

Magnetic feld sensor based on nickel‑coated S‑shaped long period fber grating

Chao‑Wei Wu[1](http://orcid.org/0000-0003-0610-9085)

Received: 26 April 2018 / Accepted: 11 September 2018 / Published online: 18 September 2018 © Springer Science+Business Media, LLC, part of Springer Nature 2018

Abstract

The paper presents the fabrication of S-shaped metallic long period fbre grating (MLPFG) based on electroforming process for the realization of metal-based micro-electro-mechanical systems magnetic feld sensors. The fabricated S-shaped MLPFG sensors were then tested in a magnetic feld experiment. The NdFeB magnet was used to control the size of the magnetic feld, and a gaussmeter was used to measure the magnetic feld to analyse the spectral changes in the sensor in diferent magnetic felds. The results showed that an external magnetic load (NdFeB magnet) attracted the nickel structure, which changed the periodic metal structure size, disturbing the refractive index of the LPFG structure and altering the coupling coefficient, which increased the transmission loss as the magnetic feld varied from 0 to 350 Gauss. The optimal sensitivity of the magnetic feld to the loss was $-$ 0.0084 dB/Gauss and R^2 was 0.9426. Therefore, the S-shaped metallic LPFG sensor developed in this study can be used to detect magnetic felds.

Keywords Magnetic feld sensor · Electroforming process · MEMS process · Long period fbre grating

1 Introduction

In recent years, optical fbre sensors have been widely used in engineering applications to monitor diferent physical and chemical parameters such as magnetic feld (Yang et al. [2009;](#page-10-0) Thomas et al. [2010](#page-10-1); Zheng et al. [2013;](#page-10-2) Liu et al. [2014](#page-10-3)), temperature (Singh et al. [2014;](#page-10-4) Najari et al. [2015](#page-10-5); Lv et al. [2018](#page-10-6)), strain (Zheng et al. [2018;](#page-10-7) Del Villar et al. [2018](#page-10-8)), humidity (Berruti et al. [2014](#page-10-9); Jiao et al. [2017](#page-10-10)), pH (Mishra et al. [2017;](#page-10-11) Zhao et al. [2018](#page-10-12)), biomedical parameters (Bandara et al. [2015;](#page-9-0) Yin et al. [2016\)](#page-10-13), and refractive index (RI)

 \boxtimes Chao-Wei Wu cafa95011@gmail.com

This article is part of the Topical Collection on Optics in Materials, Energy and Related Technologies 2018.

Guest Edited by Yen-Hsun Su, Songnan Qu, Yiting Yu, Wei Zhang.

¹ Department of Aeronautical and Mechanical Engineering, R.O.C. Air Force Academy, No Sisou 1, Jieshou W. Rd Gangshan Dist, Kaohsiung City 82047, Taiwan, ROC

(Tan et al. [2014;](#page-10-14) Mizuno et al. [2016](#page-10-15); Allsop et al. [2016\)](#page-9-1). Magnetic feld sensing is especially important for engineering applications. Optical fbre sensors are more applicable to magnetic feld sensing than other types of sensors due to their small size and capacity for use in special circumstances. This paper discusses our survey of past long period fbre grating (LPFG) magnetic feld sensor research. Previous studies in this area have utilized LPFG sensors for magnetic feld measurements by monitoring their optical spectra. In 2007, Liu et al. [\(2007](#page-10-16)) proposed a tunable flter based on LPFG coated with magnetic fuid (MF) as the ambient media. The magnetic sensing spectrum wavelength range of the spectrum measurement is 1510–1555 nm. When the external magnetic feld was increased from 0 to 1661 Oe, the wavelength was shifted to the long wavelength by about 7.4 nm. When the MF was subjected to external magnetic felds perpendicularly, the flter's sensitivity in terms of the centre resonant wavelength shift was reported to be 4.455 pm/Oe, and the dip transmission loss was 0.0382 dB/mT. Thomas et al. (2010) (2010) reported a long period fibre grating magnetic feld sensor with a period of 575 μm based on amorphous magnetic thin flms. They used the magnetostrictive characteristics of metallic glass alloys as a mechanism for magnetic feld sensing. The saturation magnetostriction of the resulting magnetostrictive sensor was about 1200 gauss, and the resonant wavelength shift was 0.2 nm. The sensitivity of the wavelength to the change of the magnetic feld was 0.294 pm/gauss. In 2011 , Konstantaki et al. (2011) (2011) reported the magnetic tuning of LPFG through the utilization of water- and hydrocarbon-based ferrofuids acting as a cladding layer. When a static magnetic feld is perpendicularly applied to this LPFG system, magneto-optical refractive index changes occur in the ferrofuiddic cladding. The sensitivity of the system was 0.00125 dB/Gauss. In 2012, Gao et al. [\(2012](#page-10-19)) reported a highly sensitive magnetic sensor utilizing D-shaped LPFG immersed into magnetic fuid within a capillary tube. The reason for using the D-shaped fbre was to make the fbre core closer to the external environment for sensing purposes, thus enhancing the sensitivity of the refractive index change itself and, in turn, the sensitivity of the sensor's magnetic feld sensing capability. The transmission spectrum results showed that as the intensity of the magnetic feld was increased from 1.4 to 191.2 mT, the D-shaped LPFG resonance wavelengths were red-shifted. When the external magnetic feld was 189.7 mT, the wavelength shift was 33.46 nm, and the sensitivity of the sensor was 176.4 pm/mT.

