ORIGINAL PAPER



DF MISO system with arbitrary beamforming in atmospheric turbulence and misalignment errors

Prabhat Kumar Sharma¹ · Ankur Bansal²

Received: 18 January 2017 / Accepted: 26 July 2017 / Published online: 3 November 2017 © Springer Science+Business Media, LLC 2017

Abstract A dual-hop decode-and-forward relay-assisted 2×1 multiple-input single-output free-space optical (FSO) communication system is considered. Error performance of the considered FSO system is analyzed with an arbitrary beamforming scheme in Gamma-Gamma distributed atmospheric turbulence and misalignment errors. The misalignment errors in the receive apertures of relay and destination are assumed to be zero-boresight, where the radial displacement in the receiver plane is modeled by Rayleigh distribution. The probability density function and moment generating function of received signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) for both the hops are derived first. By utilizing the derived statistics, the expressions of average symbol error rate for subcarrier intensity modulated-based M-ary phase shift keying (M-PSK) and M-ary quadrature amplitude modulation (M-QAM) constellations are obtained in the destination. Monte-Carlo simulations are presented to support the analysis.

Keywords Beamforming · Atmospheric turbulence · Misalignment error · Symbol error rate

Ankur Bansal bansal.ankur143@gmail.com

Prabhat Kumar Sharma prabhatsharma@ece.vnit.ac.in

² Division of ECE, Netaji Subhas Institute of Technology, Dwarka, New Delhi, India

1 Introduction

Atmospheric turbulence, which perturbs the information carrying laser beam, affects the performance of free-space optical (FSO) communication systems critically. The effects of atmospheric turbulence in FSO communication have been modeled and analytically treated in the literature as turbulence induced fading, similar to the multipath fading in conventional radio-frequency (RF) communication systems [1,2]. Owing to the efficiency of multiple-input multipleoutput (MIMO) techniques in mitigating the adverse effects of multipath fading in RF communication, the multiple aperture-based optical nodes have been widely used in FSO communication systems [2-4]. The MIMO FSO communication offers better diversity, higher bandwidth and improved capacity [2]. A major limitation of FSO communication systems is the requirement of line-of-sight (LoS) between transmitter and receiver which limits the range of communication. The relay-assisted multi-hop communication, however, provides a viable solution for achieving a longrange communication [4–6].

The performance of MIMO FSO systems has been analyzed in several works [2-4,7-9]. The concept of diversity and combining has been extended to FSO communication system with Gamma–Gamma distributed turbulence in [2], and error performance for binary modulation scheme is derived. The performance of Alamouti code is analyzed and compared with that of repetition coding in [7], and it has been found that repetition coding outperforms the Alamoutibased FSO system. Further, the error performance analysis of an FSO MIMO system with generalized OSTBC and *M*-PSK constellation has been given in [10]. In [9], the asymptotic bit error rate (BER) for FSO systems with transmit laser selection scheme has been analyzed over Gamma–Gamma atmospheric turbulence and pointing errors. The derived

¹ Department of Electronics and Communication Engineering, Visvesvaraya National Institute of Technology, Nagpur 440010, India

results were utilized to obtain the optimum beamwidth that minimizes the BER in different turbulence conditions.

In [3], the BER for an FSO multiple-input singleoutput (MISO) system with arbitrary beamforming scheme is derived in identical Gamma–Gamma faded FSO links without considering the effect of misalignment. A decodeand-forward (DF) relay-assisted dual-hop system has been analyzed with Gamma–Gamma turbulence, misalignment errors and transmit laser selection scheme in [4]. It was observed that misalignment errors significantly affect the diversity performance of the system. The analysis in [4] utilized the approximated Taylor's series expansion for the probability density function (PDF) of the channel coefficients.

To the best of authors' knowledge, the performance of an arbitrary beamforming scheme in a DF-based 2×1 dual-hop MISO FSO system with atmospheric turbulence and misalignment errors has not been analyzed utilizing *M*-ary phase shift keying (M-PSK) and M-ary quadrature amplitude modulation (M-QAM) constellations. With this motivation, an arbitrary beamforming scheme for a 2×1 dual-hop MISO system with Gamma-Gamma distributed FSO links is analyzed in this paper. The zero-boresight misalignment errors are considered in the receive apertures of relay and destination. The PDF of the received signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) over each hop of the considered system is obtained. Further, we derive the moment generating function (MGF) of the instantaneous SNR in the receiver of a 2×1 MISO system. The expressions are obtained for the average symbol error rate (SER) for subcarrier intensity modulated (SIM) M-PSK and M-QAM signaling.

