ORIGINAL PAPER

# **Turing instability in a gene network with cross-diffusion**

**Qianqian Zheng · Jianwei Shen**

Received: 26 December 2013 / Accepted: 3 June 2014 / Published online: 3 July 2014 © Springer Science+Business Media Dordrecht 2014

**Abstract** In this paper, we consider the process of pattern formation induced by nonlinear diffusion in the gene network with cross-diffusion. We present a theoretical analysis of the pattern formation and show how cross-diffusion is able to destabilize the uniform equilibrium, being therefore liable for the emergence of spatial patterns. Through the linear stability analysis, we analytically derive a set of sufficient conditions which guarantee that the system generates turing instability, indicating that the competition and crossdiffusion between protein and mir-17–92 can lead to the turing pattern formation. Furthermore, we also obtain the Turing regions in which Turing patterns are generated.

**Keywords** Turing pattern · MicroRNA · Cross-diffusion · Instability

## **1 Introduction**

Turing instability was proposed by Turing [\[24\]](#page-8-0) in 1952; it is the phenomenon that initially stable steady state of

Q. Zheng

School of Mathematics and Statistics, Zhengzhou University, Zhengzhou 450000, People's Republic of China

J. Shen  $(\boxtimes)$ 

a dynamical system can become unstable if we additionally consider diffusion in the system. This is surprising and unexpected phenomenon because diffusion usually makes things more smooth and uniform. Loss of stability due to diffusion is called Turing instability. Since the seminal paper of Turing [\[21](#page-8-1)], more and more attention is paid on the theoretical models to explain self-organized pattern formation in many different areas of physics, chemistry, biology, geology, and so on, especially biology. Recently, the entrainment and modulation of time-evolutional patterns are investigated numerically in one dimension [\[26\]](#page-8-2). Lee and Cho [\[15](#page-8-3)] find that the shape and type of Turing patterns depend on dynamical parameters and external periodic forcing. Moreover, Pena and Perez [\[18\]](#page-8-4) show that slightly squeezed hexagons are locally stable in a full range of distortion angles. The domain coarsening process is strongly affected by the spatial separation among groups created by the Turing pattern formation process [\[19\]](#page-8-5). And the robustness problem is also investigated in  $[11,17]$  $[11,17]$  $[11,17]$ . The dynamics of spontaneous pattern formation was first introduced to biology by Turing. Several groups have proposed possible candidates generating patterns [\[4](#page-8-8)[,10](#page-8-9)].

In the reaction–diffusion system, diffusion can induce the instability of a uniform equilibrium which is stable with respect to a constant perturbation, as shown by Turing in 1950s. The equilibrium of the nonlinear system is asymptotically stable in the absence of diffusion but unstable in the presence of diffusion [\[6\]](#page-8-10). Spatial patterns in reaction–diffusion systems have

Institute of Applied Mathematics, Xuchang University, Xuchang 461000, Henan, People's Republic of China e-mail: xcjwshen@gmail.com; phdshen@126.com

attracted the interest of experimentalists and theorists during the last decades. Until now, however, there has been no general analysis of the possible role of cross-diffusion in dissipative pattern formation [\[33](#page-9-0)]. Shi [\[20](#page-8-11)] show that cross-diffusion can destabilize a uniform equilibrium which is stable for the kinetic and self-diffusion reaction systems. On the other hand, cross-diffusion can also stabilize a uniform equilibrium which is stable for the kinetic system but unstable for the self-diffusion reaction system [\[11,](#page-8-6)[20\]](#page-8-11). Crossdiffusion which can lead to Turing pattern formation in biological systems has been reported [\[3](#page-8-12)[,9](#page-8-13)]. The effects of cross-diffusion on pattern formation in reaction– diffusion systems have been discussed in many theoretical papers in which the gradient in the concentration of one species lead to the diffusion in other species [\[25](#page-8-14)]. Other two relevant examples are on chemotaxis in *E. coli* bacteria [\[8](#page-8-15)[,25](#page-8-14)], a phenomenon where cells direct their motion toward or away from higher concentrations of other chemical species.

