# ORIGINAL PAPER



# **Comment on "Bilinear Bäcklund transformation, soliton and periodic wave solutions for a (3+1)-dimensional variable-coefficient generalized shallow water wave equation" (Nonlinear Dyn. 87, 2529, 2017)**

**Xin-Yi Ga[o](http://orcid.org/0000-0003-3997-6134) · Yong-Jiang Guo · Wen-Rui Shan**

Received: 5 May 2021 / Accepted: 25 June 2021 / Published online: 23 August 2021 © The Author(s), under exclusive licence to Springer Nature B.V. 2021

**Abstract** In this Comment, several enhancements on the results in the paper "Bilinear Bäcklund transformation, soliton and periodic wave solutions for a  $(3+1)$ dimensional variable-coefficient generalized shallow water wave equation" (Nonlinear Dyn. 87, 2529, 2017) are described. With respect to the stream under a pressure surface in the water, for the same equation, using the Hirota method and symbolic computation, we are able to build a set of the bilinear forms, two sets of the bilinear auto-Bäcklund transformations along with some analytic solutions, as well as a set of the similarity reductions. Beyond those in the paper (Nonlinear Dyn. 87, 2529, 2017), our results are dependent on the variable coefficients in the equation, while those coefficients respectively represent the perturbed effects, dispersion and nonlinearity.

**Keywords** Fluids  $\cdot$  (3+1)-dimensional variablecoefficient generalized shallow water wave equation · Bilinear forms · Bilinear auto-Bäcklund transformations with analytic solutions · Similarity reductions · Hirota method · Symbolic computation

## **1 Introduction**

Fluids have been actively studied  $[1-42]$  $[1-42]$ , e.g., recent investigations on the nonlinear dynamics of certain marine inertial particles [\[1\]](#page-6-0), triadic-interaction energy transfer in the fluid flow [\[2](#page-6-1)], nonlinear vibrations of a fluid-filled circular shell [\[3\]](#page-6-2), shallow water waves  $[6,9]$  $[6,9]$  $[6,9]$ , incompressible fluids  $[10,30]$  $[10,30]$ , motion of a rigid plate in a Newtonian fluid [\[11](#page-7-3)], heat-conducting fluids [\[12\]](#page-7-4), capillary fluids [\[13\]](#page-7-5), fluids confined in the cylindrical and slit pores [\[14](#page-7-6)], shallow water in an open sea [\[15\]](#page-7-7), oceans in the Solar System [\[16](#page-7-8)[–18\]](#page-7-9) and liquids with the gas bubbles [\[26](#page-7-10)]. Nowadays, such models have been proposed to describe the fluids [\[8](#page-6-4)– [42\]](#page-8-0), as different Navier–Stokes systems [\[10](#page-7-1)[,12](#page-7-4)[,13](#page-7-5)], a fractional Bagley–Torvik system [\[11\]](#page-7-3), an extended Peng-Robinson system [\[14\]](#page-7-6), a generalized (2+1) dimensional dispersive long-wave system [\[15](#page-7-7),[38\]](#page-8-1), a variable-coefficient nonlinear dispersive-wave system [\[16](#page-7-8)[,17](#page-7-11)], a higher-order Boussinesq-Burgers system [\[18](#page-7-9)[,19](#page-7-12)], different extended (2+1)-dimensional coupled Burgers systems [\[20](#page-7-13),[34](#page-7-14)], an Ablowitz–Kaup–Newell– Segur system [\[21](#page-7-15)[–24\]](#page-7-16), a generalized Konopelchenko– Dubrovsky–Kaup–Kupershmidt system [\[25\]](#page-7-17), a (3+1) dimensional nonlinear wave equation [\[26\]](#page-7-10), different (3+1)-dimensional generalized Kadomtsev-Petviashvili-type systems  $[9,27,36,39,41]$  $[9,27,36,39,41]$  $[9,27,36,39,41]$  $[9,27,36,39,41]$  $[9,27,36,39,41]$  $[9,27,36,39,41]$ , a  $(2+1)$ -dimensional generalized Caudrey–Dodd–Gibbon–Kotera–Sawada equation [\[8\]](#page-6-4), a modified Korteweg–de Vries–Calogero– Bogoyavlenskii-Schiff equation [\[28](#page-7-20),[29\]](#page-7-21), a (2+1)-

 $X.-Y.$  Gao  $(\boxtimes) \cdot Y.-J.$  Guo  $\cdot W.-R.$  Shan

State Key Laboratory of Information Photonics and Optical Communications, and School of Science, Beijing University of Posts and Telecommunications, Beijing 100876, China e-mail: xin\_yi\_gao@163.com

dimensional generalized Boiti–Leon–Manna–Pempinelli equation  $[30]$ , a  $(2+1)$ -dimensional reduced Yu– Toda–Sasa–Fukuyama equation [\[31](#page-7-22)], a (2+1) dimensional generalized Bogoyavlensky-Konopelchenko equation [\[32](#page-7-23)], a (2+1)-dimensional generalized Hirota-Satsuma-Ito equation [\[33\]](#page-7-24), a Sharma-Tasso-Olver-Burgers equation  $[35]$ , a  $(3+1)$ -dimensional generalized Yu-Toda-Sasa-Fukuyama equation [\[37](#page-8-4)], and a (3+1)-dimensional generalized Konopelchenko– Dubrovsky–Kaup–Kupershmid equation [\[40](#page-8-5)[,42](#page-8-0)].

Currently interesting, Ref. [\[43](#page-8-6)], i.e., the paper (Nonlinear Dyn. 87, 2529, 2017), has studied the following (3+1)-dimensional variable-coefficient generalized shallow water wave equation for the stream under a pressure surface in the water:

<span id="page-1-0"></span>
$$
\begin{aligned} \Upsilon_1(t)u_{yt} + \Upsilon_2(t)u_{xxxy} + \Upsilon_3(t)u_xu_{xy} \\ + \Upsilon_3(t)u_yu_{xx} + \Upsilon_4(t)u_{xz} &= 0, \end{aligned} \tag{1}
$$

with  $u(x, y, z, t)$  being the real differentiable function of the variables *x*, *y*, *z* and *t*, the real functions  $\Upsilon_1(t)$ and  $\Upsilon_4(t)$  denoting the perturbed effects,  $\Upsilon_2(t)$  implying the dispersion,  $\Upsilon_3(t)$  representing the nonlinearity, while the subscripts meaning the partial derivatives [\[43](#page-8-6)[,44\]](#page-8-7). For Eq.  $(1)$ , Ref. [43] has obtained certain bilinear form, bilinear Bäcklund transformation, Lax pair, soliton and periodic wave solutions.

Also for Eq.  $(1)$ , Ref.  $[45]$  has worked out certain lump-solution characteristics, Ref. [\[44\]](#page-8-7) has got certain breathers, Ref. [\[47](#page-8-9)] has investigated a Kadomtsev– Petviashvili hierarchy reduction, some soliton and semi-rational solutions, while Ref. [\[50](#page-8-10)] has found some nonautonomous lump solutions and an interaction between a lump wave and a kink soliton. Special cases of Eq.  $(1)$  have been a  $(3+1)$ -dimensional generalized shallow water equation [for  $\Upsilon_1(t) = \Upsilon_2(t) = 1$ ,  $\Upsilon_3(t) = -3$  and  $\Upsilon_4(t) = -1$ ] applied in the weather simulations, tidal waves, irrigation and tsunami prediction  $[45-47]$  $[45-47]$  (and references therein), and a  $(3+1)$ dimensional Jimbo-Miwa equation [for  $\Upsilon_1(t) = 2$ ,  $\Upsilon_2(t) = 1$ ,  $\Upsilon_3(t) = 3$  and  $\Upsilon_4(t) = -3$ ] modelling some wave phenomena [\[27](#page-7-18)[,47](#page-8-9)] (and references therein).

