REVIEW ARTICLE



# Experimental Investigation on the Effect of a Microsecond Pulse and a Nanosecond Pulse on NO Removal Using a Pulsed DBD with Catalytic Materials

V. R. Chirumamilla<sup>1</sup> • W. F. L. M. Hoeben<sup>1</sup> • F. J. C. M. Beckers<sup>1</sup> • T. Huiskamp<sup>1</sup>  $\cdot$  E. J. M. Van Heesch<sup>1</sup>  $\cdot$  A. J. M. Pemen<sup>1</sup>

Received: 21 August 2015 / Accepted: 1 October 2015 / Published online: 20 October 2015 - Springer Science+Business Media New York 2015

Abstract In this study, an experimental investigation of the removal of NO from an atmospheric air stream has been carried out with a non-thermal plasma dielectric barrier discharge reactor filled with different catalytic materials. TiO<sub>2</sub>, CuO–MnO<sub>2</sub>–TiO<sub>2</sub>, CuO–  $MnO<sub>2</sub>-Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>$  catalysts were used to study the synergy between the plasma and the catalysts. The  $NO<sub>x</sub>$  removal efficiency and by-products formation were studied as a function of energy density, pulse rise time and width using a plasma catalytic configuration. It was observed that the shorter pulses are more efficient for  $NO<sub>x</sub>$  removal but at the expense of higher by-products formation such as  $N_2O$  and  $O_3$ . A comparison has been made between an in-plasma catalytic configuration and a post-plasma catalytic configuration. Among all the three catalysts that were studied,  $CuO-MnO<sub>2</sub>-TiO<sub>2</sub>$  catalyst showed the best performance with respect to the removal efficiency as well as the by-products formation in both the in-plasma and the post-plasma catalytic configuration. In general, the post-plasma configuration showed better results with respect to low by-products formation.

**Keywords** Non-thermal plasma  $\cdot$  Dielectric barrier discharge  $\cdot$  N<sub>2</sub>O  $\cdot$  O<sub>3</sub>  $\cdot$  NO conversion

# Introduction

One of the main sources of air pollution is the transportation sector. Motor vehicles emissions include unburned hydrocarbons (UHCs), carbon monoxide (CO), carbon dioxide  $(CO<sub>2</sub>)$ , nitrogen oxides (NO<sub>x</sub>), sulfur oxides (SO<sub>x</sub>) and particulate matter (PM). According to the European Environment Agency 2009 data (EEA-32), the transportation sector contributes around 58, 21, 27 and 22 % of NO<sub>x</sub>,  $SO_x$ ,  $PM_{2.5}$  and  $PM_{10}$  emissions

 $\boxtimes$  V. R. Chirumamilla v.r.chirumamilla@tue.nl

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Department of Electrical Engineering, Eindhoven University of Technology, P.O. Box 513, 5600 MB Eindhoven, The Netherlands

respectively [[1](#page-22-0)]. These emissions are significant as they have a negative impact on human health. With increasing concerns about these impacts, the introduction of emission control standards has been started. According to Euro6 standards for passenger cars, the emission limits for petrol  $NO_x$ , Diesel  $NO_x$  and Diesel PM are 60, 80 and 5 mg/km respectively [\[2](#page-22-0)]. With increasingly stringent regulations, the lower limits of the emissions standards could be reached by efficient reduction of UHCs,  $NO<sub>x</sub>$ ,  $SO<sub>x</sub>$ , and PMs coming from the exhaust.

Non-thermal plasma appears to be a promising technology for decomposition of gaseous pollutants with relatively low energy consumption  $[3-5]$  $[3-5]$  $[3-5]$  $[3-5]$ . The high energy electrons produced in non-thermal plasma by the electrical discharge collide with the gas molecules to generate radicals such as reactive oxygen species (OH,  $HO_2$ ,  $H_2O_2$ ,  $O[^3P, ^1D, ^1S]$ ,  $O_2[a^1\Delta_\varrho],$   $O_3$ , metastables  $(N[^4S, ^2D, ^2P],$  $N_2[A^3\Sigma_u^+, B, a^1]$ , and ions  $(O_4^+, O^+, O_4^-, O_3^-, O_2^-, O^-,$  and so on) by electron-impact dissociation and ionization. The mentioned reactive oxygen species induce oxidative degradation of the pollutants.

Non-thermal plasma is able to oxidize NO to  $NO<sub>2</sub>$  [[6–9](#page-22-0)] which is further converted to  $HNO<sub>3</sub>$  and  $HNO<sub>2</sub>$  in the presence of water vapor. Without the help of a catalyst, NO<sub>x</sub> cannot be reduced to  $N_2$  just with electrical discharges. From literature, it is clear that using a catalyst with a plasma reactor can enhance the product selectivity and the energy efficiency [\[10\]](#page-22-0). Yamamoto et al. [\[11\]](#page-22-0) concluded that the operating cost of a plasma-chemical hybrid system is approximately 15 times more economical compared to the conventional selective catalytic reduction process. Hence, plasma-assisted catalysis is a promising way for improved pollutant removal efficiency and selectivity. Also, the production of unwanted by-products can be minimized.

Non-thermal plasma can be generated at atmospheric pressure and at ambient temperature with various techniques such as with glow discharges, corona discharges, pulsed discharges, dielectric barrier discharges (DBDs), microwave and radio frequency discharges  $[12, 13]$  $[12, 13]$  $[12, 13]$ . DBDs are widely used for VOCs and  $NO<sub>x</sub>$  reduction. There have been various arrangements proposed in the literature to combine a plasma reactor with a catalytic reactor such as a one-stage configuration, or in-plasma catalytic configuration (IPC), and a two-stage configuration, or post-plasma catalytic configuration (PPC)  $[14–16]$  $[14–16]$  $[14–16]$  $[14–16]$  $[14–16]$ . In both of these configurations, different catalysts have been tested and are reported in literature.  $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> [[17](#page-22-0), [18\]](#page-22-0), TiO<sub>2</sub> [\[19\]](#page-22-0), Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> loaded with different metals such as Ag [[20](#page-22-0)], Pd [\[19\]](#page-22-0), and In [[21](#page-22-0)], TiO<sub>2</sub> loaded with BaTiO<sub>3</sub> [\[22\]](#page-22-0) and  $V_2O_5-WO_3$  [[23](#page-22-0)] and zeolites [[24](#page-23-0)] are among the various catalysts that have been tested with non-thermal plasma. An overview of the published papers on  $NO<sub>x</sub>$  removal is presented in Table [1.](#page-2-0) Combining a plasma reactor with a catalytic reactor can help in reducing the NO and  $NO<sub>2</sub>$  to molecular nitrogen with minimum by-products if the plasma operating conditions are selected carefully [[18](#page-22-0)].

