**REGULAR ARTICLE** 



# Transcriptome analysis of sweet Sorghum inbred lines differing in salt tolerance provides novel insights into salt exclusion by roots

Zhen Yang • Hongxiang Zheng • Xiaocen Wei • Jie Song • Baoshan Wang • Na Sui

Received: 30 November 2017 / Accepted: 25 June 2018 / Published online: 6 July 2018 © Springer International Publishing AG, part of Springer Nature 2018

# Abstract

*Backgrounds and aims* Sweet sorghum is an annual C4 crop with a high salt tolerance. However, little is known about the molecular mechanisms of salt exclusion in roots of sweet sorghum. In this study, the physiological

Zhen Yang and Hongxiang Zheng contributed equally to this work.

Responsible Editor: Ian Dodd

**Electronic supplementary material** The online version of this article (https://doi.org/10.1007/s11104-018-3736-0) contains supplementary material, which is available to authorized users.

Z. Yang  $\cdot$  H. Zheng  $\cdot$  X. Wei  $\cdot$  J. Song  $\cdot$  B. Wang  $(\boxtimes) \cdot$  N. Sui  $(\boxtimes)$ 

Shandong Provincial Key Laboratory of Plant Stress, College of life Sciences, Shandong Normal University, Jinan, Shandong 250014, People's Republic of China e-mail: bswang.t@163.come-mail: suina800101@163.com

Z. Yang e-mail: gina35@126.com

H. Zheng e-mail: 2992477319@qq.com

X. Wei e-mail: Xiaocenwei@163.com

J. Song e-mail: songjieever@163.com

#### Z. Yang

Shandong Provincial Key Laboratory of Microbial Engineering, School of Biologic Engineering, Qilu University of Technology (Shandong Academy of Sciences), Jinan 250300 Shandong, China parameters and transcript profiles of two inbred lines of sweet sorghum roots (salt-tolerant M-81E and saltsensitive Roma) were analyzed in the presence of 0 or 150 mM NaCl in order to elucidate the molecular mechanisms of salt exclusion.

*Results* We found that the Na<sup>+</sup> concentrations in both shoots and roots of M-81E were lower than that of Roma. Moreover, we identified 2085 and 3172 differentially expressed genes between control plants and those subjected to salt stress in M-81E and Roma strains, respectively. The differentially expressed genes involved in pathways related to salt exclusion such as formation of root casparian bands and suberin lamellae, membrane-bound ion translocating proteins. Many of these genes underwent greater change in M-81E compared to Roma. These results revealed that the better ability of salt exclusion in M-81E may be caused by the combination of physical barrier effect of root apoplastic barriers and the transportation of Na<sup>+</sup> out of the xylem by HKT1;5. Moreover, some genes encoding transcription factors were also differentially expressed, which may be involved in the regulation of genes related to salt exclusion.

*Conclusions* This RNA-seq dataset provide comprehensive insights into the transcriptomic landscape to reveal molecular mechanisms of salt exclusion in roots of sweet sorghum.

**Keywords** Genes · Roots · Salt exclusion · Sweet sorghum · Transcriptomic profile

# Introduction

Salt stress is one of the major environmental stresses affecting plant growth and crop production worldwide. Salt stress involves a combination of two types of stress to plants, which include osmotic shock and ionic toxicity (Zhu 2001). Maintaining a low cytoplasmic concentration of Na<sup>+</sup> in shoots is quite important for plant survival under salt stress.

Plants have several strategiesto maintain low Na<sup>+</sup> concentration in shoots: A) Root apoplastic barriers can block Na<sup>+</sup> transport to shoots. Apoplastic transpiration bypass flow of water and solutes has been shown to play a significant role in entry of Na<sup>+</sup> to shoots (Ochiai and Matoh 2002). Na<sup>+</sup> leakage into the transpiration stream via the apoplast account for a major portion of Na<sup>+</sup> entry into plants in some monocotyledons such as sorghum, rice and wheat (Zhu 2003). However, the bypass flow of water and solutes can be blocked by the apoplastic barriers which consists of casparian bands (CB) and suberin lamellae (SL) in the endo- and exodermis (Krishnamurthy et al. 2011; Steudle and Peterson 1998). B)Na<sup>+</sup> compartmentalization such as transport into vacuole can lower Na<sup>+</sup> concentration in the cytoplasm particularly in dicotyledons (Blumwald 2000). NHX proteins, which are  $Na^+/H^+$  antiporters located in the vacuole, are regarded as key players in the sequestration of Na<sup>+</sup> into the vacuole (Jiang et al. 2010).C) The plasma membrane Na<sup>+</sup>/H<sup>+</sup> antiporter encoded by SOS1 gene can catalyze the efflux of Na<sup>+</sup> (Zhu 2003). The expression of SOS1 can be up-regulated by salt stress which is partly regulated by SOS2 and SOS3 (Qiu et al. 2002). Plasma membrane H<sup>+</sup>-ATPases generate the driving force for Na<sup>+</sup> transport by SOS1 (Zhu 2003).D) The high-affinity potassium transporter (HKT) HKT1;5 has been proven taking part in retrieving Na<sup>+</sup> from the xylem vessels thus restricting the transport of Na<sup>+</sup> from the roots to the leaves (Byrt et al. 2007; Munns et al. 2012; Byrt et al. 2014; Zhu et al. 2016). As we know, salt stress can regulate the expression levels of many genes. The salt-response reactions are regulated by many different genes involved in different pathways, such as ion compartmentalization, ion extrusion, ion selectivity, compatible solute synthesis and reactive oxygen species scavenging (Munns and Tester 2008; Shi et al. 2003; Zhu 2001). In the past few decades, researchers have come to regard the use of these genes as a way to improve the salt tolerance of plants.

Sweet sorghum [Sorghum bicolor (L.) Moench] is an annual C4 crop which is characterized by high sugar content, high efficiency biomass accumulation and high tolerance to saline and drought conditions (Guo et al. 2017). Sweet sorghum has been suggested as a good source for ethanol production because of its rapid growth rate and high sugar content (Almodares and Hadi 2009). Furthermore, sweet sorghum can complete its life cycle on saline land (E118°53'41", N37°38'39", salt concentration was about 0.34%) (Ding et al. 2013) as it is highly tolerant to saline conditions. Several mechanisms were proposed to contribute to salt tolerance of sweet sorghum, such as salt exclusion (Dai et al. 2014), antioxidative mechanisms (Chai et al. 2010) and osmoregulation (Oliveira et al. 2011). Salt exclusion is considered to be the pivotal process in salt resistance of monocotyledon crops such as sweet sorghum.

Although there are numerous studies on the response mechanism of sweet sorghum to salt stress, most are restricted to the physiological level or to the study of a single pathway. The molecular mechanisms of salt exclusion in roots of sweet sorghum are still unclear. In recent years, expression profiling has been widely used to identify genes involved in adaptive responses to abiotic stresses. The comparative study of different genotypes or cultivars in the tolerance to the abiotic stress is a common strategy to identify genes related to salt stress(Bazakos et al. 2012; Du et al. 2017; Yuan et al. 2015). In our previous study, transcript profiles of two sweet sorghum inbred lines' leaves were performed to reveal the mechanism of higher sugar content under salt stress in sweet sorghum (Sui et al. 2015). However, how sweet sorghum survive and maintains low Na<sup>+</sup> content and high biomass of abovegroud parts under salt stress is still not clear. In this study, transcriptomes of inbred lines' roots of both salt-tolerant and salt-sensitive sweet sorghum roots were analyzed by high-throughput Illumina RNA-sequencing (RNA-seq). By comparing the transcriptomes of the two sweet sorghum inbred lines under salt stress, we identified 2085 and 3172 differentially expressed genes (DEGs) between control plants and those subjected to salt in M-81E and Roma, respectively. Results of this study provide further insight into the complex regulatory networks underlying the mechanism of high tolerance to salt stress of sweet sorghum.

#### Materials and methods

#### Plant materials and growth conditions

Seeds of two sweet sorghum inbred lines (M-81E and Roma) were selected as the experimental materials. M-81E was regarded as salt tolerant inbred line and Roma was regarded as salt-sensitive inbred line according to our previous study (Sui et al. 2015).

Sand culture was performed for physiological parameters measurement and RNA extraction. Plants were grown in pots and cultured at  $28 \pm 3/23 \pm 3$  °C {day/ night) at a light intensity of 600 µmol m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> {15 h photoperiod) and 70% relative humidity. When they grew to the four leaf stage, salt treatment was performed. In our previous study, 150 mM was regarded as a proper concentration of NaCl which caused a significant difference in physiological parameters between M-81E and Roma (Sui et al. 2015). Therefore, the treated plants were irrigated with nutrient solution supplemented with 0 and 150 mM NaCl. The NaCl concentrations were increased stepwise towards the final concentrations by 50 mM each day. Physiological parameters were measured every 12 h until 48 h.

#### Measurement of parameters

Shoot and root fresh weight (FW) of 5 plants per treatment were determined every 12 h. After oven drying of tissues, Na<sup>+</sup> and K<sup>+</sup> concentration were measured by flame emission spectrometry (Flame Photometer 410, UK) as previously described (Sui et al. 2015). The *p* value between different data of content of Na<sup>+</sup>, K<sup>+</sup> of shoots and roots of M-81E and Roma under 150 mM salt treatments for different hours were showed in Table S1.

#### Total RNA extraction

Total RNA was isolated from the primary roots of each genotype treated with 0 and 150 mM NaCl for 48 h using a Total Plant RNA Extraction Kit (Karroten, Beijing, China) following the manufacturer's protocols as described previously (Sui et al. 2015). The RNA was quantified using a Nanodrop-ND-1000 spectrophotometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Wilmington, DE, USA). A 1% agarose gel buffered by Tris–acetate–EDTA was also run to determine the integrity of the RNA.

# Library construction and Illumina sequencing

Libraries were constructed following a High Throughput Illumina Strand-Specific RNA Sequencing Library protocol (Zhong et al. 2011). Briefly, mRNA was purified from 5 µg of total RNA using oligo (dT) magnetic beads. The purified mRNA was fragmented into small pieces using fragmentation buffer. Taking these short fragments as templates, first-strand cDNA was synthesized using reverse transcriptase and random hexamer primers. Second-strand cDNA synthesis was followed using DNA polymerase I and RNase H. Sequencing adapters were ligated to short fragments after purification with the QiaQuick PCR extraction kit, and which were used to distinguish different sequencing samples. Fragments with different lengths were then separated by agarose gel electrophoresis and selected for PCR amplification as sequencing templates. The final cDNA library was sequenced using Illumina HiSeq<sup>™</sup> 2500 at BioMarker Technologies Co Ltd., Beijing. RNA-seq data of the untreated control and salt-treated samples were obtained from two to three biological replicates, respectively.

