ORIGINAL PAPER

Geometric analysis of a pest management model with Holling's type III functional response and nonlinear state feedback control

Tongqian Zhang · Jian Zhang · Xinzhu Meng · Tonghua Zhang

Received: 13 October 2015 / Accepted: 25 December 2015 / Published online: 12 January 2016 © Springer Science+Business Media Dordrecht 2016

Abstract In this paper, based on a predator–prey model with Holling's type III functional response, a pest management system with artificial interference is proposed. We assume that the artificial interference strategy will be taken to control pests when their number reaches a certain threshold. Based on this assumption, the artificial interference strategy of the

This work is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 11371230), Shandong Provincial Natural Science Foundation, China (No. ZR2012AM012, No. ZR2015AQ001), a Project for Higher Educational Science and Technology Program of Shandong Province of China (No. J13LI05), Joint Innovative Center for Safe and Effective Mining Technology and Equipment of Coal Resources, Shandong Province of China and SDUST Research Fund (2014TDJH102).

Tongqian Zhang $(\boxtimes) \cdot$ J. Zhang \cdot X. Meng College of Mathematics and Systems Science, Shandong University of Science and Technology, Qingdao 266590, People's Republic of China e-mail: zhangtongqian@sdust.edu.cn

Tongqian Zhang · X. Meng

State Key Laboratory of Mining Disaster Prevention and Control Co-founded by Shandong Province and the Ministry of Science and Technology, Shandong University of Science and Technology, Qingdao 266590, People's Republic of China

Tonghua Zhang

Department of Mathematics, Swinburne University of Technology, Melbourne, VIC 3122, Australia e-mail: tonghuazhang@swin.edu.au

system with nonlinear state feedback control is analyzed by using the geometric theory of ordinary differential equations. We first study the existence of periodic solutions of the model by successor functions and then the stability of periodic solutions. Finally, numerical simulations are given to illustrate our theoretic conclusions.

Keywords Integrated pest management (IPM) · Nonlinear state feedback control · Holling's type III functional response · Saturation effect · Periodic solution · Stability

1 Introduction and model formulation

Pests can cause significant crop yield declines, even massive crop failure. In addition, they can reduce the quality of farm products. Therefore, countries around the world have set up special organizations to study the control strategy of agricultural pests, for example Office of Pest Management Policy established by USA, Department of Agriculture in 1997 [\[1](#page-8-0)], the Pest Management Regulatory Agency (PMRA) created by Canadian government in 1995 [\[2\]](#page-8-1). Since then, various types methods such as mechanical pest control, physical pest control, elimination of breeding grounds, pesticides and biological pest control have been implemented in practice [\[3\]](#page-8-2). But evidences show that a single measure has its drawback so that may not work well, such as low efficiency or doing harm to the environment: pest resistance [\[4](#page-8-3)[,5](#page-8-4)], pesticide residues and pest resurgence $[6,7]$ $[6,7]$ $[6,7]$. Then, integrated pest management (IPM) was proposed [\[8](#page-8-7)], which utilizes a combination of agricultural, biological, chemical, physical and cultural methods to control pests effectively. Different to the traditional ways, the goal of IPM is not to eradicate pests, instead to suppress pest populations so that it is below the economic injury level (EIT) [\[9](#page-8-8)]. Therefore, IPM not only can control pests effectively, but also can protect ecosystems in maximum extent. It has already attracted many scholars' attentions [\[10](#page-8-9)[–15](#page-8-10)], and a large number of mathematical models have been established since the day it was invented to explain how IPM plays a role in the process of pest control. But, as an old saying says: There are two sides for every coin, IPM has its own problems such as causing system population changes radically. Mathematically, this change can be described by impulsive differential equations (IDEs), and numerous models with periodic impulsive manual intervention based on predator–prey model [\[16](#page-8-11)[–26](#page-9-0),[29\]](#page-9-1) have been developed to study the IPM [\[27](#page-9-2),[28,](#page-9-3)[30–](#page-9-4) [37](#page-9-5)].

In practice, control measures based on number or density of pests seem more reasonable. It then leads to mathematical models with state feedback control $[38-51]$ $[38-51]$. For example, Tang et al. $[52,53]$ $[52,53]$ $[52,53]$ established a pest management system with one statedependent pulse and discussed the existence of the order one periodic solutions. Zhang et al. [\[54](#page-9-10)] proposed a Beddington–DeAngelis prey–predator system with harvesting and impulsive state feedback control and studied the existence and stability of predator-free periodic solution. Pang et al. [\[55](#page-10-0)] investigated the periodic solution of a delayed pest management system with logistic growth and impulsive state feedback control. Recently, Wang and Tang [\[56\]](#page-10-1) established the following pest management system with one state-dependent pulse

$$
\begin{cases}\n\dot{x}(t) = x(t)(a - by(t)), \\
\dot{y}(t) = y(t)(cx(t) - d), \\
\Delta x(t) = -\frac{P_{\text{max}}x(t)}{x(t) + \theta_1}x(t), \\
\Delta y(t) = \tau,\n\end{cases}
$$
\n(1.1)

where $P_{\text{max}} \in [0, 1)$ denotes the maximal killing proportion, θ_1 is the half-saturation constant.

