#### BRIEF COMMUNICATION

# Underestimate of PS2 efficiency in the field due to high leaf temperature resulting from leaf clipping and its amendment

## J.-H. WENG

Department of Life Sciences, National Chung-Hsing University, Taichung, Taiwan

## Abstract

Chlorophyll fluorescence parameter  $F_v/F_m$ , an indicator of the maximum efficiency of PS2, is routinely measured in the field with plant leaves darkened by leaf clips. I found that on a sunny day of subtropical summer, the  $F_v/F_m$  ratio was often underestimated because of a large  $F_0$  value resulted from a high leaf temperature caused by clipping the leaf under high irradiance, especially for long (*e.g.* 20 min) duration. This phenomenon may overestimate the down-regulation of PS2 efficiency under high irradiance. When leaf temperature was lower than 40 °C, the  $F_0$  level of rice leaves under clipping remained practically unchanged. However,  $F_0$  increased drastically with leaf temperature rising over 40 °C. In most measurements, no significant difference in  $F_m$  was found between rice leaves dark-adapted by leaf clips for 10 min and for 20 min. Therefore, shading leaf clips to prevent a drastic increase of leaf temperature, using  $F_0$  measured immediately after the leaf being darkened to calculate  $F_v/F_m$ , as well as shortening the duration of leaf clipping are useful means to avoid an underestimate of  $F_v/F_m$ .

Additional key words: chlorophyll fluorescence; leaf clip; leaf temperature; irradiance; Oryza; photosystem 2 efficiency; rice.

Chlorophyll (Chl) fluorescence parameters are widely used as indicators of functional changes of photosynthesis apparatus (Ball *et al.* 1994, Roháček and Barták 1999, Thomas and Turner 2001). Among these parameters, the ratio between light-induced variable and maximum fluorescence of dark-adapted leaves ( $F_v/F_m$ ) is an indicator of the potential photochemical efficiency of photosystem 2 (PS2), and  $F_v$  is defined as the difference of maximal and minimal fluorescence ( $F_v = F_m - F_0$ ) of dark-adapted leaves (Ball *et al.* 1994, Roháček and Barták 1999).

The efficiency of PS2 is influenced by environmental factors. In habitats fully exposed to sun, leaves may absorb more photons than that they can utilize. Under some environmental stresses, excessively absorbed photons may increase the stress-induced decrease in photosynthetic capacity (Demmig-Adams and Adams 1992, Long *et al.* 1994, Osmond and Grace 1995), because reactions of Calvin cycle are affected to a greater extent than the processes of photon absorption and electron transport (Baker 1994, Leegood 1995).

In order to understand the effects of environmental stresses on PS2 efficiency, measurements of  $F_0$  and  $F_m$ are often taken in the field using leaf clips to darken the leaves. Transpiration is a major mechanism for withdrawing heat from the leaf to cool it off. When a leaf is covered with leaf clip, its temperature may rise under the sun due to a block of transpiration. Many researchers have pointed out that heating may change the Chl fluorescence intensity (Downton et al. 1984, Seemann et al. 1986, Havaux et al. 1988, Nauš et al. 1992, Kitao et al. 2000, Braun et al. 2002, Knight and Ackerly 2002). Among Chl fluorescence,  $F_0$  is influenced readily by high temperature, and this change in  $F_0$  occurs in two steps: firstly F<sub>0</sub> varies slightly between 20 and 40 °C, and then rises sharply at 40-50 °C (Kitao et al. 2000, Braun et al. 2002, Knight and Ackerly 2002). The temperature at which  $F_0$  starts to increase sharply  $(T_c)$  is varied with species and environmential conditions; species or plants growing in warmer or drought conditions always have higher T<sub>c</sub> (Downton et al. 1984, Seemann et al. 1986, Havaux et al. 1988, Knight and Ackerly 2002).

Received 7 June 2005, accepted 22 December 2005.

Fax: 886-4-22874740; e-mail: jhweng@dragon.nchu.edu.tw

*Abbreviations*: Chl – chlorophyll;  $F_0$  – basic chlorophyll fluorescence;  $F_m$  – maximum chlorophyll fluorescence;  $F_v/F_m$  – potential efficiency of PS2; PPFD – photosynthetic photon flux density; PS2 – photosystem 2;  $T_c$  – temperature at the start of  $F_0$  sharp increase.