The two main approaches that were reported in literature to improve the energy efficiency of the DBD plasma reactor was the development of the discharge mode and coupling the plasma with catalysts. The first approach includes optimizing the reactor configuration and the power source. The major parameters of the power source that should be tuned to improve the efficiency of the plasma are the frequency, voltage, and the waveform of the voltage. In this present study, the pulse waveform of the voltage has been studied and pulse parameters such as pulse repetition rate, pulse rise time and pulse width are varied as they play an important role in changing the reaction chemistry. Puchkarev et al. stated in their study on energy-efficient plasma processing of gaseous emission that the efficiency of  $NO<sub>x</sub>$  reduction is a complex function of parameters that includes pulse width, pulse polarity, current density, repetition rate, and reactor design. Careful

<span id="page-2-0"></span>



 $\overline{a}$ 

optimization of all these parameters is required to reach cost effective  $NO<sub>x</sub>$  reduction [[25](#page-23-0)]. In [[25](#page-23-0)], the authors also mentioned that the short-duration pulses are more effective than the longer pulses with respect to the energy cost. It was observed that the energy utilization will be more efficient with respect to remediation if the given amount of energy is deposited with a high repetition rate of short duration pulses [\[26\]](#page-23-0). The second approach was to combine plasma with appropriate catalysts [\[27\]](#page-23-0), which will also be done in the present study.

In this study, a pulsed non-thermal DBD reactor at atmospheric pressure is combined with catalysts such as TiO<sub>2</sub>, CuO–MnO<sub>2</sub>–TiO<sub>2</sub>, CuO–MnO<sub>2</sub>–Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> to study the synergy between the plasma and the catalysts in terms of removal efficiency and energy efficiency. Also, the effect of pulse parameters was studied by using a microsecond pulse source and a nanosecond pulse source. A microsecond pulse with 0.9-us rise time and 2-us pulse width (referred to as ls pulse in this paper) and a nanosecond pulse with 10-ns rise time and 16-ns pulse width (referred to as ns pulse in this paper) were used. The effect of catalyst, energy density, and the pulse rise time on the NO removal efficiency and the energy efficiency is reported. A comparison has been made between the two different plasmacatalytic configurations: IPC and post-plasma configuration.

The first part of the paper describes the experimental setup including the reactor, the catalytic materials and the power sources that have been used. Details of NO conversion and by-products formation as a function of rise time with the plasma alone reactor and with plasma-catalytic reactor are given in the second part. An overview of the comparison of IPC and PPC using the microsecond and the nanosecond pulses with respect to energy density and by-products formation at 90 % conversion of NO is also presented in the second part.

### Experimental Setup

The entire experimental setup can be explained in four main parts. The DBD reactor, the gas feeding system, the electrical part (pulse source and electrical measurements) and the gas diagnostics as shown in Fig. [1.](#page-5-0)

## DBD Reactor

A schematic representation of the DBD-plasma reactor setup is shown in Fig. [2](#page-5-0). The plasma reactor is made of quartz with a diameter of approximately 14 mm and with a thickness of 2 mm. The reactor consists of two electrodes, a high-voltage electrode and a grounded electrode. The high-voltage electrode that is connected to the high-voltage power source is made up of a stainless-steel rod with a diameter of 1.5 mm and is mounted at the centre of the reactor. The steel mesh around the reactor acts as the grounded electrode. The IPC and the PPC configurations of this DBD reactor used in the experiments are shown in Fig. [3.](#page-6-0)

#### Catalysts

The three different catalysts that were used in this study were  $TiO_2$ , CuO–MnO<sub>2</sub>–TiO<sub>2</sub>,  $CuO-MnO<sub>2</sub>-Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>$  and were supplied by Heraeus, Hanau, Germany. The characteristics of the catalysts are presented in Table [2.](#page-6-0) The  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$  pellets were in anatase form and was

<span id="page-5-0"></span>

Fig. 1 Schematic overview of the experimental setup with pulsed DBD reactor for NO removal



Fig. 2 Cross-sectional view of the cylindrical quartz tube DBD reactor operating at ambient temperature and atmospheric pressure

chosen because of its photocatalytic nature. Numerous authors have studied the ozone decomposition potential of  $MnO<sub>2</sub>$  in the plasma-catalytic configurations for VOC's reduction [[32–37\]](#page-23-0). It will be interesting to study the effect of loading material on the performance of NO conversion and by-products formation by comparing the results of TiO<sub>2</sub> catalyst with CuO–MnO<sub>2</sub>–TiO<sub>2</sub> catalyst. Morales et al. [\[38](#page-23-0)] in their study on Mn–Cu mixed oxide catalysts for ethanol oxidation, found out that the presence of copper improves the catalytic performance in ethanol total oxidation and they also found out that MnCu/TiO<sub>2</sub> 673 and MnCu/ZrO<sub>2</sub> 873 catalysts are the most active and selective to CO<sub>2</sub> [[39](#page-23-0)]. It will be interesting to look at the effect of support by comparing the results of CuO–

<span id="page-6-0"></span>

Fig. 3 Schematic overview of the plasma alone configuration and the two plasma-catalytic configurations that are used in the experiments of this paper. (Note in (c), the catalytic reactor is not powered)