In 2013, Miao et al. [\(2013](#page-10-20)) proposed a microstructured optical fbre long-period grating magnetic field sensor fabricated by $CO₂$ laser. The ferrofluid was injected into the microstructures inside the fbre via the capillary efect. Then the two ends of the microstructure fbre were fused by single-mode fbre. The ferrofuid was used as the magnetic feld sensing layer, and a magnetic feld was used to change the refractive index of the ferrofuid to cause wavelength shift. The results show that the magnetic feld measurement range was 0 to 1661 Oe. When the magnetic feld was from 0 to 300 Oe, the resonance wavelength shift was more significant and linear, and the sensitivity was 1.946 nm/Oe. When the magnetic feld was continuously increased from 300 to 1661 Oe, the wavelength shift was slower. In 2015, Zhang et al. [\(2015](#page-10-21)) proposed an LPFG magnetic feld sensor fabricated by an ultraviolet radiation processing method to write a periodic structure on single-mode fbre. In addition, a magnetic fuid was used as a magnetic feld sensing layer. When an external magnetic feld was applied, the magnetic fuid caused the refractive index to be altered, with the index being increased as the intensity of the external magnetic feld was increased. When the sensor was immersed in the magnetic fuid, the resonance wavelength was shifted from 1590.8 nm to 1582.9 nm, and the transmission loss was reduced by about 12.5 dB. When the magnetic field was increased from 0 to 108.8 gauss, the resonance wavelength shifted from 1583 nm to 1580 nm, and the transmission loss was reduced by about 19 dB.

Furthermore, the magnetic feld sensor could detect a minimum magnetic feld of 7.4 Gauss, and the sensor sensitivity was 0.154 dB/gauss. Miao et al. ([2015\)](#page-10-22) proposed a tilted LPFG (TLPFG)-based magnetic sensor with magnetic fluid that was fabricated using $CO₂$ laser processing. First, a periodic structure with a period of 650 μ m and a 70° tilted angle was written on a single-mode fbre to produce the TLPFG. Next, a magnetic fuid based on $Fe₃O₄$ was packaged in the capillaries of the TLPFG to form a sensing layer that could sense external magnetic feld changes. The resulting TLPFG sensor was characterized by four transmission loss peaks. The sensitivity of the TLPFG was 0.05 dB/Oe. Gouveia et al. ([2017\)](#page-10-23) proposed a long period fbre grating coated with a thin flm of nitrogen-doped zinc oxide. The N doping of ZnO increased the magneto-optic properties of the material, which in the presence of the magnetic feld changes its own refractive index. The proposed LPFG magnetic feld sensor had a period of 650 μm. The result showed linear response with a sensitivity of 2.9 nm/mT in a range of magnetic feld between 0 and 10 mT.

