# Physiological changes and growth promotion induced in poplar seedlings by the plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria *Bacillus subtilis* JS

J.H. JANG<sup>\*</sup>, S.-H. KIM<sup>\*</sup>, I. KHAINE<sup>\*</sup>, M.J. KWAK<sup>\*</sup>, H.K. LEE<sup>\*</sup>, T.Y. LEE<sup>\*</sup>, W.Y. LEE<sup>\*\*</sup>, and S.Y. WOO<sup>\*,+</sup>

Department of Environmental Horticulture, University of Seoul, Seoul 02504, Korea<sup>\*</sup> Division of Forest Tree Improvement, National Institute of Forest Science, Suwon 16631, Korea<sup>\*\*</sup>

## Abstract

This study aimed to determine the effects of plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria *Bacillus subtilis* JS on the growth and physiological changes of *Populus euramericana* and *Populus deltoides*  $\times$  *P. nigra*. Poplar seedlings were treated with *B. subtilis* JS and their growth was monitored for up to 120 d. Three different types of treatments [control, B1 (*B. subtilis*:double-distilled water, 1:100, v/v), and B2 (1:50)] were established. *B. subtilis* JS enhanced seedling height by 62% and total biomass by 37% after 120 d. Physiologically, the photosynthetic rate increased by 54%, and the total chlorophyll (Chl) content, foliage nitrogen and phosphate content were significantly higher after treatment with B2 than that of the control. These results suggest that the total Chl content is directly related to not only the photosynthetic capacity of the foliage but also to the nitrogen content, indicating that the strain JS may promote the growth of poplar.

Additional key words: biofertilization; biomass; fast-growing tree; gas exchange; pigment; root activity.

# Introduction

Bacillus subtilis is a gram-positive bacterium (Kunst et al. 1997) that can be isolated from several terrestrial and aquatic environments, suggesting that it is broadly adapted to grow in diverse environments within the biosphere (Earl et al 2008). B. subtilis can form circular or oval spores that endure and survive under unfavorable environmental conditions. It can promote plant growth via various mechanisms such as by releasing volatile substances (Song et al. 2012, Han 2014). Zou et al. (2010) reported that the volatile substance (2-pentylfuran) produced by B. megaterium XTBG34 promoted the growth of Arabidopsis thaliana L. Similarly, Ryu et al. (2003) and Zhang et al. (2007) reported that volatiles (2R- and 3R-butanediol) produced by B. subtilis GB03 increased A. thaliana biomass by inducing the phytohormone auxin. Furthermore, antibiotics produced by B. subtilis inhibited plant diseases (Asaka et al. 1996). Thus, antibiotics or secreted hormones produced by B. subtilis can help promote directly or indirectly plant growth (Kloepper et al. 2004, Arkhipova et al. 2005). B. subtilis strain JS was newly

isolated from the soil of a Miscanthus-growing pot in a greenhouse at the University of Seoul. Even if Bacillus group offers a biological solution to the formulation problem (Emmert and Handelsman 1999), little is known about the effects of growth promotion using the strain JS. Among B. subtilis strains, JS affected the gene expression of plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria (PGPR)-induced genes in tobacco (Nicotiana tabacum L. 'Xanthi'). Moreover, the regulatory role of photosynthesis-related genes upregulated by strain JS via diverse plant growthpromoting processes was verified in various plants (Jang 2015, Kim et al. 2015a). Solar energy fixation is accomplished by photosynthesis in plants, and this process is related to Chl in leaves (Salisbury and Ross 1992). Therefore, the energy balance of plants can be estimated by their Chl contents. Song et al. (2012) reported that emission of B. subtilis JS volatiles markedly increased seedling growth in tobacco (Nicotiana tabacum L. cv. 'Xanthi'). Shoot fresh mass, lateral root and root hair numbers, and primary root length of Xanthi seedlings

Received 1 December 2016, accepted 15 September 2017, published as online-first 12 April 2018.

<sup>+</sup>Corresponding author; phone: +82-2-6490-2691, fax: +82-2-6490-2684, e-mail: <u>wsy@uos.ac.kr</u>

*Abbreviations*: B1 – *Bacillus subtilis* JS inoculation with double-distilled water (dilution rate of 1 in 100); B2 – *Bacillus subtilis* JS inoculation with double-distilled water (dilution rate of 1 in 50); Car<sub>T</sub> – total carotenoid; Chl<sub>T</sub> – total chlorophyll; CFUs – colony-forming units; DDW –double-distilled water; *E* – transpiration rate;  $g_s$  – stomatal conductance; N<sub>Leaf</sub> – total nitrogen content of leaf; PGPR – plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria; P<sub>Leaf</sub> – phosphate content of leaf;  $P_N$  – net photosynthetic rate; RGR – relative growth rate; ROS – reactive oxygen species; SRCs – short rotation coppice cultures; TF – triphenyl formazan; TTC – triphenyltetrazolium chloride; WUE – water-use efficiency.

Acknowledgements: This work was carried out with the support of the Cooperative Research Program for Agriculture Science & Technology Development (Project No. PJ01168801) Rural Development Administration, Republic of Korea.

increased after treatment with *B. subtilis* JS. These results suggested that volatiles produced by *B. subtilis* JS played roles as growth elicitors. These volatiles stimulated the expression of genes encoding hormones and Chl *a/b*-binding proteins, resulting in plant growth promotion. Volatiles of *B. subtilis* JS are also known to increase the expression of many pathogenesis-related genes and confer disease resistance in plants (Song *et al.* 2012, Kim *et al.* 2015a). Furthermore, they induce the expression of genes associated with the scavenging of reactive oxygen species (ROS), thereby reducing cellular damage caused by ROS-induced stress (Song *et al.* 2012, Kim *et al.* 2015a,b).

Due to its rapid growth and strong sprouting abilities, poplar is an important woody crop used to produce biomass in short rotation coppice cultures (SRCs) (Gordon and Promnitz 1976, Dickmann *et al.* 1996). However, in South Korea, in particular, SRCs are established mostly on soils having poor quality, such as barren lands (arid areas,

### Materials and methods

**Plant culture**: Poplar seedling clones and hybrid clones (*Populus deltoides*  $\times$  *P. nigra* 'Dorskamp'; *Populus euramericana* 'Eco28', 'I-476', and 'Venziano') were used for the experiment since they are known to grow on reclaimed lands (Shin *et al.* 2012). Seedlings were established in a Venlo-type greenhouse at the University of Seoul, Seoul, Republic of Korea. Seedlings were planted in February 2015 and were investigated from May to September 2015. At the study site, cuttings of poplar were grown for over 60 d (from March to May) for selecting fast-growing tree clones, and their survival rate was over 93%. The experiment was performed in the three different treatment plots (180 × 120 cm) for 120 d (until the end of August 2015). Sixty cuttings (20 in each of the three treatments, with four types of clone × five replicate plants

landfills, swamps, and reclaimed lands). This is because land for plantations is limited, and wood crops need to be planted in large areas, such as reclaimed lands (Park *et al.* 2009). However, some studies have shown that biomass production is limited in reclaimed lands (Yeo *et al.* 2010, Kim *et al.* 2011). If inorganic nutrients or substances, which can improve the growth of the rhizosphere system, are applied, the production of woody biomass can be increased. Among biofertilizers, PGPR can minimize land salinization, while improving the pH, nitrogen (N) availability from nitric acid and ammonia, phosphate (P) content, and exchangeable positive ion amount of the soil (Yadav *et al.* 2000, Vessey 2003, Lee *et al.* 2013).

This study aimed (1) to confirm the growth-promoting effects of the PGPR, *B. subtilis* JS, in poplar seedlings, and (2) to determine the physiological changes that improved the growth of poplar seedlings treated with *B. subtilis* JS.

per clone) were used for planting in the pots, which were obtained from 12 cm above the ground (height, 30 cm,  $\emptyset$  25 cm). Seedling growth assays were performed in small pots (25 × 18 × 30 cm; cavity volume, 3 L). For the pot experiment, soil was sterilized by autoclaving at 121°C for 15 min at 15 psi. Each pot was filled with equal parts of soil from Saemanguem-reclaimed land, located in Gimje City in the Republic of Korea, peat (*Klasman, Potground-H*, Germany), and perlite [1:1:1, v(reclaimed land soil)/v(peat)/v(perlite); *see* text table below]. During the experiment, all the pots were kept under natural light (sun light), with monthly maximum and minimum temperatures of 46.2°C and 13.5°C, respectively. The monthly mean humidity was 56.8%.

Characteristics of the soil used for the experiment. pH – potential of hydrogen; EC – electrical conductivity; O.M – organic matter; Avail. P – available phosphorus; T-N – total nitrogen; CEC – cation exchange capacity.

pH[1:5]	$EC_{[1:5]}$	O.M	Avail. P	T-N	CEC	K	Na	Mg	Ca
	[dS m <sup>-1</sup> ]	[%]	[mg kg <sup>-1</sup> ]	[%]	[cmol kg <sup>-1</sup> ]				
6.8	0.07	2.0	19.73	0.15	11.39	0.91	1.01	2.01	1.01

**Bacillus subtilis JS culture and plant treatments**: The *B. subtilis JS* (National Patent Classification Code: KR 1020140028777, *GenBank* accession number CP003492), gram-positive bacterial strain, isolated from the soil used to grow *Miscanthus sinensis* var. *Purpurascens* (Song *et al.* 2012), was used during the experiment. *B. subtilis* JS was stored at -80°C in 15% glycerol for long-term storage and use. Bacteria were cultured as follows. Bacterial strains were streaked on nutrient agar (NA) medium (*Junsei Chemical*, Japan) and cultured at 28°C. A single colony on NA was transferred to 30-mL of nutrient broth (*Difco*, USA) and grown on a reciprocating shaker (110 rpm) at

28°C for 14 h. The bacteria concentration was adjusted to at least  $1 \times 10^7$  colony-forming units (CFUs) mL<sup>-1</sup>. For the greenhouse experiment, the 40 of the 60 poplar seedlings (initial cutting length, 12 cm) were drenched with the bacterial culture that was diluted to either 1:100 (B1, 100-fold) or 1:50 (B2, 50-fold) with double-distilled water (DDW; 1 L per pot). The remaining 20 seedlings were used for the control treatment, which were drenched only with DDW. *B. subtilis* JS microbial agent (about  $1 \times 10^9$  CFU mL<sup>-1</sup>), diluted with DDW (1 L per pot), was added to the topsoil of each pot from May to August 2015. The application interval was three times a week.

## J.H. JANG et al.

Seedling growth and biomass: The height, diameter, and biomass of each seedling were measured every month from March to September 2015. To investigate the growth rate of poplar seedlings, relative growth rate (RGR) over the study intervals was calculated for each plant as: RGR (cm per day) =  $(\ln M_{\rm f} - \ln M_{\rm i})/T$  (Poorter and Remkes 1990), where  $M_i$  and  $M_f$  are initial and final growth data (seedling height and diameter), respectively, and T is the time interval (number of days). At the end of the experiment, plants were removed from the soil and harvested, and their roots were washed. The plants were separated into roots (excluding cuttings), shoots, and leaves. Seedling height was measured using a folding ruler for height (Stabila, Germany) from the root collar to the end of an apical leaf. Root collar diameter was measured at 5 cm above the ground using an ABS Diamatic caliper (CD-15DC, Mitutoyo, Japan). The fresh mass of each sample was measured immediately after harvest. All samples were oven-dried to a constant biomass in a drying oven at 70°C (*DS-80-2*, *Dasol Scientific*, Republic of Korea) for 96 h prior to estimating the dry mass of the leaves, stems, and branches (Makkonen and Helmisaari 1998). Total biomass was obtained from on these data.