Mapping and detection of differentially expressed genes (DEGs)

Clean reads were mapped to the sorghum genome (Paterson et al. 2009; Zheng et al. 2011) using TopHat version 2.0.10 (Trapnell et al. 2009). Mapping results generated by TopHat were filtered to retain only unique mapped reads before being piped into Cuffdiff (http://cufflinks.cbcb.umd.edu/index.html) to estimate read counts for each gene. Reads per KB per million (RPKM) values were calculated by an in-house script based on the count table of Cuffdiffs output. Mapping and detection of DEGs were performed as described previously (Sui et al. 2015). A RPKM threshold value of 0.1 was set to detect the presence of a transcript for a particular gene. DEGs were defined using DESeq (Anders and Huber 2010)as fold changes $\geq$ 2 with a false discovery rate (FDR) adjusted *p* value  $\leq$  0.01.

# Gene annotation and classifications

The optimal assembly results were chosen according to the assembly evaluation. The assembled sequences were compared against the NCBI non-redundant database (Pruitt et al. 2005), Swiss-Prot (Apweiler et al. 2004), GO (Ashburner et al. 2000), COG (Tatusov et al. 2000) and KEGG (Kanehisa et al. 2004) database using BLAST with E-value ≤1e-10 as the cutoff. To annotate the assembled sequences with GO, COG and KEGG terms, Blast2GO, COG database and KEGG web server (http://www.genome.jp/kegg/) were used, respectively.

# Quantitative real-time PCR analysis

Eleven DEGs annotated to pathways related to salt exclusion were selected for quantitative real-time PCR (qRT-PCR) to verify the RNA-Seq results. Primers for these 11 genes were designed using the Beacon Designer software (version 7.0) and showed in Table S2. *S. bicolor*'s housekeeping gene  $\beta$ -actin (GenBank ID: X79378) was used as an internal standard. The measurement and calculation were performed as described previously (Sui et al. 2015).

#### Statistical analysis

Comparisons among samples were performed using twoway analysis of variance (ANOVA) followed by Student's t-test. Variables were presented as means  $\pm$  standard error of the means (SEM) and a p < 0.05 was considered significant. All tests were performed with SPSS Version 16.0 for Windows (SPSS, Chicago. IL, USA).

Availability of data and material The RNA-seq data in the current study are available in the National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) SRA database with accession number of SRX1090369. Access to the data is available upon publication at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih. gov/sra/SRP060580/. Other relevant data are within the paper and its additional files.

# Results

Effects of salt stress on FW, Na<sup>+</sup>, K<sup>+</sup> contents and Na<sup>+</sup>/ K<sup>+</sup> ratio

Under salt stress, the growth rate of both shoots and roots in Roma was lower than that in M-81E. The growth of roots in Roma was inhibited after 24 h salt treatment. In M-81E, roots growth inhibition by salt stress was not apparent until 36 h. The growth of shoots was inhibited after 36 h salt treatment and the inhibition was stronger in Roma (Fig. 1).

After treated with 150 mM NaCl, Na<sup>+</sup> content in both shoots and roots of M-81E and Roma increased, and

Na<sup>+</sup> content in shoots was much lower than that in roots. Na<sup>+</sup> content in bothshoots and roots of M-81E was significantly lower than that in Roma after treated with NaCl for 48 h (Fig. 1a and c).

However, the  $K^+$  content in both roots and shoots of M-81E and Roma decreased under NaCl stress. The decrease was much more significant in Roma than that in M-81E (Fig. 1b and d).

As the increase of content of Na<sup>+</sup> and the decrease of content of K<sup>+</sup>, the Na<sup>+</sup>/K<sup>+</sup> ratio of both shoots and roots increased in both genotypes. The increase was much more significant in Roma than that in M-81E. Additionally, the Na<sup>+</sup>/K<sup>+</sup> ratio in shoots was much lower than that in roots in both genotypes (Fig. S1).

Sequencing output, assembly and exploration of DEGs

After stringent quality assessment and data filtering, 78.31 million clean reads were generated. More than 87% of them had Phred-like quality scores at the Q30 level (an error probability of 0.1%) (Table S3).

Numbers of DEGs between different genotypes and treatments were shown in Fig. 2. All of these DEGs were selected for further analysis.

Validation of RNA-seq data

We performed quantitative real-time PCR on 11 DEGs to validate the RNA-seq gene expression analysis. As shown in Fig. 3, a high correlation ( $R^2 = 0.86$ ) between RNA-seq and qRT-PCR was observed, confirming the reliability of the RNA-seq data.

# Functional categorization of stress-regulated genes in roots

Functional classification by GO

In order to assign functional information to the DEGs between control plants and those treated with NaCl, Gene Ontology (GO) analysis was performed. In M-81E, there were 1960 unique transcripts assigned to 48 level-2 GO terms, which were summarized under three main GO categories, including 14 for cellular component, 14 for molecular function and 20 for biological process. In Roma, there were 2963 unique transcripts assigned to 49 level-2 GO terms including 16 for cellular component, 13 for molecular function and 20



**Fig. 1** Content of Na<sup>+</sup> (**a**, **c**), K<sup>+</sup> (**b**, **d**) and the fresh weight of shoots and roots of M-81E and Roma under 150 mM salt treatments for different hours (0, 12, 24, 36 and 48). Timing and indicators measuring started when the salt concentration reached 150 mM. The line shows the change in fresh weight, and the histogram shows the change in ion content.Values are means  $\pm$  SD of five replicates .Bars with the different letters are significantly different at *p* = 0.05. Bars with same letters are not significantly different. ANOVA for Na<sup>+</sup> concentration in roots showed a significant effect for the factor treated time (F (4, 22) = 86.782; *p* = 0.000), a significant effect for the genotype (F (1,22) = 8.886; *p* = 0.007), and a significant effect for the interaction between treated time and genotype (F(4,22) = 9.033; *p* = 0.000). ANOVA for K<sup>+</sup> concentration in roots showed a significant effect for the factor

for biological process. The categories with the highest enrichment for cellular group in both M-81E and Roma were cell part, cell and organelle. For molecular function, the binding category was the most highly represented GO term, which was followed by catalytic activity. Regarding biological process, NCBI UniGene for cellular process, metabolic process and single-organism process were highly represented (Fig. 4).

#### Functional classification by COG

In addition, all the DEGs were subjected to a search against the Clusters of Orthologous Groups (COG) classification. Among the 2085 DEGs, 1274 sequences



treated time (F (4, 21) = 20.155; p = 0.000), a significant effect for the genotype (F (1,21) = 5.627; p = 0.027), and no significant effect for the interaction between treated time and genotype (F(4,21) = 1.230; p = 0.328). ANOVA for Na<sup>+</sup> concentration in shoots showed a significant effect for the factor treated time (F (4, 23) = 409.588; p = 0.000), a significant effect for the genotype (F (1,23) = 91.968; p = 0.000), and a significant effect for the interaction between treated time and genotype (F(4,23) = 17.149; p = 0.000). ANOVA for K<sup>+</sup> concentration in shoots showed a significant effect for the factor treated time (F (4, 20) = 53.795; p = 0.000), a significant effect for the genotype (F (1,20) = 8.593; p = 0.008), and no significant effect for the interaction between treated time and genotype (F(4,20) = 5.262; p = 0.005). The pvalue between different sets are listed in supplementary Table S1

showed a COG classification in M-81E (Fig. S2A). Among the mapped 23 COG categories, the cluster for "general function prediction only" was the largest group, followed by "carbohydrate transport and metabolism", "amino acid transport and metabolism", "replication, recombination and repair" and "posttranslational modification, protein turnover, chaperones". The categories "nuclear structure" and "extracellular structures" had no corresponding genes. The 1939 sequences of the 3172 DEGs could be assigned to COG classifications in Roma (Fig. S2B). The cluster for "general function prediction only" represented the largest group, followed by "replication, recombination and repair", "signal transduction

Fig. 2 Numbers of DEGs of different genotypes affected by salt stress



mechanisms", "transcription" and "carbohydrate transport and metabolism". The categories "nuclear structure" and "extracellular structures" had no corresponding genes. Functional classification by KEGG

Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes database (KEGG) was used to identify potential biological



RNA-seq  $R^2=0.86$  gR

• qRT-PCR

Fig. 3 Validation of RNA-seq results by RT-qPCR. Expression levels of 11 DEGs in the four samples used in this study were detected by RT-qPCR.  $R^2$  represents the correlation coefficient value between the two platforms. The numbers in the scale bar

stand for RPKM values in RNA-seq and  $\Delta\Delta$ Ct in qRT-PCR, which were used to evaluate the correlation (R<sup>2</sup>). Primers and annotation of the 11 DEGs are listed in Supplementary Table S2



Fig. 4 Functional annotation of assembled sequences based on gene ontology (GO) categorization. Results are summarized for three main Go categories: Biological Process, Molecular Function, and Cellular Component

pathways represented in the sweet sorghum transcriptome. There were 557 DEGs of M-81E and 825 DEGs of Roma assigned to 100 and 103 KEGG pathways, respectively. A large number of these DEGs mapped to categories such as "phenylpropanoid biosynthesis", "fatty acid biosynthesis" and "biosynthesis of unsaturated fatty acids". This may be related to the formation of apoplastic barriers such as SL. Moreover, there were also many DEGs mapped to categories related to synthesis and metabolism of different amino acids and "protein processing in endoplasmic reticulum" which may contribute to changes in membrane transport proteins and some other defense proteins. "Plant hormone signal transduction" which plays important roles in abiotic stress response is also a category which contain many DEGs (Fig. 5).

