RESEARCH ARTICLE

How climate and soil properties afect the abundances of nitrogen‑cycling genes in nitrogen‑treated ecosystems: a meta‑analysis

Jingyi Dong · Jiaqi Zhang · Yinghui Liu · Haichao Jing

Received: 28 September 2021 / Accepted: 31 March 2022 / Published online: 14 April 2022 © The Author(s), under exclusive licence to Springer Nature Switzerland AG 2022

Abstract

Purpose The abundance of nitrogen (N)-cycling genes is frequently used to indicate N cycling and predict $N₂O$ emissions. However, it remains difficult to clearly defne how soil N-cycling genes in diferent ecosystems respond to anthropogenic N additions.

Methods We applied a meta-analysis approach to examine data about N-cycling genes (*nifH*, ammoniaoxidizing archaea (AOA), ammonia-oxidizing bacteria (AOB), *nirK*, *nirS*, and *nosZ)* in diferent ecosystems from 119 peer-reviewed articles.

Results In the ecosystems examined, the patterns of change in the abundances of the target genes, apart from AOA, varied considerably. This variation refects the distinctive soil characteristics of ecosystems that develop when diferent forms of N are applied at diferent rates and over diferent durations. The *nifH* abundance decreased signifcantly, by 32.79%, in forests but did not change in grasslands and croplands. The AOB abundance increased

Responsible Editor: Luca Bragazza.

Supplementary Information The online version contains supplementary material available at [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11104-022-05420-6) [org/10.1007/s11104-022-05420-6.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11104-022-05420-6)

J. Dong · J. Zhang · Y. Liu (\boxtimes) · H. Jing State Key Laboratory of Earth Surface Processes and Resource Ecology, Faculty of Geographical Science, Beijing Normal University, Beijing 100875, China e-mail: lyh@bnu.edu.cn

in all three ecosystems, by 193.06% in grasslands, 73.26% in forests, and 151.86% in croplands, respectively. The denitrifcation gene abundances, namely the *nirK*, *nirS*, and *nosZ*, in croplands also increased signifcantly, by 60.74%, 47.42%, and 69.54%, respectively.

Conclusion In general, climate factors and longterm applications of organic N at high rates had signifcant efects on the gene abundances in diferent ecosystems, through their infuence on soil properties. An enhanced understanding of how N additions infuence the abundance of other N-cycling functional genes can help us improve our ability to model the populations and activities of microbial functional communities and predict N fuxes.

Keywords Cropland · Forest · Grassland · Metaanalysis · Nitrogen addition · Nitrogen-cycling functional gene

Introduction

Nitrous oxide (N_2O) is a by-product of the complex microbial nitrogen (N) cycle in the environment. The element N undergoes the processes of N-fxation, nitrifcation, and denitrifcation, thus producing N2O (Canfeld et al. [2010](#page-13-0); Kuypers et al. [2018](#page-14-0)). These reactions are mainly driven by the physiological metabolic activities of microorganisms in the soil. Soil microorganisms are susceptible to external interference, especially applications of chemical and organic N fertilizers, which change the N availability in soil and substantially infuence the biochemical processes of the N cycle (Makowski [2019](#page-14-1)). Therefore, the responses of soil microorganisms to external N additions can strongly infuence N-cycling processes (Ouyang et al. [2017](#page-14-2)).

During N-cycling processes, microorganisms synthesize corresponding enzymes through the expression of specifc genes. The nitrogen fxation gene (*nifH*) encodes nitrogenase reductase and reduces nitrogen gas (N_2) to ammonium (NH_4^+) . The nitrifcation genes (AOA and AOB) synthesize ammonium monooxygenase and promote the transformation of ammonia (NH_3) or NH_4^+ to hydroxylamine, which then undergoes further cycling. Denitrifcation involves the reduction of nitrate $(NO₃⁻)$ to nitrite (NO_2^-). After NO_3^- is reduced to NO_2^- , it may be partitioned along two pathways, either to generate NH_4^+ by dissimilatory reduction, or to generate N_2O (Canfeld et al. [2010](#page-13-0)). Thus, various denitrifcation genes have important roles in regulating N_2O emissions and, depending on the N management approach, the resulting N2O emissions will vary. *NirS* and *nirK* indicate denitrifiers that convert NO_2^- to nitric oxide (NO), while *nosZ* encodes nitrous oxide reductase and then the enzyme catalyzes the transformation of $N₂O$ to $N₂$, the final step of denitrification (Canfield et al. [2010\)](#page-13-0). These functional genes are frequently used to describe the richness and diversity of microbial communities in specifc N transformation processes (Gaby and Buckley [2012](#page-13-1); Henry et al. [2006](#page-14-3); Morales et al. [2010;](#page-14-4) Ouyang et al. [2018](#page-14-5)).

Many scholars have studied how and why the abundances of N-cycling genes change under N additions. Diferent ecosystems have unique soil characteristics, which impact the gene abundance. Carey et al. [\(2016](#page-13-2)) determined that, in diferent ecosystems (croplands, pastures, and unmanaged wildlands), nitrifcation genes, namely ammonia-oxidizing archaea (AOA) and ammonia-oxidizing bacteria (AOB)*,* all responded diferently to N fertilizer, and that N applications had most efect on AOA in croplands and on AOB in wildlands. Also, diferent N forms have different effects. When organic N is applied, carbon (C) inputs are higher than under inorganic N applications, which then increases the metabolic activity of microorganisms and the gene abundance (Schmidt et al. [2011;](#page-15-0) Sulman et al. [2014\)](#page-15-1). Sulman et al. [\(2014](#page-15-1)) found that microorganisms had difering abilities to obtain C and that the gene abundances difered among ecosystems. Carey et al. [\(2016](#page-13-2)) reported that the N addition rates and the duration of the N additions (the period from the start of the N application to the end) could afect ammonia oxidizers in diferent ecosystems. Furthermore, environmental factors may have a strong infuence on N additions. For example, under high rates of atmospheric N deposition, the available N in soil may increase. Under the increased levels of available N, the soil microbial biomass and respiration may be suppressed (Phillips and Fahey [2007;](#page-14-6) Yang et al. [2021\)](#page-15-2), which will in turn affect the microbial composition and the metabolic potential (Hallin et al. [2009;](#page-14-7) Yang et al. [2021;](#page-15-2) Zhao et al. [2020\)](#page-15-3). High N inputs can cause increases in the soil exchangeable aluminium $(A1^{3+})$ content and decreases in the soil pH (Bowman et al. [2008;](#page-13-3) Van Breemen et al. [1983\)](#page-15-4). The aluminium will have toxic efects on microorganisms (Kuperman and Edwards [1997\)](#page-14-8) and may also afect the expression of functional genes (Sun et al. [2021](#page-15-5)). Zhang et al. ([2021\)](#page-15-6) also reported that the abiotic factors played key roles in regulating the ammonia oxidizer and denitrifer abundances under N loading.

The fndings discussed so far are from feld experiments or indoor cultivation experiments that were performed in small areas for specifc research purposes. The results of these studies are inconsistent, so researchers have carried out meta-analyses of the data from the individual studies to identify overall patterns from the diferent studies. In their meta-analysis, Carey et al. [\(2016](#page-13-2)) examined 98 sets of measurement data from 33 articles to gain insights into how N additions affected the abundances of AOA and AOB during nitrifcation. While they found that AOB responded more positively than AOA, they did not explore other functional genes or environmental factors that could have afected the gene abundances in their analysis. Ouyang et al. [\(2018](#page-14-5)) explored how the abundances of N cycling genes changed in agricultural ecosystems treated with N fertilizers. They found that, apart from *nifH*, the functional gene abundances increased during nitrifcation (*amoA*) and denitrifcation (*nirK*, *nirS,* and *nosZ*) when fertilized with N. Ouyang et al. ([2018\)](#page-14-5) concluded that the N form and the soil pH were the main drivers behind the responses of functional genes to N additions. Data from numerous studies and the World Bank, [\(https://data.worldbank.org.cn/indicator\)](https://data.worldbank.org.cn/indicator), show that grassland, forest, and cropland, which account for a large proportion of terrestrial ecosystems worldwide, suffer from over-applications of N (Bonan [2008](#page-13-4); Hovenden et al. [2019;](#page-14-9) IPCC, 2013). An analysis that compares N-cycling genes among diferent N-treated ecosystems, and highlights how they are related to soil properties, will provide support for evaluations of gene-based models of N cycling in soil.

