REGULAR ARTICLE

Decoupling of plant carbon and nitrogen under elevated CO2 and nitrogen addition in a typical alpine ecosystem

Guang Zhao · Yao Chen · Yangjian Zhang · Nan Cong · Zhoutao Zheng · Juntao Zhu · Ning Chen

Received: 23 December 2021 / Accepted: 16 February 2022 / Published online: 16 March 2022 © The Author(s), under exclusive licence to Springer Nature Switzerland AG 2022

Abstract

Aims Vegetation in high-altitude regions is hypothesized to be more responsive to increasing atmospheric $CO₂$ concentrations due to low $CO₂$ partial pressure. However, the underlying mechanisms driving this response at an ecosystem scale are poorly understood. We aimed to explore the plant carbon (C) and nitrogen (N) relationships and biomass allocation in

Responsible Editor: Feike A. Dijkstra.

G. Zhao \cdot Y. Chen (\boxtimes) \cdot Y. Zhang \cdot N. Cong \cdot Z. Zheng \cdot J. Zhu

Key Laboratory of Ecosystem Network Observation and Modeling, Institute of Geographic Sciences and Natural Resources Research, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, China e-mail: cheny.18b@igsnrr.ac.cn

Y. Chen

University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, China

Y. Zhang

College of Resources and Environment, University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, China

Y. Zhang

CAS center for excellence in Tibetan Plateau Earth Sciences, Beijing, China

N. Chen

Northeast Institute of Geography and Agroecology, Chinese Academy of Sciences, 130102 Changchun, China response to elevated $CO₂$ and N addition in a Tibetan meadow.

Methods A 5-year manipulation experiment was conducted in an alpine meadow (4585 m above sea level) to explore the responses of plant carbon (C), nitrogen (N), and biomass dynamics, as well as their allocation schemes, to elevated $CO₂$ (from 380 ppm to 480 ppm) and N fertilization.

Results Elevated $CO₂$ alone significantly enhanced aboveground plant biomass by 98%, exhibiting a stronger $CO₂$ fertilization effect than the global average level (20%) for grasslands. Elevated $CO₂$ favored N accumulation in aboveground parts despite the declined concentration. Nitrogen fertilization alleviated the N constraints on $CO₂$ fertilization effects, which strengthened C sequestration capacity for the aboveground plant tissues. Moreover, our results indicate a decoupling between C and N cycles in alpine ecosystems under elevated $CO₂$, especially in the N-enrichment environments.

Conclusions Overall, this study shows a high sensitivity of aboveground plant biomass and decoupled C-N relationships under elevated $CO₂$ and N fertilization for high-elevation alpine ecosystems, highlighting the need to incorporate altitude efects into Earth System Models in predicting C cycle feedbacks to climate changes.

Keywords Biomass allocation · Nitrogen deposition · Stoichiometry · Alpine ecosystem · C:N ratio

Introduction

From molecular metabolism to ecosystem nutrient cycling, carbon (C) and nitrogen (N) are biologically coupled because of the conserved elemental stoichiometry of plants and microorganisms (Finzi et al. [2011](#page-12-0)). Carbon and N are crucial to many aspects of plant physiological function and microbial metabolism, through their biochemical controls on primary production, respiration, and decomposition in terrestrial ecosystems (Evans and Burke [2013](#page-12-1)). However, global changes, such as elevated $CO₂$ and N deposition, have drastically altered the biogeochemical cycles of C and N in Earth's ecosystems. These disturbances are suggested to decouple C and N cycles (eliminate the interrelationship between them), owing to the diferent degrees of controls by biological and geochemical processes (Peñuelas et al. [2012](#page-13-0); Delgado-Baquerizo et al. [2013](#page-12-2)).

Human activities have raised $CO₂$ concentrations in the atmosphere more than 40% above their preindustrial levels, and this rising trend is projected to continue for the coming decades (Terrer et al. [2018\)](#page-13-1). Elevated CO_2 can stimulate the rate of CO_2 assimilation by plants (Leakey et al. [2009](#page-12-3); Franks et al. [2013](#page-12-4)), and potentially reinforce the C sequestration abilities of terrestrial ecosystems (Ainsworth and Long [2005\)](#page-11-0), which may counterbalance the increasing $CO₂$ in the atmosphere released by human activities (Schimel et al. 2015). This $CO₂$ fertilization effect on the terrestrial C sink is supposed to be largely hampered by N availability (Luo et al. [2004](#page-12-5); Reich and Hobbie [2013](#page-13-3); Langley and Megonigal [2010\)](#page-12-6). Decades of increased atmospheric N deposition across various ecosystems may partially lessen the N constraints on $CO₂$ -induced enhancement of terrestrial productivity (Eastman et al. [2021\)](#page-12-7). This human-induced C and N fertilization may cause the asynchrony in C and N supply and the biogeochemical cycles of C and N could be uncoupled, with subsequent consequence on terrestrial ecosystem C storage and cycling (Asner et al. [1997](#page-11-1); Evans and Burke [2013\)](#page-12-1). For example, many studies have reported an increase C:N ratio of plant tissue as a result of elevated $CO₂$, and N deposition generally decreases the C:N ratio (Wang et al. [2021](#page-13-4)). Both litter decomposition and herbivory are shown to be at least in part a function of tissue C:N ratios in many studies (Knops et al. [2007;](#page-12-8) Burghardt et al. [2018](#page-12-9)). Therefore, if an unbalanced C and N supply and demand decouple the C and N cycles, and further affect tissue and litter chemistry, elevated $CO₂$ and N deposition may accelerate or decelerate litter decomposition rates and ecosystem C cycles (Knops et al. [2007](#page-12-8); Park et al. [2020](#page-13-5)).

