

## Erratum to: Analysis of the Reactive Element Effect on the Oxidation of Ceria Doped Nickel

R. W. Jackson · J. P. Leonard · L. Niewolak ·  
W. J. Quadakkers · R. Murray · S. Romani ·  
G. J. Tatlock · F. S. Pettit · G. H. Meier

Published online: 13 September 2013  
© Springer Science+Business Media New York 2013

**Erratum to: Oxid Met (2012) 78:197–210**  
**DOI 10.1007/s11085-012-9300-z**

The following errors were inadvertently overlooked in the original publication of the article and it has been corrected with this erratum

- Unfortunately, the Fig. 8 in the originally published article is actually the same as Fig. 6, i.e., Fig. 6a and b. Therefore, the correct version of Fig. 8 is presented below.


---

The online version of the original article can be found under doi:[10.1007/s11085-012-9300-z](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11085-012-9300-z).

R. W. Jackson · J. P. Leonard · F. S. Pettit · G. H. Meier (✉)  
Department of Mechanical Engineering and Materials Science, University of Pittsburgh,  
Pittsburgh, PA, USA  
e-mail: ghmeier@pitt.edu

L. Niewolak · W. J. Quadakkers  
Forschungszentrum Jülich, IEF-2, 52428 Jülich, Germany

R. Murray · S. Romani · G. J. Tatlock  
Centre for Materials and Structures, School of Engineering, University of Liverpool, Liverpool, UK



**Fig. 8** Cross-sections of nickel which was oxidized for 15 min at 800°C in air and then **a** re-exposed for 50 h, and **b** a separate specimen which was coated with CeO<sub>2</sub> following pre-oxidation and then re-exposed for 50 h

This figure is important in making a key point of the paper that the reactive element effect obtains even when the reactive element, in this case Ce, has no access to the metal/oxide interface.

- Secondly, Fig. 10 is duplicated, i.e. there should only be one image in Fig. 10.