#### Identification of genes related to salt resistance

#### Genes related to apoplastic barriers

The apoplastic barriers which consist of CB and SL in the endo- and exodermis can block the bypass flow of water and solutes. Lignin is one of the main components of apoplastic barriers. It has been proven that the monomers of lignin are synthesized through the phenylpropanoid pathway (Whetten and Sederoff 1995). In the present study, 14 and 10 DEGs were mapped to the phenylpropanoid pathway related to lignin biosynthesis in M-81E and Roma, respectively (Fig. S3, Table 1). Most of these DEGs were up-regulated, suggesting that lignin biosynthesis was enhanced by salt stress in both genotypes. Cinnamoyl CoA reductase (CCR, EC:1.2.1.44) and cinnamyl alcohol dehydrogenase (CAD, EC:1.1.1.195) are considered as key enzymes for lignin biosynthesis. After 2 days treatment with 150 mM NaCl, the expression of DEG (Sb07g021680) encoding CCR was enhanced in both genotypes, though the expression level of Sb07g021680 in M-81E was higher than that in Roma. The expression of genes encoding CAD were unchanged during salt stress. Additionally, the expression of other genes encoding enzymes involved in lignin biosynthesis such as 4-coumarate-CoA ligase (4CL), transcinnamate 4-monooxygenase and p-coumarate 3-hydroxylase (Sb04g031010, Sb03g038160 and Sb09g024210 respectively) were also induced in both genotypes, particularly in M-81E. Moreover, five (Sb02g000470, Sb03g024460, Sb03g030630, Sb07g001280 and Sb10g027490) and three (Sb03g024460, Sb03g030630 and Sb02g027330) genes encoding peroxidases which play roles in lignin Fig. 5 The heat map display of DEGs assigned to different KEGG pathways. The numbers in the scale bar show the percentage of the number of DEGs assigned to a certain KEGG pathway in which assigned to all KEGG pathways. Red indicates that more genes are enriched in this pathway

| M-81E | Roma  |             |
|-------|---|-------------|
|       | ABC transporters  |             |
|       | Alanine, aspartate and glutamate metabolism   | 0.000       |
|       | alpha-Linolenic acid metabolism   |             |
|       | Aminoacyl-tRNA biosynthesis   | 0.9357      |
|       | Arachidonic acid metabolism   |             |
|       | Arginine and proline metabolism   | 1.871       |
|       | Basal transcription factors   |             |
|       | Base excision repair  | 2.807       |
|       | beta-Alanine metabolism   | 0.740       |
|       | Biosynthesis of unsaturated fatty acids   | 3.743       |
|       | Brassinosteroid biosynthesis  |             |
|       | Butanoate metabolism  | 4.679       |
|       | Caffeine metabolism   |             |
|       | Carbon fixation in photosynthetic organisms   | 5.614       |
|       | Circadian rhythm - plant  | 0.550       |
|       | Citrate cycle (TCA cycle)   | 6.550       |
|       | Cyanoamino acid metabolism  | 7.400       |
|       | Ditemenoid biosynthesis   | 7.400       |
|       | DNA replication   | 8.260       |
|       | Endocytosis   |             |
|       | Ether lipid metabolism  |             |
|       | Fatty acid biosynthesis   |             |
|       | Flavone and flavonol biosynthesis   |             |
|       | Flavonoid biosynthesis  |             |
|       | Folate biosynthesis   |             |
|       | Fructose and mannose metabolism   |             |
|       | Glutathione metabolism  |             |
|       | Glycerolipid metabolism   |             |
|       | Glycerophospholipid metabolism  |             |
|       | Glycine, serine and threonine metabolism  |             |
|       | Glycosaminoglycan degradation   |             |
|       | Glycosphingolipid biosynthesis - ganglio series   |             |
|       | Glycosphingolipid biosynthesis - globo series   |             |
|       | Giyoxylate and dicarboxylate metabolism   |             |
|       | Homologous recombination  |             |
|       | Inositol phosphate metabolism   |             |
|       | Isoquinoline alkaloid biosynthesis  |             |
|       | Limonene and pinene degradation   |             |
|       | Lysine degradation  |             |
|       | Mismatch repair   |             |
|       | mRNA surveillance pathway   |             |
|       | N-Glycan biosynthesis   |             |
|       | Nicotinate and nicotinamide metabolism  |             |
|       | Nitrogen metabolism   |             |
|       | Nucleotide excision repair  |             |
|       | Other glycan degradation  |             |
|       | Other types of O-glycan biosynthesis  |             |
|       | Oxidative phosphorylation   |             |
|       | Pantothenate and CoA biosynthesis   |             |
|       | Pentose phosphate pathway   |             |
|       | Peroxisome  |             |
|       | Phagosome   |             |
|       | Phenylalanine metabolism Phenylalanine transition and transformation  | ie.         |
|       | Phenylpropanoid biosynthesis  | 15          |
|       | Phosphatidylinositol signaling system   |             |
|       | Photosynthesis  |             |
|       | Photosynthesis - antenna proteins<br>Plant bormona signal transduction  |             |
|       | Plant-pathogen interaction  |             |
|       | Porphyrin and chlorophyll metabolism  |             |
|       | Propanoate metabolism   |             |
|       | protein export  |             |
|       | Protein processing in endoplasmic reticulum   |             |
|       | Protein processing in endoplasmic reticulum<br>Purine metabolism  |             |
|       | Protein processing in endoplasmic reticulum<br>Purine metabolism<br>Pyrimidine metabolism   |             |
|       | Protein processing in endoplasmic reticulum<br>Protein motabolism<br>Parindice metabolism<br>Pyrindice metabolism<br>Pyruvate metabolism  |             |
|       | Protect opports<br>Pretein processing in endoplasmic reticulum<br>Purine metabolism<br>Pyrimidine metabolism<br>Pyruwate metabolism<br>Regulation of autoplagy<br>BioPursis metabolism  |             |
| _     | Protein processing in endoplasmic reticulum<br>Prutien metabolism<br>Prutine metabolism<br>Pyruvate metabolism<br>Regulation of autoplagy<br>Riboflavin metabolism<br>Ribostome   |             |
|       | Protein processing in endoplasmic reticulum<br>Prutien metabolism<br>Primindine metabolism<br>Pyrvate metabolism<br>Reputation of autophagy<br>Riboflavia metabolism<br>Ribosome biogenesis in exkaryotes   |             |
| _     | Potein processing in endoplasmic reticulum<br>Potein metabolism<br>Pyrimidine metabolism<br>Pyravate metabolism<br>Regulation of autophagy<br>Riboftavi metabolism<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome  |             |
| _     | Rootin toposting processing in endoplasmic reticulum<br>Prutien metabolism<br>Prutine metabolism<br>Pryuvate metabolism<br>Regulation of autoplagy<br>Riboftavi metabolism<br>Ribosnome<br>Ribosnome<br>Ribosnome<br>Ribosnome<br>Ribosnome<br>Ribosnome<br>Ribosnome<br>Ribosnome<br>Ribosnome<br>Ribosnome<br>Ribosnome<br>Ribosnome<br>Ribosnome<br>Ribosnome<br>Ribosnome<br>Ribosnome<br>Ribosnome<br>Ribosnome<br>Ribosnome<br>Ribosnome<br>Ribosnome<br>Ribosnome<br>Ribosnome<br>Ribosnome<br>Ribosnome<br>Ribosnome<br>Ribosnome<br>Ribosnome<br>Ribosnome<br>Ribosnome<br>Ribosnome<br>Ribosnome<br>Ribosnome<br>Ribosnome<br>Ribosnome<br>Ribosnome<br>Ribosnome<br>Ribosnome<br>Ribosnome<br>Ribosnome<br>Ribosnome<br>Ribosnome<br>Ribosnome<br>Ribosnome<br>Ribosnome<br>Ribosnome<br>Ribosnome<br>Ribosnome<br>Ribosnome<br>Ribosnome<br>Ribosnome<br>Ribosnome<br>Ribosnome<br>Ribosnome<br>Ribosnome<br>Ribosnome<br>Ribosnome<br>Ribosnome<br>Ribosnome<br>Ribosnome<br>Ribosnome<br>Ribosnome<br>Ribosnome<br>Ribosnome<br>Ribosnome<br>Ribosnome<br>Ribosnome<br>Ribosnome<br>Ribosnome<br>Ribosnome<br>Ribosnome<br>Ribosnome<br>Ribosnome<br>Ribosnome<br>Ribosnome<br>Ribosnome<br>Ribosnome<br>Ribosnome<br>Ribosnome<br>Ribosnome<br>Ribosnome<br>Ribosnome<br>Ribosnome<br>Ribosnome<br>Ribosnome<br>Ribosnome<br>Ribosnome<br>Ribosnome<br>Ribosnome<br>Ribosnome<br>Ribosnome<br>Ribosnome<br>Ribosnome<br>Ribosnome<br>Ribosnome<br>Ribosnome<br>Ribosnome<br>Ribosnome<br>Ribosnome<br>Ribosnome<br>Ribosnome<br>Ribosnome<br>Ribosnome<br>Ribosnome<br>Ribosnome<br>Ribosnome<br>Ribosnome<br>Ribosnome<br>Ribosnome<br>Ribosnome<br>Ribosnome<br>Ribosnome<br>Ribosnome<br>Ribosnome<br>Ribosnome<br>Ribosnome<br>Ribosnome<br>Ribosnome<br>Ribosnome<br>Ribosnome<br>Ribosnome<br>Ribosnome<br>Ribosnome<br>Ribosnome<br>Ribosnome<br>Ribosnome<br>Ribosnome<br>Ribosnome<br>Ribosnome<br>Ribosnome<br>Ribosnome<br>Ribosnome<br>Ribosnome<br>Ribosnome<br>Ribosnome<br>Ribosnome<br>Ribosnome<br>Ribosnome<br>Ribosnome<br>Ribosnome<br>Ribosnome<br>Ribosnome<br>Ribosnome<br>Ribosnome<br>Ribosnome<br>Ribosnome<br>Ribosnome<br>Ribosnome<br>Ribosnome<br>Ribosnome<br>Ribosnome<br>Ribosnome<br>Ribosnome<br>Ribosnome<br>Ribosnome<br>Ribosnome<br>Ribosnome<br>Ribosnome<br>Ribosnome<br>Ribosnome<br>Ribosnome<br>Ribosnome<br>Ribosnome<br>Ribosnome<br>Ribosnome<br>Ribosnome<br>Ribosnome<br>Ribosnome<br>Ribosnome<br>Ribosnome<br>Ribosnome<br>Ribosnome<br>Ribosnome<br>Ribosnome<br>Ribosnome<br>Ribosnome<br>Ribosnome<br>Ribosnome<br>Ribosnome<br>Ribosnome<br>Ribosnome<br>Ribosnome<br>Ribosnome<br>Ribosnome<br>Ribosnome<br>Ribosnome<br>Ribosnome<br>Ribosnome<br>Ribosnome   |             |
|       | Protein processing in endoplasmic reticulum<br>Prutien metabolism<br>Prutien metabolism<br>Pyrvate metabolism<br>Regulation of autoplagy<br>Riboflavim metabolism<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome biogenesis in eskaryotes<br>RKA degnation<br>RKA Applymerase<br>RKA Applymerase<br>RKA tensport   |             |
|       | Protein processing in endoplasmic reticulum<br>Protein processing in endoplasmic reticulum<br>Priminime metabolism<br>Prysvate metabolism<br>Regulation of autoplagy<br>Ribofarin metabolism<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome        |             |
|       | Andre topolasmic reticulum<br>Protein processing in endoplasmic reticulum<br>Primi metabolism<br>Prysvate metabolism<br>Prysvate metabolism<br>Ribofani metabolism<br>Ribofani metabolism<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Riboso |             |
|       | Protein processing in endoplasmic reticulum<br>Prutien metabolism<br>Prutien metabolism<br>Prysvate metabolism<br>Prysvate metabolism<br>Rikotanis metabolism<br>Rikotanis biogenesis in exkaryotes<br>Rikotanis biogenesis in exkaryotes<br>Selencoorapout metabolism<br>SNARE interactions in vasicular transport<br>Splicocorae<br>Splicocorae   |             |
|       | Protecti processing in endoplasmic reticulum<br>Protecti processing in endoplasmic reticulum<br>Primiam enabolism<br>Prysvate metabolism<br>Prysvate metabolism<br>Reliostration of autoplagy<br>Ribofavin metabolism<br>Ribosome<br>RNA degradation<br>RNA degradation<br>RNA degradation<br>RNA degradation<br>RNA degradation<br>RNA degradation<br>RNA Managonet<br>RNA Managonet<br>RNA Managonet<br>Selenocompound metabolism<br>Sphingoligid metabolism<br>Sphingoligid metabolism<br>Starch and sucrose metabolism  |             |
|       | Ander Speziesgin endoplasmic reticulum     Purier processing in endoplasmic reticulum     Purier metabolism     Pyrvate metabolism     Pyrvate metabolism     Pyrvate metabolism     Pyrvate metabolism     Ribofaris metabolism     Ribofaris metabolism     Ribosome     Ribosome     Ribosome     RAA apgration     Strong Anderson     Scharosoment     Sphingolipid metabolism     Splicosome     Splicosome     Strong Anderson   | sis         |
|       | Avean toport     A   | sis         |
|       | Ander Specensing in endoplasmic reticulum     Purine metabolism     Pyravia metabolism     Pyravia metabolism     Pyravia metabolism     Pyravia metabolism     Rebosme     Ribosme   | sis         |
|       | Anda topolasi endolasi endolasi endolasi endolasi endolasi     Puris endolasi endolasi     Puris endola   | sis         |
|       | Pootin proteining in endoplasmic reticulum<br>Point metabolism<br>Pryname metabolism<br>Pryname metabolism<br>Pryname metabolism<br>Pryname metabolism<br>Ribosome Boigenesis in eukaryotes<br>erRA degnalation<br>Ribosome Boigenesis in eukaryotes<br>erRA degnalation<br>RiXA degnalation<br>ErRA genyment<br>ErRA genyment  | sia         |
|       | Andre toposing in endoplasmic reticulum<br>Protein processing in endoplasmic reticulum<br>Primiam metabolism<br>Prynvate metabolism<br>Prynvate metabolism<br>Reliaming and the toposition<br>Reliaming and the toposition<br>Selenceompand metabolism<br>Selenceompand metabolism<br>Sphingoligid metabolism<br>Sphingoligid metabolism<br>Sillencia, diaryheptanoid and gingerol biosynthe<br>Sillencia, diaryheptanoid and the topostarias metabolism<br>Taurine and hopostarias metabolism  | sis         |
|       | Anda topositi<br>Proteit processing in endoplasmic reticulum<br>Purine metabolism<br>Pyruxie metabolism<br>Pyruxie metabolism<br>Pyruxie metabolism<br>Pyruxie metabolism<br>Ribostne<br>Ribostne<br>Ribostne<br>Ribostne<br>Ribostne<br>Ribostne<br>Ribostne<br>Ribostne<br>Ribostne<br>Ribostne<br>Ribostne<br>Ribostne<br>Ribostne<br>Ribostne<br>Ribostne<br>Ribostne<br>Ribostne<br>Ribostne<br>Ribostne<br>Ribostne<br>Ribostne<br>Ribostne<br>Ribostne<br>Ribostne<br>Ribostne<br>Ribostne<br>Ribostne<br>Ribostne<br>Ribostne<br>Ribostne<br>Ribostne<br>Ribostne<br>Ribostne<br>Ribostne<br>Ribostne<br>Ribostne<br>Ribostne<br>Ribostne<br>Ribostne<br>Ribostne<br>Ribostne<br>Ribostne<br>Ribostne<br>Ribostne<br>Ribostne<br>Ribostne<br>Ribostne<br>Ribostne<br>Ribostne<br>Ribostne<br>Stericoli bosynthesis<br>Synthesis and degradation of ketone bodies<br>Turine and hypotanisme metabolism<br>Topate, hipertafine and pyridema talabidi biosynthesis<br>Thamisme thema topate<br>Topate, hipertafine and pyridema talabidi biosynthesis<br>Thamismetabolism<br>Topate, hipertafine and pyridema talabidi biosynthesis<br>Thamismetabolism<br>Topate, hipertafine and pyridema talabidi biosynthesis<br>Thamismetabolism<br>Thema talabidi biosynthesis<br>Thamismetabolism<br>Thema talabidi biosynthesis<br>Thamismetabolism<br>Thema talabidi biosynthesis<br>Thamismetabolism<br>Thema talabidi biosynthesis<br>Thamismetabolism<br>Thema talabidi biosynthesis<br>Thamismetabolism<br>Thema t   | sis         |
|       | Protect processing in endoplasmic reticulum<br>Poticit processing in endoplasmic reticulum<br>Pyinvian enabolism<br>Pyinvian enabolism<br>Pyinvian enabolism<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Sciencompound retabolism<br>Splingoligit netabolism<br>Splingoligit netabolism<br>Sturb and sucrose netabolism<br>Sturb and sucrose netabolism<br>Stuffur retabolism<br>Stilleroidi, diarylheptanoid ad gingerol biosynthe<br>Stilleroidi, diarylheptanoidi ad gingerol biosynthesis<br>Thiamice metabolism<br>Tryptophan metabolism   | sis         |
|       | Ander Species     Ander processing in endoplasmic reticulum     Purine metabolism     Pyravate metabolism     Pyravate metabolism     Pyravate metabolism     Pyravate metabolism     Pyravate metabolism     Ribosome     Ribosome biogenesis in exkaryotes     RNA degradation     RNA degradation     RNA degradation     Starbard RNA degradation     Syntexise     Selexocompound metabolism     Sphingolipid metabolism     Sphingolipid metabolism     Sphingolipid metabolism     Surch and sucrose metabolism     Surch and sucrose metabolism     Starbard Stillenoid, diaryheptanoid and gingerol biosynthe     Suffur metabolism     Starbard Alegradation of ketose bodies     Tarairea and Joyetaraire metabolism     Terpenoid backbone biosynthes     Tarairea and Joyetaraire metabolism     Torpean, piperdilor and apyridae allaloid biosynthe     Typoishen metabolism  | sis<br>cuin |
|       | Ander begannt     Ander b   | sis<br>cois |
|       | Pontin iprocessing in endoplasmic reticulum<br>Portin processing in endoplasmic reticulum<br>Pyinvan enclobilam<br>Pyinvan enclobilam<br>Pyinvan enclobilam<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Ribosome<br>Schwarz (Reticulut and Reticulut and Reticulut<br>Reticulut<br>Schwarz (Reticulut<br>Schwarz)<br>Schwarz (Reticulut<br>S   | sis<br>esis |

