ORIGINAL PAPER



Characteristics and interactions of solitary and lump waves of a (2 + 1)-dimensional coupled nonlinear partial differential equation

Bo Ren · Wen-Xiu Ma · Jun Yu

Received: 8 November 2018 / Accepted: 1 February 2019 / Published online: 18 February 2019 © Springer Nature B.V. 2019

Abstract A (2 + 1)-dimensional coupled nonlinear partial equation which possesses a Hirota bilinear form is introduced. Based on the Hirota bilinear form, two solitary waves are constructed. In the meanwhile, lump waves are derived by using a positive quadratic function. By combining an exponential function with a quadratic function, interaction solutions between a lump and a one-kink soliton, and between a bi-lump

and a one-soliton solution are generated. Some special concrete interaction solutions are depicted in both analytical and graphical ways.

Keywords Nonlinear partial differential equation · Hirota bilinear form · Solitary wave · Lump wave · Interaction solution

B. Ren (⋈) · J. Yu

Institute of Nonlinear Science, Shaoxing University, Shaoxing 312000, China e-mail: renbosemail@163.com

W.-X. Ma

Department of Mathematics and Statistics, University of South Florida, Tampa, FL 33620-5700, USA

W.-X. Ma

Department of Mathematics, Zhejiang Normal University, Jinhua 321004, China

W.-X. Ma

Department of Mathematics, King Abdulaziz University, Jeddah, Saudi Arabia

W.-X. Ma

College of Mathematics and Systems Science, Shandong University of Science and Technology, Qingdao 266590, Shandong, China

W.-X. Ma

International Institute for Symmetry Analysis and Mathematical Modelling, Department of Mathematical Sciences, North-West University, Mafikeng Campus, Private Bag X2046, Mmabatho 2735, South Africa

1 Introduction

Nonlinear partial differential equations are applied to solving some complex problems in a variety of science and engineering [1–9]. Finding exact solutions plays an important role in nonlinear science. Among these exact solutions, solitary waves and lump solutions can be used to study natural phenomena appeared in fluids, engineering and nonlinear optics [10–14]. Lump waves which have attracted much attention are localized in all directions of spaces [12]. The study of this field is mainly by means of the Darboux transformation [15–18] and the Hirota bilinear method [19–28]. To describe complex physical phenomena, hybrid interaction solutions are widely investigated by combining different variable functions [29–39]. Interaction solutions among multi-soliton and other complicated waves are discussed by the localization procedure related to the nonlocal symmetry and the consistent tanh expansion method [29–32]. Interaction solutions between lump waves and multi-soliton are studied by using the Hirota bilinear method [33–40].



In this paper, we consider a (2+1)-dimensional coupled nonlinear partial differential equation (cNPDE)

$$u_{xt} + \frac{3}{2}u_x u_{xx} + \frac{1}{4}u_{xxx} + \delta_1 w_x + \delta_2 u_{xy}$$

$$+ \delta_3 u_{xx} + \frac{\delta_4}{4}(u_{xxxy} + 3u_x u_{xy}$$

$$+ 3u_{xx} u_y) + \frac{\delta_5}{4}(w_{xxy} + 3u_{xy} w + 3u_y w_x)$$

$$+ \delta_6 \left(3w w_x + \frac{1}{2}w_{xyy}\right) = 0,$$

$$u_{yy} - w_x = 0,$$
(1)

where δ_i ($i=1,2,\ldots,6$) are arbitrary constants. Equation (1) reduces to a (2+1)-dimensional potential Kadomtsev–Petviashvili (pKP) equation by choosing $\delta_2 = \delta_3 = \delta_4 = \delta_5 = \delta_6 = 0$, which describes the dynamics of a wave with a small amplitude. The periodic kink wave and the group-invariant solutions of the pKP equation have been derived [41,42]. The nonlocal symmetry and interaction solutions of the pKP equation have been given by the localization procedure related to nonlocal symmetries [43].

This paper is organized as follows: in Sect. 2, we construct the Hirota bilinear form of Eq. (1) by using the Painlevé–Bäcklund transformation. In Sect. 3, we obtain two solitary waves by introducing a perturbation expansion. Lump waves are presented by solving the corresponding Hirota bilinear form in Sect. 4. In Sect. 5, interaction solutions between a lump and a one-kink soliton, and between a bi-lump and a one-soliton solution are derived by adding an exponential function to a quadratic function. The last section is a simple summary and discussion.

2 A bilinear form of a coupled nonlinear partial differential equation

Based on the Painlevé analysis [44], a Painlevé–Bäcklund transformation of Eq. (1) reads

$$u = \frac{u_0}{\phi} + u_1, \quad w = \frac{w_0}{\phi^2} + \frac{w_1}{\phi} + w_2,$$
 (2)

where ϕ is an auxiliary function of the variables x, y and t. The functions of u_1 and w_2 are arbitrary seed solution of Eq. (1). Substituting (2) into (1) and balancing the coefficients ϕ^{-5} and ϕ^{-3} , we get

$$u_0 = 2\phi_x, \quad w_0 = -2\phi_y^2.$$
 (3)



Springer

Balancing the coefficient ϕ^{-4} gives

$$w_1 = 2\phi_{yy}. (4)$$

Substituting (3), (4) and the seed solution $u_1 = 0$, $w_2 = 0$ into (2), we get

$$u = \frac{2\phi_x}{\phi}, \quad w = -\frac{2\phi_y^2}{\phi^2} + \frac{2\phi_{yy}}{\phi}.$$
 (5)

