $T$  is an isometry,  $T$  is onto and both  $T$  and  $T^{-1}$  are positive operators. This last condition is equivalent to

$$Tf \geq 0 \text{ if and only if } f \geq 0, \quad \forall f \in X.$$

In this case we have that  $T(X_{\mathbb{R}}) = Y_{\mathbb{R}}, T(\sup\{f, g\}) = \sup\{Tf, Tg\}, \forall f, g \in X_{\mathbb{R}}$  and  $T|f| = |Tf|, \forall f \in X$ .

Let  $X$  be a real Banach lattice. Then its dual space  $X^*$  is a Banach lattice with the order given by

$$\varphi \leq \psi, \text{ if } \varphi(f) \leq \psi(f), \quad \forall f \in X^+, \varphi, \psi \in X^*. \tag{2.2}$$

In this case the supremum and infimum are uniquely determined by

$$\begin{aligned} \sup\{\varphi, \psi\}(f) &:= \sup\{\varphi(g) + \psi(h) : f = g + h, g \geq 0, h \geq 0\}, \\ \inf\{\varphi, \psi\}(f) &:= \inf\{\varphi(g) + \psi(h) : f = g + h, g \geq 0, h \geq 0\}, \end{aligned} \tag{2.3}$$

for each  $\varphi, \psi \in X^*$  and  $f \in X^+$  [27][Chap II, Props. 4.2, 5.5].

Now assume that  $X$  is a complex Banach lattice. Given  $\varphi \in X_{\mathbb{R}}^*$ , we will indicate by  $\tilde{\varphi} : X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  its canonical extension, that is,  $\tilde{\varphi}(x + iy) = \varphi(x) + i\varphi(y)$ . If  $\Phi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is a bounded linear functional, then  $\Phi$  has the form  $\Phi = \tilde{\varphi} + i\tilde{\psi}$ , where  $\tilde{\varphi}$  and  $\tilde{\psi}$  are the canonical extensions of linear functionals  $\varphi, \psi \in X_{\mathbb{R}}^*$ . Identifying  $X_{\mathbb{R}}^*$  with  $\tilde{X}_{\mathbb{R}}^* \subset X^*$ , we have that  $X^* = X_{\mathbb{R}}^* + iX_{\mathbb{R}}^*$  is a Banach lattice. As can be seen in [27, §11], in this case

$$|\Phi|(f) = \sup_{|g| \leq f} |\Phi(g)|, \quad \forall f \in X^+. \tag{2.4}$$

### 2.2 $\mu$ -Banach function spaces

Given a measurable space  $(\Omega, \Sigma)$  we will denote by  $L^0(\Sigma)$  the space formed by the  $\Sigma$ -measurable functions  $f : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$ . If additionally we have a positive measure  $\mu$  defined on  $\Sigma$ , we indicate by  $\mathcal{N}_0(\mu)$  the family of  $\mu$ -null subsets, i. e., the sets  $A \in \Sigma$  such that  $\mu(A) = 0$ . As usual a property holds  $\mu$ -almost everywhere (briefly  $\mu$ -a.e.) if it holds except on a  $\mu$ -null set. We indicate by  $L^0(\mu)$  the space of equivalence classes of functions in  $L^0(\Sigma)$ , where two functions are identified when they are equal  $\mu$ -a.e.

Note that, when  $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{C}$ , the space  $L^0(\mu)$  is the complexification of the real space  $L^0(\mu)_{\mathbb{R}} := \{f \in L^0(\mu) : f \text{ take its values in } \mathbb{R} \mu\text{-a.e.}\}$ .

In  $L^0(\mu)_{\mathbb{R}}$  we will always consider the  $\mu$ -a.e. pointwise order. Let  $f \in L^0(\mu)$ . So  $\text{Re}f, \text{Im}f \in L^0(\mu)_{\mathbb{R}}$  and  $f = \text{Re}f + i\text{Im}f$ . Moreover,

$$\sup_{0 \leq \theta < 2\pi} |(\cos \theta)\text{Re}f + (\sin \theta)\text{Im}f| = \sqrt{(\text{Re}f)^2 + (\text{Im}f)^2} = |f|. \tag{2.5}$$

We will say that a normed space  $E \subset L^0(\mu)$  is a *normed function space* related to  $\mu$  (briefly  $\mu$ -n.f.s.) if  $E$  is a vector subspace of  $L^0(\mu)$  such that  $f \in L^0(\mu)$  with  $|f| \leq |g|$  for some  $g \in E$ , implies  $f \in E$  and the lattice property (2.1) holds (with  $E$  instead of  $X$ ). If additionally  $E$  is complete we will call it *Banach function space* related to  $\mu$  (briefly  $\mu$ -B.f.s.). We must note that in the literature there appear other definitions with the same name, such as in [19, Def. 1.b.17] and in [2, Def. I.1.3].

Let  $E$  be a  $\mu$ -B.f.s. Then  $E$  is Dedekind  $\sigma$ -complete. So,  $E$  is  $\sigma$ -order continuous if and only if  $E$  is order continuous [29, 103.9]. Now if  $E$  is a  $\mu$ -n.f.s. with the  $\sigma$ -Fatou property, then  $E$  is complete [28, Ch. 15, §65, Thm. 1]. Thus,  $E$  is a  $\mu$ -B.f.s. with the  $\sigma$ -Fatou property.

Let  $E$  be a complex  $\mu$ -B.f.s. Note that  $E_{\mathbb{R}} = E \cap L^0(\mu)_{\mathbb{R}}$ , with the  $\mu$ -a.e. pointwise order, is a real Banach lattice and  $E = E_{\mathbb{R}} + iE_{\mathbb{R}}$ . It follows from (2.5) that  $E$  is a complex Banach lattice. Moreover,  $f \in E$  if and only if  $(\operatorname{Re} f)^+, (\operatorname{Re} f)^-, (\operatorname{Im} f)^+, (\operatorname{Im} f)^- \in E^+$ .

### 2.3 Integration with respect to measures defined on $\delta$ -rings

Let  $\Omega$  be a set. A family  $\mathcal{R}$  of subsets of  $\Omega$  is a  $\delta$ -ring if  $\mathcal{R}$  is a ring which is closed under countable intersections. From now on in this paper  $\mathcal{R}$  will be a  $\delta$ -ring. We denote by  $\mathcal{R}^{loc}$  the  $\sigma$ -algebra of all sets  $A \subset \Omega$  such that  $A \cap B \in \mathcal{R}, \forall B \in \mathcal{R}$ . Given  $A \in \mathcal{R}^{loc}$  we indicate by  $\mathcal{R}_A$  the  $\delta$ -ring  $\{B \subset A : B \in \mathcal{R}\}$  and by  $\pi_A$  the collection of finite families of pairwise disjoint sets in  $\mathcal{R}_A$ . Note that if  $\Omega \in \mathcal{R}$ , then  $\mathcal{R}$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra, and in this case we have that  $\mathcal{R}^{loc} = \mathcal{R}$ . Moreover, for each  $B \in \mathcal{R}$  it turns out that  $\mathcal{R}_B$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra.

A scalar measure (positive measure) is a function  $\lambda : \mathcal{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{K} (\lambda : \mathcal{R} \rightarrow [0, \infty])$  satisfying that if  $\{B_n\} \subset \mathcal{R}$ , is a family of pairwise disjoint sets such that  $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} B_n \in \mathcal{R}$ , then  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda(B_n) = \lambda(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} B_n)$ . The variation of  $\lambda$  is the countably additive measure  $|\lambda| : \mathcal{R}^{loc} \rightarrow [0, \infty]$  defined by

$$|\lambda|(A) := \sup \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^n |\lambda(A_j)| : \{A_j\} \in \pi_A \right\}.$$

A function  $f \in L^0(\mathcal{R}^{loc})$  is  $\lambda$ -integrable if  $f \in L^1(|\lambda|)$ . We denote by  $L^1(\lambda)$  the subspace of  $L^0(\lambda)$  formed by the  $\lambda$ -integrable functions. Then  $L^1(\lambda)$  with norm given by  $\|f\|_{1,\lambda} := \int_{\Omega} |f|d|\lambda|$  is a  $\sigma$ -order continuous  $|\lambda|$ -B.f.s. with the  $\sigma$ -Fatou property. The following result is basic in the theory; when  $\lambda$  is a scalar measure it was established by Masani and Niemi [21, Lemma 2.30, Thm. 2.32], for the case of a positive measure we can proceed similarly.

**Proposition 2.1** *If  $f \in L^0(\mathcal{R}^{loc})$ , then*

$$\int_A |f|d|\lambda| = \sup_{B \in \mathcal{R}_A} \int_B |f|d|\lambda|, \quad \forall A \in \mathcal{R}^{loc}. \tag{2.6}$$

Therefore,  $f \in L^1(|\lambda|)$  if and only if  $\sup_{B \in \mathcal{R}} \int_B |f|d|\lambda| < \infty$ .

Let  $X$  be a Banach space. A function  $\nu : \mathcal{R} \rightarrow X$  is a vector measure if  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \nu(B_n) = \nu(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} B_n)$ , for any collection  $\{B_n\} \subset \mathcal{R}$  of pairwise disjoint sets such that  $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} B_n \in \mathcal{R}$ . The variation of  $\nu$  is the positive measure  $|\nu|$  defined in  $\mathcal{R}^{loc}$  by

$$|\nu|(A) := \sup \left\{ \sum_j \|\nu(A_j)\|_X : \{A_j\} \in \pi_A \right\}.$$

The *semivariation* of  $\nu$  is the function  $\|\nu\| : \mathcal{R}^{loc} \rightarrow [0, \infty]$  given by

$$\|\nu\|(A) := \sup\{|\langle \nu, x^* \rangle|(A) : x^* \in B_{X^*}\},$$

where  $|\langle \nu, x^* \rangle|$  is the variation of the scalar measure  $\langle \nu, x^* \rangle : \mathcal{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$ , where

$$\langle \nu, x^* \rangle(B) := \langle \nu(B), x^* \rangle, \quad \forall B \in \mathcal{R}.$$

The semivariation of  $\nu$  is finite in  $\mathcal{R}$  and for any  $A \in \mathcal{R}^{loc}$  satisfies  $\|\nu\|(A) \leq |\nu|(A)$ . A set  $A \in \mathcal{R}^{loc}$  is said to be  $\nu$ -null if  $\|\nu\|(A) = 0$ . We will denote by  $\mathcal{N}_0(\nu)$  the collection of  $\nu$ -null sets. It turns out that  $\mathcal{N}_0(\nu) = \mathcal{N}_0(|\nu|)$ . Moreover  $A \in \mathcal{N}_0(\nu)$  if and only if  $\nu(B) = 0, \forall B \in \mathcal{R}_A$ . We say that a positive measure  $\lambda : \mathcal{R} \rightarrow [0, \infty]$  is a *local control measure* for  $\nu$ , if  $\mathcal{N}_0(|\lambda|) = \mathcal{N}_0(\nu)$  [8, p. 437]. Then,  $|\nu|$  is a local control measure for  $\nu$ . We define  $L^0(\nu)$  as the space of equivalence classes of functions in  $L^0(\mathcal{R}^{loc})$ , where two functions are identified when they are equal  $\nu$ -a.e. So,  $L^0(\nu) = L^0(|\lambda|)$ , where  $\lambda$  is any local control measure for  $\nu$ .

