# REGULAR ARTICLE

# Determination of the critical soil mineral nitrogen concentration for maximizing maize grain yield

Yunfeng Peng · Peng Yu · Xuexian Li · Chunjian Li

Received: 3 September 2012 /Accepted: 5 March 2013 / Published online: 19 March 2013  $\circledcirc$  Springer Science+Business Media Dordrecht 2013

## Abstract

Background and aims A critical soil mineral nitrogen concentration  $(N_{\text{min}})$  for guiding fertilizer application and maximizing maize grain yield is needed.

Methods A three-year field experiment with three N regimes, unfertilized (N0), optimized N management (Opt.) and conventional N practice (Con.) was performed in maize.

*Results* The mean soil  $N_{\text{min}}$  in 0–60 cm soil profile for N0, Opt. and Con. treatments was 2.0, 6.7 and 8.9 mg  $kg^{-1}$  at V8–VT growth stages and 2.2, 6.1 and 11.2 mg $kg^{-1}$  on average over the whole growth season, respectively. Correspondingly, the soil N supplying capacity (soil  $N_{\text{min}}$  content+fertilizer N) of the three N treatments was smaller, identical or greater than the plant N accumulation at different growth stages. The Opt. treatment had significantly higher N use efficiency, N recovery efficiency and N partial factor productivity compared with the Con. treatment, while it did not cause maize yield loss.

Conclusions Compared with the insensitivity of the critical shoot N dilution curve to excessive N application,

Responsible Editor: Katja Klumpp.

Y. Peng · P. Yu · X. Li · C. Li Key Laboratory of Plant-Soil Interactions, MOE, Center for Resources, Environment and Food Security, College of Resources and Environmental Science, China Agricultural University, Beijing 100193, China

## C. Li  $(\boxtimes)$

Department of Plant Nutrition, China Agricultural University, Yuanmingyuan West Road 2, Beijing 100193, People's Republic of China e-mail: lichj@cau.edu.cn

soil  $N_{\text{min}}$  showed strong response to all treatments. We propose a minimum of soil  $N_{\text{min}}$  of 6.1 mgkg<sup>-1</sup> at the sowing–V8, 6.7 mgkg<sup>-1</sup> at the V8–VT, and 5.5 mgkg<sup>-1</sup> at the VT–R6 growing stages with an average of about 6 mgkg $^{-1}$  of soil N<sub>min</sub> in the 0–60 soil depth for maximizing maize yield and N use efficiency in northern China. To maintain this critical  $N_{\text{min}}$  value over the whole growth period, N topdressing at V8 and V12 stages was recommended.

Keywords N use efficiency . Critical soil mineral N concentration . N dilution curve . Soil N supplying capacity. Zea mays

## Introduction

Maize, one of the most important crops that devote to global cereal production, reached a total production of 820 million tons worldwide in 2009 (Food and Agricultural Organization [2012\)](#page-10-0). Maize is generally considered to have a high soil fertility requirement to attain maximal yield (Paponov and Engels [2003](#page-10-0)). Efficient utilization of applied nitrogen (N) fertilizer in maize is necessary to maximize producers' economic returns and to reduce negative soil and environmental effects (Ma et al. [1999](#page-10-0)). Nitrogen deficiency usually causes reduction of leaf area, leaf photosynthetic rates and thus final biomass and grain yield (Boomsma et al. [2009](#page-9-0); Uribelarrea et al. [2009;](#page-10-0) Ciampitti and Vyn [2011\)](#page-9-0). However, overuse of N fertilizers does not significantly increase grain yield but decreases nitrogen use efficiency (NUE), and adversely affects environmental quality and human well-being (Ju et al. [2009;](#page-10-0) Vitousek et al [2009](#page-10-0)). In China, a 71 % increase in total annual grain production from 1977 to 2005 was achieved at the cost of 271 % increase in N fertilizer application over the same period (Ju et al. [2009\)](#page-10-0). The yearly total input of N fertilizer in a wheat-corn double-cropping system in northern China was three times more than that for maize production in the mid-west of the United States in recent years (Vitousek et al [2009\)](#page-10-0). In addition, farmers in China get used to apply all N fertilizers before sowing without top dressing in later developmental stages (Li and Wang [2006\)](#page-10-0). This is, however, insufficient to supply adequate N for maize growth due to N volatilization and leaching to the deeper soil profile, as well as the varied N demand at different growth stages. Numerous studies have been undertaken to optimize N fertilizing time and rate to reduce N input while maintaining crop yield in Chinese farming systems (Chen et al. [2006](#page-9-0); Zhao et al. [2006](#page-10-0); Cui et al. [2008](#page-9-0); Ju et al. [2009;](#page-10-0) Peng et al. [2012a\)](#page-10-0).

A critical N dilution curve (defined as the minimum  $\%$ N in shoots required to produce the maximum aerial biomass at a given time) for maize plants has been proposed to assess plant N status during the whole growth season (Greenwood et al. [1990](#page-10-0); Plénet and Lemaire [2000\)](#page-10-0). The question was whether there existed a critical soil mineral N concentration  $(N_{\text{min}})$  during the maize whole growing period and what parameter could serve as an indicator for guiding N fertilizer application. In a previous study (Peng et al. [2012a](#page-10-0)), we proposed an optimized N supply strategy controlling total amount of the applied N fertilizer and synchronizing maize N demand and soil N supply, in order to maintain a superior root growth for efficient nutrient uptake and maximal grain yield. Soil N distribution is temporally and spatially heterogeneous in the soil profile and is influenced by fertilization, plant uptake and precipitation (Addiscott and Darby [1991\)](#page-9-0). Since whole-plant N status prior to silking has a predominant effect on final grain yield (Ciampitti and Vyn [2011,](#page-9-0) [2012](#page-9-0)), sufficient soil  $N_{\text{min}}$  supply during the late vegetative growth stage is important for robust plant growth and development, while post-silking N top dressing is unnecessary (Subedi and Ma [2005a,](#page-10-0) [b;](#page-10-0) Peng et al. [2012a\)](#page-10-0).