#### Table 1 DEGs mapped to phenylpropanoid pathway

| Gene ID     | annotation                                | M-81E    |                     | Roma     |                     |
|-------------|---|----------|---------------------|----------|---------------------|
|             |   | FDR      | log <sub>2</sub> FC | FDR      | log <sub>2</sub> FC |
| Sb01g010830 | Beta-glucosidase 7 (Precursor)            | 0.000157 | -1.523              | _        | _                   |
| Sb01g010840 | Beta-glucosidase 7 (Precursor)            | 0.006449 | 1.280427            | 4.47E-05 | 1.459969            |
| Sb01g041760 | Peroxidase N (Precursor)                  | 8.31E-14 | -3.18323            | 3.06E-10 | -2.62365            |
| Sb02g000470 | Peroxidase 1 (Precursor)                  | 0.00584  | 1.229081            | _        | -                   |
| Sb03g024460 | Peroxidase 72 (Precursor)                 | 0.006355 | 1.189848            | 1.57E-08 | 2.003783            |
| Sb03g030630 | Peroxidase 19 (Precursor)                 | 0.000386 | 2.136814            | 2.93E-06 | 2.125997            |
| Sb03g038160 | Trans-cinnamate 4-monooxygenase           | 4.50E-08 | 2.637436            | 0.001809 | 2.413054            |
| Sb04g031010 | Probable 4-coumarate-CoA ligase           | 0.002771 | 2.221379            | 0.002767 | 2.174296            |
| Sb04g038610 | Peroxidase 64 (Precursor)                 | 4.42E-07 | -2.90919            | _        | -                   |
| Sb07g001280 | Peroxidase 47 (Precursor)                 | 1.33E-05 | 1.812576            | _        | -                   |
| Sb07g021680 | Cinnamoyl-CoA reductase                   | 1.08E-12 | 2.842039            | 8.35E-07 | 1.920045            |
| Sb09g024210 | p-coumarate 3-hydroxylase P450 98A1       | 0.000158 | 1.536418            | _        | -                   |
| Sb10g010040 | Peroxidase 11 (Precursor)                 | 2.29E-05 | -1.74935            | _        | -                   |
| Sb10g027490 | Peroxidase 3 (Precursor)                  | 6.93E-07 | 2.061833            | _        | -                   |
| Sb02g027330 | Peroxidase 17 (Precursor)                 | _        | _                   | 0.005278 | 2.749369            |
| Sb10g023000 | Aldehyde dehydrogenase family 2 member C4 | _        | _                   | 0.003219 | 2.644443            |
| Sb10g026130 | Probable 4-coumarate-CoA ligase 4         | —        | —                   | 0.004708 | 1.471672            |

Legend: DEGs mapped to phenylpropanoid pathway. "FDR" means false discovery rate, "FC" means fold change, "-" means the expression of the gene was not changed under salt stress

biosynthesis were up-regulated under salt stress in M-81E and Roma, respectively.

Genes related to the ion transport

Suberin is the other main component of apoplastic barriers. Fatty acid elongation and w-carbon oxidation represent two characteristic processes of suberin biosynthesis. According to our RNA-seq data, lots of genes related to suberin biosynthesis showed differential expression.Sb10g023290, which encodes theβketoacyl-CoA synthase (KCS), was up-regulated in both genotypes. Among the genes encoding NADPHdependent cytochrome P450 monooxygenases (P450) catalyzing the hydroxylation of fatty acids in the  $\omega$ position, 1 gene (Sb03g040440) and 2 genes (Sb09g021890 and Sb08g003110) were enhanced by salt stress in M-81E and Roma, respectively. Furthermore, Sb09g006170 encoding FAR1 involving suberin synthesis was significantly up-regulated in both genotypes. Meanwhile, another 2 genes (Sb10g024700 and Sb04g022400) related to FAR1 were down-regulated only in Roma (Table 2). In addition, DEGs encoding ABCG transporters participating in the transport of precursors of suberin in both genotypes were up-regulated after treated with NaCl.