In [\[57](#page-10-2)], Chen et al. considered the following model with logistic growth and Holling's type III functional response

$$
\begin{cases}\n\dot{x}(t) = ax(t) - bx^2(t) - \frac{\alpha x^2(t)y(t)}{x^2(t) + \beta^2}, \\
\dot{y}(t) = y(t) \left(-c + \frac{k\alpha x^2(t)}{x^2(t) + \beta^2} \right).\n\end{cases}
$$
\n(1.2)

It should be noted that as a basic model, system [\(1.2\)](#page-1-0) considered density constraints of prey populations and interaction between prey population and predator population, and the authors gave a qualitatively analysis and got the conditions for the global stability of nontrivial equilibrium points and conditions for the existence and uniqueness of limit cycles around the positive equilibrium point. While from the point of view of pest control, people always want to control pests in a limited amount of time, and considering the cost, environmental, efficiency and other factors, in a certain period of time, according to the number of pests, manual intervention to the system in order to control pests is necessary. Then, based on system [\(1.2\)](#page-1-0) and motivated by references [\[56](#page-10-1)], we are proposing our model here

$$
\dot{x}(t) = ax(t) - bx^{2}(t) - \frac{\alpha x^{2}(t)y(t)}{x^{2}(t) + \beta^{2}},
$$
\n
$$
\dot{y}(t) = y(t) \left(-c + \frac{k\alpha x^{2}(t)}{x^{2}(t) + \beta^{2}} \right),
$$
\n
$$
\Delta x(t) = -p(x(t))x(t),
$$
\n
$$
\Delta y(t) = -qy(t) + \mu_{1},
$$
\n
$$
x = h_{1},
$$

where $\Delta x(t) = x(t^+) - x(t)$, $\Delta y(t) = y(t^+) - y(t)$; h_1 is the EIL; q is the mortality of natural enemies due to pesticide and μ_1 is the quantity of natural enemies released once. To be biological meaningful, we restrict our study in region $R_+^2 = \{(x, y) | x \ge 0, y \ge 0 \}.$ Simplifying by transformations

$$
x = \beta \sqrt{\frac{c}{k\alpha - c}} x',
$$

$$
y = \frac{\beta \sqrt{c(k\alpha - c)} y'}{\alpha},
$$

$$
dt = \frac{cx'^2 + k\alpha - c}{c(k\alpha - c)} dt'
$$

and dropping all primes yield [\(1.4\)](#page-2-0), where

$$
C_0 = \frac{a}{c}, \quad C_1 = -\frac{b\beta}{c} \sqrt{\frac{c}{k\alpha - c}}, \quad C_2 = \frac{a}{k\alpha - c},
$$

$$
C_3 = -\frac{b\beta}{k\alpha - c} \sqrt{\frac{c}{k\alpha - c}}, \quad h = \frac{h_1}{\beta \sqrt{\frac{c}{k\alpha - c}}},
$$

$$
\mu = \frac{\mu_1}{\frac{\beta}{\alpha} \sqrt{c(k\alpha - c)}}, \quad \theta = \frac{\theta_1}{\beta \sqrt{\frac{c}{k\alpha - c}}}.
$$

Our aim in this paper is to investigate the periodic solutions associated with control strategy for the proposed mathematical model. And for system [\(1.2\)](#page-1-0), the authors have analyzed the existence and stability of order one periodic solutions by using Lambert *W* function and related skills of impulsive semidynamics system. In system [\(1.4\)](#page-2-0), we shall discuss the existence of the order one periodic solutions by using geometrical method (successor function), which is different from the ones in system (1.2) and $[52, 53]$ $[52, 53]$. This paper is structured as follows: Sect. [2](#page-2-1) introduces some basic concepts and fundamental results, which are necessary for future discussions. In Sect. [3,](#page-3-0) we will investigate the periodic behavior of solutions of system [\(1.4\)](#page-2-0) under impulsive state feedback control. Then, we show an example and carry out numerical simulations in Sect. [4,](#page-6-0) from which it can be seen that all simulations agree with the theoretical results. We finally conclude our paper in Sect. [5.](#page-8-12)

$$
\dot{x}(t) = x(t)(C_0 + C_1x(t) + C_2x^2(t) + C_3x^3(t)) - x^2(t)y(t),
$$

\n
$$
\dot{y}(t) = y(t)(-1 + x^2(t)),
$$

\n
$$
\Delta x(t) = -\frac{P_{\text{max}}x(t)}{x(t) + \theta}x(t),
$$

\n
$$
\Delta y(t) = -qy(t) + \mu,
$$
\n(1.4)

2 Preliminaries

Definition 1 [\[58](#page-10-3)] Consider

$$
\begin{cases}\n\dot{x}(t) = \Gamma(x, y), \\
\dot{y}(t) = \Sigma(x, y), \\
\Delta x = \Theta_1(x, y), \\
\Delta y = \Theta_2(x, y),\n\end{cases}\n\text{ for } (x, y) \notin M\{x, y\},\n\tag{2.1}
$$

We call *M* the impulsive set and a continuous mapping $I: I(M) = N$ the impulse function, here *N* is referred as the phase set. Furthermore, a semicontinuous system

Fig. 1 The schematic of successor function of the point *P*

is a set defined by the solution maps of system (2.1) and denoted as (\mathcal{V}, f, I, M) .

Given initial mapping point $P \notin M$. Then, we have the following definition.

Definition 2 [\[58](#page-10-3)] Let $P \in N$ be the initial mapping $point, f(P, T) = P_1 \in M, I(P_1) = P^+ \in N$ and coordinates of point P , P_1 and P^+ be denoted by (P_x, P_y) , (P_{1x}, P_{1y}) and (P_x^+, P_y^+) , respectively. Then, function

$$
F(P) = F(P^+) - F(P) = P_y^+ - P_y
$$

is the successor function of point *P* (see Fig. [1\)](#page-2-3).