In this study, we carried out a meta-analysis based on 397 feld observations from 119 articles related to N-cycling genes in grassland, forest, and cropland ecosystems. The aim of the analysis was to (1) compare the responses of functional genes in diferent ecosystems to N additions, and (2) identify the key drivers of change in the gene abundances and how they afected the responses of N-cycling functional genes to N additions in diferent ecosystems.

Materials and methods

Data extraction and collection

To obtain the data for this analysis, we searched for studies about N-cycling functional genes published before February 2022 under diferent keyword combinations (nitrogen fxation, ammonia oxidizing, nitrous oxide, functional gene, grassland, forest, wetland, and agriculture) in Google Scholar, the Web of Science, and the China Knowledge Resource Integrated Database (CNKI). We carried out a detailed examination of studies that reported the efects of N additions on N-cycling functional genes in soil and included those that met the following criteria: (1) the authors used real-time quantitative PCR (qPCR) to measure the abundance of functional genes; (2) the experiment had an established control group without N applications; (3) they were feld studies; (4) at least one functional gene was determined; (5) the sample size, mean values, and standard errors or standard deviations of the experimental and control groups were provided in the article or could be calculated; (6) data with less than three replicates were excluded, and (7) the data could be obtained either directly from the text or through GET DATA GRAPH DIGITIZER software (version 2.26, [http://www.getdatagraph-digitizer.com/](http://www.getdatagraph-digitizer.com/download.php) [download.php](http://www.getdatagraph-digitizer.com/download.php)).

We conducted a meta-analysis of the following functional genes where there were sufficient observations: *nifH*, *amoA* (AOA and AOB), *nirK*, *nirS*, and *nosZ*. For studies without standard deviations or standard errors, we referred to other data sets to establish *log* equations for estimating the standard deviation and the mean of the experimental results (Marinho et al. [2003](#page-14-10)). For a meta-analysis, data must be independent of each other; we therefore selected only the data measured during the latest year from multi-year experiments, and for the top layer of the soil where an experiment involved adding the same treatment to diferent soil layers. To increase the research data, we also included multi-factor research results. For example, two treatments of phosphoruspotassium (PK) additions and nitrogen-phosphoruspotassium (NPK) additions were set up in an individual study. We used the PK treatment as the control group, and the NPK treatment as the experimental group.

As well as collating data for the gene abundance, we also recorded data for the mean annual temperature (MAT), the mean annual precipitation (MAP), the soil pH, the soil water content (WC), soil available phosphorus (AP), NH_4^+ , NO_3^- , soil organic carbon (SOC), total nitrogen (TN), soil microbial carbon (MBC), soil microbial nitrogen (MBN), and the ratio of soil organic carbon to soil total nitrogen (C/N),. We also collected 73 observations of nitrifcation potential (NP) and 41 observations of N_2O emissions, but there were insufficient data to permit robust analysis of each ecosystem. Overall, we collected 397 sets of observation data from 119 articles about N-cycling genes. Of these, 86 observations were from grassland, 57 were from forest, and 253 were from cropland (Fig. S1). To study the infuence of other experimental or environmental factors on the functional gene abundance, we grouped the data into (1) ecosystem type (grassland ecosystems, forest ecosystems, and cropland ecosystems); (2) N form (inorganic, organic, and inorganic–organic mixed N; inorganic N forms included NH_4^+ , NO_3^- , NO_2^- , and urea, and organic N forms, including compost, crop residues, and animal manure); (3) N addition rate (with 100 and 200 kg N ha⁻¹ as the thresholds, giving diferent gradient divisions according to the amount of data and response ratios of each gene); and (4) N fertilization duration (with 5 and 10 years as the thresholds, resulting in diferent gradient divisions according to the amount of data and response ratios of each gene). For the two continuous variables, the N rate, duration, and gradient were partitioned based on the observations at each level and the gene abundance responses to each gradient in the preliminary analysis, in line with previous studies (Carey et al. [2016](#page-13-2); Liu and Greaver [2010](#page-14-11); Ouyang et al. [2018](#page-14-5)).

Data analysis

We used the natural logarithm of the response ratio (RR) as the effect size of the amount of N added on the abundances of the diferent N cycling genes (Hedges et al. [1999](#page-14-12)):

$$
RR = ln\left(\frac{\overline{X_E}}{\overline{X_C}}\right) = ln\left(\overline{X_E}\right) - ln\left(\overline{X_C}\right),\tag{1}
$$

where $\overline{X_E}$ and $\overline{X_C}$ represent the mean results of the experimental and control groups, respectively. The following formula was used to calculate the corresponding variance (*v*):

$$
v = \frac{S_E^2}{N_E \overline{X_E}^2} + \frac{S_C^2}{N_C \overline{X_C}^2},
$$
(2)

where S_E and S_C represent the standard deviation of the experimental and control groups, and N_E and N_c represent the number of samples in the experimental and control groups, respectively.

We used the *rma* function in the *metafor* package (Viechtbauer [2010\)](#page-15-7) to calculate the weighted effect size (RR_{++}) and the 95% confidence interval (*CI*) with the mixed-efects model. When the 95% *CI* overlapped with 0, the diference between the results of the experimental and control groups was not signifcant; when the 95% *CI* did not overlap with the invalid line, the average efect sizes were considered signifcant. To show the impact more clearly, we converted the effect size (RR_+) to a percentage expression:

$$
Equation = \left(e^{RR_{++}} - 1\right) \times 100\%
$$
\n⁽³⁾

The heterogeneity was calculated for each categorical group. We partitioned the total heterogeneity (Q_T) into the between-group heterogeneity (Q_M) and the residual error (Q_F) . We used the Q_M to determine whether the values of the efects of the diferent groups difered noticeably. When *P*<0.05, there was a signifcant diference in the response of the functional genes to N additions among gradients or categories (Rosenberg et al. [2000](#page-15-8)).

The relative effects of the climate and soil factors on the responses of the functional gene abundances to N additions were examined by calculating a relative importance value for each variable. The relative importance value was calculated as the sum of the Akaike weights for all the models that included this factor using the corrected Akaike's Information Criteria with the *glmulti* package (Calcagno and de Mazancourt 2010). A cut-off of 0.8 was set for the most important predictors (sum of Akaike weights > 0.8) (Chen et al. [2020;](#page-13-6) Feng and Zhu [2019](#page-13-7); Terrer et al. [2016\)](#page-15-9). We used linear regression analysis to ft the relationships between the variables and calculated the corresponding R^2 and P values. We used the R platform [version 4.0.3] ([https://](https://www.R-project.org) [www.R-project.org\)](https://www.R-project.org) to perform all the statistical analysis and GraphPad Prism 8 ([https://www.graph](https://www.graphpad.com/) [pad.com/\)](https://www.graphpad.com/) to draw the plots.

Results

Efects of N additions on physical and chemical soil factors

In the diferent ecosystems, the responses of the physical and chemical soil properties to N additions difered signifcantly (Fig. [1](#page-4-0)). The N additions had significant effects on the soil pH in forests, croplands, and grasslands $(P < 0.05)$, and the effect size was greatest for grasslands. The NH_4^+ and $NO₃⁻$ increased significantly across all the ecosystems; the effect size of NH_4^+ was greatest for forests and least for croplands, while the efect size of $NO₃⁻$ was greatest for grasslands and least for forests (Fig. [1](#page-4-0)). The N additions had signifcant efects on SOC and TN in croplands $(P<0.05)$, TN in forests $(P<0.05)$, but had no significant effects on TN or SOC in the grasslands (*P*>0.05). The MBC and MBN had contrasting responses to the N additions across the ecosystems. The responses of MBC and MBN in croplands were positive and signifcant $(MBC = 40\%, MBN = 45\%, respectively, (P < 0.05))$ but were insignificant in forests $(P > 0.05)$. MBN had a positive response, while MBC had insignifcant response, in grasslands.