Among all DEGs, we identified 21 and 27 genes related to K<sup>+</sup> and Na<sup>+</sup> transport in M-81E and Roma, respectively (Table 3). The expression of Sb03g012590, which encodes HKT8 (HKT1;5) was enhanced in both genotypes after treated with NaCl. However, the increase in the expression level was more significant in M-81E. According to our RNA-seq data, 6 and 4 genes related to K<sup>+</sup> transport were differentially expressed under salt stress in M-81E and Roma, respectively. Most of these genes were up-regulated under salt stress. CBL (calcineurin B-like protein)-interacting protein kinase (CIPK) have been implicated in regulating cellular sodium and potassium homeostasis. In M-81E, there were only 4 DEGs encoding CIPK, and only one gene of which was up-regulated. However, 10 CIPK encoding DEGs were found in Roma, four of which were up-regulated (Table 3). Two and one genes encoding plasma membrane ATPase, which generates the proton gradient to provide energy for various transporters on the plasma membrane, were differentially expressed in M-81E and Roma, respectively. Sb01g050620 encoding plasma

| Gene ID     | annotation                         | M-81E     |                     | Roma      | Roma                |  |
|-------------|------------------------------------|-----------|---------------------|-----------|---------------------|--|
|             |                                    | FDR       | log <sub>2</sub> FC | FDR       | log <sub>2</sub> FC |  |
| Sb10g023290 | 3-ketoacyl-CoA synthase 11 KCS11   | 7.49E-06  | 1.954551            | 3.24E-09  | 2.138424            |  |
| Sb03g040440 | Cytochrome P450 94A1               | 0.0001658 | 1.655476            | _         | -                   |  |
| Sb08g001320 | Cytochrome P450 94A1               | 0.0013684 | -2.52925            | _         | _                   |  |
| Sb09g021890 | Cytochrome P450 94A2               | _         | _                   | 0.0003816 | 1.493168            |  |
| Sb08g003110 | Cytochrome P450 94A1               | _         | _                   | 0.0000584 | 2.638363            |  |
| Sb09g006170 | FAR1-RELATED SEQUENCE 5            | 0.0004716 | 2.85013             | 0.0002032 | 2.985953            |  |
| Sb10g024700 | FAR1-RELATED SEQUENCE 4            | _         | _                   | 0.0019157 | -1.37522            |  |
| Sb04g022400 | FAR1-RELATED SEQUENCE 5            | _         | _                   | 0.0016168 | -1.42401            |  |
| Sb07g023550 | ABC transporter G family member 4  | 0.003223  | 1.67846             | _         | -                   |  |
| Sb05g004940 | ABC transporter G family member 25 | _         | _                   | 5.25E-05  | 1.466189            |  |

#### Table 2 DEGs related to suberin synthesis

Legend: DEGs mapped to suberin synthesis. "FDR" means false discovery rate, "FC" means fold change, "-" means the expression of the gene was not changed under salt stress

membrane ATPase was down-regulated in both genotypes. Whereas, only M-81E showed a 2.51-fold induction of the gene (Sb01g011610). In plants, the Na<sup>+</sup>/H<sup>+</sup> antiporter in vacuolar membranes transports Na<sup>+</sup> from the cytoplasm into vacuoles using the electrochemical H<sup>+</sup> gradient generated by vacuolar H<sup>+</sup>-inorganic pyrophosphatase and vacuolar H<sup>+</sup>-ATPase. In M-81E and Roma, expression of vacuolar H<sup>+</sup>-inorganic pyrophosphatase related DEGs (Sb04g005710 and Sb10g025280, respectively) were down-regulated after NaCl treatment (Table 3).

## Genes related to the ROS scavenging system

In M-81E, there were 31 DEGs categorized into peroxidase, of which 21were up-regulated by salt stress (Table S4). Furthermore, the expression of Sb01g004160 and Sb10g028480, which encode the precursor of cationic peroxidase 2 and peroxidase 45, were significantly enhanced by salt stress. Thirty-five DEGs categorized into peroxidase were found in Roma, 25 DEGs of which were up-regulated by salt stress. While, the increases in expression level of these DEGs were not as significant as those of M-81E. Three genes encoding CATs were differentially expressed in both M-81E and Roma. The expression of Sb01g048280 which encodes catalase isozyme 2, was up-regulated by salt stress in both M-81E and Roma. However, this increase in the expression level was more significant in M-81E. Two genes encoding ascorbate peroxidase (APX) differentially expressed in both M-81E and Roma according to our RNA-seq data. These 2 genes showed similar expression levels in M-81E and Roma under salt stress. There were 10 and 7 DEGs categorized into glutathione-S-transferases (GST) in M-81E and Roma, respectively. Most of these DEGs were up-regulated by salt stress. In M-81E, the expression levels of 3 genes encoding germin-like protein were significantly enhanced after 48 h treatment with 150 mM NaCl. However, Roma had only one germin-like protein encoding gene differentially expressed under salt stress.

#### Up-regulated genes related to transcription factors

As expected, there were 50 and 21 up-regulated transcription factors (TFs) under salt stress in M-81E and Roma, respectively (Table S5).

In M-81E, up-regulated TFs were categorized into many different families, and the greatest enrichment was for the *bHLHs* and *MYBs*. In addition, members of the *WRKY, ERF, RF* and *NAC* which have been previously implicated to play critical roles in abiotic stress were also differentially expressed following NaCl treatment. Three heat stress transcription factor encoding genes (Sb01g008380, Sb04g008300, and Sb06g025710) were highly induced (48.17-, 43.41- and 6.32-fold, respectively) by salt stress. In Roma, however, DEGs related to TFs only categorized into a few families, and the majority of which categorized into *bHLHs*. Members of *RF* 

#### Table 3 DEGs related to the ion transport

| Gene ID     | annotation  | M-81E      |                     | Roma       |                     |
|-------------|---|------------|---------------------|------------|---------------------|
|             |   | FDR        | log <sub>2</sub> FC | FDR        | log <sub>2</sub> FC |
| Sb03g000700 | Salt stress root protein RS1                          | _          | _                   | 4.44E-10   | -2.2919745          |
| Sb08g021840 | K <sup>+</sup> efflux antiporter 3, chloroplastic     | 0.003025   | -1.4466245          | 7.12E-05   | -1.6404701          |
| Sb01g048670 | K <sup>+</sup> efflux antiporter 5                    | 0.00300451 | 1.4315531           | -          | -                   |
| Sb03g012590 | Cation transporter HKT8                               | 7.21E-18   | 5.2589382           | 2.22E-08   | 3.0232756           |
| Sb07g022685 | Probable potassium transporter 4                      | 7.86E-07   | 3.9500162           | 0.0066322  | 3.2573801           |
| Sb03g000700 | Salt stress root protein RS1                          | 0.00023617 | -2.0413971          | -          | -                   |
| Sb09g021160 | Potassium channel AKT2                                | -          | -                   | 6.09E-05   | 1.7252641           |
| Sb03g029520 | Potassium channel AKT1                                | -          | -                   | 0.00109296 | 2.7976411           |
| Sb01g011630 | Calcium-dependent protein kinase 10                   | -          | -                   | 0.00445807 | 1.1769026           |
| Sb10g008960 | Potassium channel KOR1                                | 0.00341438 | 1.5000486           | -          | -                   |
| Sb09g018980 | Annexin D3  | 0.00874144 | -1.239857           | -          | -                   |
| Sb02g000340 | Potassium transporter 27                              | 0.0001048  | 1.8329655           | -          | -                   |
| Sb05g002070 | Protein ZINC INDUCED FACILITATOR 1                    | _          | -                   | 0.0019163  | -1.5849044          |
| Sb03g034980 | Potassium channel KAT3                                | 5.58E-08   | -2.587228           | -          | -                   |
| Sb04g011100 | Plasma membrane-associated cation-binding protein 1   | 3.76E-08   | 2.3620005           | 7.71E-11   | 2.9976177           |
| Sb09g018910 | Cation/H <sup>+</sup> antiporter 20                   | -          | -                   | 0.00726751 | 2.191702            |
| Sb08g021720 | Cation/H <sup>+</sup> antiporter 19                   | 1.33E-07   | -2.1333373          | 0.00140537 | -2.3404881          |
| Sb09g002900 | CBL-interacting protein kinase 17                     | -          | -                   | 7.07E-05   | -1.4658384          |
| Sb08g020690 | CBL-interacting protein kinase 4                      | -          | -                   | 0.00027912 | -2.3430821          |
| Sb07g021660 | CBL-interacting protein kinase 6                      | 0.00038423 | 2.5458847           | 0.00289129 | 3.6021476           |
| Sb09g025420 | CBL-interacting protein kinase 19                     | -          | -                   | 0.00055258 | 1.6967653           |
| Sb01g048750 | CBL-interacting protein kinase 9                      | -          | -                   | 0.00226559 | 1.1212687           |
| Sb01g036020 | CBL-interacting protein kinase 10                     | 1.18E-34   | -5.6438371          | 4.96E-10   | -2.415617           |
| Sb03g035170 | CBL-interacting protein kinase 19                     | -          | -                   | 0.00425493 | 1.5789395           |
| Sb05g002000 | CBL-interacting protein kinase 32                     | -          | -                   | 0.00341144 | -1.0931847          |
| Sb08g002740 | CBL-interacting protein kinase 14                     | 0.00146763 | -1.4514006          | 1.91E-06   | -3.1510508          |
| Sb02g024770 | CBL-interacting protein kinase 16                     | -          | -                   | 0.00292796 | -1.0967604          |
| Sb02g024780 | CBL-interacting protein kinase 6                      | 4.00E-08   | -3.4671062          | -          | -                   |
| Sb01g050620 | Plasma membrane ATPase 1 LHA                          | 0.00030139 | -1.5009787          | 0.00049896 | -1.2579605          |
| Sb01g011610 | ATPase 8, plasma membrane-type AHA8                   | 0.00143895 | 1.331467            | -          | _                   |
| Sb04g005710 | Pyrophosphate-energized vacuolar membrane proton pump | 0.00046977 | -1.449252           | -          | _                   |
| Sb10g025280 | Pyrophosphate-energized vacuolar membrane proton pump | _          | _                   | 0.00576836 | -1.9336548          |

Legend: DEGs related to the ion transport. "FDR" means false discovery rate, "FC" means fold change, "-" means the expression of the gene was not changed under salt stress

and *MYB* were also differentially expressed under salt stress.