A function  $f \in L^0(\mathcal{R}^{loc})$  is *weakly  $\nu$ -integrable*, if  $f \in L^1(\langle \nu, x^* \rangle)$ , for each  $x^* \in X^*$ . We will denote by  $L_w^1(\nu)$  the subspace of  $L^0(\nu)$  of all weakly  $\nu$ -integrable functions. With the norm  $\|f\|_\nu := \sup\{\int_\Omega |f|d|\langle \nu, x^* \rangle| : x^* \in B_{X^*}\}$ ,  $L_w^1(\nu)$  is a  $|\lambda|$ -B.f.s. with the  $\sigma$ -Fatou property, where  $\lambda$  is a local control measure for  $\nu$ .

A function  $f \in L_w^1(\nu)$  is  *$\nu$ -integrable*, if for each  $A \in \mathcal{R}^{loc}$  there exists a vector  $x_A \in X$ , such that  $\langle x_A, x^* \rangle = \int_A f d\langle \nu, x^* \rangle, \forall x^* \in X^*$ . The subset of all  $\nu$ -integrable functions is a closed subspace of  $L_w^1(\nu)$  and it will be denoted by  $L^1(\nu)$ . We indicate by  $S(\mathcal{R})$  the collection of simple functions in  $L^0(\mathcal{R}^{loc})$  which have support in  $\mathcal{R}$ . It turns out that  $L^1(\nu)$ , with norm  $\|\cdot\|_\nu$  is a  $\sigma$ -order continuous  $\mu$ -B.f.s. where  $S(\mathcal{R})$  is a dense subspace.

We also notice that  $L^1(\nu) = L_w^1(\nu)$  if  $X$  does not contain a copy of  $c_0$  [18, Thm. 5.1].

### 3 Associate space

Let  $(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu)$  be a positive measure space and let us consider a  $\mu$ -B.f.s.  $E$ . We will show that several of the basic results about the associate space of  $E$  when  $\mu$  is a  $\sigma$ -finite measure, can also be established in the case that  $\mu$  is not  $\sigma$ -finite. We will begin by just enunciating some of these results; they can be proven as in the  $\sigma$ -finite case [28, Ch. 15], [2, Ch. 1].

The vector space defined by  $E^\times := \{g \in L^0(\mu) : gf \in L^1(\mu), \forall f \in E\}$  is called the *associate space* of  $E$  and the function

$$\|g\|_{E^\times} := \sup \left\{ \int_\Omega |gf|d\mu : f \in B_E \right\}, \quad \forall g \in E^\times, \quad (3.1)$$

is a seminorm. For each  $f \in E$  and  $g \in E^\times$  the Hölder inequality is satisfied:

$$\int_\Omega |gf|d\mu \leq \|g\|_{E^\times} \|f\|_E.$$

We also have that for the function  $\|\cdot\|_{E^\times}$  to be a norm, it is necessary and sufficient that  $E$  be saturated, that is, for each  $A \in \Sigma$  with positive measure there exists  $B \in \Sigma_A$  such that  $\mu(B) > 0$  and  $\chi_B \in E$ . Next we give an equivalent condition for saturation. For this, let us first recall that a  $\mu$ -B.f.s.  $Y$  is order dense in  $L^0(\mu)$  if for any  $f \in L^0(\mu)^+$  there exists an upwards directed system  $\{f_\tau\} \subset Y^+$  such that  $f_\tau \uparrow f$ .

**Lemma 3.1** *Let  $E$  a  $\mu$ -B.f.s. The following statements are equivalent:*

- (i) *The space  $E$  is saturated.*
- (ii) *The space  $E$  is order dense in  $L^0(\mu)$ .*
- (iii) *The seminorm  $\|\cdot\|_{E^\times}$  is a norm.*

*Proof* The equivalence (i)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (iii) is proved as in the  $\sigma$ -finite case [28, Ch. 15, §69, Thm. 4]. Let us prove (i)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (ii).

Since  $E$  is a Banach lattice we have that  $E$  is archimedean. Then, it is enough to prove that  $E$  is saturated if and only if for each  $0 \neq f \in L^0(\mu)$  there exists  $g \in E$  such that  $0 < |g| \leq |f|$  [20, Thm. 22.3(vi)]. Assume that  $E$  is saturated. Consider  $0 \neq f \in L^0(\mu)$  and define

$$A_n := \left\{ x \in \Omega : \frac{1}{n} \leq |f(x)| \right\}, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Let us fix  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\mu(A_N) > 0$ . Since  $E$  is saturated there exists  $B \subset A_N$  with  $\mu(B) > 0$  and  $\chi_B \in E$ . Then,  $g := \frac{1}{N}\chi_B \in E$  and  $0 < |g| \leq |f|$ .

To establish the other implication, take  $A \in \Sigma$  such that  $\mu(A) > 0$ . Hence  $0 \neq \chi_A \in L^0(\mu)$ . Thus there exists  $g \in E$  satisfying  $0 < |g| \leq \chi_A$ . As  $0 \neq g \in L^0(\mu)$  we can take  $\varphi \in S(\Sigma)$  with  $0 < \varphi \leq |g|$ . Therefore  $\varphi \in E$  and so, there exists  $B \in \Sigma$  such that  $B \subset \text{supp } \varphi$ ,  $\mu(B) > 0$  and  $\chi_B \in E$ . □

Henceforth we will assume that  $E$  is a saturated  $\mu$ -B.f.s. Then as in the  $\sigma$ -finite case we have:

**Proposition 3.2** *The space  $E^\times$  is a  $\mu$ -B.f.s. with the  $\sigma$ -Fatou property.*

For our next result, let us recall that a Banach lattice  $E$  is super Dedekind complete if every non-empty subset  $D$  of  $E$  which is bounded from above has a supremum and it contains an at most countable subset possessing the same supremum as  $D$ .

**Proposition 3.3** *If there exists a  $\sigma$ -order continuous  $\mu$ -B.f.s.  $F$  with the  $\sigma$ -Fatou property, such that  $E^\times \subset F$ , then  $E^\times$  has the Fatou property.*

*Proof* Consider  $\{g_\tau\} \subset E^\times$  such that  $0 \leq g_\tau \uparrow$  and  $\sup_\tau \|g_\tau\|_{E^\times} < \infty$ . Then  $\{g_\tau\} \subset F^+$  is an upwards directed system such that  $\sup_\tau \|g_\tau\|_F < \infty$ . Since  $F$  is  $\sigma$ -order continuous and has the  $\sigma$ -Fatou property, then  $F$  has the Fatou property and is super Dedekind complete [29, Thm. 113.4]. Hence  $g := \sup_\tau g_\tau \in F$  and there exists a sequence  $\{g_{\tau_n}\} \subset \{g_\tau\}$  such that  $g_{\tau_n} \uparrow g$  [20, Thm. 23.2.(iii)]. From the  $\sigma$ -Fatou property in  $E^\times$  we obtain that  $g \in E^\times$ . And since  $\|\cdot\|_{E^\times}$  is a lattice norm,  $\sup_\tau \|g_\tau\|_{E^\times} \leq \|g\|_{E^\times}$ .



Now take  $f \in B_E$ . Using the monotone convergence theorem and the Hölder inequality we have

$$\int_{\Omega} |gf| d\mu = \sup_n \int_{\Omega} |g_{\tau_n} f| d\mu \leq \sup_n \|g_{\tau_n}\|_{E^\times} \|f\|_E \leq \sup_n \|g_{\tau}\|_{E^\times}.$$

Thus,  $\|g\|_{E^\times} \leq \sup_{\tau} \|g_{\tau}\|_{E^\times} < \infty$ . Hence,  $E^\times$  has the Fatou property.  $\square$

Since  $L^1(\mu)$  is  $\sigma$ -order continuous and has the  $\sigma$ -Fatou property, we obtain:

**Corollary 3.4** *If  $\chi_{\Omega} \in E$ , then  $E^\times$  has the Fatou property.*

Next we will show that when  $\mu$  is  $\sigma$ -finite, it turns out that the associate space always has the Fatou property. For this it is necessary to make before a brief discussion.

Let  $A$  be in  $\Sigma$ . We denote by  $\mu_A$  the restriction of the measure  $\mu$  to the  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\Sigma_A$  formed by the measurable subsets of  $A$ . Thus  $(A, \Sigma_A, \mu_A)$  is a measure space.

For each  $f \in L^0(\Sigma_A)$  define the function  $f^\Omega : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$  by  $f^\Omega(x) = f(x)$ , if  $x \in A$  and  $f^\Omega(x) = 0$  otherwise. Then  $f^\Omega$  is a  $\Sigma$ -measurable function which is called *canonical extension* of  $f$ . Now, the set  $E_A$  defined by

$$E_A := \left\{ f \in L^0(\mu_A) : f^\Omega \in E \right\} \quad (3.2)$$

is a vector space. If  $h \in E$ , then  $(h_A)^\Omega = h\chi_A \in E$ , where  $h_A$  is the restriction of  $h$  to  $A$ . Thus,  $h_A \in E_A$ . In  $E_A$  we define the norm  $\|\cdot\|_A$  by

$$\|f\|_A := \|f^\Omega\|_E, \quad \forall f \in E_A. \quad (3.3)$$

Since  $E$  is a saturated  $\mu$ -B.f.s., it follows that also  $E_A$  is a saturated  $\mu_A$ -B.f.s. On the other hand if  $A = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n$ , where  $A_n \in \Sigma$  and  $\mu(A_n) < \infty, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$ , we obtain that  $\mu_A$  is  $\sigma$ -finite. In this case it is well known that  $E_A^\times := (E_A)^\times$  is saturated [28, Ch. 15, §71, Thm. 4]. Furthermore  $E_A^\times = (E^\times)_A$  and

$$\|g\|_{E_A^\times} = \sup \left\{ \int_A |gf| d\mu_A : f \in B_{E_A} \right\} = \|g^\Omega\|_{E^\times}, \quad \forall g \in E_A^\times. \quad (3.4)$$

**Theorem 3.5** *If the measure  $\mu$  is  $\sigma$ -finite, then  $E^\times$  has the Fatou property.*

*Proof* We will assume that  $\mu(\Omega) > 0$ . Let us take an upwards directed system  $\{g_\tau\}_{\tau \in I} \subset E^\times$  such that  $g_\tau \geq 0, \forall \tau \in I$  and  $\sup_{\tau} \|g_\tau\|_{E^\times} < \infty$ . Now since  $\mu$  is  $\sigma$ -finite and  $E$  is a saturated  $\mu$ -B.f.s., there exist  $\{\Omega_n\} \subset \Sigma$  and  $N \in \mathcal{N}_0(\mu)$  such that  $\Omega_n \subset \Omega_{n+1}, 0 \neq \chi_{\Omega_n} \in E, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\Omega = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \Omega_n \cup N$  [28, Ch. 15, §67, Thm. 4].

Fix  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Let us denote by  $\Sigma_n$  the  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\Sigma_{\Omega_n}$  and by  $\mu_n$  the restriction of  $\mu$  to  $\Sigma_n$ . Thus  $(\Omega_n, \Sigma_n, \mu_n)$  is a finite measure space. Then the space  $E_n := E_{\Omega_n}$  with the norm  $\|\cdot\|_n := \|\cdot\|_{\Omega_n}$  is a saturated  $\mu_n$ -B.f.s. such that  $\chi_{\Omega_n} \in E_n$ . By the above corollary we have that  $E_n^\times$  has the Fatou property.

Let  $g_{\tau,n}$  be the restriction of  $g_\tau$  to the set  $\Omega_n$ . Thus  $\{g_{\tau,n}\}_{\tau \in I} \subset E_n^\times$  is an upwards directed system with  $\sup_\tau \|g_{\tau,n}\|_{E_n^\times} \leq \sup_\tau \|g_\tau\|_{E^\times} < \infty$ . Therefore  $g(n) := \sup_\tau g_{\tau,n} \in E_n^\times$  and  $\|g(n)\|_{E_n^\times} = \sup_\tau \|g_{\tau,n}\|_{E_n^\times}$ .

