# RESEARCH ARTICLE



# **High‑sorgoleone producing sorghum genetic stocks**  suppress soil nitrification and N<sub>2</sub>O emissions better **than low‑sorgoleone producing genetic stocks**

**Xiang Gao · Ken[ichi](http://orcid.org/0000-0002-6249-9981) Uno · Papa [Sali](http://orcid.org/0000-0003-2695-8199)ou Sarr · Tadashi Yoshihashi · Yi[yong](http://orcid.org/0000-0002-7243-6394) Zhu · Guntur Venkata Subbarao**

Received: 3 December 2021 / Accepted: 3 May 2022 / Published online: 11 May 2022 © The Author(s), under exclusive licence to Springer Nature Switzerland AG 2022

### **Abstract**

*Purpose* Rapid nitrifcation leads to loss of nitrogen (N) fertilizer in agricultural systems. Plant produced/ derived biological nitrifcation inhibitors (BNIs) are an efective eco-strategy to rein-in soil nitrifcation to improve crop-N uptake and nitrogen use efficiency (NUE) in production systems. Sorgoleone is the major component of hydrophobic-BNI-activity in sorghum roots. However, the role of genetic diferences in sorgoleone production in reducing soil nitrifcation and  $N<sub>2</sub>O$  emissions are not established.

*Methods* Two genetic-stocks of sorghum with highsorgoleone (HS), and two with low-sorgoleone (LS) production from roots were grown using hydroponics

Responsible Editor: Richard J. Simpson.

**Supplementary Information** The online version contains supplementary material available at [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11104-022-05474-6) [org/10.1007/s11104-022-05474-6.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11104-022-05474-6)

X. Gao · P. S. Sarr · T. Yoshihashi · G. V. Subbarao ( $\boxtimes$ ) Crop, Livestock, and Environment Division, Japan International Research Center for Agricultural Sciences (JIRCAS), Ohwashi, Tsukuba, Ibaraki 305-8686, Japan e-mail: subbarao@jircas.afrc.go.jp

X. Gao e-mail: gxkochung@afrc.go.jp

P. S. Sarr e-mail: saliou@afrc.go.jp

T. Yoshihashi e-mail: tadashi@afrc.go.jp in a plant-growth chamber, in soil in pots in a glasshouse, and in a feld experiment. Release of hydrophilic-BNI activity from roots of HS and LS genetic stocks, sorgoleone levels in rhizosphere soils, soil nitrification rates, soil-nitrifier activity and  $N_2O$ emissions were measured to understand the interplay involving sorgoleone release, hydrophilic-BNI release from roots, soil nitrifcation, plant growth and N uptake.

*Results* HS-producing genetic-stocks showed higher hydrophilic-BNI-capacity compared to LS- producing genetic-stocks. Biomass production and N uptake were signifcantly higher in HS than in LS geneticstocks. Glasshouse and feld studies suggest that HS genetic stocks had stronger suppressive impact on soil-nitrifer-populations (ammonia-oxidizing archaea and ammonia-oxidizing bacteria), soil-nitrifcation, and soil- $N<sub>2</sub>O$  emissions than in LS genetic-stocks.

K. Uno

Rural Development Division, Japan International Research Center for Agricultural Sciences (JIRCAS), Ohwashi, Tsukuba, Ibaraki 305-8686, Japan e-mail: uno@afrc.go.jp

#### Y. Zhu

Jiangsu Provincial Key Lab for Organic Solid Waste Utilization, Jiangsu Collaborative Innovation Center for Solid Organic Waste Resource Utilization, Nanjing Agricultural University, Nanjing 210095, China e-mail: yiyong1973@njau.edu.cn

*Conclusion* These results demonstrate that HS sorghum genetic-stocks suppress soil nitrifer activity and can potentially reduce N losses from  $NO<sub>3</sub>$ <sup>-</sup> leaching and  $N_2O$  emissions more effectively than LS genetic-stocks.

**Keywords** Biological nitrifcation inhibition  $(BNI) \cdot Sorgoleone \cdot Sorghum \cdot Nitrification \cdot N<sub>2</sub>O$ emission

# **Introduction**

Biological nitrifcation inhibition (BNI) is proposed as a low-cost eco-strategy to limit nitrogen (N) losses and improve nitrogen use efficiency (NUE) in farmlands (Subbarao et al. [2007a](#page-11-0); [2017;](#page-12-0) [2021](#page-12-1); Coskun et al. [2017;](#page-10-0) Villegas et al. [2020;](#page-12-2) Lu et al. [2021](#page-11-1); Zhang et al. [2021](#page-12-3)). Currently reported crop species with BNI-capacity include sorghum [*Sorghum bicolor (L.) Moench]*, rice [*Oryza sativa (L.)]*, wheat [*Triti‑ cum aestivum (L.)*], maize [*Zea mays (L.)*] and *Bra‑ chiaria* [*Brachiaria humidicola* (L.)] pasture (Zakir et al. [2008;](#page-12-4) Subbarao et al. [2009;](#page-11-2) [2021;](#page-12-1) O'Sullivan et al. [2016;](#page-11-3) Sun et al. [2016](#page-12-5); Byrnes et al. [2017;](#page-10-1) Nakamura et al. [2020;](#page-11-4) Otaka et al. [2021\)](#page-11-5). Their main function is to maintain a relatively high amounts of soil- $NH_4^+$ , while reducing generation of highly mobile soil-NO<sub>3</sub><sup> $-$ </sup> and subsequent N<sub>2</sub>O emissions, by inhibiting the activity of soil-nitrifers (Zakir et al. [2008](#page-12-4); Subbarao et al. [2009](#page-11-2); [2021;](#page-12-1) Byrnes et al. [2017](#page-10-1); Sarr et al. [2020](#page-11-6); Li et al. [2021a](#page-11-7)). Sorghum is the ffth most widely cultivated cereal grain globally, especially grown in the semi-arid regions of Asia, Africa, and Latin Americas, and is reported to have high BNI-capacity in root systems (Subbarao et al. [2013](#page-12-6); Tesfamariam et al. [2014](#page-12-7); Sarr et al. [2020\)](#page-11-6). Two categories of BNIs released from sorghum roots, hydrophobic-BNIs and hydrophilic-BNIs and together they determine the BNI-capacity of root systems (Subbarao et al. [2013\)](#page-12-6). Sorgoleone, a major hydrophobic-BNI-activity component released from sorghum roots has been reported to suppress *Nitrosomonas* by blocking AMO and HAO enzymatic pathways (Dayan et al. [2010;](#page-10-2) Subbarao et al. [2013;](#page-12-6) Sarr et al. [2020;](#page-11-6) [2021](#page-11-8)). However, sorgoleone release explains only a part of BNI-capacity (about 40%) of root systems and the remaining 60% is due to hydrophilic-BNI release (Subbarao et al. [2013](#page-12-6)).

Nitrifcation, driven primarily by nitrifying microorganisms, including ammonia-oxidizing bacteria (AOB) and ammonia-oxidizing archaea (AOA), leads to loss of N applied as fertilizer to farmlands (Ishi-kawa et al. [2003](#page-10-3); Prosser and Nicol [2012;](#page-11-9) Gubry-Rangin et al. [2020;](#page-10-4) Prosser et al. [2020;](#page-11-10) Kaur-Bham-bra et al. [2021](#page-11-11)). Soil  $NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>-N$ , an important product of nitrification, is prone to leaching and runoff, and this has consequences for eutrophication, contamination of underground water, and the production of nitrous oxide  $(N_2O)$ , a powerful greenhouse gas (Davidson [2009;](#page-10-5) Subbarao et al. [2015](#page-12-8); Stevens [2019;](#page-11-12) Maaz et al. [2021\)](#page-11-13). Although synthetic nitrifcation inhibitors (SNIs) can efectively inhibit nitrifcation and reduce nitrogen losses, they are not widely adopted in production agriculture due to high costs, low efectiveness in the tropics and pollution of the environment (Coskun et al. [2017;](#page-10-0) Subbarao et al. [2017](#page-12-0); Fu et al. [2020;](#page-10-6) Subbarao and Searchinger [2021\)](#page-11-14). We have earlier reported that sorgoleone-amended soils showed reduced soil nitrification and  $N_2O$  emissions in laboratory incubation studies (Subbarao et al. [2013;](#page-12-6) Tesfamariam et al. [2014](#page-12-7)). This study was initiated to test the hypothesis that sorghum genetic-stocks with high levels of sorgoleone release may have lower nitrifcation rates and  $N<sub>2</sub>O$  emissions compared to low sorgoleone producing genetic stocks.

