

Inequalities and asymptotics for the Euler–Mascheroni constant based on DeTemple’s result

Chao-Ping Chen¹

Received: 15 October 2015 / Accepted: 18 February 2016 / Published online: 26 February 2016
© Springer Science+Business Media New York 2016

Abstract Let $R_n = \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{k} - \ln\left(n + \frac{1}{2}\right)$. DeTemple proved the following inequality:

$$\frac{1}{24(n+1)^2} < R_n - \gamma < \frac{1}{24n^2}$$

for all integers $n \geq 1$, where γ denotes the Euler–Mascheroni constant. In this paper, we give a pair of recurrence relations for determining the constants a_ℓ and b_ℓ such that

$$R_n - \gamma \sim \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_\ell}{(n^2 + n + b_\ell)^{2\ell-1}}, \quad n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Based on this expansion, we establish some inequalities for the Euler–Mascheroni constant.

Keywords Psi function · Euler–Mascheroni constant · Asymptotic formula · Inequality

Mathematics Subject Classifications (2010) Primary 33B15; Secondary 11Y60 · 41A60

✉ Chao-Ping Chen
chenchaoping@sohu.com

¹ School of Mathematics and Informatics, Henan Polytechnic University, Jiaozuo City 454000, Henan Province, China

1 Introduction

The Euler–Mascheroni constant $\gamma = 0.577215664\dots$ is defined as the limit of the sequence

$$D_n = H_n - \ln n, \quad n \in \mathbb{N} := \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}, \quad (1.1)$$

where H_n denotes the n th harmonic number defined by

$$H_n = \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{k}.$$

There has been a significant amount of interest and research on γ as testified by survey papers (cf., [7]) and expository books (cf., [11]), which reveal its essential properties and surprising connections with other areas of mathematics.

Several bounds for $D_n - \gamma$ have been given in the literature [3, 4, 15, 17–20]. For example, the following inequality for $D_n - \gamma$ was established in [15, 20]:

$$\frac{1}{2(n+1)} < D_n - \gamma < \frac{1}{2n}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (1.2)$$

Alzer [3, Theorem 3] gave the following sharp form of the inequality (1.2):

$$\frac{1}{2(n + \frac{1}{2(1-\gamma)} - 1)} \leq D_n - \gamma < \frac{1}{2(n + \frac{1}{6})}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (1.3)$$

The constants $\frac{1}{2(1-\gamma)} - 1$ and $\frac{1}{6}$ are the best possible.

The editorial comment in [19] said that the inequality (1.3) holds, and equality holds only when $n = 1$. However, the proof of (1.3) was not published in [19]. So, the first published proof is due to Alzer.

The convergence of the sequence D_n to γ is very slow. By changing the logarithmic term in (1.1), DeTemple [8, 9] presented the following inequality:

$$\frac{1}{24(n+1)^2} < R_n - \gamma < \frac{1}{24n^2}, \quad (1.4)$$

where

$$R_n = H_n - \ln\left(n + \frac{1}{2}\right). \quad (1.5)$$

Karatsuba [12] improved (1.4) and obtained the following inequality:

$$\frac{1}{24n^2} - \frac{1}{24n^3} + \frac{1}{120n^4} - \frac{1}{126n^6} \leq R_n - \gamma \leq \frac{1}{24n^2} - \frac{1}{24n^3} + \frac{23}{960n^4}. \quad (1.6)$$

Chen [5] obtained the following sharp form of the inequality (1.4):

$$\frac{1}{24(n+a)^2} \leq R_n - \gamma < \frac{1}{24(n+b)^2}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N} \quad (1.7)$$

with the best possible constants

$$a = \frac{1}{\sqrt{24[-\gamma + 1 - \ln(3/2)]}} - 1 = 0.55106\dots \quad \text{and} \quad b = \frac{1}{2}.$$

For some $a \in (0, \infty)$, Sîntămărian [16] considered the sequence

$$\left(\frac{1}{a} + \frac{1}{a+1} + \dots + \frac{1}{a+n-1} - \ln \frac{a+n-1}{a}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$$

and evaluated the limit $\gamma(a)$ of this sequence, which for $a = 1$ is equal to the Euler constant γ . In particular, Sîntămărian [16] presented some sequences that are stated to converge quickly to $\gamma(a)$, like the sequences