In the present work, a three-year field experiment with three N regimes was performed and soil  $N_{\text{min}}$  in top soil  $(0-30 \text{ cm})$  and sub-top soil  $(30-60 \text{ cm})$  at critical developmental stages of maize plants was determined. In addition, due to the heterogeneous N distribution in the soil profiles (Addiscott and Darby [1991](#page-9-0)), the reliability and representativeness of estimates of  $N_{\text{min}}$  values using auger method depend on the number of samples and their locations. In comparison, whole soil block excavation is a better method to avoid over or under estimation of soil  $N_{\text{min}}$  values. The influence of two methodologies of soil sampling in the field on soil  $N_{\text{min}}$  values was studied. The purpose of this study was to obtain a critical soil N<sub>min</sub> value, and to provide a theoretical base for improvement of N management in Chinese intensive cropping systems.

## Materials and methods

#### Experimental design

A three-year field experiment (2008–2010) was conducted in three adjacent plots at the Shangzhuang Experimental Station of the China Agricultural University, Beijing  $(40^{\circ} \text{ N}; 116^{\circ} \text{ E})$ . The soil type at the study site is a calcareous alluvial soil with a loamy and silt texture (FAO classification) typical of the region. The chemical properties of the 0–30 cm soil layer of the study site in 2008, 2009 and 2010 were the same as described by Peng et al. [\(2012b\)](#page-10-0). Briefly, the top soil layer (0–30 cm) contained 11.5, 7.3 and 14.8 gkg<sup>-1</sup> OM, and 0.83, 0.71 and 0.94  $gkg^{-1}$  total N with soil pH of 8.0, 7.86 and 7.74 in water during the 3 years. Maize hybrid DH 3719 was sown on 27 April 2008, 27 April 2009 and 29 April 2010, and harvested on 19 September 2008, 21 September 2009 and 4 October 2010, respectively. Maize was over-seeded with hand planters and was thinned at the seedling stage to a stand of 100,000 plants ha–<sup>1</sup> . The intra-row distance was 28 cm and the inter-row distance was 50 cm (wide row) alternating with 20 cm (narrow row). The seeds were sown interlaced between the rows. The plot sizes were 40  $m^2$ , 56 m<sup>2</sup>, and 48 m<sup>2</sup> in 2008, 2009 and 2010, respectively. The study was arranged as a randomized complete block design with four replications. Border plots and weed control were the same as described by Peng et al. [\(2012a,](#page-10-0) [b\)](#page-10-0). The weekly precipitation during the maize growing season was shown in Fig. [1](#page-2-0).

## Fertilization and treatments

There were three  $(2008 \text{ and } 2009)$  or two  $(2010)$  N treatments: 1) N0 as control, which had no N fertilizer application in 3 years; 2) Optimized N management  $(250 \text{ kg}Nha^{-1}, \text{ Opt.});$  the N rate and time was

<span id="page-2-0"></span>

Fig. 1 Weekly precipitation during maize growing season in three years

determined according to the results of N accumulation in plants and soil  $N_{\text{min}}$  after the last harvest in the preliminary experiment in 2007. 60 kgNha<sup>-1</sup> was applied as base fertilizer. The remaining N was applied before tasseling at V8 (120 kg) and V12 (70 kg), respectively. 3) Conventional N practice in 2008 and 2009  $(450 \text{ kg} \text{N} \text{ha}^{-1}, \text{Con.}),$  which was derived from numerous high-yield studies in China.  $175 \text{ kg} \text{N} \text{ha}^{-1}$  was applied as base fertilizer, 50, 170, and 55 kgNha<sup>-1</sup> in 2008, and 120, 70, 85 kgNha<sup>-1</sup> in 2009 were applied in wide interrows by hand as topdressings at the V8, V12 and VT, respectively.

The rate and timing of phosphorus and potassium fertilization in each year were the same. In addition, zinc (Zn) was applied in each year as base fertilizer because of the slight Zn deficiency in the experimental region. A total of 135 kgha<sup>-1</sup> of P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> as triple superphosphate  $\text{[Ca(H_2PO_4)$: H_2O]}$ , 120 kgha<sup>-1</sup> of K<sub>2</sub>O as potassium sulfate  $[K_2SO_4]$ , and 30 kgha<sup>-1</sup> of ZnSO<sub>4</sub>·  $7H<sub>2</sub>O$  were applied. Before sowing, 90 kgha<sup>-1</sup> P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>, 80 kgha<sup>-1</sup> of K<sub>2</sub>O and 30 kgha<sup>-1</sup> of ZnSO<sub>4</sub>·7H<sub>2</sub>O were broadcasted and incorporated into the upper 0– 15 cm of the soil by rotary tillage. Another  $45 \text{ kg} \text{ha}^{-1}$ of  $P_2O_5$  at V12 and 40 kgha<sup>-1</sup> of K<sub>2</sub>O at VT were applied in wide interrows by hand as topdressings. Each topdressing (NPK) was applied after plant sampling.

Plant and soil sampling and N measurement

Plants were harvested on 53 (V8), 71 (V12), 86 (tasselling, VT) and 111 (blister stage, R2) days after sowing (DAS) before fertilization and 130 and 145 (physiological maturity, R6, when 50 % of the plants showed black layer formation in the grains from the mid-portion of the ears) DAS in 2008, on 33, 45 (V8), 61 (V12), 80 (VT), 110 (R2) and 147 (R6) DAS in 2009, and on 50 (V8), 78 (VT), 105 (R2) and 154 (R6) DAS in 2010. Harvest procedures and N content analyses in each plant sample were the same as described by Peng et al. ([2012a,](#page-10-0) [b](#page-10-0)). To estimate grain yield, ears in the central area of 14 m<sup>2</sup> (2008), 21 m<sup>2</sup> (2009) and 19 m<sup>2</sup> (2010) within each experimental plot were hand-harvested at physiological maturity to get fresh weight. Six randomly selected ears from each harvesting area were shelled. All kernels were dried at 60 °C in an oven to constant weight, and then the ratio of kernels to ears was determined and kernel moisture was calculated. The final grain yield was adjusted to 15.5 % moisture content.