Two high-sorgoleone (HS) and two low-sorgoleone (LS) producing genetic-stocks were selected for this study to test the following hypothesis, (1) HS sorghum genetic-stocks may also release higher amounts of hydrophilic-BNIs compared to LS sorghum genetic-stocks; (2) HS genetic-stocks may show better growth and N uptake than LS genetic-stocks; (3) HS genetic-stocks likely show lower soil nitrifcation and  $N<sub>2</sub>O$  emissions compared to LS genetic-stocks both in soil pot and feld trials.

### **Materials and methods**

Preliminary evaluation of sorghum germplasm for hydrophobic-BNI (sorgoleone) production from roots

Four sorghum genetic-stocks that have contrasting abilities for sorgoleone production from roots were selected after screening 250 germplasm lines (Subbarao and Santosh Deshpande, JIRCAS & ICRI-SAT, unpublished results). Two genetic-stocks were characterized as high-sorgoleone (HS) and two as low-sorgoleone (LS) producing (Table [1](#page-2-0)). These characteristics were determined by growing plants in a plant growth chamber (25◦ C, 14/10 h light/dark period, average photosynthetic fux of 300 mmol  $m^{-2} s^{-1}$ ) for ten days. Seeds were soaked in aerated germination solution (200  $\mu$ M CaSO<sub>4</sub>) for 24 h before sowing them into folded flter paper (MN 710, MACHEREY–NAGEL GmbH & Co. KG, Germany) supported by hard plates with one end touching the bottom of the seedling growth box supplied with the germination solution. This system allowed the seedlings to be continuously supplied with nutrient solutions via capillary movement (see Tesfamar-iam et al. [2014](#page-12-7) for more details on methodology). At ten days after sowing (DAS), 40 seedlings were selected for each genetic-stock and divided into two batches of 20 seedlings each and considered as two replications; roots of 20 seedlings were excised and dipped in 40 mL acidifed dichloromethane (DCM) (1% v/v DCM:acetic acid) for 1 min, and this is considered as root-DCM wash. Root-DCM was fltered and processed for determining hydrophobic-BNI (sorgoleone) content as described earlier (Subbarao et al. [2013;](#page-12-6) Tesfamariam et al. [2014\)](#page-12-7).

### Experiment 1

# *Characterization of HS and LS sorghum genetic‑stocks for hydrophilic‑BNI capacity*

Four genotypes of sorghum were grown hydroponically in a plant growth chamber with day/night temperatures at 30/28 °C, photoperiod at 14/10 h with a light intensity of 300  $\mu$ mol m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>, and relative

<span id="page-2-0"></span>**Table 1** Sorgoleone release in LS and HS sorghum genetic stocks

	Lines	Sorgoleone release $(\mu g g^{-1}$ dry root wt)
LS	EC670350	$10.6 + 0.4b$
	EC670402	$11.0 + 0.4b$
НS	EC670311	$20.4 \pm 0.6a$
	IS31861	$20.7 + 0.8a$

LS genetic-stocks EC670350 and EC670402; HS geneticstocks EC670311 and IS31861. All the data are the mean of three replicates  $\pm$  SE, the same letter after numbers indicates no significant difference at  $0.05$  ( $p < 0.05$ )

humidity at 80% for 45 days before using them for collecting root exudates. Plants were grown using 1/2 strength modifed Hoagland nutrient solution (Subbarao et al. [2013;](#page-12-6) Gao et al. [2014\)](#page-10-7) with an optimized ratio for sorghum growth of  $20\% \text{ NH}_4^+$ -N with  $80\%$  $NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>-N$  (Subbarao and Searchinger [2021\)](#page-11-14), with a total N concentration maintained at 1.0 mM in nutrient solutions. Sorghum seeds were sown in seedling grow-boxes containing distilled water with 0.2 mM  $CaSO<sub>4</sub>$  solution and seedling were grown for up to 10 days stage, then the seedlings were transferred to aerated nutrient solution in tanks with a capacity of 70 L of nutrient solution, with three sorghum plants were planted per hole and considered as one replication; three holes per styrofoam sheet, which was placed on the top of a tank i.e., giving in total nine plants per tank, representing 3 replications. Each treatment was replicated three times. The pH of the solution was allowed to change according to the treatment, and nutrient solutions in tanks were replaced once every two weeks.

On  $45<sup>th</sup>$  day, root exudates were collected for determination of hydrophilic-BNI-activity. For that, three intact plants with the roots systems were transferred in 1.8 L aerated 1 mM  $NH_4Cl + 0.2$  mM CaCl<sub>2</sub> solutions for 24 h. These root exudate solutions were stored at 5 °C for 5 days until completion of processing and determination of hydrophilic-BNI-activity. After root exudate collection, roots and shoots were separated, dried at 70 °C for 96 h in a forced air-circulating oven before determining dry weights and plant N content. Leaf- $NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>$  concentrations were determined by sampling fresh, the sorghum fully expanded blade. The hydrophilic-BNI-activity in root exudates were determined using a luminescent recombinant *N. europaea* assay (Subbarao et al. [2006a\)](#page-11-15).

#### Experiment 2

*Assessing the impact of HS and LS genetic‑stocks on soil nitrifcation, soil nitrifer activity and N2O emissions in glasshouse*

This glasshouse experiment was conducted during May to July 2020. Pots were flled with 2 kg (5 mm sieve) of volcanic ash soil (Typic Hapludands) from Japan International Research Center for Agricultural Sciences (JIRCAS) experimental station. Soil conditions were: soil pH, 5.5;  $NH_4^+$  -N, 1.6 mg  $kg^{-1}$ ;

NO<sub>3</sub><sup>−</sup> -N, 4.3 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>; total soil C, 31.2 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>; total soil N, 2.63 g  $kg^{-1}$ . The glasshouse conditions were day/night temperature regime of 32/25 °C, the photosynthetic photon follows to the natural sunlight and photoperiod in the summer season. The experimental design was factorial, consisted of two N levels [High-N (HN): 250 mg N kg<sup>-1</sup> and Low-N (LN), 50 mg N kg<sup>-1</sup>, (equivalent to 1.20 g and 0.24 g of N as  $(NH_4)$ <sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> per kg of soil, respectively)] and four sorghum genotypes contrasting in sorgoleone release ability ((two HS, EC670311 and IS31861 and two LS, EC670350 and EC670402). The experiment was setup in a randomized block design with three replications. Ten seeds were planted per pot and thinned to six seedlings after 7 days. Both potassium (K) and phosphorus(P) were applied at 100 kg K<sub>2</sub>O ha<sup>-1</sup> and 100 kg P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> ha<sup> $-1$ </sup> as basal fertilization using KCl and TSP, respectively. N fertilization was administered through  $(NH_4)_2SO_4$  solution in four equal splits during the plant growing period. The soil water content was maintained at 60% feld capacity with deionized water. Soil was sampled on  $0$ , 6 and 12 days after  $4<sup>th</sup>$ application of N fertilizer (performed at 38 days after sowing) for determination of  $NH_4^+$  -N and  $NO_3^-$  -N content. The shoot dry weight, plant N content were determined at harvest (50 DAS). Rhizosphere soils were collected, by shaking intact plants of sorghum to remove loose soil from the root system, and consider the soil still adhering to the root surface as rhizosphere (soil that were in close proximity to roots), and used for determining potential nitrifcation (PN), abundance of AOA and AOB *amo*A genes, N<sub>2</sub>O emission from laboratory incubations.

## Experiment 3

# *Field validation of HS and LS genetic‑stocks on soil nitrifcation and N2O emissions*

A feld trial was conducted (from June to August 2021) at JIRCAS experimental station in Tsukuba, Japan (36.05 N 140.08E). Soils are of volacanic ash type Typic Hapludands [pH  $(H<sub>2</sub>O)$  5.5, clay 54.8%, silt 26.3%, sand 18.9%, total carbon 29.2 mg C g<sup>-1</sup> soil;  $NH_4^+$ -N, 3.6 mg  $kg^{-1}$ ; NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>-N, 5.7 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>]. The experimental design was consisted of two sorghum genetic-stocks with high and low sorgoleone-releasing capacity [HS (EC670311) and LS (EC670350)] and replicated three times. Four

seedbeds of 1.5 m length and 3 m width in one plot. Two rows of sorghum were planted in each seedbed with a row spacing of 10 cm and a plant spacing of 20 cm, planted one sorghum seed in each hole. Both potassium (K) and phosphorus(P) were applied at 100 kg K<sub>2</sub>O ha<sup>-1</sup> and 100 kg P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> ha<sup>-1</sup> as basal fertilization using KCl and TSP, respectively. N fertilization was administered through  $(NH_4)_2SO_4$  solution with 50 kg N ha<sup> $-1$ </sup> in two equal splits during the plant growing period (addition at 30 and 50 days after sowing). Four plants and corresponding rhizosphere soils were sampled from each experimental plot after 2<sup>nd</sup> application of N fertilizer (65 DAS). Biomass and plant N content were determined. Rhizosphere soil was used for determination of potential nitrifcation (PN), nitrifcation rate (NR), abundance of AOA and AOB *amo*A genes, N<sub>2</sub>O emissions from laboratory incubation experiments. The collection method of rhizosphere soils was the same as that of experiment 2. Most of the rhizosphere soils were taken at a depth of 0–20 cm from the sorghum root system.