The altered C and N cycles under environmental disturbance are found to afect plant C, N, and biomass allocation between the above- and belowground portions (Valentine and Mäkelä [2012](#page-13-6)), which refects evolutional strategies for resource acquisition and adaptation to environments (Dietze et al. [2014;](#page-12-10) Dickman et al. [2015](#page-12-11)). The above- and belowground plant structures are exposed to dramatically diferent temperatures and moisture conditions, largely determining the decomposition of plant litter and thus the permanence of sequestered C in the ecosystem (Wang and Taub [2010](#page-13-7); Schmidt et al. 2011). Consequently, the tradeoff of plant C, N, and biomass between short-lived organs (aboveground parts) and long-lived organs (belowground parts) can produce significant effects on the pathway for ecosystem C reentering the atmosphere (Friedlingstein et al. [1999](#page-12-12)). Current empirical and theoretical methods have demonstrated distinct strategies of plant C, N and biomass allocation, which varies with environments and plant species (Peichl et al. [2012;](#page-13-9) Poorter et al. [2012\)](#page-13-10). To optimize growth and survival, plants conventionally respond to global changes by altering the ratio of C, N and biomass allocation to shoots or roots (Poorter et al. [2012](#page-13-10); Bachofen et al. [2019;](#page-11-2) Zhou et al. [2020\)](#page-13-11). For example, some ecosystems increased N and biomass allocation to roots to alleviate CO_2 -induced N limitation in soils (Suter et al. [2002](#page-13-12); Iversen et al. [2008;](#page-12-13) Leuzinger and Hättenschwiler [2013\)](#page-12-14). Conversely, some forests decrease belowground components in response to elevated $CO₂$, likely because elevated $CO₂$ can mitigate water limitation by reducing plant water consumption (Franks et al. [2013](#page-12-4)). Nitrogen fertilization increases biomass allocation to aboveground parts more strongly than to belowground parts (Li et al. [2020](#page-12-15)). Furthermore, a growing body of reports from long-term observations and manipulative experiments have demonstrated that elevated $CO₂$ and N deposition are not occurring separately, and their combined efects will likely be more complex (Reich et al. [2006b;](#page-13-13) Sillen and Dieleman [2012](#page-13-14); Zhao et al. [2020\)](#page-13-15). Despite these advances, we know little about the response of C and N cycles associated with biomass allocation to the interaction of elevated $CO₂$ and N deposition for alpine ecosystems.

Because of the non-uniform environmental stresses worldwide, elevated $CO₂$ and N deposition may have regional, but not global, effects on the plant C and N cycles associated with their allocation (Wang and Taub [2010\)](#page-13-7), emphasizing the high uncertainty in projecting future global C budgets. Alpine ecosystems at high elevation, with an area of approximately $2,847,868 \text{ km}^2$, are extremely vulnerable to global changes (Elser et al. [2020\)](#page-12-16). Highelevation plants are supposed to be more responsive to increasing $CO₂$ concentrations in the atmosphere due to their low $CO₂$ partial pressure (Hätten-schwiler et al. [2002](#page-12-17)). Although some experiments in alpine regions have been designed to test the co-regulations of elevated $CO₂$ and N addition on plant C and N dynamics and allocation, inconsistent conclusions from these studies constrain the incorporation of altitude effects of $CO₂$ fertilization into Earth System Models (Schäppi and Körner [1997](#page-13-16); Dawes et al. [2011;](#page-12-18) Inauen et al. [2012](#page-12-19)). Further field experiments are needed to complement our knowledge in those under-represented ecosystems (Curtis and Wang [1998;](#page-12-20) Zhang et al. [2014](#page-13-17); Bachofen et al. [2019](#page-11-2)).

As the highest plateau in the world, the Tibetan Plateau hosts the largest alpine grassland ecosystem worldwide (Zhang et al. [2019\)](#page-13-18). It is an ideal region to investigate the responses of plants to global changes. The Tibetan Plateau is currently experiencing signifcant anthropogenic environmental change, including increased N deposition. Understanding how these two primary global change factors (e.g., rising $CO₂$ and N deposition) affect plant C and N dynamics and the biomass allocation are critical to understanding ecosystem C cycling in facing the accelerated global changes on the plateau. In this study, we explored the responses of plant C and N dynamics, and the biomass allocation to treatments in an elevated $CO₂$ and N deposition study (simulated by N addition) in a Tibetan meadow over fve years. We aimed to test the two hypotheses: (i) alpine plants may exhibit stronger responses to elevated $CO₂$ as they grow at lower $CO₂$ partial pressure, and (ii) altered $CO₂$ and N availability may decouple the C:N relationships in plant tissues due to the imbalanced nutrient supply.

Materials and methods

Study site

The study was conducted in a typical alpine meadow ecosystem in the North Tibetan Plateau, China (31°38.513′N, 92°0.921′E, 4585 m a.s.l.). This study constitutes the highest-elevation $CO₂$ enrichment experiment conducted on grassland worldwide (Zhu et al. [2020\)](#page-13-19). The climate is characterized by a mean annual temperature of approximately −1.16 °C and a mean annual precipitation of \sim 430 mm, mainly falling during the summer season from June to September (Zhu et al. [2017\)](#page-13-20). Moreover, the area has galeforce winds lasting nearly a quarter of each year. The vegetation community is dominated by *Kobresia pygmaea*, with companion species including *Potentilla saundersiana*, *Potentilla cuneata*, *Youngia simulatrix* and *Saussurea stoliczkai*. The growing season normally starts in mid-May and ends in mid-September.

Experimental design

Due to the gusty winds and low stature vegetation on the Tibetan Plateau, $CO₂$ enrichment could not be implemented using a free-air $CO₂$ enrichment (FACE) design. Instead, eight semi-FACE designed open-top chambers (OTCs) were constructed with steel frames and glass in 2013 (Fig. [1](#page-3-0)). Each octagonal OTC measures 1.5 m in length for each side and has a height of 2 m, covering a surface area of 10.86 $m²$. The CO₂ concentration within the CO₂-enriched chambers (480 ppm) was designed to be 100 ppm higher than the ambient levels (380 ppm) (Fig. [2\)](#page-4-0). From 2014 to 2018, enriched $CO₂$ was injected into the OTCs to artificially increase $CO₂$ concentrations during the growing season of each year.

The experiment follows a full-factorial splitplot design, with elevated $CO₂$ as the primary factor and N addition as the secondary factor. Among them, four octagons were exposed to ambient $CO₂$ concentration (380 ppm), and the other four were exposed to rising $CO₂$ concentrations (480 ppm). Each octagon was divided into four plots with a size of 1.25 $m \times 1.25$ m, with one supplemented with N and one without N (the remaining two plots had no N fertilizer and were not considered in the study). For each N deposition treatment (simulated by N fertilization), 5 g N m⁻² year⁻¹ in the form of urea was

Fig. 1 Open-top chamber (OTC) designed for enriching CO_2 in the Tibetan Plateau (4585 m above sea level). N, nitrogen addition; CK, control check

dissolved in 200 ml water and sprayed on the plots during June from 2014 to 2018. To prevent possible active N transfer along the soil profle between adjacent plots, a stainless-steel plate was inserted to the depth of 30 cm soil in 2013.