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_n = & \frac{1}{a} + \frac{1}{a+1} + \dots + \frac{1}{a+n-1} - \ln \left(\frac{a+n-1}{a} + \frac{1}{2a}\right) - \frac{1}{24(a+n-\frac{1}{2})^2} \\ & + \frac{7}{960(a+n-\frac{1}{2})^4} - \frac{31}{8064(a+n-\frac{1}{2})^6} + \frac{127}{30720(a+n-\frac{1}{2})^8} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\beta_n = \alpha_n - \frac{511}{67584(a+n-\frac{1}{2})^{10}}.$$

Moreover, Sîntămărian [16, Theorem 3.2] presented the following results:

- (i) $\gamma(a) < \alpha_{n+1} < \alpha_n$, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n^{10}(\alpha_n - \gamma(a)) = \frac{511}{67584}.$$

- (ii) $\beta_n < \beta_{n+1} < \gamma(a)$, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n^{12}(\gamma(a) - \beta_n) = \frac{1414477}{67092480}.$$

- (iii) For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\frac{511}{67584(a+n)^{10}} < \alpha_n - \gamma(a) < \frac{511}{67584(a+n-\frac{1}{2})^{10}}. \tag{1.8}$$

In this paper, we give a pair of recurrence relations for determining the constants a_ℓ and b_ℓ such that

$$R_n - \gamma \sim \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_\ell}{(n^2 + n + b_\ell)^{2\ell-1}}, \quad n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Based on this expansion, we establish some inequalities for the Euler–Mascheroni constant.

2 A useful lemma

Euler’s gamma function:

$$\Gamma(x) = \int_0^\infty t^{x-1} e^{-t} dt, \quad x > 0$$

is one of the most important functions in mathematical analysis and has applications in many diverse areas. The logarithmic derivative of the gamma function:

$$\psi(x) = \frac{\Gamma'(x)}{\Gamma(x)}$$

is known as the psi (or digamma) function. $\psi(x)$ is connected to the Euler–Mascheroni constant and harmonic numbers through the well known relation (see [10, p. 137, Eq. (5.4.14)])

$$\psi(n + 1) = H_n - \gamma, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}. \tag{2.1}$$

Hence, various approximations of the psi function are used in this relation and interpreted as approximation for the harmonic number H_n or as approximation of the constant γ .

Lemma 2.1 (see [2]) For $x > \frac{1}{2}$ and $N = 0, 1, 2, \dots$,

$$\ln\left(x - \frac{1}{2}\right) - \sum_{k=1}^{2N} \frac{B_{2k}(1/2)}{2k(x - \frac{1}{2})^{2k}} < \psi(x) < \ln\left(x - \frac{1}{2}\right) - \sum_{k=1}^{2N+1} \frac{B_{2k}(1/2)}{2k(x - \frac{1}{2})^{2k}}, \tag{2.2}$$

where

$$B_k(1/2) = -\left(1 - \frac{1}{2^{k-1}}\right) B_k, \quad k = 0, 1, 2, \dots \tag{2.3}$$

Here B_n ($n \in \mathbb{N}_0$) are the Bernoulli numbers defined by the following generating function:

$$\frac{z}{e^z - 1} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} B_n \frac{z^n}{n!}, \quad |z| < 2\pi.$$

It follows from (2.2) that for $x > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{24x^2} - \frac{7}{960x^4} + \frac{31}{8064x^6} - \frac{127}{30720x^8} < \psi\left(x + \frac{1}{2}\right) - \ln x \\ < \frac{1}{24x^2} - \frac{7}{960x^4} + \frac{31}{8064x^6} - \frac{127}{30720x^8} + \frac{511}{67584x^{10}}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

Using (2.4), we find¹

$$P^2(x) < \frac{1}{576(x + \frac{1}{2})^4} - \frac{7}{11520(x + \frac{1}{2})^6} + \frac{7229}{19353600(x + \frac{1}{2})^8}, \quad x \geq 2, \tag{2.5}$$

where

$$P(x) = \psi(x + 1) - \ln\left(x + \frac{1}{2}\right). \tag{2.6}$$

¹The inequality (2.5) is proved in the [Appendix](#).

It follows from (2.2) that for $x > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{24x^2} - \frac{7}{960x^4} + \frac{31}{8064x^6} - \frac{127}{30720x^8} + \frac{511}{67584x^{10}} - \frac{1414477}{67092480x^{12}} &< \psi\left(x + \frac{1}{2}\right) - \ln x \\ &< \frac{1}{24x^2} - \frac{7}{960x^4} + \frac{31}{8064x^6} - \frac{127}{30720x^8} + \frac{511}{67584x^{10}} - \frac{1414477}{67092480x^{12}} + \frac{8191}{98304x^{14}}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.7}$$

The inequalities (2.5) and (2.7) are used in Section 2.