Analysis of soil and plant samples

### *Shoot dry weights and inorganic‑N analysis*

The sorghum shoots at harvest were placed at 70 °C oven until completely dried, then weighed to calculate biomass, and plant samples were grounded to a fne powder and pass through a 1 mm mesh for the determination of plant N concentration. The N concentration was determined by using a mass spectrometer IRMS (Thermo Scientifc, Bremen, Germany). Total plant N content (mg plant<sup>-1</sup>) = shoot dry weight×N concentration. For leaf  $NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>$  concentrations, one g of fresh leaf tissue was ground with 50 ml deionized water using a blender, the mixture was centrifuged, and the supernatant used for nitrate analysis in a continuous flow auto analyzer (BL-TEC K.K., Tokyo, Japan).

### *Determination of soil inorganic‑N levels*

For soil  $NH_4^+$  -N and  $NO_3^-$  -N determinations, airdry samples were sieved to 2 mm and 3 g soils were weighted and extracted with 30 mL of 2 mol KCl for 60 min using a shaker and mixture was fltered, then analyzed by using an autoanalyzer (BL-TEC K.K., Tokyo, Japan).

### *Determination of hydrophilic‑BNI‑activity*

For collecting root exudate for determination of hydrophilic-BNI-activity, three plants were removed from nutrient solution tanks, sequentially rinsed with deionized and distilled water, and immersed in 1.8 L aerated 1 mM  $NH_4Cl + 0.2$  mM CaCl<sub>2</sub> solutions for 24 h period. Root exudates were evaporated to dryness, extracted with 30 mL methanol, condensed to 1.5 mL methanol, then evaporate to dryness, extracted with 10 μL DMSO, which was then used for determination of hydrophilic-BNI- activity using recombinant luminescent *Nitrosomonas* assay described earlier (Subbarao et al. [2006a](#page-11-15)).

# *Potential nitrifcation and nitrifcation rates in rhizosphere soil samples collected from glasshouse and feld studies*

Potential soil nitrifcation was determined using a modifed soil slurry protocol by Vazquez et al. [\(2020](#page-12-9)). The brief protocol was as follows: 10 g of air-dried rhizosphere soil was taken into a 250 ml Erlenmeyer flask and 100 ml of N-potential solution (1 mM  $KH_2PO_4$ , 1 mM (NH<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, 10 mM NaClO<sub>3</sub>, and pH adjusted to 7.0) was added. The fask was covered with a breathable sponge-cap and loaded into a temperature-controlled roto-mixer at 250 rpm and incubated at 20 °C. A volume of 10 mL of the soil slurry from each fask was sampled at 24 h interval for 10 days. The soil slurry was subjected to centrifuge and the supernatant was analyzed for  $NO_3^-$  -N using an autoanalyzer (BL-TEC K.K., Tokyo, Japan). The soil nitrifcation rate was determined after incubation period of 10 days using a temperature with 20 °C, and humidity-controlled at 80%, the soil water content was maintained at 60% WFPS incubator. 2 g of soil taken in a 10 ml glass bottle and 200 mg N kg<sup>-1</sup> soil as  $(NH_4)_2SO_4$  was added for incubation experiment. Details of soil incubation study were earlier described in Subbarao et al. [\(2006a\)](#page-11-15).

### *Determination of soil nitrifer activity*

Soil DNA was extracted from 0.4 g of rhizosphere soil using Fast DNA Spin Kit for soil (Mo-Bio, Carlsbad, CA) according to manufacturer's instructions with slight modifcations. The obtained pure DNA was quantifed by Qubit Quantifcation Platform dsDNA HS Assay kit (Invitrogen,

Carlsbad, CA). Quantitative PCR (qPCR) was performed to assess the abundance of the *amoA* genes of both ammonia-oxidizing bacteria (AOB) and ammonia-oxidizing archaea (AOA). *amo*A-AOA, GenAOAF: ATAGAGCCT CAAGTAGGAAAGTTCTA, GenAOAR: CCAAGC GGCCATCCAGCTGTATGTCC. *amo*A-AOB, amoA-1Fomd: CTGGGGTTCTACTGGTGGTC, GenAOBR: GCAGTGATCATCCAGTTGCG. The detailed protocol was described earlier by Sarr et al. ([2020](#page-11-6)).

# *Determination of N2O emissions in laboratory incubation studies*

N<sub>2</sub>O emissions were assessed using air-dried rhizosphere soils following Hink et al. [\(2018\)](#page-10-8). Briefy, 5 g soil was transferred to 100 mL glass vial (height 12.0 cm; diameter 3.5 cm) and incubated with 250 mg N kg<sup>-1</sup> soil as  $(NH_4)$ <sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>. The soil moisture levels were maintained at 70% water-holding capacity during the 21d incubation period. Glass vials with treatment soils were incubated in the dark at 20 °C with 80% RH; glass vials were covered with paraflm during the incubation period. Before 24 h of gas sampling, paraflm was removed from 100 mL glass vial followed by sealing of those vials with butyl rubber stopper and melamine white screw cap. After 24 h of closure, 30 mL of gas sample was collected from each vial using a syringe (50 mL vol) at diferent sampling intervals, 0–5, 7–11, 14, 17, 21 days incubation, and transferred to 10 mL pre-vacuum vial and  $N_2O$  analysis was done using gas chromatograph (GC-14B, Shimadzu, Japan). After gas collections, rubber stopper was removed and air inside the glass vial was ventilated by aeration pump. The cumulative  $N_2O$  emissions were obtained by summing the  $N<sub>2</sub>O$  data at each sampling days.

### Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis for one-way and two-way ANOVA was done using SAS 9.1 software (SAS Inc., Cary, NC, USA).

# **Results**

Plant growth and hydrophilic-BNI capacity in hydroponics

High-sorgoleone (HS) genetic-stocks showed signifcantly higher hydrophilic-BNI-activity from entire roots compared to LS (Fig. [1a](#page-5-0)). However, leaf

 $NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>$  concentrations of HS was significantly lower than in LS genotypes (Fig. [1b](#page-5-0)). In addition, shoot dry weight was positively correlated with shoot N content (Fig. S1). HS genetic-stocks had higher shoot dry weight (271% higher) and higher N content (249% higher) than in LS genotypes in hydroponic culture (Fig. S1).

Shoot dry weight and N recovery in soil culture and feld experiments

Consistent with the hydroponic trial, HS genetic-stocks showed higher (78%) shoot dry matter production and higher (52%) shoot nitrogen recovery compared to LS under high-N treatments (Fig. [2\)](#page-5-1). However, under low-N treatments, only shoot dry weights were signifcantly higher (65%) in HS compared to LS genetic-stocks in glasshouse grown plants. Under feld conditions, HS produced higher biomass (36%) and recovered higher amounts of shoot nitrogen (16%) compared to LS genetic stocks (Table [3](#page-7-0)).