After aboveground plant excision at each harvest, a soil volume of 28 cm  $\times$  35 cm and a total depth of 60 cm with 30 cm increment in each plot was dug out, thus two soil blocks with dimensions 28 cm  $\times$  35 cm  $\times$ 30 cm were obtained per plot (soil excavation method). The area of 28 cm  $\times$  35 cm was the soil surface occupied by each plant at the plant density of 100,000 plants ha–<sup>1</sup> . In addition, at each harvest in 2008, five 2 cm-diameter soil cores per plot were collected and mixed to measure soil  $N_{\text{min}}$  (auger method, Böhm [1979\)](#page-9-0). Samples were collected from the 0–60 cm soil layers (in 30 cm increments) in the interrow area (Cui et al. [2008\)](#page-9-0). All visible roots in each soil sample obtained by the two methods were picked out by hand,

and then the soil sample was ground and passed through a 3 mm sieve in the field. A representative sample of the mixed soil was placed in a marked plastic bag, and extracted immediately after transfer to the laboratory with  $0.01 \text{ mol}$ L<sup>-1</sup> CaCl<sub>2</sub> solution and analyzed for soil  $N_{min}$  (NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>-N+NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>-N) by continuous

$$
N_{\min(0-60)} = \frac{N_{\min(0-30)} \times 1.44 \times V_{0-30} + N_{\min(30-60)} \times 1.51 \times V_{30-60}}{\frac{1.44+1.51}{2} \times V_{0-60}}
$$

Where  $N_{\text{min (0-30)}$ ,  $N_{\text{min (30-60)}}$  and  $N_{\text{min (0-60)}}$  represent soil  $N_{\text{min}}$  concentrations of 0–30 cm, 30–60 cm and 0–60 cm soil depth, respectively.  $V_{0-30}$ ,  $V_{30-60}$ and  $V_{0-60}$  represent the soil volumes of 0–30 cm, 30– 60 cm and 0–60 cm soil depth, respectively.

The NUE, N recovery efficiency (NRE) and N internal efficiency (NIE) was calculated according to Ciampitti and Vyn ([2011](#page-9-0)) as follows:

$$
NUE = (GY_{fert.} - GY_{unfert.}) / \Delta N_{applied}
$$

where GY<sub>fert.</sub> and GY<sub>unfert</sub> are the per-unit-area grain yield in N applied treatment and no N treatment,  $\Delta N_{\text{applied}}$  is the total amount of N applied in Opt. and Con. treatments. Similarly, the NRE was calculated as:

$$
NRE = (Nupt_{\text{fert.}} - Nupt_{\text{unfert.}})/\Delta N_{\text{applied}}
$$

where Nupt $_{\text{fert.}}$  is N uptake in the fertilized plot and Nupt<sub>unfert</sub> is N uptake in unfertilized plot. The NIE was calculated as:

$$
NIE = (GY_{fert.} - GY_{unfert})/(Nupt_{fert.} - Nupt_{unfert.})
$$

The N partial factor productivity ( $PFP_N$ ) was followed to Cui et al. ([2008\)](#page-9-0):

$$
PFP_N = GY_{\text{fert.}} / \Delta N_{\text{applied}}
$$

Statistical analysis

Data of each year were analyzed separately using analysis of variance with the SAS package (SAS flow analysis (TRAACS 2000, Bran and Luebbe, Norderstedt, Germany).

Since the bulk density of 0–30 cm and 30–60 cm soil layer is not identical,  $1.44$   $\text{gcm}^{-3}$  and  $1.51$   $\text{gcm}^{-3}$ , respectively, the soil  $N_{\text{min}}$  concentrations of 0–60 cm soil was calculated as following:

Institute, 1996). Differences between data in all tables were tested with PROC ANOVA. Nitrogen treatments were treated as fixed effects and least significant difference (LSD) test at  $P_{0.05}$  was used to separate treatment means exhibiting significant differences.

## Results

Aboveground plant dry weight, total N, grain yield, and N efficiency indices

Nitrogen deficiency occurred in N0 and significantly reduced maize aboveground plant dry weight (DW), total N, and grain yield at the final harvest in all 3 years. However, conventional N practice (Con.) failed to further increase the above three measures compared with the optimized N treatment (Opt.) in 2008 and 2009. The Opt. treatment significantly increased NUE, NRE and PFP<sub>N</sub>, while it had no influence on NIE, compared with the Con. treatment (Table [1\)](#page-4-0). During the whole growth period the highest N uptake rate  $(kgNha^{-1} d^{-1})$  occurred in robust vegetative growth stage (V8–VT) regardless of N applications. Significant difference in N uptake rate between N0 and the N applied treatments was observed only in vegetative growth stage (Table [2\)](#page-4-0).

Critical soil  $N_{\text{min}}$  concentration, soil N supplying capacity and aboveground plant N accumulation during different growth periods, and N dilution curves

Soil N supplying capacity in Fig. [2](#page-5-0) was the sum of the measured soil  $N_{\text{min}}$  content plus the applied N fertilizer at the beginning of a certain growth interval (soil