Analysis of plant physiological changes: The net photosynthetic rate ( $P_N$ ) of the foliage was measured using a redblue LED light source *Li-6400-02B* (*Li-Cor*, USA) of the *Li-6400* portable photosynthesis system (*Li-Cor*, USA). Irradiance-response curves were generated by placing the leaves sampled at the fourth to sixth point from apex of each poplar clone in *B. subtilis* JS treatments in a leaf chamber at 25°C, 400 µmol(CO<sub>2</sub>) mol<sup>-1</sup>, and 50–60% relative humidity. After this initial preparation, the  $P_N$  of seedlings was measured at 10:00 during the experiment period. The leaves were acclimated for 2 min before measurement, at a photon flux density of 1,500 µmol (photon) m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>. Water-use efficiency (WUE; as indicated by water-use efficiency of leaf) was calculated using the



Fig. 1. The effect of *Bacillus subtilis* JS treatment on the seedling height and seedling diameter of *Populus euramericana* (Eco28, I-476, Venziano) and *Populus deltoides* × *P. nigra* (Dorskamp). The poplar seedlings were treated as follows: CK – distilled water as a control; B1 – dilution rate of 1 in 100 (*B. subtilis*:DDW, 1:100, v/v); B2 – dilution rate of 1 in 50 (*B. subtilis*:DDW, 1:50, v/v). Bars indicate standard error. One-way and two-way *ANOVAs* (clone × treatment) were used for statistical analysis by using *SPSS*. *Post hoc* comparisons were performed using the *Tukey*'s post test at a significance level of  $p \le 0.05$ . *Different uppercase letters* indicate significant differences among poplar clones ( $p \le 0.05$ ) of the same treatment; *Different lowercase letters* indicate significant differences among *B. subtilis* JS treatments (B1, B2 and CK) of the same clone ( $p \le 0.05$ ).

1190

following formula of Cernusak *et al.* (2006): WUE =  $P_N$ /transpiration rate (*E*), where  $P_N = u_e(c_e - c_e)/(100 \times S) - c_e E$ ;  $u_e$ : mole flow rate of air entering the leaf chamber [µmol s<sup>-1</sup>],  $c_e$ : mole fraction of CO<sub>2</sub> in the leaf chamber [µmol(CO<sub>2</sub>) mol<sup>-1</sup>(air)],  $c_e$ : mole fraction of CO<sub>2</sub> entering in the leaf chamber [µmol(CO<sub>2</sub>) mol<sup>-1</sup>(air)], *S*: leaf area (cm<sup>2</sup>). and *E* [µmol (H<sub>2</sub>O) m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>] =  $u_e(w_e - w_e)/S \times 105(1 - w_e/1,000)$ ;  $u_e$ : mole flow rate of air entering the leaf chamber (µmol s<sup>-1</sup>),  $w_e$ : mole fraction of water vapor in the leaf chamber [mol(H<sub>2</sub>O) mol<sup>-1</sup>(air)],  $w_e$ : mole fraction of water vapor in the leaf chamber [mol(H<sub>2</sub>O) mol<sup>-1</sup>(air)],  $w_e$ : mole fraction of water vapor in the leaf chamber [mol(H<sub>2</sub>O) mol<sup>-1</sup>(air)],  $w_e$ : mole fraction of water vapor in the leaf chamber [mol(H<sub>2</sub>O) mol<sup>-1</sup>(air)],  $w_e$ : mole fraction of water vapor in the leaf chamber [mol(H<sub>2</sub>O) mol<sup>-1</sup>(air)],  $w_e$ : mole fraction of water vapor entering the leaf chamber [mmol(H<sub>2</sub>O) mol<sup>-1</sup>(air)], S: leaf area (cm<sup>2</sup>).

Chlorophyll (Chl) and carotenoids (Car) were extracted from 0.1 g (fresh mass) leaf discs, sampled three times from each of the B. subtilis JS treatments and each clone (in total 36 leaf samples), and subjected to photosynthetic efficiency measurements, with 80% acetone solution in a 10-mL brown vial for one week at 4°C. Absorbance was measured at 663 nm (Chl a), 645 nm (Chl b), and 470 nm (Car) using a microplate reader (Epoch, Bio-Tek, USA). Chl content (Chl a and b and total Chl [Chl<sub>T</sub>]) and total carotenoid content (Car<sub>T</sub>) were calculated according to the equation reported by Arnon (1949): Chl  $a = 12.7 \times A_{663} - 2.69 \times A_{645}$ , Chl  $b = 22.9 \times A_{645}$  $A_{645} - 4.68 \times A_{663}$ , total Chl  $(a + b) = 20.2 \times A_{645} - 8.02 \times A_{645}$  $A_{663}$ , total carotenoids = (1,000 ×  $A_{470}$  – 1.82 × Chl *a* –  $85.02 \times \text{Chl } b)/198$ , where A<sub>x</sub> refers to the absorbance of the extract solution in 1-cm path length cuvette at particular wavelength. Pigment concentration was calculated as mg  $g(FM)^{-1}$ . The total nitrogen content of leaf (N<sub>Leaf</sub>) was determined using the Kjeldahl method (Bremner 1996) using an autoanalyzer (Kjeltec 2300, *Foss*, Sweden). The phosphate content of the leaf ( $P_{Leaf}$ ) was determined using the vanadate method (Hanson 1950). Absorbance was measured at 470 nm using a microplate reader (Epoch, Bio-Tek, USA). The root activity of poplar seedlings treated with B. subtilis JS was measured using the triphenyltetrazolium chloride (TTC) method (Yoshida 1966, Hirata 1990). First, fresh fine roots

#### Results

Seedling growth and changes in leaf nitrogen and phosphate contents after PGPR treatment: Poplar clones were grown for 240 d after treatment with *B. subtilis* JS. Seedling height was significantly different between the control (CK) and treatment (B1, B2) groups. The average seedling height and diameter of treated seedlings (B1, 83.7  $\pm$  11.3 cm; B2, 93.0  $\pm$  17.1 cm) were greater than those of the control (CK, 57.1  $\pm$  13.7 cm) seedlings (Fig. 1). After PGPR treatment, the seedling height of the B2 group was approximately 1.6-fold (62.9% increase) higher than that of the CK group (Fig. 1*A*). Seedling height was significantly different across all poplar clones. The average seedling diameter of the treatment group (B2, 4.2  $\pm$  0.3 mm; B1, 3.96  $\pm$  0.47 mm) was greater than that of the control

of seedlings were sampled. Then, the root samples were washed under running water until they were free from soil particles. Subsequently, the root samples of each seedling were cut into 2-cm long segments, and the segments were mixed systematically. Next, 500 mg of fresh root samples was weighed and placed in a Thunberg tube containing 10 mL of mixed solution (1% TTC solution, 0.1M sodium phosphate buffer solution, and DDW mixed, at a ratio of 1:4:5). The solution was then evaporated using a suction pump. The roots were incubated in the dark in a shaking water bath (HB-205SW, HanBaek Scientific, Republic of Korea) at 30°C for 2 h. The reaction was then stopped by adding 2 mL of 2 N sulfuric acid. The root segments were dried, and were ground with 3-5 mL of ethyl acetate and sea sand to extract the formazan from the roots. Finally, the absorbance of formazan was measured using a spectrophotometer at 470 nm using a microplate reader, and a standard curve was generated using the mixed solution (TTC solution, 0.2 mg; sodium hydrosulfite, 15-30 mg, and 99% ethyl acetate) using the microplate reader. The root activity (Hirata 1990) was calculated as follows: relative root activity = mg (created triphenyl formazan, TF)  $g^{-1}$ (dry mass of roots, DM)  $h^{-1}$ (reaction time with solution).

**Statistical analysis**: All statistical analyses for different treatments were performed using the *Statistical Package* for the Social Sciences (SPSS, Chicago, IL, USA) version 23 for Window software. One-way and two-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used for statistical analysis. Least significant difference among the mean values was performed using the one-way ANOVA test to evaluate the differences between treatments. Two-way ANOVA was used to test for interactions between treatments and clones (treatment × clones). Post hoc comparisons were performed using the *Turkey*'s test at a significance level of  $p \le 0.05$ . We examined relationships among parameters using nonlinear regression analyses and coefficients of determination  $(r^2)$ .

 $(3.1 \pm 0.6 \text{ mm})$ . There was an approximately 1.3-fold (35.0% increase) difference in the seedling diameter between the treated (B1, B2) and CK groups (Fig. 1*B*). Furthermore, the overall growth (seedling height and diameter) of Eco28 and I-476 clones was higher than that of other clones.

The height of I-476 and Eco28 clones was greater than the other clones under all conditions (B2, B1, and CK; Fig. 1*A*). The total seedling diameter of the I-476 clone in the B1 and CK groups was the largest one, but the clones in the B2 group were not significantly different (Fig. 1*B*). Furthermore, both height and diameter were influenced by clone and treatment, but the interaction was not significant (Fig. 1).

Among other growth parameters, leaf, shoot, and root DM of all poplar clones across treatments are shown in Table 1. The B. subtilis JS treatment caused a significant increase in the mean DM (B2,  $59.9 \pm 8.1$  g; B1,  $62.8 \pm 7.4$  g) compared with that of the control (CK,  $43.4 \pm 8.7$  g). The PGPR, B. subtilis JS, treatment increased the leaf, shoot, and root mass by 37.9, 48.9, and 33.1%, respectively. Among all of poplar clones, the DM of Dorskamp was highest in the B1 group  $(61.7 \pm 11.1 \text{ g})$ , followed by that in the B2 group  $(60.3 \pm 2.2 \text{ g})$ , and it was the lowest in CK  $(33.9 \pm 7.9 \text{ g})$ . The DM of Eco28 and I-476 was also the highest in the B1 group (Eco28,  $65.0 \pm 8.7$  g; I-476,  $64.1 \pm 7.1$  g), followed by that in the B2 group (Eco28,  $57.0 \pm 12.9$  g; I-476,  $62.2 \pm 2.8$  g), and the lowest in CK  $(Eco28, 41.0 \pm 3.95 \text{ g}; I-476, 49.0 \pm 8.3 \text{ g})$ . However, the DM of Venziano was not significantly different. Therefore, the total DM of Dorskamp, Eco28, and I-476 were significantly higher in each treatment group (B1, B2) compared with controls. The DM of Venziano and I-476 (Venziano, 49.  $8 \pm 3.7$  g; I-476, 49.0  $\pm 8.3$  g) were higher than that of Eco28 (41.0  $\pm$  3.95 g) in the CK group, but Dorskamp was the lowest in this group  $(33.9 \pm 7.9 \text{ g})$ . However, there were no significant differences between clones in the B1 and B2 groups. In addition, there were no significant differences between the clones or in the interaction between the clone and treatment (except shoot DM between clones) on leaf, shoot, root, and total DM of all clones (Table 1).

The PGPR treatment increased  $P_{Leaf}$  and  $N_{Leaf}$  by 78.9 and 39.3%, respectively. The  $P_{Leaf}$  and  $N_{Leaf}$  of poplar were the highest in the B1 group ( $N_{Leaf}$ , 0.79 ± 0.26%;  $P_{Leaf}$ , 0.38 ± 0.14%), followed by those in the B2 group ( $N_{Leaf}$ , 0.65 ± 0.29%;  $P_{Leaf}$ , 0.33 ± 0.07%), and the lowest in the CK group ( $N_{Leaf}$ , 0.32 ± 0.15%;  $P_{Leaf}$ , 0.24 ± 0.10%). The  $P_{Leaf}$ of poplar clones was not significantly different between the CK and B2 groups. However, the  $P_{Leaf}$  of the I-476 clone was the highest among all the clones in the B1 treatment (Fig. 2*A*). The  $N_{Leaf}$  of poplar clones was not significantly different between the CK and B1 groups, but the I-476 clone in the B2 group higher than the other clone (Fig. 2*B*). Moreover,  $P_{Leaf}$  and  $N_{Leaf}$  were influenced by treatment, whereas they were not influenced by the clone or the interaction between clone and treatment (Fig. 2).