2 System model

2.1 Dual-hop MISO system

A dual-hop FSO communication system is considered with a source (S), a DF relay (R), and a destination (D). The transmission from S to D takes place via two hops (S–R and R–D) in two time slots. In first time slot, S transmits its information to the R, and in second time slot, R forwards the decoded version of the received information to D. We consider a 2×1 MISO setup in both the hops. It means, the S has two collocated transmit apertures, and destination possesses one receive aperture. The R is equipped with one receive aperture and two collocated transmit apertures.

We use $h_{i,j}$ to represent the coefficient of FSO link from *i*-th transmit aperture to receive aperture in *j*-th hop. Here j = 1, 2 represents the S–R and R–D hops, respectively. We assume that the two links of a hop are independent and identically distributed (i.i.d.); however, the links from two different hops are assumed to be independent and nonidentically dis-

tributed (i.n.i.d.). The channel coefficient $h_{i,j}$ incorporates the real-valued irradiance in atmospheric turbulence $I_{i,j}$ and the misalignment fading represented by m_j . Thus, the composite channel coefficient is $h_{i,j} = m_j I_{i,j}$. The irradiance $I_{i,j}$ follows the Gamma–Gamma distribution with PDF given as [3],

$$f_{I_{i,j}}(I) = \frac{2(a_j b_j)^{\frac{a_j + b_j}{2}}}{\Gamma(a_j) \Gamma(b_j)} I^{\frac{a_j + b_j}{2} - 1} K_{a_j - b_j} \left(2\sqrt{a_j b_j I} \right), \quad (1)$$

where $K_v(\cdot)$ is modified Bessel function of second kind with order v. The parameters a_j and b_j characterize the irradiance fluctuations in *j*-th hop and can be expressed as in [3, eq. 4]. The zero-boresight misalignment coefficients m_j can be represented by the PDF given in [7] as,

$$f_{m_j}(m) = \frac{\zeta_j^2}{A_{0,j}^{\zeta_j^2}} m^{\zeta_j^2 - 1}, \qquad 0 \le m \le A_{0,j}, \tag{2}$$

where $A_{0,j} = [\text{erf}(v_j)]^2$ represents the fraction of the collected optical power, $v_j = \sqrt{\pi R_j^2/2w_{b_j}^2}$, R_j denotes the radius of receiver aperture, w_{b_j} is normalized beam waist, and $\zeta_j = \frac{\omega_{e_j}}{2\sigma_{s_j}}$. $\omega_{e_j} = [\sqrt{A_{0,j}\pi}w_{b_j}^2/(2v_j \exp(-v_j^2))]^{1/2}$ is the equivalent beam width at the receiver, and $\sigma_{s_j}^2$ is the variance of the pointing error displacement characterized by Gaussian distributed horizontal sway and elevation.

2.2 Transmission protocol

We assume a SIM [11]-based FSO system in order to utilize the higher RF modulation techniques in FSO environment. In first time slot, the symbol $x \in \mathcal{A}$, where \mathcal{A} is an *M*-PSK or *M*-QAM alphabet, is multiplied by a beamforming vector $\mathbf{w} = [w_1, w_2]'$, where $[\cdot]'$ denotes the transpose of a matrix, and scaled by a factor 1/2 before transmission from S. Here w_1 and w_2 are arbitrary beamforming weights such that $w_1 + w_2 \leq 2$. Thus both the transmitting apertures transmit the differently weighted symbol *x*. The signal received at the receive aperture in relay node is given by

$$y_r = \frac{\eta}{2} \left[w_1 h_{1,1} + w_2 h_{2,1} \right] x + n_r, \tag{3}$$

where η is the optical-to-electrical conversion coefficient,¹ n_r represents the additive white Gaussian noise (AWGN) with zero mean and N_0 variance in the relay. Similarly, the received signal in the destination is given by,

$$y_d = \frac{\eta}{2} \left[u_1 h_{1,2} + u_2 h_{2,2} \right] \hat{x} + n_d, \tag{4}$$