Year	Treatment	Above ground plant dry weight $(Mgha^{-2})$	Above ground plant total N $(Mgha^{-2})$	Grain yield NUE <sup>a</sup> NRE <sup>b</sup> $(Mgha^{-2})$			NIE <sup>c</sup>	$PFP_N^d$	Total fertilizer $N$ (kgha <sup>-1</sup> )
2008	N <sub>0</sub>	20.6 <sub>b</sub>	0.18 <sub>b</sub>	11.0 <sub>b</sub>					$\theta$
	Opt.	27.2a	0.27a	13.8a	11.1 $\overline{a}$	0.39a	34.9a	55.2 a	-250
	Con.	$25.6$ ab	$0.25$ ab	13.1a	4.7 b	0.17 <sub>b</sub>	30.8a	29.2 h	450
2009	N <sub>0</sub>	14.9 <sub>b</sub>	0.11 <sub>b</sub>	6.3 <sub>b</sub>					$\Omega$
	Opt.	20.6a	0.20a	10.7a	17.5a	0.37a	49.0a	42.8a	250
	Con.	21.2a	0.21a	11.0a	10.3 <sub>b</sub>	0.22 h	47.4a	24.4 b	450
2010	N <sub>0</sub>	15.6 <sub>b</sub>	0.17 <sub>b</sub>	6.2 <sub>b</sub>					$\Omega$
	Opt.	23.8a	0.23a	9.0a	11.5	0.23	40.1	36.2	250

<span id="page-4-0"></span>Table 1 Above ground plant dry weight and total N, grain yield, NUE, NRE, NIE and PFP<sub>N</sub> of maize, and N fertilizer applied in different N treatments in 3 years

Values within a column in each year followed by a different letter represent a significant difference between N treatments  $(P<0.05)$ 

<sup>a</sup>N use efficiency (kg grain kg<sup>-1</sup> N applied)

 $b$  N recovery efficiency (kgN uptake kg<sup>-1</sup> N applied)

 $c$ <sup>c</sup> N internal efficiency (kg grain kg<sup>-1</sup> N uptake)

<sup>d</sup> N partial factor productivity (kg grain kg<sup>-1</sup> N applied)

 $N_{min}$  content + fertilizer N); and shoot N accumulation was N currently taken up during this growth interval. Soil N supplying capacity over the growing season decreased with the prolonged growth period, regardless of N applications; while plant N accumulation during different growth periods increased from the first harvest, reached the highest value between V12 and R2 stages, and then decreased (Fig. [2](#page-5-0)). For N0

**Table 2** Aboveground plant N uptake rate (kgha<sup>-1</sup> d<sup>-1</sup>) during different growth periods of maize supplied with different N rates in 3 years

Year	Treatment	Growth period						
		Sowing- V8	$V8-$ V12	$V12-$ VT	VT- R2	$R2-$ R6		
2008	N0	0.69 <sub>b</sub>	1.92 h	2.46 <sub>b</sub>	1.62a	0.81a		
	Opt.	0.99a	3.55a	3.3a	2.43a	1.36a		
	Con.	$0.84$ ab	4.04 а	3.12a	1.9a	1.12a		
2009	N <sub>0</sub>	0.43 h	1.46c	0.97h	0.82a	0.64a		
	Opt.	0.56a	2.88h	3.53a	1.75a	0.29a		
	Con.	0.67a	3.63a	3.78 a	1.52a	0.12a		
2010	N0	0.82 h	2.23h		1.15a	0.45a		
	Opt.	1.08a	3.22a		2.22a	0.44a		

Values within a column in each year followed by a different letter represent a significant difference between N treatments  $(P<0.05)$ 

treatment, soil N supplying capacity was below the aboveground plant N accumulation curve after V8 stage owing to soil  $N_{\text{min}}$  depletion by plants. The Opt. treatment had an overlap of soil N supplying capacity and aboveground plant N accumulation, indicating that soil N supply met plant demand for N over the whole growth period. In comparison, soil N supplying capacity for Con. treatment was farther above the aboveground plant N accumulation during the whole growth period (Fig. [2](#page-5-0)), due to the excessive N fertilizer application. The results were also confirmed by the calculated N balance after harvest (Table [3](#page-5-0)). Negative N balance of the N0 treatment in 3 years indicated a strong soil N depletion during the growth season; while a large amount of N surplus of the Con. treatment in 2008 and 2009 revealed an excessive N application.

Soil  $N_{\text{min}}$  value of the N0 treatment was the lowest among three N treatments and showed little change in 0–30 cm soil layer during the whole growth period in 3 years. In comparison, Opt. N treatment had a larger  $N_{\text{min}}$  change only in 0– 30 cm soil layer; whereas Con. N treatment had the highest  $N_{\text{min}}$  value and change even in 30–60 cm soil layer in 2008 (Fig. [3\)](#page-6-0) owing to heavy rainfall (Fig. [1](#page-2-0)). Because soil  $N_{min}$  is easily influenced by precipitation, it is reasonable to use the average soil  $N_{\text{min}}$  of 0–60 cm soil profile for calculating

<span id="page-5-0"></span>

Fig. 2 Changes in soil N supplying capacity (soil  $N_{\text{min}}$  content + fertilizer N) and aboveground plant N accumulation during different growth periods at three N levels. Soil N supplying capacity was the sum of the measured soil  $N_{\text{min}}$  content plus the applied N fertilizer at the beginning of a certain growth interval; and shoot N accumulation was N currently taken up during this growth interval. Sowing time and different phonological stages during the maize growing season were indicated on the top of the figure

the soil  $N_{\text{min}}$  concentrations. The average soil  $N_{\text{min}}$ concentrations for 0 N, Opt. and Con. treatments of the 3 years were 2.2, 6.1 and 11.2  $mgkg^{-1}$ , respectively. In addition, the  $0-60$  cm soil  $N_{\text{min}}$  was 3.4, 2.0 and 1.6 mgkg<sup>-1</sup> for N0 treatment, 6.1, 6.7, and 5.5 mgkg<sup>-1</sup> for Opt. treatment, and 10.9, 8.9, 13.1 mg $kg^{-1}$  for Con. treatment during the three different growth intervals,

Table 3 The calculated N balances ( $kgha^{-1}$ ) for N0, Opt. and Con. treatments across the 3 years