In OTCs with $CO₂$ enrichment, polyvinyl chloride (PVC) pipes had 1 mm pinholes drilled every 0.1 m along the pipe and were framed 0.3 m aboveground, to transport pressured $CO₂$ from tanks to chambers. The $CO₂$ flux from the tank was controlled by a flow

Fig. 2 CO₂ concentrations in atmosphere for open-top chambers (OTC) with or without CO₂ enrichment treatment, respectively, from 2014 to 2018

meter carrying the Vaisala GMP222 sensor (Vaisala, Helsinki, Finland), which monitored $CO₂$ concentrations in the middle of chambers every 15 min. To account for shading efects, the same pipes were installed for the ambient $CO₂$ chambers. Rainfall shielded by the OTCs was supplemented by spraying equal amounts of water in the chambers.

Plant and soil sampling

In the middle of each growing season (late August) from 2015 to 2018, a 10 $cm \times 10$ cm quadrat was randomly selected in each plot, and all aboveground plants within the selected quadrat were harvested. After collecting the aboveground biomass, a cylinder auger (7 cm in diameter) was used to take a soil core to a depth of 30 cm. Collected soil cores for each treatment were passed through a 2-mm sieve to remove roots, organic debris, and rocks. Root samples were then collected from soil cores, which were cleaned and dried for belowground biomass measurements. To reduce the infuence of soil sampling on plot, the cores were flled with local soil each time after sampling. All above- and belowground plant samples were oven-dried at 65 ℃ for 48 h to a constant weight. Then, soil and plant samples were ground and analyzed for C and N concentration using the elemental analyzer (Vario MAX CN analyzer, Germany). Above- and belowground plant C and N storage were calculated as the product of biomass and corresponding tissue C and N concentrations.

Statistical analysis

In assessing responses of plant biomass and C:N stoichiometry to elevated $CO₂$ and N fertilization in different tissues, the "relative change" index was applied and calculated as:

Relative change(
$$
\%
$$
) = $\frac{(X_e - X_a)}{X_a} \times 100\%$

 X_a represents the average value of a specific variable in the control group, and X_e represents the average values of a specifc variable in each experimental group.

Repeated measures analysis of variance was applied to evaluate the effect of elevated $CO₂$, N deposition, and year on plant biomass from 2015 to 2018. A split-plot analysis of variance was used to assess the effects of elevated $CO₂$ and N fertilization on plant and soil C and N concentrations using statistical software 20.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). Regression analysis and Pearson's correlation were used to evaluate the relationship between C and N concentrations as well as the relationship of the biomass allocation

and the C:N ratio. All the diferences and efects were considered statistically signifcant at *P*<0.05.

Results

Biomass, C and N allocation in plant above- and belowground components

For this alpine meadow, the above- and belowground biomass range during $2015-2018$ was $51-410$ g m², and $6649-13,340 \text{ g m}^2$ $6649-13,340 \text{ g m}^2$ $6649-13,340 \text{ g m}^2$, respectively (Fig. 3). In general, plant belowground biomass accounted for 94–99% of the community total. Elevated $CO₂$ significantly increased aboveground biomass (*P*<0.05) but showed no signifcant efects on belowground parts (Fig. [3;](#page-5-0) *P*>0.05). Nitrogen fertilization alone signifcantly increased aboveground biomass by $36-166\%$ ($P=0.018$), with no significant effect on belowground biomass $(P=0.310)$. Combined elevated $CO₂$ and N fertilization significantly enhanced aboveground plant biomass by 84–340% (*P*<0.05).

Plant above- and belowground biomass presented a signifcant relationship under control treatment, but the correlations were nonsignifcant under elevated

 $CO₂$ or N addition treatment (Fig. [4](#page-6-0)). The ratios of belowground biomass (BGB) to aboveground biomass (AGB) varied between 31 and 74. Elevated $CO₂$ alone altered the biomass allocation between aboveand belowground plant components $(P=0.001)$, causing a signifcant decrease (40%) in the ratio of BGB to AGB. N fertilization alone signifcantly lowered the ratio of BGB to AGB by 35% ($P = 0.006$). Combined elevated $CO₂$ and N fertilization significantly decreased the ratio of BGB to AGB by 58% $(P<0.05)$, while there were no significant interaction effects of elevated $CO₂$ and N fertilization on the biomass allocation $(P=0.337)$. For the biomass allocation strategy (Fig. [4\)](#page-6-0), the relative changes in the ratios of BGB to AGB were caused more by the changes in AGB (percentage changes between −0.47% and 3.90%) rather than the changes in BGB (percentage between −0.47% and 0.69%).

The plant C and N storage range varied from 2819 g m⁻² to 3479 g m⁻², and from 66 g m⁻² to 80 g m^{-2} , respectively (Fig. [5](#page-7-0)). Overall, elevated CO_2 and N fertilization caused no signifcant efects on belowground plant C and N storage $(P > 0.05)$. On the contrary, elevated $CO₂$ and N fertilization significantly

Fig. 3 Efects of elevated $CO₂$ and N addition on above- (**A**) and belowground (**B**) plant biomass for 4 years, from 2015 to 2018. Error bars indicate standard error. The inserted text is the results of the repeated measures ANOVA analysis. Control, control treatment; $CO₂$, elevated $CO₂$; N, nitrogen addition; AGB, aboveground biomass; BGB, belowground biomass

Biomass allocation 180 **Treatment Significance** $P = 0.001$
 $P = 0.006$ CO_2 N $CO₂ \times N$ $P = 0.337$ The ratio of BGB to AGB 130 80 30 -20 Control \overline{c} ₀ $CO₂+N$ Ń **Experimental treatment**

Fig. 4 Effects of elevated CO₂ and N addition on relationships between above- and belowground biomass, and biomass allocation (right). For the right fgure, solid line in the box marks the median of each dataset, with the upper and lower ends of boxes representing the 0.25 and 0.75 percentiles, respectively. The upper and lower whisker caps denote the maximum and minimum values, respectively. The inserted text is the results

of the two-way ANOVA analysis. Diferent lowercase letters in the right fgure indicate a signifcant diference of C, N and C:N among treatments according to Turkey's b test at *P*<0.05. Control, control treatment; $CO₂$, elevated $CO₂$; N, nitrogen addition; AGB, aboveground biomass; BGB, belowground biomass

increased aboveground plant C storage by 100% and 70%, respectively. Combined $CO₂$ and N fertilization signifcantly enhanced aboveground plant C storage by 180% ($P < 0.05$). Meanwhile, elevated CO₂ and N fertilization signifcantly increased aboveground plant N storage by 87% and 102% (*P*<0.05), respectively. For plant C and N allocation, both elevated $CO₂$ and N fertilization, as well as their combined efects, signifcantly lowered the ratio of BGB to AGB for C and N storage $(P < 0.05)$.