¹ Without loss of generality we consider that η is same for both the receive apertures.

where u_1 and u_2 are arbitrary beamforming weights for the second hop such that $u_1 + u_2 \le 2$, $\hat{x} \in \mathscr{A}$ represents the decoded version of x by R, and n_d represents the AWGN with zero mean and N_0 variance in the destination. If E_s be the symbol energy of *M*-ary data, we can write $\mathbb{E}[|x|^2] = \mathbb{E}[|\hat{x}|^2] = \mathbb{E}_s$, where $\mathbb{E}[\cdot]$ represents the expectation operator.

3 Statistics of received SNR

3.1 PDF of γ_1 and γ_2

The instantaneous SNR at the relay can be given, using (3), as $\gamma_1 = \bar{\gamma}_1 |w_1h_{1,1} + w_2h_{2,1}|^2$, where $\bar{\gamma}_1$ is the average SNR per diversity branch for first hop, and is defined as $\bar{\gamma}_1 = \frac{\eta^2 E_s}{4N_0}$.

Theorem 1 The PDF of $\gamma_1 = \overline{\gamma}_1 |w_1h_{1,1} + w_2h_{2,1}|^2$ can be expressed as,

$$f_{\gamma_1}(\gamma) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Psi_{n_1}}{2\bar{\gamma}_1^{q_1}} \gamma^{q_1-1} + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Psi_{n_2}}{2\bar{\gamma}_1^{q_2}} \gamma^{q_2-1} + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Psi_{n_3}}{2\bar{\gamma}_1^{q_3}} \gamma^{q_3-1}$$
(5)

where

$$q_{1} = \frac{n+2b_{1}}{2}, q_{2} = \frac{n+b_{1}+a_{1}}{2}, q_{3} = \frac{n+2a_{1}}{2},$$

$$\Psi_{n_{1}} = \frac{A_{0,1}^{\zeta_{1}^{2}-(n+2b_{1})}\tilde{A}_{n_{1}}}{\zeta_{1}^{2}-(n+2b_{1})}, \Psi_{n_{2}} = \frac{A_{0,1}^{\zeta_{1}^{2}-(n+a_{1}+b_{1})}\tilde{A}_{n_{2}}}{\zeta_{1}^{2}-(n+a_{1}+b_{1})},$$

$$\Psi_{n_{3}} = \frac{A_{0,1}^{\zeta_{1}^{2}-(n+2a_{1})}\tilde{A}_{n_{2}}}{\zeta_{1}^{2}-(n+2a_{1})},$$

$$\tilde{A}_{n_{1}} = \frac{\tilde{d}_{n_{1}}(a_{1},b_{1})\star\tilde{d}_{n_{2}}(a_{1},b_{1})}{\Gamma(n+2b_{1})},$$

$$\tilde{A}_{n_{3}} = \frac{\tilde{d}_{n_{1}}(b_{1},a_{1})\star\tilde{d}_{n_{2}}(b_{1},a_{1})}{\Gamma(n+2a_{1})},$$

$$\tilde{A}_{n_{2}} = \frac{\left(\tilde{d}_{n_{1}}(a_{1},b_{1})\star\tilde{d}_{n_{2}}(b_{1},a_{1})+\tilde{d}_{n_{1}}(b_{1},a_{1})\star\tilde{d}_{n_{2}}(a_{1},b_{1})\right)}{\Gamma(n+a_{1}+b_{1})}.$$
(6)

Further, $\tilde{d}_{n_i}(a, b), i \in \{1, 2\}$ can be given as,

$$\tilde{d}_{n_i}(a,b) = \frac{\Gamma(n+b_1)(a_1b_1)^{b_1+n}\Gamma(a_1-b_1)}{n!w_i^{n+b_1}\Gamma(a_1)\Gamma(b_1)(1+b_1-a_1)_n}$$
(7)

where $(\ell)_k = \frac{\Gamma(\ell+k)}{\Gamma(\ell)}$ represents the Pochammer's symbol [12].