		N <sub>0</sub>	Opt.	Con.
2008	N fertilizer input	0	250	450
	$N_{\min}$ before sowing	35 a	35 a	35a
	$N_{\text{min}}$ after harvest	17 b	25 b	57 a
	Plant N uptake	176 <sub>b</sub>	273a	$254$ ab
	N balance	$-158$	$-13$	174
2009	N fertilizer input	0	250	450
	$N_{\min}$ before sowing	45 a	45 a	45 a
	$N_{\text{min}}$ after harvest	4 c	63 b	127 a
	Plant N uptake	109 <sub>b</sub>	202a	210a
	N balance	$-68$	30	158
2010	N fertilizer input	0	250	
	$N_{\min}$ before sowing	40 a	40 a	
	$N_{\text{min}}$ after harvest	10 <sub>b</sub>	35 a	
	Plant N uptake	165 <sub>b</sub>	226a	
	N balance	$-135$	29	

Values within a row followed by a different letter represent a significant difference between N treatments  $(P<0.05)$ 

sowing to V8, V8 to VT and VT to R6, respectively (calculated according to the results of Fig. [3](#page-6-0)).

Aboveground plant N concentration of N0 plants was lower than that of the critical N dilution curve for maize reported by Plénet and Lemaire ([2000\)](#page-10-0); while that of both Opt. and Con. treated plants was close to the maize critical N dilution curve and overlapped across the 3 years (Fig. [4](#page-6-0)).

Comparison of  $N_{\text{min}}$  value of soils obtained by excavation and auger methods

The  $N_{\text{min}}$  values of soils obtained by soil excavation and auger method in the same plots in 2008 were compared. The differences of the soil  $N_{\text{min}}$  of both 0–30 and 30– 60 cm soil layers obtained by the two methods for N0 treatment were very small among different sampling times. In comparison with the stable soil  $N_{\text{min}}$  of 0–30 and 30–60 cm soil layer obtained by soil excavation method for Opt. and Con. treatments, the  $N_{\text{min}}$  value of the soil obtained by auger method was obviously higher and very variable, especially in 0–30 cm soil layer after N topdressing. The differences of the soil  $N_{\text{min}}$  between the two methods decreased in 0–30 cm soil layer but remained large in 30–60 cm soil layer in late growth stages (Fig. [5](#page-7-0)).

<span id="page-6-0"></span>Fig. 3 Changes in soil  $N_{\text{min}}$ of 0–30, 30–60 and 0–60 cm soil profile at different growth stages with three N levels in 3 years. The bars represented the standard error of the mean,  $n=4$ . Dash lines and numbers in the bracket represented the average soil N<sub>min</sub> values of all data points of the same N treatments in 3 years



#### Discussion

Optimized N management significantly increased NUE, NRE and  $PFP_N$  compared with conventional N application

In China, farmers and even some agronomists believe that amounts of N greatly exceeding N rates of the Opt treatment can further increase crop yield. One reason for this misunderstanding is the lack of related knowledge for the relationship between soil fertility and plant N uptake (Ju et al. [2009](#page-10-0)). In fact, excessive N application not only failed to increase above ground plant total biomass and grain yield of maize plants, but also significantly reduced N efficiency and  $PFP_N$ (Table [1;](#page-4-0) Boomsma et al. [2009](#page-9-0)).

The NUE is related to both soil and plant processes. To better understand the grain NUE variability, it is necessary to re-examine the two main components of

NUE: NIE (which is associated with plant N conversion or utilization efficiency to final grain yield) and NRE (which is connected to plant N uptake efficiency) (Salvagiotti et al. [2009;](#page-10-0) Ciampitti and Vyn [2011](#page-9-0)). The



Fig. 4 N dilution curves of the three N treatments across the 3 years. Dashed lines in the figures represented the critical N dilution curves proposed by Plénet and Lemaire ([2000\)](#page-10-0)

<span id="page-7-0"></span>Fig. 5 Comparison of  $N_{\text{min}}$ values of the soils collected by soil excavation and auger methods in 2008. The left and right panel showed the results of 0–30 and 30–60 cm soil layer, respectively. The bars represented the standard error of the mean,  $n=4$ . Numbers and arrows indicated the rates (kgNha<sup>-1</sup>) and times of N topdressings



NUE declined with the increased N application (Table [1\)](#page-4-0), which was consistent with the previous studies (Ladha et al. [2005](#page-10-0); Uribelarrea et al. [2007;](#page-10-0) Ciampitti and Vyn [2011\)](#page-9-0). Ladha et al. [\(2005](#page-10-0)) summarized 61 sets of data of maize experiments across different regions of the world and showed that NUE averaged approximately 24.2 kg grain  $kg^{-1}N$  applied, which was about twice the value found in the current study (11 kg grain  $\text{kg}^{-1}\text{N}$ applied as shown in Table [1](#page-4-0)). Ciampitti and Vyn [\(2011\)](#page-9-0) also observed a low NUE from their two-location field research in Indiana of the United States, with average NUE of 12 kg grain  $kg^{-1}N$  applied. The amount of the applied N fertilizer in Opt. treatment in the present study was 250 kgNha<sup>-1</sup>, which was considerably higher than that in Illinois of the United States, only 155 kgNha<sup>-1</sup> input (Vitousek et al [2009\)](#page-10-0). However, the whole maize aboveground plant was removed from the field after grain harvest in our study in contrast to stalk return to the field in Illinois (Vitousek et al [2009](#page-10-0)). This could largely explain the reduced N application rate and significantly increased NUE in Illinois. Moreover, maize NUE declines with N fertilizer rate exceeding 200 kgha<sup>-1</sup> (Dobermann and Cassman [2004](#page-9-0)). More work needs to be done in China to teach and train the farmers for saving

N fertilizer although there has been large progresses in the improvement of gain yield and reduction of fertilizer application in the recent decade (Chen et al. [2006](#page-9-0); Zhao et al. [2006;](#page-10-0) Cui et al. [2008](#page-9-0); Ju et al. [2009](#page-10-0); Peng et al. [2012a](#page-10-0)).

