

## Patients with advanced lung cancer: is there scope to discontinue inappropriate medication?

Adam Todd · Steve Williamson · Andy Husband ·  
Wasim Baqir · Mairead Mahony

Received: 5 October 2012 / Accepted: 27 November 2012 / Published online: 11 December 2012  
© Springer Science+Business Media Dordrecht 2012

**Abstract** *Background* Polypharmacy—taking five or more medications per day—is common in lung cancer patients. This patient group is prescribed medication to control acute symptoms associated with cancer and also to prevent or treat other long-term conditions. These medications increase the pill burden for the patient and also the probability of developing a drug-related toxicity. *Objective* To assess the prevalence of inappropriate medication in patients taking erlotinib for the treatment of advanced non-small cell lung cancer. *Method* This was a multicentre study across three sites in the North of England. Medication histories for patients receiving erlotinib were retrospectively extracted from medical notes and assessed by the clinical team (a consultant pharmacist, nurse specialist and clinical oncologist) to determine if the medication was appropriate or inappropriate. The clinical team considered the following factors when deciding if the medication was

appropriate or inappropriate: remaining life expectancy of the patient, time until benefit of the treatment, goals of care and treatment targets. *Results* Among the 20 patients assessed, 19 (95 %) according to the clinical team were taking medications that were inappropriate. The mean number of medications the patients were taking was 8 (range 1–16) and the most common class of medication used were drugs affecting the Central Nervous System. In addition, there were 11 patients (55 %) who were taking erlotinib in combination with a proton pump inhibitor (PPI)—a clinically significant drug interaction that impairs the absorption of erlotinib. *Conclusions* Patients taking erlotinib for the treatment of advanced non-small cell lung cancer take many inappropriate medications for the treatment or prevention of long-term conditions. These patients should have their medications reviewed in the context of their original therapeutic goals.

**Keywords** Erlotinib · Inappropriate medication · Limited life expectancy · Lung cancer · Medication review · Polypharmacy

---

A. Todd (✉) · A. Husband · M. Mahony  
Department of Pharmacy, Health and Well-being,  
Faculty of Applied Sciences, University of Sunderland,  
Wharncliffe Street, Sunderland SR1 3SD, UK  
e-mail: adam.todd@durham.ac.uk

*Present Address:*

A. Todd · A. Husband  
Wolfson Research Institute, School of Medicine, Pharmacy  
and Health, Queen's Campus, Durham University,  
Stockton-on-Tees TS17 6BH, UK

S. Williamson · W. Baqir  
Pharmacy Department, Northumbria Healthcare NHS  
Foundation Trust, North Tyneside Hospital, Rake Lane,  
North Shields, Tyne and Wear NE29 8NH, UK

### Impact of findings on practice

- Clear guidelines are needed on discontinuing inappropriate medication in patients with advanced lung cancer;
- Wider information relating to patient medication history should be made available at the time of dispensing chemotherapy to facilitate a medication review.
- Oncology units should have a clear policy to actively screen the medication history of all patients with advanced non-small cell lung cancer to establish if they are taking any interacting medicines prior to initiating erlotinib.

## Introduction

Polypharmacy is associated with an increased risk of developing a drug-related toxicity due to the potential of drug–drug and drug–disease interactions [1, 2]. In cancer patients with limited life expectancy (LLE)—typically surviving for less than 1 year—polypharmacy is very common; medication is not only prescribed to control acute symptoms associated with cancer but is also used to prevent or treat other long-term conditions [3]. These patient groups also have unique and dynamic pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamics parameters (e.g. variation in volume of distribution or altered drug excretion due to declining renal and/or hepatic function), which further increases the probability of developing a drug-related toxicity [4, 5].

In recent years, the problem of cancer patients with LLE developing a drug-related toxicity has been heightened by the introduction of targeted therapies—agents that block the growth and spread of cancer by interfering with specific cellular signals involved in tumor growth and progression. Indeed, while targeted therapies have significantly improved positive outcomes for patients, they have also increased the risk of developing a drug-related toxicity. For example, erlotinib—a tyrosine kinase inhibitor used for the treatment of advanced non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC)—is metabolized, in part, by CYP1A1, CYP3A4 and CYP2D6, which significantly increases the risk of developing drug–drug interactions when using medicines that either inhibit or induce these isoenzymes—especially in patients with LLE [6].