3 Asymptotic expansions

Theorem 3.1 *As $x \rightarrow \infty$, we have*

$$\psi\left(x + \frac{1}{2}\right) \sim \ln x + \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_{\ell}}{(x^2 + \mu_{\ell})^{2\ell-1}}, \tag{3.1}$$

where λ_{ℓ} and μ_{ℓ} are given by a pair of recurrence relations

$$\lambda_{\ell} = \left(1 - \frac{1}{2^{4\ell-3}}\right) \frac{B_{4\ell-2}}{4\ell-2} - \sum_{k=1}^{\ell-1} \lambda_k \mu_k^{2\ell-2k} \binom{2\ell-2}{2\ell-2k}, \quad \ell \geq 2 \tag{3.2}$$

and

$$\mu_{\ell} = -\frac{1}{(2\ell-1)\lambda_{\ell}} \left\{ \left(1 - \frac{1}{2^{4\ell-1}}\right) \frac{B_{4\ell}}{4\ell} + \sum_{k=1}^{\ell-1} \lambda_k \mu_k^{2\ell-2k+1} \binom{2\ell-1}{2\ell-2k+1} \right\}, \quad \ell \geq 2 \tag{3.3}$$

with $\lambda_1 = \frac{1}{24}$ and $\mu_1 = \frac{7}{40}$. Here B_n are the Bernoulli numbers.

Proof Write (3.1) as

$$\psi\left(x + \frac{1}{2}\right) - \ln x \sim \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_j}{x^{4j-2}} \left(1 + \frac{\mu_j}{x^2}\right)^{-2j+1}.$$

Direct computation yields

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_j}{x^{4j-2}} \left(1 + \frac{\mu_j}{x^2}\right)^{-2j+1} &\sim \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_j}{x^{4j-2}} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \binom{-2j+1}{k} \frac{\mu_j^k}{x^{2k}} \\ &\sim \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_j}{x^{4j-2}} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-1)^k \binom{k+2j-2}{k} \frac{\mu_j^k}{x^{2k}} \\ &\sim \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{j-1} \lambda_{k+1} \mu_{k+1}^{j-k-1} (-1)^{j-k-1} \binom{j+k-1}{j-k-1} \frac{1}{x^{2j+2k}}, \end{aligned}$$

which can be written as

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_j}{x^{4j-2}} \left(1 + \frac{\mu_j}{x^2}\right)^{-2j+1} \sim \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor \frac{j+2}{2} \rfloor} \lambda_k \mu_k^{j-2k+1} (-1)^{j-1} \binom{j-1}{j-2k+1} \right\} \frac{1}{x^{2j}}.$$

We then obtain

$$\psi\left(x + \frac{1}{2}\right) - \ln x \sim \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor \frac{j+2}{2} \rfloor} \lambda_k \mu_k^{j-2k+1} (-1)^{j-1} \binom{j-1}{j-2k+1} \right\} \frac{1}{x^{2j}}. \tag{3.4}$$

On the other hand, it follows (see [13, p. 33]) that

$$\psi\left(x + \frac{1}{2}\right) - \ln x \sim - \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{B_{2j}(1/2)}{2j x^{2j}}, \quad x \rightarrow \infty.$$

Using (2.3), we have

$$\psi\left(x + \frac{1}{2}\right) - \ln x \sim \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \left(1 - \frac{1}{2^{2j-1}}\right) \frac{B_{2j}}{2j} \frac{1}{x^{2j}}. \tag{3.5}$$

Equating coefficients of the term x^{-2j} on the right sides of (3.4) and (3.5), we obtain

$$\left(1 - \frac{1}{2^{2j-1}}\right) \frac{B_{2j}}{2j} = \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor \frac{j+2}{2} \rfloor} \lambda_k \mu_k^{j-2k+1} (-1)^{j-1} \binom{j-1}{j-2k+1}, \quad j \in \mathbb{N}. \tag{3.6}$$