This Comment will be to enhance the issues published in Ref. [\[43\]](#page-8-6), in order to make them more complete. Results in this Comment, to be seen below, will also be different from those in Refs. [\[44,](#page-8-7)[45](#page-8-8)[,47](#page-8-9)[,50](#page-8-10)].

In Sect. [2](#page-1-1) of this Comment, making use of symbolic computation [\[48](#page-8-11)[,49](#page-8-12)], we will construct a set of the bilinear forms for Eq.  $(1)$ , which is different from

and beyond that in Ref. [\[43\]](#page-8-6), while in Sect. [3,](#page-2-0) two sets of the bilinear auto-Bäcklund transformations for Eq. [\(1\)](#page-1-0), different from and beyond that in Ref. [\[43](#page-8-6)]. In addition, using symbolic computation, we will find a set of the similarity reductions for Eq. [\(1\)](#page-1-0) in Sect. [4.](#page-5-0) Section [5](#page-6-5) will be our conclusions.

## <span id="page-1-1"></span>**2 Bilinear forms for Eq. [\(1\)](#page-1-0)**

Making use of the Hirota method [\[51\]](#page-8-13) and symbolic computation, we assume that

<span id="page-1-2"></span>
$$
u(x, y, z, t) = \gamma \left[ \ln f(x, y, z, t) \right]_x
$$
  
- $\phi(y) - \psi(z) - \alpha(y)\beta(z),$  (2)

with  $f(x, y, z, t)$  being a real differentiable function of *x*, *y*, *z* and *t*,  $\phi(y)$ ,  $\psi(z)$ ,  $\alpha(y)$  and  $\beta(z)$  denoting the real differentiable functions, while  $\gamma$  meaning a real non-zero constant.

Substituting Assumption  $(2)$  into Eq.  $(1)$  and integrating the outcome once as for *x* with the integration function vanishing bring about

$$
\begin{aligned}\n\Upsilon_1(t) \left(\ln f\right)_{yt} + \Upsilon_2(t) \left(\ln f\right)_{xxxx} \\
&+ \gamma \Upsilon_3(t) \left(\ln f\right)_{xx} \left(\ln f\right)_{xy} \\
&- \Upsilon_3(t) \left[\phi'(y) + \alpha'(y)\beta(z)\right] \left(\ln f\right)_{xx} \\
&+ \Upsilon_4(t) \left(\ln f\right)_{xz} = 0,\n\end{aligned}
$$
\n(3)

in which  $\phi'(y) = \frac{d}{dy} \phi(y)$  and  $\alpha'(y) = \frac{d}{dy} \alpha(y)$ . On account of the formulae [\[51](#page-8-13)]

$$
2 (\ln f)_{yt} = \frac{D_y D_t f \cdot f}{f^2},
$$
  
\n
$$
2 (\ln f)_{xxxy} = \frac{D_x^3 D_y f \cdot f}{f^2}
$$
  
\n
$$
-3 \frac{D_x^2 f \cdot f}{f^2} \frac{D_x D_y f \cdot f}{f^2},
$$
  
\n
$$
2 (\ln f)_{xx} = \frac{D_x^2 f \cdot f}{f^2},
$$
  
\n
$$
2 (\ln f)_{xy} = \frac{D_x D_y f \cdot f}{f^2},
$$
  
\n
$$
2 (\ln f)_{xz} = \frac{D_x D_z f \cdot f}{f^2},
$$

for the sake of transforming Eq.  $(1)$  into certain bilinear forms, we choose

$$
\gamma = 6 \frac{\Upsilon_2(t)}{\Upsilon_3(t)},
$$

to obtain

$$
\left\{\Upsilon_1(t)D_yD_t + \Upsilon_2(t)D_x^3D_y
$$
  
- $\Upsilon_3(t)\left[\phi'(y) + \alpha'(y)\beta(z)\right]D_x^2$   
+ $\Upsilon_4(t)D_xD_z\right\}f \cdot f = 0,$  (4)

with  $D_x$ ,  $D_y$ ,  $D_z$  and  $D_t$  given by [\[51](#page-8-13)]

$$
D_x^{m_1} D_y^{m_2} D_z^{m_3} D_t^{m_4} H(x, y, z, t) \cdot G(x, y, z, t)
$$
  
\n
$$
\equiv \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial}{\partial x_0}\right)^{m_1} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial y} - \frac{\partial}{\partial y_0}\right)^{m_2}
$$
  
\n
$$
\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z} - \frac{\partial}{\partial z_0}\right)^{m_3} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} - \frac{\partial}{\partial t_0}\right)^{m_4}
$$
  
\n
$$
H(x, y, z, t) G(x_0, y_0, z_0, t_0)\Big|_{x_0 = x, y_0 = y, z_0 = z, t_0 = t},
$$

*x*0, *y*0, *z*<sup>0</sup> and *t*<sup>0</sup> meaning the formal variables, *H*(*x*, *y*, *z*, *t*) representing a  $C^{\infty}$  function of *x*, *y*, *z* and *t*,  $G(x_0, y_0, z_0, t_0)$  representing a  $C^{\infty}$  function of  $x_0$ ,  $y_0$ ,  $z_0$  and  $t_0$ , while  $m_1$ ,  $m_2$ ,  $m_3$  and  $m_4$  being the nonnegative integers [\[51](#page-8-13)].

In short, under the variable-coefficient constraint

<span id="page-2-1"></span>
$$
\Upsilon_3(t) = \Upsilon_0 \Upsilon_2(t),\tag{5}
$$

choosing  $\gamma = \frac{6}{\gamma_0}$ , we find a set of the bilinear forms for Eq. [\(1\)](#page-1-0), i.e.,

$$
\left\{\Upsilon_1(t)D_yD_t + \Upsilon_2(t)D_x^3D_y
$$
  
-\Upsilon\_0\Upsilon\_2(t) [\phi'(y) + \alpha'(y)\beta(z)] D\_x^2  
+ \Upsilon\_4(t)D\_xD\_z \right\} f \cdot f = 0, \t(6)

with  $\Upsilon_0$  implying a real non-zero constant.

With respect to the stream under a pressure surface in the water, under Variable-Coefficient Constraint [\(5\)](#page-2-1) and Assumption  $(2)$ , Bilinear Forms  $(6)$  for Eq.  $(1)$  are dependent on the dispersion coefficient  $\Upsilon_2(t)$  as well as perturbed-effect coefficients  $\Upsilon_1(t)$  and  $\Upsilon_4(t)$  in Eq. [\(1\)](#page-1-0). Bilinear Forms [\(6\)](#page-2-2) are different from the one presented in Ref. [\[43](#page-8-6)].

Bilinear Forms [\(6\)](#page-2-2) are useful, e.g., for us to build some bilinear auto-Bäcklund transformations, to be seen below.

# <span id="page-2-0"></span>**3 Bilinear auto-Bäcklund transformations with analytic solutions for Eq. [\(1\)](#page-1-0)**

Based on Bilinear Forms [\(6\)](#page-2-2), with the Hirota method, motivated by Ref. [\[27\]](#page-7-18), assuming that  $g(x, y, z, t)$  be another solution of Bilinear Forms  $(6)$ , we take into account the expression

$$
f^{2}\left\{\left\{\Upsilon_{1}(t)D_{y}D_{t}+\Upsilon_{2}(t)D_{x}^{3}D_{y}\right.\right.\left.\left.-\Upsilon_{0}\Upsilon_{2}(t)\left[\phi'(y)+\alpha'(y)\beta(z)\right]D_{x}^{2}\right.\left.\left.+ \Upsilon_{4}(t)D_{x}D_{z}\right\}g\cdot g\right\}\left.-g^{2}\left\{\left\{\Upsilon_{1}(t)D_{y}D_{t}+\Upsilon_{2}(t)D_{x}^{3}D_{y}\right.\right.\left.-\Upsilon_{0}\Upsilon_{2}(t)\left[\phi'(y)+\alpha'(y)\beta(z)\right]D_{x}^{2}\right.\left.\left.+ \Upsilon_{4}(t)D_{x}D_{z}\right\}f\cdot f\right\},\right\}
$$
\n(7)

and make use of the exchange formulae [\[51](#page-8-13)]

$$
G^{2} (D_{y} D_{t} H \cdot H) - H^{2} (D_{y} D_{t} G \cdot G)
$$
  
= 2 D\_{y} (D\_{t} H \cdot G) \cdot (GH), (8a)

$$
G^{2} \left( D_{x}^{2} H \cdot H \right) - H^{2} \left( D_{x}^{2} G \cdot G \right)
$$
  