Catalyst	Shape	Size (mm)	BET surface area $(m^2/g)$	Bulk density $(Kg/m^3)$	Composition
TiO <sub>2</sub>	Cylindrical 1.5		50	925	75 % anatase
$CuO-MnO2$ TiO <sub>2</sub>	Cylindrical 1.5		50	925	3 wt% CuO, 6.8 wt% MnO <sub>2</sub>
$CuO-MnO2$ $Al_2O_3$	Spherical	-2	80	800	30 wt% Cu-mn-oxide

Table 2 Heraeus specifications of the catalysts that are used in the experiments of this paper

### Gas Concentration Measurements

The gas used in the experiments discussed in this paper consists of a mixture of NO in  $N_2$ (10,000 ppm of NO in N<sub>2</sub> base) and synthetic air. Synthetic air ( $\lt$ 3 ppm H<sub>2</sub>O content) is used to dilute NO to get the desired initial concentration. The initial concentration of nitrogen oxide used in this study is 200 ppm as it will be easy to compare the results with the available literature. The gas mixture is dosed at room temperature and atmospheric pressure. The composition of the gas is tested with a Testo 350 XL flue gas analyser and the flow was controlled by mass flow controllers. The treated gas from the DBD reactor was sampled out to a Fourier Transform Infrared Spectrometer (FTIR, BRUKER Tensor

27) with a 20-cm optical path gas cell to measure the exit gas concentration. The resulting spectra from the FTIR are used to calculate the varying concentrations of NO,  $NQ_2$ ,  $Q_3$  and  $N_2O$ . With these concentrations, the removal efficiency of the DBD reactor could be calculated. The conversion of NO is determined with:

$$
NO\text{conversion} = \frac{[NO]_i - [NO]_o}{[NO]_i} \times 100,\tag{1}
$$

where  $[NO]_i$  and  $[NO]_0$  are the inlet concentration of NO to the reactor and the outlet concentration of NO from the reactor respectively.

For the quantitative measurements of by-products, the FTIR was calibrated for  $N_2O$  and  $O_3$ . N<sub>2</sub>O calibration was done by using 10,000 ppm of N<sub>2</sub>O in N<sub>2</sub> calibration gas and the  $O<sub>3</sub>$  calibration was done by UV-absorption spectrometry.

#### Power Source

### Microsecond (µs) Pulse Source

The schematic representation of the MOSFET-based solid state microsecond pulse power source is shown in Fig. 4. The buffer capacitor  $C_1$  of the circuit is charged to 25 V via a transformer and rectifier. Capacitor  $C_2$  will be charged to approximately 300 V during operation. When MOSFET  $M_1$  is closed,  $C_2$  is discharged via the high-voltage pulse transformer  $T_2$  generating a 17-kV pulse on the secondary side.  $M_1$  remains still closed after the pulse and the current through  $L_1$  will linearly increase via  $C_1-L_1-D_1-L_{pri}-M_1$  and thus energy is stored in  $L_1$ . When MOSFET  $M_1$  is opened, the current through  $L_1$  freewheels into  $C_2$  charging the capacitor to 300 V again and the magnetization current of the transformer is able to freewheel via the  $R_1 - D_2$  snubber circuit. The stray inductance and parasitic capacitance of the high-voltage winding limit the rise time of the pulse. The typical rise time of the pulse is  $0.9 \mu s$  and the pulse width is  $2 \mu s$ . The maximum repetition rate (f) of the source is 1000 pulses/second.



Fig. 4 Schematic overview of the MOSFET based solid state microsecond pulse power source

#### <span id="page-8-0"></span>Nanosecond (ns) Pulse Source

The schematic of the spark-gap based high-voltage nanosecond pulse circuit is shown in Fig. 5. Pulse generation is realized by first charging capacitor  $C_1$  in  $\lt 10$  ms by a 60-kV DC power supply. Resistor  $R_1$  limits the charging current and prevents a near short circuit of the power supply output when the spark gap fires. The spark gap consists of three discshaped electrodes (two gaps). A trigger unit applies a  $30$ -kV pulse ( $\mu$ s rise-time) to the center electrode which causes the right gap to fire. The current path of the trigger discharge is via  $D_1-R_2-SG-R_3$ . The full voltage on  $C_1$  will now be over the left and center electrode causing the full spark-gap to fire. Capacitor  $C_1$  will be rapidly discharged (in  $\lt 100$  ns) into the 50  $\Omega$  coaxial cable, creating the high-voltage pulse on the output of the cable. R<sub>2</sub> is added to protect the trigger unit from transient voltages and possible voltage reversal on  $C_1$ . Energy transferral to the reactor stops after the plasma in the reactor quenches, usually due to the dropped output voltage of the source. The spark gap remains closed until all energy in the circuit is consumed. Resistor  $R<sub>3</sub>$  dissipates all residual energy in the circuit, including reflections due to improper impedance matching between the reactor and the coaxial cable. Resistor  $R_3$  has a high impedance during the initial pulse generation  $(R_3 \gg Z_{cable})$  and consumes only a small amount of energy during that time. The maximum repetition rate of the source is 100 pulses/second.

### Electrical Measurements

The typically applied voltage and discharge current for both the microsecond pulse and the nanosecond source are shown in the Figs. [6](#page-9-0) and [7](#page-10-0) respectively. A Northstar PVM-5 high-voltage probe and a Pearson 6600 current probe were used to measure the voltage over the reactor and the discharge current respectively. These two probes were connected to a Lecroy Wavesurfer 454 oscilloscope to measure the electrical power consumption. The voltage amplitude was kept constant  $(17-kV)$  for the uspulse source and 40-kV for the ns pulse source) and the pulse repetition rate was varied to vary the energy density. The pulse repetition rate of the ls pulse source can be varied from 1 Hz–1 kHz whereas the ns pulse source between 1–100 Hz. The energy per pulse  $[E_p, (J)]$  is calculated by using Eq. (2).

$$
E_{\rm p} = \int_{pulse} V(t)I(t)dt
$$
 (2)



Fig. 5 Schematic overview of the spark-gap based high-voltage nanosecond pulse circuit

<span id="page-9-0"></span>

Fig. 6 Typical waveforms in the DBD reactor with the us pulse *source* a applied voltage and discharge current b power and energy deposited per pulse

 $V(t)$  and  $I(t)$  in Eq. ([2\)](#page-8-0) represent the measured voltage and current respectively. The energy measurements were taken after the voltage and current reach a stable end value. The calculated power and energy per pulse are also given in Figs. 6 and [7](#page-10-0) respectively.