#### Other identified genes

Plants have to adjust their water balance in response to salt stress. Aquaporin proteins have been shown to transport water and other small molecules through biological membranes, which is crucial for plants to combat salt stress. After treated with 150 mM NaCl for 48 h, 9 genes encoding aquaporins were differentially expressed in M-81E, of which 6 categorized intoplasma membrane intrinsic proteins (PIPs) and 3 categorized into tonoplast intrinsic proteins (TIPs) (Table S6). All the DEGs encoding PIPs were upregulated more than 4-fold. The genes Sb02g010780,

Sb02g010800 and Sb10g007610, which respectively encode PIP 2-6, PIP 2-6 and PIP 1-6, were highly induced by salt stress. Two of the DEGs encoding TIPs in M-81E were up-regulated. In Roma, 6 genes which encode aquaporins were differentially expressed in response to salt stress. Three of these DEGs were related to PIPs and were up-regulated. Sb09g007940, which encodes TIP4-2 was down-regulated by 5.54-fold. To our surprise, the expression of Sb07g003270 which encodes NIP3-2 was significantly enhanced under salt stress in Roma. Gene which encodes SIP1-1 was downregulated after treated with NaCl.In M-81E, 9 DEGs categorized into heat shock proteins (HSPs) were found after 48 h NaCl treatment. All of these genes were significantly enhanced by salt stress. In Roma, 10 genes encoding HSPs were differentially expressed, with 9 of which were up-regulated (Table S7).

#### Discussion

Plants have evolved various mechanisms to overcome salt stress in the long-term natural selection. Salt tolerance in monocotyledonousspecies is strongly correlated with salt exclusion which characterized by low Na<sup>+</sup> content in leaves and relative high Na<sup>+</sup> content in roots (Läuchli et al. 2008; Munns et al. 2006; Munns et al. 2003; Yeo and Flowers 1986). In the present study, Na<sup>+</sup> content in shoots is much lower than that in roots in both genotypes, suggesting that salt exclusion plays important roles in response to salt stress in sweet sorghum. Moreover, Na<sup>+</sup> content in shoots of the salt-sensitive Roma increased more significantly than that in salttolerant M-81E (Fig. 1a and c), indicating that M-81E had better ability to limit the transport of Na<sup>+</sup> into shoots. Na<sup>+</sup> content in roots of M-81E was also significantly lower than that in Roma, suggesting roots of M-81E may have better abilities to limit the entry of Na<sup>+</sup> or/ and to promote the efflux of Na<sup>+</sup>. High Na<sup>+</sup> concentration impairs the ability of plants to accumulate K<sup>+</sup>(Munns and Tester 2008). K<sup>+</sup> content decreased with raised NaCl concentration and the decrease was more severe in Roma (Fig. 1b and d). These results indicated that M-81E has a higher salt exclusion and the net capacity of selection for transport of K<sup>+</sup> over Na<sup>+</sup> than Roma being consistent with previous studies (Sui et al. 2015).

Root apoplastic barriers consist of CB and SL play a pivotal role in blocking apoplastic bypass flow of water and ions into the stele and Na<sup>+</sup> transport to shoots (Ma and Peterson 2003). It has been reported that salt stress strengthened the root apoplastic barriers (Krishnamurthy et al. 2014; Krishnamurthy et al. 2009). However, little is known about the genes involved in root apoplastic barriers and their possible roles in salt exclusion under salinity.

Suberin and lignin are the main components of apoplastic barriers. Fatty acid elongation and w-carbon oxidation represent two characteristic processes of suberin biosynthesis. KCS is rate-limiting step in the fatty acid elongation products (Millar and Kunst 1997). The w-carbon oxidation is typically catalyzed by P450, particularly by the CYP86 and CYP94 families (Höfer et al. 2008; Le Bouquin et al. 1999; Le Bouquin et al. 2001; Serra et al. 2009). According to our RNA-seq data, DEGs encoding KCS and CYP94A1 which are key enzymes of suberin biosynthesis were up-regulated in both genotypes, indicating that the biosynthesis of suberin precursors was enhanced by salt stress. This result was consistent with Benveniste's study (Benveniste et al. 2005). Subfamily G ABC transporters (ABCG transporters) have been reported involved in the transport of precursors of suberin (Landgraf et al. 2014; Vishwanath et al. 2015; Yadav and Reed 2014). DEGs encoding ABCG transporters were induced by salt stress in both genotypes (Sb07g023550 in M-81E and Sb05g004940 in Roma) (Table 2). Salt stress also strengthened apoplastic barriers in rice (Krishnamurthy et al. 2009). In addition, genes encoding key enzymes for lignin biosynthesis such as Sb07g021680 encoding CCR, Sb04g031010 encoding 4CL and Sb03g038160 encoding trans-cinnamate 4-monooxygenase were also induced in both genotypes (Table 1). Moreover, the increases were more significant in M-81E than that in Roma, suggesting that lignin biosynthesis was induced by salt stress in both genotypes, particularly in M-81E. In particular, Sb09g024210 encoding p-coumarate 3hydroxylase (C3H, 1.14.13.-) was up-regulated only in M-81E, which may cause an increase of G-type lignin. The biosynthesis of lignin was also affected by various biotic and abiotic stresses (Vanholme et al. 2010). These results suggested that salt exclusion in sweet sorghum can be regulated by changing expression level of genes encoding not only the biosynthesis of sunberin and lignin but also the transport process of its monomer transport process.

Through prior exposure to mild stress, plants can accumulate many TFsto defend against lethal levels of stress and may be related to signal transduction pathways under stress(Yang et al. 2017). There are many evidences that TFs are involved in improving stress tolerance in plants. MYB family TFs play important roles in the regulation of lignin biosynthesis (Zhong and Ye 2009), suberin biosynthesis (Kosma et al. 2014) and secondary cell wall deposition (McCarthy et al. 2009). In the present study, many DEGs encoding MYBs were up-regulated in M-81E, whichmay be involved in the regulation of lignin and suberin biosynthesisin M-81E. While, there were only 2 genes encoding MYB86 up-regulated by salt stress in Roma. In addition, OsMYB59 and ScMYBAS1 are thought to play positive roles in stress response (Prabu and Prasad 2012; Quan et al. 2010). DEGs encoding these may also contribute to improving the salt tolerance of M-81E. In addition, other TFs such as bHLHs, NACs HSFs and WRKYs were also up-regulated in both genotypes, particularly in M-81E. These TFs are involved in plant stress responses (Liu et al. 2014; NIU et al. 2012; Yokotani et al. 2008; Yokotani et al. 2009). These results revealed that the genes encoding TFs such as MYBs and bHLHs contribute to improving the salt tolerance of sweet sorghum.

Although root apoplastic barriers can block or reduce the Na<sup>+</sup> transport to shoots, they also limit the absorption and transport of water. Aquaporin proteins play important roles in transportation of water through biological membranes (Xin et al. 2014). According to our RNA-seq data, 8 and 4 DEGs encoding aquaporin proteins were up-regulated in M-81E and Roma, respectively. Most of these DEGs categorized into PIPs, and the up-regulation was more significant in M-81E. In addition to PIPs, TIPs have also been proven play roles in enhancing the tolerance to salts tress (Xin et al. 2014). In the current study, there were 2 DEGs encoding TIPs up-regulated in M-81E, whereas there were no upregulated DEGs related to TIPs in Roma (Table S6). As salt stress presumably induced the synthesis of apoplastic barriers, water transport may be inhibited.. The up-regulation of aquaporins may promote water transport across apoplstic barriers.

According to our RNA-seq data, most of the DEGs encoding plasma membrane ATPase and vacuolar membrane proton pumps were down-regulated in both genotypes, suggesting that the generation of electrochemical H<sup>+</sup> gradient was inhibited by salt stress. This may further inhibit the transportation of Na<sup>+</sup> into vacuoles or out of cells via Na<sup>+</sup>/H<sup>+</sup> antiporter. However, it was contrast to most previous published reports(Chen et al. 2010; Zhang et al. 2017). We speculated thatchanges in proton pumps are the early reactions which driving salt stress responses, therefore it may be induced by salt stress at an earlier stage. In addition, the response of proton pump to salt stress is mainly through the post-translational regulation rather than the transcriptional regulation (Chen et al. 2007). Therefore, changes in expression level accounts for a relatively small proportion. Further genetic and molecular analysis will be critical to verify theses peculation. Additionally, accordding to our RNA-seq data, a DEG encoding plasma membrane ATPase was increased only in M-81E, which may contribute to Na<sup>+</sup> exclusion. Moreover, more K<sup>+</sup> transport related genes were differentially expressed under salt stress in M-81E, which may serve important functions for K<sup>+</sup> homeostasis in M-81E. HKTs have been demonstrated to be involved in the regulation of Na<sup>+</sup> and K<sup>+</sup> transportation (Corratgé-Faillie et al. 2010) and maintenance of Na<sup>+</sup>/K<sup>+</sup> balance (Wang et al. 2014) in higher plants. Group 1 HKT genes, such as AtHKT1;1 and OsHKT1;5, are Na<sup>+</sup> specific and may be involved in inhibiting Na<sup>+</sup> transportation from the roots to the leaves (Byrt et al. 2007; Munns et al. 2012; Byrt et al. 2014; Zhu et al. 2016). Expression of the HKT 1;5 encoding Sb03g012590 was enhanced by salt stress in both genotypes, particularly for M-81E with a 38.32-fold increase (Table 3). As a result, Na<sup>+</sup> accumulation in shoots can be limited.CBL and CIPK mediate plant responses to a variety of stresses. While, only a few CIPKs' functions are clear. The CBL1 (or CBL9)-CIPK23complex is required for the activation of the Arabidopsis K<sup>+</sup> transporter 1 (AKT1) channel (Li et al. 2006; Xu et al. 2006) and function in maintaining ion homeostasis under salt stress (Luan 2009). As well, CIPK6 and CIPK16 interacted with AKT1 and enhanced the activity of AKT1 (Lee et al. 2007). Sb07g021660 encoding the CIPK6 was up-regulated in both genotypes, whereas there were also some CIPK6 or CIPK16 encoding DEGs down-regulated. These may be related with the regulation of K<sup>+</sup> balance under salt stress.