Effect of *B. subtilis* JS treatment on photosynthetic parameters: The  $P_N$  and WUE of poplar seedlings treated with *B. subtilis* JS in September 2015 are shown in Fig. 3. There was a 54.8% increase in  $P_N$  in the treated group compared with the CK group. The average  $P_N$  of the B2 and B1 groups was  $10.62 \pm 1.95 \ \mu\text{mol m}^{-2} \ \text{s}^{-1}$  and  $9.83 \pm 0.49 \ \mu\text{mol m}^{-2} \ \text{s}^{-1}$ , respectively. However, the average  $P_N$ of the CK groups was  $6.86 \pm 1.48 \ \mu\text{mol m}^{-2} \ \text{s}^{-1}$ .

Table 1. The effect of *Bacillus subtilis* JS treatment on the leaf dry mass, shoot dry mass, root dry mass, and total dry mass of *Populus euramericana* and *Populus deltoides* × *P. nigra*. Values are average  $\pm$  standard errors from five replicates. One-way *ANOVA* test was performed to evaluate the differences between treatments (B1, B2, and CK). Two-way *ANOVA* was performed for each trait to test for variation between *B. subtilis* JS treatments and poplar clones. Different *uppercase letters* in the same column represent significant differences between poplar clones of the same treatment at *p*≤0.05. Different *lowercase letters* in the same column represent significant differences between *B. subtilis* JS treatments of the same clone, as determined by *Tukey*'s post test. CK – distilled water as a control; B1 – dilution rate of 1 in 100 (*B. subtilis*:DDW, 1:100, v/v); B2 – dilution rate of 1 in 50 (*B. subtilis*:DDW, 1:50, v/v); DM – dry mass.

Species	Clone	Treatment	Leaf [g <sup>-1</sup> (DM)]	Shoot [g <sup>-1</sup> (DM)]	Root [g <sup>-1</sup> (DM)]	Total [g <sup>-1</sup> (DM)]
Populus deltoides × P. nigra	Dorskamp	CK B1 B2	$\begin{array}{l} 10.73 \pm 1.22^{bB} \\ 16.51 \pm 0.09^{aA} \\ 18.07 \pm 0.64^{aA} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{l} 6.03 \pm 0.46^{bB} \\ 10.64 \pm 2.45^{aB} \\ 9.74 \pm 2.10^{abC} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{l} 17.13 \pm 7.51^{bA} \\ 34.57 \pm 8.84^{aA} \\ 32.53 \pm 1.50^{aA} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{l} 33.90 \pm 7.93^{bB} \\ 61.72 \pm 11.14^{aA} \\ 60.34 \pm 2.24^{aA} \end{array}$
Populus euramericana	Eco28	CK B1 B2	$\begin{array}{l} 11.14 \pm 0.61^{aB} \\ 21.32 \pm 1.66^{aA} \\ 17.98 \pm 9.42^{aA} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{l} 8.30 \pm 0.87^{bAB} \\ 13.81 \pm 1.46^{aAB} \\ 14.54 \pm 1.82^{aB} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{l} 21.60 \pm 4.5^{aA} \\ 29.87 \pm 6.27^{aA} \\ 24.50 \pm 4.50^{aB} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{l} 41.04 \pm 3.95^{bAB} \\ 65.00 \pm 8.67^{aA} \\ 57.02 \pm 12.89^{abA} \end{array}$
	I-476	CK B1 B2	$\begin{array}{l} 12.08 \pm 2.89^{bB} \\ 19.64 \pm 1.97^{aA} \\ 14.21 \pm 5.00^{abA} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{l} 11.96 \pm 4.74^{aA} \\ 16.02 \pm 3.27^{aB} \\ 18.78 \pm 2.40^{aA} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{l} 24.97 \pm 1.50^{aA} \\ 28.43 \pm 2.34^{aA} \\ 29.20 \pm 2.61^{aAB} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{l} 49.00 \pm 8.28^{bA} \\ 64.09 \pm 7.05^{aA} \\ 62.19 \pm 2.75^{aA} \end{array}$
	Venziano	CK B1 B2	$\begin{array}{l} 16.50 \pm 1.73^{aA} \\ 22.01 \pm 4.93^{aA} \\ 19.31 \pm 8.56^{aA} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{l} 12.18 \pm 1.12^{bA} \\ 14.43 \pm 0.81^{aAB} \\ 14.21 \pm 1.06^{aB} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{l} 21.10 \pm 1.73^{bA} \\ 23.90 \pm 2.12^{abA} \\ 26.63 \pm 3.50^{aAB} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{l} 49.78 \pm 3.65^{aA} \\ 60.35 \pm 5.81^{aA} \\ 60.15 \pm 12.76^{aA} \end{array}$
Populus spp.	Mean	CK B1 B2	$\begin{array}{c} 12.61 \pm 2.86^{b} \\ 19.87 \pm 3.25^{a} \\ 17.39 \pm 6.17^{a} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{l} 9.62 \pm 3.43^{b} \\ 13.73 \pm 2.78^{a} \\ 14.32 \pm 3.72^{a} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{l} 21.20 \pm 4.83^b \\ 29.19 \pm 6.24^a \\ 28.22 \pm 4.17^a \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{l} 43.43 \pm 8.66^{b} \\ 62.79 \pm 7.43^{a} \\ 59.93 \pm 8.12^{a} \end{array}$
ANOVA (p)	Clone Treatment Clone × Tre	atment	0.188 <0.01 0.780	<0.001 <0.001 0.472	0.205 <0.001 0.083	0.372 <0.001 0.480



Fig. 2. The effect of *Bacillus subtilis* JS treatment on the leaf phosphate and leaf nitrogen contents of *Populus euramericana* (Eco28, I-476, Venziano) and *Populus deltoides* × *P. nigra* (Dorskamp). The poplar seedlings were treated as follows: CK – distilled water as a control; B1 – dilution rate of 1 in 100 (*B. subtilis*:DDW, 1:100, v/v); B2 – dilution rate of 1 in 50 (*B. subtilis*:DDW, 1:50, v/v). Bars indicate standard error. One-way and two-way *ANOVAs* (clone × treatment) were used for statistical analysis by using *SPSS*. *Post hoc* comparisons were performed using the *Tukey*'s post test at a significance level of  $p \le 0.05$ . Different *uppercase letters* indicate significantly differences between poplar clones ( $p \le 0.05$ ) of the same treatment; different *lowercase letters* indicate significant differences between *B. subtilis* JS treatments (B1, B2 and CK) of the same clone ( $p \le 0.05$ ).

Thus, the  $P_N$  was significantly higher in the treated groups than that in the CK group. The  $P_N$  of the Venziano clone in the CK and B1 groups were higher than the other clones. However,  $P_N$  was not significantly different between clones in the B2 group (Fig. 3*A*). Similar to  $P_N$ , the WUE was the highest in the B2 group, followed by that in the B1 and CK groups (Fig. 3*B*). The *B. subtilis* JS B1 and B2 treatments increased the WUE by 52.9 and 66.6%, respectively. The WUE of the Eco28 clone was the highest one in the CK group. However, it was not significantly different between clones in the B1 and B2 groups (Fig. 3*B*). In addition,  $P_N$  and WUE were influenced by clone and treatment, but they were not influenced by their interaction (Fig. 3).

The Chl content of the B1 and B2 groups was significantly greater than that of the CK group from June to August. When compared with the CK group in June, the Chl<sub>T</sub> and Car<sub>T</sub> of the B2 group increased by 113.3 and 76.4%, respectively (Table 2). The average Chl<sub>T</sub> of the B2 group in June and August was  $1.92 \pm 0.21$  mg g<sup>-1</sup>(FM) and

 $1.19 \pm 0.67$  mg g<sup>-1</sup>(FM), respectively. Furthermore, the Chl<sub>T</sub> of the CK group in June and August was  $0.90 \pm 0.25$  mg g<sup>-1</sup>(FM) and  $0.54 \pm 0.16$  mg g<sup>-1</sup>(FM), respectively. Among all poplar clones investigated in June and August, the Chl<sub>T</sub> of Dorskamp was the highest in the B1 group and B2 group, followed by CK. Chl<sub>T</sub> of Venziano was also greater in the B1 and B2 groups compared with CK. Moreover, Chl<sub>T</sub> of Eco28 and I-476 in June and August was significantly higher in the B1 and B2 groups compared with CK.

 $Chl_T$  of all clones investigated in June was not significantly different in each treatment group. However,  $Chl_T$  of I-476 in August was higher than others in B1 and B2 groups, but there were no significant differences between clones in the CK group.

The Car<sub>T</sub> of the B2 group in June and August was  $0.30 \pm 0.04$  mg g<sup>-1</sup>(FM) and  $0.25 \pm 0.05$  mg g<sup>-1</sup>(FM), respectively. The Car<sub>T</sub> of the CK group in June and August was  $0.17 \pm 0.03$  mg g<sup>-1</sup>(FM) and  $0.09 \pm 0.02$  mg g<sup>-1</sup> (FM), respectively. Among all poplar clones investigated in June



Fig. 3. The effect of *Bacillus subtilis* JS treatment on the net photosynthetic rate ( $P_N$ ) and water-use efficiency (WUE) of *Populus euramericana* (Eco28, I-476, Venziano) and *Populus deltoides* × *P. nigra* (Dorskamp). The poplar seedlings were treated as follows: CK – distilled water as a control; B1 – dilution rate of 1 in 100 (*B. subtilis*:DDW, 1:100, v/v); B2 – dilution rate of 1 in 50 (*B. subtilis*:DDW, 1:50, v/v). Bars indicate standard error. One-way and two-way *ANOVAs* (clone × treatment) were used for statistical analysis by using *SPSS*. *Post hoc* comparisons were performed using the *Tukey*'s post test at a significance level of  $p \le 0.05$ . Different *uppercase letters* indicate significant differences between poplar clones ( $P \le 0.05$ ) of the same treatment; different *lowercase letters* indicate significant differences between *B. subtilis* JS treatments (B1, B2 and CK) of the same clone ( $p \le 0.05$ ).

and August,  $Car_T$  of Dorskamp was the highest in the B1 and B2 groups compared with CK.  $Car_T$  of Venziano was also highest in the B1 and B2 groups compared with CK. Moreover,  $Car_T$  of Eco28 and I-476 in June and August was also significantly higher in the B1 and B2 groups compared with CK. In addition, Chl and  $Car_T$  were influenced by treatment, but they were not influenced by clone (except Chl investigated in August) or the clone × treatment interaction (Fig. 3).