Proof The PDF of $Z \triangleq w_1h_{1,1}m_1 + w_2h_{2,1}m_1$ conditioned over m_1 can be given using [3, eq.21] as,

$$f_{Z|m_1}(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \tilde{A}_{n_1} z^{n+2b_1-1} m_1^{-n-2b_1} + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \tilde{A}_{n_2} z^{n+a_1+b_1-1} m_1^{-n-b_1-a_1} + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \tilde{A}_{n_3} z^{n+2a_1-1} m_1^{-n-2a_1}.$$
(8)

On normalizing (8) over the PDF of m_1 given in (2), followed by transformation $\gamma_1 = \bar{\gamma}_1 |Z|^2$, we obtain (5). Similarly, the PDF of instantaneous SNR $\gamma_2 = \bar{\gamma}_2 |u_1h_{1,2} + u_2h_{2,2}|^2$ in D can be expressed as,

$$f_{\gamma_2}(\gamma) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Xi_{n_1}}{2\bar{\gamma}_2^{p_1}} \gamma^{p_1 - 1} + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Xi_{n_2}}{2\bar{\gamma}_2^{p_2}} \gamma^{p_2 - 1} + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Xi_{n_3}}{2\bar{\gamma}_2^{p_3}} \gamma^{p_3 - 1}$$
(9)

where

$$p_{1} = \frac{n+2b_{2}}{2}, p_{2} = \frac{n+b_{2}+a_{2}}{2}, p_{3} = \frac{n+2a_{2}}{2},$$

$$\Xi_{n_{1}} = \frac{A_{0,2}^{\xi_{2}^{2}-(n+2b_{2})}\tilde{B}_{n_{1}}}{\xi_{2}^{2}-(n+2b_{2})}, \Xi_{n_{2}} = \frac{A_{0,2}^{\xi_{2}^{2}-(n+a_{2}+b_{2})}\tilde{B}_{n_{2}}}{\xi_{2}^{2}-(n+a_{2}+b_{2})},$$

$$\Xi_{n_{3}} = \frac{A_{0,2}^{\xi_{2}^{2}-(n+2a_{2})}\tilde{B}_{n_{3}}}{\xi_{2}^{2}-(n+2a_{2})},$$

$$\tilde{B}_{n_{1}} = \frac{\tilde{g}_{n_{1}}(a_{2},b_{2}) \star \tilde{g}_{n_{2}}(a_{2},b_{2})}{\Gamma(n+2b_{2})},$$

$$\tilde{B}_{n_{3}} = \frac{\tilde{g}_{n_{1}}(b_{2},a_{2}) \star \tilde{g}_{n_{2}}(b_{2},a_{2})}{\Gamma(n+2a_{2})},$$

$$\tilde{B}_{n_{2}} = \frac{\left(\tilde{g}_{n_{1}}(a_{2},b_{2}) \star \tilde{g}_{n_{2}}(b_{2},a_{2}) + \tilde{g}_{n_{1}}(b_{2},a_{2}) \star \tilde{g}_{n_{2}}(a_{2},b_{2})\right)}{\Gamma(n+a_{2}+b_{2})},$$
(10)

and $\tilde{g}_{n_i}(a_2, b_2), i \in \{1, 2\}$ can be given as,

$$\tilde{g}_{n_i}(a_2, b_2) = \frac{\Gamma(n+b_2)(a_2b_2)^{b_2+n}\Gamma(a_2-b_2)}{n!u_i^{n+b_2}\Gamma(a_2)\Gamma(b_2)(1+b_2-a_2)_n}.$$
 (11)

3.2 MGF of γ_1 and γ_2

The MGF of instantaneous SNR γ_1 is defined as $\mathscr{M}_{\gamma_1}(s) = \int_0^\infty e^{-s\gamma} f_{\gamma_1}(\gamma) d\gamma$. Using (5) and [13, eq. 3.351.3] we get,

$$\mathcal{M}_{\gamma_1}(s) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Psi_{n_1}(q_1-1)!}{2\bar{\gamma}_1^{q_1}} s^{-q_1} + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Psi_{n_2}(q_2-1)!}{2\bar{\gamma}_1^{q_2}} s^{-q_2} + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Psi_{n_3}(q_3-1)!}{2\bar{\gamma}_1^{q_3}} s^{-q_3}.$$
 (12)