These studies utilized the optical fbre sensor for magnetic feld measurement by using magnetic fuid, the magneto-optic efect, and the magnetostriction efect. According to the literature, the long-period fbre gratings were fabricated by laser processing. The sensor was demodulated by magnetostrictive efect that used metal flm coated with the fbre grating or by the use of a magnetic feld to change the refractive index of magnetic fuid, which causes the wavelength and transmission loss changes of the fbre grating sensor. These methods cannot be used in mass production, and the stability of the optical fbre magnetic sensor that utilizes magnetic fuid is questionable. Moreover, few studies thus far have mentioned the possibility of using optical fbre sandwiched in periodic nickel magnetostriction coating for magnetic feld measurement. In this study, we propose S-shaped MLPFG magnetic sensors with a S-shaped metallic structure fabricated by electroforming technology. Because of the magnetostriction and magnetic feld strain efect of the metallic structure, difering resonant attenuation spectra can be obtained from the S-shaped MLPFG by applying various magnetic felds. Hence, we propose that these periodic S-shaped MLPFG magnetic sensors have the potential for use in compact applications as all-fbre magnetic feld sensors.

2 Working principle of the S‑shaped MLPFG magnetic feld sensor

LPFG consists of periodic refractive index variations with periods of 100–000 μm. LPFG promotes coupling between propagating core modes and propagating cladding modes to provide a transmission loss for sensing applications. The proposed S-shaped MLPFG was made of optical fbre sandwiched with a periodic S-shaped polymer-metal (SU-8 photoresist and nickel) structure.

According to the magnetic feld of the nickel and the strain-optic efect (Erdogan [1997](#page-10-24)), when the external magnetic feld is applied on the S-shaped MLPFG, the periodic S-shaped nickel and photoresist structure on the optical fbre will induce an expansion strain feld in the longitudinal direction of the MLPFG, and the refractive index of the MLPFG will be modulated as a square wave. Based on the strain-optic efect, the refractive indices change linearly in proportion with the strain feld.

Hence, the refractive index of the S-shaped MLPFG will be modulated as a periodic square wave distribution along the optical fbre. The transmittance of an S-shaped MLPFG can be expressed with the AC component of the coupling coefficient (K_{co-cl}^{ac}) between the core and the cladding. The transmittance of an S-shaped MLPFG has a cosine-squared relationship and is defined as follows (Erdogan [1997](#page-10-24); Shew et al. [2005](#page-10-25); Lin et al. [2001\)](#page-10-26):

$$
T = \cos^2(\kappa_{co-cl}^{ac} L)
$$
 (1)

where L indicates the length of the LPFG and $κ_{co-cl}^{ac}$ is the AC component of the coupling coefficient between the core and the cladding. The transmission loss of an MLPFG can be deduced from the AC component of the coupling coefficient between the core and the cladding. Transmission loss is a function of*κac co*-*cl*, which is proportional to the amplitude of changes in the refractive index because of variation in the strain feld.

By using this formula, it can be determined that the transmission loss of an LPFG is a cosine-squared function and that the transmission loss and the resonant wavelength are related to the coupling coefficient and grating length. Therefore, the transmittance can be tuned by changing the external magnetic feld. The sensing principle of the S-shaped MLPFG magnetic sensor is thus based on monitoring the transmittance of the S-shaped MLPFG modulated by the magnetic feld, where the induced magnetic feld strain efect on the S-shaped MLPFG causes changes in the resonant attenuation dip in the S-shaped MLPFG. Our study employed this principle to analyse the characteristics of the magnetic sensor. According to Eq. [\(1](#page-3-0)), we can measure the magnetic feld by monitoring the transmission loss of the S-shaped MLPFG.

3 Process and experimental setup

3.1 The manufacturing of the S‑shaped MLPFG magnetic feld sensor

The fabrication of the S-shaped MLPFG used in this study was mainly divided into two stages. The frst consisted of the lithography process illustrated in Fig. [1](#page-3-1), the purpose of which was to produce S-shaped LPFG. The second stage consisted of the electroforming process illustrated in Fig. [2.](#page-4-0) The LPFG was electroformed to fabricate an LPFG sensor with a nickel metal structure and an S-shaped photoresist structure.