The Opt. treatment also increased the NRE but did not significantly influenced NIE compared to Con. treatment (Table [1](#page-4-0)). The NRE is a reflection of root growth and high NIE is closely linked to the grain components (Ciampitti and Vyn [2011\)](#page-9-0). Our recent work demonstrated that Opt. treatment was beneficial for root growth (Peng et al. [2012a\)](#page-10-0) and thus led to a high NRE. Nevertheless, Opt. treated plants had similar total N uptake (Table [1](#page-4-0)), grain kernel number and weight compared with the Con. treated plants (data not shown), thus these two treatments resulted in similar NIE. The  $PFP_N$ reflects yield production per-unit-N fertilizer application, which integrates the use efficiency of both soil N and applied fertilizer N resources (Ladha et al. [2005](#page-10-0)). The Opt. N treatment decreased N fertilization application by 44 % and caused 75–89 % increase in  $PFP<sub>N</sub>$  compared with Con. N treatment (Table [1](#page-4-0)). This is particularly important for improving NUE in China's cropping systems.

Soil  $N_{\text{min}}$  responded sensitively to both N deficiency and excessive N application

Considering the diverse distribution of root and soil  $N_{\text{min}}$  in the soil profile and the influence of precipita-tion (Fig. [3](#page-6-0)), using average  $N_{\text{min}}$  value in the 0–60 cm soil layer was more appropriate to calculate the critical soil  $N_{\text{min}}$ . This critical soil  $N_{\text{min}}$  value for achieving maximal maize grain yield, averaged across the three experimental years, was about 6 mgkg<sup>-1</sup>. Moreover, the critical soil  $N_{\text{min}}$  values were 6.1, 6.7, and 5.5 mg  $kg^{-1}$  for the growth intervals, sowing to V8, V8 to VT and VT to R6, respectively. Here we used soil  $N_{\text{min}}$ value of the optimized N management as the critical soil  $N_{\text{min}}$  value because maize grown under this N management received a sufficient but not excessive N supply. This was supported by the overlapped soil N supplying capacity and the aboveground plant N accumulation of the Opt. treatment during the whole growth period (Fig. [2\)](#page-5-0). The calculated N recovery after harvest also indicated a balanced soil N supply and plant N uptake for the Opt. treatment in 3 years (Table [3](#page-5-0)). Currently available critical shoot N dilution curve, an important indicator for plant growth, has been proposed as a diagnostic tool of shoot N status in  $C_3$  and C4 plants (Greenwood et al. [1990](#page-10-0)) such as winter wheat (Justes et al. [1994\)](#page-10-0), winter oil seed rape (Colnenne et al. [1998](#page-9-0)), and maize (Plénet and Lemaire [2000\)](#page-10-0). It seemed, however, that critical shoot N dilution curve could only reflect N deficiency but not excessive N supply. As shown in Fig. [4](#page-6-0), N dilution curves for both Opt. and Con. treatments were near the critical N dilution curve but overlapped, since Con. treatment could not stimulate more N uptake than optimized N management (Table [1\)](#page-4-0). However, soil N supplying capacity of the Con. treatment was far above the plant N accumulation curve, indicating excessive N application (Fig. [2](#page-5-0); Table [3](#page-5-0)) that would increase the risk of N leaching and potential environmental pollution. In comparison, critical soil N<sub>min</sub> value proposed in this study was sensitive and capable to indicate both N deficiency and excessive N application.

In comparison with a series of the decreasing values of the shoot N dilution curve along with the prolonged growth period, there was only one value for critical soil  $N_{\text{min}}$ . However, it should be pointed out that this was the minimum value for achieving the maximal maize grain yield. We also proposed a minimum of soil N<sub>min</sub> of 6.1 mgkg<sup>-1</sup> at the sowing–V8, 6.7 mgkg<sup>-1</sup>

at the V8–V12, and 5.5 mg $kg^{-1}$  at the VT–R6 growing stages. To maintain these minimum  $N_{\text{min}}$  values during the whole growth period, adequate and timely N topdressing according to plant demand for N was necessary. For instance, maize N uptake rate changed over the whole growth period and reached the highest values during V8–VT (Table [2](#page-4-0)). To increase the soil N supplying capacity (Fig. [2](#page-5-0)) and maintain the minimum soil N<sub>min</sub> value of 6 mgkg<sup>-1</sup> (Fig. [3\)](#page-6-0) during this period, two N topdressings at V8 and V12 stage for the Opt. N management were performed in the present study. No N was topdressed after silking for the Opt. N management, since the decreased plant N uptake rate and increased soil N mineralization surrounding roots during reproductive growth stage allowed a good match between soil N supplying capacity and plant demand for N. Root mortality of maize plant takes place dramatically during the reproductive growth stage due to the decreased carbon supply (Wiesler and Horst [1994;](#page-10-0) Peng et al. [2010](#page-10-0), [2012a](#page-10-0)). On the other hand, plant roots stimulate N mineralization by changing soil microorganism activities, and altered  $N_{\text{min}}$ concentration near the root zones (Wang and Bakken [1997](#page-10-0)). In a wheat-maize rotation system in North China Plain, the average N mineralization in no N applied treatment was  $63.5 \text{ kgha}^{-1}$  across the eight successive cropping seasons (Zhao et al. [2006](#page-10-0)). This could also explain why the soil  $N_{\text{min}}$  value of N0 treatment remained relatively stable during the whole growth period, in spite of the continuous N uptake by plants (Fig. [3](#page-6-0)).