Setting $j = 2\ell - 1$ and $j = 2\ell$ in (3.6), respectively, yields

$$\left(1 - \frac{1}{2^{4\ell-3}}\right) \frac{B_{4\ell-2}}{4\ell-2} = \sum_{k=1}^{\ell} \lambda_k \mu_k^{2\ell-2k} \binom{2\ell-2}{2\ell-2k} \tag{3.7}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \left(1 - \frac{1}{2^{4\ell-1}}\right) \frac{B_{4\ell}}{4\ell} &= - \sum_{k=1}^{\ell+1} \lambda_k \mu_k^{2\ell-2k+1} \binom{2\ell-1}{2\ell-2k+1} \\ &= - \sum_{k=1}^{\ell} \lambda_k \mu_k^{2\ell-2k+1} \binom{2\ell-1}{2\ell-2k+1} - \lambda_{\ell+1} \mu_{\ell+1}^{-1} \binom{2\ell-1}{-1} \\ &= - \sum_{k=1}^{\ell} \lambda_k \mu_k^{2\ell-2k+1} \binom{2\ell-1}{2\ell-2k+1}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.8}$$

For $\ell = 1$, from (3.7) and (3.8) we obtain

$$\lambda_1 = \frac{1}{24} \quad \text{and} \quad \mu_1 = \frac{7}{40},$$

and for $\ell \geq 2$ we have

$$\left(1 - \frac{1}{2^{4\ell-3}}\right) \frac{B_{4\ell-2}}{4\ell-2} = \sum_{k=1}^{\ell-1} \lambda_k \mu_k^{2\ell-2k} \binom{2\ell-2}{2\ell-2k} + \lambda_\ell$$

and

$$\left(1 - \frac{1}{2^{4\ell-1}}\right) \frac{B_{4\ell}}{4\ell} = - \sum_{k=1}^{\ell-1} \lambda_k \mu_k^{2\ell-2k+1} \binom{2\ell-1}{2\ell-2k+1} - (2\ell-1)\lambda_\ell \mu_\ell.$$

We then obtain the recurrence relations (3.2) and (3.3). The proof of Theorem 3.1 is complete. □

Here we give explicit numerical values of some first terms of λ_ℓ and μ_ℓ by using the recurrence relations (3.2) and (3.3). This shows how easily we can determine the constants λ_ℓ and μ_ℓ in (3.1).

$$\lambda_1 = \frac{1}{24}, \quad \mu_1 = \frac{7}{40},$$

$$\lambda_2 = \frac{31}{192} B_6 - \lambda_1 \mu_1^2 = \frac{31}{192} \cdot \left(\frac{1}{6}\right) - \frac{1}{24} \cdot \left(\frac{7}{40}\right)^2 = \frac{2071}{806400},$$

$$\mu_2 = -\frac{127 B_8 + 1024 \lambda_1 \mu_1^3}{3072 \lambda_2} = -\frac{127 \cdot \left(-\frac{1}{30}\right) + 1024 \cdot \left(\frac{1}{24}\right) \cdot \left(\frac{7}{40}\right)^3}{3072 \cdot \left(\frac{2071}{806400}\right)} = \frac{42049}{82840},$$

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_3 &= \frac{511}{5120} B_{10} - \lambda_1 \mu_1^4 - 6 \lambda_2 \mu_2^2 \\ &= \frac{511}{5120} \cdot \left(\frac{5}{66}\right) - \frac{1}{24} \cdot \left(\frac{7}{40}\right)^4 - 6 \cdot \left(\frac{2071}{806400}\right) \cdot \left(\frac{42049}{82840}\right)^2 = \frac{4971169273}{1399664640000}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_3 &= -\frac{2047 B_{12} + 24576 \lambda_1 \mu_1^5 + 245760 \lambda_2 \mu_2^3}{122880 \lambda_3} \\ &= -\frac{2047 \cdot \left(-\frac{691}{2730}\right) + 24576 \cdot \left(\frac{1}{24}\right) \cdot \left(\frac{7}{40}\right)^5 + 245760 \cdot \left(\frac{2071}{806400}\right) \cdot \left(\frac{42049}{82840}\right)^3}{122880 \cdot \left(\frac{4971169273}{1399664640000}\right)} \\ &= \frac{186936308635618223}{187374306471770600}. \end{aligned}$$

Noting that (2.1) holds, replacing x by $n + \frac{1}{2}$ in (3.1), we obtain the following

Corollary 3.1 *As $n \rightarrow \infty$, we have*

$$R_n - \gamma \sim \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_\ell}{(n^2 + n + b_\ell)^{2\ell-1}}, \tag{3.9}$$

where

$$a_\ell = \lambda_\ell \quad \text{and} \quad b_\ell = \frac{1}{4} + \mu_\ell. \tag{3.10}$$