Soil  $NO_3^-$ -N and  $NH_4^+$ -N in pot soil culture

Sorgoleone production from roots had a major impact on soil N forms during diferent sampling days after the application of N fertilizer (Table [2\)](#page-6-0). HS genotypes



<span id="page-5-0"></span>**Fig. 1** Hydrophilic-BNI-activity and leaf  $NO<sub>3</sub>$ <sup>-</sup>-N concentration of contrasting BNI genetic-stocks of sorghum in hydroponic culture (**a**) Hydrophilic-BNI-activity (**b**) leaf NO<sub>3</sub><sup>−</sup>-N concentration. LS genetic-stocks EC670350 and EC670402; HS genetic-stocks EC670311 and IS31861. ATU, allylthi-

ourea units. Each bar represented the mean of three replicates with standard error, the diferent letter stood the signifcant diference under same N level analyzed by ANOVA at 0.05 (*p*<*0.05*)



<span id="page-5-1"></span>**Fig. 2** Plant growth of contrasting BNI genetic-stocks of sorghum (LS and HS) in soil culture with low-N (50 mg N kg<sup>-1</sup>) and high-N treatment (250 mg N kg<sup>-1</sup>) in glasshouse experiment. **(a)** Shoot dry weight. **(b)** Shoot N content. 2 LS genetic-stocks EC670350 and EC670402; 2 HS genetic-stocks EC670311 and IS31861. Each bar represented the mean of

three replicates with standard error, the diferent letter stood the signifcant diference under same N level analyzed by ANOVA at  $0.05$  ( $p < 0.05$ ). There is an interaction between genetic-stocks and N level, F value=5.01,  $p < 0.05$  (Shoot dry weight); F value = 41.4,  $p < 0.01$  (Shoot N content)

had significantly lower soil- $NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>$  levelscompared to LS genetic-stocks; these trends were more apparent under high-N fertilization compared to low-N treatments. For example, on the  $6<sup>th</sup>$  day after the application of N fertilizer (DAF-6), soil- $NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>$  levels in two HS genotypes were 163 mg per kg and 83 mg per kg under high-N treatments, which were signifcantly lower than the 227 mg per kg and 304 mg per kg of two LS genotypes. Soil- $NH_4^+$  levels were consistently lower in HS genotypes compared to LS genotypes under high-N application, but not under low-N applications (Table [2](#page-6-0)). Both soil- $NO_3^-$  and soil- $NH_4^+$ levels were signifcantly lower in HS compared to LS genotypes; this was largely due to substantially higher growth rates and dry matter production; this may have improved N uptake in HS genetic-stocks compared to LS genetic-stocks under high-N treatments (Table [2\)](#page-6-0).

## Potential Nitrifcation and Nitrifcation Rates

Potential nitrifcation in soils was signifcantly lower in HS compared to LS genotypes under high-N, but not under low-N treatments (Fig. [3\)](#page-6-1) (based on glasshouse experiment). This suggests that HS genotypes can inhibit soil-nitrifcation (due to higher levels of sorgoleone production and hydrophilic-BNI release from roots) more efectively than LS genotypes. Potential nitrifcation was 68% lower in HS genotypes compared to LS under high-N conditions, but not so diferent under low-N conditions (Fig. [3\)](#page-6-1). Field trials had confrmed this further that HS genetic-stocks had lower soil nitrifcation compared to LS genetic-stocks (Table [3](#page-7-0)).

### AOA and AOB abundance

Soil nitrifer populations (AOA and AOB) were signifcantly infuenced by sorgoleone production capacity of genetic-stocks in glasshouse experiment ((Fig. [4](#page-7-1)). HS genotypes suppressed soil-AOA



<span id="page-6-1"></span>**Fig. 3** PN of contrasting BNI genetic-stocks of sorghum (LS and HS) in soil culture with low-N (50 mg N kg<sup>-1</sup>) and high-N treatment (250 mg N kg<sup>-1</sup>) in glasshouse experiment. 2 LS genetic-stocks EC670350 and EC670402; and 2 HS geneticstocks EC670311 and IS31861. Each bar represented the mean of three replicates with standard error, the diferent letter stood the signifcant diference under same N level analyzed by ANOVA at  $0.05$  ( $p < 0.05$ ). There is an interaction between genetic-stocks and N level, F value =  $41.2$ ,  $p < 0.01$ 

<span id="page-6-0"></span>**Table 2** Dynamic changes of soil  $NO_3^-$  -N and  $NH_4^+$  -N in pot culture

		Soil $NO_3^-$ -N (mg kg <sup>-1</sup> )		Soil-NH <sub>4</sub> <sup>+</sup> -N (mg kg <sup>-1</sup> )			
N	Genetic-stocks	$DAF-0$	DAF-6	$DAF-12$	$DAF-0$	DAF-6	$DAF-12$
LN	LS EC670350	$15 + 1b$	$27 + 5b$	$1+0b$	$4 \pm 1a$	$3 \pm 1$	$1 \pm 0a$
	EC670402	$34 + 5a$	$50 + 15a$	$5 + 3a$	$3 + 0a$	$3 + 1b$	$2 \pm 0a$
	<b>HS EC670311</b>	$12 + 2b$	$12 + 1c$	$1+0b$	$5 + 1a$	$9 + 1a$	$1 \pm 0a$
	IS31861	$9+1c$	$10 + 1c$	$1+0b$	$4+1a$	$8 + 1a$	$1 \pm 0a$
HN	LS EC670350	$205 + 15b$	$227 + 14b$	$141 + 35a$	$100 + 4b$	$224 + 22a$	$6 \pm 1a$
	EC670402	$333 + 20a$	$304 + 9a$	$159 + 23a$	$143 + 7a$	$253 + 25a$	$7 \pm 2a$
	<b>HS EC670311</b>	$105 + 11c$	$163 + 13c$	$64 + 11b$	$86 + 8c$	$130 + 9b$	$3 \pm 0$
	IS31861	$31 + 5d$	$83 + 12d$	$57 + 5b$	$42 + 2d$	$125 + 10b$	$4 \pm 1$ b

LS genetic-stocks EC670350 and EC670402; HS genetic-stocks EC670311 and IS31861. Low-N (LN) treatment (50 mg N kg−1) and High-N (HN) treatment (250 mg N kg<sup>-1</sup>). DAF (day after  $4<sup>th</sup>$  application of N fertilizer). All the data are the mean of three replicates $\pm$ SE, the different letter after numbers in the same column for the same trait indicates have significant difference between two N levels at 0.05 ( $p < 0.05$ )

Genetic-stocks Biomass	$(g 4$ plant <sup>-1</sup> )	N content $(mg 4 \text{ plants}^{-1})$	Sorgoleone levels PN in rhizosphere soils $(\mu \text{g g}^{-1} \text{ soil})$	$(mg NO3- N)$ $kg^{-1}$	NR. $(\%)$	AOA $(\log_{10} \text{copies g}^{-1})$	AOB $(\log_{10}$ copies $g^{-1}$ )
LS-EC670350 $16.1 \pm 1.8b$		$354 + 26b$	$128 + 41b$	$16.7 + 0.5a$		$51.3 \pm 5.4a$ $7.45 \pm 0.45a$	$1.26 \pm 0.20a$
HS-EC670311 $21.9 \pm 2.3a$		$411 + 28a$	$281 + 65a$	$15.0 + 0.4b$		$41.5 \pm 3.3b$ $5.98 \pm 0.80b$	$0.89 \pm 0.13b$

<span id="page-7-0"></span>**Table 3** The plant and soil performances of contrasting BNI genetic-stocks in feld trial

Low sorgoleone genetic-stock EC670350; High sorgoleone genetic-stock EC670311. PN, potential nitrifcation. NR, nitrifcation rate. All the data are the mean of three replicates  $\pm$  SE, the different letter after numbers in the same column for the same trait indicates have significant difference at  $0.05$  ( $p < 0.05$ )

populations more efectively than LS genotypes regardless of N treatment (Fig.  $4a$ ). For example, under low-N conditions, AOA gene copies were 43% lower in HS compared to LS genotypes; under high-N conditions, AOA gene copies were 68% lower in HS than in LS genotypes. Soil-AOB populations were signifcantly lower in HS genetic-stocks compared to LS genetic-stocks in high-N treatments, but not in LN treatments (Fig. [4b\)](#page-7-1). Compared to LS genetic-stocks, the AOB copies in HS genetic-stocks were reduced by 37% under high-N treatments. Field results also confrmed these trends, i.e. HS genotypes had higher inhibitory efect on AOA and AOB populations compared to LS genotypes (Table [3\)](#page-7-0).

## $N<sub>2</sub>O$  emissions

Nitrogen applications had signifcantly increased soil-N<sub>2</sub>O emissions in pot experiment (Fig.  $5a$ ). N<sub>2</sub>O emissions were higher in high-N compared to low-N treatments. There was a 217% increase in  $N_2O$  emissions in LS genetic stocks compared to an increase of only 32% in HS genetic stocks. The diferences in  $N<sub>2</sub>O$  emissions were significant among sorghum genotypes only under high-N treatments, but not under low-N treatments (Fig.  $5a$ ). Soil-N<sub>2</sub>O emissions were 58% lower in HS genetic-stocks compared to LS genetic-stocks in HN treatments. The feld results also confrm that HS genetic-stocks can clearly reduce soil- $N<sub>2</sub>O$  emissions in root-zone soils, which were 19% lower than in LS genetic-stocks (Fig. [5b\)](#page-8-0).