The critical soil  $N_{\text{min}}$  value proposed in the present work was lower than that of the previous studies (Fox et al. [1989;](#page-10-0) Magdoff et al. [1990;](#page-10-0) Binford et al. [1992;](#page-9-0) Klausner et al. [1993;](#page-10-0) Heckman et al. [1995](#page-10-0); Sainz Rozas et al. [2000\)](#page-10-0), which ranged from approximately 16 to 30 mgNkg–<sup>1</sup> . There were two possible reasons for this difference: Firstly, N fertilizer was splitsupplied in Opt. and Con. treatments. The soil samples were collected after a period of plant growth and one or 2 days before each N topdressing. It was no doubt that after N topdressing, the soil  $N_{\text{min}}$  value would be increased. In the previous studies, however, N fertilizer was applied only once before planting as base fertilizer, and soil sample was collected only once either at 5–6 leaf stage (Fox et al. [1989](#page-10-0); Sainz Rozas et al. [2000\)](#page-10-0), or when maize plant was 15–30 cm tall (Magdoff et al. [1990;](#page-10-0) Binford et al. [1992](#page-9-0); Klausner et al. [1993;](#page-10-0) Heckman et al. [1995](#page-10-0)). Hence, the measured <span id="page-9-0"></span> $N_{\text{min}}$  in these experiments was the value after application of all fertilizer. Therefore these values must be higher than that proposed in our study and the  $N_{\text{min}}$  value presumably decreased continuously during the growth period, because there was no N topdressing afterwards. Secondly, in the previous studies, all these authors used an auger method to collect soil samples, while in the present study soil samples were obtained by soil excavation method. The results in Fig. [5](#page-7-0) demonstrated that under the condition without N supply (N0),  $N_{\text{min}}$  value of soils obtained by the two methods was similar; while under the condition with N supply (Opt. and Con.),  $N_{min}$ value of the soil collected by auger method was significantly higher than that collected by soil excavation method in whole 0–60 cm soil profile. Maize root and soil  $N_{\text{min}}$  were heterogeneously distributed in the soil profile. Root length density was obviously higher in maize intrarow than that in interrow (Peng et al. [2010\)](#page-10-0), which caused strong depletion of soil  $N_{\text{min}}$  near the root rhizosphere (Peng et al. [2012b\)](#page-10-0). On the other hand, N topdressing in practice was banded in interrow area. As a result, N<sub>min</sub> value of the soil located in interrow was higher than that in intrarow. Analyzing soil samples in interrow area by auger method with 2-cm-diameter soil cores overestimated  $N_{\text{min}}$ , as indicated by Fig. [5](#page-7-0). For example, the applied total N fertilizer at VT for Opt. and Con. treatments was 250 and 395  $kgha^{-1}$ , respectively; while the total amount of N of 0–60 cm soil depth calculated based on auger method was  $398 \text{ kgha}^{-1}$  and 491 kgha<sup>-1</sup>, respectively. However, soil samples obtained by soil excavation method in this study included soils distributed vertically and horizontally in the soil block occupied by each individual root in the field.

In conclusion, optimal N management maximized maize growth and grain yield via controlling the amount of N application and synchronizing crop N demand and soil N supply by split-N applications. Correspondingly, soil N supplying capacity matched plant N uptake very well over the whole growth period. In comparison, luxurious N application failed to increase maize growth and grain yield. Soil N supplying capacity was much greater than plant N uptake, resulted in a significant decrease in NUE, NRE and  $PFP_N$ , and potential risk of environment pollution. Soil  $N_{\text{min}}$  value showed strong response to both N deficiency and excessive N application. We suggest a minimum soil  $N_{\text{min}}$  value for achieving the maximum maize grain yield as  $6 \text{ mgkg}^{-1}$  for the whole growth stage. For different growth intervals, the critical soil N<sub>min</sub> values were 6.1, 6.7, and 5.5 mgkg<sup>-1</sup>

for sowing to V8, V8 to VT and VT to R6, respectively. Because of the dramatic changes in N uptake rate at different growth stages, N topdressing during the rapid N uptake period was recommended to maintain the minimum soil  $N_{\text{min}}$  value in the growing season. Due to the overestimation of soil  $N_{\text{min}}$  by auger method, all recommended soil  $N_{\text{min}}$  values were derived from the excavation method.

Acknowledgments We thank the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No: 31272232), the State Key Basic Research and Development Plan of China (No. 2013CB127402), the Innovative Group Grant of National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 31121062) and Chinese Universities Scientific Fund (No. 2012YJ039) for financial support.

## References

- Addiscott TM, Darby RJ (1991) Relating the nitrogen fertilizer needs of winter wheat crops to the soil mineral nitrogen. Influence of the downward movement of nitrate during winter and spring. J Agric Sci 117:241–249
- Binford GD, Blackmer AM, Cerrato ME (1992) Relationships between corn yields and soil nitrate in late spring. Agron J 84:53–59
- Böhm W (1979) Methods of studying root systems. Springer, New York
- Boomsma CR, Santini JB, Tollenaar M, Vyn TJ (2009) Maize perplant and canopy-level morpho-physiological responses to the simultaneous stresses of intense crowding and low nitrogen availability. Agron J 101:1426–1452
- Chen XP, Zhang FS, Römheld V, Horlacher D, Schulz R, Böning-Zilkens M, Wang P, Claupein W (2006) Synchronizing N supply from soil and fertilizer and N demand of winter wheat by an improved  $N_{\text{min}}$  method. Nutr Cycl Agron 74:91–98
- Ciampitti IA, Vyn TJ (2011) A comprehensive study of plant density consequences on nitrogen uptake dynamics of maize plants from vegetative to reproductive stages. Field Crops Res 121:2–18
- Ciampitti IA, Vyn TJ (2012) Physiological perspectives of changes over time in maize yield dependency on nitrogen uptake and associated nitrogen efficiencies: a review. Field Crops Res 133:48–67
- Colnenne C, Meynard JM, Reau R, Justes E, Merrien A (1998) Determination of a critical nitrogen dilution curve for winter oilseed rape. Ann Bot 81:311–317
- Cui ZL, Zhang FS, Miao YX, Sun QP, Li F, Chen XP, Li JL, Ye YL, Liu CS, Yang ZP, Zhang Q, Liu CS (2008) Soil nitrate-N levels required for high yield maize production in the North China Plain. Nutr Cycl Agroecosyst 82:187–196
- Dobermann A, Cassman KG (2004) Environmental dimensions of fertilizer N: what can be done to increase nitrogen use efficiency and ensure global food security? In: Mosier AR, Syers KJ, Freney JR (eds) Agriculture and the nitrogen cycle: assessing the impacts of fertilizer use on food production