However, my previous work (Weng and Lai 2005) found that  $F_0$  of many subtropical- and tropical-origin  $C_3$ species, including orange, mango, rice, and sweet potato, increased sharply at temperatures of *ca*. 25–40 °C. Therefore, on hot and clear days,  $F_v/F_m$  of these species might be underestimated due to this high  $F_0$  value, obtained at high leaf temperature when the leaf is covered with a clip. The aim of the present study was to elucidate the effects of temperature on  $F_0$  and  $F_m$  of rice leaves in the field, and to find means to minimize the impact of high temperature on fluorescence measurements when leaves were clip-covered.

Attached, fully expanded youngest leaves of fieldgrown rice (Oryza sativa, cv. Taiken 14) on the campus of National Chung-Hsing University, Taichung, Taiwan (24°10'N, 78 m) were used as materials. At maximum tillering stage of rice (August 2004), Chl fluorescence parameters (F<sub>0</sub> and F<sub>m</sub>) and leaf temperature were measured every 30 min from 09:00 to 18:00 (local time) on a sunny day. The fluorescence parameters were measured with a portable fluorometer (Handy PEA, Hansatech, UK) after 0, 10, and 20 min dark adaptation with leaf clips. Both  $F_0$  and  $F_m$  were measured with saturating pulses of 1 500  $\mu$ mol m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>. Leaf temperature was taken with a radial thermometer (TA-0510bF, Minolta, Japan) before each measurement of Chl fluorescence, and with copper-constantan thermocouples connected to the abaxial surface of the leaves (Weng and Lai 2005) inside leaf clips. The photosynthetic photon flux density (PPFD) of solar radiation was monitored with a quantum meter (LI-185A, Li-Cor, USA).

From 09:00 to 18:00 h, 18 measurements were made with leaf clips at 6 time intervals (at 10:00, 11:00, 12:00, 13:30, 14:30, and 16:00) shaded by *Styrofoam* plates to avoid heating of the leaves from sun exposure and those of the remaining measurements exposed to sunlight. Four leaves selected from 4 plants were used in each measurement, with each leaf being measured 3 times. The average of each leaf was used as the statistical parameter of each replication.

Results of the present study showed that on a clear summer day in subtropical region, leaf temperature before being darkened with a leaf clip was higher (*ca.* 35 °C) at midday and lower (*ca.* 30 °C) at early morning and dusk (Fig. 1*B*). Leaf temperature increased sharply (Max. +7 °C) when they were covered with leaf clips under high irradiation. On the contrary, the rise of leaf temperature was moderate (less than +3.5 °C) when clips were shaded or under low (<800 µmol m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>, PPFD) irradiation (Fig. 1*A*,*B*).

Our previous report (Weng and Lai 2005) indicated that, when leaves were heated at the rate of *ca*. 1 °C min<sup>-1</sup> in darkness, the level of  $F_0$  was strongly dependent on leaf temperature at temperature higher then *ca*. 27 °C in winter and 43 °C in summer. Fig. 1*C* shows that  $F_0$  remained relatively stable when leaf temperature was below the critical temperature ( $T_c = 40$  °C); and it increased sharply when temperature was higher than  $T_c$ . The  $F_0$  rise was slight when the leaf was clipped for 10 min, and more serious rise was observed after 20 min (Fig. 1*C*). I found that if leaf temperature reached 40 °C and more,  $F_0$  increased, especially 20 min after clipping.



Fig. 1. Diurnal variation of photosynthetic photon flux density (PPFD) of solar radiation; leaf temperature ( $\Box$ : under irradiation,  $\blacksquare$ : leaf clips were shaded with *Styrofoam* plate), and maximal and minimal chlorophyll fluorescence ( $F_m$  and  $F_0$ ) taken immediately after (*open bars*), 10 min (*diagonal-marked bars*) and 20 min (*crossed bars*) after the leaves were darkened with leaf clips.

Usually light-adapted leaves showed lower maximal fluorescence ( $F_m$ ) than dark-adapted leaves ( $F_m$ ), due to fluorescence quenching relax in darkness (Roháček and Barták 1999). Fig. 1*D* shows that  $F_m$  taken immediately after darkening was of course significantly lower than that taken 10 and 20 min after the leaves were covered with clips. However, there was no significant difference between  $F_m$  of rice leaves dark-adapted for 10 and 20 min in most measurements (Fig. 1*D*).