Fig. 1 Efect size of N additions on soil physical and chemical variables. Error bars represent the 95% confdence intervals. The results with signifcant responses are indicated by hollow dots. The numbers in parentheses represent the number of

studies included for each variable. SOC, soil organic carbon; TN, soil total nitrogen; MBC, soil microbial carbon; MBN, soil microbial nitrogen

Efects of N additions on N-cycling functional genes

The N application rate had a significant effect on the response of the *nifH* abundance in grasslands, but the N application duration and N form were the main infuences of change in forests and croplands, respectively $(P<0.05)$ (Fig. [2,](#page-4-1) Table [1\)](#page-5-0). In grasslands, the *nifH* abundance increased signifcantly (by 58%) when the N application rate was low (<100 kg N ha^{-1}) but decreased by 45% when

Fig. 2 The effect size of the N fixation gene (*nifH*) to N additions in diferent ecosystems. Error bars indicate the 95% confdence intervals. The results with signifcant responses are

indicated by hollow dots. The numbers in brackets indicate the number of studies included for each variable. 'Overall' indicates the abundance of each functional gene

the N application rate was high (>200 kg N ha^{-1}) (*P*<0.05). The *nifH* abundance in forests decreased signifcantly when the N application duration was less than 5 years (−27%). In croplands, the *nifH* abundance decreased under inorganic N applications but increased under organic N and mixed N applications $(P<0.05)$.

The response of AOA to N additions was mainly controlled by the N application duration in grasslands, and by the form of N and the pH in croplands $(P<0.01)$ $(P<0.01)$ $(P<0.01)$ (Fig. [3](#page-6-0), Table 1). The AOA abundance in grasslands did not change signifcantly when the N application duration was less than 10 years $(P > 0.05)$ but increased significantly when the N application duration exceeded 10 years $(P < 0.05)$. In croplands, the AOA abundance decreased by 23% under inorganic N applications but increased by 99% under organic N applications $(P<0.05)$. When the pH was between 5.5 and 7.5, the AOA abundance decreased by 27% ($P < 0.05$). The AOB abundance was not signifcantly afected by the diferent variables in forests and grasslands, but was signifcantly affected by the N form $(P<0.01)$ and the N application duration $(P=0.013)$ in croplands (Fig. [3,](#page-6-0) Table [1\)](#page-5-0). The AOB abundance showed the greatest increase in croplands for mixed N applications and when the N application duration was greater than 10 years $(P < 0.05)$.

The patterns of change in the denitrifcation genes varied signifcantly among the ecosystem types (Fig. [4,](#page-8-0) Table [1\)](#page-5-0). *NirK* was mainly controlled by the N form in forests but was mainly controlled by the N form and N application duration in croplands. The *nirK* abundance increased signifcantly under inorganic N applications but decreased signifcantly under organic N applications in forests (*P*<0.05). The *nirS* abundance in croplands was signifcantly afected by the N form

Table 1 Heterogeneity (Q_M) of the response of N-cycling functional genes to N additions for different variables in the different ecosystems

Variable	N form			N rate			N duration			pH			
	Q_M	df	\boldsymbol{P}	Q_M	df	\boldsymbol{P}	Q_M	df	\boldsymbol{P}	Q_M	df	\boldsymbol{P}	
Grassland													
n if H	NA	NA	NA	12.397	$\overline{2}$	$\mathbf{<0.01}^{**}$	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	
AOA	4.467	2	0.107	2.547	2	0.280	73.394	$\overline{2}$	$\mathbf{<0.01}^{**}$	1.005	2	0.605	
AOB	5.369	2	0.068	3.765	2	0.152	2.123	2	0.346	1.163	\overline{c}	0.559	
nirK	0.053	2	0.974	5.466	\overline{c}	0.065	4.019	2	0.134	0.071	$\mathbf{1}$	0.790	
nirS	0.702	2	0.704	1.487	\overline{c}	0.476	0.923	1	0.337	0.133	$\mathbf{1}$	0.715	
$nosZ$	0.147	$\mathbf{1}$	0.702	56.601	$\overline{2}$	< 0.01 ^{**}	2.195	2	0.334	NA	NA	NA	
Forest													
n if H	NA	NA	NA	1.171	1	0.557	15.777	$\mathbf{1}$	< 0.01 ^{**}	2.672	$\mathbf{1}$	0.102	
AOA	3.389	$\mathbf{1}$	0.066	0.619	$\overline{2}$	0.734	0.329	2	0.849	0.051	$\mathbf{1}$	0.821	
AOB	0.069	$\mathbf{1}$	0.792	3.048	2	0.218	0.239	2	0.888	0.537	$\mathbf{1}$	0.464	
nirK	6.484	$\mathbf{1}$	0.011 *	0.865	\overline{c}	0.649	3.687	2	0.158	0.435	$\mathbf{1}$	0.510	
nirS	2.380	$\mathbf{1}$	0.168	3.214	\overline{c}	0.201	0.036	$\mathbf{1}$	0.849	0.563	$\mathbf{1}$	0.453	
nosZ	4.817	$\mathbf{1}$	$0.028*$	1.398	2	0.497	0.019	$\mathfrak{2}$	0.991	0.245	$\mathbf{1}$	0.621	
Cropland													
n if H	28.573	$\overline{2}$	$\mathbf{<0.01}^{**}$	0.459	$\overline{2}$	0.795	6.132	$\overline{2}$	$0.047*$	8.039	$\boldsymbol{2}$	$0.018*$	
AOA	30.130	$\overline{2}$	$<\!0.01^{**}$	4.250	$\overline{2}$	0.119	5.797	2	0.055	10.706	$\boldsymbol{2}$	< 0.01 **	
AOB	17.839	$\overline{2}$	< 0.01 **	3.397	2	0.183	8.767	$\overline{2}$	$0.013*$	1.947	\overline{c}	0.378	
nirK	22.054	$\overline{2}$	$\mathbf{<0.01}^{**}$	2.299	2	0.317	10.208	$\overline{2}$	< 0.01 ^{**}	0.615	\overline{c}	0.735	
nirS	8.424	$\overline{2}$	$0.015*$	0.515	\overline{c}	0.773	2.665	2	0.264	3.048	\overline{c}	0.218	
nosZ	19.103	$\overline{2}$	$\mathbf{<0.01}^{**}$	7.186	$\overline{2}$	$0.028*$	1.160	2	0.560	8.661	$\mathbf{2}$	$0.013*$	

Bold font indicates significant heterogeneity **, ($P < 0.01$; *, $P < 0.05$). NA means there were not enough valid groups for heterogeneity analysis

Fig. 3 The efect size of the *amoA* nitrifcation genes (AOA and AOB) to N additions in diferent ecosystems. Error bars indicate the 95% confidence interval. The results with signifi-

cant responses are indicated by hollow dots. The numbers in brackets indicate the number of studies included for each variable. 'Overall' indicates the abundance of each functional gene

and increased signifcantly under organic N applications and mixed N applications. The infuences on the *nosZ* abundance varied among the ecosystems. The *nosZ* abundance was significantly affected by the N application rate in grasslands, by the N form in forests, and by the N form, N rate, and pH in croplands.

 V and V and V \mathcal{D} Springer

Fig. 4 The efect size of N additions on the denitrifcation ◂ genes (*nirK*, *nirS,* and *nosZ*) in diferent ecosystems. The error bars indicate the 95% confdence intervals. The results with signifcant responses are indicated by hollow dots. The numbers in brackets indicate the number of studies included in each variable. 'Overall' indicates the abundance of each functional gene

Correlations between climate and soil properties and N-cycling functional genes

We examined the effects of climate factors (MAT and MAP) and soil properties on the genes (Table [2](#page-9-0)). The *nifH* and *nosZ* were closely correlated to the climate variables in grasslands and croplands. AOA was closely related to N fractions in grasslands, while AOA and AOB were both strongly related to the pH in croplands. In addition, NO_3^- was important for the denitrifiers in croplands. Overall, there were strong relationships between TN and SOC and most genes in croplands.

The results from the model-averaged relative importance showed that diferent variables were important for the gene abundances in the diferent ecosystems (Fig. [5](#page-10-0)). There was no clear pattern for grasslands, with only a few important variables for a limited number of functional genes. In forests, the SOC was important for all the functional genes except *nosZ*. The cropland showed most effects from the N applications, with numerous important variables. SOC was important for all the functional genes, and pH was impor- \tan t for AOA, AOB, *nirS*, and *nosZ*. MAT, $NO₃⁻$, and TN were important for *nifH*, AOA, and AOB.