Definition 3 [\[58](#page-10-3)] If there exists a point $P \in N$ such that $f(P, T) = P_1 \in M$ and $I(P_1) = P \in N$, then $f(P, T)$ is called an order one periodic solution of system [\(2.1\)](#page-2-2).

Lemma 1 [\[58\]](#page-10-3) Let (X, Π) be a continuous dynamic *system and given two points P*1, *P*2, *in the pulse phase. If* $F(P_1)F(P_2) < 0$, then there exists a point P between P_1 *and* P_2 *such that* $F(P) = 0$ *, that is, the system has an order one periodic solution, where F is the successor function.*

Lemma 2 (*Analogue of Poincaré Criterion* [\[59](#page-10-4)[,60](#page-10-5)]) *The T-periodic solution* $x = \phi(t), y = \phi(t)$ *of model*

$$
\begin{cases}\n\dot{x}(t) = \Gamma(x, y), \\
\dot{y}(t) = \Sigma(x, y), \\
\Delta x = \Theta_1(x, y), \\
\Delta y = \Theta_2(x, y),\n\end{cases}\n\Phi(x, y) \neq 0,
$$
\n(2.2)

 \mathcal{D} Springer

is orbitally asymptotically stable if $|\mu_2|$ < 1, where μ_2 *is the multiplier given by*

$$
\mu_2 = \prod_{k=1}^q \Delta_k \exp\left[\int_0^T \left(\frac{\partial \Gamma}{\partial x}(\phi(t), \varphi(t))\right) + \frac{\partial \Sigma}{\partial y}(\phi(t), \varphi(t))\right) dt\right]
$$
\n(2.3)

with

3 The order one periodic solutions of system [\(1.4\)](#page-2-0) induced by nonlinear impulsive state feedback control

For system (1.4) , it is easy to verify that (a) the pulse set is $M = \{(x, y) | x = h\}$, and the image set is $N = \{(x, y) | x = (1 - P_{\text{max}}h/(h + \theta)) h, 0 \le y \le$ μ }; (b) it has four nullclines with two *X*-nullclines:

$$
\Delta_k = \frac{\Gamma_+ \left(\frac{\partial \Theta_2}{\partial y} \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial \Theta_2}{\partial x} \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial x} \right) + \Sigma_+ \left(\frac{\partial \Theta_1}{\partial x} \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial y} - \frac{\partial \Theta_1}{\partial y} \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial y} \right)}{\Gamma \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial x} + \Sigma \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial y}}
$$
(2.4)

 $and \Gamma, \Sigma, \frac{\partial \Theta_1}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial \Theta_1}{\partial y}, \frac{\partial \Theta_2}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial \Theta_2}{\partial y}, \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial y}$ are calculated *at the point* $(\phi(t_k), \phi(t_k))$ *and* $\Gamma_+ = \Gamma(\phi(t_k^+), \phi(t_k^+)),$ $\Sigma_{+} = \Sigma(\phi(t_k^{+}), \phi(t_k^{+})).$

We next list some qualitative results of system (1.4) when without neglecting impulsive effect. More precisely, consider

$$
\begin{cases}\n\dot{x}(t) = x(t)(C_0 + C_1x(t) + C_2x^2(t) \\
+ C_3x^3(t)) - x^2(t)y(t), \\
\dot{y}(t) = y(t)(-1 + x^2(t)).\n\end{cases}
$$
\n(2.5)

Then, Chen and Zhang [\[57\]](#page-10-2) proved

Theorem 1 (*Sec. II in* [\[57](#page-10-2)]) *System* [\(2.5\)](#page-3-1) *has at most three equilibrium points in region* $x \geq 0$, $y \geq 0$ *when k*α > *c*. *More precisely, it*

- *1. when* $C_2 + C_3 < 0$, *has two equilibria* $O(0, 0)$ *and* $R(x^+, 0)$ *with* $O(0, 0)$ *is a saddle point and* $R(x^+,0)$ *a stable node*;
- 2. when $C_2 + C_3 = 0$, has two equilibria $O(0, 0)$ *and* $R(x^+, 0)$ *, moreover* $O(0, 0)$ *is a saddle point, R*(*x*+, 0) *is a stable critical node;*
- *3. when* $C_2 + C_3 > 0$, *has three equilibria: two saddle points O*(0, 0) *and* $R(x^+, 0)$ *, and a positive equilibrium* $S(1, y^*)$. *Moreover, if* $2C_3 + C_2 - C_0 < 0$ *and* $(2C_3 + C_2 - C_0)^2 - 8(C_0 + C_1 + C_2 +$ C_3) < 0, *S*(1, *y*^{*}) *is a globally asymptotically stable focus.*

Here

$$
y^* = C_0 + C_1 + C_2 + C_3
$$
, $x^+ = -\frac{C_2}{C_3}$.

 $\textcircled{2}$ Springer

 $L: y = C_0/x + C_1 + C_2x + C_3x^2$ and *Y*-axis and two *Y*-nullclines: L' : $x = 1$ and *X*-axis.

Next, we study the existence of order one periodic solution of system (1.4) , which is then followed by the stability of it.

3.1 The existence

Noticing the pulse set is $x = h$ and the *Y*-nullcline is $x = 1$, we can prove the following theorems.