In view of the potential for polypharmacy to cause harm in cancer patients with LLE, it is therefore essential to review and optimise medication to align with therapeutic goals and life expectancy. This process may include discontinuing non-essential or inappropriate medication to reduce polypharmacy and, ultimately, the risk of developing a drug-related toxicity. To date, there is limited research that reports whether inappropriate medication is being discontinued in cancer patients with LLE taking a targeted therapy.

## Aim of the study

The objective of the study was to assess the prevalence of inappropriate medication in cancer patients taking erlotinib for the treatment of advanced non-small cell lung cancer.

## Methods

This was a multicentre retrospective study across three oncology units in the North of England. All patients who

had received erlotinib between April 2010 and April 2011 for the treatment of locally advanced or metastatic NSCLC after failure of previous chemotherapy were included in the study. Patients were excluded if the erlotinib was being used for another indication (e.g. for the treatment of metastatic pancreatic cancer).

Medication histories for patients who had received erlotinib in the study period were retrospectively extracted from medical notes and sorted according to categories in the British National Formulary (BNF). When there was an ambiguity around the indication of the prescribed medication (e.g. for a medicine with several indications), the patient's GP was contacted by telephone to establish the original prescribing indication of the medicine. Once all of the medication was categorised, it was assessed by the clinical team (a consultant pharmacist, nurse specialist and clinical oncologist) to determine if it was appropriate or inappropriate. The team used a framework described by Holmes and colleagues [7] when deciding if the medication was appropriate or inappropriate; the following factors were considered: remaining life expectancy of the patient, time until benefit of the treatment, goals of care and treatment targets.

The study was certified for ethical approval in accordance with the University of Sunderland ethics committee by the senior researcher (AT).

## Results

Twenty patients were included in the study; the mean number of medications taken was 8 (range 1–16) and the most common class of medication used were drugs affecting the Central Nervous System (Table 1). The distribution of medication among patients were as follows:

- 7 patients (35 %) were taking medications which affected the cardiovascular system, e.g. beta blocking drugs, lipid-lowering drugs;
- 13 patients (65 %) were taking drugs which affected the gastrointestinal system, e.g. anti-secretory drugs, mucosal protectants, anti-motility drugs and laxatives;
- 9 patients (45 %) were taking drugs which affected the respiratory system, e.g. bronchodilators, antihistamines and mucolytics; and,
- 6 patients (30 %) were taking drugs which affected the endocrine system, e.g. anti-diabetics, thyroid and anti-thyroid drugs and corticosteroids.

According to the clinical team, 19 patients (95 %) were taking at least one inappropriate medication that could be discontinued; the mean and median number of medications per patient that were considered inappropriate was three (range 0–7).

**Table 1** The type and frequency of medicine according to BNF classification

BNF classification	Number of medications
Cardiovascular system	
Beta-adrenoceptor blocking drugs	2
Drugs affecting RAS	3
Anti-platelets	6
Anticoagulants	3
Diuretics	3
Lipid regulating drugs	3
Nitrates	1
Calcium channel blockers	2
Total	23
GI system	
Anti-secretory drugs	11
Anti-diarrhoeals	1
Laxatives	8
Anti-spasmodics	1
Total	21
Respiratory system	
Bronchodilators	4
Inhaled Corticosteroids	4
Anti-histamines	1
Mucolytics	2
Cough preparations	1
Total	12
Endocrine system	
Anti-diabetics	1
Thyroid and anti-thyroid drugs	1
Corticosteroids	6
Drugs affecting bone metabolism	2
Total	10
CNS system	
Hypnotics and anxiolytics	6
Psychoses and related disorders	1
Antiemetics	2
Antidepressants	3
Analgesics (NSAIDs)	8
Analgesics (opioids)	9
Analgesics (non-opioid)	12
Antiepileptics	5
Total	46
Miscellaneous	
Vitamins, minerals and herbal supplements	38
Total	38