# **Discussion**



Biological nitrifcation inhibition (BNI) is a plantmediated phenomenon that restrict nitrifcation process by releasing BNIs from plant roots (Subbarao

sented the mean of three replicates with standard error, the different letter stood the signifcant diference under same N level analyzed by ANOVA at  $0.05$  ( $p < 0.05$ ). There is an interaction between genetic-stocks and N level, F value = 10.51,  $p < 0.01$  $(AOA)$ ; F value = 9.03,  $p < 0.01$  (AOB)

<span id="page-7-1"></span>**Fig. 4** Abundance of AOA and AOB *amo*A genes of contrasting BNI genetic-stocks of sorghum (LS and HS) in soil culture with low-N (50 mg N  $\text{kg}^{-1}$ ) and high-N treatment (250 mg N kg−1) in glasshouse experiment. **(a)** AOA. **(b)** AOB. 2 LS genetic-stocks EC670350 and EC670402; and 2 HS genetic-stocks EC670311 and IS31861. Each bar repre-



<span id="page-8-0"></span>**Fig. 5** Cumulative  $N_2O$  emissions of contrasting BNI geneticstocks of sorghum (LS and HS) in soil culture and feld experiment. **(a)** 2 LS genetic-stocks EC670350 and EC670402; and 2 HS genetic-stocks EC670311 and IS31861 with low-N (50 mg N  $kg^{-1}$ ) and high-N treatment (250 mg N  $kg^{-1}$ ) in glasshouse experiment; **(b)** LS-EC670350 and HS-EC670311

et al. [2007a](#page-11-0); [2012](#page-11-16); [2017;](#page-12-0) [2021](#page-12-1); Nardi et al. [2020](#page-11-17); Ghatak et al. [2021](#page-12-10); Zhang et al. [2021\)](#page-12-3). Sorghum roots release sorgoleone, the major hydrophobic-BNI component, which determines to some extent the BNI-capacity of its root systems (Subbarao et al. [2013\)](#page-12-6). This is further evident from the results during this study that suggest that high-sorgoleone producing genetic-stocks (HS) also have higher hydrophilic-BNI-capacity (Fig. [1a](#page-5-0)). The results demonstrate that HS genetic-stocks suppressed nitrifying bacteria and  $N<sub>2</sub>O$  emissions from soils compared to LS geneticstocks based on soil-grown plants in glasshouse and from feld studies (Figs. [4](#page-7-1) and [5;](#page-8-0) Table [3\)](#page-7-0). Also, HS genetic-stocks showed higher growth rates and biomass production in both hydroponic systems and in soil-grown plants compared to LS genetic-stocks (Figs. [2](#page-5-1) and S1; Table [3\)](#page-7-0). This is in conformity with earlier studies that suggested the suppressive efect of sorgoleone on soil nitrifer activity and soil-nitrate formation (Subbarao et al. [2013](#page-12-6); Tesfamariam et al. [2014;](#page-12-7) Sarr et al. [2020](#page-11-6)). In addition, the higher biomass production of HS genetic-stocks appears to beneft from greater BNI-activity, with larger root size resulting in more hydrophilic-BNI in hydroponics and possibly in soil potted plants; this is potentially benefcial for inhibiting soil nitrifcation, achieving reciprocity in root biomass and released BNIs. Even so, feld experiments also confrmed that higher released sorgoleone in rhizosphere soils (hydrophobic-BNI) can signifcantly inhibit soil nitrifying bacteria and

with N treatment in feld experiment. Each bar represented the mean of three replicates with standard error, the diferent letter stood the signifcant diference under same N level analyzed by ANOVA at  $0.05$  ( $p < 0.05$ ). There is an interaction between genetic-stocks and N level in soil culture, F value=338.4, *p*<0.01

 $N<sub>2</sub>O$  emissions, consequence in inhibiting soil nitrifcation is to promote the biomass of sorghum. Simply put, the function of BNI is to inhibit the nitrifcation of the soil, and the whole root system can uptake more ammonium, which is conducive to the formation of biomass (Subbarao and Searschinger [2021;](#page-11-14) Subbarao et al. [2021\)](#page-12-1).

The hydrophilic-BNI activity in HS genetic-stocks was nearly 50% higher compared to LS genetic-stocks (based on hydroponically grown plants) (Fig. [1](#page-5-0)). Coupled with high sorgoleone release observed in HS genetic stocks, could possibly the reason for the observed lower nitrifer populations (AOA, AOB) in rhizosphere soils of greenhouse grown plants (Figs. [3](#page-6-1) and [4](#page-7-1); Table [3\)](#page-7-0). Also, HS may facilitate the availability of more soil- $NH_4^+$  in root-zone, that can stimulate growth and possibly improve nitrogen uptake and can have multiplier impact on productivity. Replacing 20% of  $NO_3^-$  with  $NH_4^+$  in nutrient solutions led to stimulation of plant growth in wheat and sorghum (Subbarao and Searchinger [2021](#page-11-14)). In addition, the presence of soil- $NH_4^+$  is known to stimulate BNIs production and release from sorghum roots (Zhu et al. [2012;](#page-12-11) Subbarao et al. [2013;](#page-12-6) Zeng et al. [2016](#page-12-12); Di et al. [2018\)](#page-10-9). Thus, high-sorgoleone production, in conjunction with high-levels of hydrophilic-BNI activity from roots can suppress soil-nitrifer activity more efectively in HS genetic-stocks compared to LS geneticstocks. As  $NH_4^+$  uptake and its assimilation results in rhizosphere acidifcation, which further activate proton pumping activity in the root plasma membrane (Subbarao et al. [2007b](#page-11-18); Di et al. [2018;](#page-10-9) Zhang et al. [2021\)](#page-12-3), that sustain hydrophilic-BNI release and acts as auto-feedback loop; Further, rhizosphere acidifcation associated with  $NH_4^+$  uptake will also have other benefts, such as enhanced micro-nutrient availability (Subbarao et al. [2007a](#page-11-0); [2009;](#page-11-2) [2021;](#page-12-1) Afzal et al. [2020\)](#page-10-10), which can improve growth and productivity (Figs. S1 and [2](#page-5-1)). In addition, the interplay involving sorgoleone production, hydrophilic-BNI-activity of root systems, reduced nitrifer populations, lower soil- $NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>$  formation and lower soil- $N<sub>2</sub>O$  emissions, all may have led to improved nitrogen uptake and better plant growth rates as evident from HS genetic-stocks compared to LS genetic-stocks.

Nevertheless, there could be many caveats to the above interpretation. During this study, the two HS genotypes are very similar in sorgoleone production (20.4 *vs* 20.7  $\mu$ g g<sup>-1</sup> rtwt, Table [1\)](#page-2-0), yet their responses on AOA, AOB, PN,  $N<sub>2</sub>O$  emissions and biomass production were signifcantly diferent, suggesting that these observed responses could be infuenced also from other factors. It needs to be emphasized that sorgoleone release from roots explains only part of BNI-capacity and signifcant BNI-capacity comes from hydrophilic-BNI activity release from roots. In addition, BNI-trait expression is a highly regulated function which can be infuenced by environmental and growing conditions. Thus, unless we develop isogenic-lines for sorgoleone production, it would be extremely difficult to establish trait-value to overall plant growth in soil-based systems and in the feld. The importance of sorgoleone in suppressing soil nitrifer activity was earlier established using sorgoleone amended soils (Subbarao et al. [2013;](#page-12-6) Tesfamariam et al. [2014](#page-12-7)).

Rapid nitrifcation has a major impact on soilfertility as uncontrolled soil-nitrifer activity results in loss of soil-nitrogen from farmlands (due to nitrate leaching and denitrification), thus negatively affecting crop productivity and sustainability of production systems (Subbarao et al. [2006b;](#page-11-19) [2015](#page-12-8); Karwat et al. [2018;](#page-10-11) [2019](#page-11-20); Vazquez et al. [2020;](#page-12-9) Leon et al. [2021](#page-11-21)). Additions of N fertilizers led to accelerating soil nitrifcation (Fig. [3](#page-6-1)). The BNI function is better expressed under high-N applications compared to low-N treatments in both HS and LS genetic-stocks. HS geneticstocks suppressed soil-nitrifer activity, lowered soil nitrifcation rates better than LS genetic-stocks

(Figs. [3](#page-6-1) and [4;](#page-7-1) Table [3\)](#page-7-0). Soil-NO<sub>3</sub><sup> $-$ </sup> levels were lower in the soil-root-zones of HS genetic-stocks compared to LS genetic-stocks (Table [2](#page-6-0)). This was also refected in leaf- $NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>$  levels, where HS genetic-stocks showed significantly lower leaf- $NO_3^-$  levels than LS geneticstocks (Fig. [1b](#page-5-0)). High-BNI genetic-stocks of *Bra‑ chiaria* pasture grasses also showed lower leaf- $NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>$  levels compared to low-BNI genetic-stocks (Karwat et al. [2019](#page-11-20)). Similarly, high BNI-capacity wheat genetic-stocks of BNI-Munal had nearly 30% lower leaf- $NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>$  compared to Munal-control (Subbarao et al. [2021](#page-12-1)).