A bilinear form of (1) is yielded

$$2\phi\phi_{xt} - 2\phi_t\phi_x + \frac{1}{2}\phi\phi_{xxx} - 2\phi_x\phi_{xxx} + \frac{3}{2}\phi_{xx}^2 + 2\delta_1(\phi\phi_{yy} - \phi_y^2) + 2\delta_2(\phi\phi_{xy} - \phi_x\phi_y) + 2\delta_3(\phi\phi_{xx} - \phi_x^2) + \delta_4(\phi\phi_{xxxy} - \phi_{xxx}\phi_y - 3\phi_x\phi_{xxy} + 3\phi_{xx}\phi_{xy}) + \delta_5(\phi\phi_{xyyy} - \phi_x\phi_{yyy} - 3\phi_y\phi_{xyy} + 3\phi_{xy}\phi_{yy}) + \delta_6(\phi\phi_{yyyy} - 4\phi_y\phi_{yyy} + 3\phi_{yy}^2) = 0.$$
 (6)

The bilinear equation (6) has the following equivalent formula:

$$D_{t}D_{x} + \frac{1}{4}D_{x}^{4} + \delta_{1}D_{y}^{2} + \delta_{2}D_{x}D_{y} + \delta_{3}D_{x}^{2} + \frac{\delta_{4}}{2}D_{x}^{3}D_{y} + \frac{\delta_{5}}{2}D_{x}D_{y}^{3} + \frac{\delta_{6}}{2}D_{y}^{4} = 0,$$
 (7)

with the *D*-operators defined by

$$D_{x}^{l} D_{y}^{n} D_{t}^{m} f(x, y, t) \cdot g(x', y', t')$$

$$= \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial}{\partial x'}\right)^{l} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial y} - \frac{\partial}{\partial y'}\right)^{n} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} - \frac{\partial}{\partial t'}\right)^{m}$$

$$f(x, y, t) \cdot g(x', y', t')|_{x=x', y=y', t=t'}.$$
(8)

3 Solitary waves of a coupled nonlinear partial differential equation

The Hirota bilinear method has been widely used to solve a class of nonlinear evolution equations [45]. Based on the Hirota bilinear method, we assume that a two-front wave for ϕ has a perturbation expansion

$$\phi = 1 + \exp(\theta_1) + \exp(\theta_2), \tag{9}$$

where $\theta_1 = a_1x + b_1y + c_1t$, $\theta_2 = a_2x + b_2y + c_2t$, and a_1, b_1, c_1, a_2, b_2 and c_2 are arbitrary constants. Inserting (9) into (6) and solving the coefficients of different powers of the exponent functions, a relation among the arbitrary constants reads

$$c_{1} = -\frac{a_{1}^{3}}{4} - \delta_{1} \frac{b_{1}^{2}}{a_{1}} - \delta_{2}b_{1} - \delta_{3}a_{1} - \delta_{4} \frac{a_{1}^{2}b_{1}}{4}$$

$$-\delta_{5} \frac{b_{1}^{3}}{4} - \delta_{6} \frac{b_{1}^{4}}{2a_{1}},$$

$$c_{2} = -\frac{a_{2}^{3}}{4} - \delta_{1} \frac{b_{2}^{2}}{a_{2}} - \delta_{2}b_{2} - \delta_{3}a_{2} - \delta_{4} \frac{a_{2}^{2}b_{2}}{4}$$

$$-\delta_{5} \frac{b_{2}^{3}}{4} - \delta_{6} \frac{b_{2}^{4}}{2a_{2}},$$
(10)

where δ_6 satisfies

$$\delta_{6} = \left(\frac{3}{2}a_{1}^{2}a_{2}^{2}(a_{1} - a_{2})^{2} - 2\delta_{1}(a_{1}b_{2} - a_{2}b_{1})^{2} + \frac{1}{2}\delta_{4}a_{1}a_{2}(a_{1} - a_{2})(a_{1}^{2}b_{2} + 2a_{1}a_{2}(b_{1} - b_{2}) - a_{2}^{2}b_{1}) + \frac{3}{2}\delta_{5}a_{1}a_{2}b_{1}b_{2}(a_{1} - a_{2})(b_{1} - b_{2})\right)/\left(a_{1}^{2}b_{2}^{4} - 2a_{1}a_{2}b_{1}b_{2}(2b_{1}^{2} - 3b_{1}b_{2} + 2b_{2}^{2}) + a_{2}^{2}b_{1}^{4}\right).$$
(11)

Substituting (9) into (5) yields a two-front wave

$$u = \frac{2(a_1 \exp(\theta_1) + a_2 \exp(\theta_2))}{1 + \exp(\theta_1) + \exp(\theta_2)},$$

$$w = -\frac{2(b_1 \exp(\theta_1) + b_2 \exp(\theta_2))^2}{(1 + \exp(\theta_1) + \exp(\theta_2))^2} + \frac{2(b_1^2 \exp(\theta_1) + b_2^2 \exp(\theta_2))}{1 + \exp(\theta_1) + \exp(\theta_2)},$$
(12)

where c_1, c_2 and δ_6 satisfy (10) and (11). We show a two-front wave for u and w with specific parameters $a_1 = -\frac{1}{2}, a_2 = \frac{1}{2}, b_1 = \frac{1}{2}, b_2 = \frac{1}{2}, \delta_1 = -1, \delta_2 = 1, \delta_3 = 2, \delta_4 = 1, \delta_5 = 2$ in Fig. 1, and another kind of a two-front wave with specific parameters $a_1 = -\frac{1}{3}, a_2 = -\frac{1}{2}, b_1 = 1, b_2 = \frac{1}{2}, \delta_1 = -1, \delta_2 = 1, \delta_3 = 2, \delta_4 = 1, \delta_5 = 2$ in Fig. 2. The solution of w is shown as "U"-shaped and "Y"-shaped in Figs. 1b and 2b, respectively. Characteristics of two




Fig. 1 Profile of a two-front wave (12): a 3-dimensional plot of u with t = 0, b 3-dimensional plot of w with t = 0




Fig. 2 Profile of a two-front wave (12): a 3-dimensional plot of u with t = 0, b 3-dimensional plot of w with t = 0






Fig. 3 Profile of two-soliton solution (16): a 3-dimensional plot of u with t = 0, b 3-dimensional plot of w with t = 0

front waves are thus different by selecting different parameters.