= 2 D\_{x} (D\_{x} H \cdot G) \cdot (GH), (8b)

$$
G^{2} (D_x D_z H \cdot H) - H^{2} (D_x D_z G \cdot G)
$$
  
= 2 D\_x (D\_z H \cdot G) \cdot (GH), (8c)

$$
G^{2} \left( D_{x}^{3} D_{y} H \cdot H \right) - H^{2} \left( D_{x}^{3} D_{y} G \cdot G \right)
$$
  
\n
$$
= \frac{3}{2} D_{x} \left( D_{x}^{2} D_{y} H \cdot G \right) \cdot (GH)
$$
  
\n
$$
+ \frac{1}{2} D_{y} \left( D_{x}^{3} H \cdot G \right) \cdot (GH)
$$
  
\n
$$
- 3 D_{x} \left( D_{x} D_{y} H \cdot G \right) \cdot (D_{x} H \cdot G)
$$
  
\n
$$
- \frac{3}{2} D_{x} \left( D_{x}^{2} H \cdot G \right) \cdot (D_{y} H \cdot G)
$$
  
\n
$$
- \frac{3}{2} D_{y} \left( D_{x}^{2} H \cdot G \right) \cdot (D_{x} H \cdot G), \qquad (8d)
$$

<span id="page-2-2"></span>to get

$$
f^{2}\left\{\left\{\Upsilon_{1}(t)D_{y}D_{t}+\Upsilon_{2}(t)D_{x}^{3}D_{y}\right.\right.\\ \left.\left.-\Upsilon_{0}\Upsilon_{2}(t)\left[\phi'(y)+\alpha'(y)\beta(z)\right]D_{x}^{2}\right.\\ \left.+\Upsilon_{4}(t)D_{x}D_{z}\right\}g\cdot g\right\}\\ \left.-g^{2}\left\{\left\{\Upsilon_{1}(t)D_{y}D_{t}+\Upsilon_{2}(t)D_{x}^{3}D_{y}\right.\right.\\ \left. -\Upsilon_{0}\Upsilon_{2}(t)\left[\phi'(y)+\alpha'(y)\beta(z)\right]D_{x}^{2}\right\}\right\}
$$

 $\mathcal{D}$  Springer

$$
+ \Upsilon_4(t)D_xD_z \left\{ f \cdot f \right\}
$$
  
\n
$$
= \Upsilon_1(t) \left[ f^2 (D_yD_t g \cdot g) - g^2 (D_yD_tf \cdot f) \right]
$$
  
\n
$$
+ \Upsilon_2(t) \left[ f^2 (D_x^3D_y g \cdot g) \right]
$$
  
\n
$$
-g^2 (D_x^3D_y f \cdot f) \right]
$$
  
\n
$$
- \Upsilon_0 \Upsilon_2(t) [\phi'(y) + \alpha'(y)\beta(z)]
$$
  
\n
$$
\left[ f^2 (D_x^2 g \cdot g) - g^2 (D_x^2 f \cdot f) \right]
$$
  
\n
$$
+ \Upsilon_4(t) \left[ f^2 (D_xD_z g \cdot g) \right]
$$
  
\n
$$
-g^2 (D_xD_z f \cdot f) \right]
$$
  
\n
$$
= 2 \Upsilon_1(t) D_y (D_t g \cdot f) \cdot (fg)
$$
  
\n
$$
- 2 \Upsilon_0 \Upsilon_2(t) [\phi'(y) + \alpha'(y)\beta(z)]
$$
  
\n
$$
D_x (D_x g \cdot f) \cdot (fg)
$$
  
\n
$$
+ \Upsilon_2(t) \left[ \frac{3}{2} D_x (D_x^2 D_y g \cdot f) \cdot (fg)
$$
  
\n
$$
+ \frac{1}{2} D_y (D_x^3 g \cdot f) \cdot (fg)
$$
  
\n
$$
- \frac{3}{2} D_y (D_x^2 g \cdot f) \cdot (D_x g \cdot f)
$$
  
\n
$$
- 3 D_x (D_x D_y g \cdot f) \cdot (D_y g \cdot f)
$$
  
\n
$$
- 3 D_x (D_x^2 g \cdot f) \cdot (D_y g \cdot f)
$$
  
\n
$$
+ 2 \Upsilon_4(t) D_x (D_z g \cdot f) \cdot (fg)
$$
  
\n
$$
= \frac{1}{2} D_x \left\{ \left\{ 3 \Upsilon_2(t) D_x^2 D_y - 4 \Upsilon_0 \Upsilon_2(t) \right\} g \cdot f \right\} \cdot (fg)
$$
  
\n
$$
+ \frac{1}{2} D_y \left[ \left\{ 4 \Upsilon_1(t) D_t + \Upsilon_2(t) D_x^3 \right\
$$

Making the assumptions that

$$
D_x \left\{ \left\{ 3 \Upsilon_2(t) D_x^2 D_y - 4 \Upsilon_0 \Upsilon_2(t) \left[ \phi'(y) + \alpha'(y)\beta(z) \right] D_x \right. \right. \left. + 4 \Upsilon_4(t) D_z \right\} g \cdot f \left\} \cdot (fg) = 0,
$$
 (10a)

$$
D_{y}\left\{\left[4\,\Upsilon_{1}(t)D_{t}+\Upsilon_{2}(t)D_{x}^{3}\right]g\cdot f\right\}\cdot\left(fg\right)=0,\tag{10b}
$$

$$
D_x(D_xD_y g \cdot f) \cdot (D_x g \cdot f) = 0, \qquad (10c)
$$

$$
D_x\left(D_x^2 g \cdot f\right) \cdot \left(D_y g \cdot f\right) = 0,\tag{10d}
$$

$$
D_{y}\left(D_{x}^{2}g\cdot f\right)\cdot(D_{x}g\cdot f)=0,
$$
\n(10e)

we can obtain two sets of the bilinear auto-Bäcklund transformations for Eq.  $(1)$  in the following:

<span id="page-3-0"></span>**Set 1:**  $D_x^2 g \cdot f \neq 0$  and  $D_x D_y g \cdot f \neq 0$ 

We work out the following set of the bilinear auto-Bäcklund transformations for Eq. [\(1\)](#page-1-0):

$$
u(x, y, z, t) = \frac{6}{\Upsilon_0} [\ln f(x, y, z, t)]_x
$$

$$
-\phi(y) - \psi(z) - \alpha(y)\beta(z), \qquad (11a)
$$

$$
v(x, y, z, t) = \frac{6}{\gamma_0} [\ln g(x, y, z, t)]_x
$$

$$
-\phi(y) - \psi(z) - \alpha(y)\beta(z),
$$
(11b)

$$
\left\{\frac{\beta \Upsilon_2(t) D_x^2 D_y - 4 \Upsilon_0 \Upsilon_2(t) \left[\phi'(y) + \alpha'(y) \beta(z)\right] D_x}{\right\}
$$

$$
+4\Upsilon_4(t)D_z\Big\}\,g\cdot f=0,\tag{11c}
$$

$$
\[4\,\Upsilon_1(t)D_t + \Upsilon_2(t)D_x^3\]g \cdot f = 0,\tag{11d}
$$

$$
D_x D_y g \cdot f = \lambda_1(t) D_x g \cdot f,\tag{11e}
$$

$$
D_x^2 g \cdot f = \lambda_2(t) D_y g \cdot f,\tag{11f}
$$

$$
D_x^2 g \cdot f = \lambda_3(t) D_x g \cdot f,\tag{11g}
$$

in which  $\lambda_1(t)$ ,  $\lambda_2(t)$  and  $\lambda_3(t)$  denote the real nonzero differentiable functions of *t*, while  $v(x, y, z, t)$ represents another solution of Eq. [\(1\)](#page-1-0).