The first few constants a_ℓ and b_ℓ are

$$\begin{aligned} a_1 &= \lambda_1 = \frac{1}{24}, & b_1 &= \frac{1}{4} + \mu_1 = \frac{17}{40}, \\ a_2 &= \lambda_2 = \frac{2071}{806400}, & b_2 &= \frac{1}{4} + \mu_2 = \frac{62759}{82840}, \\ a_3 &= \lambda_3 = \frac{4971169273}{1399664640000}, & b_3 &= \frac{1}{4} + \mu_3 = \frac{233779885253560873}{187374306471770600}. \end{aligned}$$

We then obtain

$$\begin{aligned} R_n - \gamma &\sim \frac{1}{24(x^2 + x + \frac{17}{40})} + \frac{2071}{806400(x^2 + x + \frac{62759}{82840})^3} \\ &+ \frac{4971169273}{1399664640000 \left(n^2 + n + \frac{233779885253560873}{187374306471770600} \right)^5} + \dots \tag{3.11} \end{aligned}$$

Remark 3.1 The inequality (2.2) implies the following asymptotic expansion:

$$\psi(x + 1) - \ln\left(x + \frac{1}{2}\right) \sim \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(1 - \frac{1}{2^{k-1}}\right) \frac{B_k}{2k(x + \frac{1}{2})^{2k}}, \quad x \rightarrow \infty. \tag{3.12}$$

Noting that (2.1) holds, we obtain from (3.12) that

$$R_n - \gamma \sim \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(1 - \frac{1}{2^{k-1}}\right) \frac{B_k}{2k(n + \frac{1}{2})^{2k}}, \quad n \rightarrow \infty. \tag{3.13}$$

From a computational viewpoint, the formula (3.9) improves the formula (3.13).

4 Inequalities

Theorem 4.1 For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$\frac{1}{24(n^2 + n + \alpha)} < R_n - \gamma \leq \frac{1}{24(n^2 + n + \beta)} \tag{4.1}$$

with the best possible constants

$$\alpha = \frac{17}{40} = 0.425 \quad \text{and} \quad \beta = \frac{47 - 48\gamma + 48 \ln(2/3)}{-24 + 24\gamma - 24 \ln(2/3)} = 0.40580406\dots \tag{4.2}$$

Proof The inequality (4.1) can be written as

$$\alpha > f(n) \geq \beta, \tag{4.3}$$

where

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{24P(x)} - x^2 - x$$

with $P(x)$ is defined by (2.6). Direct computation yields

$$f(1) = 0.40580406\dots, \quad f(2) = 0.41657108\dots \tag{4.4}$$

We conclude from the asymptotic expansion of ψ [1, p. 259] that

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} f(x) = \frac{17}{40}.$$

In order to prove Theorem 4.1, it suffices to show that the sequence $\{f(n)\}$ is strictly increasing for $n \geq 1$.

From the well known continued fraction for ψ' (see [6, p. 232]):

$$\psi'(z) = \frac{1}{z} + \frac{1}{2z^2} + \frac{2\pi}{z} \left(\frac{a_1^{(1)}}{z^2} + \frac{a_2^{(1)}}{1} + \frac{a_3^{(1)}}{z^2} + \frac{a_4^{(2)}}{1} + \dots \right), \quad |\arg z| < \frac{\pi}{2},$$

where

$$a_1^{(1)} = \frac{1}{12\pi}, \quad a_m^{(1)} = \frac{m^2(m^2 - 1)}{4(4m^2 - 1)}, \quad m \geq 2,$$

we find that for $x > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{2\pi}{x} \left(\frac{a_1^{(1)}}{x^2} + \frac{a_2^{(1)}}{1} + \frac{a_3^{(1)}}{x^2} + \frac{a_4^{(1)}}{1} \right) &< \psi'(x) - \frac{1}{x} - \frac{1}{2x^2} \\ &< \frac{2\pi}{x} \left(\frac{a_1^{(1)}}{x^2} + \frac{a_2^{(1)}}{1} + \frac{a_3^{(1)}}{x^2} + \frac{a_4^{(1)}}{1} + \frac{a_5^{(1)}}{x^2} \right), \end{aligned}$$

that is

$$\frac{7(15x^2 + 22)}{30x(21x^4 + 35x^2 + 4)} < \psi'(x) - \frac{1}{x} - \frac{1}{2x^2} < \frac{385x^4 + 1148x^2 + 300}{210x^3(11x^4 + 35x^2 + 14)}, \quad x > 0. \tag{4.5}$$