For a two-soliton solution, we assume

$$\phi = 1 + \exp(\theta_1) + \exp(\theta_2) + a_{12} \exp(\theta_1 + \theta_2), \quad (13)$$

where a_1 , b_1 , c_1 , a_2 , b_2 , c_2 and a_{12} are arbitrary parameters to be determined. Substituting (13) into (6) and solving the coefficients of different powers of the exponent functions, a relation among the arbitrary constants is

$$c_{1} = -\frac{a_{1}^{3}}{4} - \delta_{1} \frac{b_{1}^{2}}{a_{1}} - \delta_{2}b_{1} - \delta_{3}a_{1} - \delta_{4} \frac{a_{1}^{2}b_{1}}{4}$$

$$-\delta_{5} \frac{b_{1}^{3}}{4} - \delta_{6} \frac{b_{1}^{4}}{2a_{1}},$$

$$c_{2} = -\frac{a_{2}^{3}}{4} - \delta_{1} \frac{b_{2}^{2}}{a_{2}} - \delta_{2}b_{2} - \delta_{3}a_{2}$$

$$-\delta_{4} \frac{a_{2}^{2}b_{2}}{4} - \delta_{5} \frac{b_{2}^{3}}{4} - \delta_{6} \frac{b_{2}^{4}}{2a_{2}},$$
(14)

where δ_5 and δ_6 satisfy

$$\delta_{5} = \frac{1}{A} \left(\frac{2a_{1}^{2}a_{2}^{2}}{b_{1}b_{2}} \left(b_{1}a_{2}(b_{1}^{2} + 3b_{2}^{2}) - a_{1}b_{2}(3b_{1}^{2} + b_{2}^{2}) \right) \right. \\ \left. + \delta_{4} \frac{a_{1}a_{2}}{b_{1}b_{2}} \left(a_{2}^{2}b_{1}(b_{1}^{2} + 2b_{2}^{2}) \right. \\ \left. + 2a_{1}a_{2}b_{1}b_{2}(b_{2}^{2} - b_{1}^{2}) - a_{1}^{2}b_{2}^{2}(2b_{1}^{2} + b_{2}^{2}) \right) \\ \left. + 8\delta_{1}b_{1}b_{2}(a_{1}b_{2} - a_{2}b_{1}) \right), \\ \delta_{6} = \frac{1}{A} \left(\frac{3}{2}a_{1}^{2}a_{2}^{2}(a_{1}^{2} - a_{2}^{2}) - 2\delta_{1}(a_{1}^{2}b_{2}^{2} - a_{2}^{2}b_{1}^{2}) \right. \\ \left. + \frac{\delta_{4}}{2}a_{1}a_{2}(a_{1}^{2} - a_{2}^{2})(a_{1}b_{2} + a_{2}b_{1}) \right), \\ A = a_{1}^{2}b_{2}^{4} + 2a_{1}a_{2}b_{1}b_{2}(b_{1}^{2} - b_{2}^{2}) - a_{2}^{2}b_{1}^{4}. \quad (15)$$

Substituting (13) into (5) yields a two-soliton solution

$$u = \frac{2(a_1 \exp(\theta_1) + a_2 \exp(\theta_2) + a_{12}(a_1 + a_2) \exp(\theta_1 + \theta_2))}{1 + \exp(\theta_1) + \exp(\theta_2) + a_{12} \exp(\theta_1 + \theta_2)},$$

$$w = -\frac{2(b_1 \exp(\theta_1) + b_2 \exp(\theta_2) + a_{12}(b_1 + b_2) \exp(\theta_1 + \theta_2))^2}{(1 + \exp(\theta_1) + \exp(\theta_2) + a_{12}(a_1 + a_2) \exp(\theta_1 + \theta_2))^2} + \frac{2(b_1^2 \exp(\theta_1) + b_2^2 \exp(\theta_2) + a_{12}(b_1 + b_2)^2 \exp(\theta_1 + \theta_2))}{1 + \exp(\theta_1) + \exp(\theta_2) + a_{12}(a_1 + a_2) \exp(\theta_1 + \theta_2)}.$$
(16)

To illustrate this two-soliton solution (16), we select the parameters $a_1 = 1$, $a_2 = \frac{1}{4}$, $b_1 = \frac{1}{2}$, $b_2 = \frac{1}{2}$, $a_{12} = 2$, $\delta_1 = -1$, $\delta_2 = 2$, $\delta_3 = 1$, $\delta_4 = 3$, $\delta_5 = 2$. The interactions between two kink solitons and two solitons are shown in Fig. 3a, b, respectively.

4 Lump waves of a coupled nonlinear partial differential equation

To get lump waves of Eq. (1), we take a quadratic function ϕ as

$$\phi = g^{2} + h^{2} + a_{9},$$

$$g = a_{1}x + a_{2}y + a_{3}t + a_{4},$$

$$h = a_{5}x + a_{6}y + a_{7}t + a_{8}.$$
(17)

where a_i (i = 1, 2, ..., 9) are arbitrary parameters. By substituting (17) into (7) and balancing different powers of x, y and t, we get the solutions of a_i 's

$$a_3 = -\frac{\delta_1(a_1 a_2^2 + 2a_2 a_5 a_6 - a_1 a_6^2)}{a_1^2 + a_5^2} - \delta_2 a_2 - \delta_3 a_1,$$

$$a_9 = -\frac{3\delta_4(a_1^2 + a_5^2)^2(a_1 a_2 + a_5 a_6)}{4\delta_1(a_1 a_6 - a_2 a_5)^2}$$