Dynamics of C:N stoichiometry in the alpine ecosystem

The total soil C concentrations varied from 42.1 mg g^{-1} to 51.0 mg g^{-1} , and the total soil N concentrations varied from 3.8 mg g^{-1} to 4.2 mg g^{-1} (Table [1](#page-8-0)). Elevated $CO₂$ alone significantly increased soil C concentrations from 42.1 mg g^{-1} to 51.0 mg g^{-1} $(P<0.05)$ and increased soil C:N ratio from 11.16 to 12.07 (*P*<0.05). However, nitrogen fertilization had no signifcant efects on soil total C and N, and the associated C:N ratio $(P > 0.05$; Table [1](#page-8-0)). For plants, elevated $CO₂$ significantly increased C concentration $(P=0.013)$ and the associated C:N ratio $(P<0.01)$ in the aboveground plant portions, and signifcantly decreased the N concentration in aboveground tissues $(P=0.002;$ Table [2\)](#page-8-1). Nitrogen fertilization

alone signifcantly increased N concentrations and decreased C:N of aboveground tissues but had no signifcant efects on C concentrations. Combined elevated $CO₂$ and N fertilization significantly increased C concentrations in aboveground tissues from 421.6 mg g⁻¹ to 432.8 mg g⁻¹ but had no significant effect on N concentration and C:N ratio $(P > 0.05)$. Elevated $CO₂$ and N fertilization showed no significant effects on C and N concentrations, and the C:N ratio of the belowground tissues $(P > 0.05)$.

Response of plant C and N relationships to elevated $CO₂$ and N fertilization

Under natural conditions, C and N concentrations in both above- and belowground plant tissues were significantly and positively correlated $(P<0.01)$ with R^2 values of 0.49 and 0.46, respectively (Fig. [6](#page-9-0)). However, elevated $CO₂$ decoupled this relationship in aboveground tissues $(P=0.20)$ and lowered the C and N correlation coefficients from 0.49 to 0.27. Under $CO₂$ enrichment environments, N fertilization further weakened the C and N correlation coefficients from 0.27 to 0.24 and from 0.12 to 0.07 for above- and belowground tissues, respectively (Fig. [6](#page-9-0)).

Given that the biomass allocation is largely regulated by signals involving plant C and N dynamics, the relationship between the C:N ratio and plant

Fig. 5 Responses of plant carbon and nitrogen storage to elevated $CO₂$ and nitrogen addition. Error bars indicate standard error. Solid line in the box marks the median of each dataset, with the upper and lower ends of boxes representing the 0.25 and 0.75 percentiles, respectively. The upper and lower whisker caps denote the maximum and minimum values,

respectively. The inserted text is the results of the two-way ANOVA analysis. Diferent lowercase letters in the fgure indicate a significant difference of C, N and C:N among treatments according to Turkey's *b* test at *P*<0.05. Cont, control treatment; $CO₂$, elevated $CO₂$; N, nitrogen fertilization; AGB, aboveground biomass; BGB, belowground biomass

biomass allocation were further analyzed. In general, Ln (C/N) in belowground tissues showed no signifcant relationship with Ln (BGB/AGB) (*P*>0.05; Fig. [7](#page-9-1)). Under the control treatment, Ln (C/N) signifcantly correlated with Ln (BGB/AGB), generating an R^2 value of 0.335 ($P < 0.05$) for aboveground tissues.

Stoichiometry	Treatment					P value			
	Cont	CO ₂	N	$CO2 + N$	CO ₂	N	$CO2 \times N$		
$C (mg g^{-1})$	$42.1 + 2.5^a$	$51.0 + 1.4^c$	$45.7 + 2.2$ ^{bc}	$47.0 + 1.5$ ^{bc}	0.010	0.925	0.049		
N (mg g^{-1})	3.82 ± 0.02	$4.22 + 0.01$	$4.05 + 0.19$	$4.09 + 0.01$	0.108	0.692	0.188		
C: N	$11.2 + 0.2^a$	$12.1 + 0.2^b$	$11.3 + 0.3^a$	$11.3 + 0.1^a$	0.018	0.121	0.028		

Table 1 Effects of elevated $CO₂$ and N addition on soil C and N concentrations from 2015 to 2018. The values listed in the table were the four-year means with standard error. Bold numbers in the table indicate signifcance between control and treatments at level of $P < 0.05$ according to split-plot analysis

of variance. Cont, control treatment; $CO₂$, elevated $CO₂$; N, nitrogen addition. Diferent lowercase letters indicate signifcant diferences among treatments at level of *P*<0.05 according to Tukey's *b* test

The R^2 of these relationships decreased under elevated $CO₂$ and N fertilization from 0.335 to 0.216 and 0.260, respectively. The combined effects of elevated $CO₂$ and N fertilization further decoupled the correlation between the C:N ratio and BGB/AGB ratio, generating a nonsignificant R^2 value of 0.029 ($P = 0.54$).

Discussion

Elevated $CO₂$ increases C allocation to plant aboveground parts

The aboveground plant biomass in this alpine ecosystem showed a higher sensitivity to five years of $CO₂$ enrichment (increased by 98%; Fig. [8\)](#page-10-0) in comparison with other experiments worldwide (increased by 20% on average; Sillen and Dieleman [2012\)](#page-13-14). Meanwhile, elevated $CO₂$ -induced high C concentrations in aboveground tissues suggest a reinforced capability of C sequestration for plants besides the increased

Table 2 Effects of elevated CO_2 and N addition on C and N concentrations of above- and belowground plant tissues from 2015 to 2018. The values listed in the table are the means with standard error. Cont, control treatment; $CO₂$, elevated $CO₂$;

aboveground biomass. These support our frst hypothesis that high-elevation plants can exhibit particular response to elevated $CO₂$. Although $CO₂$ concentrations in the atmosphere remain constant with rising elevation, $CO₂$ partial pressure and density drop dramatically in alpine regions (Körner and Diemer [1987](#page-12-21)). Given that atmospheric pressure decreases by roughly 11% per km of elevation in the atmosphere, the $CO₂$ partial pressure at this alpine meadow (approximately 50% of the pressure at sea level) is considerably less than that at lowland grassland. Since the concentration of $CO₂$ in the liquid phase is proportional to its partial pressure in the surrounding gas phase, the concentration of $CO₂$ in chloroplasts of alpine plants would be considerably lower than that in lowland plants (Terashima et al. [1995](#page-13-21)). Alpine plants are observed to enhance $CO₂$ utilization efficiencies (Körner 2003), to compensate for the negative influence of declined $CO₂$ partial pressures on photosynthesis. Therefore, alpine plants with increased photosynthetic $CO₂$ use efficiency may respond more