The materials used in the lithography process were SU-8 3050 negative photoresist and etched single mode optical fbre. A 4-inch wafer was sputtered with a copper flm approximately 200 nm thick, and the single mode optical fbre was etched to 42 μm-diameter

Fig. 1 The S-shaped metallic LPFG fabrication process

Fig. 2 Schematics of the S-shaped metallic LPFG sensor electroforming process

with bufered oxide etch (BOE) solution before the process was started. First, spin coater processing was performed to evenly spin-coat photoresist onto the surface of the wafer. Second, a double-sided mask aligner was used to produce an exposure pattern mask with a 365-nm wavelength UV light. Then the wafer was immersed in a developing solution and rotated by a spinner to remove areas that were not exposed to the UV light. Upon completion of this operation, the designed bottom periodic structure was obtained. Finally, the etched optical fibre $(42 \mu m)$ was pasted onto the patterned SU-8 structure. These procedures were then repeated to form a structure with a thickness of nearly 125 μm to cover the etched optical fbre. Next, the SMLPFG performed the micro-electroforming process described in Sect. [3.2.](#page-4-1) Last of all, the completed fbre grating on the wafer was immersed in a ferric chloride solution for the releasing process, whereby the photoresist layer was separated from the wafer because the thin copper flm sacrifcial layer was etched away by the ferric chloride solution.

3.2 Electroforming process

The S-shaped MLPFG magnetic feld sensor was fabricated using the electroforming process. The electroplating solution consisted of a nickel sulfamate bath, and the copper layer of the wafer was used as a conductive layer. The nickel plating bath had the advantages of uniformity, better fatness, low stress, and a fast deposition rate. The wafer completed with the MEMS process was fastened onto the conducting plate so the copper layer on the wafer could conduct with the conducting plate. The electroforming liquid contained a fxed weight of nickel sulfonate, nickel chloride, boric acid, and deionized water and was placed in a glass vessel and stirred for 4 h with a magnetic stirrer. The main source of nickel ions in the electroforming liquid was the nickel sulfamate. The nickel sulfamate concentration infuences the plating surface's fatness and charring of the coating, while the nickel chloride can increase the conductivity of the plating bath and promote the anode dissolution and coating uniformity of the nickel plate. The pH value of the electroforming liquid had to be maintained at 3.7–4.2. Electroforming is an electrochemical reaction that was used to dissolve the anodic metal in the bath and drive the metal ions to be freely deposited onto the cathode pre-plating. After the electroforming liquid was prepared, the wafer and the nickel plate were fxed on the acrylic plate in parallel in the electroforming liquid. As a result, the metal ions released by the anode could efectively fll the photoresist structure region on the wafer. The power used for the electroforming consisted of a potentiostat with a current values were setting to 0.1, 0.3 and 0.2 A, respectively. After the pre-treatment was completed, the temperature of the electroforming liquid was raised to 40 $^{\circ}$ C, and the current value of the electric chemistry analyser and electroforming time were set to reach the desired thickness (about 130 μm). The entire wafer was then immersed into the mixed solution of hydrogen peroxide, ammonium water, and deionized water until the copper layer (sacrifcial layer) had completely disappeared, which, in turn, allowed the S-shaped MLPFG magnetic feld sensor to be released. Figure [3](#page-5-0) shows a optical microscope image of the resulting S-shaped MLPFG magnetic feld sensor.

3.3 The S‑shaped MLPFG magnetic feld sensing experiment setup

During the process of the magnetic feld sensing experiment, we use the S-shaped MLPFG sensor to detect the strength of the external applied magnetic feld. To avoid thermal efect, we employ the NdFeB magnet to generate the magnetic feld and a gaussmeter to measure the magnetic feld. The strength of the magnetic feld is determined by adjusting the vertical distance between the NFeB magnet and metallic grating structure of S-shaped MLPFG. The magnetic feld attracts the metallic grating structure and induces the variation of strain induced refractive index. The change of transmission loss and wavelength shift were discussed. Figure [4](#page-6-0) shows the experimental setup. The purpose of the S-shaped MLPFG magnetic feld calibration test was to analyse the changes in optical characteristics when the S-shaped MLPFG was afected by a magnetic feld. First, the S-shaped MLPFG sensor's two ends were fastened onto the precision stage and load cell. The magnetic feld was controlled by adjusting the vertical distance between the NFeB magnet and metallic grating structure of the S-shaped MLPFG. The magnetic feld was increased from 0 Gauss to 350

Fig. 3 A optical microscope image of the of the S-shaped metallic LPFG sensor

Gauss. The OSA is used to monitor the optical spectra and the S-shaped MLPFG spectra change under the magnetic feld being analysed.