It can be observed that in the nanosecond voltage and current waveform, oscillations occur. These oscillations and reflections might occur due to mismatch between the output impedance of the power source and the reactor impedance. The energy density  $[\epsilon, (J/L)]$ was used as a parameter to compare the efficiency of treatments with different plasmacatalytic configurations and with different rise times. The energy density is defined as the energy deposited into the gas per unit volume and can be calculated by using Eq. (3). F in Eq.  $(3)$  is the volumetric gas flow through the reactor  $(L/s)$ 

$$
\varepsilon = \frac{fE_{\rm p}}{F} \tag{3}
$$

The energy yield represented by W-value in eV/NO molecule and the removal efficiency of NO represented by G-value in mol/J are obtained by using Eqs. (4) and (5) respectively.

$$
W-value = \frac{V_{\rm m} \times \varepsilon}{\Delta[NO] \times 10^{-6} \times N_{\rm a} \times e} \quad (eV/Nonolecule)
$$
 (4)

$$
G-value = \frac{\Delta[NO] \times 10^{-6}}{V_m \times \varepsilon} \quad (mol/J)
$$
 (5)

 $\circled{2}$  Springer

<span id="page-10-0"></span>

Fig. 7 Typical waveforms in the DBD reactor with the ns pulse *source* a applied voltage and discharge current b power and energy deposited per pulse

where  $\Delta[NO]$  is the amount of NO removed in ppm.  $V_m$  is the molar volume which is 24.48 L/mol at 20 °C and 1 atm.  $N_a$  is the Avagadro number and e is the charge of the electron.

# Results and Discussion

The experiments were carried out with a plasma-alone reactor configuration as shown in Fig. [3a](#page-6-0) and plasma combined with the following catalysts:  $TiO_2$ , CuO–MnO<sub>2</sub>–TiO<sub>2</sub>, CuO–  $MnO<sub>2</sub>–Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>$  $MnO<sub>2</sub>–Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>$  $MnO<sub>2</sub>–Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>$  (Fig. 3b, c). The voltage was kept constant (17-kV for the us pulse source and 40-kV for the ns pulse source) and the pulse repetition rate was used as the operating parameter to vary the energy densities.

#### Plasma Alone Configuration

### NO Conversion as a Function of Pulse Rise Time

A  $\mu$ s pulse with a rise time of 0.9  $\mu$ s and width of 2  $\mu$ s and a ns pulse source with a rise time of 10 ns and width of 16 ns were used to study the effect of the pulse parameters on the NO conversion and the by-products formation. The voltage was kept constant (17-kV for the ls pulse source and 40-kV for the ns pulse source) and the pulse repetition rate was varied to

<span id="page-11-0"></span>vary the energy density. Figure 8 shows the effect of pulse parameters on the NO conversion. It was observed that the ns pulse reaches 100 % NO conversion at low energy densities compared to the µs pulse. An energy density of approximately 60 and 130 J/L were required for  $100\%$  NO conversion using the ns and the us pulse respectively. This 100 % conversion of NO by the ns pulse at low energy densities is due to the ability of the short rise times to produce high E/N ( electric field/number density) [[40](#page-23-0)]. The W-value was 90 and 184 eV/NO molecule respectively for the ns pulse and the ls pulse at 25 J/L as seen in Fig. [9.](#page-12-0) The results of Fig. [9](#page-12-0) also show that with increasing energy density, the W-value increases and keeps increasing even after 100 % NO removal. The increase in the W-value even after 100 % NO conversion is due to the fact that there is no NO left for further conversion and the energy input to the reactor is used in the other reactions such as production of  $O_3$ ,  $N_2O$  and other unwanted by-products formation. The input energy is also dissipated in the form of light and heat. Figure [9](#page-12-0) also shows that there is a decrease in the G-value with increasing energy density. This is simply because the G-value is inversely proportional to the W-value.

### Effect of the Pulse Rise Time on the By-Products Formation

The effect of the energy density on the by-products formation such as  $O_3$  and  $N_2O$  are studied with respect to the rise time as shown in Fig. [10.](#page-12-0) It can be noticed that both the ns pulse and the ls pulse produce by-products. The production of by-products is more pronounced with the ns pulse rise time compared to the  $\mu$ s pulse. It is observed that for 100 % NO conversion, approximately 6 and 14 ppm of  $N_2O$  and  $O_3$ , respectively, are generated for the ns pulse. Whereas for the  $\mu s$  pulse, N<sub>2</sub>O and O<sub>3</sub> formation is 3 and 2 ppm respectively. The ns pulse is efficient in converting 100 % NO at low energy densities but at the expense of higher by-products formation. At higher energy densities, collisions between the electrons and the neutrals are more frequent which leads to the production of more O and N atoms as shown in Eqs. ([6](#page-12-0)) and [\(7](#page-12-0)). Thus the produced O and N atoms react with  $O_2$  and  $NO_2$  to produce  $O_3$  and  $N_2O$  respectively as shown in the Eqs. ([8](#page-12-0))–[\(10\)](#page-13-0) and can be seen in Fig. [10](#page-12-0) with a steep rise in  $O_3$  concentration. As noticed in the Fig. [11,](#page-13-0) the  $NO<sub>2</sub>$  concentration exhibits a maximum for both ns pulse and  $\mu$ s pulse. It can also be noted





<span id="page-12-0"></span>

Fig. 9 W-value as a function of energy density with the nanosecond pulse source and the microsecond pulse source