N, nitrogen addition. Bold numbers indicate a signifcant difference between control and treatments at the level of *P*<0.05 according to according to split-plot analysis of variance

Tissue	Stoichiometry	Treatment				P value		
		Cont	CO ₂	N	$CO2 + N$	CO ₂	N	CO ₂ ×N
Aboveground	C (mg g^{-1})	421.6 ± 3.6^b	424.3 ± 3.0^{ab}	$414.4 \pm 2.57^{\rm b}$	$432.8 \pm 2.7^{\circ}$	0.013	0.888	0.042
	N (mg g^{-1})	$21.2 + 1.5^{bc}$	19.4 ± 1.1 ^c	24.2 ± 1.6^a	22.3 ± 1.2^b	0.002	< 0.001	0.908
	C: N	$20.9 + 1.2^b$	$22.9 + 1.2^a$	18.1 ± 1.1 ^c	20.2 ± 1.1 ^d	0.001	< 0.001	0.888
	C (mg g^{-1})	353.3 ± 11.8	359.2 ± 37.7	371.6 ± 9.8	367.6 ± 1.1	0.674	0.094	0.997
Belowground	N (mg g ⁻¹)	8.11 ± 0.26	8.05 ± 0.22	8.42 ± 0.25	8.46 ± 0.79	0.766	0.108	0.900
	C: N	43.7 ± 1.1	43.3 ± 0.9	44.5 ± 1.6	43.9 ± 1.9	0.972	0.900	0.548

Fig. 6 The relationships between carbon and nitrogen contents of different plant tissues under elevated $CO₂$ and N treatments. Error bars indicate standard error. The inserted text is the results of the two-way ANOVA analysis. Diferent lowercase

letters in the fgure indicate a signifcant diference of C, N and C:N among treatments according to Turkey's *b* test at *P*<0.05. $aCO₂$, ambient $CO₂$; $eCO₂$, elevated $CO₂$

Fig. 7 Relationships between C:N ratio and biomass allocation under elevated $CO₂$ and N addition for the entire plant from 2015 to 2018. Cont, control treatment; $CO₂$, elevated CO₂; N, nitrogen addition

Fig. 8 The tradeoff of biomass, C and N stoichiometry between the above- and belowground plant parts under elevated $CO₂$ and N fertilization. Red and green arrows in the fgure represent increasing and decreasing trend, respectively. $CO₂$, elevated $CO₂$; N, nitrogen addition; AGB, aboveground biomass; BGB, belowground biomass

strongly to elevated $CO₂$ than comparable lowland plants.

According to optimal partitioning models, the plant would allocate more biomass to plant belowground components to optimize nitrogen acquisition, thereby alleviating CO₂-induced N limitation (Leuzinger and Hättenschwiler [2013;](#page-12-14) Avila et al. [2020](#page-11-3); Noyce et al. [2019](#page-12-23); Frew et al. [2021\)](#page-12-24). Inconsistent with the optimal partitioning predictions, elevated $CO₂$ causes more biomass distribution to aboveground components but not to belowground parts in the current experiment. Two reasons may account for this phenomenon. First, plants under elevated $CO₂$ may increase C allocation to soils as root exudates instead of increasing belowground biomass, and thus enhance N uptake through intensifed soil organic matter decomposition rates (e.g., "priming efect"). Second, the unique changes in the C and biomass allocation patterns of the alpine ecosystem may be related to the special high-altitude and cold environments. Plants in alpine ecosystems have evolved betterdeveloped root systems than shoots in adapting to the environmental conditions of the low fertility soils and cold temperatures (Yang et al. [2009](#page-13-22)). Under these harsh environments plants are prone to possessing vast biomass in long-lived organs, such as roots (Hermans et al. [2006](#page-12-25)), to strengthen nutrient uptake from the soil and improve resistance to cold stress. Therefore, a high root/ shoot ratio (from 31.2 to 73.6 in this study) for alpine plants may lead to the unresponsiveness of root systems to elevated $CO₂$.

Regulation of N availability on plant C dynamics under elevated $CO₂$

Our results demonstrate that N availability largely regulates the plant C dynamics and allocation under elevated $CO₂$. Elevated $CO₂$ alone increased plant C concentrations and C:N ratio but decreased plant N concentrations, consistent with previous reports (Knops et al. [2007](#page-12-8); Leakey et al. [2009](#page-12-3)). This consistency indicates that $CO₂$ fertilizationinduced N-limitations are reflected in the changes in tissue quality. It is worth noting that no significant effect of N addition on plant and soil C concentrations were observed, while the interacted N addition and $CO₂$ enrichment significantly increased the C concentrations in aboveground plant tissues and soils. That is, the C concentration of plants grown in N-enriched soils would be more responsive to elevated $CO₂$ than those grown in N-poor soils.

Enriched $CO₂$ and N influence not only the amount of plant tissue quality but also the plant biomass produced. A combination of N fertilization with $CO₂$ enrichment simulates the aboveground biomass, indicating an alleviated CO_2 -induced N limitation on plant growth and boosted C fxation capacity for the alpine ecosystem with future nitrogen deposition scenarios. We also found that the $CO₂$ fertilization effect had weakened with increasing treatment duration independent of N treatment, although the aboveground plant biomass under combined $CO₂$ and N fertilization could sustain at a high level in contrast to that under elevated $CO₂$ alone. This phenomenon suggests that besides the N availability (Reich et al. [2006a](#page-13-23)), the $CO₂$ fertilization effect on biomass may also be modulated by other factors, possibly water or phosphorus limitation (Reich et al. [2014](#page-13-24); Farrior et al. [2015;](#page-12-26) Terrer et al. [2019](#page-13-25)).

Elevated $CO₂$ and N fertilization decouple plant C and N cycles

In natural conditions, C and N cycles are biologically coupled from molecular to global scales due to

the required balance in basic elements proportion and conserved elemental stoichiometry in organisms (Finzi et al. [2011](#page-12-0); Delgado-Baquerizo et al. [2013](#page-12-2)). Here, we observed a signifcant positive correlation between plant aboveground C and N concentration and a positive relationship between above- and belowground biomass without $CO₂$ and N treatments. In addition, a signifcant correlation between the C:N ratio in aboveground tissues and the ratio of BGB/AGB exists, even under elevated $CO₂$ or N additions. That is, aboveground tissue C and N concentrations are primarily related to the relative changes in biomass allocation. As the C and N status has been suggested as an important indicator of plant source and sink balance (Wang et al. 2021), these $CO₂$ -induced variations in chemometrics are therefore tightly correlated with the biomass allocation (Hilbert [1990;](#page-12-27) Sugiura and Tateno [2011](#page-13-26)). This phenomenon agrees with a previous hypothesis (McCarthy and Enquist [2007\)](#page-12-28) that the biomass allocation is largely modulated by signals involving plant C and N balance and the C:N ratio would be an appropriate indicator to predict plant allocation strategies.