The above results in the turing instability were focused on the chemistry, ecology, and seldom on gene network. However, synthetic gene network and its dynamics were more and more concerned [\[27–](#page-8-16) [31](#page-9-1),[35](#page-9-2)[–37\]](#page-9-3), and we know that diffusion process is ubiquitous when the gene information was transported from cytoplasm to cell nucleus. In the following, we will investigate the character of diffusion process involving cancer network regulated by microRNA (mir-17–92). MicroRNAs (miRNAs) are an abundant class of small non-coding RNA that functions to regulate the activity and stability of specific mRNA targets through posttranscriptional regulatory mechanism and play a role of repressing translation of mRNA or degrading mRNAs [\[1](#page-8-17)[,2](#page-8-18),[12,](#page-8-19)[21\]](#page-8-1). Recent studies show that miRNAs play a central role in many biological (cellular) processes, including developmental timing, cell proliferation, apoptosis, metabolism, cell differentiation, somitogenesis, and tumor genesis [\[2\]](#page-8-18). The induction of specific E2F activities is an essential component in the Myc pathways that control cell proliferation and cell fate decisions [\[7,](#page-8-20)[16](#page-8-21)[,32](#page-9-4)]. In normal cells, E2F-1 exhibits key negative feedback regulation on c-Myc-induced TERT expression, which is critical to control the transmission of c-Myc-mediated oncogenic signals [\[14](#page-8-22)[,22](#page-8-23),[34\]](#page-9-5). The protooncogene Pim-1 is a part of the network that regulates transcription of the human miR-17–92 cluster [\[5](#page-8-24)[,23](#page-8-25)].

In recent years, many scientists deemed that mathematical modeling could be used to investigate the differences at the dynamical level between healthy and pathologic configurations of biological pathways [\[13\]](#page-8-26). Using the mathematical model, the researchers can detect the key points regulating main properties of biological system and find the methods to solve the different diseases. In order to understand further the miR-17–92 network involving in Myc and E2F, we also make use the mathematical model to investigate above biological network involving miR-17–92 and look further into the turing instability induced by cross-diffusion, and then understand how the turing pattern inside cells provides the checkpoint that combines mechanical and biochemical information to trigger events during the cell division process.

The paper is organized as follow. In Sect. [2,](#page-1-0) we give the gene network represented by mathematical model. In Sect. [3,](#page-2-0) we analyze the stability of pattern formation and selection. In Sect. [4,](#page-5-0) we show the numerical analysis of the network. Finally, we summarize our results.

## <span id="page-1-0"></span>**2 The model**

In this section, we consider a cancer network, where the reaction kinetics describes the interaction between microRNA and protein model. As we all know that molecular diffusion is ubiquitous when molecules interaction or pass though the cytomembrane, we should consider the effect of diffusion on the network. In this paper, we modified the system  $(1)$  and  $(2)$  given by Aguda et al. [\[1\]](#page-8-17) and add the diffusion term to the system, obtain the reaction–diffusion system as follows:

$$
\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} - \operatorname{div}(\mathcal{D}\nabla u) = G(u),
$$

where *u* is a vector  $u_i(x, t)$ ,  $i = 1, 2$  of species densities, in which  $u_1$  represents transcription factor  $p$  in Fig. [1c](#page-2-1) and *u*<sup>2</sup> represents microRNA in Fig. [1c](#page-2-1); *D* is  $a$  2  $\times$  2 matrix of the diffusion coefficients, where the diagonal element is called the self-diffusion coefficient and the non-diagonals are called cross-diffusion coefficients; and *G* is the reaction term indicating the interaction between the involved species. The nonlinear diffusion coefficients matrix of our model as follows:

$$
\mathcal{D} = \begin{pmatrix} d_1 & 0 \\ d_2 d_3 u_2 & d_2 + d_2 d_3 u_1 \end{pmatrix}.
$$



<span id="page-2-1"></span>**Fig. 1 a** A cancer network. This network is part of the mammalian G1-S regulatory network involving miR-17–92, arrowhead represents the activation, hammerhead represents the inhibition, **b** first stage in the reduction of the model, **c** the final

network model that abstracts the essential structure of the network in **a**, *p* presents the transcription factor (Myc and E2F), *m* represents microRNA (miR-17–92) cluster

<span id="page-2-3"></span>And the interaction contribution is included in the reaction term *G*. Specifically, the underlying model consists as follows:

$$
\begin{cases}\n\frac{\partial u_1}{\partial t} - d_1 \Delta u_1 = \alpha + \frac{k_1 u_1^2}{a_1 u_1^2 + b u_2} - \delta u_1, & (x, t) \in \Omega_T \\
\frac{\partial u_2}{\partial t} - d_2 \Delta (u_2 + d_3 u_1 u_2) = \beta + k_2 u_1 - \gamma u_2, \\
(x, t) \in \Omega_T \\
\frac{\partial u_1}{\partial \eta} = \frac{\partial u_2}{\partial \eta} = 0, & (x, t) \in \Sigma_T \\
u_1(x, 0) = \psi_1(x), u_2(x, 0) = \psi_2(x), & x \in \Omega\n\end{cases}
$$
\n(2.1)