With respect to the stream under a pressure surface in the water, under Variable-Coefficient Con-straint [\(5\)](#page-2-1), mutually consistent (as seen right below), Bilinear Auto-Bäcklund Transformations [\(11\)](#page-3-0) for Eq. [\(1\)](#page-1-0) are dependent on the dispersion coefficient  $\Upsilon_2(t)$  as well as perturbed-effect coefficients  $\Upsilon_1(t)$  and ϒ4(*t*) in Eq. [\(1\)](#page-1-0). Bilinear Auto-Bäcklund Transformations [\(11\)](#page-3-0) are different from the one given in Ref. [\[43](#page-8-6)].

Bilinear Auto-Bäcklund Transformations [\(11\)](#page-3-0) denote a system of the equations which connects a set of the solutions of Eq.  $(1)$  to another set of the solutions of Eq. [\(1\)](#page-1-0) itself. Hence, we might, in principle at least, be capable of progressively finding more and more complicated solutions of Eq.  $(1)$ .

 $\mathbf{r}$ 

 $\overline{1}$ 

Next, for the mutual consistency, or explicit solvability in relation to *f* and *g*, we will construct some analytic solutions for Eq. [\(1\)](#page-1-0) via Bilinear Auto-Bäcklund Transformations [\(11\)](#page-3-0).

Under the variable-coefficient constraint

<span id="page-4-0"></span>
$$
\Upsilon_4(t) = \mu_0 \Upsilon_2(t),\tag{12}
$$

with the choices of

$$
\lambda_1(t) = \sigma_2 \Upsilon_2(t),
$$
  
\n
$$
\lambda_2(t) = \frac{\sigma_1^2}{\sigma_2} \Upsilon_2(t),
$$
  
\n
$$
\lambda_3(t) = \sigma_1 \Upsilon_2(t),
$$
  
\n
$$
\phi(y) = \zeta_1 y + \zeta_2,
$$
  
\n
$$
\alpha(y) = \zeta_3 y + \zeta_4,
$$
  
\n
$$
\beta(z) = \beta \text{ only},
$$
\n(13)

<span id="page-4-1"></span>we construct out the following analytic solutions for Eq. [\(1\)](#page-1-0) via Bilinear Auto-Bäcklund Transformations [\(11\)](#page-3-0):

$$
u(x, y, z, t) = \frac{6}{\gamma_0} [\ln f(x, y, z, t)]_x - (\zeta_1 y + \zeta_2)
$$
  
-  $\psi(z) - \beta (\zeta_3 y + \zeta_4),$  (14a)

$$
v(x, y, z, t) = \frac{6}{\gamma_0} [\ln g(x, y, z, t)]_x
$$
  
– (\zeta\_1 y + \zeta\_2) – \psi(z) – \beta (\zeta\_3 y + \zeta\_4), (14b)

$$
f(x, y, z, t) = 1,\tag{14c}
$$

$$
g(x, y, z, t) = 1 + \epsilon_1 \exp\left\{\sigma_1 x + \sigma_2 y - \frac{\sigma_1 [3 \sigma_1 \sigma_2 + 4 \Upsilon_0 (\zeta_1 + \beta \zeta_3)]}{4 \mu_0} z - \frac{\sigma_1^3}{4} \int \frac{\Upsilon_2(t)}{\Upsilon_1(t)} dt \right\},
$$
(14d)

with  $\mu_0$ ,  $\sigma_1$ ,  $\sigma_2$  and  $\epsilon_1$  as the real non-zero constants, while  $\zeta_1$ ,  $\zeta_2$ ,  $\zeta_3$  and  $\zeta_4$  as the real constants.

With respect to the stream under a pressure surface in the water, under Variable-Coefficient Constraints [\(5\)](#page-2-1) and [\(12\)](#page-4-0), Analytic Solutions [\(14\)](#page-4-1) are dependent on the dispersion coefficient  $\Upsilon_2(t)$  as well as perturbed-effect coefficient  $\Upsilon_1(t)$  in Eq. [\(1\)](#page-1-0).

**Set 2:** 
$$
D_x^2 g \cdot f = 0
$$
 and  $D_x D_y g \cdot f = 0$ 

<span id="page-4-2"></span>Similarly, we find the second set of the bilinear auto-Bäcklund transformations for Eq. [\(1\)](#page-1-0):

$$
u(x, y, z, t) = \frac{6}{\gamma_0} [\ln f(x, y, z, t)]_x
$$
  
-  $\phi(y) - \psi(z) - \alpha(y)\beta(z),$  (15a)

$$
v(x, y, z, t) = \frac{6}{\gamma_0} \left[ \ln g(x, y, z, t) \right]_x
$$

$$
-\phi(y) - \psi(z) - \alpha(y)\beta(z), \qquad (15b)
$$

$$
\left\{\frac{\partial \Upsilon_2(t) D_x^2 D_y - 4 \Upsilon_0 \Upsilon_2(t) \left[\phi'(y) + \alpha'(y) \beta(z)\right] D_x}{\right\}
$$

$$
+4\,\Upsilon_4(t)D_z\bigg\}g\cdot f=0,\qquad(15c)
$$

$$
\[4\,\Upsilon_1(t)D_t + \Upsilon_2(t)D_x^3\]g \cdot f = 0,\tag{15d}
$$

$$
D_x D_y g \cdot f = 0,\t\t(15e)
$$

$$
D_x^2 g \cdot f = 0 \tag{15f}
$$

With respect to the stream under a pressure surface in the water, under Variable-Coefficient Constraint [\(5\)](#page-2-1), Bilinear Auto-Bäcklund Transformations [\(15\)](#page-4-2) rely on the dispersion coefficient  $\Upsilon_2(t)$  as well as perturbedeffect coefficients  $\Upsilon_1(t)$  and  $\Upsilon_4(t)$  in Eq. [\(1\)](#page-1-0). Bilinear Auto-Bäcklund Transformations [\(15\)](#page-4-2) are different from the one reported in Ref. [\[43\]](#page-8-6).

Bilinear Auto-Bäcklund Transformations [\(15\)](#page-4-2) denote a system of the equations which connects a set of the solutions of Eq.  $(1)$  to another set of the solutions of Eq. [\(1\)](#page-1-0) itself. Hence, we might, in principle at least, be capable of progressively finding more and more complicated solutions of Eq. [\(1\)](#page-1-0).

Next, for the mutual consistency, or explicit solvability in relation to *f* and *g*, we will construct some analytic solutions for Eq. [\(1\)](#page-1-0) via Bilinear Auto-Bäcklund Transformations [\(15\)](#page-4-2).