In this study, we found that elevated $CO₂$ decoupled C and N cycles in plant tissues and this uncoupled relationship further aggravated under an N-enriched environment. This may be the result of imbalanced N supply and plant demand under $CO₂$ and N fertilization. Similarly, the tight linkage between plant aboveand belowground biomass become uncoupled under $CO₂$ and N fertilization, with C shifting away from belowground components and towards aboveground biomass production, indicating that perturbations from human activities can alter the natural linkages between C and N cycles associated with C reallocation. This imbalance observed in the C and N with elevated $CO₂$ and N fertilization may have important consequences on the alpine ecosystem, as the decoupling may cause asynchronous nutrient supply and demand, which can aggravate the nutrient loss and create new biogeochemical feedbacks (Delgado-Baquerizo et al. [2013](#page-12-2)).

Conclusions

This study provides novel insights into how alpine ecosystems respond to global changes (elevated $CO₂$) and N deposition) in terms of plant C and N relationships, and the biomass allocation in an alpine meadow. Our results illustrate that aboveground plant tissues are more responsive to elevated $CO₂$ in contrast to belowground parts, with more biomass being allocated to the aboveground plant parts. We deduce that plants in high elevation alpine ecosystems may be more responsive to low $CO₂$ partial pressure there (approximately 50% of the pressure at sea level), and are inclined to shift the distribution of photosynthates to the aboveground compartment to strengthen the photosynthetic potentials. Nitrogen fertilization alleviates the N limitations on the plant growth induced by elevated $CO₂$ and further amplifies the capacity of plant C fxation simultaneously by increasing biomass and C concentrations in aboveground tissues. Overall, elevated $CO₂$ and N fertilization decouples plant C and N cycling and these efects may alter the impacts and feedbacks of plants on biogeochemical cycles, and either slow down or accelerate climate changes.

Acknowledgements This research was jointly supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 41725003), the National Key Research & Development Program of China (2019YFA0607302), the Strategic Priority Program of Chinese Academy of Science (Grant No. XDA20050102), the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 41991234; 42101067) and the China Postdoctoral Science Foundation funded projected (Grant No. 2019M660781).

Declarations

Competing interest The authors declare that they have no known competing fnancial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to infuence the work reported in this paper.

References

- Ainsworth EA, Long SP (2005) What have we learned from 15 years of free-air CO₂ enrichment (FACE)? A meta-analytic review of the responses of photosynthesis, canopy properties and plant production to rising $CO₂$. New Phytol 165:351– 372.<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-8137.2004.01224.x>
- Asner GP, Seastedt TR, Townsend AR (1997) The decoupling of terrestrial carbon and nitrogen cycles. Bioscience 47:226–234. <https://doi.org/10.2307/1313076>
- Avila RT, de Almeida WL, Costa LC et al (2020) Elevated air $[CO₂]$ improves photosynthetic performance and alters biomass accumulation and partitioning in drought-stressed coffee plants. Environ Exp Bot 177:104137. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envexpbot.2020.104137) [org/10.1016/j.envexpbot.2020.104137](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envexpbot.2020.104137)
- Bachofen C, Wohlgemuth T, Moser B (2019) Biomass partitioning in a future dry and $CO₂$ enriched climate: Shading

aggravates drought efects in Scots pine but not European black pine seedlings. J Appl Ecol 56:866–879. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2664.13325) [org/10.1111/1365-2664.13325](https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2664.13325)

- Burghardt KT, Bradford MA, Schmitz OJ (2018) Acceleration or deceleration of litter decomposition by herbivory depends on nutrient availability through intraspecifc diferences in induced plant resistance traits. J Ecol 106:2380–2394
- Curtis PS, Wang X (1998) A meta-analysis of elevated $CO₂$ efects on woody plant mass, form, and physiology. Oecologia 113:299–313.<https://doi.org/10.2307/4221855>
- Dawes MA, Hagedorn F, Zumbrunn T, Handa IT, Hättenschwiler S, Wipf S, Rixen C (2011) Growth and community responses of alpine dwarf shrubs to in situ $CO₂$ enrichment and soil warming. New Phytol 191:806–818. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-8137.2011.03722) [1111/j.1469-8137.2011.03722](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-8137.2011.03722)
- Delgado-Baquerizo M, Maestre FT, Gallardo A et al (2013) Decoupling of soil nutrient cycles as a function of aridity in global drylands. Nature 502:672–676. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature12670) [10.1038/nature12670](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature12670)
- Dickman LT, McDowell NG, Sevanto S, Pangle RE, Pockman WT (2015) Carbohydrate dynamics and mortality in a piñon-juniper woodland under three future precipitation scenarios. Plant Cell Environ 38:729–739. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1111/pce.12441) [10.1111/pce.12441](https://doi.org/10.1111/pce.12441)
- Dietze MC, Sala A, Carbone MS, Czimczik CI, Mantooth JA, Richardson AD, Vargas R (2014) Nonstructural carbon in woody plants. Annu Rev Plant Biol 65:667–687. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-arplant-050213-040054) doi.org/10.1146/annurev-arplant-050213-040054
- Eastman BA, Adams MB, Brzostek ER et al (2021) Altered plant carbon partitioning enhanced forest ecosystem carbon storage after 25 years of nitrogen additions. New Phytol 230:1435–1448.<https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.17256>
- Elser JJ, Wu C, González AL et al (2020) Key rules of life and the fading cryosphere: Impacts in alpine lakes and streams. Global Change Biol 26:6644–6656. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.15362) [org/10.1111/gcb.15362](https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.15362)
- Evans SE, Burke IC (2013) Carbon and nitrogen decoupling under an 11-year drought in the shortgrass steppe. Ecosystems 16:704–705. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10021-012-9593-4>
- Farrior CE, Rodriguez-Iturbe I, Dybzinski R, Levin SA, Pacala SW (2015) Decreased water limitation under elevated $CO₂$ amplifies potential for forest carbon sinks. P Natl Acad Sci USA 112:7213–7218. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1506262112) [1073/pnas.1506262112](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1506262112)
- Finzi AC, Austin AT, Cleland EE, Frey SD, Houlton BZ, Wallenstein MD (2011) Responses and feedbacks of coupled biogeochemical cycles to climate change: examples from terrestrial ecosystems. Front Ecol Environ 9:61–67. <https://doi.org/10.1890/100001>
- Franks PJ, Adams MA, Amthor JS et al (2013) Sensitivity of plants to changing atmospheric $CO₂$ concentration: from the geological past to the next century. New Phytol 197:1077–1094.<https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.12104>
- Frew A, Price JN, Oja J, Vasar M, Öpik M (2021) Impacts of elevated atmospheric $CO₂$ on arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi and their role in moderating plant allometric partitioning. Mycorrhiza 31:1–8. [https://doi.org/10.1007/](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00572-021-01025-6) [s00572-021-01025-6](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00572-021-01025-6)
- Friedlingstein P, Joel G, Field CB, Fung IY (1999) Toward an allocation scheme for global terrestrial carbon models.