$$-\frac{3\delta_{5}(a_{1}^{2}+a_{5}^{2})(a_{2}^{2}+a_{6}^{2})(a_{1}a_{2}+a_{5}a_{6})}{4\delta_{1}(a_{1}a_{6}-a_{2}a_{5})^{2}}$$

$$-\frac{3\delta_{6}(a_{1}^{2}+a_{5}^{2})(a_{2}^{2}+a_{6}^{2})^{2}}{4\delta_{1}(a_{1}a_{6}-a_{2}a_{5})^{2}}$$

$$-\frac{3(a_{1}^{2}+a_{5}^{2})^{3}}{4\delta_{1}(a_{1}a_{6}-a_{2}a_{5})^{2}},$$

$$a_{7}=-\frac{\delta_{1}(2a_{1}a_{2}a_{6}-a_{2}^{2}a_{5}+a_{5}a_{6}^{2})}{a_{1}^{2}+a_{5}^{2}}-\delta_{2}a_{6}-\delta_{3}a_{5},$$
(18)

which should satisfy the following constraint conditions:

$$\delta_{1}a_{5} \neq 0, \quad a_{1}a_{6} - a_{2}a_{5} \neq 0,$$

$$\delta_{1} \Big[(a_{1}^{2} + a_{5}^{2})(a_{1}^{2} + a_{5}^{2} + \delta_{4}(a_{1}a_{2} + a_{5}a_{6})) + (a_{2}^{2} + a_{6}^{2})(2\delta_{6}(a_{2}^{2} + a_{6}^{2}) + \delta_{5}(a_{1}a_{2} + a_{5}a_{6})) \Big] < 0,$$
(19)

so that the localization of u and w in all directions of the (x, y)-plane is guaranteed. A class of lump waves of Eq. (1) is thus generated

$$u = \frac{4a_1g + 4a_5h}{\phi},$$

$$w = -\frac{8(a_2g + a_6h)^2}{\phi^2} + \frac{4a_2^2 + 4a_6^2}{\phi},$$
(20)

where

$$\phi = g^{2} + h^{2} - \frac{3\delta_{4}(a_{1}^{2} + a_{5}^{2})^{2}(a_{1}a_{2} + a_{5}a_{6})}{4\delta_{1}(a_{1}a_{6} - a_{2}a_{5})^{2}} - \frac{3\delta_{5}(a_{1}^{2} + a_{5}^{2})(a_{2}^{2} + a_{6}^{2})(a_{1}a_{2} + a_{5}a_{6})}{4\delta_{1}(a_{1}a_{6} - a_{2}a_{5})^{2}} - \frac{3\delta_{6}(a_{1}^{2} + a_{5}^{2})(a_{2}^{2} + a_{6}^{2})^{2}}{4\delta_{1}(a_{1}a_{6} - a_{2}a_{5})^{2}} - \frac{3(a_{1}^{2} + a_{5}^{2})^{3}}{4\delta_{1}(a_{1}a_{6} - a_{2}a_{5})^{2}},$$

$$g = a_{1}x + a_{2}y - \left(\frac{\delta_{1}(a_{1}a_{2}^{2} + 2a_{2}a_{5}a_{6} - a_{1}a_{6}^{2})}{a_{1}^{2} + a_{5}^{2}} + \delta_{2}a_{2} + \delta_{3}a_{1}\right)t + a_{4},$$

$$h = a_{5}x + a_{6}y - \left(\frac{\delta_{1}(2a_{1}a_{2}a_{6} + a_{2}^{2}a_{5} + a_{5}a_{6}^{2})}{a_{1}^{2} + a_{5}^{2}} + \delta_{2}a_{6} - \delta_{3}a_{5}\right)t + a_{8}.$$

$$(21)$$

To catch the moving path of the lump waves in (20), the critical point of the lump waves is calculated by solving $\phi_x = \phi_y = 0$. The exact moving path of the lump waves is written as

$$x = x(t) = \frac{(a_2a_7 - a_3a_6)t - (a_2a_8 - a_4a_6)}{a_1a_6 - a_2a_5},$$

$$y = y(t) = \frac{(a_1a_7 - a_3a_5)t - (a_1a_8 - a_4a_5)}{a_1a_6 - a_2a_5},$$
 (22)

which can describe the traveling path of the lump waves along a straight line

$$y = \frac{a_3 a_5 - a_1 a_7}{a_2 a_7 - a_3 a_6} x + \frac{a_3 a_8 - a_4 a_7}{a_2 a_7 - a_3 a_6},$$
 (23)

with a_3 , a_7 and a_9 satisfying (18). The parameters are selected as $a_1 = -1$, $a_2 = 2$, $a_4 = -3$, $a_5 = 1$, $a_6 = -3$ $3, a_8 = 2, \delta_1 = -1, \delta_2 = 2, \delta_3 = 3, \delta_4 = 2, \delta_5 =$ 1, $\delta_6 = 1$ in Figs. 4 and 5. A lump wave of u is plotted in Fig. 4. The spatial structure of a lump wave is described in Fig. 4a. From Fig. 4a, we can easily know that the lump wave has a localized characteristic at t = 0. A bilump wave of w is plotted in Fig. 5. The spatial structure of a bi-lump wave is described in Fig. 5a at t = 0. Figures 4b and 5b represent the corresponding density plots of the lump wave. Figure 4c displays the contour plot of the lump wave at t = -35, t = 0, t = 36. Figure 5c is the contour plot of the lump wave at t =-20, t = 0, t = 20. The blue line of Figs. 4c and 5c is the relevant moving progress (23), i.e., $y = \frac{2}{19}x + \frac{9}{19}$. The wave along x-axis of the lump wave is depicted in Figs. 4d and 5d.