Via Bilinear Auto-Bäcklund Transformations [\(15\)](#page-4-2), under Variable-Coefficient Constraints [\(5\)](#page-2-1) and [\(12\)](#page-4-0), with the choices of

$$
\begin{aligned}\n\phi(y) &= \zeta_1 y + \zeta_2, \\
\alpha(y) &= \zeta_3 y + \zeta_4, \\
\beta(z) &= \beta \quad \text{only},\n\end{aligned}
$$
\n(16)

<span id="page-4-3"></span>we also construct out the following analytic solutions for Eq.  $(1)$ :

$$
u(x, y, z, t) = \frac{6}{\Upsilon_0} [\ln f(x, y, z, t)]_x
$$

 $\mathcal{D}$  Springer

$$
-(\zeta_1 y + \zeta_2) - \psi(z) - \beta (\zeta_3 y + \zeta_4),
$$
 (17a)  

$$
v(x, y, z, t) = \frac{6}{\gamma_0} [\ln g(x, y, z, t)]_x
$$

$$
-(\zeta_1 y + \zeta_2) - \psi(z) - \beta (\zeta_3 y + \zeta_4), \qquad (17b)
$$

$$
f(x, y, z, t) = 1 - \epsilon_2 \exp\left\{\sigma_1 x + \sigma_2 y - \frac{\sigma_1 [3 \sigma_1 \sigma_2 + 4 \Upsilon_0 (\zeta_1 + \beta \zeta_3)]}{4 \mu_0} z - \frac{\sigma_1^3}{4} \int \frac{\Upsilon_2(t)}{\Upsilon_1(t)} dt \right\},
$$
(17c)

$$
g(x, y, z, t) = 1 + \epsilon_2 \exp\left\{\sigma_1 x + \sigma_2 y - \frac{\sigma_1 [3 \sigma_1 \sigma_2 + 4 \Upsilon_0 (\zeta_1 + \beta \zeta_3)]}{4 \mu_0} z - \frac{\sigma_1^3}{4} \int \frac{\Upsilon_2(t)}{\Upsilon_1(t)} dt \right\},
$$
(17d)

with  $\epsilon_2$  as the real non-zero constant.

With respect to the stream under a pressure surface in the water, under Variable-Coefficient Constraints [\(5\)](#page-2-1) and [\(12\)](#page-4-0), Analytic Solutions [\(17\)](#page-4-3) are dependent on the dispersion coefficient  $\Upsilon_2(t)$  as well as perturbed-effect coefficient  $\Upsilon_1(t)$  in Eq. [\(1\)](#page-1-0).

## <span id="page-5-0"></span>**4 Similarity reductions for Eq. [\(1\)](#page-1-0)**

To start with, similar to those in Refs. [\[52](#page-8-14)[–55](#page-8-15)], the form we assume, i.e.,

<span id="page-5-2"></span>
$$
u(x, y, z, t) = \theta(x, y, z, t) + \kappa(x, y, z, t) p[r(x, y, z, t)],
$$
\n(18)

could help us seek certain similarity reductions for Eq. [\(1\)](#page-1-0), in which  $\theta(x, y, z, t)$ ,  $\kappa(x, y, z, t) \neq 0$  and  $r(x, y, z, t) \neq 0$  represent the real to-be-determined differentiable functions of *x*, *y*, *z* and *t*, while  $p(r)$ implies a real differentiable function as for *r*.

Taking into account  $r(x, y, z, t) = r(t)$  only, using symbolic computation<sup>1</sup> and substituting Assumption  $(18)$ into Eq.  $(1)$ , we obtain

<span id="page-5-3"></span>
$$
\begin{aligned} \Upsilon_1(t)\kappa_y r'(t) p' + \Upsilon_3(t) \left( \kappa_x \kappa_{xy} + \kappa_y \kappa_{xx} \right) p^2 \\ + \left[ \Upsilon_1(t)\kappa_{yt} + \Upsilon_2(t)\kappa_{xxxy} + \Upsilon_4(t)\kappa_{xz} \\ + \Upsilon_3(t) \left( \kappa_x \theta_{xy} + \kappa_{xy} \theta_x + \kappa_y \theta_{xx} + \kappa_{xx} \theta_y \right) \right] p \end{aligned}
$$

+
$$
\begin{aligned}\n&+\left[\Upsilon_1(t)\theta_{yt}+\Upsilon_2(t)\theta_{xxxy}+\Upsilon_4(t)\theta_{xz}\right.\\
&+\Upsilon_3(t)\left(\theta_x\theta_{xy}+\theta_y\theta_{xx}\right)\right] = 0,\n\end{aligned} \tag{19}
$$

where  $r'(t) = \frac{d}{dt} r(t)$  and  $p' = \frac{d}{dr} p(r)$ .

To represent a real ordinary differential equation (ODE), Eq. [\(19\)](#page-5-3), for which we require that the ratios of the coefficients of different derivatives and powers of  $p(r)$  denote some functions with respect to  $r$  only, turns into

<span id="page-5-4"></span>
$$
\begin{aligned}\n\Upsilon_1(t)\kappa_y r'(t)\Omega_1(r) &= \Upsilon_3(t) \left(\kappa_x \kappa_{xy} + \kappa_y \kappa_{xx}\right), \\
\Upsilon_1(t)\kappa_y r'(t)\Omega_2(r) &= \\
\Upsilon_1(t)\kappa_{yt} + \Upsilon_2(t)\kappa_{xxxy} + \Upsilon_4(t)\kappa_{xz} \\
&+ \Upsilon_3(t) \left(\kappa_x \theta_{xy} + \kappa_{xy} \theta_x + \kappa_y \theta_{xx} + \kappa_{xx} \theta_y\right),\n\end{aligned}
$$
\n(20b)

<span id="page-5-6"></span><span id="page-5-5"></span>
$$
\begin{aligned} \Upsilon_1(t)\kappa_y r'(t)\Omega_3(r) &= \Upsilon_1(t)\theta_{yt} + \Upsilon_2(t)\theta_{xxxy} \\ &+ \Upsilon_4(t)\theta_{xz} + \Upsilon_3(t)\left(\theta_x\theta_{xy} + \theta_y\theta_{xx}\right), \end{aligned} \tag{20c}
$$

with  $\Omega_1(r)$ ,  $\Omega_2(r)$  and  $\Omega_3(r)$  as three real to-bedetermined differentiable functions of *r*.

Seeing that the second freedom in Remark 2 in Ref. [\[52\]](#page-8-14) helps us simplify Eq.  $(20a)$  into

$$
\kappa(x, y, z, t) = \frac{1}{2}\phi_1^2 x^2 + \phi_2 x + \phi_3(y) + \phi_4(z) + \phi_5(t),
$$
\n(21a)

$$
r(t) = \phi_1 \int \frac{\Upsilon_3(t)}{\Upsilon_1(t)} dt, \qquad \Omega_1(r) = 0,
$$
 (21b)

and that based on the first freedom in Remark 2 in Ref.  $[52]$ , Eq.  $(20c)$  leads to

$$
\theta(x, y, z, t) = \theta_1 x + \theta_2(z) + \theta_3(t),
$$
  
\n
$$
\Omega_3(r) = 0,
$$
\n(22)

we can transform Eq. [\(20b\)](#page-5-6) into

$$
\Omega_2(r) = 0,\tag{23}
$$

in which  $\phi_1 \neq 0$ ,  $\phi_2$  and  $\theta_1$  denote the real constants, while  $\phi_3(y)$ ,  $\phi_4(z)$ ,  $\phi_5(t)$ ,  $\theta_2(z)$  and  $\theta_3(t)$  imply the real differentiable functions.

<span id="page-5-7"></span>In short, making use of symbolic computation, we end up with a set of the similarity reductions for Eq. [\(1\)](#page-1-0), i.e.,

$$
u(x, y, z, t) = \theta_1 x + \theta_2(z) + \theta_3(t)
$$
  
+ 
$$
\left[\frac{1}{2}\phi_1^2 x^2 + \phi_2 x + \phi_3(y) + \phi_4(z) + \phi_5(t)\right] p[r(t)],
$$
(24a)

<span id="page-5-1"></span><sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> More on the symbolic computation can be seen, e.g., in Refs. [\[56](#page-8-16)[–62](#page-8-17)].

$$
r(t) = \phi_1 \int \frac{\Upsilon_3(t)}{\Upsilon_1(t)} \mathrm{d}t,\tag{24b}
$$

$$
p' + p^2 = 0,\t(24c)
$$

in which ODE [\(24c\)](#page-6-6) represents a known ODE, the properties of which can be found, e.g., in Ref. [\[63\]](#page-9-0), and some non-trivial solutions of which can be written as

$$
p(r) = \frac{1}{r + \eta},\tag{25}
$$

with  $\eta$  as a real constant.