Global Change Biol 5:755–770. [https://doi.org/10.1046/j.](https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2486.1999.00269.x) [1365-2486.1999.00269.x](https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2486.1999.00269.x)

- Hättenschwiler S, Handa IT, Egli L, Asshoff R, Ammann W, Körner C (2002) Atmospheric $CO₂$ enrichment of alpine treeline conifers. New Phytol 156:363–375. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1469-8137.2002.00537.x) [org/10.1046/j.1469-8137.2002.00537.x](https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1469-8137.2002.00537.x)
- Hermans C, Hammond JP, White PJ, Verbruggen N (2006) How do plants respond to nutrient shortage by biomass allocation?. Trends Plant Sci 11:610–617. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tplants.2006.10.007) [10.1016/j.tplants.2006.10.007](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tplants.2006.10.007)
- Hilbert DW (1990) Optimization of plant root: shoot ratios and internal nitrogen concentration. Ann Bot 66:91–99. <https://doi.org/10.1093/oxfordjournals.aob.a088005>
- Inauen N, Körner C, Hiltbrunner E (2012) No growth stimulation by $CO₂$ enrichment in alpine glacier forefield plants. Global Change Biol 18:985–999. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2486.2011.02584.x) [1111/j.1365-2486.2011.02584.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2486.2011.02584.x)
- Iversen CM, Ledford J, Norby RJ (2008) $CO₂$ enrichment increases carbon and nitrogen input from fne roots in a deciduous forest. New Phytol 179:837–847. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-8137.2008.02516.x) [org/10.1111/j.1469-8137.2008.02516.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-8137.2008.02516.x)
- Knops JMH, Naeem S, Reich PB (2007) The impact of elevated $CO₂$, increased nitrogen availability and biodiversity on plant tissue quality and decomposition. Global Change Biol 13:1960–1971. [https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2486.](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2486.2007.01405.x) [2007.01405.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2486.2007.01405.x)
- Körner C, Diemer M (1987) In situ photosynthetic responses to light, temperature and carbon dioxide in herbaceous plants from low and high altitude. Funct Ecol 1:179–194. [https://](https://doi.org/10.2307/2389420) doi.org/10.2307/2389420
- Körner C (2003) Carbon limitation in trees. J Ecol 91(1):4– 17. <https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2745.2003.00742.x>
- Langley JA, Megonigal JP (2010) Ecosystem response to elevated $CO₂$ levels limited by nitrogen-induced plant species shift. Nature 466:96–99. [https://doi.org/10.1038/natur](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature09176) [e09176](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature09176)
- Leakey ADB, Ainsworth EA, Bernacchi CJ, Rogers A, Long SP, Ort DR (2009) Elevated $CO₂$ effects on plant carbon, nitrogen, and water relations: six important lessons from FACE. J Exp Bot 60:2859–2876. [https://doi.org/10.1093/](https://doi.org/10.1093/jxb/erp096) [jxb/erp096](https://doi.org/10.1093/jxb/erp096)
- Leuzinger S, Hättenschwiler S (2013) Beyond global change: lessons from 25 years of $CO₂$ research. Oecologia 171:639–651.<https://doi.org/10.1007/s00442-012-2584-5>
- Li W, Zhang H, Huang G, Liu R, Wu H, Zhao C, McDowell NG (2020) Effects of nitrogen enrichment on tree carbon allocation: A global synthesis. Global Ecol Biogeogr 29:573–589. <https://doi.org/10.1111/geb.13042>
- Luo Y, Su BO, Currie WS, Dukes JS, Finzi A, Hartwig U, Field CB (2004) Progressive nitrogen limitation of ecosystem responses to rising atmospheric carbon dioxide. Bioscience 54:731–739. [https://doi.org/10.1641/0006-3568\(2004\)](https://doi.org/10.1641/0006-3568(2004)054[0731:pnloer]2.0.co;2) [054\[0731:pnloer\]2.0.co;2](https://doi.org/10.1641/0006-3568(2004)054[0731:pnloer]2.0.co;2)
- McCarthy MC, Enquist BJ (2007) Consistency between an allometric approach and optimal partitioning theory in global patterns of plant biomass allocation. Funct Ecol 21:713– 720.<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2435.2007.01276.x>
- Noyce GL, Kirwan ML, Rich RL, Megonigal JP (2019) Asynchronous nitrogen supply and demand produce nonlinear plant allocation responses to warming and elevated $CO₂$. P

Natl Acad Sci USA 116:21623–21628. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1904990116) [1073/pnas.1904990116](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1904990116)