Similarly, for SNR γ_2 the MGF can be expressed as,

$$\mathcal{M}_{\gamma_2}(s) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Xi_{n_1}(p_1-1)!}{2\bar{\gamma}_2^{p_1}} s^{-p_1} + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Xi_{n_2}(p_2-1)!}{2\bar{\gamma}_2^{p_2}} s^{-p_2} + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Xi_{n_3}(p_3-1)!}{2\bar{\gamma}_2^{p_3}} s^{-p_3}.$$
 (13)

It can be seen in the subsequent section that how these MGFs are used to derive the SER for *M*-ary signaling scheme.

4 Error rate analysis

4.1 SER for *M*-QAM signaling

The average BER of a dual-hop DF FSO system for *M*-QAM can be written as $P(e) = P_1(e)[1-2P_2(e)]$, where $P_1(e)$ and $P_2(e)$ are average BERs for first and second hop, respectively. The average BER $P_{\ell}(e)$, $\ell = 1, 2$, can be written as [14],

$$P_{\ell}(e) = \int_0^\infty \text{BER}_{\ell}(\gamma) f_{\gamma_{\ell}}(\gamma) d\gamma, \qquad (14)$$

where $\text{BER}_{\ell}(\gamma)$ represents the conditional BER of the ℓ -th hop and can be obtained from [15] as

$$\operatorname{BER}_{\ell}(\gamma) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{M}\log_2\sqrt{M}} \sum_{j=1}^{\log_2\sqrt{M}} \sum_{k=0}^{\upsilon_j} \Phi_{kj}\operatorname{erfc}(\sqrt{\omega_k\gamma}),$$
(15)

where erfc(·) is the complimentary error function [12, Eq. (06.27.02.0001.01)], $v_j = (1 - 2^{-j})\sqrt{M} - 1$, $\omega_k =$

$$P_{1}(e) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{M}\log_{2}\sqrt{M}} \sum_{j=1}^{\log_{2}\sqrt{M}} \sum_{k=0}^{\nu_{j}} \Phi_{kj}$$

$$\times \left[\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Psi_{n_{1}} w_{k}^{-q_{1}} \Gamma(q_{1}+1/2)}{2q_{1}\sqrt{\pi} \bar{\gamma}_{1}^{q_{1}}} + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Psi_{n_{2}} w_{k}^{-q_{2}} \Gamma(q_{2}+1/2)}{2q_{2}\sqrt{\pi} \bar{\gamma}_{1}^{q_{2}}} + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Psi_{n_{3}} w_{k}^{-q_{3}} \Gamma(q_{3}+1/2)}{2q_{3}\sqrt{\pi} \bar{\gamma}_{1}^{q_{3}}} \right].$$
(16)

$$P_{2}(e) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{M}\log_{2}\sqrt{M}} \sum_{j=1}^{\log_{2}\sqrt{M}} \sum_{k=0}^{\upsilon_{j}} \Phi_{kj} \left[\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Xi_{n_{1}} w_{k}^{-p_{1}} \Gamma(p_{1}+1/2)}{2p_{1}\sqrt{\pi} \bar{\gamma}_{2}^{p_{1}}} + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Xi_{n_{2}} w_{k}^{-p_{2}} \Gamma(p_{2}+1/2)}{2p_{2}\sqrt{\pi} \bar{\gamma}_{2}^{p_{2}}} + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Xi_{n_{3}} w_{k}^{-p_{3}} \Gamma(p_{3}+1/2)}{2p_{3}\sqrt{\pi} \bar{\gamma}_{2}^{p_{3}}} \right].$$

$$(17)$$

The average BER for *M*-QAM signaling can be obtained by using (16) and (17) in $P(e) = P_1(e)[1-2P_2(e)]$. Further, the average SER for an *M*-QAM constellation can be evaluated by multiplying the average BER with $\log_2 M$.