With respect to the stream under a pressure surface in the water, Similarity Reductions [\(24\)](#page-5-7) are dependent on the perturbed-effect coefficient  $\Upsilon_1(t)$  as well as nonlinearity coefficient  $\Upsilon_3(t)$  in Eq. [\(1\)](#page-1-0).

What we can see is that Similarity Reductions [\(24\)](#page-5-7) transform Eq. [\(1\)](#page-1-0) into a known ODE, i.e. ODE [\(24c\)](#page-6-6).

## <span id="page-6-5"></span>**5 Conclusions**

Currently interesting, Ref. [\[43\]](#page-8-6), i.e., the paper (Nonlinear Dyn. 87, 2529, 2017), has investigated Eq. [\(1\)](#page-1-0), a (3+1)-dimensional variable-coefficient generalized shallow water wave equation.

In this Comment, with respect to the stream under a pressure surface in the water, several enhancements on Ref. [\[43](#page-8-6)] for Eq. [\(1\)](#page-1-0) have been described, with the aid of the Hirota method and symbolic computation, as follows:

- Bilinear Forms [\(6\)](#page-2-2), under Variable-Coefficient Constraint  $(5)$ , via Assumption  $(2)$ ;
- Bilinear Auto-Bäcklund Transformations [\(11\)](#page-3-0), under Variable-Coefficient Constraint [\(5\)](#page-2-1), with Analytic Solutions [\(14\)](#page-4-1), under Variable-Coefficient Constraints  $(5)$  and  $(12)$ ;
- Bilinear Auto-Bäcklund Transformations [\(15\)](#page-4-2), under Variable-Coefficient Constraint [\(5\)](#page-2-1), with Analytic Solutions [\(17\)](#page-4-3), under Variable-Coefficient Constraints  $(5)$  and  $(12)$ ;
- Similarity Reductions [\(24\)](#page-5-7), to a known ODE, i.e., ODE [\(24c\)](#page-6-6).

We have known that  $(A)$  Bilinear Forms  $(6)$  are useful for us to build some bilinear auto-Bäcklund transformations, (B) each of Bilinear Auto-Bäcklund Transformations [\(11\)](#page-3-0) and Bilinear Auto-Bäcklund Transformations  $(15)$ , denoting a system of the equations which connects a set of the solutions of Eq.  $(1)$  to another set of the solutions of Eq.  $(1)$  itself, might lead to more and <span id="page-6-6"></span>more complicated solutions of Eq.  $(1)$ , and  $(C)$  Similarity Reductions [\(24\)](#page-5-7) transform Eq. [\(1\)](#page-1-0) into a known ODE, i.e. ODE [\(24c\)](#page-6-6).

Beyond those in Ref. [\[43\]](#page-8-6), our results have been shown to be dependent on the variable coefficients in Eq. [\(1\)](#page-1-0), while those coefficients have respectively represented the perturbed effects, dispersion and nonlinearity.

**Acknowledgements** We express our sincere thanks to the Editors and Reviewers for their valuable comments. This work has been supported by the National Nature Science Foundation of China under Grant No. 11871116 and Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities of China under Grant No. 2019XD-A11. XYG also thanks the National Scholarship for Doctoral Students of China and BUPT Innovation and Entrepreneurship Support Program, Beijing University of Posts and Telecommunications.

**Data availibility** Data sharing not applicable to this article as no datasets were generated or analysed during the current study.

#### **Declarations**

**Conflict of interest** The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare that are relevant to the content of this article.

## **References**

- <span id="page-6-0"></span>1. Beron-Vera, F.J.: Nonlinear dynamics of inertial particles in the ocean: from drifters and floats to marine debris and Sargassum. Nonlinear Dyn. **103**, 1–26 (2021)
- <span id="page-6-1"></span>2. Schmidt, O.T.: Bispectral mode decomposition of nonlinear flows. Nonlinear Dyn. **102**, 2479–2501 (2020)
- <span id="page-6-2"></span>3. Balasubramanian, P., Ferrari, G., Amabili, M.: Nonlinear vibrations of a fluid-filled, soft circular shell: experiments and system identification. Nonlinear Dyn. **102**, 1409–1418 (2020)
- 4. Zhao, X., Tian, B., Tian, H.Y., Yang, D.Y.: Bilinear Bäcklund transformation, Lax pair and interactions of nonlinear waves for a generalized (2+1)-dimensional nonlinear wave equation in nonlinear optics/fluid mechanics/plasma physics. Nonlinear Dyn. **103**, 1785–1794 (2021)
- 5. Li, L.Q., Gao, Y.T., Hu, L., Jia, T.T., Ding, C.C., Feng, Y.J.: Bilinear form, soliton, breather, lump and hybrid solutions for a (2+1)-dimensional Sawada–Kotera equation. Nonlinear Dyn. **100**, 2729–2738 (2020)
- <span id="page-6-3"></span>6. Wang, D., Gao, Y.T., Yu, X., Li, L.Q., Jia, T.T.: Bilinear form, solitons, breathers, lumps and hybrid solutions for a (3+1)-dimensional Date-Jimbo-Kashiwara-Miwa equation. Nonlinear Dyn. **104,** 1519 (2021)
- 7. Jia, T.T., Gao, Y.T., Deng, G.F., Hu, L.: Quintic timedependent-coefficient derivative nonlinear Schrödinger equation in hydrodynamics or fiber optics: bilinear forms and dark/anti-dark/gray solitons. Nonlinear Dyn. **98**, 269–282 (2019)
- <span id="page-6-4"></span>8. Deng, G.F., Gao, Y.T., Su, J.J., Ding, C.C., Jia, T.T.: Solitons and periodic waves for the (2+1)-dimensional generalized

Caudrey–Dodd–Gibbon–Kotera–Sawada equation in fluid mechanics. Nonlinear Dyn. **99**, 1039–1052 (2020)