- Park HJ, Lim SS, Yang HI et al (2020) Nitrogen effects on quantity, chemistry, and decomposability of *Pinus densifora* and *Quercus variabilis* litters under elevated CO₂ and warming. For Ecol Manag 473:118315. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2020.118315) [2020.118315](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2020.118315)
- Peichl M, Leava NA, Kiely G (2012) Above-and belowground ecosystem biomass, carbon and nitrogen allocation in recently afforested grassland and adjacent intensively managed grassland. Plant Soil 350:281–296. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11104-011-0905-9) [1007/s11104-011-0905-9](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11104-011-0905-9)
- Peñuelas J, Sardans J, Rivas-ubach A, Janssens IA (2012) The human-induced imbalance between C, N and P in Earth's life system. Global Change Biol 18:3–6. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2486.2011.02568.x) [1111/j.1365-2486.2011.02568.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2486.2011.02568.x)
- Poorter H, Niklas KJ, Reich PB, Oleksyn J, Poot P, Mommer L (2012) Biomass allocation to leaves, stems and roots: metaanalyses of interspecifc variation and environmental control. New Phytol 193:30–50. [https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-8137.](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-8137.2011.03952.x) [2011.03952.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-8137.2011.03952.x)
- Reich PB, Hobbie SE, Lee TD (2014) Plant growth enhancement by elevated CO₂ eliminated by joint water and nitrogen limitation. Nat Geosci 7:920–924.<https://doi.org/10.1038/ngeo2284>
- Reich PB, Hobbie SE, Lee T, David SE, Jason BW, David T, Johannes MHK, Shahid N, Jared T (2006a) Nitrogen limitation constrains sustainability of ecosystem response to $CO₂$. Nature 440:922–925.<https://doi.org/10.1038/nature04486>
- Reich PB, Hobbie SE (2013) Decade-long soil nitrogen constraint on the $CO₂$ fertilization of plant biomass. Nat Clim Change 3:278–282. [https://doi.org/10.1038/NCLIMATE16](https://doi.org/10.1038/NCLIMATE1694) [94](https://doi.org/10.1038/NCLIMATE1694)
- Reich PB, Hungate BA, Luo Y (2006b) Carbon-nitrogen interactions in terrestrial ecosystems in response to rising atmospheric carbon dioxide. Annu Rev Ecol Evol S 37:611–636. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.2307/30033845) [org/10.2307/30033845](https://doi.org/10.2307/30033845)
- Schäppi B, Körner C (1997) *In situ* effects of elevated CO₂ on the carbon and nitrogen status of alpine plants. Funct Ecol 11:290–299. https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2435.1997. [https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2435.1997.](https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2435.1997.00084.x) [00084.x](https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2435.1997.00084.x)
- Schimel D, Stephens BB, Fisher JB (2015) Effect of increasing CO₂ on the terrestrial carbon cycle. P Natl Acad Sci USA 112:436–441.<https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1407302112>
- Schmidt MWI, Torn MS, Abiven S et al (2011) Persistence of soil organic matter as an ecosystem property. Nature 478:49–56.<https://doi.org/10.1038/nature10386>
- Sillen WMA, Dieleman WIJ (2012) Effects of elevated $CO₂$ and N fertilization on plant and soil carbon pools of managed grasslands: a meta-analysis. Biogeosciences 9:2247–2258. <https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-9-2247-2012>
- Sugiura D, Tateno M (2011) Optimal leaf-to-root ratio and leaf nitrogen content determined by light and nitrogen availabilities. PLoS One 6:e22236. [https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0022236) [pone.0022236](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0022236)
- Suter D, Frehner M, Fischer BU, Nösberger J, Lüscher A (2002) Elevated $CO₂$ increases carbon allocation to the roots of *Lolium perenne* under free-air CO₂ enrichment but not in a controlled environment. New Phytol 154:65–75. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1469-8137.2002.00368.x) [org/10.1046/j.1469-8137.2002.00368.x](https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1469-8137.2002.00368.x)
- Terashima I, Masuzawa T, Ohba H, Yokoi Y (1995) Is photosynthesis suppressed at higher elevations due to low $CO₂$ pressure?. Ecology 76:2663–2668
- Terrer C, Vicca S, Stocker BD et al (2018) Ecosystem responses to elevated $CO₂$ governed by plant–soil interactions and the cost of nitrogen acquisition. New Phytol 217:507–522. <https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.14872>
- Terrer C, Jackson RB, Prentice IC et al (2019) Nitrogen and phosphorus constrain the $CO₂$ fertilization of global plant biomass. Nat Clim Change 9:684–689. [https://doi.org/10.1038/](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41558-019-0545-2) [s41558-019-0545-2](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41558-019-0545-2)
- Valentine HT, Mäkelä A (2012) Modeling forest stand dynamics from optimal balances of carbon and nitrogen. New Phytol 194:961–971. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-8137.2012. [https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-8137.2012.](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-8137.2012.04123.x) [04123.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-8137.2012.04123.x)
- Wang C, Sun Y, Chen HYH, Ruan H (2021) Efects of elevated $CO₂$ on the C: N stoichiometry of plants, soils, and microorganisms in terrestrial ecosystems. CATENA 201:105219. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.catena.2021.105219>
- Wang X, Taub DR (2010) Interactive effects of elevated carbon dioxide and environmental stresses on root mass fraction in plants: a meta-analytical synthesis using pairwise techniques. Oecologia 163:1–11. [https://doi.org/10.1007/](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00442-010-1572-x) [s00442-010-1572-x](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00442-010-1572-x)
- Yang Y, Fang J, Ji CJ, Han W (2009) Above- and belowground biomass allocation in Tibetan grasslands. J Veg Sci 20:177– 184.<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1654-1103.2009.05566.x>
- Zhang C, Kellomäki S, Zhong Q, Wang K, Gong J, Qiao Y, Zhou X, Gao W (2014) Seasonal biomass allocation in a boreal perennial grass (*Phalaris arundinacea* L.) under elevated temperature and $CO₂$ with varying water regimes. Plant Growth Regul 74:153–164. [https://doi.org/10.1007/](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10725-014-9907-y) [s10725-014-9907-y](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10725-014-9907-y)
- Zhang Y, Zhu Y, Li J, Chen Y (2019) Current status and future directions of the Tibetan Plateau ecosystem research. Sci Bull 64:428–430. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scib.2019.03.009>
- Zhao G, Liang C, Feng X, Liu LL, Zhu JT, Chen N, Chen Y, Wang L, Zhang YJ (2020) Elevated $CO₂$ decreases soil carbon stability in Tibetan Plateau. Environ Res Lett 15:114002.<https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/abbb50>
- Zhou L, Hong Y, Li C et al (2020) Responses of biomass allocation to multi-factor global change: A global synthesis. Agr Ecosyst Environ 304:107115. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agee.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agee.2020.107115) [2020.107115](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agee.2020.107115)
- Zhu J, Zhang Y, Jiang L (2017) Experimental warming drives a seasonal shift of ecosystem carbon exchange in Tibetan alpine meadow. Agr For Meteorol 233:242–249. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agrformet.2016.12.005) [org/10.1016/j.agrformet.2016.12.005](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agrformet.2016.12.005)
- Zhu J, Zhang Y, Yang X, Chen N, Jiang L (2020) Synergistic effects of nitrogen and $CO₂$ enrichment on alpine grassland biomass and community structure. New Phytol 228:1283–1294. <https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.16767>

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.