Now let  $g_n := (g(n))^\Omega$  be the canonical extension of  $g(n)$ . Then  $\{g_n\} \subset E^\times$  is an increasing sequence. By (3.4),

$$\sup_n \|g_n\|_{E^\times} = \sup_n \|g(n)\|_{E_n^\times} \leq \sup_\tau \|g_\tau\|_{E^\times}. \tag{3.5}$$

From the  $\sigma$ -Fatou property in  $E^\times$  and (3.5), it follows that

$$g := \sup_n g_n \in E^\times \text{ and } \|g\|_{E^\times} \leq \sup_\tau \|g_\tau\|_{E^\times}. \tag{3.6}$$

Let us prove that  $g = \sup_\tau g_\tau$ . Fix  $\tau \in I$ . Since  $g_\tau \chi_{\Omega_n} \leq g_n \leq g$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , we have that  $g_\tau \leq g$ . Suppose that  $g' \in E^\times$  satisfies  $g_\tau \leq g', \forall \tau \in I$ . Now fix  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then  $g_\tau \chi_{\Omega_n} \leq g' \chi_{\Omega_n}, \forall \tau$ , so  $g_n \leq g'$ . Therefore,  $g \leq g'$  and we obtain that  $g = \sup_\tau g_\tau$ . Finally  $\|\cdot\|_E^\times$  is a lattice norm and so the conclusion follows from (3.6).  $\square$

Since we are assuming that  $E$  is a saturated  $\mu$ -B.f.s., then  $E^\times$  is a  $\mu$ -B.f.s. and we can consider its associate space, which is called *second associate space* of  $E$  and it is denoted by  $E^{\times\times}$ . Thus

$$E^{\times\times} := (E^\times)^\times = \left\{ h \in L^0(\mu) : hg \in L^1(\mu) \quad \forall g \in E^\times \right\}$$

and the seminorm  $\|\cdot\|_{E^{\times\times}} : E^{\times\times} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  is given by

$$\|h\|_{E^{\times\times}} := \sup \left\{ \int_\Omega |hg| d\mu : g \in B_{E^\times} \right\}.$$

Unlike the  $\sigma$ -finite case, we will see in Example 4.5 that it can happen that  $E^\times$  is not saturated. Nevertheless, we have that  $E \subset E^{\times\times}$  and

$$\|f\|_{E^{\times\times}} \leq \|f\|_E \quad \forall f \in E. \tag{3.7}$$

**Corollary 3.6** *Let  $E$  be a saturated  $\mu$ -B.f.s. If  $\mu$  is  $\sigma$ -finite, then*

- (i)  $E^{\times\times}$  is a  $\mu$ -B.f.s. with the Fatou property.
- (ii)  $E$  has the  $\sigma$ -Fatou property if and only if  $E$  has the Fatou property.

*Proof* Since  $\mu$  is  $\sigma$ -finite we have that  $E^\times$  is a saturated  $\mu$ -B.f.s. [28, Ch. 15, §71, Thm. 4]. Thus, from Theorem 3.5 we obtain (i).

Now from the  $\sigma$ -Fatou property in  $E$  it follows that  $E \equiv E^{\times\times}$  [28, Ch. 15, §71, Thm. 1]. Therefore from (i) we have (ii).  $\square$

Let us fix  $g \in E^\times$ . Then the function  $\varphi_g : E \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$  defined by

$$\varphi_g(f) := \int_{\Omega} g f d\mu, \quad (3.8)$$

is a linear and bounded functional such that  $\|\varphi_g\| = \|g\|_{E^\times}$ . Thus we consider the operator

$$R : E^\times \rightarrow E^* \text{ defined by } R(g) := \varphi_g. \quad (3.9)$$

Clearly  $R$  is a linear isometry, called *canonical isometry*. Accordingly, the associate space  $E^\times$  can be identified with a certain closed subspace of  $E^*$ . The canonical isometry also preserves the order in the sense that

$$g \geq 0 \text{ if, and only if, } \varphi_g \geq 0.$$

In the case  $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{C}$  we also have that  $g$  is real if, and only if,  $\varphi_g$  is real. Therefore if  $R$  is onto, then  $R$  is an order isometry. Hence in what follows we will write  $E^\times = E^*$  to mean that the canonical isometry  $R$  is onto.

Next we distinguish two necessary conditions for  $E^\times = E^*$  to hold. We will need the following result, which is obtained from [29, Thm. 102.3, p. 415].

**Lemma 3.7** *If  $E$  is a Banach lattice, then  $E^*$  has the Fatou property.*

We also need to recall that a functional  $\varphi \in E^*$  is  $\sigma$ -order continuous whenever  $f_n \downarrow 0$  implies  $\varphi(f_n) \rightarrow 0$ .

**Proposition 3.8** *Let  $E$  be a saturated  $\mu$ -B.f.s. If  $E^* = E^\times$ , then  $E$  is order continuous and  $E^\times$  has the Fatou property.*

*Proof* Since  $E$  is a Dedekind  $\sigma$ -complete Banach lattice, we only have to show that  $E$  is  $\sigma$ -order continuous. And so, by [29, Lemma 84.1, Thm. 102.7] it is enough to establish that  $\varphi$  is  $\sigma$ -order continuous for any  $\varphi \in (E^*)^+$ . So, take  $\varphi \in E^*$  such that  $\varphi \geq 0$  and consider  $\{f_n\} \subset E$  satisfying that  $f_n \downarrow 0$ . Since  $\varphi$  is positive, there exists  $g \in (E^\times)^+$  such that

$$\varphi(f) = \int_{\Omega} g f d\mu, \quad \forall f \in E.$$

Then  $\{g f_n\} \subset L^1(\mu)$  is a decreasing sequence such that  $0 \leq g f_n$ . Since the space  $L^1(\mu)$  is Dedekind  $\sigma$ -complete, there exists  $0 \leq h = \inf_n g f_n$ . Let  $A := \text{supp } g$ . It is clear that  $h \chi_{\Omega \setminus A} = 0$ . Taking  $\frac{0}{0} := 0$ , we have that

$$\frac{h \chi_A}{g} \leq f_n \chi_A \leq f_n, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

It follows that  $\frac{h \chi_A}{g} \in E$  and from  $f_n \downarrow 0$  we have that  $\frac{h \chi_A}{g} \leq 0$ . Hence  $h \chi_A = 0$  and then  $g f_n \downarrow 0$ . Therefore  $\varphi(f_n) = \int_{\Omega} g f_n d\mu \downarrow 0$ . So  $\varphi$  is  $\sigma$ -order continuous.

The other affirmation follows from the above lemma.  $\square$

### 4 Locally $\sigma$ -finite measure defined on a $\delta$ -ring

Let us assume now that the  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\Sigma$  that we have been considering is given as  $\Sigma = \mathcal{R}^{loc}$ , where  $\mathcal{R}$  is a  $\delta$ -ring and  $\mu = |\lambda|$ , where  $\lambda : \mathcal{R} \rightarrow [0, \infty]$  is a measure on  $\mathcal{R}$ . Noting that for any  $A \in \mathcal{R}^{loc}$  with  $|\lambda|(A) > 0$  we can find  $B \in \mathcal{R}_A$  with  $\lambda(B) > 0$ , next we give a simple sufficient condition for  $E$  to be saturated.

**Lemma 4.1** *Let  $E$  be a  $|\lambda|$ -B.f.s. If  $S(\mathcal{R}) \subset E$ , then  $E$  is saturated.*

*Remark 4.2* When  $S(\mathcal{R}) \subset E$ , the space  $E$  is a B.f.s. with respect to  $(\Omega, \mathcal{R}, \lambda)$  in the sense introduced by Delgado in [9, Def. 3.1]. Thus these class of spaces are always saturated.

Let  $\nu : \mathcal{R} \rightarrow X$  be a vector measure having  $\lambda : \mathcal{R} \rightarrow [0, \infty]$  as a local control measure. For  $1 \leq p < \infty$ , the spaces  $L^p_w(\nu)$  and  $L^p(\nu)$  are defined by

$$L^p_w(\nu) := \left\{ f \in L^0(\nu) : |f|^p \in L^1_w(\nu) \right\} \quad \text{and} \quad L^p(\nu) := \left\{ f \in L^0(\nu) : |f|^p \in L^1(\nu) \right\}.$$

Each function in  $L^p_w(\nu)$  is called *weakly  $p$ -integrable* with respect to  $\nu$  and each function in  $L^p(\nu)$  is called  *$p$ -integrable* with respect to  $\nu$ . Note that  $L^p(\nu) \subset L^p_w(\nu)$ . Moreover,  $L^p_w(\nu)$  and  $L^p(\nu)$  are  $|\lambda|$ -B.f.s. with norm

$$\|f\|_{p,\nu} := \| |f|^p \|^{1/p}_\nu = \sup_{x^* \in B_{X^*}} \left( \int_{\Omega} |f|^p d|\langle \nu, x^* \rangle| \right)^{1/p}, \quad \forall f \in L^p_w(\nu).$$

Also  $S(\mathcal{R})$  is a dense subspace of  $L^p(\nu)$ , the space  $L^p(\nu)$  is  $\sigma$ -order continuous and  $L^p_w(\nu)$  has the  $\sigma$ -Fatou property [17, p. 37].

From the above lemma and Theorem 3.5 we obtain:

**Proposition 4.3** *Let  $1 \leq p < \infty$ . Then  $L^p(\nu)$  is saturated. Thus,  $L^p(\nu)^\times$ , with norm  $\| \cdot \|_{\nu^\times} := \| \cdot \|_{L^p(\nu)^\times}$ , is a  $|\lambda|$ -B.f.s. with the  $\sigma$ -Fatou property. If in addition the measure  $\lambda$  is  $\sigma$ -finite, then  $L^p(\nu)^\times$  has the Fatou property.*

*Remark 4.4* Since  $L^1(\nu)$  is always saturated with respect to any local control measure for  $\nu$ , by Lemma 3.1 we have that  $L^1(\nu)$  is order dense in  $L^0(|\lambda|)$ . Using other methods, this result was established by Calabuig et al. [4, 4.2].

*Example 4.5* Given a vector measure  $\nu$ , let us consider its variation  $|\nu|$  as a local control measure. Then  $L^1(\nu)^\times$  is a  $|\nu|$ -B.f.s. with the  $\sigma$ -Fatou property. It may happen that the range of  $|\nu|$  is  $\{0, \infty\}$ . For instance, if  $\Sigma$  is the Lebesgue  $\sigma$ -algebra on  $[0, 1]$ , then the function  $\nu : \Sigma \rightarrow L^2([0, 1])$  defined by  $\nu(A) := \chi_A$  is a vector measure whose range is  $\{0, \infty\}$  [5, p. 57]. In this case  $L^1(|\nu|) = \{0\}$  and so  $L^1(\nu)^\times = \{g \in L^0(|\nu|) : gf = 0, \forall f \in L^1(\nu)\} = \{0\}$ . Thus clearly the space  $L^1(\nu)^\times$  is not saturated. Then in this situation the study of the associate space will not give interesting information.

As we have just seen, when the measure involved is not  $\sigma$ -finite the associate space is not necessarily saturated. This motivates to look for a class of measures for which this problem does not occur. In this direction, let us recall the following definition, introduced by Brooks and Dinculeanu [3, p. 162].

**Definition 4.6** A measure  $\lambda : \mathcal{R} \rightarrow [0, \infty]$  is *locally  $\sigma$ -finite*, if for each  $B \in \mathcal{R}$ , there exists  $\{B_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathcal{R}$  such that  $B = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} B_n$  and  $\lambda(B_n) < \infty, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Clearly any positive  $\sigma$ -finite measure on a  $\sigma$ -algebra is locally  $\sigma$ -finite.