4 Results and discussion

4.1 S‑shaped MLPFG magnetic feld sensor

In this study, a novel LIGA-like process involving lithography and electroforming was used to develop an MLPFG with a periodic S-shaped polymer-metal structure. By applying the LIGA process, the SU-8 3050 photoresists could be sandwiched to enclose the single mode fbre (SMF) to form a periodic S-shaped photoresist structure, after which the nickel could be electroformed to fll up the S-shaped photoresist grating area, which was itself composed of a combination of the photoresist and nickel. The period of the resulting MLPFG was 620 μm, the total grating length was 2.5 cm, and the radius of the optical fbre was 21 μm.

4.2 S‑shaped MLPFG magnetic feld sensing experiment

The results of the S-shaped MLPFG magnetic feld sensing experiment showed that, when unafected by magnetic force, the resonant wavelength of the sensors was 1558.591 nm and the transmission loss was -35.995 dB, and that the resonant wavelength slightly changed while the transmission loss gradually decreased as the intensity of the magnetic feld applied was increased. At a magnetic feld of 350 Gauss, the resonant wavelength was 1558.342 nm, and the transmission loss was − 39.024 dB. The transmission loss increased gradually, dropping by 3.029 dB as shown in Fig. [5a](#page-7-0). Figure [6a](#page-8-0) is a wavelength-transmission loss analysis chart for the S-shaped MLPFG magnetic feld sensing test. The fgure shows the resonant wavelength positions and transmission loss changes from 0 to 350 Gauss. As the magnetic feld increased, the transmission loss changes and the magnetic feld shared a linear relationship, with a transmission loss sensitivity of − 0.0083 dB/Gauss and a linearity (R^2) of 0.911.

Fig. 5 First through third cycle S-shaped MLPFG spectra of magnetic feld sensing

4.3 Magnetic feld sensing cyclic test

To explore the repeatability of the S-shaped MLPFG magnetic feld sensors, the procedures used in the frst experiment were repeated for two more magnetic sensing experiments. The results of the second experiment showed that when unafected by a magnetic force, the resonant wavelength was 1558.342 nm and the transmission loss was − 36.082 dB, and that the resonant wavelength was unchanged while the transmission loss gradually decreased as the intensity of the magnetic feld applied was increased. At a magnetic feld of 350 Gauss, the resonant wavelength was 1558.324 nm, and the transmission loss was − 38.855 dB. Transmission loss increased gradually, dropping by 2.773 dB as shown in Fig. [5b](#page-7-0). Figure [6b](#page-8-0) is a wavelength-transmission loss analysis chart for the second S-shaped MLPFG magnetic feld sensing test. The fgure shows that as the magnetic fux increased,

Fig. 6 First through third magnetic feld sensing correction graphs for wavelength- magnetic feld -transmission

the transmission loss changes and the magnetic feld shared a linear relationship, with a transmission loss sensitivity of $-$ 0.0083 dB/Gauss and a linearity (\mathbb{R}^2) of 0.9358. The results of the third experiment showed that when unafected by magnetic force, the resonant wavelength was at 1558.342 nm and transmission loss was − 36.066 dB, and that the resonant wavelength was unchanged while the transmission loss gradually decreased as the intensity of the magnetic feld applied was increased. At a magnetic feld of 350 Gauss, the resonant wavelength was 1558.342 nm, and the transmission loss was − 38.945 dB. The transmission loss increased gradually, dropping by 2.879 dB as shown in Fig. [5c](#page-7-0). Figure [6](#page-8-0)c is a wavelength-transmission analysis chart for the third S-shaped MLPFG magnetic feld sensing test. The fgure shows that as the magnetic feld increased, the transmission loss changes and the magnetic feld shared a linear relationship, with a transmission loss sensitivity of -0.0084 dB/Gauss and a linearity (\mathbb{R}^2) of 0.9426. The three magnetic field sensing experiments revealed that when the magnetic feld was modulated, the change in the

transmission loss had good reproducibility and stability as shown in Fig. [7](#page-9-2). The standard deviations for sensitivity and linearity (R^2) from the three experiments were – 0.0083 dB/ Gauss and 0.9327, respectively. The sensitivity to transmission loss in this study was 6.64 times greater than the sensitivity of 0.00125 dB/Gauss reported by Konstantaki et al. ([2011\)](#page-10-18). The results suggest that this phenomenon was caused by the magnetic nickel metal. The external magnetic feld attracts the nickel structure and strains the periodic metal grating structure, which leads to perturbations in the metal grating's periodic refractive index.