4.2 SER for *M*-PSK signaling

For a symmetric *M*-PSK constellation with equidimensional decision regions, the probability of error P(e) can be given, as in [6], as

$$P(e) = 1 - \sum_{k=1}^{M} P_k(\gamma_1) P_k(\gamma_2),$$
(18)

where $P_k(\gamma_1)$ is the probability that the source transmits x_j and the relay receives it as x_k , $k \neq j$, and $P_k(\gamma_2)$ is the probability that the relay transmits x_k and it is received at the destination as x_j . The probabilities $P_k(\gamma_1)$ and $P_k(\gamma_2)$ can be given as [16]

$$P_{k}(\gamma_{i}) = \begin{cases} 1 - \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{0}^{(M-1)\pi} \mathscr{M}_{\gamma_{i}} \left(\frac{\sin^{2}(\frac{\pi}{M})}{\sin^{2}(\phi)} \right) d\phi, & k = 1 \\ \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{0}^{(M-1)\pi} \mathscr{M}_{\gamma_{i}} \left(\frac{\sin^{2}(\frac{\pi}{M})}{\sin^{2}(\phi)} \right) d\phi, & k = \frac{M}{2} + 1 \\ \frac{1}{2\pi} \left[\int_{0}^{\pi - a_{k-1}} \mathscr{M}_{\gamma_{i}} \left(\frac{\sin^{2}(a_{k-1})}{\sin^{2}(\phi)} \right) d\phi - \int_{0}^{\pi - a_{k}} \mathscr{M}_{\gamma_{i}} \left(\frac{\sin^{2}(a_{k})}{\sin^{2}(\phi)} \right) d\phi \right], \text{ otherwise,} \end{cases}$$
(19)

 $\frac{3(2k+1)^2 \log_2 M}{2(M-1)}, \text{ and } \Phi_{kj} = (-1)^{\lfloor \mu \rfloor} \left(2^{j-1} - \lfloor \mu + \frac{1}{2} \rfloor \right) \text{ with } \\ \mu = \frac{k2^{j-1}}{\sqrt{M}}. \text{ Using (15) in (14) and after some algebra, we get the expression for } P_1(e) \text{ and } P_2(e) \text{ as,}$

where $i \in \{1, 2\}$ and $a_k = (2k - 1)\frac{\pi}{M}$. The probability term $P_k(\gamma_1)$ in (19) consists the integral of the form $\mathscr{I}_1 = \int_0^{\Theta} \mathscr{M}_{\gamma_1} \left(\frac{\theta}{\sin^2(\phi)}\right) d\phi$, where $\Theta \in \left\{\frac{(M-1)\pi}{M}, \pi - a_{k-1}, \pi - a_k\right\}$ and $\theta \in \left\{\sin^2(\frac{\pi}{M}), \sin^2(a_{k-1}), \sin^2(a_k)\right\}$.

On solving these integrals using MATHEMATICA, we get

$$\mathcal{I}_{1} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Psi_{n_{1}}(q_{1}-1)!}{2\bar{\gamma}_{1}^{q_{1}}} \mathcal{\Omega}(\Theta, q_{1}) + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Psi_{n_{2}}(q_{2}-1)!}{2\bar{\gamma}_{1}^{q_{2}}} \mathcal{\Omega}(\Theta, q_{2}) + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Psi_{n_{3}}(q_{3}-1)!}{2\bar{\gamma}_{1}^{q_{3}}} \mathcal{\Omega}(\Theta, q_{3}),$$
(20)

where $\Omega(\ell_1, \ell_2) = \theta^{-\ell_2} [\lambda_1(\ell_2) - \lambda_2(\ell_1)_2 F_1[\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2} - \ell_2; \frac{3}{2}; \cos^2 \ell_1]], \lambda_1(\ell_2) = \frac{\sqrt{\pi}\Gamma(1/2+\ell_2)}{2\Gamma(1+\ell_2)}, \text{ and } \lambda_2(\ell_1) = \frac{|\sin \ell_1|}{\tan \ell_1}.$ In a similar way, $\mathscr{I}_2 = \int_0^{\Theta} \mathscr{M}_{\gamma_2} (\frac{\theta}{\sin^2(\phi)}) d\phi$ for $P_k(\gamma_2)$

can be given as,

$$\mathscr{I}_{2} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Xi_{n_{1}}(p_{1}-1)!}{2\bar{\gamma}_{2}^{p_{1}}} \mathcal{Q}(\Theta, p_{1}) + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Xi_{n_{2}}(p_{2}-1)!}{2\bar{\gamma}_{2}^{p_{2}}} \mathcal{Q}(\Theta, p_{2}) + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Xi_{n_{3}}(p_{3}-1)!}{2\bar{\gamma}_{2}^{p_{3}}} \mathcal{Q}(\Theta, p_{3}).$$
(21)

Hence, the expression for the average SER for M-PSK signaling can be obtained using (18), (19), (20) and (21).