Growth promotion and root activity after PGPR treatment: The root activity of poplar seedlings, calculated in mg(TF)  $g^{-1}(DM) h^{-1}$ , was the highest in the

#### Discussion

Shoot growth after inoculation is related to the specific clone: Increased plant size is a fundamental characteristic of growth, with shoot height, diameter of area, dry matter,

B2 group (83.99 ± 5.51), followed by the B1 (44.8 ± 10.8) and CK (46.64 ± 10.75) groups (Fig. 4). Compared with the CK group, the TF content of the B1 and B2 groups increased by 28.2 and 14.0%, respectively. The root activity of Dorskamp clones in the CK and B1 groups was the highest. Moreover, the Dorskamp and I-476 clones had the higher root activity than the other clones in the B2 group (Fig. 4*B*). In addition, the seedling height, root DM, and total DM, as well as the root activity, were correlated with each other and were higher in the treated than those in the control groups (Table 5). Moreover, the TF and root activity were influenced by clone, treatment, and their interaction (Fig. 4).

plant volume, size, and yield being useful proxies to evaluate growth (Salisbury and Ross 1992). The effect of *B. subtilis* strain inoculation enhanced root growth, shoot Table 2. Effect of *Bacillus subtilis* JS treatment on the total chlorophyll and carotenoid contents of *Populus euramericana* and *Populus deltoides* × *P. nigra*. Mean ± SE (n = 5). Strain concentration of *B. subtilis* JS is 1 × 10<sup>9</sup> CFU. CK – control seedling; B1 – dilution rate of 1 in 100 (*B. subtilis*: DDW, 1:100, v/v); B2 – dilution rate of 1 in 50 (*B. subtilis*: DDW, 1:50, v/v); FM, fresh mass; Chl<sub>T</sub>, total chlorophyll content; Car<sub>T</sub>, total carotenoid content. One-way *ANOVA* test was performed to evaluate the differences between treatments (B1, B2, and CK). Two-way *ANOVA* was conducted for each trait to test for variation between *B. subtilis* JS treatments and popular clones. *Post hoc* comparisons were performed using the *Tukey*'s post test at a significance level of  $p \le 0.05$ . Different *uppercase letters* in the same column represent significant differences between *B. subtilis* JS treatments of the same clone, as determined by *Tukey*'s post test.

Species	Clone	Treatment	Chl <sub>T</sub> [mg g <sup>-1</sup> (FM)] June	August	Car <sub>T</sub> [mg g <sup>-1</sup> (FM June	)] August
Populus deltoides × P. nigra	Dorskamp	CK B1 B2	$\begin{array}{l} 0.80 \pm 0.17^{bA} \\ 2.07 \pm 0.41^{aA} \\ 2.23 \pm 0.36^{aA} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{l} 0.50 \pm 1.25^{bA} \\ 1.35 \pm 3.71^{aB} \\ 1.59 \pm 1.14^{aAB} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{l} 0.15 \pm 0.03^{bA} \\ 0.33 \pm 0.06^{aA} \\ 0.36 \pm 0.04^{aA} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{l} 0.09 \pm 0.02^{bA} \\ 0.23 \pm 0.08^{aA} \\ 0.25 \pm 0.02^{aAB} \end{array}$
Populus euramericana	Eco28	CK B1 B2	$\begin{array}{l} 0.61 \pm 0.23^{bA} \\ 1.85 \pm 0.26^{aA} \\ 1.88 \pm 0.04^{aA} \end{array}$	$0.34 \pm 1.03^{bA}$ $1.52 \pm 2.20^{aAB}$ $1.28 \pm 1.74^{aAB}$	$0.13 \pm 0.04^{bA}$ $0.29 \pm 0.06^{aA}$ $0.28 \pm 0.01^{aB}$	$0.06 \pm 0.02^{bA}$ $0.23 \pm 0.03^{aA}$ $0.22 \pm 0.03^{aB}$
	I-476	CK B1 B2	$1.17 \pm 0.60^{\text{bA}}$ $1.45 \pm 0.53^{\text{aA}}$ $1.78 \pm 0.13^{\text{aA}}$	$\begin{array}{l} 0.71 \pm 3.47^{\text{bA}} \\ 2.03 \pm 4.66^{\text{aA}} \\ 1.78 \pm 3.83^{\text{aA}} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{l} 0.20 \pm 0.09^{\text{bA}} \\ 0.20 \pm 0.09^{\text{bA}} \\ 0.24 \pm 0.06^{\text{aA}} \\ 0.27 \pm 0.02^{\text{aB}} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{l} 0.12 \pm 0.05^{\text{bA}} \\ 0.11 \pm 0.05^{\text{bA}} \\ 0.33 \pm 0.10^{\text{aA}} \\ 0.31 \pm 0.07^{\text{aA}} \end{array}$
	Venziano	CK B1 B2	$\begin{array}{l} 1.03 \pm 0.25^{bA} \\ 1.63 \pm 0.12^{aA} \\ 1.78 \pm 0.24^{aA} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{l} 0.60 \pm 0.85^{bA} \\ 1.14 \pm 0.34^{aB} \\ 1.09 \pm 3.00^{aB} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{l} 0.19 \pm 0.04^{bA} \\ 0.25 \pm 0.02^{aA} \\ 0.28 \pm 0.03^{aB} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{l} 0.11 \pm 0.03^{bA} \\ 0.22 \pm 0.01^{aA} \\ 0.21 \pm 0.04^{aB} \end{array}$
Populus spp.	Mean	CK B1 B2	$\begin{array}{l} 0.90 \pm 0.25^b \\ 1.75 \pm 0.27^a \\ 1.92 \pm 0.21^a \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{l} 0.54 \pm 0.16^a \\ 1.01 \pm 0.76^a \\ 1.19 \pm 0.67^a \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{l} 0.17 \pm 0.03^b \\ 0.28 \pm 0.04^a \\ 0.30 \pm 0.04^a \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{l} 0.09 \pm 0.02^b \\ 0.25 \pm 0.05^a \\ 0.25 \pm 0.05^a \end{array}$
ANOVA (p)	Clone Treatment Clone × Trea	atment	0.372 <0.001 0.480	<0.01 <0.001 0.139	0.192 <0.001 0.110	<0.01 <0.001 0.572

biomass, and total N content in woody plants and other legumes, according to Utkhede et al. (1992), Eşitken et al. (2002), Singh et al. (2008), and Badizi et al. (2016), and our result also showed growth promotion (Fig. 1, Table 1) and increased N content of poplar seedlings (Fig. 2A). Interestingly, similar to Bacillus strain GB03 in white clover (Han et al. 2014), our result indicated that strain JS is a more efficient promoter of shoot growth than root growth in poplar seedlings (Table 1). It has been reported that specific cultivars or clones significantly affected shoot length and diameter of apple seedlings (Khan et al. 1998, Bianco et al. 2003), and our study showed similar results in poplar seedlings. Aslantas et al. (2007) reported that inoculation with Bacillus strains promoted significant tree growth in the field, but growth responses were strainspecific. For example, Bacillus strain OSU-142 significantly increased both shoot length and diameter, whereas Bacillus M-3 showed no effect on these measures of growth. Different clones significantly affected shoot length and diameter of apple trees (Khan et al. 1998, Bianco et al. 2003). In our study, the height and diameter of each poplar clone was higher in the CK than B1 and B2 groups until June. However, from July on, each clone was higher in the B1 and B2 groups than that of CK (Tables 3, 4), because the physiological activity of the leaves was the highest in August (Wilson et al. 2000, Misson et al. 2006).

Aslantas et al. (2007) reported Bacillus strain inoculation strongly influenced shoot number, length, diameter, and yield during the early stages of growth. In particular, Venziano and I-476 clones were the highest among treatments after July. Among poplar clones, shoot growth data showed clonal specificity, but root growth did not show significant differences between clones. Eco28 clone was the most efficient biomass producer in the B1 and B2 groups compared with CK, whereas the Dorskamp clone was lower than the other clones in all treatments. In general, the I-476 clone was reported to have the lowest biomass production in SRCs (Shin et al. 2012). Our results showed I-476 clone was the clone with the greatest biomass production potential in the B2 group. These results suggest that growth and biomass production of poplar seedlings Eco28 and I-476 are more efficient than those of Dorskamp and Venziano after Bacillus inoculation treatment. Even if I-476 and Venziano clones showed the lowest growth after the Bacillus treatment prior to June, they showed the greatest growth after July. The inoculation modes of strain JS for each clone played a very important role in the effects observed. Thus, PGPR may interact synergistically with the selected clone. However, with the exception of TF and root activity, our results did not show a synergistic, metabolic interaction involving clone and treatment.

J.H. JANG et al.



Fig. 4. The effect of *B. subtilis* JS treatment on the triphenyltetrazolium chloride reactivity (triphenyl formazan content) and relative root activity of *Populus euramericana* (Eco28, I-476, Venziano) and *Populus deltoides* × *P. nigra* (Dorskamp) seedlings. The poplar seedlings were treated as follows: CK – distilled water as a control; B1 – dilution rate of 1 in 100 (*B. subtilis*:DDW, 1:100, v/v); B2 – dilution rate of 1 in 50 (*B. subtilis*:DDW, 1:50, v/v). Bars indicate standard error. One-way and two-way *ANOVAs* (clone × treatment) were used for statistical analysis by using *SPSS*. *Post hoc* comparisons were performed using the *Tukey*'s post test at a significance level of  $p \le 0.05$ . Different *uppercase letters* indicate significant differences between *B. subtilis* JS treatments (B1, B2, and CK) of the same clone ( $p \le 0.05$ ).

Relationship between N content and photosynthetic parameters on B. subtilis JS treatment: Previous studies have demonstrated that hybrid poplar allocates a greater proportion of resources to aboveground biomass when pedospheric N is readily available (Ibrahim et al. 1997, Coleman et al. 1998). Nitrogen availability in Populus modulated parameters that affect carbon gain, including net photosynthesis. This parameter was affected by N-induced changes to leaf maturation and senescence (Cooke et al. 2005). Photosynthesis requires the integration of endogenous signals, as well as environmental factors (Ku et al. 1977, Leister et al. 2005). B subtilis could enhance plant photosynthetic activity by increasing leaf photosynthetic activity by rising leaf photosynthetic efficiency, and Chl content (Li et al. 2016). In Petri-dish studies, more than ten chloroplast-associated genes in

Arabidopsis have been shown to be differentially expressed when plants were exposed to volatiles of B. subtilis strain as seedlings (Zhang et al. 2007), indicating that the promotion of growth may, at least in part, be a consequence of increases in P<sub>N</sub> (Han et al. 2005, Xie et al. 2009). Our results showed that JS inoculation significantly increased N<sub>Leaf</sub> (Fig. 2), Chl (Table 2), and P<sub>N</sub> (Fig. 3) compared with the CK group. The increased  $P_N$  after Bacillus inoculation has been shown to increase with NLeaf or Chl in bean, coffee, willow (Lima et al. 1999, Netto et al. 2005, Weih et al. 2007), banana (Bandopadhyay 2015), and poplar (this study), whereas contrasting results were reported for sunflower (Ciompi et al. 1996). Moreover,  $N_{Leaf}$  and  $P_N$  of poplar clones showed positive a correlation  $(r^2 = 0.21, p < 0.01)$  in all treatments and clones. N<sub>Leaf</sub> was positively correlated with WUE in deciduous plants, such

*ANOVA* was conducted for each trait to test for variation between *B. subtilis* JS treatments and poplar clones. *Post hoc* comparisons were performed using the *Tukey*'s post test at a significance level of  $p \le 0.05$ . *Different uppercase letters* in the same column (treatment) represent significant differences among poplar clones of the same treatment at  $p \le 0.05$ . *Different lowercase letters* in the same column represent significant differences among poplar clones of the same treatment at  $p \le 0.05$ . *Different lowercase letters* in the same column represent significant differences among poplar clones of the same treatment at  $p \le 0.05$ . *Different lowercase letters* in the same column represent significant differences among *B. subtilis* JS treatments of the same clone, as determined by *Tukey*'s post test. Table 3. Changes of effect of *Bacillus subtilis* JS treatment on the seedling height of *Populus euramericana* and *Populus deltoides*  $\times P$ . *migra*. Mean  $\pm$  SE (n = 5). Strain concentration 1:100, v/v); B2 – dilution rate of 1 in 50 (B. subtilis: DDW, 1:50, v/v). One-way ANOVA test was performed to evaluate the differences between treatments (B1, B2 and CK). Two-way of B. subtilis JS is 1 × 10<sup>9</sup> CFU. RGR - relative growth rate = ln(Diameters<sub>eptember</sub> - Diameter<sub>March</sub>) 180days<sup>-1</sup>; CK - control seedling; B1 - dilution rate of 1 in 100 (B. subtilis; DDW,