Supplemental additions of  $NH_4^+$  to nutrient solutions that rely entirely on  $NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> - N$  led to stimulation of growth in several crops including sorghum and wheat (Britto and Kronzucker [2002;](#page-10-12) Subbarao and Searchinger [2021](#page-11-14)). The higher growth rates observed in HS genetic-stocks during this study, could be partly due to improved soil- $NH_4^+$  levels, that contribute to availability of dual nitrogen forms  $(NH_4^+$  and  $NO_3^-)$ in root-zone, which is linked with high BNI-capacity of root systems, together suppress soil nitrifer activity better than in LS genetic-stocks; it is likely that most of the soil inorganic-N is in  $NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>$  form in the root-zone of LS genetic-stocks.

Nitrifer populations of AOA and AOB dominate in most natural and agricultural ecosystems (Prosser and Nicol [2012;](#page-11-9) Hu et al. [2014;](#page-10-13) Kaur-Bhambra et al. [2021](#page-11-11)). In general, natural grasslands and ecosystems are dominated by AOA where AOB play relatively minor role and generally these are of low-N ecosystems (Subbarao et al. [2009;](#page-11-2) Prosser and Nicol [2012](#page-11-9); Zhang et al. [2012](#page-12-13); Hu et al. [2014;](#page-10-13) Byrnes et al. [2017](#page-10-1)). In contrast, agro-ecosystems receive large amounts of N additions repeatedly and AOBs tend to dominate in these high-N environments (Wang et al. [2017](#page-12-14); Li et al. [2021b](#page-11-22)). During this study HS geneticstocks have signifcantly stronger suppressive efect on soil-nitrifer populations than LS genetic-stocks (Fig. [4](#page-7-1); Table [3](#page-7-0)). Furthermore, HS genetic-stocks appears to suppress AOAs more strongly than AOBs, which confrms earlier reports on sorghum (Sarr et al.  $2020$ ). In addition, N<sub>2</sub>O emissions were suppressed more strongly in HS genetic-stocks than in LS genetic-stocks in both soil grown plants in glasshouse and in and feld experiment (Fig. [5](#page-8-0)). Generally, soil  $N_2O$  are triggered from N inputs (Snider et al. [2015](#page-11-23); Hink et al. [2018](#page-10-8); Yao et al. [2020\)](#page-12-15); our results demonstrate that maintaining low nitrifer-activity can slow down nitrification and  $N_2O$  emissions (Tables [2](#page-6-0), [3](#page-7-0) and Fig. [5](#page-8-0)). These results clearly demonstrate that high-sorgoleone producing sorghum genetic-stocks suppress soil nitrifer activity, reduce soil-nitrate formation and lowered  $N_2O$  emissions from soils compared to low-sorgoleone producing sorghum genetic-stocks.

# **Conclusions**

Our results suggest substantial genotypic diferences in sorgoleone production from sorghum roots. High sorgoleone producing (HS) genetic-stocks showed higher hydrophilic-BNI release from roots and more efectively suppressed soil nitrifer activity, reduced soil-nitrification, soil- $N_2O$  emissions, reduced leafnitrate levels have led to better growth and biomass production compared to LS genetic-stocks. The BNIfunction appears to express better under high-N environments compared to low-N environments in both LS and HS genetic-stocks. These results support the hypothesis that HS genetic-stocks likely suppress soil nitrate formation and lowered  $N_2O$  emissions compared to LS genetic-stocks.

**Acknowledgements** We would like to appreciate the JIR-CAS Visiting Research Fellowship Program, and thank Makoto Yamamoto, Yukiko Ishikawa, Hiroko Aoki, Yoko Koizumi, Raphael Obias Mubanga, Sanae Suzuki, Masami Aoyama for their help with the laboratory and feld experiments.

**Author contribution** XG, GVS and TY designed the experiments. XG conducted the experiments. GVS performed biological studies. TY responsible for sorgoleone analysis. PSS conducted *amo*A gene measurements. KU performed N<sub>2</sub>O emission measurements. XG and GVS wrote the manuscript. All authors have contributed to the writing of the manuscript.

**Funding** This work was supported by Japan International Research Center for Agricultural Sciences (JIRCAS) Visiting Research Fellowship Program.

**Data availability** The datasets generated during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

#### **Declarations**

**Competing interests** The authors declare no competing interests.

### **References**

- <span id="page-10-10"></span>Afzal MR, Zhang M, Jin H, Wang G, Zhang M, Ding M, Raza S, Hu J, Zeng H, Gao X, Subbarao GV, Zhu Y (2020) Post-translation regulation of plasma membrane H+-ATPase is involved in the release of biological nitrifcation inhibitors from sorghum roots. Plant Soil 450:357– 372. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11104-020-04511-6>
- <span id="page-10-12"></span>Britto D, Kronzucker H (2002)  $NH_4^+$  toxicity in higher plants: a critical review. J Plant Physiol 159:567–584. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1078/0176-1617-0774) [org/10.1078/0176-1617-0774](https://doi.org/10.1078/0176-1617-0774)
- <span id="page-10-1"></span>Byrnes RC, Nuñez J, Arenas L, Rao I, Trujillo C, Alvarez C, Arango J, Rasche F, Chirinda N (2017) Biological nitrifcation inhibition by *Brachiaria* grasses mitigated soil nitrous emissions from bovine urine patches. Soil Biol Biochem 177:156–163. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2016.12.029>
- <span id="page-10-0"></span>Coskun D, Britto DT, Shi W, Kronzucker HJ (2017) Nitrogen transformations in modern agriculture and role of biological nitrifcation inhibition. Nat Plants 3:17074. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1038/nplants.2017.74) [org/10.1038/nplants.2017.74](https://doi.org/10.1038/nplants.2017.74)
- <span id="page-10-5"></span>Davidson EA (2009) The contribution of manure and fertilizer nitrogen to atmospheric nitrous oxide since 1860. Nat Geosci 2:659–662.<https://doi.org/10.1038/ngeo608>
- <span id="page-10-2"></span>Dayan FE, Rimando AM, Pan Z, Baerson SR, Gimsing AL, Duke SO (2010) Sorgoleone. Phytochem 10:1032–1039. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.phytochem.2010.03.011>
- <span id="page-10-9"></span>Di T, Afzal MR, Yoshihashi T, Deshpande S, Zhu Y, Subbarao GV (2018) Further insights into underlying mechanisms for the release of biological nitrifcation inhibitors from sorghum roots. Plant Soil 423:99–110. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11104-017-3505-5) [1007/s11104-017-3505-5](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11104-017-3505-5)
- <span id="page-10-6"></span>Fu Q, Abadie M, Blaud A, Carswell A, Misselbrook T, Clark I, Hirsch P (2020) Effects of urease and nitrification inhibitors on soil N, nitrifer abundance and activity in a sandy loam soil. Biol Fertil Soils 25:185–194. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00374-019-01411-5) [1007/s00374-019-01411-5](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00374-019-01411-5)
- <span id="page-10-7"></span>Gao X, Wu M, Xu R, Pan R, Kim H, Wang X, Liao H (2014) Root interactions in a maize/soybean intercropping system control soybean soil-borne disease, red crown rot. PLoS ONE 9:e95031. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0095031>
- <span id="page-10-4"></span>Gubry-Rangin C, Nicol G, Prosser JI (2020) Archaea rather that bacteria control nitrifcation in two agricultural acidic soils. FEMS Microbiol Ecol 74:566–574. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1574-6941.2010.00971.x) [10.1111/j.1574-6941.2010.00971.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1574-6941.2010.00971.x)
- <span id="page-10-8"></span>Hink L, Gubry-Rangin NGW, Prosser JI (2018) The consequences of niche and physiological diferentiation of archaeal and bacterial ammonia oxidisers for nitrous oxide emissions. ISME J 12:1084–1093. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41396-017-0025-5) [1038/s41396-017-0025-5](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41396-017-0025-5)
- <span id="page-10-13"></span>Hu HW, Xu ZH, He JZ (2014) Ammonia-oxidizing archaea play a predominant role in acid soil nitrifcation. Adv Agron 125:261–302. [https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-](https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-800137-0.00006-6) [800137-0.00006-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-800137-0.00006-6)
- <span id="page-10-3"></span>Ishikawa T, Subbarao GV, Ito O, Okada K (2003) Suppression of nitrifcation and nitrous oxide emission by tropical grass *Brachiaria humidicola*. Plant Soil 255:413–419. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-017-2923-9\\_40](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-017-2923-9_40)
- <span id="page-10-11"></span>Karwat H, Egenolf K, Nuñez J, Rao I, Rasche F, Arango J, Moreta D, Arevalo A, Cadisch G (2018) Low <sup>15</sup>N natural abundance in shoot tissue of *Brachiaria humidicola* is and

indicator of reduced N losses due to biological nitrifcation inhibition (BNI). Front Microbiol 9:2383. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2018.02383) [org/10.3389/fmicb.2018.02383](https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2018.02383)