The excess energy absorbed by plant leaves can often lead to a reduced efficiency of PS2 (Demmig-Adams and Adams 1992, Long et al. 1994, Osmond and Grace 1995). Reports have pointed out that under high irradiance of midday, many plants down-regulated their PS2 efficiency, assessed as  $F_v/F_m$ . This was mainly due to a decline of F<sub>m</sub> (Joshi 1995, Faria et al. 1996, Xu and Wu 1996) or both a decline of  $F_m$  and an increase of  $F_0$ (Joshi 1995, Xu and Wu 1996). The same tendency for the diurnal variation of F<sub>m</sub> as just mentioned (Joshi 1995, Faria et al. 1996, Xu and Wu 1996) was observed in the present study (Fig. 1D). However, Fig. 1 shows that  $F_0$ slightly declined, instead of increased, at midday when data of high leaf temperature (>40 °C) were excluded. Therefore, the down-regulation of  $F_v/F_m$  in rice leaves at midday was primarily due to the decline of F<sub>m</sub> when leaf temperature was lower than 40 °C, *i.e.* under clouds or with shaded leaf clip. Yet, Fig. 2B shows a drastic decline of  $F_v/F_m$  (by *ca.* 0.3) when leaves were darkened with leaf clips under high irradiance, especially at long duration (20 min). This was due to a rapid increase of  $F_0$  when leaf temperature was higher than T<sub>c</sub>. Thus, under high  $F_v/F_m$  was underestimated, irradiance, the and consequently the effect of irradiance on PS2 efficiency was overestimated. Shading of leaf clips could prevent the drastic increase of leaf temperature and  $F_0$  as well, maintaining a higher  $F_v/F_m$  even at midday (Fig. 2A,B). In addition, there was no significant difference among  $F_0$ measured immediately afterwards, as well as 10 and 20 min after darkening the leaf with a clip, when leaf temperature did not reach 40 °C (Fig. 1B,C). This result indicates that F<sub>0</sub> of rice leaves was not affected by the duration of dark-adaptation with leaf clip when leaf temperature remained lower than T<sub>c</sub>. Therefore, using F<sub>0</sub> measured immediately after covering the leaf with a clip, instead of the  $F_0$  measured 10 or 20 min after the covering, is another way to avoid an underestimate of  $F_v/F_m$  due to a high  $F_0$  caused by high leaf temperature.

### References

- Baker, N.R.: Chilling stress and photosynthesis. In: Foyer, C.H., Mullineaux, P.M. (ed.): Cause of Photooxidative Stress and Amelioration of Defense Systems in Plants. Pp. 127-154. CRC Press, Boca Raton 1994.
- Ball, M.C., Butterworth, J.A., Roden, J.S., Christian, R., Egerton, J.J.G.: Application of chlorophyll fluorescence to forest ecology. – Aust. J. Plant Physiol. 22: 311-319, 1994.

Fig. 2*A*,*B* shows that under high irradiance the  $F_v/F_m$  ratio, calculated from  $F_0$  taken immediately after clipping, was much higher than that calculated from  $F_0$  taken 10 and 20 min after clipping the leaf. This  $F_v/F_m$  was close to the values obtained with shaded clip 30 min before or 30 min later (Fig. 2*A*,*B*). Besides, there was no significant difference between  $F_m$  of rice leaves dark-adapted for 10 and 20 min in most measurements (Fig. 1*D*). In view of a larger increase of  $F_0$  for a leaf clipped for 20 min than for a leaf clipped for 10 min, shortening the duration of darkening with leaf clip is an important process to avoid the underestimate of  $F_v/F_m$  measured in the field under high irradiance.



Fig. 2. Comparison of  $F_v/F_m$  calculated using various  $F_0$ 's. *Open* and solid bars:  $F_v/F_m$  calculated from  $F_0$  taken 10 or 20 min after the leaves were darkened with leaf clips under irradiation and shaded with *Styrofoam* plate, respectively. *Diagonalmarked bars*:  $F_v/F_m$  calculated from  $F_0$  taken immediately after the leaves were covered with leaf clips.