5 Interaction solution between a lump and a one-soliton solution

Interaction solutions between lumps and other type solutions can be constructed by mixing a quadratic function with other type functions. In order to find interaction solution between lump waves and a one-soliton solution, we assume that an interaction solution is determined by a sum of a quadratic function and an exponential function

$$\phi = g^{2} + h^{2} + a_{9} + k_{1} \exp(k_{2}x + k_{3}y + k_{4}t + k_{5}),$$

$$g = a_{1}x + a_{2}y + a_{3}t + a_{4},$$

$$h = a_{5}x + a_{6}y + a_{7}t + a_{8},$$
(24)

with k_i (i = 1, 2, ..., 5) being five undetermined real parameters. By substituting (24) into (6) and vanishing the different powers of x, y and t, we obtain the following two cases of constraining relations for the parameters:






Fig. 4 Profile of a lump wave (20): **a** 3-dimensional plot with t = 0, **b** the corresponding density plot, **c** the red line is the contour plot of the lump wave at t = -35, t = 0, t = 36, and the

blue line is the relevant moving progress (23), i.e., $y = \frac{2}{19}x + \frac{9}{19}$, **d** the wave propagation pattern of the wave along *x*-axis by selecting y = 0 and different time. (Color figure online)

Case I

$$a_{3} = -\frac{\delta_{1}(a_{1}a_{2}^{2} + 2a_{2}a_{5}a_{6} - a_{1}a_{6}^{2})}{a_{1}^{2} + a_{5}^{2}} - \delta_{2}a_{2} - \delta_{3}a_{1}, \quad (25)$$

$$a_{7} = -\frac{\delta_{1}(a_{5}a_{6}^{2} - a_{5}a_{2}^{2} + 2a_{1}a_{2}a_{6})}{a_{1}^{2} + a_{5}^{2}} - \delta_{2}a_{6} - \delta_{3}a_{5},$$

$$k_{4} = -\frac{k_{2}^{3}}{4} - \delta_{1}\frac{k_{3}^{2}}{k_{2}} - \delta_{2}k_{3} - \delta_{3}k_{2} - \delta_{4}\frac{k_{3}k_{2}^{2}}{4}$$

$$-\delta_{5}\frac{k_{3}^{4}}{4} - \delta_{6}\frac{k_{3}^{4}}{2k_{2}},$$

$$a_{9} = \left[3\delta_{1}k_{2}^{2}A(k_{2}^{2}B - k_{3}^{2}A)\left((k_{3}^{2}A + k_{2}^{2}B)^{2} + k_{2}^{2}k_{3}^{2}(D^{2} - 3C^{2})\right) + 8k_{3}^{5}A^{2}C(k_{3}C - 2k_{2}B)$$

$$+ 16k_{3}^{3}k_{3}^{2}BD^{2}(2k_{3}C - k_{2}B)$$

$$\begin{split} &+12k_{2}^{2}k_{3}^{4}AB(D^{2}-C^{2})\\ &+4k_{2}^{3}B(k_{2}^{3}B^{3}-8k_{2}k_{3}^{2}BC^{2}+12k_{3}^{3}C^{3})\Big]\\ &+(4k_{2}^{2}k_{3}^{4}\delta_{1}D^{2}E)-\frac{3k_{2}AC}{k_{3}^{3}D^{2}}(k_{2}^{2}B-k_{3}^{2}A),\\ \delta_{4}&=-\frac{2k_{2}}{k_{3}}+\frac{8\delta_{1}(k_{2}B-k_{3}C)(k_{3}^{2}A-k_{2}^{2}B)}{3k_{2}^{2}k_{3}AE},\\ \delta_{5}&=\frac{2k_{2}^{3}}{k_{3}^{3}}+\frac{2C}{3k_{3}^{2}A}+\frac{k_{3}^{4}A+k_{2}^{2}B^{2}-2k_{2}^{2}k_{3}^{2}D^{2}}{3k_{2}k_{3}^{3}AE},\\ \delta_{6}&=-\frac{k_{2}^{4}}{2k_{3}^{4}}\\ &+\frac{2\delta_{1}(k_{2}^{2}B-k_{3}^{2}A)(3k_{3}^{2}A-k_{2}^{2}B-2k_{2}k_{3}C)}{3k_{3}^{4}AE},\\ A&=a_{1}^{2}+a_{5}^{2}, \end{split}$$






Fig. 5 Profile of a bi-lump wave (20): **a** 3-dimensional plot of w with the time t = 0, **b** the corresponding density plot, **c** the red line is the contour plot of the lump wave at t = -20, t = 0, t = 20, and the blue line is the relevant moving progress (23),

i.e., $y = \frac{2}{19}x + \frac{9}{19}$, **d** the wave propagation pattern of the wave along *x*-axis by selecting y = 0 and different time. (Color figure online)

$$B = a_2^2 + a_6^2$$
, $C = a_1 a_2 + a_5 a_6$,
 $D = a_1 a_6 - a_2 a_5$, $E = k_3^2 A + k_2^2 B - 2k_2 k_3 C$,

which should satisfy the following constraint conditions:

$$\delta_1 a_5 k_2 k_3 \neq 0$$
, $a_1 a_6 - a_2 a_5 \neq 0$, $a_9 > 0$, (26)

so that the localization of u and w in all directions of the (x, y)-plane is guaranteed. By substituting (24) into (5) and combining the parameters relations (25), we get the following interaction solution of Eq. (1):

$$u = \frac{4a_1g + 4a_5h + 2k_1k_2\exp(f)}{\phi},$$

$$w = -\frac{2(2a_2g + 2a_6h + k_1k_3\exp(f))^2}{\phi^2} + \frac{2(2a_2^2 + 2a_6^2 + k_1k_3^2\exp(f))}{\phi},$$
(27)

where

$$\phi = g^{2} + h^{2} + a_{9} + k_{1} \exp(f),$$

$$g = a_{1}x + a_{2}y - \left(\frac{\delta_{1}(a_{1}a_{2}^{2} + 2a_{2}a_{5}a_{6} - a_{1}a_{6}^{2})}{a_{1}^{2} + a_{5}^{2}} + \delta_{2}a_{2} + \delta_{3}a_{1}\right)t + a_{4},$$