The most commonly prescribed medication that was considered inappropriate were proton pump inhibitors (PPIs) in 11 patients (55 %); this medication was initiated in primary care by the patients' General Practitioner (GP)

prior to erlotinib use. Other medication that was commonly prescribed in this patient group that was considered inappropriate were antiplatelets and lipid regulating drugs. Among the patients taking antiplatelets, five were taking aspirin, while 1 was using clopidogrel; both agents were used for secondary prevention of cardiovascular events. For patients taking lipid regulating agents, all three were taking HMG-CoA reductase inhibitors ("statins") for either primary or secondary prevention of cardiovascular events.

In contrast, the most common medication prescribed to this patient group that were considered appropriate were the corticosteroids and analgesics. Indeed, among the patients taking a corticosteroid, six were taking dexamethasone; this was initiated in secondary care and was either used as an appetite supplement or to treat oedema associated with cerebral metastases. For patients using analgesics, eight were taking NSAIDs, nine opioids, and 12 non-opioids.

## Discussion

Our results show that the majority of patients taking erlotinib with advanced lung cancer are using many inappropriate medications in view of their terminal prognosis. The futile use of medication in patients with LLE has been previously reported in the literature for patients with cancer, advanced dementia and several studies have also specifically examined statin use in patients with LLE. For example, Fede et al. [8] assessed patients with advanced cancer and concluded among 87 patients, 21 were taking at least one unnecessary medication, while Stavrou et al. [9] found that more than 30 % of patients who died of cancer were dispensed statins within 30 days of death.

Patients undergoing treatment for terminal lung cancer can be frightened, upset or angry so the issue of discontinuing inappropriate medications is not a priority. However, once the patient has come to terms with their prognosis, a discussion regarding their medications should be instigated. The timing of this discussion is difficult and should be a shared decision between the patient and the healthcare team with careful explanation of why it is necessary to discontinue inappropriate medications. It is not clear who should instigate this discussion and recent work suggests that GPs would welcome training in shared care decision-making in relation to discontinuing inappropriate medication in elderly patients [10]. Interestingly, the same study showed that GPs perceive stopping preventative medication as being more difficult when compared with medication used to treat symptomatic conditions as they believe patients may interpret a proposal to stop preventative medication as a sign of having been given up on. Further work exploring the views of healthcare professionals regarding stopping inappropriate medication in a cancer context is warranted.

A key finding of this work also showed that more than half of our patient population were taking erlotinib in combination with a proton pump inhibitor (PPI). Given there is an established drug interaction between erlotinib and PPIs our results are of significant value. Indeed, the absorption of erlotinib is pH dependant and increasing the pH of the stomach significantly reduces the absorption of erlotinib; for example, omeprazole decreased the erlotinib exposure [AUC] and maximum concentration [C<sub>max</sub>] by 46 and 61 %, respectively [11]. Recent works suggests that using PPIs in combination with erlotinib does not appear to significantly reduce the efficacy of erlotinib [16]. However, given that this interaction has not been studied in a large scale clinical population, it would be prudent to avoid the combination of erlotinib and PPIs; if acid suppression is necessary a H<sub>2</sub> receptor antagonist, such as ranitidine, should be used with a staggered dosing regimen [11].

There is the potential for pharmacists to become involved in the review of patients with terminal cancer to facilitate discontinuing inappropriate medication. Indeed, Conlon et al. [12] have recently described a pharmacist-led intervention around psychotropic prescribing, which utilized recommendations from the STOPP-START and Beers criteria to minimize inappropriate medication use. The STOPP-START [13] and Beers criteria [14] are both used for classifying appropriate and non-appropriate medications in the elderly and have clear limitations in palliative care [15]. However, these criteria used in combination with the framework developed by Holmes and colleagues [7] may prove to be a useful starting point for clinical pharmacists offering this service to patients with LLE.

While we believe these results are robust and have important implications for the treatment of lung cancer patients only patients from oncology units in the North of England were examined and the sample size was relatively small. Generalisation of this work to all lung cancer patients in England and more widely should therefore be made carefully.