5 Conclusion

The S-shaped MLPFG magnetic feld sensor developed in this study used photolithography and electroforming to produce an S-shaped metallic periodic structure. The fbre etching and photoresist process parameters afected the long-period structure of the sensor. During the electroforming process, the ionic liquid concentration, current density, and processing time afected the pore size of the sensor, and the uniformity of electroforming thickness afected the quality of the sensor. The magnetic feld intensity was increased from 0 Gauss to 350 Gauss; the highest sensitivity of the magnetic feld sensor was − 0.0084 dB/Gauss and \mathbb{R}^2 was 0.9426. The sensitivity to transmission loss of the magnetic field sensor developed in this study was 6.64 times better than that proposed by Konstantaki et al. [\(2011](#page-10-18)). The cyclic magnetic feld treatment experimental results showed that the S-shaped MLPFG has excellent repeatability. Therefore, the S-shaped MLPFG sensor developed in this study can be used to measure magnetic feld intensity.

Acknowledgement This work was supported by the Ministry of Science and Technology, Taiwan (Grant Number 107-2623-E-992-301-D).

References

- Allsop, T., Arif, R., Neal, R., Kalli, K., Kundrát, V., Rozhin, A., Culverhouse, P., Webb, D.J.: Photonic gas sensors exploiting directly the optical properties of hybrid carbon nanotube localized surface plasmon structures. Light Sci. Appl. **5**(2), 1–8 (2016)
- Bandara, A.B., Zuo, Z., Ramachandran, S., Ritter, A., Hefin, J.R., Inzana, T.J.: Detection of methicillin-resistant staphylococci by biosensor assay consisting of nanoscale flms on optical fber long-period gratings. Biosens. Bioelectron. **70**, 433–440 (2015)
- Berruti, G., Consales, M., Borriello, A., Giordano, M., Buontempo, S., Breglio, G., Makovec, A., Petagna, P., Cusano, A.: High-sensitivity metal oxides-coated long-period fber grating sensors for humidity monitoring in high-energy physics applications. In: Optical Sensing and Detection III. International Society for Optics and Photonics, vol. 9141, pp. 914114 (2014)
- Del Villar, I., Fuentes, O., Chiavaioli, F., Corres, J.M., Matias, I.R.: Optimized strain long-period fber grating (LPFG) sensors operating at the dispersion turning point.". J. Lightwave Technol. **36**(11), 2240–2247 (2018)

Erdogan, T.: Fiber grating spectra. J. Lightwave Technol. **15**, 1277–1294 (1997)