We also examined the correlations between the efect sizes of the functional genes in the diferent ecosystems (Table S3). We found that, in grasslands, there were no signifcant correlations between the nitrifcation genes and denitrifcation genes, while *nifH* was signifcantly correlated with the nitrifcation genes. The nitrifcation genes were signifcantly correlated with denitrifcation genes in forests and croplands. The denitrifcation genes were positively correlated with each other in the three ecosystems.

Discussion

Efect of climate factors on the responses of N-cycling functional genes to N additions

We found that the responses of the *nifH* and *nosZ* genes were signifcantly and positively correlated with the MAT and MAP in grasslands and croplands. These strong relationships suggest they were sensitive to changes in the external environment and may refect the important role of MAT and MAP in controlling the exchange of N gases, namely $NH₃$ and N_2O . Chen et al. [\(2020](#page-13-6)) found that the response of the microbial activities to warming was minimal, and was closely related to the soil humidity. Homyak et al. (2017) (2017) found that the soil microbial biomass increased under small decreases in precipitation but decreased under large reductions in precipitation, with the changes related to the NH_4^+ concentrations. They also suggested that an ecosystem receiving N applications could lose N via a hydrological pathway when the precipitation subsequently increased, which would then reduce the production of N_2O . Thus, microorganisms are sensitive to changes in temperature and humidity in the environment, which will affect their gene expression (Cantarel et al. [2012;](#page-13-8) Klarenberg et al. [2021](#page-14-14)). MAT and MAP must be considered as predictors of functional genes because of their impact on the microbial activity and composition (Hu et al. [2020](#page-14-15); Tang et al. [2019;](#page-15-10) Verma and Sagar [2020](#page-15-11)).

While the nitrifcation and denitrifcation genes and the climate factors were not closely related, the relative importance analysis indicated that temperature was important for the nitrifcation genes in croplands. Zhang et al. (2022) (2022) found that increases in temperature had a minimal effect on functional genes associated with N cycling in soil, refecting the insignifcant change on the plant biomass. However, warming and N additions combined caused noticeable increases in the abundances of *amoA*. Even if a temperature change causes a signifcant response in the soil substrate, the biological characteristics of AOA and AOB in autotrophic organisms could mean that their gene expression abundance does not change or even decreases (Kowalchuk and Stephen [2001;](#page-14-16) Tang et al. [2019](#page-15-10)). It could affect subsequent denitrification processes and the $N₂O$ production (Cantarel et al. [2012;](#page-13-8) Ouyang et al. [2018\)](#page-14-5).

Efect of N additions on the responses of the N-cycling functional genes

Nitrogenase, dominated by $ni fH$, fixes N₂ to NH₄⁺, which is then followed by nitrifcation. However, this is not the only source of NH_4^+ . The soil NH_4^+

Variable	n if H		AOA		AOB		nirK		nirS		nosZ		
	\boldsymbol{P}	R^2	\boldsymbol{P}	\mathbb{R}^2	\boldsymbol{P}	\mathbb{R}^2	\boldsymbol{P}	\mathbb{R}^2	\boldsymbol{P}	R^2	\boldsymbol{P}	R^2	
Grassland													
MAT	$< 0.01**$	0.516	0.074	0.059	0.184	0.033	0.429	0.032	0.308	0.205	0.592	0.051	
MAP	$< 0.01**$	0.707	0.053	0.072	0.609	0.005	0.091	0.136	0.321	0.196	$0.036*0.546$		
pH	0.431	0.057	0.085	0.057	0.101	0.052	0.051	0.178	$< 0.01**0.769$		$0.020*0.694$		
NH_4 ⁺	0.262	0.113	$< 0.01**$ 0.248		$0.040*0.093$		0.802 0.003		0.071	0.599	0.234 0.269		
NO_3^-	0.406	0.064	$< 0.01**$ 0.310			$0.041*0.088$	$< 0.01**0.529$		0.057	0.549	$< 0.01**$ 0.816		
SOC	0.331	0.135	0.14	0.160	0.790	0.006	$0.032*0.308$		0.939	0.004	0.360	0.410	
TN	0.664	0.018	$< 0.01**0.625$		0.944	0.000	0.994	0.000	0.399	0.362	0.094	0.661	
Forest													
MAT	0.388	0.050	0.575	0.013	0.394	0.030	0.809	0.002	0.544	0.018	0.392	0.031	
MAP	0.578	0.021	0.806	0.003	0.107	0.105	0.524	0.015	0.726	0.006	0.281	0.048	
pH	0.518	0.028	0.510	0.034	0.132	0.136	$0.045*0.170$		0.422	0.041	0.123	0.121	
NH_4^+	0.615	0.017	0.782	0.006	$< 0.01**0.631$		0.195	0.072	0.965	0.000	$< 0.01**$ 0.355		
NO ₃	0.060	0.339	0.979	0.000	0.298	0.107	0.771	0.005	0.913	0.001	0.470	0.038	
SOC	0.918	0.001	0.988	0.000	0.213	0.151	0.719	0.008	0.315	0.101	0.309	0.065	
TN	$0.041*$	0.600	0.867	0.008	0.522	0.061	0.379	0.060	0.739 0.017		$0.020*0.434$		
Cropland													
MAT	$< 0.01**$	0.143	0.075	0.026	0.393	0.006	0.226	0.031	0.964	0.000	< 0.01 ** 0.144		
MAP	0.065	0.045	0.153 0.015		0.255	0.009	0.202	0.030	0.064	0.056	$0.034*0.083$		
pH	$< 0.01**$	0.258	$< 0.01**$ 0.242		$< 0.01**0.079$		0.845	0.001	$0.036*0.096$		0.773	0.002	
$NH4+$	0.278	0.016	0.271 0.011		0.336	0.008	0.153	0.050	0.250 0.028		0.403	0.016	
NO_3^-	0.143	0.029	0.402 0.006		0.727	0.001	$< 0.01**0.331$		$< 0.01**0.169$		$< 0.01**$ 0.303		
SOC	0.555	0.010	$< 0.01**0.167$		$< 0.01**$ 0.119		$< 0.01**$ 0.384		$0.042*0.127$		$< 0.01**0.473$		
TN	$< 0.01**$	0.223	$< 0.01**$ 0.184		$< 0.01**$ 0.133		$< 0.01**$ 0.415		$< 0.01**0.179$		$< 0.01**0.470$		

Table 2 Correlation analysis between climate and soil properties and functional genes in different ecosystems under N additions

a. Mean annual temperature (MAT) and mean annual precipitation (MAP) were used in the logarithmically transformed data, Ln $(MAT+5 °C)$ and Ln $(MAP$ mm), respectively (Li et al. [2020\)](#page-14-19)

b. The size of the effect (RR_{++}) of the soil properties (pH, NH_4^+ , NO₃⁻, SOC, and TN) and the functional genes were used for the correlation analysis

c. Bold font indicates significant correlation coefficients (**, *P* < 0.01; *, *P* < 0.05). NA means there were insufficient valid groups for analysis

may increase when inorganic NH_4^+ -N is added from an external source or through mineralization of organic N by microorganisms (Nelson et al. [2016](#page-14-17)). This may help to explain why the *nifH* abundance does not change, and may even decrease, when inorganic NH_4^+ -N is applied to forests.

During nitrifcation, we found that the AOA abundance did not respond signifcantly to N additions in these ecosystems. This difers from the results reported by other researchers (Carey et al. [2016;](#page-13-2) Ouyang et al. 2018) and could reflect the small number of observations included in the other studies (Carey

et al. [2016;](#page-13-2) Ouyang et al. [2018](#page-14-5)). Furthermore, the ammonia monooxygenase that is encoded by AOA reaches saturation at a low $NH₃$ concentration. Studies have shown that, under low N applications, AOA dominates the nitrifcation process but, when the N application rate is high, the soil microbial activity decreases because of acidifcation (Di et al. [2009;](#page-13-9) Ouyang et al. [2018](#page-14-5)), and so the response of AOA to N additions is not signifcant (Prosser and Nicol [2012](#page-14-18)). The model-averaged relative importance also showed that the soil pH was an important control on the *amoA* abundance in croplands. Thus, AOB are more