Differentiating $f(x)$ and applying the second inequality in (4.5) and the inequality (2.5), we obtain that for $x \geq 2$,

$$\begin{aligned} -576P^2(x)f'(x) &= 24 \left(\psi'(x) - \frac{1}{x^2} \right) - \frac{48}{2x+1} + 576(2x+1)P^2(x) \\ &< 24 \left(\frac{1}{x} - \frac{1}{2x^2} + \frac{385x^4 + 1148x^2 + 300}{210x^3(11x^4 + 35x^2 + 14)} \right) - \frac{48}{2x+1} \\ &\quad + 576(2x+1) \left(\frac{1}{576(x+\frac{1}{2})^4} - \frac{7}{11520(x+\frac{1}{2})^6} + \frac{7229}{19353600(x+\frac{1}{2})^8} \right) \\ &= -\frac{4P_7(x-2)}{525x^3(11x^4 + 35x^2 + 14)(2x+1)^7}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$P_7(x) = 584490 + 5851566x + 11488246x^2 + 10750439x^3 + 5783365x^4 + 1839404x^5 + 318934x^6 + 22781x^7.$$

So

$$f'(x) > 0, \quad x \geq 2,$$

and therefore $f(x)$ is strictly increasing for $x \geq 2$. Noting that (4.4) holds, we see that the sequence $\{f(n)\}$ is strictly increasing for $n \geq 1$. The proof of Theorem 4.1 is complete. \square

Remark 4.1 The inequality (4.1) is sharper than the inequality (1.7).

Remark 4.2 Direct computation yields

$$\left[R_n - \gamma - \frac{1}{24(n^2 + n + \frac{2}{5})} \right]_{n=1} = \frac{283}{288} - \gamma - \ln 3 + \ln 2 = -0.000041 \dots < 0.$$

By using the second inequality in (2.4), we find that for $n \geq 2$,

$$\begin{aligned} R_n - \gamma - \frac{1}{24(n^2 + n + \frac{2}{5})} &= \psi(n + 1) - \ln \left(n + \frac{1}{2} \right) - \frac{1}{24(n^2 + n + \frac{2}{5})} \\ &< \frac{1}{24(n + \frac{1}{2})^2} - \frac{7}{960(n + \frac{1}{2})^4} + \frac{31}{8064(n + \frac{1}{2})^6} - \frac{1}{24(n^2 + n + \frac{2}{5})} \\ &= -\frac{18485 + 41410(n - 2) + 29282(n - 2)^2 + 8400(n - 2)^3 + 840(n - 2)^4}{2520(2n + 1)^6(5n^2 + 5n + 2)} < 0. \end{aligned}$$

Noting that the lower bound in (4.1) holds, we have

$$\frac{1}{24(n^2 + n + \frac{17}{40})} < R_n - \gamma < \frac{1}{24(n^2 + n + \frac{2}{5})}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}. \tag{4.6}$$

The upper bound in (4.6) does not contain the Euler–Mascheroni constant.

Theorem 4.2 For $x \geq 3$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{4971169273}{1399664640000(x + \frac{1}{2})^{10}} &< \psi \left(x + \frac{1}{2} \right) - \ln x - \frac{1}{24(x^2 + \frac{7}{40})} - \frac{2071}{806400(x^2 + \frac{42049}{82840})^3} \\ &< \frac{4971169273}{1399664640000x^{10}}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.7}$$

Proof In order to prove (4.7), it suffices to show that

$$g(x) > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad h(x) < 0 \quad \text{for} \quad x \geq 3, \tag{4.8}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} g(x) &= \psi \left(x + \frac{1}{2} \right) - \ln x - \frac{1}{24(x^2 + \frac{7}{40})} - \frac{2071}{806400(x^2 + \frac{42049}{82840})^3} \\ &\quad - \frac{4971169273}{1399664640000(x + \frac{1}{2})^{10}} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$h(x) = \psi \left(x + \frac{1}{2} \right) - \ln x - \frac{1}{24(x^2 + \frac{7}{40})} - \frac{2071}{806400(x^2 + \frac{42049}{82840})^3} - \frac{4971169273}{1399664640000x^{10}}.$$