## Conclusion

Patients taking erlotinib for the treatment of advanced or metastatic NSCLC take many inappropriate medications for the treatment or prevention of long-term conditions. These patients should have their medications reviewed in the context of their original therapeutic goals.

**Funding** None.

**Conflicts of interest** None of the authors have any conflicts of interest concerning this work.

## References

- Hohl CM, Dankoff J, Colacone A, Afilalo M. Polypharmacy, adverse drug-related events, and potential adverse drug interactions in elderly patients presenting to an emergency department. *Ann Emerg Med.* 2001;38:666–71.
- Hanlon JT, Schmader KE, Koronkowski MJ, Weinberger M, Landsman PB, Samsa GP, et al. Adverse drug events in high-risk older outpatients. *J Am Geriatr Soc.* 1997;45:945–8.
- Lees J, Chan A. Polypharmacy in elderly patients with cancer: clinical implications and management. *Lancet Oncol.* 2011;12:1249–57.
- Riechelmann RP, Zimmermann C, Chin SN, Wang L, O'Carroll A, Zarinehbab S, et al. Potential drug interactions in cancer patients receiving supportive care exclusively. *J Pain Symptom Manag.* 2008;35:535–43.
- Girre V, Arkoub H, Puts MT, et al. Potential drug interactions in elderly cancer patients. *Potential drug interactions in elderly cancer patients. Crit Rev Oncol Hematol.* 2011;78:220–6.
- van Erp NP, Gelderblom H, Guchelaar HJ. Clinical pharmacokinetics of tyrosine kinase inhibitors. *Cancer Treat Rev.* 2009;35:692–706.
- Holmes HM, Hayley DC, Alexander GC, Sachs GA. Reconsidering medication appropriateness for patients late in life. *Arch Intern Med.* 2006;166:605–9.
- Fede A, Miranda M, Antonangelo D, Trevizan L, Schaffhauser H, Hamermesz B, et al. Use of unnecessary medications by patients with advanced cancer: cross-sectional survey. *Support Care Cancer.* 2011;19:1313–8.
- Stavrou EP, Buckley N, Olivier J, Pearson SA. Discontinuation of statin therapy in older people: does a cancer diagnosis make a difference? An observational cohort study using data linkage. *BMJ Open.* 2012;2:e000880.
- Schuling J, Gebben H, Veehof LJ, Haaijer-Ruskamp FM. Deprescribing medication in very elderly patients with multimorbidity: the view of Dutch GPs. A qualitative study. *BMC Fam Pract.* 2012;13:56.
- Tarceva Summary of Product Characteristics. Available at <http://www.medicines.org.uk/EMC/medicine/16781/SPC/Tarceva+25mg%2c+100mg+and+150mg+Film-Coated+Tablets/>. Accessed 21 Nov 2012.
- Conlon LS, Romero-Ortuno R, Smyth B, Ryan R, Cogan L. General and risk management, patient safety (including: medication errors, quality control): the effect of pharmacist intervention on psychotropic prescribing through clinical medication review in a long-term care hospital in Dublin. *Eur J Hosp Pharm.* 2012;19:127–8.
- Gallagher P, Ryan C, Byrne S. STOPP (screening tool of older person's prescriptions) and START (screening tool to alert doctors to right treatment). Consensus validation. *Int J Clin Pharmacol Ther.* 2008;46:72–83.
- Fick DM, Cooper JW, Wade WE, Waller JL, Maclean JR, Beers MH. Updating the beers criteria for potentially inappropriate medication use in older adults: results of a US consensus panel of experts. *Arch Intern Med.* 2003;163:2716–24.
- Maddison AR, Fisher J, Johnston G. Preventive medication use among persons with limited life expectancy. *Prog Palliat Care.* 2011;19:15–21.
- Hilton JF, Seymour J, Le Maitre A, Tu D, Shepherd FA and Bradbury PA. An evaluation of the possible interaction of gastric acid suppressive medication and the EGFR tyrosine kinase inhibitor erlotinib. *J Clin Oncol.* 2011;29(suppl) (abstr 7523).