<span id="page-10-0"></span>and the environment. Island Press, Washington D.C., pp 261–278

- FAO (2012) FAOSTAT Agriculture Database. [http://faostat.fao.org/](http://faostat.fao.org/site/339/default.aspx) [site/339/default.aspx](http://faostat.fao.org/site/339/default.aspx)
- Fox RH, Roth GW, Iversen KV, Piekielek WP (1989) Soil and tissue nitrate tests compared for predicting soil nitrogen availability to corn. Agron J 81:971–974
- Greenwood DJ, Lemaire G, Gosse G, Cruz P, Draycott A, Neeteson JJ (1990) Decline in percentage N of  $C_3$  and  $C_4$ crops with increasing plant mass. Ann Bot 67:181–190
- Heckman JR, Hlubik WT, Prostak DJ, Paterson JW (1995) Presidedress soil nitrate test for sweet corn. Hortscience 30:1033– 1036
- Ju XT, Xing GX, Chen XP, Zhang SL, Zhang LJ, Liu XJ, Cui ZL, Yin B, Christie P, Zhu ZL, Zhang FS (2009) Reducing environmental risk by improving N management in intensive Chinese agricultural systems. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 106:3041–3046
- Justes E, Mary B, Meynard JM, Machet JM, Thelier-Huché L (1994) Determination of a critical nitrogen dilution curve for winter wheat crops. Ann Bot 74:397–407
- Klausner SD, Reid WS, Bouldin DR (1993) Relationship between late spring soil nitrate concentrations and corn yields in New York. J Prod Agric 6:350–354
- Ladha JK, Pathak H, Krupnik J, Six J, van Kessel C (2005) Efficiency of fertilizer nitrogen in cereal production: retrospects and prospects. In: Donald LS (ed) Advances in agronomy. Academic, San Diego, pp 85–156
- Li SK, Wang CT (2006) Report of survey on demand for science and technology by farmers in maize production. (In Chinese). [http://chinamaize.con.cn/tishengxd/2006ku/](http://chinamaize.con.cn/tishengxd/2006ku/2005-77-14/htm) [2005-77-14/htm](http://chinamaize.con.cn/tishengxd/2006ku/2005-77-14/htm)
- Ma BL, Dwyer LM, Gregorich ED (1999) Soil nitrogen amendment effects on nitrogen uptake and grain yield of maize. Agron J 91:650–656
- Magdoff FR, Jokela WE, Fox RH, Griffin GF (1990) A soil test for nitrogen availability in the northeastern United States. Commun Soil Sci Plant 21:1103–1115
- Paponov IA, Engels C (2003) Effect of nitrogen supply on leaf traits related to photosynthesis during grain filling in two maize genotypes with different N efficiency. J Plant Nutr Soil Sci 166:756–763
- Peng YF, Niu JF, Peng ZP, Zhang FS, Li CJ (2010) Shoot growth potential drives N uptake in maize plants and correlates with root growth in the soil. Field Crops Res 115:85–93
- Peng YF, Li XX, Li CJ (2012a) Temporal and spatial profiling of root growth revealed novel response of maize roots under various nitrogen supplies in the field. PLoS One 7:37726
- Peng YF, Yu P, Zhang Y, Sun G, Ning P, Li XX, Li CJ (2012b) Temporal and spatial dynamics in root length density of field-grown maize and NPK in the soil profile. Field Crops Res 131:9–16
- Plénet D, Lemaire G (2000) Relationships between dynamics of nitrogen uptake and dry matter accumulation in maize crops. Determination of critical N concentration. Plant Soil 216:65–82
- Sainz Rozas H, Echeverría HE, Studdert GA, Domínguez G (2000) Evaluation of the presidedress soil nitrogen test for no-tillage maize fertilized at planting. Agron J 92:1176–1183
- Salvagiotti F, Castellarin JM, Miralles DJ, Pedrol HM (2009) Sulfur fertilization improves nitrogen use efficiency in wheat by increasing nitrogen uptake. Field Crops Res 113:170–177
- Subedi KD, Ma BL (2005a) Effects of N-deficiency and timing of N supply on the recovery and distribution of labeled  $^{15}$ N in contrasting maize hybrids. Plant Soil 273:189–202
- Subedi KD, Ma BL (2005b) Nitrogen uptake and partitioning in stay-green and leafy maize hybrids. Crop Sci 45:740–747
- Uribelarrea M, Moose SP, Below FE (2007) Divergent selection for grain protein affects nitrogen use efficiency in maize hybrids. Field Crops Res 100:82–90
- Uribelarrea M, Crafts-Brandner SJ, Below FE (2009) Physiological N response of field-grown maize hybrids (Zea mays L.) with divergent yield potential and grain protein concentration. Plant Soil 316:151–160
- Vitousek PM, Naylor R, Crews T, David MB, Drinkwater LE, Holland E, Johnes PJ, Katzenberger J, Martinelli LA, Matson PA, Nziguheba G, Ojima D, Palm CA, Robertson GP, Sanchez PA, Townsend AR, Zhang FS (2009) Nutrient imbalances in agricultural development. Science 324:1519– 1520
- Wang JG, Bakken LR (1997) Competition for nitrogen during mineralization of plant residues in soil: microbial response to C and N availability. Soil Bio Biochem 29:163–170
- Wiesler F, Horst WJ (1994) Root growth and nitrate utilization of maize cultivars under field conditions. Plant Soil 163:267– 277
- Zhao RF, Chen XP, Zhang FS, Zhang HL, Schroder J, Römheld V (2006) Fertilization and nitrogen balance in a wheat– maize rotation system in north China. Agron J 98:938–945