Theorem 2 *If* $h \leq 1$ *, then there exists a point* $P \in$ $N: x = (1 - P_{\text{max}}h/(h+\theta))h$ such that $F(P) = 0$, *namely system* [\(1.4\)](#page-2-0) *has an order one periodic solution.*

Proof Due to the similarity in proof, we only prove the case when $h = 1$. In this case, we have the pulse set $\{M : x = 1\}$ and the phase set $\{N : x = 1 P_{\text{max}}/(1 + \theta)$. Our goal here is to find a point $P \in N$ such that $F(P) = 0$. We prove this by finding two points P_1 , $P_2 \in N$ satisfying $F(P_1)F(P_2) < 0$

Let the intersection of *N* and *L* be $A(A_x, A_y)$. Then, system [\(1.4\)](#page-2-0) has an orbit *L*¹ tangent to *N* at point *A* and intersects with *M* at a point denoted by $A_1(A_{1x}, A_{1y})$. As a result, the orbit *L*¹ jumps back to *N* from *M* since *M* is the pulse set. We then denote the associated phase point by $A_1^+(A^+, A^+)_y$. Using defini-tion [2,](#page-2-4) A^+ is the successor point of A and the successor function is $F(A) = A^+ y - A_y$. According to the sign of $F(A)$, we have three cases to discuss. If $F(A) = 0$, we then proved the conclusion and the order one periodic solution consists of orbit L_1 and AA^+ .

Fig. 2 The schematic for the case in Theorem [2.](#page-3-2) **a** The successor point of *A* exactly is point *A*. **b** The successor point of *A* is below point *A*. **c** The successor point of *A* is above point *A*

If $F(A) < 0$, then we know A^+ is below point *A* on *N*, see Fig. [2b](#page-4-0). In order to achieve our goal, we should find at least one point $Q \in N$ such that $F(Q) > 0$. To this end, we choose a point *S* \in *N* as far as possible away from the *x* axis. And the orbit *L*²

Fig. 3 The schematic for the case in Theorem [3](#page-5-0) **a** The successor point of *A* is below point *A*. **b** The successor point of *A* is above point *A*. **c** The successor point of *A* is above point *A*. **d** The successor point of *A* is below point *A*

starting from *S* intersects with *N* at point $B(B_x, B_y)$, and intersects with *M* at point B_1 , then pulses to B^+ . Since point $S \in N$ is as far as possible away from the *x* axis, then point *B* can be very close to the *x* axis. Thus, we have that the successor function of point *B* is $F(B) = B^+y - B_y > 0$, by Lemma [1,](#page-2-5) we get that system [\(1.4\)](#page-2-0) has an order one periodic solution.

Similar argument will give the case $F(A) > 0$, see Fig. [2c](#page-4-0).

This completes the proof. \Box

Next, we can prove the following theorem.

Theorem 3 *When* $0 < (1 - P_{\text{max}}h/(h + \theta))h < 1$ *and* $1 < h < x^+$, *system* [\(1.4\)](#page-2-0) *has an order one periodic solution.*

Proof As discussed in the previous theorem, we here only need to show that there exists a point $P \in N$ such that $F(P) = 0$.

Remark 1 When $(1 - P_{\text{max}}h/h + \theta) h > 1$, we can still find periodic solution. But the number of the pests maintains at a higher level in this case, from the point view of pest management, it does not have practical significance.

3.2 The stability

Theorem 4 *Let* $(x, y) = (\phi(t), \phi(t))$ *be a periodic solution of system* [\(1.4\)](#page-2-0)*,* $f(C_0, t)$ *be the orbit beginning from* $C_0(\phi(0), \phi(0))$ *, where* $\phi(0) = \left(1 - P_{\text{max}} \frac{h}{h + \theta}\right)$ *h and*

$$
\delta = \int_0^T \left(C_1 \phi + 2C_2 \phi^2 + 3C_3 \phi^3 - \phi \varphi \right) dt.
$$

Then, if condition [\(3.1\)](#page-5-1) *holds, then the order one periodic solution passing through point* $(h, \varphi(T))$ *of system* [\(1.4\)](#page-2-0) *is orbitally asymptotically stable.*

$$
\varphi(0) < \frac{(1-q)(C_0 + C_1 h + C_2 h^2 + C_3 h^3 - (C_0 + C_1 \phi(0) + C_2 \phi(0)^2 + C_3 \phi(0)^3) e^{\delta}) + h\mu}{h - (1-q)\phi(0)e^{\delta}} \tag{3.1}
$$

In this case, we consider the trajectory L_0 of system [\(1.4\)](#page-2-0) tangency to $x = h$ at point $H(H_x, H_y)$, see Fig. [3.](#page-4-1) If trajectory *L*⁰ of system intersects with phase set *N* at most once, then by the geometrical methods [\[61](#page-10-6)], there exists a trajectory *L*¹ tangent to *N* at a point, *A* say and then it intersects with *M* at some point denoted by *A*1, then pulses to point A^+ . We then have two subcases here, (a): $F(A) = A^+ y - A y < 0$, please see Fig. [3a](#page-4-1); and b: $F(A) = A^+ y - A_y > 0$, see Fig. [3b](#page-4-1). Then as what we discussed in the proof of previous theorem, we can find an order one periodic solution for system [\(1.4\)](#page-2-0).