- <span id="page-11-20"></span>Karwat H, Sparke M, Fasche F, Arango J, Nuñez J, Rao I, Moreta D, Cadisch G (2019) Nitrate reductase activity in leaves as a plant physiological indicator of in vivo biological nitrifcation inhibition by *Brachiaria humidicola*. Plant Physiol Biochem 137:113–120. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.plaphy.2019.02.002) [plaphy.2019.02.002](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.plaphy.2019.02.002)
- <span id="page-11-11"></span>Kaur-Bhambra J, Wardak D, Prosser J, Gubry-Rangin C (2021) Revisiting plant biological nitrification inhibition efficiency using multiple archaeal and bacterial ammoniaoxidising cultures. Biol Fertil Soils. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00374-020-01533-1) [1007/s00374-020-01533-1](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00374-020-01533-1)
- <span id="page-11-21"></span>Leon A, Subbarao GV, Kishii M, Matsumoto N, Kruseman G (2021) An ex ante life cycle assessment of wheat with high biological nitrifcation inhibition capacity. Environ Sci Pollut Res. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-021-16132-2>
- <span id="page-11-7"></span>Li W, Ma J, Bowatte S, Hoogendoorn C, Hou F (2021a) Evidence of diferences in nitrous oxide emissions and biological nitrifcation inhibition among *Elymus* grass species. Biol Fertil Soils. [https://doi.org/10.1007/](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00374-021-01592-y) [s00374-021-01592-y](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00374-021-01592-y)
- <span id="page-11-22"></span>Li Y, Zhang Y, Chapman SJ, Yao H (2021b) Biological nitrifcation inhibition by sorghum root exudates impacts ammonia-oxidizing bacteria but not ammonia-oxidizing archaea. Biol Fertil Soils 57:399–407. [https://doi.org/10.1007/](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00374-020-01538-w) [s00374-020-01538-w](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00374-020-01538-w)
- <span id="page-11-1"></span>Lu Y, Zhang X, Ma M, Zu W, Kronzucker HJ, Shi W (2021) Syringic acid from rice as a biological nitrifcation and urease inhibitor and its synergism with 1,9-decanediol. Biol Fertil Soils. [https://doi.org/10.1007/](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00374-021-01584-y) [s00374-021-01584-y](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00374-021-01584-y)
- <span id="page-11-13"></span>Maaz TM, Sapkota TB, Eagle AJ, Kantar MB, Bruulsema TW, Majumdar K (2021) Meta-analysis of yield and nitrous oxide outcomes for nitrogen management in agriculture. Glob Chang Biol 27:2342–2360. [https://doi.org/10.1111/](https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.15588) [gcb.15588](https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.15588)
- <span id="page-11-4"></span>Nakamura S, Sarr PS, Takahashi M, Ando Y, Subbarao GV (2020) The contribution of root turnover on biological nitrifcation inhibition and its impact on the ammonia-oxidizing archaea under *brachiaria* cultivations. Agronomy 10:1003. <https://doi.org/10.3390/agronomy10071003>
- <span id="page-11-17"></span>Nardi P, Laanbroek HJ, Nicol GW, Renella G, Cardinale M, Pietramellara G, Weckwerth W, Trinchera A, Ghatak A, Nannipieri P (2020) Biological nitrifcation inhibition in the rhizosphere: determining interactions and impact on microbially mediated processes and potential applications. FEMS Microbiol Rev 44:874–908. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1093/femsre/fuaa037) [10.1093/femsre/fuaa037](https://doi.org/10.1093/femsre/fuaa037)
- <span id="page-11-3"></span>O'Sullivan CA, Fillery IRP, Poper MM, Richards RA (2016) Identifcation of several wheat land races with biological nitrifcation inhibition capacity. Plant Soil 404:61–74. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11104-016-2822-4>
- <span id="page-11-5"></span>Otaka J, Subbarao GV, Ono H, Yoshihashi T (2021) Biological nitrifcation inhibition in maize-isolation and identifcation of hydrophobic inhibitors from root exudates. Biol Fertil Soils. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00374-021-01577-x>
- <span id="page-11-9"></span>Prosser JI, Nicol GW (2012) Archaeal and bacterial ammoniaoxidisers in soil: the quest for niche specialization and

diferentiation. Trends Microbial 20:523–531. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tim.2012.08.001) [org/10.1016/j.tim.2012.08.001](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tim.2012.08.001)

- <span id="page-11-10"></span>Prosser JI, Hink L, Gubry-Rangin C, Nicol GW (2020) Nitrous oxide production by ammonia oxidizers: Physiological diversity, niche diferentiation and potential mitigation strategies. Glob Chang Biol 26:103–118. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.14877) [10.1111/gcb.14877](https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.14877)
- <span id="page-11-6"></span>Sarr PS, Ando Y, Nakamura S, Deshpande S, Subbarao GV (2020) Sorgoleone release from sorghum roots shapes the composition of nitrifying populations, total bacteria, and archaea and determines the level of nitrifcation. Biol Fertil Soils 56:145–166. [https://doi.org/10.1007/](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00374-019-01405-3) [s00374-019-01405-3](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00374-019-01405-3)
- <span id="page-11-8"></span>Sarr PS, Nakamura S, Ando Y, Iwasaki S, Subbarao GV (2021) Sorgoleone production enhances mycorrhizal association and reduces soil nitrifcation in sorghum. Rhizosphere 17:100283. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rhisph.2020.100283>
- <span id="page-11-23"></span>Snider D, Thompson K, Wagner-Riddle C, Spoelstra J, Dunfeld K (2015) Molecular techniques and stable isotope ratios at natural abundance give complementary inferences about  $N<sub>2</sub>O$  production pathway in an agricultural soil following a rainfall event. Soil Biol Biochem 88:197– 213. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2015.05.021>
- <span id="page-11-12"></span>Stevens CJ (2019) Nitrogen in the environment. Science 363:578–580.<https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aav8215>
- <span id="page-11-14"></span>Subbarao GV, Searchinger TD (2021) Opinion: A "more ammonium solution" to mitigate nitrogen pollution and boost crop yields. Proc Natl Acad Sci (PNAS) (USA) 118:e2107576118. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.21075 [https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.21075](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2107576118) [76118](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2107576118)
- <span id="page-11-15"></span>Subbarao GV, Ishikawa T, Ito O, Nakahara K, Wang HY, Berry WL (2006a) A bioluminescence assay to detect nitrifcation inhibitors released from plant roots: a case study with *Brachiaria humidicola*. Plant Soil 288:101–112. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11104-006-9094-3) [doi.org/10.1007/s11104-006-9094-3](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11104-006-9094-3)
- <span id="page-11-19"></span>Subbarao GV, Ito O, Sahrawat KL, Ishikawa T, Berry WL, Nakahara K, Ishikawa T, Watanabe T, Suenaga K, Rondon M, Rao IM (2006b) Scope and strategies for regulation of nitrifcation in agricultural systems-challenges and opportunities. Crit Rev Plant Sci 25:303–335. [https://doi.org/10.1080/](https://doi.org/10.1080/07352680600794232) [07352680600794232](https://doi.org/10.1080/07352680600794232)
- <span id="page-11-0"></span>Subbarao GV, Rondon M, Ito O, Ishikawa T, Rao IM, Nakahara K, Lascano C, Berry WL (2007a) Biological nitrifcation inhibition (BNI) – is it a widespread phenomenon? Plant Soil 294:5–18. <https://doi.org/10.1270/jsbbs.59.529>
- <span id="page-11-18"></span>Subbarao GV, Wang HY, Ito O, Nakahara K, Berry WL (2007b)  $NH_4^+$  triggers the synthesis and release of biological nitrifcation inhibition compounds in *Brachiara humidicola* roots. Plant Soil 290:245–257. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11104-006-9156-6) [10.1007/s11104-006-9156-6](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11104-006-9156-6)
- <span id="page-11-2"></span>Subbarao GV, Nakahara K, Hurtodo MP, Ono H, Moreta DE, Salcedo AF, Yoshihashi T, Ishikawa T, Ishitani M, Ohnishi KM, Yoshida M, Rondon M, Rao IM, Lascano CE, Berry WL, Ito O (2009) Evidence for biological nitrifcation inhibition in *Brachiaria* pastures. Proc Natl Acad Sci (PNAS) (USA) 106:17302–17307. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0903694106) [1073/pnas.0903694106](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0903694106)
- <span id="page-11-16"></span>Subbarao GV, Sahrawat KL, Nakahara K, Ishikawa T, Kudo N, Kishii M, Rao IM, Hash CT, George TS, Srinivasa RP, Nardi P, Bonnett D, Berry WL, Suenage K, Ito O, Lata JC (2012) Biological nitrifcation inhibition (BNI) – a noval