Species	Clone	Treatmer	ntSeedling height [c March	sm] May	June	July	August	September	RGR [cm d <sup>-1</sup> ]	
P. deltoids × P. nigra	Dorskamp	CK B1 B2	$\begin{array}{c} 12.64 \pm 0.98^{aA} \\ 12.48 \pm 0.36^{aA} \\ 12.78 \pm 0.80^{aA} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 33.30 \pm 4.82^{aA} \\ 28.06 \pm 4.89^{aA} \\ 26.40 \pm 3.74^{aA} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{l} 40.80 \pm 6.75^{bB} \\ 42.18 \pm 7.99^{abB} \\ 54.10 \pm 8.06^{aAB} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{l} 39.60\pm5.97^{bB}\\ 66.34\pm6.53^{aB}\\ 74.47\pm5.05^{aA}\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{l} 41.88 \pm 7.49^{\text{cC}} \\ 69.93 \pm 6.20^{\text{bB}} \\ 86.23 \pm 4.28^{a\Lambda} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{l} 42.02 \pm 7.56^{cB} \\ 70.01 \pm 6.42^{bC} \\ 87.92 \pm 4.91^{aAB} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.019 \pm 0.002^{cB} \\ 0.022 \pm 0.001^{bB} \\ 0.024 \pm 0.000^{aAB} \end{array}$	
P. euramericana	Eco28	CK B1 B2	$\begin{array}{c} 12.50 \pm 0.12^{\mathrm{aA}} \\ 12.24 \pm 0.24^{\mathrm{aA}} \\ 12.48 \pm 0.44^{\mathrm{aA}} \end{array}$	$28.48 \pm 8.74^{\mathrm{aA}}$ $31.63 \pm 4.07^{\mathrm{aA}}$ $30.47 \pm 3.75^{\mathrm{aA}}$	$\begin{array}{c} 63.08 \pm 9.54^{aA} \\ 64.22 \pm 10.24^{aA} \\ 64.4 \pm 5.48^{aA} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 67.10 \pm 10.26^{bA} \\ 85.20 \pm 13.18^{aA} \\ 84.78 \pm 5.15^{aA} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 69.19 \pm 12.30^{\text{bA}} \\ 91.41 \pm 13.57^{\text{aA}} \\ 98.02 \pm 5.77^{\text{aA}} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 69.32 \pm 12.29^{bA} \\ 92.01 \pm 14.12^{aAB} \\ 102.81 \pm 7.37^{aA} \end{array}$	$0.022 \pm 0.001^{\mathrm{bA}}$ $0.024 \pm 0.001^{\mathrm{aA}}$ $0.025 \pm 0.000^{\mathrm{aA}}$	
	I-476	B1 B2 B2	$\begin{array}{c} 12.38 \pm 0.46^{aA} \\ 12.40 \pm 0.34^{aA} \\ 12.28 \pm 0.29^{aA} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{l} 37.20\pm2.50^{aA}\\ 31.23\pm4.59^{abA}\\ 25.93\pm5.49^{bA}\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 59.00 \pm 10.11^{aA} \\ 57.73 \pm 7.68^{aA} \\ 52.49 \pm 10.88^{aAB} \end{array}$	$66.52 \pm 15.27^{aA}$ $82.10 \pm 12.07^{aAB}$ $75.74 \pm 12.72^{aA}$	$\begin{array}{c} 68.07 \pm 16.51^{aAB} \\ 91.79 \pm 13.51^{aA} \\ 88.81 \pm 14.16^{aA} \end{array}$	$68.11 \pm 16.57^{bA}$ $93.92 \pm 11.77^{abA}$ $110.06 \pm 30.41^{aA}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.022 \pm 0.002  ^{bA} \\ 0.024 \pm 0.001  ^{abA} \\ 0.025 \pm 0.002  ^{aA} \end{array}$	
	Venziano	CK B1 B2	$\begin{array}{c} 12.78 \pm 1.40^{aA} \\ 12.36 \pm 0.37^{aA} \\ 12.52 \pm 0.19^{aA} \end{array}$	$33.50 \pm 7.00^{aA}$ $32.03 \pm 2.62^{abA}$ $24.55 \pm 4.38^{bA}$	$\begin{array}{l} 42.03 \pm 8.37^{bB} \\ 53.73 \pm 8.06^{aAB} \\ 41.60 \pm 3.43^{bB} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{l} 45.78\pm8.41^{cB} \\ 71.05\pm5.05^{aAB} \\ 58.03\pm5.28^{bB} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{l} 48.10 \pm 7.22^{cBC} \\ 78.47 \pm 4.28^{aAB} \\ 66.63 \pm 5.83^{bB} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{l} 49.04 \pm 7.31^{bB} \\ 78.90 \pm 4.91^{aBC} \\ 71.39 \pm 11.55^{aB} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.020 \pm 0.001^{\mathrm{bAB}} \\ 0.023 \pm 0.000^{\mathrm{aAB}} \\ 0.023 \pm 0.001^{\mathrm{aB}} \end{array}$	
Populus spp.	Mean	CK B1 B2	$\begin{array}{c} 12.58 \pm 0.17^{a} \\ 12.37 \pm 0.10^{a} \\ 12.52 \pm 0.21^{a} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 33.12 \pm 3.57^b\\ 30.74 \pm 1.82^{ab}\\ 26.84 \pm 2.54^b \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 51.23 \pm 11.46^{a} \\ 54.47 \pm 9.26^{a} \\ 53.16 \pm 9.35^{a} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{l} 54.75 \pm 14.15^a \\ 76.17 \pm 8.94^a \\ 73.26 \pm 11.14^a \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{l} 56.81 \pm 13.89^{b} \\ 82.90 \pm 10.64^{a} \\ 84.92 \pm 13.20^{a} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{l} 57.12 \pm 13.70^{b} \\ 83.71 \pm 11.31^{a} \\ 93.04 \pm 17.13^{a} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{l} 0.021\pm 0.002^{b}\\ 0.024\pm 0.001^{a}\\ 0.024\pm 0.001^{a} \end{array}$	
ANOVA (p)	Clone Treatment Clone × Tre	atment	0.580 0.561 0.972	0.685 <0.01 0.084	<0.001 0.452 0.029	<0.001 <0.001 0.054	<0.001 <0.001 <0.001 0.042	<0.001 <0.001 0.311	<0.001 <0.001 0.035	

lowercase lette	rs in the sam	e column	represent significant	t differences among	B. subtilis JS treatm	ents of the same clo	ne, as determined by	Tukey's post test.		
Species	Clone	Treatmen	ıt Seedling diameter May	[mm] June	July	August	September	Net growth	RGR [mm d <sup>-1</sup> ]	
P. deltoids × P. nigra	Dorskamp	CK B1 B2	$\begin{array}{c} 3.04 \pm 0.49^{aAB} \\ 2.98 \pm 0.36^{aB} \\ 2.68 \pm 0.38^{aA} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{l} 5.04 \pm 0.82^{aA} \\ 4.25 \pm 0.30^{aB} \\ 4.37 \pm 0.78^{aA} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 5.29 \pm 0.74^{aA} \\ 5.38 \pm 0.37^{aB} \\ 5.74 \pm 0.46^{aA} \end{array}$	$5.50 \pm 0.78^{b\Lambda}$ $5.82 \pm 0.42^{abB}$ $6.64 \pm 0.47^{a\Lambda}$	$5.63 \pm 0.69^{bA}$ $6.26 \pm 0.38^{abB}$ $6.91 \pm 0.40^{aB}$	$2.59 \pm 0.47^{cB}$ $3.51 \pm 0.64^{bB}$ $4.23 \pm 0.39^{aA}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.08 \pm 0.01^{bC} \\ 0.10 \pm 0.02^{aB} \\ 0.12 \pm 0.01^{aA} \end{array}$	
P. euramerican.	a Eco28	CK B1 B2	$\begin{array}{c} 2.62 \pm 0.45^{aB} \\ 2.99 \pm 0.32^{aB} \\ 2.80 \pm 0.18^{aA} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{l} 5.22 \pm 1.24^{aA} \\ 5.39 \pm 0.47^{aA} \\ 5.11 \pm 0.25^{aA} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 6.22 \pm 0.88^{aA} \\ 6.50 \pm 0.47^{aA} \\ 6.40 \pm 0.51^{aA} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{l} 6.49 \pm 0.90^{aA} \\ 7.01 \pm 0.35^{aA} \\ 7.13 \pm 0.80^{aA} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{l} 6.82 \pm 0.87^{\mathrm{aA}} \\ 7.25 \pm 0.65^{\mathrm{aAB}} \\ 7.46 \pm 0.86^{\mathrm{aAB}} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 3.81 \pm 0.42^{bA} \\ 4.26 \pm 0.50^{abA} \\ 4.66 \pm 0.70^{aA} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.11 \pm 0.01^{\mathrm{aA}} \\ 0.12 \pm 0.01^{\mathrm{aAB}} \\ 0.13 \pm 0.01^{\mathrm{aAB}} \end{array}$	
	I-476	CK B1 B2	$\begin{array}{c} 3.34 \pm 0.29^{aAB} \\ 3.32 \pm 0.48^{aAB} \\ 3.10 \pm 0.53^{aA} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{l} 5.58 \pm 0.49^{aA} \\ 5.52 \pm 0.37^{aA} \\ 4.72 \pm 0.52^{bA} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 6.36 \pm 0.79^{aA} \\ 6.77 \pm 0.77^{aA} \\ 6.58 \pm 0.86^{aA} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{l} 6.80 \pm 0.82^{a\Lambda} \\ 7.35 \pm 0.50^{a\Lambda} \\ 7.32 \pm 0.88^{a\Lambda} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{l} 6.90 \pm 0.78^{b\Lambda} \\ 7.77 \pm 0.68^{ab\Lambda} \\ 8.51 \pm 1.18^{a\Lambda} \end{array}$	$3.56 \pm 0.74^{bA}$ $4.45 \pm 0.34^{aA}$ $4.03 \pm 0.48^{abA}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.10 \pm 0.02^{\mathrm{uAB}} \\ 0.12 \pm 0.01^{\mathrm{aA}} \\ 0.12 \pm 0.01^{\mathrm{aA}} \end{array}$	
	Venziano	CK B1 B2	$\begin{array}{c} 3.80 \pm 0.43^{aA} \\ 3.83 \pm 0.37^{aA} \\ 2.79 \pm 0.24^{bA} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{l} 5.38 \pm 0.59^{abA} \\ 5.44 \pm 0.39^{aA} \\ 4.66 \pm 0.20^{bA} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 5.90 \pm 0.44^{aA} \\ 6.66 \pm 0.64^{aA} \\ 5.87 \pm 0.35^{aA} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{l} 6.25 \pm 0.61^{\mathrm{aA}} \\ 7.09 \pm 0.63^{\mathrm{aA}} \\ 6.43 \pm 0.14^{\mathrm{aA}} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{l} 6.41 \pm 0.43^{bA} \\ 7.43 \pm 0.44^{aA} \\ 6.89 \pm 0.28^{abB} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{l} 2.61 \pm 0.29^{bB} \\ 3.60 \pm 0.41^{aB} \\ 4.03 \pm 0.27^{aA} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.08 \pm 0.01^{\rm bBC} \\ 0.11 \pm 0.01^{\rm aB} \\ 0.12 \pm 0.01^{\rm aB} \end{array}$	
Populus spp.	Mean	CK B1 B2	$\begin{array}{c} 3.20 \pm 0.59^{ab} \\ 3.28 \pm 0.50^{a} \\ 2.84 \pm 0.37^{b} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{l} 5.30 \pm 0.80^{a} \\ 5.15 \pm 0.64^{ab} \\ 4.72 \pm 0.53^{b} \end{array}$	$5.94 \pm 0.79^{a}$ $6.33 \pm 0.78^{a}$ $6.15 \pm 0.64^{a}$	$\begin{array}{l} 6.26 \pm 0.87^{b} \\ 6.82 \pm 0.75^{ab} \\ 6.88 \pm 0.69^{a} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{l} 6.44 \pm 0.83^{b} \\ 7.18 \pm 0.77^{a} \\ 7.44 \pm 0.98^{a} \end{array}$	$3.14 \pm 0.73^{b}$ $3.96 \pm 0.61^{a}$ $4.24 \pm 0.52^{a}$	$\begin{array}{l} 0.09 \pm 0.02^{b} \\ 0.11 \pm 0.01^{a} \\ 0.12 \pm 0.01^{a} \end{array}$	
ANOVA (p)	Clone Treatment Clone × Tr	eatment	<0.001 <0.01 0.042	<0.01 0.010 0.365	<0.001 0.174 0.638	<0.001 <0.01 0.359	<0.001 <0.001 0.307	<0.001 <0.001 0.066	<0.001 <0.001 0.038	