Fig. 6 Profile of an interaction solution between a lump and a one-kink soliton solution (27): **a** 3-dimensional plot with t = 0, **b** the corresponding density plot, **c** the red line is contour plot at t = -42, t = 0, t = 42 and the blue line is the relevant moving

progress (23), i.e., $y = -\frac{1}{15}x - \frac{17}{75}$, **d** the wave propagation pattern of the wave along *x*-axis by selecting y = 0 and different time *t*. (Color figure online)

$$h = a_5 x + a_6 y - \left(\frac{\delta_1 (a_5 a_6^2 - a_5 a_2^2 + 2a_1 a_2 a_6)}{a_1^2 + a_5^2} + \delta_2 a_6 + \delta_3 a_5\right) t + a_8,$$

$$f = k_2 x + k_3 y - \left(\frac{k_2^3}{4} + \delta_1 \frac{k_3^2}{k_2} + \delta_2 k_3 + \delta_3 k_2 + \delta_4 \frac{k_3 k_2^2}{4} + \delta_5 \frac{k_3^4}{4} + \delta_6 \frac{k_3^4}{2k_2}\right) t + k_5.$$
(28)

The parameters are selected as $a_1 = 1$, $a_2 = 3$, $a_4 = 1$, $a_5 = 5$, $a_6 = 5$, $a_8 = 3$, $k_1 = 1$, $k_2 = \frac{1}{3}$, $k_3 = \frac{1}{2}$, $k_5 = 1$, $\delta_1 = -1$, $\delta_2 = 2$, $\delta_3 = 1$ in Figs. 6 and 7. The interaction solution between a lump and a one-kink

soliton of u is presented in Fig. 6a at t=0. Figure 5b displays the corresponding density plot of the lump-kink wave. Figure 6c represents the homologous contour plot at time t=-42, t=0, t=42. The interaction solution between a bi-lump and a one-soliton solution of w is presented in Fig. 7a at t=0. The corresponding density is plotted in Fig. 7b. Figure 7c is the homologous contour plot at time t=-52, t=0, t=46. The blue line shown in Figs. 6c and 7c is the relevant moving progress of the lump wave (23), i.e., $y=-\frac{1}{15}x-\frac{17}{75}$. The wave along x-axis of the corresponding interaction solution is shown in Figs. 6d and 7d.






Fig. 7 Profile of an interaction solution between a bi-lump and a one-soliton solution (27): **a** 3-dimensional plot with t = 0, **b** the corresponding density plot, **c** the red line is contour plot at t = -52, t = 0, t = 46 and the blue line is the relevant moving

progress (23), i.e., $y = -\frac{1}{15}x - \frac{17}{75}$, **d** the wave propagation pattern of the wave along *x*-axis by selecting y = 0 and different time *t*

$$\begin{split} a_1 &= \frac{2a_2\delta\sqrt{\delta_1}}{k_2}, \\ a_3 &= -\frac{\sqrt{\delta_1}a_2k_2(2a_5^2k_2^2 + 4\delta\delta_1a_2^2 - \delta k_2^2a_5^2)}{2(a_5^2k_2^2 + 4\delta_1a_2^2)} \\ &\quad - \frac{2\delta\sqrt{\delta_1}\delta_3a_2}{k_2} - \delta_2a_2, \\ a_6 &= \frac{a_5k_2}{2\delta\sqrt{\delta_1}}, \quad a_7 = -\frac{a_5k_2^2(a_5^2k_2^2 - 4\delta_1a_2^2 + 8\delta\delta_1a_2^2)}{4(a_5^2k_2^2 + 4\delta_1a_2^2)} \\ &\quad - \frac{\delta_2k_2a_5}{2\sqrt{\delta_1}} - \delta_3a_5, \\ k_4 &= -\frac{k_2^3}{4} - \delta_1\frac{k_3^2}{k_2} - \delta_2k_3 - \delta_3k_2 - \delta_4\frac{k_3k_2^2}{4} \end{split}$$

$$-\delta_5 \frac{k_3^4}{4} - \delta_6 \frac{k_3^4}{2k_2},$$

$$\delta_4 = -\frac{4\delta_1}{\delta\sqrt{\delta_1}k_2}, \quad \delta_5 = -\frac{8\delta_1^2 - \delta_6 k_2^4}{\delta\sqrt{\delta_1}k_2^3},$$
(29)

which $\delta^2 = 1$ and should satisfy the following constraint conditions:

$$\delta_1 a_5 k_2 \neq 0, \quad a_9 > 0,$$
 (30)

so that localization of u and w in all directions of the (x, y)-plane is guaranteed. By substituting (24) into (5) and combining the parameters relations (29), we get the following interaction solution of Eq. (1):



$$u = \frac{4a_1g + 4a_5h + 2k_1k_2\exp(f)}{\phi},$$

$$w = -\frac{2(2a_2g + 2a_6h + k_1k_3\exp(f))^2}{\phi^2} + \frac{2(2a_2^2 + 2a_6^2 + k_1k_3^2\exp(f))}{\phi},$$
(31)