*Example 4.7* Let us consider an uncountable set  $\Gamma$ . Let  $\mathcal{R} := \{B \subset \Gamma : B \text{ is finite}\}$  and  $\lambda : \mathcal{R} \rightarrow [0, \infty]$  be the counting measure. Then  $\mathcal{R}$  is a  $\delta$ -ring and  $\lambda$  is a locally  $\sigma$ -finite measure which is not  $\sigma$ -finite.

*Remark 4.8* Consider a locally  $\sigma$ -finite measure  $\lambda : \mathcal{R} \rightarrow [0, \infty]$  and a  $|\lambda|$ -B.f.s.  $E$ . Let us take  $A \in \mathcal{R}^{loc}$  and assume that

$$A := \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} B_n \cup N \text{ with } \{B_n\} \subset \mathcal{R}, \lambda(B_n) < \infty, \forall n \in \mathbb{N} \text{ and } N \in \mathcal{N}_0(|\lambda|). \quad (4.1)$$

Hence  $(A, (\mathcal{R}_A)^{loc}, |\lambda_A|)$  is a  $\sigma$ -finite measure space, where  $(\mathcal{R}_A)^{loc}$  is the  $\sigma$ -algebra related to  $\mathcal{R}_A$  and  $\lambda_A$  is the restriction of  $\lambda$  to  $\mathcal{R}_A$ . It follows that the space  $E_A$  defined in (3.2), with norm  $\|\cdot\|_A$ , is a saturated  $|\lambda_A|$ -B.f.s. As in this case  $|\lambda_A|$  is  $\sigma$ -finite, we have that  $E_A^\times$ , with norm  $\|\cdot\|_{E_A^\times}$ , is a saturated  $|\lambda_A|$ -B.f.s.

Note that if  $B \in \mathcal{R}$ , then  $B$  has the form (4.1) and in this case  $\mathcal{R}_B = (\mathcal{R}_B)^{loc}$ . Hence  $(B, \mathcal{R}_B, \lambda_B)$  is a  $\sigma$ -finite measure space.

We now show that the problem of having nonsaturated associate spaces does not appear when we work with a locally  $\sigma$ -finite measure.

**Theorem 4.9** *Let  $E$  be a saturated  $|\lambda|$ -B.f.s. If the measure  $\lambda$  is locally  $\sigma$ -finite, then  $E^\times$  is a saturated  $|\lambda|$ -B.f.s.*

*Proof* Let  $A \in \mathcal{R}^{loc}$  be such that  $|\lambda|(A) > 0$  and consider  $B \in \mathcal{R}_A$  satisfying  $\lambda(B) > 0$ . Since  $E_B$ , defined in (3.2), is a saturated  $\lambda_B$ -B.f.s. and  $\lambda_B(B) > 0$  there exists  $C \in \mathcal{R}_B$  with  $0 < \lambda_B(C) = \lambda(C)$  and  $\chi_C \in E_B^\times$ . Now take  $f \in E$ . Then  $f_B \in E_B$  and  $\int_{\Omega} |f| \chi_C d|\lambda| = \int_B |f_B| \chi_C d|\lambda_B| < \infty$ . Hence  $\chi_C \in E^\times$ .  $\square$

Hereafter, to the condition that  $E$  be a saturated  $|\lambda|$ -B.f.s. we will add that of  $\lambda : \mathcal{R} \rightarrow [0, \infty]$  being always a locally  $\sigma$ -finite measure and sometimes we will omit it explicitly. In the  $\sigma$ -finite case it is well known that  $E \equiv E^{\times \times}$  when  $E$  has the  $\sigma$ -Fatou property. By using this fact we will establish the corresponding result in the more general context we are discussing.

**Theorem 4.10** *If  $E$  has the Fatou property, then  $E \equiv E^{\times \times}$ .*

*Proof* As we have that  $E \subset E^{\times \times}$  and  $\|f\|_{E^{\times \times}} \leq \|f\|_E, \forall f \in E$ , it only rests to prove the another contention and the other norm inequality. For this, it is enough to establish the conclusion only for non-negative functions.

Let  $0 \leq f \in E^{\times \times}$ . Fix  $B \in \mathcal{R}$ . Since  $E$  has the Fatou property we have that  $E_B$  is also a  $\lambda_B$ -B.f.s. with the Fatou property. Moreover, as established in Remark 4.8,  $\lambda_B$  is a  $\sigma$ -finite measure. Then we obtain  $E_B \equiv E_B^{\times \times}$  [28, Ch. 15, §71, Thm. 1]. Denote

by  $f_B$  the restriction of  $f$  to  $B$ . Hence  $f_B \in E_B$  and  $\|f_B\|_B = \|f_B\|_{E_B^{\times\times}}$ . Noting that  $(f_B)^\Omega = f\chi_B$ , we have  $f\chi_B \in E$  and  $\|f\chi_B\|_E = \|f\chi_B\|_{E^{\times\times}}$ .

On the other hand, as  $\mathcal{R}$  is a directed set with the order given by  $B \leq C$  if,  $B \subset C$ ,  $\forall B, C \in \mathcal{R}$ , we can consider the net  $\{f\chi_B\}_{B \in \mathcal{R}} \subset E$ . Then  $\{f\chi_B\}_{B \in \mathcal{R}}$  is an upwards directed system and  $\|f\chi_B\|_E = \|f\chi_B\|_{E^{\times\times}} \leq \|f\|_{E^{\times\times}}, \forall B \in \mathcal{R}$ . Since  $E$  has the Fatou property, there exists  $h \in E \subset E^{\times\times}$  with  $h = \sup_{B \in \mathcal{R}} f\chi_B$  and

$$\|h\|_E = \sup_{B \in \mathcal{R}} \|f\chi_B\|_E = \sup_{B \in \mathcal{R}} \|f\chi_B\|_{E^{\times\times}} \leq \|f\|_{E^{\times\times}}. \tag{4.2}$$

Assume that there exists  $A \in \mathcal{R}^{loc}$  such that  $h\chi_A < f\chi_A$  and  $|\lambda|(A) > 0$ . Then for some  $B \in \mathcal{R}_A$  with positive measure we have that  $h\chi_B < f\chi_B$ , which is a contradiction and it follows that  $f \in E$ . Moreover, as  $f\chi_B \leq f, \forall B \in \mathcal{R}$  we have that  $h \leq f, \lambda$ -a.e. Therefore  $h = f, |\lambda$ -a.e. The remaining inequality between the norms follows from (4.2). □

It is well known that if  $\mu$  is a  $\sigma$ -finite measure and  $E$  is a  $\mu$ -B.f.s., then we can write  $L^1(\mu) = \{fg : f \in E, g \in E^\times\}$ . Next we will show that this result remains valid when we consider a locally  $\sigma$ -finite measure.

**Proposition 4.11** *Let  $\lambda$  be a locally  $\sigma$ -finite measure and  $E$  be a saturated  $|\lambda|$ -B.f.s. If  $h \in L^1(\lambda)$ :*

- (i) *then for each  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exist  $f \in E$  and  $g \in E^\times$  such that*

$$h = fg \quad \text{and} \quad \|f\|_E \|g\|_{E^\times} \leq (1 + \varepsilon) \int_\Omega |h|d|\lambda|.$$

- (ii) *if in addition  $E$  has the  $\sigma$ -Fatou property, then there exist  $f \in E$  and  $g \in E^\times$  such that*

$$h = fg \quad \text{and} \quad \|f\|_E \|g\|_{E^\times} = \int_\Omega |h|d|\lambda|.$$

*Proof* If  $h = 0$ , the conclusion is clear. Assume that  $h \neq 0$ . Since  $h \in L^1(\lambda)$ , we have that  $A := \text{supp}h = \bigcup_{n=1}^\infty B_n \cup N$ , where  $\{B_n\} \subset \mathcal{R}$  and  $N \in \mathcal{N}_0(\lambda)$ . As  $\lambda$  is a locally  $\sigma$ -finite measure we can assume that  $\lambda(B_n) < \infty, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then  $\lambda_A$  is  $\sigma$ -finite and  $h_A \in L^1(\lambda_A)$ .

- (i) Given  $\varepsilon > 0$ , [15, Thm. 1, (ii)] there exist  $\tilde{f} \in E_A$  and  $\tilde{g} \in E_A^\times$  such that

$$h_A = \tilde{f}\tilde{g} \quad \text{and} \quad \|\tilde{f}\|_{E_A} \|\tilde{g}\|_{E_A^\times} \leq (1 + \varepsilon) \int_A |h_A|d|\lambda_A|.$$

- (ii) Since  $E$  has the  $\sigma$ -Fatou property it follows that  $E_A$  also has it. From [15, Thm. 1i)] we get  $\tilde{f} \in E_A$  and  $\tilde{g} \in E_A^\times$  such that

$$h_A = \tilde{f}\tilde{g} \quad \text{and} \quad \|\tilde{f}\|_{E_A} \|\tilde{g}\|_{E_A^\times} = \int_A |h_A|d|\lambda_A|.$$

As  $h = h_A \chi_A$ , by taking  $f := \tilde{f}^\Omega \in E$  and  $g := \tilde{g}^\Omega \in E^\times$ , the conclusion follows.  $\square$

In the  $\sigma$ -finite case we know that  $E^\times = E^*$  if, and only if,  $E$  is  $\sigma$ -order continuous [28, Ch. 15, §72, Thm. 5]. We proved in Proposition 3.8 that if  $E^\times = E^*$ , then  $E$  is  $\sigma$ -order continuous and  $E^\times$  has the Fatou property. Now we will show that the converse also is valid in our context. For this let us recall that an ideal  $Y$  of a Banach lattice  $X$  is a *band* whenever, for every subset  $D$  of  $Y$  possessing a supremum in  $X$ , this supremum is already in  $Y$ .

**Theorem 4.12** *If  $E$  is  $\sigma$ -order continuous, then the following properties are equivalent:*

- (i)  $E^\times = E^*$ .
- (ii)  $E^\times$  is a band of  $E^*$ .
- (iii)  $E^\times$  has the Fatou property.
- (iv)  $E^\times$  has the weak Fatou property.

*Proof* The implications (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii) and (iii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iv) are clear. (ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iii) Let  $\{g_\tau\} \subset E^\times$  be an upwards directed system such that  $\sup_\tau \|g_\tau\|_{E^\times} < \infty$ . Let us take  $\varphi_\tau := R(g_\tau)$ . Then  $\{\varphi_\tau\} \subset E^*$  is an upwards directed system such that  $\sup_\tau \|\varphi_\tau\| < \infty$ . From Lemma 3.7 we have that  $E^*$  has the Fatou property. Thus there exists  $\varphi \in E^*$  such that  $\varphi_\tau \uparrow \varphi$  and  $\|\varphi\| = \sup_\tau \|\varphi_\tau\|$ . Now since  $\{\varphi_\tau\} \subset R(E^\times)$  and  $R(E^\times)$  is a band of  $E^*$  we have that  $\varphi \in R(E^\times)$ . Let  $g \in E^\times$  be such that  $\varphi = R(g)$ . Since  $R$  is an order isometry  $g_\tau \uparrow g$  and  $\|g\|_{E^\times} = \sup_\tau \|g_\tau\|_{E^\times}$ .