1:100, v/v); B2 – dilution rate of 1 in 50 (*B. subtilis*: DDW, 1:50, v/v). One-way *ANOVA* test was performed to evaluate the differences between treatments (B1, B2 and CK). Two-way *ANOVA* was conducted for each trait to test for variation between *B. subtilis* JS treatments and poplar clones. *Post hoc* comparisons were performed using the *Tukey*'s post test at a significance level of  $p \le 0.05$ . *Different uppercase letters* in the same column (treatment) represent significant differences among poplar clones of the same treatment at  $p \le 0.05$ . *Different* Table 4. Changes of effect of *Bacillus subtilis* JS treatment on the seedling diameter of *Populus euramericana* and *Populus deltoides* × *P. nigra.* Mean  $\pm$  SE (n = 5). Strain concentration of *B. subtilis* JS is 1 × 10<sup>9</sup> CFU. *RGR*, relative growth rate = ln(Diameters<sub>petember</sub> – Diameters<sub>petember</sub> – Diameters<sub>petember</sub>) 120days<sup>-1</sup>; CK – control seedling; B1 – dilution rate of 1 in 100 (*B. subtilis*: DDW,

Table 5. Correlation analysis betwee	een physiological parameter and growth on Bacillus subtil	is JS treatments of Populus euramericana
and Populus deltoides × P. nigra.	* - correlation coefficient is significant at the 0.05 level; *	* - correlation coefficient is significant at
the 0.01 level.		

Factor	Coefficient of correlation	Factor NL eaf	PLeaf	$P_{\rm N}$	WUE	Chl	TF	Root activity
		1 (Lear	1 Loui					1000 4001 1105
NLeaf	Pearson coefficient	-	$0.527^{**}$	0.464**	0.451**	0.527**	0.425**	0.188
	Р	-	0.001	0.005	0.006	0.001	0.010	0.273
	$r^2$	-	0.277	0.21	0.20	0.27	0.18	0.035
PLeaf	Pearson coefficient	$0.527^{**}$	-	$0.392^{*}$	0.569**	0.346*	$0.570^{**}$	0.074
	Р	0.001	-	0.020	0.000	0.039	0.000	0.667
	$r^2$	0.277	-	0.154	0.324	0.12	0.32	0.006
$P_{\rm N}$	Pearson coefficient	$0.464^{**}$	$0.392^{*}$	-	0.275	0.594**	$0.477^{**}$	0.502**
	Р	0.005	0.020	-	0.110	0.000	0.004	0.002
	$r^2$	0.21	0.154	-	0.075	0.35	0.22	0.252
WUE	Pearson coefficient	0.451**	0.569**	0.275	-	$0.330^{*}$	0.182	0.178
	Р	0.006	0.000	0.110	-	0.049	0.287	0.300
	$r^2$	0.20	0.324	0.075	-	0.109	0.033	0.032
Leaf [g (DM)]	Pearson coefficient	0.283	0.459**	0.443**	0.148	0.388**	0.508**	0.025
	Р	0.094	0.005	0.008	0.389	0.19	0.002	0.887
	$r^2$	0.08	0.21	0.19	0.22	0.15	0.258	0.001
Shoot [g (DM)]	Pearson coefficient	0.497**	0.561**	0.459**	0.426**	0.404**	0.508**	0.180
	Р	0.002	0.000	0.006	0.010	0.015	0.002	0.294
	$r^2$	0.24	0.31	0.21	0.181	0.16	0.258	0.032
Root [g (DM)]	Pearson coefficient	0.439**	0.523**	0.463**	0.349*	0.534**	0.297	0.187
[8 ()]	Р	0.007	0.001	0.005	0.037	0.001	0 100	0 274
	$r^2$	0.19	0.27	0.21	0.122	0.28	0.07	0.035
Total [g (DM)]	Pearson coefficient	0.523**	0.667**	0.599**	0 771**	0.589**	0.542	0.169
10001[8(2001)]	P	0.001	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.001	0.324
	$r^2$	0.27	0.44	0.35	0.595	0.34	0 294	0.029
Seedling height	Pearson coefficient	0.236	0.367*	0.550**	0.273	0.523**	0.221	0.396
Seeding height	P	0.165	0.028	0.000	0.107	0.001	0.196	0.017
	$r^2$	0.05	0.13	0.31	0.075	0.27	0.049	0.156
Seedling diameter	· Pearson coefficient	0.362**	0.474**	0.504**	0.429**	0.490**	0.259	0.436**
Securing diameter	P	0.030	0.004	0.007	0.009	0.420	0.128	0.008
	$v^2$	0.13	0.22	0.002	0.007	0.002	0.120	0.190
	I	0.15	0.22	0.25	0.104	0.25	0.007	0.190

as poplar (Adams et al. 2016). WUE also showed a similar pattern in this study. In our study, N<sub>Leaf</sub> in poplar increased with WUE ( $r^2 = 0.20$ , p < 0.01) in all treatments and clones. At the physiological level, WUE can be defined as the ratio of photosynthesis (carbon gain) to transpiration (water loss) (Xu and Hsiao 2004). The WUE of P. euramericana Eco28, I-476, and Venziano, and P. deltoides  $\times$  P. nigra Dorskamp might be improved by increased  $P_{\rm N}$  and decreased E and stomatal conductance  $(g_s)$  (data not shown), indicating increased resistance to restrict foliar pathogens and drought. WUE is one of the major factors required for the survival, growth, and vitality of trees (Ni and Pallardy 1991, Tolentino et al. 2006). In addition, Li et al. (2016) reported that B. subtilis could improve WUE of intact leaves in broad beans (Vicia faba L. cv. 'Da qing pi') by adjusting the leaf stomatal aperture (width/length) and photosynthetic activity, and this improved WUE was associated with the vitality of B. subtilis-triggered stomatal closure. In all treatments and clones, there was a significant positive relationship between N<sub>Leaf</sub> and shoot  $(r^2 = 0.24, p < 0.01)$ , root  $(r^2 = 0.19, p < 0.01)$ , and total  $(r^2 = 0.19, p < 0.01)$ 0.27, p < 0.01) biomass yield. Among them, the high N<sub>Leaf</sub> and  $P_{\text{Leaf}}$  allowed for increased shoot growth (than root growth) and  $P_{\text{N}}$  (Vafadar *et al.* 2014), and our results were consistent with previous reports.

Bacillus subtilis strain JS affects photosynthetic and biochemical parameters of Populus: Chl is a vital segment of leaf colors and is critical during photosynthesis. Without adequate pigment contents, plant cannot perform photosynthesis (Mathivanan et al. 2017). In our study, JS treatment (B1, B2) significantly enhanced photosynthetic capacity (Fig. 3). Improved growth under Bacillus strain treatment is most likely a result of improved nutrition, leading to higher P<sub>N</sub> on Stevia rebaudiana (Vafadar et al. 2014), P. euramericana, and P. deltoides × P. nigra (this study). Overall, Chl a, Chl b, and Car contents in leaves of poplar clones significantly increased by increasing B. subtilis JS inoculation (Table 2), because Bacillus caused accumulation of Chl (Mohamed et al. 2012). The increased Chl in plant inoculated by Bacillus (Table 2) probably resulted in higher  $P_{\rm N}$  and thus improved total biomass (Vafadar et al. 2014), and our result supported this suggestion (Fig. 3). There was a significant relationship between  $P_{\rm N}$  and Chl in June ( $r^2 = 0.35$ , p < 0.01) although  $P_N$  and Chl in August had a weak positive relationship ( $r^2 = 0.18$ , p < 0.05). It has been demonstrated that Chl assumes a vital role in ATP generation and assurance of fundamental plant constituents (Kochot et al. 1998). Chl is one of the important biochemical parameters, and it is used as an index of plant protected capacity (Mathivanan et al. 2017). Zhang et al. (2007) and Li et al. (2016) reported that B. subtilis strains augment photosynthetic capacity by increasing P<sub>N</sub> and Chl in Arabidopsis. The reason for the increase in  $P_{\rm N}$  is attributed to the increased  $N_{\text{Leaf}}$  and  $P_{\text{Leaf}}$  after strain JS inoculation. Therefore, NLeaf was attributed to changes in the photosynthetic apparatus and activities after Bacillus treatment. Chl a, Chl b, and  $Chl_T$  are indicative of photosynthetic and metabolic activity (Wright and Jones 2006, Hartmann et al. 2009). We noted an alteration in the pigment content in poplar seedlings upon treatment with strain JS during the growing season (June and August). Interestingly, the Dorskamp clone had the lowest Chl<sub>T</sub> in the CK group, but it showed the highest Chl<sub>T</sub> among the B2 group across the growing season. Therefore, we concluded that strain JS inoculation resulted in the greatest increase of Chl<sub>T</sub> in the Dorskamp clone. It has been reported that Bacillus treatment enhanced the Chl<sub>T</sub> of Catharanthus roseus (Lenin and Jayanthi 2012) and Ociumum basilicum (Heidari et al. 2011). Chl content and total biomass increased due to Bacillus inoculation (Karami et al. 2016). Carotenoids are an accessory pigment in the photosynthetic assimilation of plants. The highest Car content was reported in 75-d-old plants (Arachis hypogaea L.) when compared with all other sampling days, whereas the lowest content was recorded in crops grown without Bacillus (Mathivanan et al. 2017). In Vigna mungo (L.) Hepper, a Bacillus treatment had a positive effect on plant growth and Car content (Hernandez et al. 2014). Similarly, Bacillus strain JS treatment on *Populus* had a positive effect on Car<sub>T</sub>, especially in the Venziano clone (Table 2). Kim et al. (2015b) reported that two photosynthetic genes (Chl a/bbinding protein and chloroplast sedoheptulose-1,7bisphosphatase) upregulated by bacterial volatiles of strain JS were classified as related to metabolic processes in N. tabacum L. cv. 'Xanthi'. These results likely suggest why  $P_{\rm N}$  of the *B. subtilis* JS-treated plants was significantly higher than that of the CK (Fig. 3A). In addition, the two genes are known to be involved in photosynthetic capacity. Among them, the Chl *a/b*-binding protein, located in the light-harvesting complex of PSII (Pichersky et al. 1987), increased during the growing season by natural light exposure (Kim et al. 2015b). Overexpression of chloroplast sedoheptulose-1,7-bisphosphatase results in enhanced photosynthetic efficiency and growth promotion in N. tabacum L. 'Xanthi' (Miyagawa et al. 2001, Lefebvre et al. 2005). The upregulation of Chl a/b-binding protein expression strongly suggests the activation of the photosynthetic gene due to application of strain JS volatiles on *N. tabacum*, indicating that the bacterial volatiles increased the  $P_{\rm N}$ . These results imply that plant growth promotion by *B. subtilis* JS may be due to the upregulation of enzymes by bacterial volatile organic compounds (Kim *et al.* 2015b). Our results also suggest that the volatiles are capable of promoting growth of *Populus* with activation of photosynthetic genes similar to those observed in *N. tabacum* treated with strain JS. Since the volatiles were from strain JS, we expected a similar result with *Populus*. However, the research relating on upregulation of Chl *a/b*-binding protein in *Populus* has not been conducted yet. Therefore, further study should be conducted on the expression of Chl-binding protein in *Populus*.