By using (2.7), we find that

$$g(x) > \frac{1}{24x^2} - \frac{7}{960x^4} + \frac{31}{8064x^6} - \frac{127}{30720x^8} + \frac{511}{67584x^{10}} - \frac{1414477}{67092480x^{12}} - \frac{1}{24(x^2 + \frac{7}{40})} - \frac{2071}{806400(x^2 + \frac{42049}{82840})^3} - \frac{4971169273}{1399664640000(x + \frac{1}{2})^{10}} = \frac{P_{19}(x - 3)}{191054223360000x^{12}(40x^2 + 7)(82840x^2 + 42049)^3(2x + 1)^{10}}$$

and

$$h(x) < \frac{1}{24x^2} - \frac{7}{960x^4} + \frac{31}{8064x^6} - \frac{127}{30720x^8} + \frac{511}{67584x^{10}} - \frac{1414477}{67092480x^{12}} + \frac{8191}{98304x^{14}} - \frac{1}{24(x^2 + \frac{7}{40})} - \frac{2071}{806400(x^2 + \frac{42049}{82840})^3} - \frac{4971169273}{1399664640000x^{10}} = -\frac{P_{10}(x - 3)}{34737131520000x^{14}(40x^2 + 7)(82840x^2 + 42049)^3},$$

where

$$P_{19}(x) = 69116410151011005582827350805431174002701 + 505644358645514184355456802928737950264204x + \dots + 79002607563963378499053158400000x^{19}$$

and

$$P_{10}(x) = 488945780916640773369001950036912 + 1908267281276275760947093211291016x + \dots + 13994661680759050800770496000x^{10}$$

are polynomials of 19th, respectively 10th degree, having all coefficients positive. Hence, (4.8) holds true. The proof of Theorem 4.1 is complete. □

Corollary 4.1 For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let

$$\omega_n = R_n - \frac{1}{24(n^2 + n + \frac{17}{40})} - \frac{2071}{806400(n^2 + n + \frac{62759}{82840})^3}. \tag{4.9}$$

Then, we have

$$\frac{4971169273}{1399664640000(n + 1)^{10}} < \omega_n - \gamma < \frac{4971169273}{1399664640000(n + \frac{1}{2})^{10}}. \tag{4.10}$$

Proof Replacement of x by $n + \frac{1}{2}$ in (4.7) yields

$$\frac{4971169273}{1399664640000(n + 1)^{10}} < \psi(n + 1) - \ln\left(n + \frac{1}{2}\right) - \frac{1}{24(n^2 + n + \frac{17}{40})} - \frac{2071}{806400(n^2 + n + \frac{62759}{82840})^3} < \frac{4971169273}{1399664640000(n + \frac{1}{2})^{10}} \tag{4.11}$$

for $n \geq 3$. Elementary calculations show that the inequality (4.11) is also valid for $n = 1$ and 2 . Noting that (2.1) holds, we see that (4.11) can be written as (4.10). The proof is complete. \square

Mortici [14] introduced the sequences (v_n) and (μ_n) by

$$v_n = H_n - \ln \frac{n^2 + n + \frac{7}{24}}{n + \frac{1}{2}} \tag{4.12}$$

and

$$\mu_n = H_n - \ln \frac{n^3 + \frac{3}{2}n^2 + \frac{227}{240}n + \frac{107}{480}}{n^2 + n + \frac{97}{240}}. \tag{4.13}$$

Moreover, the author offered some numerical computations to prove the superiority of his sequences (v_n) and (μ_n) over the classical sequence (D_n) and the DeTemple sequence (R_n) .

The choice $a = 1$ in (1.8) yields

$$\frac{511}{67584(n + 1)^{10}} < \alpha_n - \gamma < \frac{511}{67584(n + \frac{1}{2})^{10}}, \tag{4.14}$$

where

$$\alpha_n = H_n - \ln\left(n + \frac{1}{2}\right) - \frac{1}{24(n + \frac{1}{2})^2} + \frac{7}{960(n + \frac{1}{2})^4} - \frac{31}{8064(n + \frac{1}{2})^6} + \frac{127}{30720(n + \frac{1}{2})^8}. \tag{4.15}$$

We now offer some numerical computations to show the superiority of our sequence (ω_n) over the sequences (v_n) , (μ_n) and (α_n) .