		Grassland								Forest							Cropland						
	MAT	0.530	0.182	1.000	0.379	0.520	0.042		0.683	0.503	0.502	0.747	0.982	0.244		0.847	0.886	0.995	0.030	0.316	0.495		
	MAP-	0.536	0.195	1.000	0.835	0.481	0.198		0.676	0.503	0.507	0.735	0.984	0.155		0.244	0.239	0.014	0.007	0.014	0.495		
	pH-	0.070	0.110	0.209	0.469	0.003	0.008		1.000	1.000	0.030	0.030	0.981	0.109		0.197	1.000	0.923	0.394	0.972	1.000		
	$NH4$ ⁺	0.195	0.082	0.010	0.200	0.033	0.035		1.000	1.000	0.026	0.019	0.058	0.067		0.060	0.144	0.608	0.838	0.506	0.091		
Variables	NO ₃	0.079	0.170	0.726	0.970	0.014	0.580		0.006	NA	0.005	0.810	0.001	0.137		1.000	0.975	0.993	0.193	0.589	0.914		
	soc-	0.209	0.977	1.000	0.028	0.001	0.003		1.000	0.986	1.000	0.949	0.990	0.130		0.998	1.000	1.000	0.998	1,000	1.000		
	TN.	0.037	0.096	0.006	0.042	0.003	0.003		0.009	NA	0.007	0.204	0.002	0.933		1.000	1.000	1.000	0.770	0.833	0.600		
	N form	0.499	0.494	0.499	0.499	0.497	0.042		NA	0.503	0.505	0.503	0.495	0.503		0.703	0.040	1.000	0.012	0.012	0.533		
	N Rate	NA	0.013	0.024	0.075	NA	NA		0.018	0.995	1.000	0.016	0.022	0.002		0.155	0.005	\circ	0.012	0.009	NA		
	N Duration	0.791	0.642	0.499	0.056	0.098	0.221		NA	1.000	1.000	0.021	0.496	0.086		0.513	0.045	0.009	0.074	0.216	0.500		
		n ifH	AOA	AOB	т n ir K	nirS	nosZ		n ifH	AOA	AOB	nirK	nirS	nosZ		n iH	AOA	AOB	n ir K	nirS	nosZ		

Fig. 5 The model-averaged relative importance of the predictors of N additions on diferent N-cycling functional genes in different ecosystems. The cut-off between important (red squares) and nonessential predictors (white squares) was 0.8.

The importance was based on the sum of the Akaike weights derived from model selection using the corrected Akaike's Information Criteria

adaptable than AOA and their response increases as the N contents increase (Prosser and Nicol [2012](#page-14-18); Wang et al. [2016](#page-15-13)).

AOB were most abundant in grasslands, which had the highest inorganic N inputs and longest N application duration of the three ecosystems. This result is consistent with the physiological characteristics and NH_4^+ oxidation pathways of AOB (Hu and He [2018](#page-14-20); Ouyang et al. [2017;](#page-14-2) Prosser and Nicol [2012](#page-14-18)). The response of AOB reached a minimum in forests, suggesting that the N fxation rate was suppressed in forests (Dynarski and Houlton [2017](#page-13-10)). The increase in the AOB abundance was less in croplands (+151.86%) than in grasslands. Croplands receive more organic N than grasslands, and the complexity of the organic matter has little effect on nutrient decomposition and use of nutrients by microorganisms (Zhao et al. [2016\)](#page-15-14). As a result, inorganic N has more efect on AOB than AOA at the same application rate and duration because AOB has a stronger affinity for $NH₃$ than AOA (Carey et al. [2016;](#page-13-2) Hu and He [2018](#page-14-20); Ouyang et al. [2018\)](#page-14-5).

The results show that the three denitrifcation genes were promoted most when organic N was added, with the promotion closely related to the nutrient content, and that SOC was an important driver of the responses of *nirK*, *nirS*, and *nosZ* to N additions (Fig. [5\)](#page-10-0). Zhang et al. ([2021\)](#page-15-6) found that organic N had stronger effects on denitrifier abundances than inorganic N. Abdalla et al. ([2019\)](#page-13-11) reported that, as organic N applications to cropland under rotation increased, the $NO₃⁻$ leaching decreased and SOC retention improved. Thus, when supplied in large quantities, SOC and TN can promote the growth and physiological activities of soil microorganisms, especially heterotrophic denitrifying bacteria, causing the denitrifcation rate to increase (Morley and Baggs 2010) and enhancing the production of N₂O. Other studies reported similar results (Kallenbach and Grandy [2011;](#page-14-22) Kramer et al. [2006](#page-14-23); Ouyang et al. [2018\)](#page-14-5). Studies have shown that, as the rate and duration of the N applications increase, the denitrifcation rate and the production of N_2O will also change (Aronson and Allison [2012;](#page-13-12) Bai et al. [2014\)](#page-13-13).

How soil properties afect the response of N-cycling functional genes to N additions

The soil pH has a strong infuence on the microbial composition and gene abundance (Lauber et al. [2009\)](#page-14-24). Functional communities each have unique optimum pH ranges and, for example, when the soil pH is greater than 5, the soil microbial biomass may increase signifcantly under N additions. External N applications have no efect on severely acidifed soil (Geisseler and Scow [2014](#page-14-25); Lauber et al. [2009;](#page-14-24) Ouyang et al. [2018\)](#page-14-5). For example, unlike the grassland and cropland study sites, 84% of the soil in forest study sites examined in this analysis were already relatively acidic and had pH values between 3.7 and 5 before N applications commenced (Supplementary Dataset). Previous studies have reported that forest soils are frequently acidic (Friedland and Miller [1999\)](#page-13-14). Researchers showed that, in forests, the proportion of AOA was higher than that of AOB, and that AOA preferred environments that had lower $NH₃$ contents and soil pH values (Assémien et al. [2017](#page-13-15); Prosser and Nicol [2012](#page-14-18); Srikanthasamy et al. [2018](#page-15-15)). When N is applied, the available N increases, which promotes the release of $H⁺$ during litter decomposition and nitrifcation (Rao et al. [2009](#page-15-16)). Thus, the metabolism of microbes is suppressed in acidic soil. When analysing the roles of AOB and AOA in an acidifed soil, Song et al. ([2016\)](#page-15-17) reported that AOA rather than AOB were responsible for nitrifcation in the acidic soil.

SOC and TN also infuenced the gene abundance. Soil fertility in croplands can be maintained through crop rotation or planting ground cover during the slack season (Smith et al. [2008](#page-15-18); Tilman et al. [2002](#page-15-19); Zak et al. [2003\)](#page-15-20). N applications could promote inputs of C and other exudates by stimulating the plant productivity and litter mass (Abdalla et al. [2019](#page-13-11); Acosta-Martínez et al. [2007;](#page-13-16) Deng et al. [2020](#page-13-17); Dodor and Ali Tabatabai [2005](#page-13-18); Schmidt et al. [2011](#page-15-0)). A high C environment promotes the dissimilation and reduction of $NO₃⁻$ to $NH₄⁺$, which is then easily used by plants (Schmidt et al. [2011;](#page-15-0) Zhu et al. [2014](#page-14-26)). As the SOC and TN increase, the supply of $NH₄⁺$ comes from directly improving the physiological metabolism of microbes and accelerating the decomposition of organic matter rather than through N_2 fixation (Cannavo et al. [2004](#page-13-19); Cao et al. [2021](#page-13-20)). Therefore, as the SOC and TN increased, the *nifH* abundance either did not change or decreased. The nitrifcation functional genes, AOA and AOB, are also regulated by SOC and TN. The mineralization rate and soil organic matter are higher in forests than grasslands, which may have benefts for

nitrifer growth (Srikanthasamy et al. [2018\)](#page-15-15). However, the microorganism activity and AOB abundance in forests may be low, because of the low inputs of organic fertiliser, and therefore SOC and TN (Cavicchioli et al. [2019\)](#page-13-21). The microorganisms involved in denitrifcation are usually heterotrophic, and so compete for SOC (Tiedje [1988](#page-15-21)), which means that the denitrifcation genes are very sensitive to changes in SOC (Levy-Booth et al. [2014](#page-14-27)). Our analysis showed that SOC, which is important for plant productivity and microbial respiration (Fernández-Martínez et al. [2014\)](#page-13-22), did not change signifcantly in grassland and forest ecosystems (Fig. [1](#page-4-0)), which helps to explain the lack of signifcant change in the denitrifcation gene abundance.