Fig. 10  $\mathrm{N}_2\mathrm{O}$  and  $\mathrm{O}_3$  formation as a function of the energy density with the nanosecond pulse source and the microsecond pulse source

that at higher energy densities (above 80 J/L for the ns pulse), the produced O atoms react with  $NO<sub>2</sub>$  to regenerate a small amount of NO (Eq. [11\)](#page-13-0) which is why a 100 % NO conversion is not achieved for high energy densities as seen in Fig. [8](#page-11-0).

$$
e + N_2 \rightarrow e + N + N \tag{6}
$$

$$
e + O_2 \rightarrow e + O + O \tag{7}
$$

$$
O + O_2 \rightarrow O_3 \tag{8}
$$

<sup>2</sup> Springer

<span id="page-13-0"></span>

Fig. 11  $NO<sub>2</sub>$  and  $N<sub>2</sub>O$  formation as a function of energy density with the nanosecond pulse source and the microsecond pulse source

$$
NO + O_3 \rightarrow NO_2 + O_2 \tag{9}
$$

$$
NO2 + N \rightarrow N2O + O
$$
 (10)

$$
NO2 + O \rightarrow NO + O2
$$
 (11)

### Plasma-Assisted Catalysis

In this section, the effect of the catalysts combined with the plasma as a function of the energy density and the pulse rise time were investigated. Both the IPC and the PPC configurations were studied. The arrangements of these configurations is as shown in Fig. [3b](#page-6-0), c.

### Enhancement Effect of Plasma and Catalyst with In-Plasma Catalytic Configuration

A pulsed DBD is combined with catalysts such as  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$ , CuO–MnO<sub>2</sub>–TiO<sub>2</sub> and CuO–  $MnO<sub>2</sub>–Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>$  to study the synergy between the plasma and the catalysts in terms of the NO removal efficiency and the energy efficiency. The NO conversion as a function of the energy density for the plasma-alone configuration and the IPC is shown in Fig. [12.](#page-14-0) The figure shows that, CuO–MnO<sub>2</sub>–TiO<sub>2</sub> catalyst is capable of removing 100 % NO at low energy densities compared to  $CuO-MnO<sub>2</sub>-Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>$  and  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$ . The ns pulse shows better removal efficiency with both the plasma alone configuration and the IPC. But, as mentioned earlier, higher NO conversion at lower energy densities is at the expense of higher by-products formation. Figures [13](#page-15-0) and [14](#page-15-0) show the production of  $N_2O$  and  $O_3$  respectively as a function of the energy density. It is obvious that the plasma-alone configuration produces more  $N_2O$  compared to the IPC. The ns pulse produces higher amounts of  $N_2O$ and  $O_3$  in both the plasma alone and with the IPC. The trend is slightly different for TiO<sub>2</sub> and CuO–MnO<sub>2</sub>–Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> as these catalysts produced higher amounts of N<sub>2</sub>O and O<sub>3</sub> with

<span id="page-14-0"></span>

the  $\mu$ s pulse for 100 % NO conversion compared with the ns pulse. It is also observed that  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$  produced higher amounts of  $O<sub>3</sub>$  compared to the plasma alone configuration, CuO–  $MnO<sub>2</sub>$ –TiO<sub>2</sub>, CuO–MnO<sub>2</sub>–Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> catalysts. This increased amount of O<sub>3</sub> generation may be due to the production of electron-hole pairs by  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$  when exposed to a mean energy greater than 3.2 eV [\[15\]](#page-22-0). The electrons produced by the  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$  surface converts oxygen molecules into oxygen anion radicals( $O_2$ <sup>-</sup>) which further reacts with the holes on the surface of  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$  producing more oxygen atoms. This oxygen anion further reacts with oxygen molecules to produce ozone as shown in Eqs.  $(12)$ – $(17)$ . Thus, TiO<sub>2</sub> tends to follow more oxidative pathway and produces more ozone. The increase in ozone generation was also observed in the study [\[41\]](#page-23-0) where the authors investigated ozone production in a surface dielectric barrier discharge reactor with a  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$  catalyst.

$$
TiO2 + h\vartheta \to e^- + h^+ \tag{12}
$$

$$
H_2O + h^+ \rightarrow H^+ + OH \tag{13}
$$



$$
\mathrm{O}_2 + \mathrm{e}^- \rightarrow \mathrm{O}_2^- \tag{14}
$$

$$
\mathrm{O}_2^- + \mathrm{H}^+ \rightarrow \mathrm{HO}_2 \tag{15}
$$

$$
O_2^- + h^+ \to 2O \tag{16}
$$

$$
O + O_2 \rightarrow O_3 \tag{17}
$$

 $MnO<sub>x</sub>$ -based catalysts showed better performance with respect to ozone decomposition. This may be due to the insitu decomposition of ozone into atomic oxygen on the surface where this atomic oxygen will be present on the  $MnO_x$  surface in the form of  $O(^3P)$ . This in turn might oxidizes  $NO$  to  $NO<sub>2</sub>$  which might be the possible reason for the better performance of the  $MnO<sub>x</sub>$ -based catalysts with respect to ozone decomposition. As mentioned in [[37](#page-23-0)], the better performance of  $CuO-MnO<sub>2</sub>-TiO<sub>2</sub>$  can be attributed to the synergy

<span id="page-15-0"></span>

Fig. 14  $O_3$  formation as a function of energy density for various catalysts with in-plasma catalytic configuration with the nanosecond pulse source and the microsecond pulse source

between the plasma excitation and the photocatalytic behaviour of  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$ . Even though  $MnO<sub>x</sub>$ -based catalysts proved to be efficient in ozone decomposition, it is also observed from the results of this study that  $CuO-MnO<sub>2</sub>-Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>$ , at higher densities failed to decompose ozone. This may be due to the difference in the support materials. With a change in the dielectric constant of the support material, there could be a change in the plasma distribution or plasma discharges or in the adsorption-desorption effects of the molecules. The effect of catalyst support was studied in [\[42\]](#page-23-0) where the authors investigated the effect of the catalyst support in the degradation of the odorous compounds by the plasma and found out that there were differences in the electric behaviour and the space development of plasma with different supports.