5 Results and discussions

In this section, we present the analytically obtained and simulated results for average error rate performance of the considered DF-based dual-hop MISO FSO communication system. For numerical results, we assume strong turbulence conditions $(a_1 = 4.2 \text{ and } b_1 = 1.4)$ for first hop, and weak turbulence conditions ($a_2 = 11.6$ and $b_2 = 10.1$) for second hop. Further, for misalignment errors, we consider $R_1 = R_2 = 0.1$ and $w_{b_1} = w_{b_2} = 0.1$. We also assume that the average SNRs of the two hops are equal.

In Fig. 1, the average SER is plotted for various M-PSK constellations and 16-QAM signaling considering equal weights, i.e., $w_1 = w_2 = 1$ and $u_1 = u_2 = 1$. We have assumed equal pointing error variances at two receivers, i.e., $\sigma_{s1}^2 = \sigma_{s2}^2 = \sigma_s^2 = 0.01$ in Fig. 1. It can be observed from Fig. 1 that analytical results derived in this paper closely follow the exact simulations for all the modulation schemes considered here. Moreover, as the M increases, the error probability increases. Further, it can be noticed from Fig. 1 that beyond 8-PSK, the QAM signaling provides better error performance than the same sized PSK scheme.



Fig. 1 Average SER versus average SNR performance of dual-hop 2×1 DF FSO system for M-PSK and M-QAM constellations, assuming unit weights beamforming for both hops and $\sigma_{s1} = \sigma_{s2} = \sigma_s = 0.1$



Fig. 2 Error performance of dual-hop MISO FSO system showing the effect of different misalignment and beamforming conditions

The average BER for BPSK is plotted in Fig. 2 for different weights and different values of pointing error variance. We assume $w_1 = w_2 = 1$ and various combinations of beamforming weights in second hop. It can be seen from Fig. 2 that equal weight beamforming $(u_1 = 1, u_2 = 1)$ performs slightly better than unequal weight beamforming $(u_1 = 1.9, u_2 = 0.1 \text{ and } u_1 = 1.5, u_2 = 0.5)$. Further, it can also be observed from Fig. 2 that increasing the variance parameter associated with misalignment (i.e., σ_s) deteriorates the error performance of the considered system.

6 Conclusion

We have successfully derived the average SER expressions for a dual-hop DF-based FSO MISO system using M-PSK and *M*-QAM signaling. It can be concluded from this paper that in a dual-hop DF system with nonidentically distributed hops, equal weight beamforming is more efficient than unequal weight beamforming.

Acknowledgements This work is supported by the Visvesvaraya Young Faculty Research Fellowship from Ministry of Electronics and Information Technology, Government of India.