- Gao, L., Zhu, T., Deng, M., Chiang, K.S., Sun, X., Dong, X., et al.: Long-period fber grating within D-shaped fber using magnetic fuid for magnetic-feld detection. IEEE Photonics J. **4**, 2095–2104 (2012)
- Gouveia, C.A.J., Coelho, L., Franco, M.A.R.: Proceedings of SPIE 10323, 25th International Conference on Optical Fiber Sensors (OFS-25), 103233P (2017)
- Jiao, S.X., Zhao, Y., Gu, J.J.: Simultaneous measurement of humidity and temperature using a polyvinyl alcohol tapered fber bragg grating. Instrum. Sci. Technol. **46**, 1–12 (2017)
- Konstantaki, M., Candiani, A., Pissadakis, S.: Optical fbre long period grating spectral actuators utilizing ferrofuids as outclading overlayers. J. Eur. Opt. Soc. Rapid Publ. **6**, 11007 (2011)
- Lin, C.Y., Wang, L.A., Chern, G.W.: Corrugated long-period fber gratings as strain, torsion, and bending sensors. J. Lightwave Technol. **19**, 1159–1168 (2001)
- Liu, T., Chen, X., Di, Z., Zhang, J., Li, X., Chen, J.: Tunable magneto-optical wavelength flter of long-period fber grating with magnetic fuids. Appl. Phys. Lett. **91**, 121116 (2007)
- Liu, T., Chen, Y., Han, Q., Lü, X.: Magnetic feld sensor based on U-bent single-mode fber and magnetic fuid. IEEE Photonics J. **6**(6), 1–7 (2014)
- Lv, R.Q., Wang, Q., Hu, H.F., Li, J.: Fabrication and sensing characterization of thermally induced long period fber gratings in few mode fbers. Optik-Int. J. Light Electron Opt. **158**, 71–77 (2018)
- Miao, Y., Zhang, K., Liu, B., Lin, W., Zhang, H., Lu, Y., et al.: Ferrofuid-infltrated microstructured optical fber long-period grating. IEEE Photonics Technol. Lett. **25**, 306–309 (2013)
- Miao, Y., Ma, X., Lin, J., Song, B., Lin, W., Zhang, H., et al.: Intensity-based magnetic feld measurement employing tilted long-period fber gratings. J. Opt. **17**, 1–5 (2015)
- Mishra, S.K., Zou, B., Chiang, K.S.: Wide-range pH sensor based on a smart-hydrogel-coated long-period fber grating. IEEE J. Sel. Top. Quantum Electron. **23**, 284–288 (2017)
- Mizuno, Y., Hayashi, N., Fukuda, H., Song, K.Y., Nakamura, K.: Ultrahigh-speed distributed Brillouin refectometry. Light Sci. Appl. **5**, e16184 (2016)
- Najari, M., Javan, A.M., Amiri, N.: Hybrid all-fber sensor for simultaneous strain and temperature measurements based on mach–zehnder interferometer. Optik-Int. J. Light Electron Opt. **126**(19), 2022–2025 (2015)
- Shew, B.Y., Kuo, C.H., Huang, Y.C., Tsai, Y.H.: UV-LIGA interferometer biosensor based on the SU-8 optical waveguide. Sens. Actuators A **120**, 383–389 (2005)
- Singh, A., Engles, D., Sharma, A., Singh, M.: Temperature sensitivity of long period fber grating in SMF-28 fber. Optik-Int. J. Light Electron Opt. **125**(1), 457–460 (2014)
- Tan, Y.C., Ji, W.B., Mamidala, V., Chow, K.K., Tjin, S.C.: Carbon-nanotube-deposited long period fber grating for continuous refractive index sensor applications. Sens. Actuators B Chem. **196**, 260–264 (2014)
- Thomas, S., Mathew, J., Radhakrishnan, P., Nampoori, V.P.N., George, A.K., Al-Harthi, S.H., Ramanujan, R.V., Anantharaman, M.R.: Metglas thin flm based magnetostrictive transducers for use in long period fbre grating sensors. Sens. Actuators A **161**(1), 83–90 (2010a)
- Thomas, S., Mathew, J., Radhakrishnan, P., Nampoori, V., George, A., Al-Harthi, S.: Metglas thin flm based magnetostrictive transducers for use in long period fbre grating sensors. Sens. Actuators A **161**, 83–90 (2010b)
- Yang, M., Dai, J., Zhou, C., Jiang, D.: Optical fber magnetic feld sensors with TbDyFe magnetostrictive thin flms as sensing materials. Opt. Express **17**(23), 20777–20782 (2009)
- Yin, M.J., Huang, B., Gao, S., Zhang, A.P., Ye, X.: Optical fber LPG biosensor integrated microfuidic chip for ultrasensitive glucose detection. Biomed. Opt. Express **7**(5), 2067–2077 (2016)
- Zhang, N.M.Y., Dong, X., Shum, P.P., Hu, D.J.J., Su, H., Lew, W.S., et al.: Magnetic feld sensor based on magnetic-fuid-coated long-period fber grating. J. Opt. **17**, 065402 (2015)
- Zhao, Y., Lei, M., Liu, S.X., Zhao, Q.: Smart hydrogel-based optical fber SPR sensor for pH measurements. Sens. Actuators B Chem. **261**, 226–232 (2018)
- Zheng, J., Dong, X., Zu, P., Shao, L.-Y., Chan, C.C., Cui, Y., Shum, P.P.: Magnetic feld sensor using tilted fber grating interacting with magnetic fuid. Opt. Express **21**(15), 17863–17868 (2013)
- Zheng, Z.M., Yu, Y.S., Zhang, X.Y., Guo, Q., Sun, H.B.: Femtosecond laser inscribed small-period longperiod fber gratings with dual-parameter sensing. IEEE Sens. J. **18**, 1100–1103 (2018)