## Enhancement Effect of Plasma and Catalyst with Post-Plasma Catalytic Configuration

For the PPC configuration, two identical reactors were connected in series as shown in Fig. [3c](#page-6-0). The gas input is to the reactor where the electrode is connected to the power supply. The output gas from this reactor is sent to the reactor filled with catalytic material. The effect of the energy density on the removal efficiency for the PPC is shown in Fig. [15](#page-17-0). It is observed that, CuO–MnO<sub>2</sub>–TiO<sub>2</sub> catalyst is capable of removing 100  $\%$  NO at low energy densities with both the  $\mu$ s and the ns pulses compared with CuO–MnO<sub>2</sub>–Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> and  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$ . Thus, the CuO–MnO<sub>2</sub>–TiO<sub>2</sub> catalyst showed better performance with both the IPC and the PPC. Also, the shorter pulses showed better performance with both the IPC and the PPC with respect to the removal efficiency. It can be seen from Fig. [16](#page-17-0) that the ns pulse resulted in higher amounts of  $N_2O$  formation with the PPC as well. There is a clear distinction between the  $\mu$ s and ns pulses with respect to N<sub>2</sub>O formation. TiO<sub>2</sub> showed higher amounts of  $N_2O$  formation with the IPC compared to the PPC with both the  $\mu$ s and the ns pulses where as  $CuO-MnO<sub>2</sub>-Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>$  showed higher N<sub>2</sub>O formation with the PPC with the ns pulse only. In general, the PPC performed better with both the  $\mu$ s and the ns pulse with respect to the ozone destruction irrespective of the catalysts used as shown in Fig. [17](#page-18-0).

With the IPC,  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$  generated high amounts of ozone where as with the PPC, no ozone formation was detected. This can be explained by the fact that, in the IPC, the electrons in the discharge having a mean energy of  $3-4$  eV can activate TiO<sub>2</sub> either by electron-impact or by photon absorption or by both. However, in the PPC this mechanism is not possible as the photons and electrons produced by the plasma discharges cannot enter the catalytic reactor; only the long lived reactive species and intermediates can. Ozone from the plasma reactor entering the catalytic reactor, might get adsorbed on the active site and gets decomposed into oxygen and an active O radical. This active O radical combines with ozone to form oxygen and excited oxygen. This excited oxygen decomposes into oxygen leaving the active site of the catalyst. The reaction pathways or the plausible mechanisms of  $O_3$  decomposition on the catalytic surface are mentioned in [[32](#page-23-0), [43\]](#page-23-0).

Figures [18](#page-18-0) to [20](#page-19-0) show an overview of the comparison of the IPC and the PPC using the ls and the ns pulses with respect to the energy density and the by-products formation at 90 % conversion of NO. Among all the three catalysts that were studied,  $CuO-MnO<sub>2</sub>$  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$  catalyst showed better performance with respect to NO conversion as well as byproducts formation with both the IPC and the PPC. The IPC with the  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$  catalyst produced higher levels of  $O_3$ , especially with the us pulse. This high production of  $O_3$  with

<span id="page-17-0"></span>



Fig. 16  $N<sub>2</sub>O$  formation as a function of energy density for various catalysts with postplasma catalytic configuration

<span id="page-18-0"></span>

Fig. 18 Overview of the energy density at 90 % NO conversion with plasma alone configuration and plasma-catalytic configuration with the nanosecond pulse source and the microsecond pulse source

 $CuO-MnO<sub>2</sub>-TiO<sub>2</sub>$   $CuO-MnO<sub>2</sub>-Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>$ 

 $TiO<sub>2</sub>$ 

Plasma alone

the us pulse is due to the fact that the 90  $%$  conversion of NO was obtained at higher energy densities for the ls pulse than the ns pulse. In general, the PPC showed better results with respect to both the NO removal and the by-products formation except for  $N_2O$ formation with  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$  and  $CuO-MnO<sub>2</sub>-Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>$  catalysts.

<span id="page-19-0"></span>

Fig. 19 Overview of N<sub>2</sub>O formation at 90 % NO conversion with plasma alone configuration and plasmacatalytic configuration with the nanosecond pulse source and the microsecond pulse source



Fig. 20 Overview of O<sub>3</sub> formation at 90 % NO conversion with plasma alone configuration and plasmacatalytic configuration with the nanosecond pulse source and the microsecond pulse source

Plasma condition	Energy density (J/L)	$O_3$ production (ppm)	$N2O$ production (ppm)
Plasma (ns)	$^{+}$		
IPC with $TiO2$ (ns)	$++$	$^{+}$	$^{+}$
IPC with $CuO-MnO2-TiO2$ (ns)	$++$	$++$	$++$
IPC with $CuO-MnO2-Al2O3$ (ns)	$^{+}$	$^{+}$	
Plasma $(\mu s)$	$\circ$		
IPC with $TiO_2$ ( $\mu s$ )	$\circ$		$\circ$
IPC with $CuO-MnO2-TiO2$ ( $\mu s$ )	$++$	$++$	$++$
IPC with $CuO-MnO2-Al2O3$ ( $\mu$ s)		$^{+}$	
PPC with $TiO2$ (ns)	$++$	$++$	
PPC with $CuO-MnO2-TiO2$ (ns)	$++$	$++$	$++$
PPC with $CuO-MnO2-Al2O3$ (ns)	$^{+}$	$++$	
PPC with $TiO2$ ( $\mu s$ )		$++$	$\circ$
PPC with $CuO-MnO2-TiO2$ ( $\mu$ s)	$^+$	$++$	$++$
PPC with $CuO-MnO2-Al2O3$ ( $\mu s$ )	$\pm$	$++$	$\circ$

Table 3 Comparison between different plasma-catalytic configurations on NO removal and by-products formation with the nanosecond pulse source and the microsecond pulse source at 90 % NO conversion

# **Conclusions**

In this study, an experimental investigation on the removal of NO from an atmospheric air stream has been carried out with a NTP dielectric barrier discharge reactor. The DBD is combined with catalysts such as  $TiO_2$ , CuO–MnO<sub>2</sub>– $TiO_2$ , CuO–MnO<sub>2</sub>–Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> to study the synergy between the plasma and the catalysts in terms of the NO conversion and the energy efficiency. Also, the effect of the pulse parameters was studied by varying the pulse repetition rate, the pulse rise time and the pulse duration. A ls pulse with a rise time of  $0.9 \,\mu s$  and width of 2  $\mu s$  and a ns pulse source with a rise time of 10 ns and width of 16 ns were used to study the effect of pulse parameters on the NO conversion and the byproducts formation. The effect of the catalyst, energy density and the pulse rise time on the NO conversion and the energy efficiency were reported. A comparison has been made between different plasma-catalytic configurations such as an IPC and a PPC as shown in Table 3. Where the symbols in Table  $3 + +$ ,  $\div$ ,  $\circ$  and  $-$  indicates that the specific configuration showed the best performance, good performance, satisfactory performance and unsatisfactory performance respectively.