In general, the climate factors and N together infuence the soil physical and chemical properties, and so afect the abundance of the various functional genes and their contributions to N cycling. Because of the unique properties of soil and microbial communities in diferent ecosystems, the degree of change in the soil properties and in the abundances and responses of the functional genes will difer. We summarized the results from our analysis and developed a conceptual model of how N additions and climate infuence soil properties and N-cycling functional genes (Fig. [6](#page-12-0)). We believe our model will be mainly valid for temperate soils based on the sources of our data (Fig. S1). Some of the soil properties, such as NO_3^- , pH, and NH_4^+ , and functional genes (AOB) changed considerably under N additions across all ecosystem types. The abundance of *nifH* decreased signifcantly (by 32.79%) in forests and the abundances of *nirK*, *nirS*, and *nosZ* increased signifcantly in croplands. The results demonstrate the role of climate and, in future, we suggest that MAT and MAP should be considered as predictors of functional genes because of their impact on the microbial activity, and the composition and concentrations of the reaction substrate. We advise careful nutrient budgeting and monitoring of the pH and NH_4^+ , to avoid over applications of N, that drive increases in emissions, and consequent acidifcation of the soil and pollution of nearby waterways by NO_3^- .

Conclusion

This study applied meta-analysis techniques to data from 119 articles, to identify how N additions caused

Fig. 6 Conceptual model of the mechanisms driving the changes in the N-cycling functional genes in diferent ecosystem soils under N applications. G means grassland, F means forest, and C means cropland. The number with an arrow represents a signifcant change

changes in the N-cycling functional genes in three diferent ecosystems. We found that the responses of the N-cycling functional genes to N additions varied among the ecosystems. Under N applications, the AOA abundance did not change signifcantly in the three ecosystems, the AOB abundance in grasslands increased by 193.06%, the *nifH* and AOB in forests changed signifcantly, and the AOB and denitrifcation gene (*nirK*, *nirS*, and *nosZ*) abundances in croplands increased signifcantly. The N-cycling genes were infuenced by diferent variables among the ecosystems with most efects in the croplands. There were no strong overall patterns for the N-cycling genes in grasslands. The N-cycling genes in forests were mainly infuenced by SOC, but in croplands, were influenced by the MAT, pH , $NO₃⁻$, SOC, and TN. Through changing the reaction substrate, and afecting the microbial activity, the climate, N

additions, and soil properties impacted the expression abundance of the functional genes. Quantifying the diferences in the responses of the N-cycling gene abundances to N additions across three terrestrial ecosystems in this way can produce useful information about gene-based models of N cycling in soil, especially the populations and activities of microbial functional communities.

Acknowledgements We would like to thank the reviewers and editor for proofreading and providing helpful suggestions on the manuscript. Sincere appreciation to the scientists whose research studies were included in this meta-analysis. This study was supported by the Second Tibetan Plateau Scientifc Expedition and Research Program (STEP) [Grant No. 2019QZKK0608] and the National Natural Science Foundation of China [Grant No. 31770519].

Authors' contributions All the authors contributed to the study conception and design. Yinghui Liu and Jingyi Dong conceived the ideas and designed the methodology; Jingyi Dong, Jiaqi Zhang, and Haichao Jing collected the data; Jingyi Dong and Jiaqi Zhang analysed the data, and Jingyi Dong wrote the manuscript.

Data availability All the data presented in this manuscript are available in the supporting information.

Declarations

Confict of interest There is no confict of interest.

References

- Abdalla M, Hastings A, Cheng K, Yue Q, Chadwick D, Espenberg M, Truu J, Rees RM, Smith P (2019) A critical review of the impacts of cover crops on nitrogen leaching, net greenhouse gas balance and crop productivity. Glob Change Biol 25:2530–2543. [https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.](https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.14644) [14644](https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.14644)
- Acosta-Martínez V, Mikha MM, Vigil MF (2007) Microbial communities and enzyme activities in soils under alternative crop rotations compared to wheat-fallow for the Central Great Plains. Appl Soil Ecol 37:41–52. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apsoil.2007.03.009) [10.1016/j.apsoil.2007.03.009](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apsoil.2007.03.009)
- Aronson E, Allison S (2012) Meta-analysis of environmental impacts on nitrous oxide release in response to N amendment. Frontiers in Microbiology 3. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2012.00272) [3389/fmicb.2012.00272](https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2012.00272)
- Assémien FL, Pommier T, Gonnety JT, Gervaix J, Le Roux X (2017) Adaptation of soil nitrifers to very low nitrogen level jeopardizes the efficiency of chemical fertilization in west african moist savannas. Sci Rep 7:10275. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-10185-5) [org/10.1038/s41598-017-10185-5](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-10185-5)
- Bai E, Li W, Li S, Sun J, Peng B, Dai W, Jiang P, Han S (2014) Pulse increase of soil N_2O emission in response to N addition in a temperate forest on Mt Changbai. Northeast China Plos One 9:e102765. [https://doi.org/10.1371/journ](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0102765) [al.pone.0102765](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0102765)
- Bonan GB (2008) Forests and climate change: forcings, feedbacks, and the climate benefts of forests. Science 320:1444.<https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1155121>
- Bowman WD, Cleveland CC, Halada Ĺ, Hreško J, Baron JS (2008) Negative impact of nitrogen deposition on soil buffering capacity. Nat Geosci 1:767–770. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1038/ngeo339) [10.1038/ngeo339](https://doi.org/10.1038/ngeo339)
- Calcagno V, de Mazancourt C (2010) *glmulti*: An *R* package for easy automated model selection with (generalized) linear models. Journal Of Statistical Software 34. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.18637/jss.v034.i12) [org/10.18637/jss.v034.i12.](https://doi.org/10.18637/jss.v034.i12)
- Canfeld DE, Glazer AN, Falkowski PG (2010) The evolution and future of earth's nitrogen cycle. Science 330:192–196. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1186120>
- Cannavo P, Richaume A, Lafolie F (2004) Fate of nitrogen and carbon in the vadose zone: in situ and laboratory measurements of seasonal variations in aerobic respiratory and denitrifying activities. Soil Biol Biochem 36:463–478. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2003.10.023>
- Cantarel AAM, Bloor JMG, Pommier T, Guillaumaud N, Moirot C, Soussana J-F, Poly F (2012) Four years of experimental climate change modifes the microbial drivers of N2O fuxes in an upland grassland ecosystem. Glob Change Biol 18:2520–2531. [https://doi.org/10.1111/j.](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2486.2012.02692.x) [1365-2486.2012.02692.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2486.2012.02692.x)
- Cao Y, He Z, Zhu T, Zhao F (2021) Organic-C quality as a key driver of microbial nitrogen immobilization in soil: A meta-analysis. Geoderma 383. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geoderma.2020.114784) [geoderma.2020.114784](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geoderma.2020.114784)
- Carey CJ, Dove NC, Beman JM, Hart SC, Aronson EL (2016)
Meta-analysis reveals ammonia-oxidizing bacteria Meta-analysis reveals respond more strongly to nitrogen addition than ammoniaoxidizing archaea. Soil Biol Biochem 99:158–166. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2016.05.014) doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2016.05.014
- Cavicchioli R, Ripple WJ, Timmis KN, Azam F, Bakken LR, Baylis M, Behrenfeld MJ, Boetius A, Boyd PW, Classen AT, Crowther TW, Danovaro R, Foreman CM, Huisman J, Hutchins DA, Jansson JK, Karl DM, Koskella B, Mark Welch DB, Martiny JBH, Moran MA, Orphan VJ, Reay DS, Remais JV, Rich VI, Singh BK, Stein LY, Stewart FJ, Sullivan MB, van Oppen MJH, Weaver SC, Webb EA, Webster NS (2019) Scientists' warning to humanity: microorganisms and climate change. Nat Rev Microbiol 17:569–586. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41579-019-0222-5>
- Chen Y, Feng J, Yuan X, Zhu B (2020) Effects of warming on carbon and nitrogen cycling in alpine grassland ecosystems on the Tibetan Plateau: A meta-analysis. Geoderma 370:114363. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geoderma.2020.114363>
- Deng L, Huang C, Kim D-G, Shangguan Z, Wang K, Song X, Peng C (2020) Soil GHG fuxes are altered by N deposition: New data indicate lower N stimulation of the N_2O fux and greater stimulation of the calculated C pools. Glob Change Biol 26:2613–2629. [https://doi.org/10.1111/](https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.14970) [gcb.14970](https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.14970)
- Di HJ, Cameron KC, Shen JP, Winefeld CS, O'Callaghan M, Bowatte S, He JZ (2009) Nitrifcation driven by bacteria and not archaea in nitrogen-rich grassland soils. Nat Geosci 2:621–624.<https://doi.org/10.1038/ngeo613>
- Dodor DE, Ali Tabatabai M (2005) Glycosidases in soils as afected by cropping systems. J Plant Nutr Soil Sci 168:749–758.<https://doi.org/10.1002/jpln.200521761>
- Dynarski K, Houlton B (2017) Nutrient limitation of terrestrial free-living nitrogen fxation. New Phytologist 217. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.14905) doi.org/10.1111/nph.14905
- Feng J, Zhu B (2019) A global meta-analysis of soil respiration and its components in response to phosphorus addition. Soil Biol Biochem 135:38–47. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2019.04.008) [soilbio.2019.04.008](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2019.04.008)
- Fernández-Martínez M, Vicca S, Janssens IA, Sardans J, Luyssaert S, Campioli M, Chapin Iii FS, Ciais P, Malhi Y, Obersteiner M, Papale D, Piao SL, Reichstein M, Rodà F, Peñuelas J (2014) Nutrient availability as the key regulator of global forest carbon balance. Nat Clim Chang 4:471–476. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nclimate2177>
- Friedland AJ, Miller EK (1999) Major-element cycling in a high-elevation adirondack forest: patterns and changes, 1986–1996. Ecol Appl 9:958–967. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1890/1051-0761(1999)009[0958:MECIAH]2.0.CO;2) [1890/1051-0761\(1999\)009\[0958:MECIAH\]2.0.CO;2](https://doi.org/10.1890/1051-0761(1999)009[0958:MECIAH]2.0.CO;2)
- Gaby JC, Buckley DH (2012) A comprehensive evaluation of PCR primers to amplify the *nifH* gene of nitrogenase.