where


$$\begin{split} \phi &= g^2 + h^2 + a_9 + k_1 \exp(f), \\ g &= a_1 x + a_2 y \\ &- \left(\frac{\sqrt{\delta_1} a_2 k_2 (2a_5^2 k_2^2 + 4\delta \delta_1 a_2^2 - \delta k_2^2 a_5^2)}{2(a_5^2 k_2^2 + 4\delta_1 a_2^2)} \right. \\ &+ \frac{2\delta \sqrt{\delta_1} \delta_3 a_2}{k_2} + \delta_2 a_2 \right) t + a_4, \\ h &= a_5 x + a_6 y - \left(\frac{a_5 k_2^2 (a_5^2 k_2^2 - 4\delta_1 a_2^2 + 8\delta \delta_1 a_2^2)}{4(a_5^2 k_2^2 + 4\delta_1 a_2^2)} \right. \\ &+ \frac{\delta_2 k_2 a_5}{2\sqrt{\delta_1}} + \delta_3 a_5 \right) t + a_8, \\ f &= k_2 x + k_3 y - \left(\frac{k_2^3}{4} + \delta_1 \frac{k_3^2}{k_2} + \delta_2 k_3 + \delta_3 k_2 \right. \\ &+ \delta_4 \frac{k_3 k_2^2}{4} + \delta_5 \frac{k_3^4}{4} + \delta_6 \frac{k_3^4}{2k_2} \right) t + k_5. \end{split}$$
(32)

Similarly to the Case I, we can get interaction solutions between a lump and a one-kink soliton, and between a bi-lump and a one-soliton solution by using (31).

6 Conclusion

In this work, the Hirota bilinear form of Eq. (1) was derived by the truncated Painlevé analysis. Based on the obtained bilinear form, solitary waves were firstly constructed via a perturbative expansion (shown in Figs. 1, 2, 3). Then, some lump waves were found by using a positive quadratic function. Finally, the interaction solutions, between a lump wave and a one-kink soliton, and between a bi-lump wave and a one-soliton solution, were proposed by adding an additional exponential function to a positive quadratic function (shown in Figs. 4, 5, 6, 7).

In addition, we could also construct some new integrable systems by using the generalized bilinear operators [46], which are given by



with the prime numbers $p = 3, 5, 7, \dots$. We are going to study hybrid solutions and integrable properties of Eq. (33).

Acknowledgements This work is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China No. 11775146 and NFS Grant DMS-1664561.

Compliance with ethical standards

Conflict of interest The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

References

- Gardner, C.S., Greene, J.M., Kruskal, M.D., Miura, R.M.: Method for solving the Korteweg–deVries equation. Phys. Rev. Lett. 19, 1095 (1967)
- Matveev, V.B., Salle, M.A.: Darboux Transformations and Solitons. Springer, Berlin (1991)
- 3. Tang, X.Y., Lou, S.Y., Zhang, Y.: Localized excitations in (2 + 1)-dimensional systems. Phys. Rev. E **66**, 046601 (2002)
- Hirota, R.: Direct Methods in Soliton Theory. Springer, Berlin (2004)
- Tang, X.Y., Liu, S.J., Liang, Z.F., Wang, J.Y.: A general nonlocal variable coefficient KdV equation with shifted parity and delayed time reversal. Nonlinear Dyn. 94, 693–702 (2018)
- Dang, Y.L., Li, H.J., Lin, J.: Soliton solutions in nonlocal nonlinear Coupler. Nonlinear Dyn. 88, 489–501 (2017)
- Ding, J.M., Wu, T.L., Chang, X., Tang, B.: Modulational instability and discrete breathers in a nonlinear helicoidal lattice model. Commun. Nonlinear Sci. Numer. Simul. 59, 349–358 (2018)
- Su, W.H., Xie, J.Y., Wu, T.L., Tang, B.: Modulational instability, quantum breathers and two-breathers in a frustrated ferromagnetic spin lattice under an external magnetic field. Chin. Phys. B 27, 097501 (2018)
- Tang, B., Deng, K.: Discrete breathers and modulational instability in a discrete φ⁴ nonlinear lattice with nextnearest-neighbor couplings. Nonlinear Dyn. 88, 2417–2426 (2017)
- Zhang, S., Tian, C., Qian, W.Y.: Bilinearization and new multisoliton solutions for the (4 + 1)-dimensional Fokas equation. Pramana J. Phys. 86, 1259–1267 (2016)
- Wazwaz, A.M.: Multiple-soliton solutions for the Calogero– Bogoyavlenskii–Schiff, Jimbo–Miwa and YTSF equations. Appl. Math. Comput. 203, 592–597 (2008)
- Satsuma, J., Ablowitz, M.J.: Two-dimensional lumps in nonlinear dispersive systems. J. Math. Phys. 20, 1496–1503 (1979)
- Kaup, D.J.: The lump solutions and the Bäcklund transformation for the three-dimensional three-wave resonant interaction. J. Math. Phys. 22, 1176–1181 (1981)