Correlation between growth promotion and root activity after PGPR treatment: The 2,3,5-triphenyltetrazolium chloride (TTC) test has been used to study the vitality of different plant root tissues (Lassheikki et al. 1991, Lindström and Nyström 1987) and to measure respiratory activity (Joslin and Henderson 1984, Stūrīte et al. 2005). The PGPR promoted the growth of the plant, increasing the root surface area and general root architecture (Biswas et al. 2000, Lucy et al. 2004). Root activity is therefore important in studies for plant growth and nutrient dynamics. The colorless TTC is reduced to a redcolored TF due to the dehydrogenase activity of the mitochondrial respiratory chain (Richter 2007). Our results showed that B. subtilis strain JS inoculation increased root biomass (Table 1), TF content, and root activity (Fig. 4). In addition, significant positive relationships were found between root activity and root biomass. The root activity ( $r^2 = 0.03$ ) and TF contents ( $r^2 = 0.07$ ) increased with increased root biomass, but they were not significantly different. In terms of nutrients absorption, TF contents affected N<sub>Leaf</sub> and P<sub>Leaf</sub>; P<sub>N</sub> and had positive relationships with N<sub>Leaf</sub> ( $r^2 = 0.18, p < 0.01$ ), P<sub>Leaf</sub> ( $r^2 = 0.32$ , p < 0.01), and  $P_N$  ( $r^2 = 0.22$ , p < 0.01), respectively. TF content showed a positive correlation with aboveground biomass, root biomass, and P<sub>N</sub> in 27 soybean cultivars across the growing season (Cui et al. 2016), and our study revealed that TF content had a positive correlation with leaf  $(r^2 = 0.25, p < 001)$  and shoot biomass  $(r^2 = 0.25, p < 0.25)$ p < 0.01). In this work, we evaluated if root activity on poplar seedling could be considered as an indicator of root growth vigor and physiological activities. Cui et al. (2016) concluded some signal molecules synthesized in roots are transported into stems and leaves to regulate photosynthesis and growth. These signal molecules include hormones and nutrients that directly adjust gene expression and protein synthesis involved in photosynthesis and growth. As a result, photosynthesis and metabolism may be affected. Seo (2015) investigated whether foliage treatment of strain JS promoted growth and affected physiological changes of three indoor plants, including Schefflera arboricola 'Hong Kong', Plectranthus tomentosa, and Epipremnum aureum. Of these plants, E. aureum showed a slight growth-promoting effect after strain JS foliage spray treatment [DDW: B. subtilis JS (1:100, v/v)]. However, there was no significant difference between treated and untreated plants. Furthermore, the JS treatment did not cause a plant growth-promoting effect in the other indoor plants investigated. Thus, B. subtilis JS is a soil bacteria that affects growth promotion via the rhizosphere rather than other parts of plants. Further, because it might interact with microorganisms and other soil organisms that secrete volatile growth-promoting substances, it promotes growth of plants by affecting the roots. However, in the case of foliar treatment, the growthpromotion effect becomes limited. In conclusion, plant growth promotion and TTC reactivity by the bacterium B. subtilis JS offer obvious evidence that soil drench is more effective than other PGPR treatments. However, it remains to be seen what is associated with TF and activity on rhizosphere, and additional investigation (such as matters secreted by strain JS) should be performed.

#### References

- Adams M.A., Turnbull T.L., Sprent J.I. *et al.*: Legumes are different: Leaf nitrogen, photosynthesis, and water use efficiency. – P. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA **113**: 4098-4103, 2016.
- Arkhipova T.N., Veselov S.U., Melentiev A.I. et al.: Ability of bacterium Bacillus subtilis to produce cytokinins and to influence the growth and endogenous hormone content of lettuce plants. – Plant Soil 272: 201-209, 2005.
- Arnon D.I.: Copper enzymes in isolated chloroplasts. Polyphenoloxidase in *Beta vulgaris*. – Plant Physiol. 24: 1, 1949.
- Asaka O., Shoda M.: Biocontrol of *Rhizoctonia solani* dampingoff of tomato with *Bacillus subtilis* RB14. – Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 62: 4081-4085, 1996.
- Aslantaş R., Ramazan Ç., Fikrettin Ş.: Effect of plant growth promoting rhizobacteria on young apple tree growth and fruit yield under orchard conditions. – Sci. Hortic.-Amsterdam **111**: 371-377, 2007.
- Badizi B.M., Zarandi M.M.: Some physiological and growth parameters of *Pistachio vera* L. under coinoculation with endomycorrhizae and *Bacillus subtilis* in response to salinity. Bull. Environ. Pharmacol. Life Sci. 1: 70-77, 2016.
- Bandopadhyay S.: Effects of dual inoculation of plant growth promoting rhizobacteria on different non-leguminous plants under pot condition. – Indian J. Microbiol. Res. 2: 20-26, 2015.
- Bianco R.L., Policarpo M., Scariano L.: Effects of rootstock vigour and in-row spacing on stem and root growth, conformation and dry-matter distribution of young apple trees. – J. Hortic. Sci. Biotechnol. **78**: 828-836, 2003.
- Biswas J.C., Ladha J.K., Dazzo F.B.: Rhizobia inoculation improves nutrient uptake and growth of lowland rice. Soil Sci. Soc. Am J. **64**: 1644-1650, 2000.
- Bremner J.M.: Nitrogen-total. In: Sparks D.L. Page A.L., Helmke P.A., *et al.* (ed.): Methods of Soil Analysis, part 3. Chemical methods. Pp. 1085-1122. SSSA, ASA, Madison 1996.
- Cernusak L.A., Aranda J., Marshall J.D. et al.: Large variation in

**Conclusions**: This study documented that a newly isolated bacterial strain from the soil used to grow *Miscanthus sinensis* var. *purpurascens* at University of Seoul (*B. subtilis* JS) promoted growth and altered the physiology of *P. euramericana* and *P. deltoides*  $\times$  *P. nigra*, but the magnitude of the responses varied with the strain's concentration and plant clone. Physiological parameters influenced most by treatments, but they were not influenced by the clone or the interaction between the clone and treatment.

These results may be due to the effect of *Bacillus* as biofertilizer, due to increase of the photosynthetic rate, or due to the role of N nutrition in producing growth-promoting substances that resulted in more efficient absorption of nutrients. As these are the main demands for production of photosynthetic pigments, the Chl content increased. These results suggest that *B. subtilis* JS could affect biomass production, increasing  $P_N$ , Chl<sub>T</sub>, and Car<sub>T</sub> content. Nevertheless, future studies are clearly needed in order to further understand crosstalk between upregulation of genes, such as Chl-binding protein, volatile organic compound, and physiological processes in *Populus*.

whole-plant water use efficiency among tropical tree species. – New Phytol. **173**: 294-305, 2006.

- Ciompi S., Gentili E., Guidi L. *et al.*: The effect of nitrogen deficiency on leaf gas exchange and chlorophyll fluorescence parameters. – Plant Sci. **118**: 177-184, 1996.
- Coleman M.D., Dickson R.E., Isebrands J.G.: Growth and physiology of aspen supplied with different fertilizer addition rates. – Physiol. Plantarum 103: 513-526, 1998.
- Cooke J.E., Martin T.A., Davis J.M.: Short-term physiological and developmental responses to nitrogen availability in hybrid poplar. – New. Phytol. 167: 41-52, 2005.
- Cui X., Dong Y., Gi P. *et al.*: Relationship between root vigour, photosynthesis and biomass in soybean cultivars during 87 years of genetic improvement in the northern China. – Photosynthetica 54: 81-86, 2016.
- Dickmann D.I., Nguyen P.V., Pregitzer K.S.: Effects of irrigation and coppicing on above-ground growth, physiology and fine root-dynamics of two field-grown hybrid poplar clones. – Forest Ecol. Manag. 80: 163-174, 1996.
- Earl A.M., Losick R., Kolter R.: Ecology and genomics of *Bacillus subtilis*. Trends Microbiol. **16**: 269-275, 2008.
- Emmert E.A.B., Handelsman J.: Biocontrol of plant disease: A Gram-positive perspective. FEMS Microbiol. Lett. **171**: 1-9, 1999.
- Eşitken A., Karlidağ H., Ercişli S. *et al.*: Effects of foliar application of *Bacillus subtilis* OSU-142 on the yield, growth and control of shot-hole disease (Coryneum blight) of Apricot. – Gartenbauwissenschaft **67**: 139-142, 2002.
- Gordon J.C., Promnitz L.C.: Photosynthetic and enzymatic criteria for the early selection of fast-growing *Populus* clones.
  In: Cannell M.G.R, Last F.T. (ed.): Tree Physiology and Yield Improvement-Carbon Fixation Efficiency. Pp. 79-97. New York Academic Press, New York 1976.
- Han H.S., Lee K.D.: Plant growth promoting rhizobacteria effect on antioxidant status, photosynthesis, mineral uptake and

growth of lettuce under soil salinity. – Res. J. Agric. Biol. Sci. 1: 210-215, 2005.