It was observed that the ns pulse is more efficient for NO conversion but at the expense of higher by-products formation. An energy density of 95 and 183 J/L was required for 100 % NO conversion using the ns and the ls pulse respectively. For 100 % NO conversion, the generated  $N_2O$  and  $O_3$  was approximately 6 and 14 ppm respectively for the ns pulse whereas it was 3 and 2 ppm of  $N_2O$  and  $O_3$  respectively for the  $\mu s$  pulse. CuO–  $MnO<sub>2</sub>$ –TiO<sub>2</sub> catalyst showed better performance with respect to the NO conversion as well as the by-products formation with both the IPC and the PPC. It was also observed that  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$ produced higher amounts of  $O_3$  with the IPC but on the other hand with the PPC, TiO<sub>2</sub> yielded no ozone. In general, the PPC showed better results with respect to by-products formation. Table [4.](#page-21-0) presents a quick comparison of where the current study stands with respect to that obtained from the published results. It is very difficult to get a common

<span id="page-21-0"></span>

 $\ddot{\phantom{a}}$ 

l,

 $\ddot{\phantom{a}}$ 

 $\ddot{\phantom{a}}$ 

 $\overline{a}$ 

J.

# $\underline{\textcircled{\tiny 2}}$  Springer

<span id="page-22-0"></span>platform for comparison as the parametric variations form a wide spectrum. Within a limited set of variations, the results have been presented and it can be inferred that the present reactor configurations have yielded a better conversion efficiency at room temperature itself. However, detailed experimental investigations at higher flow rates and with real diesel exhaust are presently being carried out which will be the scope of future paper.