(iv)  $\Rightarrow$  (i) Now let us assume that  $E^\times$  has the weak Fatou property. First note that since  $E^*$  is a Banach lattice and  $R$  is a linear operator it is enough to represent only the positive functionals. Take  $0 \leq \varphi \in E^*$ . Consider the  $\delta$ -ring  $\mathcal{R}(E) := \{B \in \mathcal{R} : \chi_B \in E\}$  and define  $m : \mathcal{R}(E) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  by  $m(B) := \varphi(\chi_B)$ ,  $\forall B \in \mathcal{R}(E)$ . Since  $E$  is  $\sigma$ -order continuous and  $\varphi$  is a positive linear functional we have that  $m$  is a positive measure.

Fix  $B \in \mathcal{R}(E)$ . Then  $\mathcal{R}_B = \mathcal{R}(E)_B$ . Let us denote by  $m_B$  the restriction of  $m$  to  $\mathcal{R}_B$ . Then  $m_B$  is bounded. On the other hand as  $\lambda$  is a locally  $\sigma$ -finite measure, its restriction  $\lambda_B$  to  $\mathcal{R}_B$  is a positive  $\sigma$ -finite measure.

Now let  $A \in \mathcal{R}_B$  with  $\lambda_B(A) = |\lambda|(A) = 0$ , then  $\chi_A = 0$ ,  $\lambda$ -a.e. Hence  $m_B(A) = 0$ . By the Radon–Nikodym Theorem [26, p. 121] there exists a unique  $h_B \in L^0(\mathcal{R}_B)$  such that

$$\varphi(\chi_A) = m_B(A) = \int_A h_B d\lambda_B = \int_B h_B \chi_A d\lambda_B, \quad \forall A \in \mathcal{R}_B.$$

Using a standard procedure it follows now that

$$\varphi(f) = \int_B h_B f d\lambda_B, \quad \forall f \in E_B. \tag{4.3}$$

Let us denote by  $H_B$  the canonical extension of  $h_B$ , then  $H_B \in E^\times$ ,  $\varphi_B(f) := \varphi(f \chi_B) = \int_\Omega f H_B d|\lambda|$ ,  $\forall f \in E$  and, by the uniqueness of  $h_B$  it turns out that  $H_B \chi_C = H_{B \cap C}$ ,  $\forall C \in \mathcal{R}$ . Noting that  $\varphi_B \leq \varphi$  we have that

$$\|H_B\|_{E^\times} = \|\varphi_B\| \leq \|\varphi\| < \infty.$$

This shows that  $\sup_{B \in \mathcal{R}(E)} \|H_B\|_{E^\times} < \infty$ . It turns out that  $\{H_B\}_{B \in \mathcal{R}(E)} \subset E^\times$  is an upwards directed system. Now since  $E^\times$  has the weak Fatou property, there exists  $h = \sup_{B \in \mathcal{R}(E)} H_B \in E^\times$ .

Let  $f \in E^+$ . To prove that  $\varphi(f) = \int_\Omega fhd|\lambda|$  first we will show that  $H_B = h\chi_B, \forall B \in \mathcal{R}(E)$ . Fix  $B \in \mathcal{R}(E)$ . It is clear that  $H_B \leq h\chi_B$ . Let us assume that  $H_B < h\chi_B$ , so we can take  $C \in \mathcal{R}_B$  with positive measure such that  $H_B(t) < h\chi_B(f), \forall t \in C$ . Define  $k = h\chi_{\Omega \setminus C} + H_B\chi_C$ . Now let  $D \in \mathcal{R}(E)$ , then

$$H_D = H_D\chi_{\Omega \setminus C} + H_D\chi_C \leq h\chi_{\Omega \setminus C} + H_B\chi_C = k,$$

that is,  $k$  is an upper bound of  $\{H_B\}_{B \in \mathcal{R}(E)}$  which contradicts that  $h$  is the supremum. We conclude that  $H_B = h\chi_B$ .

Since  $fh \in L^1(|\lambda|)$ , it follows that  $A := \text{supp}fh = \bigcup_{n=1}^\infty B_n \cup N$  where  $\{B_n\}$  is a disjoint family of subsets of  $\mathcal{R}$  and  $N$  is a  $|\lambda|$ -null set. Observe that since  $\lambda_B$  is  $\sigma$ -finite and  $E_B$  is saturated,  $\forall B \in \mathcal{R}$ , by [28, Ch. 15, §67, Thm. 4] we can take  $B_n \in \mathcal{R}(E)$ . Since  $E$  is order continuous, it follows that  $f\chi_A = \sum_{n=1}^\infty f\chi_{B_n}$  in  $E$ . Assume that  $\varphi(f\chi_{\Omega \setminus A}) > 0$ . Then  $f\chi_{\Omega \setminus A} > 0$ , so we can choose  $B \in \mathcal{R}(E)_{\Omega \setminus A}$  such that  $|\lambda|(B) > 0$  and  $f\chi_B > 0$ . Take its corresponding  $H_B \in E^\times$ . So,  $0 < \varphi(f\chi_B) = \int_\Omega fH_Bd|\lambda| = \int_\Omega fh\chi_Bd|\lambda|$ , but  $B \subset \Omega \setminus \text{supp}fh$ , thus  $\int_\Omega fh\chi_Bd|\lambda| = 0$ , which is a contradiction. Therefore  $\varphi(f\chi_{\Omega \setminus A}) = 0$  and so

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(f) &= \sum_{n=1}^\infty \varphi(f\chi_{B_n}) = \sum_{n=1}^\infty \int_\Omega fH_Bd|\lambda| \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^\infty \int_\Omega fh\chi_Bd|\lambda| = \int_\Omega \sum_{n=1}^\infty f\chi_Bhd|\lambda| = \int_\Omega fhd|\lambda|. \end{aligned}$$

This show that  $\varphi = \varphi_h \in R(L^1(\nu)^\times)$  and hence the conclusion follows. □

Although the above theorem characterizes when  $E^\times = E^*$ , up to now we do not know if  $E^\times$  always has the Fatou property. Next we present a situation where this holds. The proof follows from Theorem 4.12 and Proposition 3.3.

**Corollary 4.13** *Let  $\lambda : \mathcal{R} \rightarrow [0, \infty]$  a locally  $\sigma$ -finite measure and  $E$  be a saturated  $\lambda$ -B.f.s. If  $E$  and  $E^\times$  are  $\sigma$ -order continuous, then  $E^\times = E^*$ .*

Using Theorem 4.12 we can give a characterization of reflexivity as follows.

**Theorem 4.14** *Let  $\lambda$  be a locally  $\sigma$ -finite measure and  $E$  a saturated  $\lambda$ -B.f.s. If  $E$  is order continuous then the space  $E$  is reflexive if, and only if,  $E = E^{\times \times}$  and  $E^\times$  is  $\sigma$ -order continuous.*

*Proof* Let us denote by  $R_1 : E^\times \rightarrow E^*$  and  $R_2 : E^{\times \times} \rightarrow E^{\times *}$  the corresponding canonical isometries. Then for the adjoint operator of  $R_1$  we have  $R_1^* : E^{**} \rightarrow E^{\times *}$ . First assume that  $E^\times$  is  $\sigma$ -order continuous and  $E = E^{\times \times}$ . Then by Corollary 4.13



$R_1$  is onto and by hypothesis  $E^{\times\times} = E$ . So  $E^{\times\times}$  is  $\sigma$ -order continuous and has the  $\sigma$ -Fatou property. It follows that  $E^{\times\times}$  has the Fatou property and so we can apply Theorem 4.12 to obtain that  $R_2$  is onto. Let us see that  $R_1^*j = R_2$  where  $j : E \rightarrow E^{**}$  is the canonical injection. Take  $f \in E$  and  $g \in E^\times$ , then

$$\langle g, R_1^*j(f) \rangle = \langle R_1(g), j(f) \rangle = \langle f, R_1(g) \rangle = \int_{\Omega} fg d|\lambda| = \langle g, R_2(f) \rangle.$$

Therefore  $j$  is onto, that is,  $E$  is reflexive.

Now assume that  $E$  is reflexive. To establish that  $E = E^{\times\times}$  it only rests to prove that  $E^{\times\times} \subset E$ . Take  $h \in E^{\times\times}$ . Since  $R_1$  is an injective linear operator with closed range, it follows that  $R_1^*$  is onto [11, Thm. VI.6.2]. Hence there exists  $\varphi \in E^{**}$  such that  $R_1^*(\varphi) = R_2(h)$ . Let  $f \in E$  satisfy  $j(f) = \varphi$ . Thus for  $g \in E^\times$  we have

$$\langle g, R_1^*(\varphi) \rangle = \langle R_1(g), \varphi \rangle = \langle R_1(g), j(f) \rangle = \langle f, R_1(g) \rangle = \int_{\Omega} fg d|\lambda|.$$

Hence,  $\int_{\Omega} fg d|\lambda| = \langle g, R_2(h) \rangle = \int_{\Omega} hg d|\lambda|$ . Then  $h = f, |\lambda|$ -c.t.p. and  $h \in E$ .

We will now prove that  $R_2$  is onto, then by Proposition 3.8 we will obtain that  $E^\times$  is order continuous. Consider  $\varphi \in L^1(\nu)^{**}$ , then  $\varphi \circ R_1^{-1} : R_1(E^\times) \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$  is linear and bounded. By the Hahn–Banach Theorem there exists  $\tilde{\varphi} \in E^{**}$  such that  $\langle \psi, \tilde{\varphi} \rangle = \langle \psi, \varphi \circ R_1^{-1} \rangle, \forall \psi \in R_1(E^\times)$ . Let  $f \in E = E^{\times\times}$  be satisfy  $j(f) = \tilde{\varphi}$ . Then for each  $g \in E^\times$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle g, R_2(f) \rangle &= \int_{\Omega} fg d|\lambda| = \langle f, R_1(g) \rangle = \langle R_1(g), j(f) \rangle \\ &= \langle R_1(g), \tilde{\varphi} \rangle = \left\langle R_1(g), \varphi \circ R_1^{-1} \right\rangle = \langle g, \varphi \rangle \end{aligned}$$

It follows that  $\varphi = R_2(f)$  and we conclude that  $R_2$  is onto. □

**Proposition 4.15** *Let  $\lambda$  be a locally  $\sigma$ -finite measure and  $E$  a saturated  $|\lambda|$ -B.f.s. If  $E^\times$  has the weak Fatou property, then  $E^\times$  is a band of  $E^*$ .*

*Proof* To prove that  $R(E^\times)$  is an ideal of  $E^*$  we can proceed as in implication (iv)  $\Rightarrow$  (i) of Theorem 4.12 only observing that if  $0 \leq \varphi \leq \varphi_g \in R(E^\times)$ , then the set function  $m_\varphi : \mathcal{R}(E) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ , defined by  $m_\varphi(B) = \varphi(\chi_B)$  is a positive measure. Now let  $A \subset E^\times$  be a non empty set such that there exists  $\varphi := \sup_{g \in A} \varphi_g \in E^*$ . We have to prove that  $\varphi \in R(E^\times)$ .