- <span id="page-7-0"></span>9. Ding, C.C., Gao, Y.T., Deng, G.F.: Breather and hybrid solutions for a generalized (3+1)-dimensional B-type Kadomtsev–Petviashvili equation for the water waves. Nonlinear Dyn. **97**, 2023–2040 (2019)
- <span id="page-7-1"></span>10. Reddy, J.N., Kim, N., Martinez, M.: A dual mesh control domain method for the solution of nonlinear Poisson's equation and the Navier–Stokes equations for incompressible fluids. Phys. Fluids **32**, 093608 (2020)
- <span id="page-7-3"></span>11. Taha, M.H., Ramadan, M.A., Baleanu, D., Moatimid, G.M.: A novel analytical technique of the fractional Bagley– Torvik equations for motion of a rigid plate in Newtonian fluids. CMES-Comput. Model. Eng. **124**, 969–983 (2020)
- <span id="page-7-4"></span>12. Al-Homidan, S., Ansari, Q.H., Chadli, O.: Noncoercive stationary Navier–Stokes equations of heat-conducting fluids modeled by hemivariational inequalities: an equilibrium problem approach. Results Math. **74**, 132 (2019)
- <span id="page-7-5"></span>13. Antonelli, P., Spirito, S.: On the compactness of weak solutions to the Navier–Stokes–Korteweg equations for capillary fluids. Nonlinear Anal. Theor. **187**, 110–124 (2019)
- <span id="page-7-6"></span>14. Barbosa, G.D., Travalloni, L., Castier, M., Tavares, F.W.: Pore size distributions from extended Peng–Robinson equations of state for fluids confined in cylindrical and slit pores. Fluid Phase Equilib. **493**, 67–77 (2019)
- <span id="page-7-7"></span>15. Gao, X.Y., Guo, Y.J., Shan, W.R.: Shallow water in an open sea or a wide channel: auto- and non-auto-Bäcklund transformations with solitons for a generalized (2+1) dimensional dispersive long-wave system. Chaos Solitons Fractals **138**, 109950 (2020)
- <span id="page-7-8"></span>16. Gao, X.Y., Guo, Y.J., Shan, W.R.: Oceanic studies via a variable-coefficient nonlinear dispersive-wave system in the Solar System. Chaos Solitons Fractals **142**, 110367 (2021)
- <span id="page-7-11"></span>17. Gao, X.Y., Guo, Y.J., Shan, W.R.: Viewing the Solar System via a variable-coefficient nonlinear dispersive-wave system. Acta Mech. **231**, 4415–4420 (2020)
- <span id="page-7-9"></span>18. Gao, X.Y., Guo, Y.J., Shan, W.R.: Water-wave symbolic computation for the Earth, Enceladus and Titan: The higher-order Boussinesq–Burgers system, auto- and nonauto-Bäcklund transformations. Appl. Math. Lett. **104**, 106170 (2020)
- <span id="page-7-12"></span>19. Jaradat, A., Noorani, M.S., Alquran, M., Jaradat, H.M.: Construction and solitary wave solutions of two-mode higher-order Boussinesq–Burger system. Adv. Differ. Equ. **2017**, 376 (2017)
- <span id="page-7-13"></span>20. Gao, X.Y., Guo, Y.J., Shan, W.R.: Hetero-Bäcklund transformation and similarity reduction of an extended (2+1)-dimensional coupled Burgers system in fluid mechanics. Phys. Lett. A **384**, 126788 (2020)
- <span id="page-7-15"></span>21. Chen, Y.Q., Tian, B., Qu, Q.X., Li, H., Zhao, X.H., Tian, H.Y., Wang, M.: Ablowitz–Kaup–Newell–Segur system, conservation laws and Bäcklund transformation of a variable-coefficient Korteweg–de Vries equation in plasma physics, fluid dynamics or atmospheric science. Int. J. Mod. Phys. B **34**, 2050226 (2020)
- 22. Brugarino, T.: Painleve property, auto-Bäcklund transformation, Lax pairs, and reduction to the standard form for the Korteweg–De Vries equation with nonuniformities. J. Math. Phys. **30**, 1013 (1989)
- 23. Kapadia, D.A.: Nonintegrable reductions of the self-dual Yang–Mills equations in a metric of plane wave type. J. Math. Phys. **42**, 5753 (2001)
- <span id="page-7-16"></span>24. Chen, Y.Q., Tian, B., Qu, Q.X., Li, H., Zhao, X.H., Tian, H.Y., Wang, M.: Reduction and analytic solutions of a variable-coefficient Korteweg–de Vries equation in a fluid, crystal or plasma. Mod. Phys. Lett. B **34**, 2050287 (2020)
- <span id="page-7-17"></span>25. Deng, G.F., Gao, Y.T., Ding, C.C., Su, J.J.: Solitons and breather waves for the generalized Konopelchenko– Dubrovsky–Kaup–Kupershmidt system in fluid mechanics, ocean dynamics and plasma physics. Chaos Solitons Fractals **140**, 110085 (2020)
- <span id="page-7-10"></span>26. Wang, M., Tian, B., Sun, Y., Zhang, Z.: Lump, mixed lump-stripe and rogue wave-stripe solutions of a (3+1) dimensional nonlinear wave equation for a liquid with gas bubbles. Comput. Math. Appl. **79**, 576 (2020)
- <span id="page-7-18"></span>27. Shen, Y., Tian, B., Liu, S.H., Yang, D.Y.: Bilinear Backlund transformation, soliton and breather solutions for a (3+1)-dimensional generalized Kadomtsev–Petviashvili equation in fluid dynamics and plasma physics. Phys. Scr. **96**, 075212 (2021)
- <span id="page-7-20"></span>28. Liu, F.Y., Gao, Y.T., Yu, X., Ding, C.C., Deng, G.F., Jia, T.T.: Painleve analysis, Lie group analysis and soliton-cnoidal, resonant, hyperbolic function and rational solutions for the modified Korteweg–de Vries–Calogero–Bogoyavlenskii– Schiff equation in fluid mechanics. Chaos Solitons Fractals **144**, 110559 (2021)
- <span id="page-7-21"></span>29. Wazwaz, A.M.: Abundant solutions of various physical features for the (2+1)-dimensional modified KdV– Calogero–Bogoyavlenskii–Schiff equation. Nonlinear Dyn. **89**, 1727–1732 (2017)
- <span id="page-7-2"></span>30. Shen, Y., Tian, B., Zhang, C.R., Tian, H.Y., Liu, S.H.: Breather-wave, periodic-wave and travelling-wave solutions for a (2+1)-dimensional extended Boiti–Leon–Manna– Pempinelli equation for an incompressible fluid. Mod. Phys. Lett. B **35**, 2150261 (2021)
- <span id="page-7-22"></span>31. Wang, M., Tian, B., Qu, Q.X., Du, X.X., Zhang, C.R., Zhang, Z.: Lump, lumpoff and rogue waves for a (2+1) dimensional reduced Yu–Toda–Sasa–Fukuyama equation in a lattice or liquid. Eur. Phys. J. Plus **134**, 578 (2019)
- <span id="page-7-23"></span>32. Liu, F.Y., Gao, Y.T., Yu, X., Li, L.Q., Ding, C.C., Wang, D.: Lie group analysis and analytic solutions for a (2+1) dimensional generalized Bogoyavlensky-Konopelchenko equation in fluid mechanics and plasma physics. Eur. Phys. J. Plus **136,** 656 (2021)
- <span id="page-7-24"></span>33. Wang, M., Tian, B., Liu, S.H., Shan, W.R., Jiang, Y.: Soliton, multiple-lump and hybrid solutions of a  $(2+1)$ -dimensional generalized Hirota-Satsuma-Ito equation for the water waves. Eur. Phys. J. Plus **136**, 635 (2021)
- <span id="page-7-14"></span>34. Gao, X.Y., Guo, Y.J., Shan, W.R.: In oceanography, acoustics and hydrodynamics: an extended coupled (2+1) dimensional Burgers system. Chin. J. Phys. **70**, 264–270 (2021)
- <span id="page-7-25"></span>35. Zhou, T.Y., Tian, B., Chen, S.S., Wei, C.C., Chen, Y.Q.: Backlund transformations, Lax pair and solutions of the Sharma-Tasso-Olver-Burgers equation for the nonlinear dispersive waves. Mod. Phys. Lett. B, Ms. No. MPLB-D-21-00122R1 (In press) (2021)
- <span id="page-7-19"></span>36. Wang, D., Gao, Y.T., Ding, C.C., Zhang, C.Y.: Solitons and periodic waves for a generalized (3+1)-dimensional

Kadomtsev-Petviashvili equation in fluid dynamics and plasma physics, Commun. Theor. Phys. **72**, 115004 (2020)