HSPs belong to a class of proteins that are conserved in prokaryotes and eukaryotes and are especially abundant in plants. Most HSPs functions molecular chaperones in maintaining homeostasis of protein folding and are thought to be responsible for the acquisition of thermal tolerance. Certain proteins such as HSPs and antioxidant enzymes can be induced by several stresses (Sun and MacRae 2005; Yan et al. 2005). Overexpression of these genes enhanced the tolerance of transgenic plant to various stresses (Sun et al. 2001). In the present study, most DEGs related to HSPs and ROS scavenging system were up-regulated in both genotypes (Table S4 and

S7). These indicated that salt stress strongly induced the ability to scavenge ROS and to accumulate HSPs, which may be a general salt resistance strategy of sweet sorghum.



sweet sorghum. A. Schematic longitudinal section of a root showing the formation zone of casparian strip (black point) and suberin lamellae (yellow plates). B. A cross section of maturation zone of the root showing Na<sup>+</sup> uptake pathway. Na<sup>+</sup> is transported into roots through apoplastic pathway and symplastic pathway. Apoplastic barriers can block or limit Na<sup>+</sup> transportation across endodermis and Na<sup>+</sup> will accumulate outside the endodermis (①). C. A schematic pathway of salt exclusion process in sweet sorghum root showing the possibly critical position such as endodermis cell and physical barriers (casparian strip and suberin lamellae). Endodermis cells firstly sense Na<sup>+</sup> by an unknown receptor and initiate the expression of some transcription factors such as NAC, bHLH, MYB (②). These transcription factors then activate the expression of genes encoding enzymes of biosynthesis of suberin and lignin

monomers (③). The genes encoding Subfamily G of ATPbinding-cassette transporters (ABCG) involved in transport of lignin and suberin monomers across the plasma membrane are up-regulated to enhance the formation of casparian strip and suberin lamellae (④). Then, root apoplastic barriers consisted of casparian strip and suberin lamellae could block Na<sup>+</sup> transport to shoots. Coordinate regulation of the genes encoding ion transporters and H<sup>+</sup>-pumps ensure cytosolic ion homeostasis via Na<sup>+</sup> sequestration into vacuole and out of cells by Na<sup>+</sup>/H<sup>+</sup> antiporter or Na<sup>+</sup> unloading from xylem sap by HKT1 to limit Na<sup>+</sup> to the shoot (⑤). And the Na<sup>+</sup> finally out of the root cells via SOS1. The upregulation of aquaporins genes may promote water transport across apoplstic barriers of membrane (⑥). D. A detail process of biosynthesis of suberin and lignin monomers and ABCGmediated monomer transport across plasma membrane

## Conclusions

In conclusion, sweet sorghum achieves relatively high salt tolerance mainly by improving the ability to exclude Na<sup>+</sup> and to maintain ion homeostasis. Compared with Roma, M-81E has a better ability to limit the transport of Na<sup>+</sup> from roots to shoots. Apoplastic barriers may play a critical role in this process by blocking or reducing Na<sup>+</sup> across endodermis. The H<sup>+</sup>-pumps and ion transporters also contribute to Na<sup>+</sup> exclusion, particularly unloading of Na<sup>+</sup> out of the xylem by HKT1;5 and finally out of the root cells via SOS1. In addition, aquaporins and antioxidants of scavenging ROS also participate salt exclusion under salt stress. Briefly, root cells sense Na<sup>+</sup> rise by an unknown sensor and start transcription of TFs such as bHLH, MYB and WRKY leading to enhancement of all the above processes involved in salt exclusion (Fig. 6). This RNA-seq dataset is an important resource for future studies aimed at improving salt tolerance of monocotyledon crops such as sweet sorghum. Further genetic and molecular analysis will be critical to understanding the detail roles of these genes in salt exclusion of sweet sorghum.

Acknowledgements We are grateful for financial support from Natural Science Research Foundation of Shandong Province (ZR2016JL028, ZR2014CZ002), Major Program of Shandong Provincial Natural Science Foundation (2017C03), the NSFC (National Natural Science Research Foundation of China, project No. 31770288), Independent innovation and achievement transformation of special major key technical plans of Shandong Province (2015ZDJS03002).

Authors' contributions ZY wrote this manuscript; ZY, HZ and XW performed experiments; ZY and JS collected data and carried out all analyses; NS and BW conceptualized the idea and revised the manuscript.

#### Compliance with ethical standards

**Competing interests** The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Abrreviarion *CB*, casparian bands; *SL*, suberin lamellae; *FW*, fresh weight; *CCR*, cinnamoyl CoA reductase; *CAD*, cinnamyl alcohol dehydrogenase; *4CL*, 4-coumarate-CoA ligase; *KCS*,  $\beta$ -ketoacyl-CoA synthase; *CBL*, calcineurin B-like protein; *CIPK*, CBL-interacting protein kinase; *APX*, ascorbate peroxidase; *GST*, glutathione-S-transferases; *HSP*, Heat shock proteins; *RNA-seq*, RNA-sequencing; *DEGs*, differentially expressed genes; *RPKM*, Reads per KB per million; *FDR*, false discovery rate; *GO*, Gene Ontology; *COG*, Clusters of Orthologous Groups; *KEGG*, Kyoto

Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes; *qRT-PCR*, quantitative realtime PCR; *TF*, transcription factors; *PIP*, plasma membrane intrinsic proteins; *TIPs*, tonoplast intrinsic proteins; *C3H*, p-coumarate 3-hydroxylase

## References

- Almodares A, Hadi M (2009) Production of bioethanol from sweet sorghum: a review. Afr J Agric Res:772–780
- Anders S, Huber W (2010) Differential expression analysis for sequence count data. Genome Biol 11:R106
- Apweiler R et al (2004) UniProt: the universal protein knowledgebase. Nucleic Acids Res 32:D115–D119. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkh131
- Ashburner M, Ball CA, Blake JA, Botstein D, Butler H, Cherry JM, Davis AP, Dolinski K, Dwight SS, Eppig JT, Harris MA, Hill DP, Issel-Tarver L, Kasarskis A, Lewis S, Matese JC, Richardson JE, Ringwald M, Rubin GM, Sherlock G (2000) Gene ontology: tool for the unification of biology. Nat Genet 25:25–29
- Bazakos C, Manioudaki ME, Therios I, Voyiatzis D, Kafetzopoulos D, Awada T, Kalaitzis P (2012) Comparative Transcriptome analysis of two olive cultivars in response to NaCl-stress. PLoS One 7:e42931
- Benveniste I, Bronner R, Wang Y, Compagnon V, Michler P, Schreiber L, Salaün JP, Durst F, Pinot F (2005) CYP94A1, a plant cytochrome P450-catalyzing fatty acid ω-hydroxylase, is selectively induced by chemical stress in Vicia sativa seedlings. Planta 221:881–890
- Blumwald E (2000) Sodium transport and salt tolerance in plants. Curr Opin Cell Biol 12:431–434
- Byrt CS, Platten JD, Spielmeyer W, James RA, Lagudah ES, Dennis ES, Tester M, Munns R (2007) HKT1; 5-like cation transporters linked to Na<sup>+</sup> exclusion loci in wheat, Nax2 and Kna1. Plant Physiol 143:1918–1928
- Byrt CS, Xu B, Krishnan M et al (2014) The Na<sup>+</sup> transporter, TaHKT1;5-D, limits shoot Na<sup>+</sup>accumulation in bread whea plant journal for cell. Mol Biol 80(3):516
- Chai Y, Jiang C, Shi L, Shi T, Gu W (2010) Effects of exogenous spermine on sweet sorghum during germination under salinity. Biol Plant 54:145–148
- Chen Z, Pottosin II, Cuin TA et al (2007) Root plasma membrane transporters controlling K<sup>+</sup>/Na<sup>+</sup> homeostasis in salt-stressed barley. Plant Physiol 145(4):1714–1725
- Chen M, Song J, Wang BS (2010) NaCl increases the activity of the plasma membrane H <sup>+</sup> -ATPase in C 3 halophyte Suaeda salsa callus. Acta Physiol Plant 32:27–36
- Corratgé-Faillie C, Jabnoune M, Zimmermann S, Véry A-A, Fizames C, Sentenac H (2010) Potassium and sodium transport in non-animal cells: the Trk/Ktr/HKT transporter family. Cell Mol Life Sci 67:2511–2532
- Dai LY, Zhang LJ, Jiang SJ, Yin KD (2014) Saline and alkaline stress genotypic tolerance in sweet sorghum is linked to sodium distribution. Acta Agric Scand B. Soil Plant Sci 64(6):471–481
- Ding TL, Song J, Guo JR, Sui N, Fan H, Chen M, Wang BS (2013) The cultivation technique for increasing the stalk sugar

content of energy plant sweet sorghum in Yellow River delta. Adv Mater Res 724:437–442

- Du X, Wang G, Ji J, Shi L, Guan C, Jin C (2017) Comparative transcriptome analysis of transcription factors in different maize varieties under salt stress conditions. Plant Growth Regul 81:183–195
- Guo YY, Tian SS, Liu SS, Wang WQ, Sui N (2018) Energy dissipation and antioxidant enzyme system protect photosystem II of sweet sorghum under drought stress. Photosynthetica 56(3):861–872
- Höfer R, Briesen I, Beck M, Pinot F, Schreiber L, Franke R (2008) The Arabidopsis cytochrome P450 CYP86A1 encodes a fatty acid ω-hydroxylase involved in suberin monomer biosynthesis. J Exp Bot 59:2347–2360
- Jiang X, Leidi EO, Pardo JM (2010) How do vacuolar NHX exchangers function in plant salt tolerance? Plant Signal Behav 5:792–795
- Kanehisa M, Goto S, Kawashima S, Okuno Y, Hattori M (2004) The KEGG resource for deciphering the genome. Nucleic Acids Res 32:D277–D280
- Kosma DK, Murmu J, Razeq FM, Santos P, Bourgault R, Molina I, Rowland O (2014) AtMYB41 activates ectopic suberin synthesis and assembly in multiple plant species and cell types. Plant J 80:216–229
- Krishnamurthy P, Ranathunge K, Franke R, Prakash H, Schreiber L, Mathew M (2009) The role of root apoplastic transport barriers in salt tolerance of rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). Planta 230: 119–134
- Krishnamurthy P, Ranathunge K, Nayak S, Schreiber L, Mathew M (2011) Root apoplastic barriers block Na<sup>+</sup> transport to shoots in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). J Exp Bot 62:4215–4228
- Krishnamurthy P, JYOTHI-PRAKASH PA, Qin L, He J, Lin Q, LOH CS, Kumar PP (2014) Role of root hydrophobic barriers in salt exclusion of a mangrove plant Avicennia officinalis. Plant Cell Environ 37:1656–1671
- Landgraf R, Smolka U, Altmann S, Eschen-Lippold L, Senning M, Sonnewald S, Weigel B, Frolova N, Strehmel N, Hause G, Scheel D, Bottcher C, Rosahl S (2014) The ABC transporter ABCG1 is required for suberin formation in potato tuber periderm. Plant Cell 26:3403–3415
- Läuchli A, James RA, Huang CX, Mccully M, Munns R (2008) Cell-specific localization of Na <sup>+</sup> in roots of durum wheat and possible control points for salt exclusion. Plant Cell Environ 31:1565–1574
- Le Bouquin R, Pinot F, Benveniste I, Salaün J-P, Durst F (1999) Cloning and functional characterization of CYP94A2, a medium chain fatty acid hydroxylase from Vicia sativa. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 261:156–162
- Le Bouquin R et al (2001) CYP94A5, a new cytochrome P450 from Nicotiana tabacum is able to catalyze the oxidation of fatty acids to the  $\omega$ -alcohol and to the corresponding diacid. Eur J Biochem 268:3083–3090
- Lee SC, Lan WZ, Kim BG, Li L, Cheong YH, Pandey GK, Lu G, Buchanan BB, Luan S (2007) A protein phosphorylation/ dephosphorylation network regulates a plant potassium channel. Proc Natl Acad Sci 104:15959–15964
- Li L, Kim B-G, Cheong YH, Pandey GK, Luan S (2006) A Ca<sup>2+</sup> signaling pathway regulates a K+ channel for low-K response in Arabidopsis. Proc Natl Acad Sci 103:12625–12630
- Liu W, Tai H, Li S, Gao W, Zhao M, Xie C, Li WX (2014) bHLH122 is important for drought and osmotic stress

resistance in Arabidopsis and in the repression of ABA catabolism. New Phytol 201:1192-1204