Now, if the orbit L_0 intersect phase set twice, the intersection point is denoted by $A'(A'_x, A'_y)$ and $B'(B'_x, B'_y)$, respectively, with $A'_y > B'_y$. Then, we consider the phase points $A' + (A' + x, A' + y)$. According to the sign of $F(A') = A' + y - A'_y$, we have two subcases to be discussed as well, please see Fig. [3d](#page-4-1), where $F(A') = A_y^+ - A_y > 0$ and Fig. [3d](#page-4-1) where $F(B') = A^+$ _{*y*} − *B*[']_{*y*} < 0. Similarly, we can also show the existence of order one periodic solution. This completes the proof. \Box *Proof* Let initial value be $C_0(\phi(0), \phi(0))$ and assume orbit $f(C_0, t)$ intersects with *M* at $C_1(\phi(T), \phi(T))$ and then jumps to $C_1^+(\phi(T^+), \phi(T^+))$. Then, we have

$$
f(C_0, T) = C_1, C_1^+ = I(C_1) = C_0,
$$

thus $\phi(T^+) = \left(1 - P_{\text{max}} \frac{h}{h + \theta}\right)$ $\partial \phi(T) = \phi(0), \phi(T^+)$ $= (1-q)\varphi(T) + \mu = \varphi(0)$ and $\varphi(T) = h$. According to Lemma [2,](#page-2-6) let

$$
\begin{cases}\n\Gamma(x, y) = x(C_0 + C_1x + C_2x^2 + C_3x^3) - x^2y, \\
\Sigma(x, y) = y(-1 + x^2), \\
\Theta_1(x, y) = -\frac{P_{\text{max}}x}{x + \theta}x, \\
\Theta_2(x, y) = -qy + \mu, \\
\Phi(x, y) = x - h.\n\end{cases}
$$

By a direct calculation, we get

$$
\frac{\partial \Theta_1}{\partial x} = -P_{\text{max}} \frac{x(x+2\theta)}{(x+\theta)^2}, \quad \frac{\partial \Theta_1}{\partial y} = 0,
$$

$$
\frac{\partial \Theta_2}{\partial x} = 0, \quad \frac{\partial \Theta_2}{\partial y} = -q,
$$

$$
\frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial x} = 1, \quad \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial y} = 0,
$$

\n
$$
\int_0^T \frac{\partial \Gamma}{\partial x} dt = \int_0^T \left(C_0 + 2C_1 x + 3C_2 x^2 + 4C_3 x^3 - 2xy \right) dt
$$

\n
$$
= \ln \left(\frac{\phi(T)}{\phi(0)} \right) + \delta,
$$

\n
$$
\int_0^T \frac{\partial \Sigma}{\partial y} dt = \int_0^T (-1 + x^2) dt = \ln \left(\frac{\phi(T)}{\phi(0)} \right),
$$

\nwhere $\delta = \int_0^T \left(C_1 x + 2C_2 x^2 + 3C_3 x^3 - xy \right) dt$.
\nThus, we have

$$
\int_0^T \left(\frac{\partial \Gamma}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial \Sigma}{\partial y} \right) dt = \ln \left(\frac{\phi(T)}{\phi(0)} \right) + \ln \left(\frac{\phi(T)}{\phi(0)} \right) + \delta.
$$

From Lemma [2,](#page-2-6) we have

$$
\Delta_1 = \frac{\Gamma(\phi(T^+), \varphi(T^+))(1-q)}{\Gamma(\phi(T), \varphi(T))}
$$

where

$$
\Gamma(\phi(T^+), \varphi(T^+)) = \phi(0)(C_0 + C_1\phi(0) + C_2\phi(0)^2 \n+ C_3\phi(0)^3 - \phi(0)\varphi(0)),
$$
\n
$$
\Gamma(\phi(T), \varphi(T)) = \phi(T)(C_0 + C_1\phi(T) + C_2\phi(T)^2 \n+ C_3\phi(T)^3 - \phi(T)\varphi(T)),
$$

and note that, $\phi(T) = h, \phi(0) = \left(1 - P_{\text{max}} \frac{h}{h + \theta}\right)$ *h* and $(1 - q)\varphi(T) + \mu = \varphi(0)$, we have

$$
\mu_2 = \Delta_1 \exp\left\{ \int_0^T \left(\frac{\partial \Gamma}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial \Sigma}{\partial y} \right) dt \right\}
$$

=
$$
\frac{C_0 + C_1 \phi(0) + C_2 \phi(0)^2 + C_3 \phi(0)^3 - \phi(0) \phi(0)}{C_0 + C_1 h + C_2 h^2 + C_3 h^3 - h \frac{\phi(0) - \mu}{1 - q}}
$$

$$
\times \frac{\phi(0) - \mu}{\phi(0)} e^{\delta}
$$

$$
< \frac{C_0 + C_1 \phi(0) + C_2 \phi(0)^2 + C_3 \phi(0)^3 - \phi(0) \phi(0)}{C_0 + C_1 h + C_2 h^2 + C_3 h^3 - h \frac{\phi(0) - \mu}{1 - q}} e^{\delta}
$$

Therefore, if condition (3.1) holds, then the periodic solution is stable. This completes the proof. \Box

.

4 An example and numerical simulations

In this section, we will give an example and some numerical simulations to illustrate the existence of peri-

Fig. 4 System [\(4.1\)](#page-6-1) has a stable focus

Table 1 Impulse set, phase set and the corresponding figure

Impulse set $x = h$	Phase set $x = \left(1 - P_{\max} \frac{h}{h+\theta}\right) h$	μ	Corresponding figures
	0.8		Figure $5a-c$
		0.6	Figure 5d–f
0.8 < 1	0.6629	1	Figure 6a–c
		0.6	Figure 6d–f
1.05 > 1	0.8331	1	Figure $7a-c$
		0.6	Figure 7d–f

odic solutions. To this end, set $a = 1, b = 0.2, c =$ $0.5, \alpha = 0.1, \beta = 2, k = 8, P_{\text{max}} = 0.6, \theta = 2,$ $q = 0.4$. Then $A_0 = 2$, $A_1 = -1.0328 < 0$, $A_2 =$ $3.3333 > 0, A_3 = -1.7213 < 0$. We then consider system [\(4.1\)](#page-6-1).