strategy to regulate nitrifcation in agricultural systems. Adv Agron 114:249–302. [https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-](https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-394275-3.00001-8) [12-394275-3.00001-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-394275-3.00001-8)

- <span id="page-12-6"></span>Subbarao GV, Nakahara K, Ishikawa T, Ono H, Yoshida M, Yoshihashi T, Zhu Y, Zakir H, Deshpande SP, Hash CT, Sahrawat KL (2013) Biological nitrifcation inhibition (BNI) activity in sorghum and its characterization. Plant Soil 366:243–259. [https://doi.org/10.1007/](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11104-012-1419-9) [s11104-012-1419-9](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11104-012-1419-9)
- <span id="page-12-8"></span>Subbarao GV, Yoshihashi T, Worthington M, Nakahara K, Ando Y, Sahrawat KL, Rao IM, Lata JC, Kishii M, Braun HJ (2015) Suppression of soil nitrifcation by plants. Plant Sci 233:155–164. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.plantsci.2015.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.plantsci.2015.01.012) [01.012](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.plantsci.2015.01.012)
- <span id="page-12-0"></span>Subbarao GV, Arango J, Masahiro K, Hooper AM, Yoshihashi T, Ando Y, Nakahara K, Deshpande S, Ortiz-Monasterio I, Ishitani M, Peters M, Chirinda N, Wollenberg L, Lata JC, Gerard B, Tobita S, Rao IM, Braum HJ, Kommerell V, Tohme J, Iwanaga M (2017) Genetic mitigation strategies to tackle agricultural GHG emissions: The case for biological nitrifcation inhibition technology. Plant Sci 262:165–168. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.plantsci.2017.05.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.plantsci.2017.05.004) [004](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.plantsci.2017.05.004)
- <span id="page-12-1"></span>Subbarao GV, Kishii M, Bozal-Leorri A, Ortiz-Monasterio I, Gao X, Ibba MI, Karwat H, Gonzalez-Moro MB, Gonzalez-Murua C, Yoshihashi T, Tobita S, Kommerell V, Braun HJ, Iwanaga M (2021) Enlisting wild-grassgenes to combat nitrifcation in wheat farming: a naturebased solution. Proc Natl Acad Sci (PNAS) (USA) 118:e2106595118. [https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.21065](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2106595118) [95118](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2106595118)
- <span id="page-12-5"></span>Sun L, Lu YF, Yu FW, Kronzucker HJ, Shi WM (2016) Biological nitrifcation inhibition by rice root exudates and its relationship with nitrogen-use efficiency. New Phytol 212:646– 656.<https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.14057>
- <span id="page-12-7"></span>Tesfamariam T, Yoshinaga H, Deshpande SP, Rao PS, Sahrawat KL, Ando Y, Nakahara K, Hash CT, Subbarao GV (2014) Biological nitrifcation inhibition in sorghum: the role of sorgoleone production. Plant Soil 379:325–335. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11104-014-2075-z) [org/10.1007/s11104-014-2075-z](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11104-014-2075-z)
- <span id="page-12-10"></span>Varshney RR, Subbarao GV, Chaturvedi P, Weckwerth (2021) Root exudation of contrasting drought-stressed pearl millet genotypes conveys varying biological nitrifcation inhibition (BNI) activity. Biol Fertil Soils. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00374-021-01578-w) [1007/s00374-021-01578-w](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00374-021-01578-w)
- <span id="page-12-9"></span>Vazquez E, Teutscherova N, Dannenmann M, Tochterle P, Butterbach-Bahl K, Pulleman M, Arango J (2020) Gross nitrogen transformations in tropical pasture soils as afected by *Urochloa* genotypes difering in biological nitrifcation inhibition (BNI) capacity. Soil Biol Biochem 151:108058. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2020.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2020.108058) [108058](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2020.108058)
- <span id="page-12-2"></span>Villegas D, Arevalo A, Nuñez J, Mazabel J, Subbarao G, Rao I, Vega J, Arango J (2020) Biological nitrifcation inhibition

(BNI): phenotyping of a core germplasm collection of the tropical forage grass *Megathyrsus maximus* under greenhouse conditions. Front Plant Sci 11:820. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2020.00820) [10.3389/fpls.2020.00820](https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2020.00820)

- <span id="page-12-14"></span>Wang Q, Liu YR, Zhang CJ, Zhang LM, Han LL, Shen JP, He JZ (2017) Responses of soil nitrous oxide production and abundance and composition of associated microbial communities to nitrogen and water amendment. Biol Fertil Soils 53:601–611. [https://doi.org/10.1007/](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00374-017-1203-3) [s00374-017-1203-3](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00374-017-1203-3)
- <span id="page-12-15"></span>Yao Z, Pelster D, Liu C, Zheng X, Butterbach-Bahl K (2020) Soil N intensity as a measure to estimate annual  $N_2O$  and NO fuxes from natural and managed ecosystems. Curr Opin Environ Sustain 47:1–6. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cosust.2020.03.008) [cosust.2020.03.008](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cosust.2020.03.008)
- <span id="page-12-4"></span>Zakir HAKM, Subbarao GV, Pearse SJ, Gopalakrishnan S, Ito O, Ishikawa T, Kawano N, Nakahara K, Yoshihashi T, Ono H, Yoshida M (2008) Detection, isolation and characterization of a root-exuded compound, methyl 3-(4-hydroxyphenyl) propionate, responsible for biological nitrifcation inhibition by sorghum (*Sorghum Bicolor*). New Phytol 180:442–451. [https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-8137.2008.02576.x) [8137.2008.02576.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-8137.2008.02576.x)
- <span id="page-12-12"></span>Zeng H, Di T, Zhu Y, Subbarao GV (2016) Transcriptional response of plasma membrane  $H^+$  ATPase genes to ammonium nutrition and its functional link to the release of biological nitrifcation inhibitors from sorghum roots. Plant Soil 398:301–312. [https://doi.org/10.1007/](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11104-015-2675-2) [s11104-015-2675-2](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11104-015-2675-2)
- <span id="page-12-13"></span>Zhang LM, Hu HW, Shen JP, He JZ (2012) Ammonia oxidizing archaea have more important role than ammoniaoxidizing bacteria in ammonia oxidation of strongly acid soils. ISME J 6:1032–1045. [https://doi.org/10.1038/ismej.](https://doi.org/10.1038/ismej.2011.168) [2011.168](https://doi.org/10.1038/ismej.2011.168)
- <span id="page-12-3"></span>Zhang M, Zeng H, Afzal MR, Gao X, Li Y, Subbarao GV, Zhu Y (2021) BNI-release mechanisms in plant root system: current status of understanding. Biol Fertil Soils. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00374-021-01568-y) [doi.org/10.1007/s00374-021-01568-y](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00374-021-01568-y)
- <span id="page-12-11"></span>Zhu Y, Zeng HQ, Shen QR, Ishikawa T, Subbarao GV (2012) Interplay among NH4 + uptake, rhizosphere pH and plasma membrane H+-ATPase determine the release of BNIs in sorghum roots – possible mechanisms and underlying hypothesis. Plant Soil 358:131-141. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11104-012-1151-5) [1007/s11104-012-1151-5](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11104-012-1151-5)

**Publisher's note** Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional afliations.