Let us note that  $\mathcal{F} := \{F \subset A : F \text{ is finite}\}$  is a directed set with the order given by  $F_1 \leq F_2$  if  $F_1 \subset F_2$ . For each  $F \in \mathcal{F}$  define  $\varphi_F := \max_{g \in F} \varphi_g$ . Let us fix  $F_0 \in \mathcal{F}$  and take  $\mathcal{F}_0 := \{F \in \mathcal{F} : F_0 \subset F\}$ . It turns out that  $\sup_{F \in \mathcal{F}_0} \varphi_F = \varphi$ . Then  $0 \leq \varphi_F - \varphi_{F_0} \leq \varphi - \varphi_{F_0}, \forall F \in \mathcal{F}_0$ . Thus  $\{\varphi_F - \varphi_{F_0}\}_{\mathcal{F}_0}$  is an upwards directed system such that  $\sup_{F \in \mathcal{F}_0} \|\varphi_F - \varphi_{F_0}\| < \infty$ . Since  $\{\varphi_F - \varphi_{F_0}\}_{\mathcal{F}_0} \subset R(E^\times)$  and  $R$  is an order isometry we obtain an upwards directed system  $\{g_F\}_{\mathcal{F}_0} \subset E^{\times+}$  with  $\sup_{F \in \mathcal{F}_0} \|g_F\|_{E^\times} < \infty$ . By the weak Fatou property in  $E^\times$ , there exists  $g \in E^\times$  such

that  $g_F \uparrow g$ . Using again that  $R$  is an order isometry we have that  $\varphi_F - \varphi_{F_0} \uparrow \varphi_g$ . Since  $\sup_{F \in \mathcal{F}_0} \varphi_F = \varphi$  we have that  $\varphi - \varphi_{F_0} = \varphi_g$ . Therefore  $\varphi \in R(E^\times)$ .  $\square$

The following result was established in [29, p. 418]. We obtain it as consequence of the above proposition and Theorem 3.5.

**Corollary 4.16** *Let  $E$  be a saturated  $|\lambda|$ -B.f.s. If  $|\lambda|$  is  $\sigma$ -finite, then  $E^\times$  is a band of  $E^*$ .*

### 5 Brooks–Dinculeanu measure

Let  $\nu : \mathcal{R} \rightarrow X$  be a vector measure defined on a  $\delta$ -ring. Since we are interested in providing a representation of the dual space of  $L^1(\nu)$  as its associate space, it is important to know if  $\nu$  has a local control measure which is locally  $\sigma$ -finite. Then, by Theorem 4.9, the associate space of  $L^1(\nu)$ , with respect to this local control measure, will be saturated. Let us distinguish this kind of measures.

**Definition 5.1** A measure  $\lambda : \mathcal{R} \rightarrow [0, \infty]$  is a *Brooks–Dinculeanu measure* for  $\nu$ , if  $\lambda$  is a local control measure for  $\nu$  which is locally  $\sigma$ -finite.

- Example 5.2*
1. Let  $\nu : \Sigma \rightarrow X$  be a vector measure defined on a  $\sigma$ -algebra. If  $\mu : \Sigma \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  is a Rybakov control measure for  $\nu$ , then  $\mu$  is a Brooks–Dinculeanu measure for  $\nu$ .
  2. Let  $\nu : \mathcal{R} \rightarrow X$  be a  $\sigma$ -finite vector measure. Then  $\nu$  has a bounded local control measure  $\lambda : \mathcal{R} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  [8, Thm. 3.3]. Hence,  $\lambda$  is a Brooks–Dinculeanu for  $\nu$ .

Fortunately, it turns out that each vector measure defined in a  $\delta$ -ring has a Brooks–Dinculeanu measure. This result was established by Jiménez Fernández et al. in [16, p. 3]. Given its importance, we will state it below.

**Theorem 5.3** *If  $\nu : \mathcal{R} \rightarrow X$  is a vector measure, then  $\nu$  has a Brooks–Dinculeanu measure.*

Let us define

$$\widehat{\mathcal{R}} := \{B \in \mathcal{R} : \lambda(B) < \infty\}.$$

It is clear that  $\widehat{\mathcal{R}}$  is a  $\delta$ -ring satisfying that  $\widehat{\mathcal{R}} \subset \mathcal{R}$ . Moreover, it turns out that  $\mathcal{R}^{loc} = \widehat{\mathcal{R}}^{loc}$ . Now let us show that

$$|\langle \nu, x^* \rangle| = |\langle \widehat{\nu}, x^* \rangle|, \quad \forall x^* \in X^*, \tag{5.1}$$

where  $\widehat{\nu}$  is the restriction of  $\nu$  to  $\widehat{\mathcal{R}}$ . By definition we obtain that  $|\langle \widehat{\nu}, x^* \rangle| \leq |\langle \nu, x^* \rangle|$ . To establish the other inequality let us fix  $x^* \in X^*$  and consider  $A \in \mathcal{R}^{loc}$ . Take  $B \in \mathcal{R}_A$ . Since  $\lambda$  is locally  $\sigma$ -finite, there exists an increasing sequence,  $\{B_n\} \subset \widehat{\mathcal{R}}$  such that  $B = \bigcup_{n=1}^\infty B_n$ . So,

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle \nu, x^* \rangle|(B) &= \sup_n |\langle \nu, x^* \rangle|(B_n) = \sup_n |\langle \widehat{\nu}, x^* \rangle|(B_n) \\ &\leq \sup_{C \in \widehat{\mathcal{R}}_A} |\langle \widehat{\nu}, x^* \rangle|(C) = |\langle \widehat{\nu}, x^* \rangle|(A). \end{aligned}$$

It follows that  $|\langle \nu, x^* \rangle|(A) \leq |\langle \widehat{\nu}, x^* \rangle|(A)$ . Hence we have established (5.1).

From (5.1) we have that

$$\|f\|_\nu = \|f\|_{\widehat{\nu}}, \quad \forall f \in L^0(\mathcal{R}^{loc}). \tag{5.2}$$

Thus  $L^1_w(\nu) \equiv L^1_w(\widehat{\nu})$ . Since (5.2) is valid, from the density of  $S(\mathcal{R})$  in  $L^1(\nu)$  and of  $S(\widehat{\mathcal{R}})$  in  $L^1(\widehat{\nu})$ , to prove that  $L^1(\nu) \equiv L^1(\widehat{\nu})$ , it is sufficient to check that  $S(\mathcal{R}) \subset L^1(\widehat{\nu})$  and that  $S(\widehat{\mathcal{R}}) \subset L^1(\nu)$ . Noting that  $\widehat{\mathcal{R}} \subset \mathcal{R}$  the second contention is clear. Now consider  $B \in \mathcal{R}$  and take  $\{B_n\} \subset \widehat{\mathcal{R}}$  satisfying that  $B_n \subset B_{n+1}$  and  $B = \bigcup_{n=1}^\infty B_n$ . Then  $\chi_{B_n} \rightarrow \chi_B$ , moreover

$$\int_A \chi_{B_n} d\widehat{\nu} = \widehat{\nu}(B_n \cap A) = \nu(B_n \cap A) = \int_A \chi_{B_n} d\nu \rightarrow \int_A \chi_B d\nu, \quad \forall A \in \mathcal{R}^{loc}.$$

From [8, Prop. 2.3] we have that  $\chi_B \in L^1(\widehat{\nu})$ . It follows that  $S(\mathcal{R}) \subset L^1(\widehat{\nu})$  and  $I_\nu(s) = I_{\widehat{\nu}}(s), \forall s \in S(\mathcal{R})$ . By the continuity of the integration operators we have  $I_\nu = I_{\widehat{\nu}}$ . Therefore, we have proven the following result and so, whenever we find it convenient we can work on the  $\delta$ -ring  $\widehat{\mathcal{R}}$  instead of  $\mathcal{R}$ .

**Lemma 5.4** *If  $\lambda : \mathcal{R} \rightarrow [0, \infty]$  is a Brooks–Dinculeanu measure for a given vector measure  $\nu$ , then*

- (i) *for each  $x^* \in X^*$  we have that  $|\langle \nu, x^* \rangle| = |\langle \widehat{\nu}, x^* \rangle|$ ,*
- (ii)  *$L^1_w(\nu) \equiv L^1_w(\widehat{\nu}), L^1(\nu) \equiv L^1(\widehat{\nu})$  and  $\int_\Omega f d\nu = \int_\Omega f d\widehat{\nu}, \forall f \in L^1(\nu)$ .*

Curbera and Ricker established that  $L^p(\nu)^{\times \times} \equiv L^p_w(\nu)$  when a vector measure defined on a  $\sigma$ -algebra and a Rybakov control measure are considered [7, Prop. 2]. We will show that this equality remains true if we consider instead a vector measure defined on a  $\delta$ -ring and a Brooks–Dinculeanu measure. Before it is necessary to establish a useful characterization for the functions in  $L^1_w(\nu)$ .

**Lemma 5.5** *Let  $f \in L^0(\mathcal{R}^{loc})$ . Then  $f \in L^1_w(\nu)$  if and only if for each  $B \in \mathcal{R}$ ,  $f \chi_B \in L^1_w(\nu)$  and  $\sup_{B \in \mathcal{R}} \|f \chi_B\|_\nu < \infty$ . In this case  $\|f\|_\nu = \sup_{B \in \mathcal{R}} \|f \chi_B\|_\nu$ .*

*Proof* First let us assume that  $f \in L^1_w(\nu)$ . Since  $L^1_w(\nu)$  is a Banach lattice we have that  $f \chi_B \in L^1_w(\nu)$  and  $\|f \chi_B\|_\nu \leq \|f\|_\nu, \forall B \in \mathcal{R}$ . Then,  $\sup_{B \in \mathcal{R}} \|f \chi_B\|_\nu \leq \|f\|_\nu < \infty$ .

Now assume that  $f \chi_B \in L^1_w(\nu), \forall B \in \mathcal{R}$  and  $M := \sup_{B \in \mathcal{R}} \|f \chi_B\|_\nu < \infty$ . Let  $x^* \in B_{X^*}$ , then

$$\sup_{B \in \mathcal{R}} \int_B |f| d|\langle x^*, \nu \rangle| \leq M.$$

From Proposition 2.1 we obtain that  $f \in L^1(|\langle x^*, \nu \rangle|), \forall x^* \in B_{X^*}$ . Thus  $f \in L^1_w(\nu)$  and  $\|f\|_\nu \leq M$ . □

Although most of the time we will not state it explicitly, in what follows  $\lambda : \mathcal{R} \rightarrow [0, \infty]$  will be a Brooks–Dinculeanu measure for a given vector measure  $\nu$  and we will consider  $L^p_w(\nu)$  and  $L^p(\nu)$  as Banach function spaces with respect to  $|\lambda|$ .

**Theorem 5.6** *Let  $1 \leq p < \infty$ . Then  $L^p(\nu)^{\times\times} \equiv L_w^p(\nu)$ .*

*Proof* First we prove that  $L_w^p(\nu) \subset L^p(\nu)^{\times\times}$  and  $\|f\|_{p,\nu^{\times\times}} \leq \|f\|_{p,\nu}, \forall f \in L_w^p(\nu)$ . Let  $\varphi \in S(\mathcal{R}^{loc})$  be such that  $\varphi \in L_w^p(\nu)$  and  $B \in \widehat{\mathcal{R}}$ . Then  $\varphi\chi_B \in S(\mathcal{R}) \subset L^p(\nu)$ . By the Hölder inequality, for each  $g \in L^p(\nu)^\times$

$$\int_B |g\varphi|d|\lambda| \leq \|g\|_{p,\nu^\times} \|\varphi\chi_B\|_{p,\nu} \leq \|g\|_{p,\nu^\times} \|\varphi\|_{p,\nu}.$$

From Proposition 2.1 we have

$$\int_\Omega |g\varphi|d|\lambda| = \sup_{B \in \widehat{\mathcal{R}}} \int_B |g\varphi|d|\lambda| \leq \|g\|_{p,\nu^\times} \|\varphi\|_{p,\nu}.$$

It follows that  $\varphi \in L^p(\nu)^{\times\times}$  and

$$\|\varphi\|_{p,\nu^{\times\times}} \leq \|\varphi\|_{p,\nu}. \tag{5.3}$$

Now consider  $f \in L_w^p(\nu)$  and take  $\{\varphi_n\} \subset S(\mathcal{R}^{loc})$  with  $0 \leq \varphi_n \uparrow |f|$ . Then,  $\{\varphi_n\} \subset L_w^p(\nu)$ . By (5.3),  $\|\varphi_n\|_{p,\nu^{\times\times}} \leq \|\varphi_n\|_{p,\nu} \leq \|f\|_{p,\nu}, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Since  $L^p(\nu)^{\times\times}$  has the  $\sigma$ -Fatou property it turns out that  $f \in L^p(\nu)^{\times\times}$  and  $\|f\|_{p,\nu^{\times\times}} \leq \|f\|_{p,\nu}$ .