$$
\begin{cases}\n\dot{x}(t) = x(t)(2 - 1.0328x(t) + 3.3333x^{2}(t) -1.7213x^{3}(t)) - x^{2}(t)y(t) \\
\dot{y}(t) = y(t)(-1 + x^{2}(t)), \\
\Delta x(t) = -\frac{0.6x(t)}{x(t) + 2}x(t), \\
\Delta y(t) = -0.4y(t) + \mu,\n\end{cases}\n\quad x = h,
$$
\n(4.1)

By calculation, we obtain $x^* = 1$, $y^* = 2.5792$. The direction field of shows that system (4.1) has a stable focus $(x^*, y^*) = (1, 2.5792)$, please see Fig. [4.](#page-6-2)

Fig. 5 Illustration of basic behavior of solutions of the system (4.1) when $h = 1$. In (a) and (d), the *red orbit* represents phase portrait of $x(t)$ and $y(t)$ with impulsive effect, and the *blue* represents phase portrait of $x(t)$ and $y(t)$ without impulsive effect. In (**b**) (**c**) (**e**) and (**f**), the *red curve* represent time series of $x(t)$ and *y*(*t*) with impulsive effect, and the *blue* represents time series of $x(t)$ and $y(t)$ without impulsive effect. **a** Phase portrait of $x(t)$ and $y(t)$. **b** Time series of $x(t)$. **c** Time series of $y(t)$. **d** Phase portrait of $x(t)$ and $y(t)$. **e** Time series of $x(t)$. **f** Time series of *y*(*t*)

Let the initial value be $(0.5,2)$, and following the theoretical results, we have the following cases (the impulsive set, phase set and the corresponding figure can be seen in Table [1\)](#page-6-3).

Case I: $h = 1$. We have $(1 - \frac{P_{\text{max}}h}{h+\theta})h = 0.8$. By regulating μ , there are the following cases: The orbit of system has a tendency to leap upward ($\mu = 1$) or down $(\mu = 0.6)$ under the effect of the pulse. Figure [5a](#page-7-0), d shows that the system (4.1) has one order one periodic solution.

Fig. 6 Illustration of basic behavior of solutions of the system (4.1) when $h = 0.8$. In (a) and (d), the *red orbit* represents phase portrait of $x(t)$ and $y(t)$ with impulsive effect, and the *blue* represents phase portrait of $x(t)$ and $y(t)$ without impulsive effect. In (**b**) (**c**) (**e**) and (**f**), the *red curve* represent time series of $x(t)$ and $y(t)$ with impulsive effect, and the *blue* represents time series of $x(t)$ and $y(t)$ without impulsive effect. **a** Phase portrait of $x(t)$ and $y(t)$. **b** Time series of $x(t)$. **c** Time series of $y(t)$. **d** Phase portrait of $x(t)$ and $y(t)$. **e** Time series of $x(t)$. **f** Time series of $v(t)$

Case II: $h < 1$. Let $h = 0.8$, then $(1 - \frac{P_{\text{max}}h}{h+\theta})h =$ 0.6629. Similar results can appear like case I by regulating μ with μ = 1 (Fig. [6a](#page-7-1)) or μ = 0.6 (Fig. [6d](#page-7-1)).

Case III: $h > 1$, $(1 - \frac{P_{\text{max}}h}{h+\theta})h < 1$. Let $h = 1.05$, then $(1 - \frac{P_{\text{max}}h}{h+\theta})h = 0.8331 < 1$. By regulating μ , we can conclude that the system (4.1) has one order one periodic solution (see Fig. [7a](#page-8-13), d).

Fig. 7 Illustration of basic behavior of solutions of the system (4.1) when $h = 1.05$. In (**a**) and (**d**), the red orbit represents phase portrait of $x(t)$ and $y(t)$ with impulsive effect, and the *blue* represents phase portrait of $x(t)$ and $y(t)$ without impulsive effect. In (**b**) (**c**) (**e**) and (**f**), the *red curve* represent time series of $x(t)$ and $y(t)$ with impulsive effect, and the *blue* represents time series of $x(t)$ and $y(t)$ without impulsive effect. **a** Phase portrait of $x(t)$ and $y(t)$. **b** Time series of $x(t)$. **c** Time series of $y(t)$. **d** Phase portrait of $x(t)$ and $y(t)$. **e** Time series of $x(t)$. **f** Time series of $y(t)$

5 Conclusion

In present paper, based on a prey–predator model with the Holling's type III functional response, a pest management system with nonlinear state feedback control is proposed and analyzed. The integrated pest management strategy according to number of pests is considered. By the qualitative theory of differential equations and geometrical analysis, the existence and stability of periodic solutions of the model were discussed. Numerical simulations verified our theoretical results.