Our previous paper (Weng and Lai 2005) indicated that many subtropical and tropical origin C<sub>3</sub> species had lower T<sub>c</sub> (*ca.* 25–40 °C). In this work, I found that on a sunny day of subtropical summer, leaf temperature of rice rose above T<sub>c</sub> readily when the leaf was darkened with clip under high (>800 µmol m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>) irradiance (Fig. 1*A*,*B*). Therefore,  $F_v/F_m$  was underestimated easily because of a high F<sub>0</sub>. I suggest that shading leaf clips to prevent a drastic increase of leaf temperature, use of F<sub>0</sub> measured immediately after leaf darkening to calculate  $F_v/F_m$ , as well as diminishing the duration of clipping are useful means to avoid the underestimation of  $F_v/F_m$ .

Braun, V., Buchner, O., Neuner, G.: Thermotolerance of photosystem 2 of three alpine plant species under field conditions. – Photosynthetica **40**: 587-595, 2002.

- Demmig-Adams, B., Adams, W.W., III: Photoprotection and other responses of plants to high light stress. – Annu. Rev. Plant Physiol. Plant mol. Biol. **43**: 599-626, 1992.
- Downton, W.J.S., Berry, J.A., Seemann, J.R.: Tolerance of

photosynthesis to high temperature in desert plants. - Plant Physiol. 74: 786-790, 1984.

- Faria, T., García-Plazaola, J.I., Abadía, A., Cerasoli, S., Pereira, J.S., Chaves, M.M.: Diurnal changes in photoprotective mechanisms in leaves of cork oak (*Quercus suber*) during summer. – Tree Physiol. 16: 115-123, 1996.
- Havaux, M., Ernez, M., Lannoye, R.: Correlation between heat tolerance and drought tolerance in cereals demonstrated by rapid chlorophyll fluorescence tests. – J. Plant Physiol. 133: 555-560, 1988.
- Joshi, S.C.: Species specific diurnal changes in chlorophyll fluorescence in tropical deciduous and evergreen plants growing in the field during summer. – Photosynthetica **31**: 549-557, 1995.
- Kitao, M., Lei, T.T., Koike, T., Tobita, H., Maruyama, Y., Matsumoto, Y., Ang, L.-H.: Temperature response and photoinhibition investigated by chlorophyll fluorescence measurements for four distinct species of dipterocarp trees. – Physiol. Plant. **109**: 284-290, 2000.
- Knight, C.A., Ackerly, D.D.: An ecological and evolutionary analysis of photosynthetic thermotolerance using the temperature-dependent increase in fluorescence. – Oecologia 130: 505-514, 2002.
- Leegood, R.C.: Effects of temperature on photosynthesis and photorespiration. – In: Smirnoff, N. (ed.): Environment and Plant Metabolism. Pp. 45-62. Bios Sci. Publ., Oxford 1995.
- Long, S.P., Humphries, S., Falkowski, P.G.: Photoinhibition of photosynthesis in nature. – Annu. Rev. Plant Physiol. Plant

mol. Biol. 45: 633-662, 1994.

- Nauš, J., Kuropatwa, R., Klinkovsky, T., Ilík, P., Lattová, J., Pavlová, Z.: Heat injury of barley leaves detected by the chlorophyll fluorescence temperature curve. – Biochim. biophys. Acta 1101: 359-362, 1992.
- Osmond, C.B., Grace, S.C.: Perspectives on photoinhibition and photorespiration in the field: quintessential inefficiencies of the light and dark reactions of photosynthesis? J. exp. Bot. **46**: 1351-1362, 1995.
- Roháček, K., Barták, M.: Technique of the modulated chlorophyll fluorescence: basic concepts, useful parameters, and some applications. – Photosynthetica **37**: 339-363, 1999.
- Seemann, J.R., Downton, W.J.S., Berry, J.A.: Temperature and leaf osmotic potential as factors in the acclimation of photosynthesis to high temperature in desert plants. – Plant Physiol. 80: 926-930, 1986.
- Thomas, D.S., Turner, D.W.: Banana (*Musa* sp.) leaf gas exchange and chlorophyll fluorescence in response to soil drought, shading and lamina folding. Sci. Hort. **90**: 93-108, 2001.
- Weng, J.-H., Lai, M.-F.: Estimating heat tolerance among plant species by two chlorophyll fluorescence parameters. Photosynthetica **43**: 439-444, 2005.
- Xu, D.-Q., Wu, S.: Three phases of dark-recovery course from photoinhibition resolved by the chlorophyll fluorescence analysis in soybean leaves under field conditions. Photosynthetica **32**: 417-423, 1996.