where  $\Omega_T = \Omega \times (0, T), \Sigma_T = \partial \Sigma \times (0, T)$  for a fixed  $T > 0$ . In biological terms, the homogeneous Neumann boundary condition indicates that there is no population flux across the boundary. Here, the presence of  $u_2$  in the denominator accounting for the miRdependent down-regulation of protein expression is determined by the term  $(a + bu_2)$  in the denominator. The value of the parameter *b* is a measure of the efficiency of miRNA inhibition of protein expression, and lumps all factors that could affect the binding of the members of the miR-17–92 cluster to their targets and the inhibition of protein translation. The constant term  $\alpha$  stands for constitutive protein expression due to signal transduction pathways stimulated by growth factors present in the extracellular medium. The parameter  $\alpha$ , therefore, corresponds to an experimentally controllable conditions such as the concentration of nutrients in the cell culture medium.  $\delta$  is the rate of

the protein degradation. The constant term  $\beta$  represents p-independent constitutive transcription of *m*. And the last term is a degradation term with rate coefficient  $\gamma$ . The presence of the nonlinear diffusion term means basically that the disperse direction of  $u_2$  not only contains the self-diffusion (in which way the species move from a region of high density to a region of low density), but also contains cross-diffusion. More specifically, species  $u_2$  diffuses with a flux

$$
\mathbf{J} = -\nabla (d_2 u_2 + d_2 d_3 u_1 u_2)
$$
  
=  $-d_2 d_3 u_2 \nabla u_1 - (d_2 + d_2 d_3 u_1) \nabla u_2$ .

Notice that, as  $-d_2d_3u_2 < 0$ , the part  $-d_2d_3u_2\nabla u_2$ of the corresponding flux is directed toward the decreasing population density of the species *u*1.

#### <span id="page-2-0"></span>**3 Cross-diffusion-driven spatial patterns**

In this section, we derive some sufficient conditions for spatial patterns. In particular, we not only show that the patterns formation without cross-diffusion, but also the formation of spatial patterns with cross-diffusion.

#### 3.1 Linear stability analysis

<span id="page-2-2"></span>We assume that the system  $(3.1)$  is true.

$$
A - \delta < \gamma
$$
\n
$$
4d_1d_2k_2B > (d_2(A - \delta) + d_1\gamma)^2,\tag{3.1}
$$

where

$$
A = \frac{2ak_1u_1^* + 2bk_1u_1^*u_2^*}{(a + u_1^*^2 + bu_2^*)^2}, B = \frac{bk_1u_1^*^2}{(2au_1^* + 2bu_2^*)^2}.
$$

And we can know from  $\lceil 1 \rceil$  that there is a unique positive equilibrium point to system  $(2.1)$ , denoted by  $u^* =$  $(u_1^*, u_2^*).$ 

<span id="page-3-0"></span>In order to study the locally asymptotic stability of the system  $(2.1)$ , we give the notation as follows.

**Notation 3.1** Let  $0 = \mu_1 < \mu_2 < \cdots \rightarrow \infty$  be the eigenvalues of  $-\Delta$  on  $\Omega$  under no-flux boundary conditions, and  $E(\mu_i)$  be the space of eigenfunctions corresponding to  $\mu_i$ . We define the space decomposition as follows:

- (i)  $\mathbf{X}_{ii} := \{ \mathbf{c} \cdot \phi_{ii} : \mathbf{c} \in R^2 \}$ , where  $\phi_{ii}$  is an orthonormal basis of  $E(\mu_i)$  for  $j = 1, ..., \dim E(\mu_i)$ .
- (ii)  $\mathbf{X} := {\mathbf{u} \in [C^1(\overline{\Omega})]^2 : \frac{\partial u_1}{\partial \eta} = \frac{\partial u_2}{\partial \eta}} = 0 \text{ on } \partial \Omega},$ and thus  $\mathbf{X} = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathbf{X}_i$ , where  $\mathbf{X}_i = \bigoplus_{j=1}^{\dim E(\mu_i)} \mathbf{X}_j$ .

**Theorem 3.1** *The positive equilibrium point u*<sup>∗</sup> *of* [\(2.1\)](#page-2-3) *without cross-diffusion is locally asymptotically stable when* [\(3.1\)](#page-2-2) *is true.*

*Proof* First, for the sake of simplicity, we will denote in this paper

$$
G(u) = \begin{pmatrix} G_1(u) \\ G_1(u) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha + \frac{k_1 u_1^2}{a + u_1^2 + bu_2} - \delta u_1 \\ \beta + k_2 u_1 - \gamma u_2. \end{pmatrix}
$$

The linearization of  $(2.1)$  around the state  $u^*$  can be expressed by

 $u_t = (D\Delta + G_u(u^*))u,$ 

where  $D = diag(d_1, d_2), u = (u_1, u_2)$  and

$$
G_u(u^*) = \begin{pmatrix} G_{u_{11}} & G_{u_{12}} \\ G_{u_{21}} & G_{u_{22}} \end{pmatrix}
$$
  