n	$\gamma - v_n$	$\mu_n - \gamma$	$\alpha_n - \gamma$	$\omega_n - \gamma$
1	1.0299×10^{-3}	1.3667×10^{-4}	6.4852×10^{-5}	1.4623×10^{-5}
10	5.2564×10^{-7}	1.8859×10^{-9}	4.5283×10^{-13}	2.0856×10^{-13}
100	6.2963×10^{-11}	2.4862×10^{-15}	7.1911×10^{-23}	3.3772×10^{-23}
1000	6.4107×10^{-15}	2.5544×10^{-21}	7.5232×10^{-33}	3.5339×10^{-33}

Appendix: Proof of (2.5)

Using (2.4), we find that for $x \geq 2$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\psi \left(x + \frac{1}{2} \right) - \ln x \right)^2 - \left(\frac{1}{576x^4} - \frac{7}{11520x^6} + \frac{7229}{19353600x^8} \right) \\ & < \left(\frac{1}{24x^2} - \frac{7}{960x^4} + \frac{31}{8064x^6} - \frac{127}{30720x^8} + \frac{511}{67584x^{10}} \right)^2 \\ & \quad - \left(\frac{1}{576x^4} - \frac{7}{11520x^6} + \frac{7229}{19353600x^8} \right) \\ & = -\frac{Q(x-2)}{50357757542400x^{20}}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} Q(x) = & 11972450715095 + 68182955378648x + 170375802258662x^2 \\ & + 247321024184696x^3 + 231764684292911x^4 + 146843565663872x^5 \\ & + 63807592875488x^6 + 18796851375104x^7 + 3595428926144x^8 \\ & + 403437619200x^9 + 20171880960x^{10}. \end{aligned}$$

We then obtain (2.5).

References

1. Abramowitz, M., Stegun, I.A. (eds.): Handbook of Mathematical Functions with Formulas, Graphs, and Mathematical Tables. National Bureau of Standards, Applied Mathematics Series, vol. 55. 9th printing. Dover, New York (1972)
2. Allasia, G., Giordano, C., Pečarić, J.: Inequalities for the gamma function relating to asymptotic expansions. *Math. Inequal. Appl.* **5**, 543–555 (2002)
3. Alzer, H.: Inequalities for the gamma and polygamma functions. *Abh. Math. Sem. Univ. Hamburg* **68**, 363–372 (1998)
4. Anderson, G.D., Barnard, R.W., Richards, K.C., Vamanamurthy, M.K., Vuorinen, M.: Inequalities for zero-balanced hypergeometric functions. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **347**, 1713–1723 (1995)
5. Chen, C.P.: Inequalities for the Euler-Mascheroni constant. *Appl. Math. Lett.* **23**, 161–164 (2010)
6. Cuyt, A., Petersen, V.B., Verdonk, B., Waadeland, H., Jones, W.B.: Handbook of Continued Fractions for Special Functions. Springer, New York (2008)
7. Dence, T.P., Dence, J.B.: A survey of Euler’s constant. *Math. Mag.* **82**, 255–265 (2009)
8. DeTemple, D.W.: The non-integer property of sums of reciprocals of consecutive integers. *Math. Gaz.* **75**, 193–194 (1991)
9. DeTemple, D.W.: A quicker convergence to Euler’s constant. *Amer. Math. Monthly* **100**, 468–470 (1993)
10. Olver, F.W.J., Lozier, D.W., Boisvert, R.F., Clark, C.W. (eds.): NIST Handbook of Mathematical Functions. Cambridge University Press, New York (2010)
11. Havil, J.: Gamma: exploring Euler’s constant. Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton (2003)
12. Karatsuba, E.A.: On the computation of the Euler constant γ . *Numer. Algorithms* **24**, 83–97 (2000)
13. Luke, Y.L.: The Special Functions and Their Approximations, vol. I. Academic Press, New York (1969)
14. Mortici, C.: On new sequences converging towards the Euler-Mascheroni constant. *Comput. Math. Appl.* **59**, 2610–2614 (2010)
15. Rippon, P.J.: Convergence with pictures. *Amer. Math. Monthly* **93**, 476–478 (1986)

16. Sintămărian, A.: A generalization of Euler's constant. *Numer. Algorithms* **46**, 141–151 (2007)
17. Tims, S.R., Tyrrell, J.A.: Approximate evaluation of Euler's constant. *Math. Gaz* **55**, 65–67 (1971)
18. Tóth, L.: Problem E3432. *Amer. Math. Monthly* **98**, 264 (1991)
19. Tóth, L.: Problem E3432 (Solution). *Amer. Math. Monthly* **99**, 684–685 (1992)
20. Young, R.M.: Euler's Constant. *Math. Gaz.* **75**, 187–190 (1991)