For the other contention let us fix  $B \in \mathcal{R}$  and let  $\nu_B$  be the restriction of  $\nu$  to the  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{R}_B$ . As  $L^1(\nu_B) \equiv L^1(\nu)_B$ , it follows that  $L^p(\nu_B) \equiv L^p(\nu)_B$ . Hence we obtain that  $L^p(\nu_B)^{\times\times} \equiv L_w^p(\nu_B)$  [7, Prop. 2].

Take  $f \in L^p(\nu)^{\times\times}$  and let us denote by  $f_B$  its restriction to  $B$ , then  $f_B \in L_w^p(\nu_B)$  and  $\|f_B\|_{p,\nu_B} = \|f_B\|_{p,\nu_B^{\times\times}} \leq \|f\|_{p,\nu^{\times\times}}$ . And so, for each  $x^* \in B_{X^*}$

$$\int_B |f|^p d|\langle \nu, x^* \rangle| \leq \|f\|_{p,\nu^{\times\times}}^p.$$

From the above proposition we have  $|f|^p \in L_w^1(\nu)$  and  $\| |f|^p \|_\nu \leq \|f\|_{p,\nu^{\times\times}}^p$ . Hence  $f \in L_w^p(\nu)$  and  $\|f\|_{p,\nu} \leq \|f\|_{p,\nu^{\times\times}}$ . □

The following result was established in [4, p. 77] by other methods, we obtain it as consequence of the above proposition and Corollary 3.6.

**Corollary 5.7** *Let  $1 \leq p < \infty$ . If  $\nu : \mathcal{R} \rightarrow X$  is a  $\sigma$ -finite vector measure, then  $L_w^p(\nu)$  has the Fatou property.*

From Theorem 5.6 and Proposition 3.3 we obtain:

**Corollary 5.8** *If  $L_w^p(\nu) \subset L^1(\lambda)$ ,  $1 \leq p < \infty$ , then  $L_w^p(\nu)$  has the Fatou property.*

The sufficiency in the next result was proven in [4, Prop. 5.4]. Since  $L^1(\nu)$  is  $\sigma$ -order continuous we obtain it from Theorems 4.10 and 5.6.

**Corollary 5.9**  *$L^1(\nu)$  has the Fatou property if, and only if,  $L^1(\nu) = L_w^1(\nu)$ .*

As in the  $\sigma$ -finite case, we have the next result.

**Lemma 5.10** *If  $E$  and  $F$  are  $\mu$ -B.f.s such that  $E \subset F$  and there exists  $a > 0$  with  $\|f\|_F \leq a\|f\|_E, \forall f \in E$ , then  $F^\times \subset E^\times$  and*

$$\|g\|_{E^\times} \leq a\|g\|_{F^\times}, \quad \forall g \in F^\times.$$

**Corollary 5.11**  $L^p(v)^\times \equiv L_w^p(v)^\times$  and  $L_w^p(v)^{\times\times} \equiv L_w^p(v), 1 \leq p < \infty$ .

*Proof* From the above lemma, we have  $L_w^p(v)^\times \subset L^p(v)^\times$  and  $\|g\|_{p,v^\times} \leq \|g\|_{L_w^p(v)^\times}, \forall g \in L_w^p(v)^\times$ . Now consider  $f \in L_w^p(v)$  and  $g \in L^p(v)^\times$ , from the Hölder inequality and Theorem 5.6 we have that

$$\int_{\Omega} |gf|d|\lambda| \leq \|g\|_{p,v^\times} \|f\|_{p,v^{\times\times}} = \|g\|_{p,v^\times} \|f\|_{p,v}.$$

Hence  $g \in L_w^p(v)^\times$  and  $\|g\|_{L_w^p(v)^\times} \leq \|g\|_{p,v^\times}$ .

The second equality follows from Theorem 5.6. □

In Proposition 3.5 we have seen that the associate space of a  $\mu$ -B.f.s. has the Fatou property when  $\mu$  is  $\sigma$ -finite. We will show that this result remains true for certain Brooks–Dinculeanu measures, introduced by Calabuig et al. [4, p. 77].

**Definition 5.12** A vector measure  $\nu$  is  $\mathcal{R}$ -decomposable if we can write  $\Omega = \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta} \Omega_\alpha \cup N$ , where  $N \in \mathcal{N}_0(\nu)$  and  $\{\Omega_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Delta} \subset \mathcal{R}$  is a family of pairwise disjoint sets satisfying that

- (a) if  $A_\alpha \in \mathcal{R}_{\Omega_\alpha}, \forall \alpha \in \Delta$ , then  $\bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta} A_\alpha \in \mathcal{R}^{loc}$ , and
- (b) if  $x^* \in X^*$  and  $N_\alpha \in \mathcal{N}_0(\langle \nu, x^* \rangle), \forall \alpha \in \Delta$ , then  $\bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta} N_\alpha \in \mathcal{N}_0(\langle \nu, x^* \rangle)$ .

Note that if  $\nu$  is an  $\mathcal{R}$ -decomposable vector measure and  $A \in \mathcal{R}^{loc}$  is such that  $A \cap \Omega_\alpha \in \mathcal{N}_0(\nu), \forall \alpha \in \Delta$ , then  $A \cap \Omega_\alpha$  is  $(\nu, x^*)$ -null,  $\forall \alpha \in \Delta$  and  $\forall x^* \in B_{X^*}$ . From b) in the above definition it follows that  $A$  is  $\nu$ -null.

Some examples of  $\mathcal{R}$ -decomposable measures are the  $\sigma$ -finite vector measures and the discrete vector measures [4, Lemma 4.6, p. 77]. However there are  $\mathcal{R}$ -decomposable measures which are neither  $\sigma$ -finite nor discrete [4, p. 85].

**Proposition 5.13** *Let  $\nu : \mathcal{R} \rightarrow X$  be a vector measure,  $\lambda : \mathcal{R} \rightarrow [0, \infty]$  be a Brooks–Dinculeanu measure for  $\nu$  and  $E$  be  $|\lambda|$ -B.f.s. If  $S(\mathcal{R}) \subset E$  and  $\nu$  is  $\mathcal{R}$ -decomposable, then  $E^\times$  has the Fatou property.*

*Proof* Since  $\nu$  is  $\mathcal{R}$ -decomposable,  $\Omega = \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta} \Omega_\alpha \cup N$ , where  $N \in \mathcal{N}_0(\nu)$  and  $\{\Omega_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Delta} \subset \mathcal{R}$  is a family of pairwise disjoint sets satisfying (a) and (b) in Definition 5.12. Moreover, since  $\lambda$  is locally  $\sigma$ -finite we can consider that  $\lambda(\Omega_\alpha) < \infty, \forall \alpha \in \Delta$ . Let us note that by Lemma 4.1,  $E$  is a saturated  $|\lambda|$ -e.f.B.

Let  $I \subset \Delta$  be a countable set and take  $\Omega_I := \bigcup_{\alpha \in I} \Omega_\alpha, \mathcal{R}_I := \mathcal{R}_{\Omega_I}$  and  $\lambda_I$  the restriction of  $\lambda$  to the  $\delta$ -ring  $\mathcal{R}_I$ . Then  $(\Omega_I, (\mathcal{R}_I)^{loc}, |\lambda_I|)$  is a  $\sigma$ -finite measure space and  $E_I := E_{\Omega_I}$  is a  $|\lambda_I|$ -B.f.s. Then, from Theorem 3.5, we obtain that  $E_I^\times$  is a  $|\lambda_I|$ -B.f.s. with the Fatou property.

Now let us consider an upwards directed system  $\{g_\tau\}_{\tau \in K} \subset E^\times$  such that  $g_\tau \geq 0$ ,  $\forall \tau \in K$  and  $M := \sup_\tau \|g_\tau\|_{E^\times} < \infty$ . Denoting by  $g_{\tau,I}$  to the restriction of  $g_\tau$  to  $\Omega_I$ , we have that  $\{g_{\tau,I}\}_{\tau \in K} \subset E_I^\times$  is an upwards directed system, and from (3.4),  $\sup_\tau \|g_{\tau,I}\|_{E_I^\times} \leq M < \infty$ . Since  $E_I^\times$  has the Fatou property, it turns out that  $g_I := \sup_\tau g_{\tau,I} \in E_I^\times$  and

$$\|g_I\|_{E_I^\times} = \sup_\tau \|g_{\tau,I}\|_{E_I^\times} \leq M. \tag{5.4}$$

In particular, for each  $\alpha \in \Delta$  exists  $g_{\{\alpha\}} \in E_{\{\alpha\}}^\times$  such that  $g_{\{\alpha\}} = \sup_\tau g_{\tau,\{\alpha\}}$  and

$$\|g_{\{\alpha\}}\|_{E_{\{\alpha\}}^\times} = \sup_\tau \|g_{\tau,\{\alpha\}}\|_{E_{\{\alpha\}}^\times}.$$

Let us denote by  $g^\alpha$  the canonical extension of  $g_{\{\alpha\}}$  and define  $g := \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta} g^\alpha$ . As  $\mathcal{N}_0(\nu) = \mathcal{N}_0(|\lambda|)$  and from (a) in Definition 5.12 we have that  $g \in L^0(|\lambda|)$ .

Let us prove that  $g = \sup_\tau g_\tau$ . Consider  $\alpha \in \Delta$  and  $\tau \in K$ . Since  $g_\tau \chi_{\Omega_\alpha} \leq g^\alpha \leq g$ , it follows that  $g_\tau \leq g$ . Let us assume that  $g' \in L^0(|\lambda|)$  is such that  $g_\tau \leq g'$ . Then  $g_\tau \chi_{\Omega_\alpha} \leq g' \chi_{\Omega_\alpha}$ . So,  $g^\alpha \leq g'$ . Hence  $g \leq g'$  and  $g = \sup_\tau g_\tau$ .

Finally we will establish that  $g \in E^\times$ . Let us fix  $f \in B_E$  and let  $I \subset \Delta$  be a countable set. Note that the canonical extension of  $g_I = \sup_\tau g_{\tau,I}$  is given by  $g^I = \sum_{\alpha \in I} g^\alpha$  and  $f_I \in B_{E_I}$ , where  $f_I$  is the restriction of  $f$  to  $\Omega_I$ . Moreover,  $\int_\Omega |g^I f| d|\lambda| = \int_{\Omega_I} |g_I f_I| d|\lambda_I|$ . By using the monotone convergence theorem

$$\sum_{\alpha \in I} \int_\Omega |g^\alpha f| d|\lambda| = \int_\Omega |g^I f| d|\lambda| = \int_{\Omega_I} |g_I f_I| d|\lambda_I| \leq \|g_I\|_{E_I^\times}.$$

From this and (5.4) we obtain that  $\sum_{\alpha \in I} \int_\Omega |g^\alpha f| d|\lambda| \leq M$ , for each finite subset  $I$  of  $\Delta$ . Then, there exists a countable set  $J \subset \Delta$  such that  $\int_\Omega |g^\alpha f| d|\lambda| = 0, \forall \alpha \in \Delta \setminus J$ . This implies that

$$\int_\Omega |g f| d|\lambda| = \sum_{\alpha \in J} \int_\Omega |g^\alpha f| d|\lambda| = \int_\Omega |g^J f| d|\lambda| \leq M. \tag{5.5}$$

We conclude that  $g \in E^\times$ ; moreover, from lattice property of the norm in  $E^\times$  and from (5.5), we have that  $\|g\|_{E^\times} = \sup_\tau \|g_\tau\|_{E^\times}$ . □

Let us consider the canonical isometry  $R$  between  $L^1(\nu)^\times$  and  $L^1(\nu)^*$ . When  $\nu$  is a  $\sigma$ -finite vector measure, then  $\nu$  has a bounded local control measure  $\lambda : \mathcal{R} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  [8, Thm. 3.3]. Since  $L^1(\nu)$  is a  $\sigma$ -order continuous  $|\lambda|$ -B.f.s., then we have  $L^1(\nu)^* = L^1(\nu)^\times$  [28, Ch. 15, §72, Thm. 5]. In what follows we will present other situations where this holds.