PLoS ONE 7:e42149. [https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0042149) [pone.0042149](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0042149)

- Geisseler D, Scow KM (2014) Long-term efects of mineral fertilizers on soil microorganisms - A review. Soil Biol Biochem 75:54–63. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2014.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2014.03.023) [03.023](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2014.03.023)
- Zhu Y, Wang X, Yang X, Xu H, Jia Y (2014) Key microbial processes in nitrous oxide emissions of agricultural soil and mitigation strategies. Environmental Science 35: 9. <https://doi.org/10.13227/j.hjkx.2014.02.008>.
- Hedges, Larry V, Gurevitch, Jessica (1999) The meta-analysis of response ratios in experimental ecology. Ecology 80: 1150- 1156. [https://doi.org/10.1890/0012-9658\(1999\)080\[1150:](https://doi.org/10.1890/0012-9658(1999)080[1150:TMAORR]2.0.CO;2) [TMAORR\]2.0.CO;2.](https://doi.org/10.1890/0012-9658(1999)080[1150:TMAORR]2.0.CO;2)
- Hallin S, Jones CM, Schloter M, Philippot L (2009) Relationship between N-cycling communities and ecosystem functioning in a 50-year-old fertilization experiment. ISME J 3:597–605.<https://doi.org/10.1038/ismej.2008.128>
- Henry S, Bru D, Stres B, Hallet S, Philippot L (2006) Quantitative detection of the *nosZ* gene, encoding nitrous oxide reductase, and comparison of the abundances of 16S rRNA, *narG*, *nirK*, and *nosZ* genes in soils. Appl Environ Microbiol 72:5181–5189. [https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.](https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.00231-06) [00231-06](https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.00231-06)
- Homyak PM, Allison SD, Huxman TE, Goulden ML, Treseder KK (2017) Effects of drought manipulation on soil nitrogen cycling: A meta-analysis. J Geophys Res Biogeosci 122:3260–3272.<https://doi.org/10.1002/2017JG004146>
- Hovenden MJ, Leuzinger S, Newton PCD, Fletcher A, Fatichi S, Lüscher A, Reich PB, Andresen LC, Beier C, Blumenthal DM, Chiariello NR, Dukes JS, Kellner J, Hofmockel K, Niklaus PA, Song J, Wan S, Classen AT, Langley JA (2019) Globally consistent infuences of seasonal precipitation limit grassland biomass response to elevated CO₂. Nature Plants 5:167–173. [https://doi.org/10.1038/](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41477-018-0356-x) [s41477-018-0356-x](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41477-018-0356-x)
- Hu R, Wang X, Xu J, Zhang Y, Pan Y, Su X (2020) The mechanism of soil nitrogen transformation under diferent biocrusts to warming and reduced precipitation: From microbial functional genes to enzyme activity. Sci Total Environ 722:137849. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.137849) [2020.137849](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.137849)
- Hu H, He J (2018) Manipulating the soil microbiome for improved nitrogen management. Microbiology Australia 39. <https://doi.org/10.1071/MA18007>
- Kallenbach C, Grandy S (2011) Controls over soil microbial biomass responses to carbon amendments in agricultural systems: A meta-analysis. Agr Ecosyst Environ 144:241– 252. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agee.2011.08.020>
- Klarenberg IJ, Keuschnig C, Russi Colmenares AJ, Warshan D, Jungblut AD, Jónsdóttir IS, Vilhelmsson O (2021) Longterm warming efects on the microbiome and *nifH* gene abundance of a common moss species in sub-Arctic tundra. New Phytologist. <https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.17837>
- Kowalchuk GA, Stephen JR (2001) Ammonia-oxidizing bacteria: a model for molecular microbial ecology. Annu Rev Microbiol 55:485–529. [https://doi.org/10.1146/](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.micro.55.1.485) [annurev.micro.55.1.485](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.micro.55.1.485)
- Kramer SB, Reganold JP, Glover JD, Bohannan BJM, Mooney HA (2006) Reduced nitrate leaching and enhanced denitrifier activity and efficiency in organically

fertilized soils. Proc Natl Acad Sci 103:4522. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0600359103) doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0600359103

- Kuperman RG, Edwards CA (1997) Effects of acidic deposition on soil invertebrates and microorganisms. In: W G.W., N H.N., B A. (eds) Reviews of Environmental Contamination & Toxicology. Springer, New York.
- Kuypers MMM, Marchant HK, Kartal B (2018) The microbial nitrogen-cycling network. Nat Rev Microbiol 16:263–276. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nrmicro.2018.9>
- Lauber CL, Hamady M, Knight R, Fierer N (2009) Pyrosequencing-based assessment of soil pH as a predictor of soil bacterial community structure at the continental scale. Appl Environ Microbiol 75:5111–5120. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.00335-09) doi.org/10.1128/AEM.00335-09
- Levy-Booth DJ, Prescott CE, Grayston SJ (2014) Microbial functional genes involved in nitrogen fxation, nitrifcation and denitrifcation in forest ecosystems. Soil Biol Biochem 75:11–25. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2014.03.021) [2014.03.021](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2014.03.021)
- Li Z, Zeng Z, Tian D, Wang J, Fu Z, Zhang F, Zhang R, Chen W, Luo Y, Niu S (2020) Global patterns and controlling factors of soil nitrifcation rate. Glob Change Biol 26:4147–4157.<https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.15119>
- Liu L, Greaver TL (2010) A global perspective on belowground carbon dynamics under nitrogen enrichment. Ecol Lett 13:819–828. [https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1461-0248.](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1461-0248.2010.01482.x) [2010.01482.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1461-0248.2010.01482.x)
- Makowski D (2019) $N₂O$ increasing faster than expected. Nat Clim Chang 9:909–910. [https://doi.org/10.1038/](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41558-019-0642-2) [s41558-019-0642-2](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41558-019-0642-2)
- Marinho V, Higgins J, Logan S, Sheiham A (2003) Topical fuoride (toothpastes, mouthrinses, gels, varnishes) for preventing dental caries in children and adolescents. Cochrane database of systematic reviews (Online) 4: CD002782.<https://doi.org/10.1002/14651858.CD002782>.
- Morales SE, Cosart T, Holben WE (2010) Bacterial gene abundances as indicators of greenhouse gas emission in soils. ISME J 4:799–808.<https://doi.org/10.1038/ismej.2010.8>
- Morley N, Baggs EM (2010) Carbon and oxygen controls on N_2 O and N_2 production during nitrate reduction. Soil Biol Biochem 42:1864–1871. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2010.07.008) [2010.07.008](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2010.07.008)
- Nelson MB, Martiny AC, Martiny JBH (2016) Global biogeography of microbial nitrogen-cycling traits in soil. Proc Natl Acad Sci 113:8033.<https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1601070113>
- Ouyang Y, Norton JM, Stark JM (2017) Ammonium availability and temperature control contributions of ammonia oxidizing bacteria and archaea to nitrifcation in an agricultural soil. Soil Biol Biochem 113:161–172. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2017.06.010) [10.1016/j.soilbio.2017.06.010](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2017.06.010)
- Ouyang Y, Evans SE, Friesen ML, Tiemann LK (2018) Efect of nitrogen fertilization on the abundance of nitrogen cycling genes in agricultural soils: A meta-analysis of feld studies. Soil Biol Biochem 127:71–78. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2018.08.024) [org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2018.08.024](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2018.08.024)
- Phillips RP, Fahey TJ (2007) Fertilization effects on fineroot biomass, rhizosphere microbes and respiratory fuxes in hardwood forest soils. New Phytol 176:655–664. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-8137.2007.02204.x) [10.1111/j.1469-8137.2007.02204.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-8137.2007.02204.x)
- Prosser J, Nicol G (2012) Archaeal and bacterial ammoniaoxidisers in soil: the quest for niche specialisation and