References

- 1. United states department of agriculture (2015). [www.ars.](www.ars.usda.gov) [usda.gov](www.ars.usda.gov)
- 2. Author: The pest management regulatory agency of Canada (2015). [http://www.hc-sc.gc.ca/cps-spc/pest/index-eng.](http://www.hc-sc.gc.ca/cps-spc/pest/index-eng.php) [php](http://www.hc-sc.gc.ca/cps-spc/pest/index-eng.php)
- 3. Mahr, D., Ridgway, N.: Biological control of insects and mites: An introduction to beneficial natural enemies and their use in pest management. Information Systems Division, National Agricultural Library **481**, (1993)
- 4. Hueth, D., Regev, U.: Optimal agricultural pest management with increasing pest resistance. Am. J. Agric. Econ. **56**(3), 543–552 (1974)
- 5. Whalon, M.E., Mota-Sanchez, D., Hollingworth, R.M.: Global Pesticide Resistance in Arthropods. Commonwealth Agricultural Bureaux International, Cambridge (2008)
- 6. Ehler, L.E.: Integrated pest management (IPM): definition, historical development and implementation, and the other IPM. Pest Manag. Sci. **62**(9), 787–789 (2006)
- 7. Hardin, M.R., Benrey, B., Coll, M., Lamp, W.O., Roderick, G.K., Barbosa, P.: Arthropod pest resurgence: an overview of potential mechanisms. Crop Prot. **14**(1), 3–18 (1995)
- 8. Smith, R.F., Reynolds, H.T.: Principles, definitions and scope of integrated pest control. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (1966)
- 9. Kogan, M.: Integrated pest management: historical perspectives and contemporary developments. Annu. Rev. Entomol. **43**(1), 243–270 (1998)
- 10. Apple, J.L., Smith, R.F.: Integrated Pest Management. Springer, Berlin (1976)
- 11. Dent, D., Elliott, N.C.: Integrated Pest Management. Springer Science & Business Media, Berlin (1995)
- 12. Hassanali, A., Herren, H., Khan, Z., Pickett, J., Woodcock, C.: Integrated pest management: the push–pull approach for controlling insect pests and weeds of cereals, and its potential for other agricultural systems including animal husbandry. Philos. Trans. R. Soc. B Biol. Sci. **363**(1491), 611–621 (2008)
- 13. Lenteren, J.C.V., Woets, J.: Biological and integrated pest control in greenhouses. Annu. Rev. Entomol. **33**(1), 239– 269 (1988)
- 14. Lewis, W.J., van Lenteren, J.C., Phatak, S.C., Tumlinson, J.H.: A total system approach to sustainable pest management. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. **94**(23), 12243–12248 (1997)
- 15. Thomas, M.B.: Ecological approaches and the development of truly integrated pest management. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. **96**(11), 5944–5951 (1999)
- 16. Bahar, A., Mao, X.: Stochastic delay Lotka–Volterra model. J. Math. Anal. Appl. **292**(2), 364–380 (2004)
- 17. Hong, K.,Weng, P.: Stability and traveling waves of diffusive predator–prey model with age-structure and nonlocal effect. J. Appl. Anal. Comput. **2**(2), 173–192 (2012)
- 18. Li, Y., Kuang, Y.: Periodic solutions of periodic delay Lotka– Volterra equations and systems. J. Math. Anal. Appl. **255**(1), 260–280 (2001)
- 19. Liu, X., Chen, L.: Complex dynamics of Holling type II Lotka–Volterra predator–prey system with impulsive perturbations on the predator. Chaos Solitons Fractals **16**(2), 311–320 (2003)
- 20. Meng, X., Liu, R., Zhang, T.: Adaptive dynamics for a nonautonomous Lotka–Volterra model with size-selective disturbance. Nonlinear Anal.: Real World Appl. **16**, 202–213 (2014)
- 21. Peng, Y., Zhang, T.: Turing instability and pattern induced by cross-diffusion in a predator–prey system with allee effect. Appl. Math. Comput. **275**, 1–12 (2016). doi[:10.1016/j.amc.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.amc.2015.11.067) [2015.11.067](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.amc.2015.11.067)
- 22. Sambathy, M., Balachandran, K.: Spatiotemporal dynamics of a predator–prey model incorporating a prey refuge. J. Appl. Anal. Comput. **3**(1), 71–80 (2013)
- 23. Takeuchi, Y.: Global Dynamical Properties of Lotka– Volterra Systems. World Scientific, Singapore (1996)
- 24. Yuan, S., Xu, C., Zhang, T.: Spatial dynamics in a predator– prey model with herd behavior. Chaos **23**(3), 033102 (2013)
- 25. Zhang, T., Hong, Z.: Delay-induced Turing instability in reaction–diffusion equations. Phys. Rev. E **90**(5), 052908 (2014)
- 26. Zhang, T., Xing, Y., Zang, H., Han, M.: Spatio-temporal dynamics of a reaction–diffusion system for a predator– prey model with hyperbolic mortality. Nonlinear Dyn. **78**(1), 265–277 (2014)
- 27. Hui, J., Zhu, D.: Dynamic complexities for prey-dependent consumption integrated pest management models with impulsive effects. Chaos Solitons Fractals **29**(1), 233–251 (2006)
- 28. Jiao, J., Chen, L., Cai, S.: Impulsive control strategy of a pest management SI model with nonlinear incidence rate. Appl. Math. Model. **33**(1), 555–563 (2009)
- 29. Li, Z., Chen, L., Huang, J.: Permanence and periodicity of a delayed ratio-dependent predator–prey model with Holling type functional response and stage structure. J. Comput. Appl. Math. **233**(2), 173–187 (2009)
- 30. Liu, B., Zhang, Y., Chen, L.: The dynamical behaviors of a Lotka–Volterra predator–prey model concerning integrated pest management. Nonlinear Anal.: Real World Appl. **6**(2), 227–243 (2005)
- 31. Meng, X., Chen, L.: Permanence and global stability in an impulsive Lotka–Volterra N-species competitive system with both discrete delays and continuous delays. Int. J. Biomath. **01**(02), 179–196 (2008)
- 32. Meng, X., Jiao, J., Chen, L.: The dynamics of an age structured predator–prey model with disturbing pulse and time delays. Nonlinear Anal.: Real World Appl. **9**(2), 547–561 (2008)
- 33. Shi, R., Jiang, X., Chen, L.: A predator–prey model with disease in the prey and two impulses for integrated pest management. Appl. Math. Model. **33**(5), 2248–2256 (2009)
- 34. Song, X., Hao, M., Meng, X.: A stage-structured predator– prey model with disturbing pulse and time delays. Appl. Math. Model. **33**(1), 211–223 (2009)
- 35. Sun, S., Chen, L.: Mathematical modelling to control a pest population by infected pests. Appl. Math. Model. **33**(6), 2864–2873 (2009)
- 36. Zhang, H., Chen, L., Nieto, J.J.: A delayed epidemic model with stage-structure and pulses for pest management strat-

egy. Nonlinear Anal.: Real World Appl. **9**(4), 1714–1726 (2008)