- Han M.H.: [Effect of *Bacillus subtilis* JS on the Growth Promotion and the Tolerance Induction to a Biotic Stress in Plants.] Dissertation, University of Seoul, Seoul 2014. [In Korean]
- Han Q.Q., Lü X.P., Bai J.P. *et al.*: Beneficial soil bacterium *Bacillus subtilis* (GB03) augments salt tolerance of white clover. Front. Plant Sci. **5**: 1-8, 2014.
- Hanson W.C.: The photometric determination of phosphorus in fertilizers using the phosphovanadomolybdate complex. J. Sci. Food Agric. 1: 172-173, 1950.
- Heidari M., Mousavinik S.M., Golpayegani A.: Plant growth promoting rhizobacteria (PGPR) effect on physiological parameters and mineral uptake in basil (*Ociumum basilicm* L.) under water stress. – ARPN J. Agr. Biol. Sci. **6**: 6-11, 2011.
- Hartmann A., Schmid M., van Tuinen D. *et al.*: Plant-driven selection of microbes. Plant Soil **321**: 235-257, 2009.
- Hernandez V., López A., Hellín P. et al.: Functional quality of lettuce treated with growth promoting bacteria and different nitrogen doses. – Int. Con. Agr. Eng. 542: 1, 2014.
- Hirata H.: [Plant Nutritional Experimental Method.] Pp. 52-55. Hakuyusha, Tokyo 1990. [In Japanese]
- Ibrahim L., Proe M.F., Cameron A.D.: Main effects of nitrogen supply and drought stress upon whole-plant carbon allocation in poplar. – Can. J. Forest Res. 27: 1413-1419, 1997.
- Jang H.S.: [Effect of *Bacillus subtilis* JS on the Salt and Drought Stress of *Ricinus communis* L.] – Dissertation. Dongguk University, Dongguk 2015. [In Korean]
- Joslin J.D., Henderson G.S.: The determination of percentages of living tissue in woody fine root samples using triphenyl-tetrazolium chloride. Forest Sci. **30**: 965-970, 1984.
- Karami Chame S., Khalil-Tahmasbi B., ShahMahmoodi P. *et al.*: Effects of salinity stress, salicylic acid and *Pseudomonas* on the physiological characteristics and yield of seed beans (*Phaseolus vulgaris*). – Sci. Agri. **14**: 234-238, 2016.
- Khan Z.U., McNeil D.L., Samad A.: Root pruning reduces the vegetative and reproductive growth of apple trees growing under an ultra high density planting system. Sci. Hortic.-Amsterdam 77: 165-176, 1998.
- Kim H.C., Yeo J.K., Koo Y.B. *et al.*: [Growth and biomass production of fast growing tree species treated with slurry composting and biofiltration liquid fertilizer.] – Korean J. Soil Sci. Fert. **44**: 206-214, 2011. [In Korean]
- Kim J.S., Lee J., Lee C.H. *et al.*: Activation of pathogenesisrelated genes by the rhizobacterium, *Bacillus* sp. JS, which induces systemic resistance in tobacco plants. – Plant Pathol. J. **31**: 195-201, 2015a.
- Kim J.S., Lee J., Seo S.G. *et al.*: Gene expression profile affected by volatiles of new plant growth promoting rhizobacteria, *Bacillus subtilis* strain JS, in tobacco. – Genes Genom. **37**: 387-397, 2015b.
- Kloepper J.W., Ryu C.M., Zhang S.: Induced systemic resistance and promotion of plant growth by *Bacillus* spp. – Phytopathology **94**: 1259-1266, 2004.
- Kochot C.K., Golabale S.B., Purohit, A.: A Textbook of Pharmacognosy. Pp. 17-18. Nirali Prakashan, Pune 1998.
- Ku S.B., Edwards G.E., Tanner C.B.: Effects of light, carbon dioxide, and temperature on photosynthesis, oxygen inhibition of photosynthesis, and transpiration in *Solanum tuberosum*. – Plant Physiol. **59**: 868-872, 1977.
- Kunst F., Ogasawara N., Moszer I. et al.: The complete genome sequence of the Gram-positive bacterium Bacillus subtilis. –

Nature 390: 249-256, 1997.

- Lassheikki M., Puttonen P., Räsänen P.K.: Planting performance potential of *Pinus sylvestris* seedlings as evaluated by root growth capacity and triphenyl tetrazolium chloride reduction method. – Scand. J. Forest Res. 6: 91-104, 1991.
- Lee Y.S., Park D.J., Kim J.H. *et al.*: [Growth promotion of lettuce by biofertilizer, BIOACTIVE, prepared from *Bacillus subtilis* HR-1019 and N-acetyl-thioproline.] – J. Life Sci. 23: 79-83, 2013. [In Korean]
- Lefebvre S., Lawson T., Zakhleniuk O.V. *et al.*: Increased sedoheptulose-1,7-bisphosphatase activity in transgenic tobacco plants stimulates photosynthesis and growth from an early stage in development. Plant Physiol. **138**: 451-460, 2005.
- Leister D.: Genomics-based dissection of the cross-talk of chloroplasts with the nucleus and mitochondria in *Arabidopsis*. Gene **354**: 110-116, 2005.
- Lenin G., Jayanthi M.: Efficiency of plant growth promoting rhizobacteria (PGPR) on enhancement of growth, yield and nutrient content of *Catharanthus roseus*. Int. J. Res. Pure. Appl. Microbiol. **2**: 37-42, 2012.
- Li Y., Xu S., Gao J. *et al.*: *Bacillus subtilis*-regulation of stomatal movement and instantaneous water use efficiency in *Vicia faba*. Plant Growth Regul. **78**: 43-55, 2016.
- Lima J.D., Mosquim P.R., Da Matta F.M.: Leaf gas exchange and chlorophyll fluorescence parameters in *Phaseolus vulgaris* as affected by nitrogen and phosphorus deficiency. Photosynthetica **37**: 113-121, 1999.
- Lindström A., Nyström C.: Seasonal variation in root hardiness in container grown Scots pine, Norway spruce, and Lodgepole pine seedlings. – Can. J. Forest Res. **17**: 787-793, 1987.
- Lucy M., Reed E., Glick B.R.: Application of free living plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria. Antonie van Leeuw. **86**: 1-25, 2004.
- Makkonen K., Helmisaari H.S.: Seasonal and yearly variations of fine-root biomass and necromass in a Scots pine (*Pinus sylvestris* L.) stand. Forest Ecol. Manage. **102**: 283-290, 1998.
- Mathivanan S., Chidambaram A.A., Robert, G.A. *et al.*: Impact of PGPR inoculation on photosynthetic pigment and protein contents in *Arachis hypogaea* L. – J. Sci. Agr. 1: 29-36, 2017.
- Misson L., Gershenson A., Tang J.W. *et al.*: Influences of canopy photosynthesis and summer rain pulses on root dynamics and soil respiration in a young ponderosa pine forest. – Tree Physiol. **26**: 833-844, 2006.
- Miyagawa Y., Tamoi M., Shigeoka S.: Over expression of a cyanobacterial fructose-1,6-/ sedoheptulose-1,7-bisphosphatase in tobacco enhances photosynthesis and growth. Nat. Biotechnol. **19**: 965-969, 2001.
- Mohamed H.I., Gomaa E.Z.: Effect of plant growth promoting *Bacillus subtilis* and *Psedomonas fluorescens* on growth and pigment composition of radish plants (*Raphanus sativus*) under NaCl stress. Photosynthetica **50**: 263-272, 2012.
- Netto A.T., Campostrini E., de Oliveira J.G. *et al.*.: Photosynthetic pigments, nitrogen, chlorophyll a fluorescence and SPAD-502 readings in coffee leaves. – Sci. Hortic.-Amsterdam **104**: 199-209, 2005.
- Ni B.R., Pallardy S.G.: Response of gas exchange to water stress in seedlings of woody angiosperms. – Tree Physiol. 8: 1-9, 1991.
- Park P.S., Kim K.Y., Jang W.S. *et al.*: [Comparison of seedling survival rate and growth among 8 different tree species in Seosan reclamation area.] – J. Korean For. Soc. **98**: 496-503,

2009. [In Korean]

- Pichersky E., Hoffman N.E., Malik V.S. *et al.*: The tomato *Cab-*4 and *Can-5* genes encode a second type of CAB polypeptides localized in photosystem II. – Plant Mol. Biol. **9**: 109-120, 1987.
- Poorter H., Remkes C.: Leaf area ratio and net assimilation rate of 24 wild species differing in relative growth rate. – Oecologia 83: 553-559, 1990.
- Richter A.K.: Fine Root Growth and Vitality of European Beech in Acid Forest Soils with a Low Base Saturation. – Dissertation, Swiss Federal Institute of Technology, Zurich 2007.
- Ryu C.M., Farag M.A., Hu C.H. *et al.*: Bacterial volatiles promote growth in *Arabidopsis.* P. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA **100**: 4927-4932, 2003.
- Salisbury F.B., Ross C.W.: Plant Physiology. Pp. 329-407. Wadsworth, Belmont 1992.
- Seo Y.K.: [The Effect of *Bacillus subtilis* JS (PGPR) on the Growth of Several Indoor Plants Foliar Application.] – Dissertation, University of Seoul, Seoul 2015. [In Korean]
- Shin H.N., Kim H.C., Kang K.S. *et al.*: [Differences in biomass production by rotation interval and planting density in Short-Rotation Forestry.] – P. Annu. Meet. Korean For. Soc. **2012**: 43-45, 2012. [In Korean]
- Singh N., Pandey P., Dubey R.C. et al.: Biological control of root rot fungus Macrophomina phaseolina and growth enhancement of Pinus roxburghii (Sarg.) by rhizosphere competent Bacillus subtilis BN1. – World J. Microbiol. Biotechnol. 24: 1669-1679, 2008.
- Song J.Y., Kim H.A., Kim J.S. *et al.*: Genome sequence of the plant growth-promoting rhizobacterium *Bacillus* sp. Strain JS. – J. Bacteriol. **194**: 3760-3761, 2012.
- Stūrīte I., Henriksen T.M., Breland T.A.: Distinguishing between metabolically active and inactive roots by combined staining with 2,3, 5-triphenyltetrazolium chloride and image colour analysis. – Plant Soil 271: 75-82, 2005.
- Tolentino Jr E.L., Lee D.K., Woo S.Y. *et al.*: Photosynthesis, transpiration and water use efficiency of six (6) indigenous tree seedlings for two (2) years in a nursery in the Philippines. Forest Sci. Technol. **2**: 18-26, 2006.
- Utkhede R.S., Smith E.M.: Promotion of apple tree growth and fruit production by the EBW-4 strain of *Bacillus subtilis* in apple replant disease soil. Can. J. Microbiol. **38**: 1270-1273,

1992.

- Vafadar F., Amooaghaie R., Otroshy M.: Effects of plantgrowth-promoting rhizobacteria and arbuscular mycorrhizal fungus on plant growth, stevioside, NPK, and chlorophyll content of *Stevia rebaudiana*. – J. Plant Interact. **9**: 128-136, 2014.
- Vessey J.K.: Plant growth promoting rhizobacteria as biofertilizers. – Plant Soil 255: 571-586, 2003.
- Weih M., Roennberg-Wästljung A: Shoot biomass growth is related to the vertical leaf nitrogen gradient in *Salix* canopies. – Tree Physiol. 27: 1551-1559, 2007.
- Wilson K.B., Baldocchi D.D., Hanson P.J.: Spatial and seasonal variability of photosynthetic parameters and their relationship to leaf nitrogen in a deciduous forest. – Tree Physiol. 20: 565-578, 2000.
- Wright J.P., Jones C.G.: The concept of organisms as ecosystem engineers ten years on: Progress, limitations, and challenges. – BioScience 56: 203-209, 2006.
- Xie X., Zhang H., Paré P.: Sustained growth promotion in *Arabidopsis* with long-term exposure to the beneficial soil bacterium *Bacillus subtilis* (GB03). – Plant Signal Bahav. 4: 948-953, 2009.
- Xu L.K., Hsiao T.C.: Predicted versus measured photosynthetic water-use efficiency of crop stands under dynamically changing field environments. J. Exp. Bot. **55**: 2395-2411, 2004.
- Yadav R.L., Dwivedi B.S., Prasad K. *et al.*: Yield trends and changes in soil organic-C and available NPK in a long-term rice-wheat system under integrated use of manure and fertilizers. – Field Crops Res. **68**: 219-246, 2000.
- Yeo J.K., Park J.H., Koo Y.B. *et al.*: [Effects of NaCl concentration on the growth of native willow species collected in a coastal reclaimed land.] – Korean J. Soil Sci. Fert. **43**: 124-131, 2010. [In Korean]
- Yoshida O.K.: Analytical method of root activity. J. Japan Soc. Soil Sci. Fert. **37**: 63-68, 1966.
- Zhang H., Kim M.S., Krishnamachari V. *et al.*: Rhizobacterial volatile emissions regulate auxin homeostasis and cell expansion in *Arabidopsis*. Planta **226**: 839-851, 2007.
- Zou C., Li Z., Yu D.: *Bacillus megaterium* strain XTBG34 promotes plant growth by producing 2-pentylfuran. J. Microbiol. **48**: 460-466, 2010.