Since  $L^1(\nu)$  is an order continuous  $|\lambda|$ -B.f.s. from Theorem 4.12 we obtain the following result.

**Corollary 5.14** *The following properties are equivalent:*

- (i)  $L^1(\nu)^\times = L^1(\nu)^*$ .
- (ii)  $L^1(\nu)^\times$  is a band of  $L^1(\nu)^*$ .
- (iii)  $L^1(\nu)^\times$  has the Fatou property.
- (iv)  $L^1(\nu)^\times$  has the weak Fatou property.

The next result is a consequence of Proposition 5.13 and the previous result.

**Corollary 5.15** *If  $\nu$  is  $\mathcal{R}$ -decomposable, then  $L^1(\nu)^\times = L^1(\nu)^*$ .*

If  $E$  is a real  $\sigma$ -order continuous Banach lattice it is well known that there exists an  $\mathcal{R}$ -decomposable vector measure  $\nu : \mathcal{R} \rightarrow E$  such that  $E$  is order isometric to the space  $L^1(\nu)$  [10, Thm. 5]. Then from the above corollary we obtain the following result.

**Corollary 5.16** *If  $E$  is a  $\sigma$ -order continuous Banach lattice, then there exist an  $\mathcal{R}$ -decomposable vector measure  $\nu : \mathcal{R} \rightarrow E$  and a order isometry from  $L^1(\nu)^\times$  onto  $E^*$ . More precisely, if  $T$  is a lattice isometry from  $E$  onto  $L^1(\nu)$ ,  $\lambda : \mathcal{R} \rightarrow [0, \infty]$  is a Brooks–Dinculeanu measure for  $\nu$  and  $\varphi \in E^*$ , then there exists  $g \in L^1(\nu)^\times$  such that*

$$\varphi(f) = \int_{\Omega} (Tf)gd|\lambda|, \quad \forall f \in E.$$

*Proof* Note that it only rests to verify that the result mentioned before remains valid in the complex case. Since  $E$  is a  $\sigma$ -order continuous Banach lattice, then  $E_{\mathbb{R}}$  is also a  $\sigma$ -order continuous Banach lattice. Thus, there exist an  $\mathcal{R}$ -decomposable vector measure  $\tilde{\nu} : \mathcal{R} \rightarrow E_{\mathbb{R}}$  and an onto lattice isometry  $S : L^1(\nu) \rightarrow E_{\mathbb{R}}$ . Now let us define  $\nu : \mathcal{R} \rightarrow E$ , by  $\nu(B) = \tilde{\nu}(B)$ ,  $\forall B \in \mathcal{R}$ . It turns out that  $\nu$  is an  $\mathcal{R}$ -decomposable vector measure and  $L^1(\nu)_{\mathbb{R}} = L^1(\tilde{\nu})$ . Let  $T : L^1(\nu) \rightarrow E$  be the canonical extension of  $S$ , then  $T$  is an onto lattice isometry [25, Lemma 3.8].  $\square$

As a consequence of Theorem 4.14 we obtain the following result.

**Corollary 5.17**  *$L^1(\nu)$  is reflexive if, and only if,  $L^1(\nu) = L^1_w(\nu)$  and  $L^1(\nu)^\times$  is  $\sigma$ -order continuous.*

Now from the previous result and Corollary 4.13 we have:

**Corollary 5.18** *If  $L^1(\nu)$  is reflexive, then  $L^1(\nu)^\times = L^1(\nu)^*$ .*

If  $1 < p < \infty$ , Ferrando and Rodríguez established that  $L^p(\nu)^*$  is order continuous when  $\nu$  is defined on a  $\sigma$ -algebra [13, Thm 3.1]. Using the same arguments, it follows that in our context we also have that  $L^p(\nu)^\times$  is order continuous. Then from Corollary 4.13 we have the following result.

**Corollary 5.19**  *$L^p(\nu)^\times = L^p(\nu)^*$ ,  $1 < p < \infty$ .*

Since  $L^p(\nu)^\times$  is order continuous and  $L^p(\nu) = L^p_w(\nu)$  if, and only if,  $L^1(\nu) = L^1_w(\nu)$  [17, Prop. 3.1.6], the next result follows from Theorems 4.14 and 5.6. It was proven when  $\nu$  is defined in a  $\sigma$ -algebra by Fernández et al. [12, Cor. 3.10].

**Corollary 5.20** *Let  $1 < p < \infty$ . Then  $L^p(v)$  is reflexive if, and only if,  $L^1(v) = L_w^1(v)$ .*

Let us fix  $1 < p < \infty$ . Then Corollary 5.19 implies that each functional in  $L^p(v)^*$  has the form  $\varphi_g, g \in L^p(v)^\times$ . So we can define  $S : L^p(v)^{\times\times} \rightarrow L^p(v)^{**}$  by

$$\langle \varphi_g, S(h) \rangle := \int_{\Omega} gh d|\lambda|.$$

It turns out that  $S$  is a linear isometry and we will write  $L^p(v)^{\times\times} = L^p(v)^{**}$  to indicate that is onto. Let  $R_1 : L^p(v)^\times \rightarrow L^p(v)^*$  and  $R_2 : L^p(v)^{\times\times} \rightarrow L^p(v)^{\times*}$  be the corresponding canonical isometries, then  $S = (R_1^*)^{-1} \circ R_2$ . Thus  $S$  is onto if, and only if,  $R_2$  is it. So from Theorems 5.6 and 4.12 we have:

**Corollary 5.21** *Let  $1 < p < \infty$ . Then  $L_w^p(v)$  has the Fatou property if, and only if,  $L_w^p(v) = L^p(v)^{**}$ .*

*Remark 5.22* Calabuig, Delgado, Juan and Sánchez-Pérez asked if in general  $L_w^1(v)$  always has the Fatou property [4, pp. 77–78]. With respect to this question we have the following. Let  $1 < p < \infty$  and notice that  $L_w^1(v)$  has the Fatou property if, and only if,  $L_w^p(v)$  has it. Then from the previous result we obtain that

$$L_w^1(v) \text{ has the Fatou property if, and only if, } L_w^p(v) = L^p(v)^{**}.$$

## References

1. Abramovich, Y.A., Aliprantis, C.D.: An Invitation to Operator Theory. Providence, Graduate Studies in Math., vol. 50. AMS, Providence (2002)
2. Bennett, C., Sharpley, R.: Interpolation of Operators Boston. Academic Press, New York (1988)
3. Brooks, J.K., Dinculeanu, N.: Strong additivity, absolute continuity and compactness in spaces of measures. J. Math. Anal. Appl. **45**, 156–175 (1974)
4. Calabuig, J.M., Delgado, O., Juan, M.A., Sánchez-Pérez, E.A.: On the Banach lattice structure of  $L_w^1$  of a vector measure on a  $\delta$ -ring. Collect. Math. **65**, 67–85 (2014)
5. Curbera, G.P.: The space of integrable functions with respect to a vector measure, Ph.D. Thesis. Univ. of Sevilla (1992)
6. Curbera, G.P., Ricker, W.J.: Banach lattices with the Fatou property and optimal domains for kernel operators. Indag. Mathem. N. S. **17**(2), 187–204 (2006)
7. Curbera, G.P., Ricker, W.J.: The Fatou property in  $p$ -convex Banach lattices. J. Math. Anal. Appl. **328**, 287–294 (2007)
8. Delgado, O.:  $L^1$ -spaces of vector measures defined on  $\delta$ -rings. Arch. Math **84**, 432–443 (2005)
9. Delgado, O.: Further Developments on  $L^1$  of a vector measure, Ph.D. Thesis. University of Sevilla (2004)
10. Delgado, O., Juan, M.A.: Representation of Banach lattices as  $L_w^1$  spaces of a vector measure defined on a  $\delta$ -ring. Bull. Belg. Math. Soc. Simon Stevin **19**, 239–256 (2012)
11. Dunford, N., Schwartz, J.T.: Linear Operators. Part I General Theory. Interscience Publishers Inc, New York (1958)
12. Fernández, A., Mayoral, F., Naranjo, F., Saéz, C., Sánchez-Pérez, E.A.: Spaces of  $p$ -integrable functions with respect to a vector measure. Positivity **10**, 1–16 (2006)
13. Ferrando, I., Rodríguez, J.: The weak topology on  $L^p$  of a vector measure. Topology Appl. **155**, 1439–1444 (2008)
14. Galaz-Fontes, F.: The dual space of  $L^p$  of a vector measure. Positivity **14**(4), 715–729 (2010)
15. Gillespie, T.A.: Factorization in Banach function spaces. Proc. A **84**(3), 287–300 (1981)



16. Jiménez Fernández, E., Juan, M.A., Sánchez-Pérez, E.A.: The Köthe dual of an abstract Banach lattice. *J. Funct. Sps. Appl.*, 1–8 (2013)
17. Juan, M.A.: Vector measures on  $\delta$ -rings and representations theorems of Banach lattices, Ph.D. Thesis. Univ. Politec. of Valencia (2011)
18. Lewis, D.R.: On integrability and summability in vector spaces. III. *J. Math.* **16**, 294–307 (1972)
19. Lindenstrauss, J., Tzafriri, L.: *Classical Banach Spaces II*. Springer, Berlin (1979)
20. Luxemburg, W.A.J., Zaanen, A.C.: *Riesz Spaces I*. North-Holland Publishing Company, Amsterdam (1971)
21. Masani, P.R., Niemi, H.: The integration theory of Banach space valued measures and the Tonelli-Fubini theorems. I. Scalar-valued measures on  $\delta$ -rings. *Adv. Math.* **73**, 204–241 (1989)
22. Masani, P.R., Niemi, H.: The integration theory of Banach space valued measures and the Tonelli-Fubini theorems. II. Pettis integration. *Adv. Math.* **75**, 121–167 (1989)
23. Mastlyo, M., Sanchez-Perez, E.A.: Köthe dual of Banach lattices generated by vector measures. *Monatsh. Math.* **173**(4), 541–557 (2014)
24. Okada, S.: The dual space of  $L^1(\mu)$  for a vector measure  $\mu$ . *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **177**, 583–599 (1993)
25. Okada, S., Ricker, W.J., Sánchez-Pérez, E.: *Optimal Domain and Integral Extension of Operators*. Birkhauser, Basel (2008)
26. Rudin, W.: *Real and Complex Analysis*. McGraw Hill, Boston (1987)
27. Schaefer, H.H.: *Banach Lattices and Positive Operators*. Springer, Berlin (1974)
28. Zaanen, A.: *Integration*. North-Holland Publishing Company, Amsterdam (1967)
29. Zaanen, A.: *Riesz Spaces II*. North-Holland Publishing Company, Amsterdam (1983)