- Luan S (2009) The CBL–CIPK network in plant calcium signaling. Trends Plant Sci 14:37–42
- Ma F, Peterson CA (2003) Current insights into the development, structure, and chemistry of the endodermis and exodermis of roots. Can J Bot 81:405–421
- McCarthy RL, Zhong R, Ye Z-H (2009) MYB83 is a direct target of SND1 and acts redundantly with MYB46 in the regulation of secondary cell wall biosynthesis in Arabidopsis. Plant Cell Physiol 50:1950–1964
- Millar AA, Kunst L (1997) Very-long-chain fatty acid biosynthesis is controlled through the expression and specificity of the condensing enzyme. Plant J 12:121–131
- Munns R, Tester M (2008) Mechanisms of salinity tolerance. Annu Rev Plant Biol 59:651–681
- Munns R, Rebetzke GJ, Husain S, James RA, Hare RA (2003) Genetic control of sodium exclusion in durum wheat. Crop Pasture Sci 54(7):627–635
- Munns R, James RA, Läuchli A (2006) Approaches to increasing the salt tolerance of wheat and other cereals. J Exp Bot 57: 1025–1043
- Munns R, James RA, Xu B et al (2012) Wheat grain yield on saline soils is improved by an ancestral Na<sup>+</sup> transporter gene. Nat Biotechnol 30(4):360–364
- Niu CF et al (2012) Wheat WRKY genes TaWRKY2 and TaWRKY19 regulate abiotic stress tolerance in transgenic Arabidopsis plants. Plant Cell Environ 35:1156– 1170
- Ochiai K, Matoh T (2002) Characterization of the Na<sup>+</sup> delivery from roots to shoots in rice under saline stress: excessive salt enhances apoplastic transport in rice plants. Soil Sci Plant Nutr 48:371–378
- Oliveira AB, Alencar NLM, Prisco JT, Gomes-Filho E (2011) Accumulation of organic and inorganic solutes in NaClstressed sorghum seedlings from aged and primed seeds. Sci Agric 68:632–637
- Paterson AH, Bowers JE, Bruggmann R, Dubchak I, Grimwood J, Gundlach H, Haberer G, Hellsten U, Mitros T, Poliakov A, Schmutz J, Spannagl M, Tang H, Wang X, Wicker T, Bharti AK, Chapman J, Feltus FA, Gowik U, Grigoriev IV, Lyons E, Maher CA, Martis M, Narechania A, Otillar RP, Penning BW, Salamov AA, Wang Y, Zhang L, Carpita NC, Freeling M, Gingle AR, Hash CT, Keller B, Klein P, Kresovich S, McCann MC, Ming R, Peterson DG, Mehboob-ur-Rahman, Ware D, Westhoff P, Mayer KFX, Messing J, Rokhsar DS (2009) The Sorghum bicolor genome and the diversification of grasses. Nature 457:551–556
- Prabu G, Prasad DT (2012) Functional characterization of sugarcane MYB transcription factor gene promoter (PScMYBAS1) in response to abiotic stresses and hormones. Plant Cell Rep 31:661–669
- Pruitt KD, Tatusova T, Maglott DR (2005) NCBI reference sequence (RefSeq): a curated non-redundant sequence database of genomes, transcripts and proteins. Nucleic Acids Res 33: D501–D504
- Qiu Q-S, Guo Y, Dietrich MA, Schumaker KS, Zhu J-K (2002) Regulation of SOS1, a plasma membrane Na<sup>+</sup>/H<sup>+</sup> exchanger in Arabidopsis thaliana, by SOS2 and SOS3. Proc Natl Acad Sci 99:8436–8441

- Quan R, Hu S, Zhang Z, Zhang H, Zhang Z, Huang R (2010) Overexpression of an ERF transcription factor TSRF1 improves rice drought tolerance. Plant Biotechnol J 8:476–488
- Serra O, Soler M, Hohn C, Sauveplane V, Pinot F, Franke R, Schreiber L, Prat S, Molinas M, Figueras M (2009) CYP86A33-targeted gene silencing in potato tuber alters suberin composition, distorts suberin lamellae, and impairs the periderm's water barrier function. Plant Physiol 149: 1050–1060
- Shi H, Lee B-h, Wu S-J, Zhu J-K (2003) Overexpression of a plasma membrane Na<sup>+</sup>/H<sup>+</sup> antiporter gene improves salt tolerance in Arabidopsis thaliana. Nat Biotechnol 21:81–85
- Steudle E, Peterson CA (1998) How does water get through roots? J Exp Bot 49:775–788
- Sui N, Yang Z, Liu M, Wang B (2015) Identification and transcriptomic profiling of genes involved in increasing sugar content during salt stress in sweet sorghum leaves. BMC Genomics 16:534. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12864-015-1760-5
- Sun Y, MacRae TH (2005) Small heat shock proteins: molecular structure and chaperone function. Cell Mol Life Sci CMLS 62:2460–2476
- Sun W, Bernard C, Van De Cotte B, Van Montagu M, Verbruggen N (2001) At-HSP17. 6A, encoding a small heat-shock protein in Arabidopsis, can enhance osmotolerance upon overexpression. Plant J 27:407–415
- Tatusov RL, Galperin MY, Natale DA, Koonin EV (2000) The COG database: a tool for genome-scale analysis of protein functions and evolution. Nucleic Acids Res 28:33–36
- Trapnell C, Pachter L, Salzberg SL (2009) TopHat: discovering splice junctions with RNA-Seq. Bioinformatics (Oxford, England) 25:1105–1111. https://doi.org/10.1093 /bioinformatics/btp120
- Vanholme R, Demedts B, Morreel K, Ralph J, Boerjan W (2010) Lignin biosynthesis and structure. Plant Physiol 153:895–905
- Vishwanath SJ, Delude C, Domergue F, Rowland O (2015) Suberin: biosynthesis, regulation, and polymer assembly of a protective extracellular barrier. Plant Cell Rep 34:573–586
- Wang TT, Ren ZJ, Liu ZQ, Feng X, Guo RQ, Li BG, Li LG, Jing HC (2014) SbHKT1; 4, a member of the high-affinity potassium transporter gene family from Sorghum bicolor, functions to maintain optimal Na<sup>+</sup>/K<sup>+</sup> balance under Na<sup>+</sup> stress. J Integr Plant Biol 56:315–332
- Whetten R, Sederoff R (1995) Lignin biosynthesis. Plant Cell 7: 1001–1013
- Xin S, Yu G, Sun L, Qiang X, Xu N, Cheng X (2014) Expression of tomato SITIP2; 2 enhances the tolerance to salt stress in the transgenic Arabidopsis and interacts with target proteins. J Plant Res 127:695–708

- Xu J, Li H-D, Chen L-Q, Wang Y, Liu L-L, He L, Wu W-H (2006)
   A protein kinase, interacting with two calcineurin B-like proteins, regulates K<sup>+</sup> transporter AKT1 in Arabidopsis. Cell 125:1347–1360
- Yadav V, Reed JW (2014) ABCG transporters are required for Suberin and Pollen Wall extracellular barriers in Arabidopsis. Plant Cell 26:3569–3588
- Yan S, Tang Z, Su W, Sun W (2005) Proteomic analysis of salt stress-responsive proteins in rice root. Proteomics 5:235–244
- Yang Z, Wang Y, Wei X, Zhao X, Wang B, Sui N (2017) Transcription profiles of genes related to hormonal regulations under salt stress in sweet. Sorghum Plant Mol Biol Report 36(6):586–599
- Yeo A, Flowers T (1986) Salinity resistance in Rice (Oryza sativa L.) and a pyramiding approach to breeding varieties for saline soils. Funct Plant Biol 13:161–173
- Yokotani N, Ichikawa T, Kondou Y, Matsui M, Hirochika H, Iwabuchi M, Oda K (2008) Expression of rice heat stress transcription factor OsHsfA2e enhances tolerance to environmental stresses in transgenic Arabidopsis. Planta 227:957–967
- Yokotani N, Ichikawa T, Kondou Y, Matsui M, Hirochika H, Iwabuchi M, Oda K (2009) Tolerance to various environmental stresses conferred by the salt-responsive rice gene ONAC063 in transgenic Arabidopsis. Planta 229:1065–1075
- Yuan F et al (2015) Comparative Transcriptome analysis of developmental stages of the Limonium bicolor leaf generates insights into salt gland differentiation. Plant Cell Environ 38:1637–1657
- Zhang Y, Wang Y, Gang S et al (2017) Populus euphratica, J3 mediates root K<sup>+</sup>/Na<sup>+</sup>, homeostasis by activating plasma membrane H<sup>+</sup>-ATPase in transgenic Arabidopsis under NaCl salinity. Plant Cell Tissue Org Cult 131(1):75–88
- Zheng L-Y, Guo XS, He B, Sun LJ, Peng Y, Dong SS, Liu TF, Jiang S, Ramachandran S, Liu CM, Jing HC (2011) Genomewide patterns of genetic variation in sweet and grain sorghum (Sorghum bicolor). Genome Biol 12:R114
- Zhong R, Ye Z-H (2009) Transcriptional regulation of lignin biosynthesis. Plant Signal Behav 4:1028–1034
- Zhong S et al. (2011) High-throughput illumina strand-specific RNA sequencing library preparation. Cold Spring Harb Protoc 2011(8):940–949
- Zhu J-K (2001) Plant salt tolerance. Trends Plant Sci 6:66–71. https://doi.org/10.1016/S1360-1385(00)01838-0
- Zhu J-K (2003) Regulation of ion homeostasis under salt stress. Curr Opin Plant Biol 6:441–445
- Zhu M, Shabala L, Cuin TA, Huang X, Zhou M, Munns R, Shabala S (2016) Nax loci affect SOS1-like Na<sup>+</sup>/H<sup>+</sup> exchanger expression and activity in wheat. J Exp Bot 67(3):835–844