- Falcon, É., Laroche, C., Fauve, S.: Observation of depression solitary surface waves on a thin fluid layer. Phys. Rev. Lett. 89, 204501 (2002)
- Imai, K.: Dromion and lump solutions of the Ishimori-I equation. Prog. Theor. Phys. 98, 1013 (1997)
- Estévez, P.G., Prada, J., Villarroel, J.: On an algorithmic construction of lump solutions in a 2 + 1 integrable equation. J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. 40, 7213–7231 (2007)
- Estévez, P.G., Díaz, E., Adame, F.D., Cerveró, J.M., Diez,
 E.: Lump solitons in a higher-order nonlinear equation in 2
 + 1 dimensions. Phys. Rev. E 93, 062219 (2016)
- Deng, Z.H., Wu, T.L., Tang, B., Wang, X.Y., Zhao, H.P., Deng, K.: Breathers and rogue waves in a ferromagnetic thin film with the Dzyaloshinskii–Moriya interaction. Eur. Phys. J. Plus 133, 450 (2018)
- Ma, W.X.: Lump solutions to the Kadomtsev–Petviashvili equation. Phys. Lett. A 379, 1975–1978 (2015)
- Manukure, S., Zhou, Y., Ma, W.X.: Lump solutions to a (2 + 1)-dimensional extended KP equation. Comput. Math. Appl. 75, 2414–2419 (2018)
- Ma, W.X., Qin, Z.Y., Lü, X.: Lump solutions to the BKP by symbolic computation. Int. J. Mod. Phys. B 30, 1640028 (2016)
- Yang, J.Y., Ma, W.X.: Abundant lump-type solutions of the Jimbo–Miwa equation in (3 + 1)-dimensions. Comput. Math. Appl. 73, 220–225 (2017)
- Yang, J.Y., Ma, W.X., Qin, Z.Y.: Abundant mixed lumpsoliton solutions to the BKP equation. East Asian J. Appl. Math. 8, 224–232 (2018)
- Zhang, H.Q., Ma, W.X.: Lump solutions to the (2 + 1)dimensional Sawada–Kotera equation. Nonlinear Dyn. 87, 2305–2310 (2017)
- Ma, W.X., Zhou, Y.: Lump solutions to nonlinear partial differential equations via Hirota bilinear forms. J. Differ. Equ. 264, 2633–2659 (2018)
- Ma, W.X., Yong, X.L., Zhang, H.Q.: Diversity of interaction solutions to the (2 + 1)-dimensional Ito equation. Comput. Math. Appl. 75, 289–295 (2018)
- Kofane, T.C., Fokou, M., Mohamadou, A., Yomba, E.: Lump solutions and interaction phenomenon to the third-order nonlinear evolution equation. Eur. Phys. J. Plus 132, 465 (2017)
- Yu, J.P., Sun, Y.L.: Lump solutions to dimensionally reduced Kadomtsev–Petviashvili-like equations. Nonlinear Dyn. 87, 1405 (2017)
- Gao, X.N., Lou, S.Y., Tang, X.Y.: Bosonization, singularity analysis, nonlocal symmetry reductions and exact solutions of supersymmetric KdV equation. J. High Energy Phys. 5, 29 (2013)
- Ren, B.: Interaction solutions for mKP equation with nonlocal symmetry reductions and CTE method. Phys. Scr. 90, 065206 (2015)
- Ren, B., Cheng, X.P., Lin, J.: The (2 + 1)-dimensional Konopelchenko–Dubrovsky equation: nonlocal symmetries and interaction solutions. Nonlinear Dyn. 86, 1855–1862 (2016)
- Ren, B.: Symmetry reduction related with nonlocal symmetry for Gardner equation. Commun. Nonlinear Sci. Numer. Simul. 42, 456–463 (2017)

- Huang, L.L., Yue, Y.F., Chen, Y.: Localized waves and interaction solutions to a (3 + 1)-dimensional generalized KP equation. Comput. Math. Appl. 76, 831–844 (2018)
- 34. Zhang, X.E., Chen, Y.: Rogue wave and a pair of resonance stripe solitons to a reduced (3+1)-dimensional Jimbo—Miwa equation. Commun. Nonlinear Sci. Numer. Simul. **52**, 24–31 (2017)
- 35. Chen, M.D., Li, X., Wang, Y., Li, B.: A pair of resonance stripe solitons and lump solutions to a reduced (3 + 1)-dimensional nonlinear evolution equation. Commun. Theor. Phys. **67**, 595–600 (2017)
- Ren, B., Ma, W.X., Yu, J.: Rational solutions and their interaction solutions of the (2 + 1)-dimensional modified dispersive water wave equation. Comput. Math. Appl. (2019). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.camwa.2018.12.010
- Chen, S.T., Ma, W.X.: Lump solutions to a generalized Bogoyavlensky–Konopelchenko equation. Front. Math. China 13, 525–534 (2018)
- Ma, W.X.: Abundant lumps and their interaction solutions of (3 + 1)-dimensional linear PDEs. J. Geom. Phys. 133, 10–16 (2018)
- Deng, Z.H., Chang, X., Tan, J.N., Tang, B., Deng, K.: Characteristics of the lumps and stripe solitons with interaction phenomena in the (2+1)-dimensional Caudrey–Dodd–Gibbon–Kotera–Sawada equation. Int. J. Theor. Phys. 58, 92–102 (2019)
- Peng, W.Q., Tian, S.F., Zhang, T.T.: Analysis on lump, lumpoff and rogue waves with predictability to the (2 + 1)-dimensional B-type Kadomtsev-Petviashvili equation. Phys. Lett. A 382, 2701–2708 (2018)
- Dai, Z., Liu, J., Liu, Z.: Exact periodic kink-wave and degenerative soliton solutions for potential Kadomtsev– Petviashvili equation. Commun. Nonlinear Sci. Numer. Simul. 15, 2331 (2010)
- Kumar, M., Tiwari, A.K.: Some group-invariant solutions of potential Kadomtsev–Petviashvili equation by using Lie symmetry approach. Nonlinear Dyn. 92, 781–792 (2018)
- Ren, B., Yu, J., Liu, X.Z.: Nonlocal symmetries and interaction solutions for potential Kadomtsev–Petviashvili equation. Commun. Theor. Phys. 65, 341–346 (2016)
- Weiss, J., Tabor, M., Carnevale, G.: The Painlevé property for partial differential equations. J. Math. Phys. 24, 522 (1983)
- Tan, J.N., Deng, Z.H., Wu, T.L., Tang, B.: Propagation and interaction of magnetic solitons in a ferromagnetic thin film with the interfacial Dzyaloshinskii–Moriya interaction. J. Magn. Magn. Mater. 475, 445 (2019)
- Ma, W.X.: Generalized bilinear differential equations. Stud. Nonlinear Sci. 2, 140–144 (2011)

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