- 37. Zhang, T., Meng, X., Song, Y.: The dynamics of a highdimensional delayed pest management model with impulsive pesticide input and harvesting prey at different fixed moments. Nonlinear Dyn. **64**(1–2), 1–12 (2011)
- 38. Braverman, E., Liz, E.: Global stabilization of periodic orbits using a proportional feedback control with pulses. Nonlinear Dyn. **67**(4), 2467–2475 (2012)
- 39. Kristiansen, R., Nicklasson, P.J.: Spacecraft formation flying: a review and new results on state feedback control. Acta Astronaut. **65**(11–12), 1537–1552 (2009)
- 40. Li, N., Cao, J.: New synchronization criteria for memristorbased networks: adaptive control and feedback control schemes. Neural Netw. **61**, 1–9 (2015)
- 41. Naifar, O., Ben Makhlouf, A., Hammami, M., Ouali, A.: State feedback control law for a class of nonlinear timevarying system under unknown time-varying delay. Nonlinear Dyn. **82**(1–2), 349–355 (2015)
- 42. Song, S., Zhu, Q.: Noise suppresses explosive solutions of differential systems: a new general polynomial growth condition. J. Math. Anal. Appl. **431**(1), 648–661 (2015)
- 43. Tang, S., Pang, W., Cheke, R., Wu, J.: Global dynamics of a state-dependent feedback control system. Adv. Differ. Equ. **2015**(1), 322 (2015)
- 44. Tang, S., Tang, B., Wang, A., Xiao, Y.: Holling ii predator– prey impulsive semi-dynamic model with complex poincaré map. Nonlinear Dyn. **81**(3), 1575–1596 (2015)
- 45. Wang, H., Zhu, Q.: Finite-time stabilization of high-order stochastic nonlinear systems in strict-feedback form. Automatica **54**, 284–291 (2015)
- 46. Yagasaki, K.: A simple feedback control system: bifurcations of periodic orbits and chaos. Nonlinear Dyn. **9**(4), 391– 417 (1996)
- 47. Zhang, T., Ma, W., Meng, X., Zhang, T.: Periodic solution of a prey–predator model with nonlinear state feedback control. Appl. Math. Comput. **266**, 95–107 (2015)
- 48. Zhang, T., Meng, X., Liu, R., Zhang, T.: Periodic solution of a pest management Gompertz model with impulsive state feedback control. Nonlinear Dyn. **78**(2), 921–938 (2014)
- 49. Zhao, Y., Xu, J.: Using the delayed feedback control and saturation control to suppress the vibration of the dynamical system. Nonlinear Dyn. **67**(1), 735–753 (2012)
- 50. Zhu, Q.: Asymptotic stability in the pth moment for stochastic differential equations with Lévy noise. J. Math. Anal. Appl. **416**(1), 126–142 (2014)
- 51. Zhu, Q., Cao, J., Rakkiyappan, R.: Exponential input-tostate stability of stochastic Cohen–Grossberg neural networks with mixed delays. Nonlinear Dyn. **79**(2), 1085–1098 (2015)
- 52. Tang, S., Cheke, R.A.: State-dependent impulsive models of integrated pest management (IPM) strategies and their dynamic consequences. J. Math. Biol. **50**(3), 257–292 (2005)
- 53. Tang, S., Xiao, Y., Chen, L., Cheke, R.: Integrated pest management models and their dynamical behaviour. Bull. Math. Biol. **67**(1), 115–135 (2005)
- 54. Zhang, Y., Zhang, Q., Zhang, X.: Dynamical behavior of a class of prey–predator system with impulsive state feedback control and Beddington-DeAngelis functional response. Nonlinear Dyn. **70**(2), 1511–1522 (2012)
- 55. Pang, G., Chen, L.: Periodic solution of the system with impulsive state feedback control. Nonlinear Dyn. **78**(1), 743–753 (2014)
- 56. Gang, W., Sanyi, T.: Qualitative analysis of prey–predator model with nonlinear impulsive effects. Appl. Math. Mech. **34**(5), 496–505 (2013)
- 57. Chen, J., Zhang, H.: The qualitative analysis of two species predator–prey model with Holling's type iii functional response. Appl. Math. Mech. **7**(1), 77–86 (1986)
- 58. Chen, L.: Pest control and geometric theory of semicontinuous dynamical system. J. Beihua Univ. **12**(1), 1–9 (2011)
- 59. Bainov, D., Simeonov, P.: Impulsive Differential Equations: Periodic Solutions and Applications. CRC Press, Boca Raton (1993)
- 60. Lakshmikantham, V., Bainov, D., Simeonov, P.S.: Theory of Impulsive Differential Equations. World Scientific, Singapore (1989)
- 61. Arnold, V.: Geometrical Methods in the Theory of Ordinary Differential Equations (Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften). Springer, New York (1988)