diferentiation. Trends Microbiol 20:523–531. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tim.2012.08.001) [org/10.1016/j.tim.2012.08.001](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tim.2012.08.001)

- Rao L, Parker D, Bytnerowicz A, Allen E (2009) Nitrogen mineralization across an atmospheric nitrogen deposition gradient in Southern California deserts. J Arid Environ 73:920–930. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaridenv.2009.04. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaridenv.2009.04.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaridenv.2009.04.007) [007](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaridenv.2009.04.007)
- Rosenberg M, Adams D, Gurevitch J (2000) MetaWin: Statistical software for meta-analysis. Version 2.0. Sinauer Associates.
- Schmidt M, Torn M, Abiven S, Dittmar T, Guggenberger G, Janssens I, Kleber M, Kögel-Knabner I, Lehmann J, Manning D, Nannipieri P, Rasse D, Weiner S, Trumbore S (2011) Persistence of soil organic matter as an ecosystem property. Nature 478:49–56. [https://doi.org/10.1038/natur](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature10386) [e10386](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature10386)
- Smith RG, Gross KL, Robertson GP (2008) Effects of crop diversity on agroecosystem function: crop yield response.
Ecosystems 11:355–366. https://doi.org/10.1007/ [https://doi.org/10.1007/](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10021-008-9124-5) [s10021-008-9124-5](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10021-008-9124-5)
- Song H, Che Z, Cao W, Huang T, Wang J, Dong Z (2016) Changing roles of ammonia-oxidizing bacteria and archaea in a continuously acidifying soil caused by over-fertilization with nitrogen. Environ Sci Pollut Res 23:11964–11974. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-016-6396-8) doi.org/10.1007/s11356-016-6396-8
- Srikanthasamy T, Leloup J, N'Dri AB, Barot S, Gervaix J, Koné AW, Koffi KF, Le Roux X, Raynaud X, Lata J-C (2018) Contrasting efects of grasses and trees on microbial N-cycling in an African humid savanna. Soil Biol Biochem 117:153–163. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2017.11.016) [2017.11.016](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2017.11.016)
- Stocker TF, Qin D, Plattner G-K, Tignor M, Allen SK, Boschung J, Nauels A, Xia Y, Bex V, Midgley PM Carbon and other biogeochemical cycles. In Climate change 2013: the physical science basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA.
- Sulman BN, Phillips RP, Oishi AC, Shevliakova E, Pacala SW (2014) Microbe-driven turnover ofsets mineral-mediated storage of soil carbon under elevated CO₂. Nat Clim Chang 4:1099–1102.<https://doi.org/10.1038/nclimate2436>
- Sun R, Wang F, Hu C, Liu B (2021) Metagenomics reveals taxon-specifc responses of the nitrogen-cycling microbial community to long-term nitrogen fertilization. Soil Biol Biochem 156:108214. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2021.108214) [2021.108214](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2021.108214)
- Tang L, Zhong L, Xue K, Wang S, Xu Z, Lin Q, Luo C, Rui Y, Li X, Li M, Liu W-t, Yang Y, Zhou J, Wang Y (2019) Warming counteracts grazing efects on the functional structure of the soil microbial community in a Tibetan grassland. Soil Biol Biochem 134:113–121. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2019.02.018) [org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2019.02.018](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2019.02.018)
- Terrer C, Vicca S, Hungate BA, Phillips RP, Prentice IC (2016) Mycorrhizal association as a primary control of the $CO₂$ fertilization effect. Science 353:72. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aaf4610) [1126/science.aaf4610](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aaf4610)
- Tiedje J (1988) Ecology of denitrifcation and dissimilatory nitrate reduction to ammonium. In: Z A.J.B. (ed) Biology of Anaerobic Microorganisms. John Wiley & Sons, New York, USA.
- Tilman D, Cassman KG, Matson PA, Naylor R, Polasky S (2002) Agricultural sustainability and intensive production practices. Nature 418:671–677. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature01014) [1038/nature01014](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature01014)
- Van Breemen N, Mulder J, Driscoll CT (1983) Acidifcation and alkalization of soils. Plant Soil 75:283–308. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02369968) doi.org/10.1007/BF02369968
- Verma P, Sagar R (2020) Efect of nitrogen (N) deposition on soil-N processes: a holistic approach. Sci Rep 10:10470. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-020-67368-w>
- Viechtbauer W (2010) Conducting meta-analyses in *R* with the *metafor* package. J Stat Softw 36. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.18637/jss.v036.i03) [18637/jss.v036.i03.](https://doi.org/10.18637/jss.v036.i03)
- Wang Q, Zhang L-M, Shen J-P, Du S, Han L-L, He J-Z (2016) Nitrogen fertiliser-induced changes in N_2O emissions are attributed more to ammonia-oxidising bacteria rather than archaea as revealed using 1-octyne and acetylene inhibitors in two arable soils. Biol Fertil Soils 52:1163–1171. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00374-016-1151-3) [org/10.1007/s00374-016-1151-3](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00374-016-1151-3)
- Yang F, Zhang Z, Barberán A, Yang Y, Hu S, Guo H (2021) Nitrogen-induced acidifcation plays a vital role driving ecosystem functions: Insights from a 6-year nitrogen enrichment experiment in a Tibetan alpine meadow. Soil Biol Biochem 153:108107. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilb](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2020.108107) [io.2020.108107](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2020.108107)
- Zak DR, Holmes WE, White DC, Peacock AD, Tilman D (2003) Plant diversity, soil microbial communities, and ecosystem function: Are there any links? Ecology 84:2042–2050. <https://doi.org/10.1890/02-0433>
- Zhang Q, Zhou J, Li X, Zheng Y, Xie L, Yang Z, Liu X, Xu C, Lin H, Yuan X, Liu C, Zhu B, Chen Y, Yang Y (2022) Contrasting efects of warming and N deposition on soil microbial functional genes in a subtropical forest. Geoderma 408:115588. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geoderma.2021.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geoderma.2021.115588) [115588](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geoderma.2021.115588)
- Zhang Y, Zhang F, Abalos D, Luo Y, Hui D, Hungate BA, García-Palacios P, Kuzyakov Y, Olesen JE, Jørgensen U, Chen J (2021) Stimulation of ammonia oxidizer and denitrifer abundances by nitrogen loading: Poor predictability for increased soil N2O emission. Global Change Biology n/a. <https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.16042>
- Zhao J, Ni T, Li J, Lu Q, Fang Z, Huang Q, Zhang R, Li R, Shen B, Shen Q (2016) Effects of organic–inorganic compound fertilizer with reduced chemical fertilizer application on crop yields, soil biological activity and bacterial community structure in a rice–wheat cropping system. Appl Soil Ecol 99:1–12. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apsoil.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apsoil.2015.11.006) [2015.11.006](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apsoil.2015.11.006)
- Zhao Z, He J, Quan Z, Wu C, Sheng R, Zhang L, Geisen S (2020) Fertilization changes soil microbiome functioning, especially phagotrophic protists. Soil Biol Biochem 148:107863. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2020.107863>

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional afliations.