### References

- 1. European environment agency. [http://www.eea.europa.eu/data-and-maps/indicators/transport](http://www.eea.europa.eu/data-and-maps/indicators/transport-emissions-of-air-pollutants-8/transport-emissions-of-air-pollutants-9)[emissions-of-air-pollutants-8/transport-emissions-of-air-pollutants-9.](http://www.eea.europa.eu/data-and-maps/indicators/transport-emissions-of-air-pollutants-8/transport-emissions-of-air-pollutants-9) Accessed 12 April 2014
- 2. European automobile manufacturers association. [http://www.acea.be/industry-topics/tag/category/euro](http://www.acea.be/industry-topics/tag/category/euro-standards)[standards.](http://www.acea.be/industry-topics/tag/category/euro-standards) Accessed 12 April 2014
- 3. Hammer T, Kappes T, Baldauf M (2004) Plasma catalytic hybrid processes: gas discharge initiation and plasma activation of catalytic processes. Catal Today 89(1):5–14
- 4. Oda T, Kato T, Takahashi T, Shimizu K (1997) Nitric oxide decomposition in air by using non-thermal plasma processing-with additives and catalyst. J Electrostat 42(1):151–157
- 5. Chang JS (2001) Recent development of plasma pollution control technology: a critical review. Sci Technol Adv Mater 2(3):571–576
- 6. Penetrante B, Hsiao M, Merritt B, Vogtlin G, Wan C (1997) Plasma-assisted heterogeneous catalysis for NOx reduction in lean-burn engine exhaust. technical report, DTIC Document
- 7. Penetrante BM, Brusasco RM, Merritt BT, Vogtlin GE (1999) Environmental applications of lowtemperature plasmas. Pure Appl Chem 71(10):1829–1835
- 8. Sun M, Ravi V (2010) Role of oxygen in the plasma catalytic removal of  $NO<sub>x</sub>$ . VIVECHAN IJR  $1(2):1-9$
- 9. Beckers FJCM, Hoeben WFLM, Pemen aJM, van Heesch EJM (2013) Low-level  $NO<sub>x</sub>$  removal in ambient air by pulsed corona technology. J Phys D Appl Phys 46:295201
- 10. Neyts E, Bogaerts A (2014) Understanding plasma catalysis through modelling and simulation a review. J Phys D Appl Phys 47(22):224010
- 11. Yamamoto T, Okubo M, Hayakawa K, Kitaura K (2001) Towards ideal NOx control technology using a plasma-chemical hybrid process. IEEE Trans Ind Appl 37(5):1492–1498
- 12. Conrads H, Schmidt M (2000) Plasma generation and plasma sources. Plasma Sour Sci Technol 9(4):441–454
- 13. Tendero C, Tixier C, Tristant P, Desmaison J, Leprince P (2006) Atmospheric pressure plasmas: a review. Spectrochim Acta Part B Atomic Spectrosc 61(1):2–30
- 14. Samukawa S, Hori M, Rauf S, Tachibana K, Bruggeman P, Kroesen G, Whitehead JC, Murphy AB, Gutsol AF, Starikovskaia S, Kortshagen U, Boeuf J-P, Sommerer TJ, Kushner MJ, Czarnetzki U, Mason N (2012) The 2012 plasma roadmap. J Phys D Appl Phys 45(25):253001
- 15. Whitehead JC (2010) Plasma catalysis: a solution for environmental problems. Pure Appl Chem 82(6):1329–1336
- 16. Chen HL, Lee HM, Chen SH, Chang MB, Yu SJ, Li SN (2009) Removal of volatile organic compounds by single-stage and two-stage plasma catalysis systems: a review of the performance enhancement mechanisms, current status, and suitable applications. Environ Sci Technol 43(7):2216–2227
- 17. Jolibois J, Takashima K, Mizuno A (2012) Application of a non-thermal surface plasma discharge in wet condition for gas exhaust treatment:  $NO<sub>x</sub>$  removal. J Electrostat 70:300–308
- 18. Yamamoto T, Member S, Yang C-L, Beltran MR, Kravets Z (2000) Plasma-assisted chemical process for  $NO<sub>x</sub>$  control. IEEE Trans Ind Appl 36(3):923–927
- 19. Miessner H, Francke K-P, Rudolph R (2002) Plasma-enhanced HC-SCR of NO<sub>x</sub> in the presence of excess oxygen. Appl Catal B Environ 36:53–62
- 20. McAdams R, Beech P, Shawcross JT (2008) Low temperature plasma assisted catalytic reduction of NOx in simulated marine diesel exhaust. Plasma Chem Plasma Process 28:159–171
- 21. Tran D, Aardahl C, Rappe K, Park P, Boyer C (2004) Reduction of  $NO<sub>x</sub>$  by plasma-facilitated catalysis over In-doped  $\gamma$ -alumina. Appl Catal B Environ 48:155–164
- 22. Kim HH, Takashima K, Katsura S, Mizuno A (2001) Low-temperature  $NO<sub>x</sub>$  reduction processes using combined systems of pulsed corona discharge and catalysts. J Phys D Appl Phys 34(4):604
- 23. Bröer S, Hammer T (2000) Selective catalytic reduction of nitrogen oxides by combining a non-thermal plasma and a  $V_2O_5-WO_3/TiO_2$  catalyst. Appl Catal B Environ 28(2):101–111
- <span id="page-23-0"></span>24. Oda T, Kato T, Takahashi T, Shimizu K (1998) Nitric oxide decomposition in air by using nonthermal plasma processing with additives and catalyst. IEEE Trans Ind Appl 34(2):268–272
- 25. Puchkarev V, Gundersen M (1997) Energy efficient plasma processing of gaseous emission using a short pulse discharge. Appl Phys Lett 71(23):3364–3366
- 26. Gentile AC, Kushner MJ (1995) Reaction chemistry and optimization of plasma remediation of  $N_xO_y$ from gas streams. J Appl Phys 78(3):2074–2085
- 27. Oda T (2003) Non-thermal plasma processing for environmental proection: decomposition of dilute VOCs in air. J Electrostat 57(3–4):293–311
- 28. Li J, Ke R, Li W, Hao J (2008) Mechanism of selective catalytic reduction of NO over Ag/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> with the aid of non-thermal plasma. Catal Today 139:49–58
- 29. Nasonova A, Pham HC, Kim D-J, Kim K-S (2010) NO and SO<sub>2</sub> removal in non-thermal plasma reactor packed with glass beads-TiO<sub>2</sub> thin film coated by PCVD process. Chem Eng J 156:557–561
- 30. Oda T, Kato T, Takahashi T, Shimizu K (1997) Nitric oxide decomposition in air by using non-thermal plasma processing-with additives and catalyst. J Electrostat 42:151–157
- 31. Jõgi I, Erme K, Haljaste A, Laan M (2013) Oxidation of nitrogen oxide in hybrid plasma-catalytic reactors based on DBD and  $Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>$ . Eur Phys J Appl Phys 61(02):24305
- 32. Futamura S, Zhang A, Einaga H, Kabashima H (2002) Involvement of catalyst materials in nonthermal plasma chemical processing of hazardous air pollutants. Catal Today 72(3):259–265
- 33. Fan X, Zhu T, Wang M, Li X (2009) Removal of low-concentration btx in air using a combined plasma catalysis system. Chemosphere 75(10):1301–1306
- 34. Chang C-L, Lin T-S (2005) Elimination of carbon monoxide in the gas streams by dielectric barrier discharge systems with mn catalyst. Plasma Chem Plasma Process 25(4):387–401
- 35. Jarrige J, Vervisch P (2009) Plasma-enhanced catalysis of propane and isopropyl alcohol at ambient temperature on a MnO<sub>2</sub>-based catalyst. Appl Catal B Environ 90(1):74-82
- 36. Van Durme J, Dewulf J, Sysmans W, Leys C, Van Langenhove H (2007) Efficient toluene abatement in indoor air by a plasma catalytic hybrid system. Appl Catal B Environ 74(1–2):161–169
- 37. Subrahmanyam C (2009) Catalytic non-thermal plasma reactor for total oxidation of volatile organic compounds. Indian J Chem 48(August):1062–1068
- 38. Morales MR, Barbero BP, Cadu´s LE (2008) Evaluation and characterization of mn–cu mixed oxide catalysts for ethanol total oxidation: influence of copper content. Fuel 87(7):1177–1186
- 39. Morales MR, Barbero BP, Lopez T, Moreno A, Cadu´s LE (2009) Evaluation and characterization of mn–cu mixed oxide catalysts supported on  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$  and  $ZrO<sub>2</sub>$  for ethanol total oxidation. Fuel 88(11):2122–2129
- 40. Vitello P, Penetrante B, Bardsley J (1994) Simulation of negative-streamer dynamics in nitrogen. Phys Rev E 49(6):5574
- 41. PekárekP S, UsamaP K (2011) Ozone generation by surface dielectric barrier discharge with TiO<sub>2</sub> photocatalyst. In: 30th ICPIG. pp 10–13
- 42. Blin-Simiand N, Tardiveau P, Risacher A, Jorand F, Pasquiers S (2005) Removal of 2-heptanone by dielectric barrier discharges—the effect of a catalyst support. Plasma Process Polym 2(3):256–262
- 43. Ogata A, Saito K, Kim H-H, Sugasawa M, Aritani H, Einaga H (2010) Performance of an ozone decomposition catalyst in hybrid plasma reactors for volatile organic compound removal. Plasma Chem Plasma Process 30(1):33–42