- <span id="page-8-4"></span>37. Shen, Y., Tian, B., Zhao, X., Shan, W.R., Jiang, Y.: Bilinear form, bilinear auto-Bäcklund transformation, breather and lump solutions for a (3+1)-dimensional generalized Yu-Toda-Sasa-Fukuyama equation in a two-layer liquid or a lattice. Pramana-J. Phys. **95**, 137. (2021)
- <span id="page-8-1"></span>38. Gao, X.T., Tian, B., Shen, Y., Feng, C.H.: Comment on "Shallow water in an open sea or a wide channel: Autoand non-auto-Bäcklund transformations with solitons for a generalized (2+1)-dimensional dispersive long-wave system". Chaos Solitons Fract. **151**, 111222 (2021)
- <span id="page-8-2"></span>39. Wang, M., Tian, B., Sun, Y., Yin, H.M., Zhang, Z.: Mixed lump-stripe, bright rogue wave-stripe, dark rogue wavestripe and dark rogue wave solutions of a generalized Kadomtsev-Petviashvili equation in fluid mechanics. Chin. J. Phys. **60**, 440–449 (2019)
- <span id="page-8-5"></span>40. Feng, Y.J., Gao, Y.T., Li, L.Q., Jia, T.T.: Bilinear form, solitons, breathers and lumps of a  $(3+1)$ -dimensional generalized Konopelchenko–Dubrovsky–Kaup–Kupershmidt equation in ocean dynamics, fluid mechanics and plasma physics. Eur. Phys. J. Plus **135**, 272 (2020)
- <span id="page-8-3"></span>41. Wang, M., Tian, B., Qu, Q.X., Zhao, X.H., Zhang, Z., Tian, H.Y.: Lump, lumpoff, rogue wave, breather wave and periodic lump solutions for a (3+1)-dimensional generalized Kadomtsev-Petviashvili equation in fluid mechanics and plasma physics. Int. J. Comput. Math. **97**, 2474–2486 (2020)
- <span id="page-8-0"></span>42. Gao, X.Y., Guo, Y.J., Shan, W.R.: Comment on "Bilinear form, solitons, breathers and lumps of a (3+1) dimensional generalized Konopelchenko–Dubrovsky– Kaup–Kupershmidt equation in ocean dynamics, fluid mechanics and plasma physics" [Eur. Phys. J. Plus (2020) 135:272]. Eur. Phys. J. Plus **135**, 631 (2020)
- <span id="page-8-6"></span>43. Huang, Q.M., Gao, Y.T., Jia, S.L., Wang, Y.L., Deng, G.F.: Bilinear Bäcklund transformation, soliton and periodic wave solutions for a  $(3+1)$ -dimensional variable-coefficient generalized shallow water wave equation. Nonlinear Dyn. **87**, 2529–2540 (2017)
- <span id="page-8-7"></span>44. Liu, J.G., Zhu, W.H.: Breather wave solutions for the generalized shallow water wave equation with variable coefficients in the atmosphere, rivers, lakes and oceans. Comput. Math. Appl. **78**, 848–856 (2019)
- <span id="page-8-8"></span>45. Liu, J.G., Zhu, W.H., He, Y., Lei, Z.Q.: Characteristics of lump solutions to a (3+1)-dimensional variable-coefficient generalized shallow water wave equation in oceanography and atmospheric science. Eur. Phys. J. Plus **134**, 385 (2019)
- 46. Kumar, D., Raju, I., Paul, G.C., Ali, M.E., Haque, M.D.: Characteristics of lump-kink and their fission-fusion interactions, rogue, and breather wave solutions for a (3+1)-dimensional generalized shallow water equation. Int. J. Comput. Math. (2021). [https://doi.org/10.1080/](https://doi.org/10.1080/00207160.2021.1929940) [00207160.2021.1929940](https://doi.org/10.1080/00207160.2021.1929940)
- <span id="page-8-9"></span>47. Zhao, X., Tian, B., Qu, Q.X., Li, H., Zhao, X.H., Zhang, C.R., Chen, S.S.: Kadomtsev–Petviashvili hierarchy reduction, soliton and semi-rational solutions for the (3+1) dimensional generalized variable-coefficient shallow water wave equation in a fluid. Int. J. Comput. Math. (2021). <https://doi.org/10.1080/00207160.2021.1915999>
- <span id="page-8-11"></span>48. Yang, D.Y., Tian, B., Qu, Q.X., Zhang, C.R., Chen, S.S., Wei, C.C.: Lax pair, conservation laws, Darboux transformation and localized waves of a variable-coefficient

coupled Hirota system in an inhomogeneous optical fiber. Chaos Solitons Fract. **150**, 110487 (2011)

- <span id="page-8-12"></span>49. Gao, X.Y., Guo, Y.J., Shan, W.R.: Cosmic dusty plasmas via a (3+1)-dimensional generalized variable-coefficient Kadomtsev-Petviashvili-Burgers-type equation: auto-Bäcklund transformations, solitons and similarity reductions plus observational/experimental supports. Wave. Random Complex. (2021). [https://doi.org/10.1080/17455030.2021.](https://doi.org/10.1080/17455030.2021.1942308) [1942308](https://doi.org/10.1080/17455030.2021.1942308)
- <span id="page-8-10"></span>50. Chen, W.Q., Guan, Q.F., Jiang, C.F., Zhang, F.F., Wang, L.: Nonautonomous motion study on accelerated and decelerated Lump waves for a (3+1)-dimensional generalized shallow water wave equation with variable coefficients. Complexity **2019**, 6287461 (2019)
- <span id="page-8-13"></span>51. Hirota, R.: The Direct Method in Soliton Theory. Cambridge Univ. Press, New York (2004)
- <span id="page-8-14"></span>52. Chen, Q.T., Shang, Y.D.: Direct similarity reductions and new exact solutions of the short pulse equation. AIMS Math. **4**, 231 (2019)
- 53. Zhang, B., Hu, H.C.: Similarity reduction and exact solutions of a Boussinesq-like equation. Z. Naturforsch. A **73**, 357 (2018)
- 54. An, H.L., Yang, J.J., Yuen, M.W.: Nonlinear exact solutions of the 2-dimensional rotational Euler equations for the incompressible fluid. Commun. Theor. Phys. **63**, 613 (2015)
- <span id="page-8-15"></span>55. Clarkson, P., Kruskal, M.: New similarity reductions of the Boussinesq equation. J. Math. Phys. **30**, 2201 (1989)
- <span id="page-8-16"></span>56. Shen, Y., Tian, B.: Bilinear auto-Bäcklund transformations and soliton solutions of a (3+1)-dimensional generalized nonlinear evolution equation for the shallow water waves. Appl. Math. Lett. **122**, 107301 (2021)
- 57. Yang, D.Y., Tian, B., Tian, H.Y., Chen, Y.Q., Shan, W.R., Jiang, Y.: Interaction between the breather and breather-like soliton, and breather-to-soliton conversions of a variablecoefficient coupled Hirota system in an inhomogeneous optical fiber. Optik (2021). [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijleo.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijleo.2021.166815) [2021.166815](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijleo.2021.166815)
- 58. Ma, Y.X., Tian, B., Qu, Q.X., Wei, C.C., Zhao, X.: Bäcklund transformations, kink soliton, breather- and travelling-wave solutions for a (3+1)-dimensional B-type Kadomtsev-Petviashvili equation in fluid dynamics. Chin. J. Phys. (2021). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cjph.2021.07.001>
- 59. Ma, Y.X., Tian, B., Qu, Q.X., Yang, D.Y., Chen, Y.Q.: Painleve analysis, Bäcklund transformations and travelingwave solutions for a (3+1)-dimensional generalized Kadomtsev-Petviashvili equation in a fluid. Int. J. Mod. Phys. B **35**, 2150108 (2021)
- 60. Ma, Y.X., Tian, B., Qu, Q.X., Tian, H.Y., Liu, S.H.: Bilinear Bäcklund transformation, breather- and travelling-wave solutions for a (2+1)-dimensional extended Kadomtsev-Petviashvili II equation in fluid mechanics. Mod. Phys. Lett. B **35**, 2150315 (2021)
- 61. Liu, F.Y., Gao, Y.T., Yu, X., Hu, L., Wu, X.H.: Hybrid solutions for the (2+1)-dimensional variable-coefficient Caudrey-Dodd-Gibbon-Kotera-Sawada equation in fluid mechanics. Chaos Solitons Fract., Ms. No. CHAOS-D-21- 02144R1 (In press) (2021)
- <span id="page-8-17"></span>62. Yang, D.Y., Tian, B., Qu, Q.X., Li, H., Zhao, X.H., Chen, S.S., Wei, C.C.: Darboux-dressing transformation, semirational solutions, breathers and modulation instability for the cubic-quintic nonlinear Schrödiger system with variable

coefficients in a non-Kerr medium, twin-core nonlinear optical fiber or waveguide. Phys. Scr. **96**, 045210 (2021)

<span id="page-9-0"></span>63. Zwillinger, D.: Handbook of Differential Equations, 3rd edn. Acad., San Diego (1997)

**Publisher's Note** Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.