References

- Sharma, P.K., Bansal, A., Garg, P., Tsiftsis, T.A., Barrios, R.: Relayed FSO communication with aperture averaging receivers and misalignment errors. IET Commun. 11(1), 45–52 (2017)
- [2] Bayaki, E., Schober, R., Mallik, R.K.: Performance analysis of MIMO free-space optical systems in Gamma–Gamma fading. IEEE Trans. Commun. 57(11), 3415–3424 (2009)
- [3] Bhatnagar, M.R.: A one bit feedback based beamforming scheme for FSO MISO system over Gamma–Gamma fading. IEEE Trans. Commun. 63(4), 1306–1318 (2015)
- [4] Ruiz, R.B., Zambrana, A.G., Vázquez, B.C., Vázquez, C.C.: MISO relay-assisted FSO systems over Gamma-Gamma-fading channels with pointing errors. IEEE Photonics Technol. Lett. (2016). https://doi.org/10.1109/LPT.2015.2492622
- [5] Sharma, P.K., Garg, P.: Bi-directional decode-XOR-forward relaying over *M*-distributed free space optical links. IEEE Photonics Technol. Lett. 26(19), 1916–1919 (2014)
- [6] Sharma, P.K., Bansal, A., Garg, P.: Relay assisted bi-directional communication in generalized turbulence fading. J. Lightwave Technol. 33(1), 133–139 (2015)
- [7] Bhatnagar, M.R., Anees, S.: On the performance of Alamouti scheme in Gamma–Gamma fading FSO links with pointing errors. IEEE Wireless Commun. Lett. 4(1), 94–97 (2015)
- [8] Song, X., Cheng, J.: Subcarrier intensity modulated MIMO optical communications in atmospheric turbulence. IEEE/OSA J. Opt. Commun. Netw. 5(9), 1001–1009 (2013)
- [9] Garcia-Zambrana, A., Castillo-Vazquez, C., Castillo-Vazquez, B.: Asymptotic error-rate analysis of FSO links using transmit laser selection over Gamma–Gamma atmospheric turbulence channels with pointing errors. Opt. Exp. 20(3), 2096–2109 (2012)
- [10] Sharma, N., Bansal, A., Garg, P.: Generalized OSTBC-based subcarrier intensity-modulated MIMO optical wireless communication system. Int. J. Commun. Syst. (2016). https://doi.org/10. 1002/dac.3118
- [11] Peppas, K.P., Datsikas, C.K.: Average symbol error probability of general-order rectangular quadrature amplitude modulation of optical wireless communication systems over atmospheric turbulence channels. J. Opt. Commun. Netw. 2(2), 102–110 (2010)
- [12] I. Wolfram Research.: Mathematica Edition: Version 8.0. Wolfram Research Inc., Champaign (2010)

- [13] Gradshteyn, I.S., Ryzhik, I.M.: Table of Integrals, Series, Products, 6th edn. Academic, San Diego (2000)
- [14] Morgado, E., Mora-Jimenez, I., Vinagre, J.J., Ramos, J., Caamano, A.J.: End-to-end average BER in multihop wireless networks over fading channels. IEEE Trans. Wireless Commun. 9(8), 2478–2487 (2010)
- [15] Cho, K., Yoon, D.: On the general BER expression of one-and two-dimensional amplitude modulations. IEEE Trans. Commun. 50(7), 1074–1080 (2002)
- [16] Muller, A., Speidel, J.: Exact symbol error probability of *M*-PSK for multihop transmission with regenerative relays. IEEE Commun. Lett. 11(12), 952–954 (2007)



Prabhat Kumar Sharma is an assistant professor in the Department of Electronics and Communication Engineering at Visvesvaraya National Institute of Technology, Nagpur, India. He received his B.Tech. and M.Tech. degrees in Electronics and Communication Engineering and VLSI Design from the Uttar Pradesh Technical University, Lucknow and Malaviya National Institute of Technology, Jaipur, respectively. He obtained

his Ph.D. degree in Wireless Communications from the University of Delhi in 2015. He is the author of more than 30 journal and conference papers. His current research interests include free-space optical communication and wireless communication. Recently Dr. Sharma has received the Early Career Research Award grant from Science and Engineering Research Board, Department of Science and Technology, Government of India. He is also a recipient of Visvesvaraya Young Faculty Research Fellowship from Ministry of Electronics and Information Technology, Government of India.



Ankur Bansal has received his Ph.D. degree from Indian Institute of Technology Delhi, India in 2013. He received his B.Tech. and M.Tech. degrees in Electronics and Communication Engineering and Signal Processing from the Uttar Pradesh Technical University, Lucknow and Netaji Subhas Institute of Technology, Delhi, respectively. Currently, he is working as an assistant professor in the Division of Electronics and Communication

Engineering at Netaji Subhas Institute of Technology, Delhi. His main research interests include various wireless communication technologies such as multiple-input multiple-output (MIMO), multi-user based communications, free space optical communications, and distributed antenna based cooperative communication technology. Dr. Bansal has published several research papers in reputed international journals like IEEE Transactions on Wireless Communications, IEEE Transactions on Vehicular Technology, and IEEE/OSA Journal of Lightwave Technologies. He has served as a reviewer of several IEEE and IET journals.