= 
$$
\begin{pmatrix} \frac{2ak_1u_1^* + 2bk_1u_1^*u_2^*}{(a+u_1^*^2+bu_2^*)^2} - \delta & \frac{-bk_1u_1^*^2}{(a+u_1^*^2+bku_2^*)^2} \\ k_2 & -\gamma \end{pmatrix}.
$$

According to Notation [3.1,](#page-3-0) the space  $X_i$  is invariant under the operator  $D\Delta + G_u(u^*)$ , and  $\lambda$  is an eigenvalue of this operator on  $\mathbf{X}_i$ , if and only if it is an eigenvalue of the matrix  $-\mu_i D + G_u(u^*)$ . The characteristic polynomial of  $-\mu_i D + G_u(u^*)$  is given by

 $\psi_i(\lambda) = \lambda^2 - B_i\lambda + C_i,$ 

 $\textcircled{2}$  Springer

where

$$
B_i = A - \gamma - k^2 (d_1 + d_2),
$$
  
\n
$$
C_i = -\gamma A + k_2 B - \frac{(Ad_2 - d_1 \gamma)^2}{4d_1d_2} + d_1 d_2 (\mu_i - \frac{Ad_2 - d_1 \gamma}{2d_1d_2})^2.
$$

Recalling condition [\(3.1\)](#page-2-2), it is easy to verify that *Bi* and  $-C_i$  are negative. Thus, for each  $i \geq 1$ , the two roots  $\lambda_{i,1}$  and  $\lambda_{i,2}$  of  $\psi_i(\lambda) = 0$  have negative real parts, and this completes the proof.

<span id="page-3-1"></span>**Theorem 3.2** *Assume that the system* [\(3.1\)](#page-2-2) *holds. If*  $\mu_2 < \tilde{\mu}$ , then there exists a positive constant  $d_3^*$  such<br>that the equilibrium point  $u^*$  of (2.1) is unstable pro*that the equilibrium point*  $u^*$  *of*  $(2.1)$  *is unstable provided that*  $d_2 > d_3^*$ *, where*  $\mu_2$  *is given in Notation* [3.1](#page-3-0) *and* μ *will be given in* [\(3.2\)](#page-4-0)*.*

*Proof* For simplicity, we denote  $\Phi(u) = (d_1u_1, d_2)$  $(u_2 + d_3u_1u_2)^T$ . Linearizing [\(2.1\)](#page-2-3) around the state *u*<sup>∗</sup> yields

$$
u_t = (\Phi_u \Delta + G_u(u^*))u,
$$

where

$$
\Phi_u(u^*) = \begin{pmatrix} \Phi_{u_{11}} & \Phi_{u_{12}} \\ \Phi_{u_{21}} & \Phi_{u_{22}} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} d_1 & 0 \\ d_2d_3u_2^* & d_2 + d_2d_3u_1^* \end{pmatrix}.
$$

We can obtain that the characteristic polynomial of  $-\mu_i \Phi_u + G_u(u^*)$  is

$$
\psi_i(\lambda) = \lambda^2 - D_i \lambda + E_i,
$$

where

$$
D_i = A - \delta - \gamma - (d_1 + d_2 + d_2 d_3 u_1^*) \mu_i
$$
  
\n
$$
E_i = -\gamma (A - \delta) + Bk_2 - Bd_2 d_3 u_2^* \mu_i
$$
  
\n
$$
- [(A - \delta)(d_2 + d_2 d_3 u_1^*) - d_1 \gamma] \mu_i
$$
  
\n
$$
+ d_1 (d_2 + d_2 d_3 u_1^*) \mu_i^2.
$$

We denote  $\lambda_1(\mu_i)$  and  $\lambda_2(\mu_i)$  be the roots of  $\psi_i(\lambda) = 0$ , and then have

$$
\lambda_1(\mu_i) + \lambda_2(\mu_i) = D_i
$$
 and  $\lambda_1(\mu_i)\lambda_2(\mu_i) = E_i$ .

In order to get that  $Re\lambda_1(\mu_i) < 0$  and  $Re\lambda_2(\mu_i) > 0$ , a sufficient condition is  $E_i < 0$  (based on the fact that  $D_i < 0$ ).

In the following, we look for conditions that make  $E_i$  < 0 hold. First, since  $E_i = \det(\mu_i \Phi_u - G_u(u^*)),$ it is easy to deduce that

$$
F_i = Q_2 \mu_i^2 + Q_1 \mu_i + \det(G_u),
$$



<span id="page-4-2"></span>**Fig. 2** Turing parameter spaces for model [\(2.1\)](#page-2-3). In the A domain, the solutions remain stable, while in the B, C, D domain, instabilities are expected to appear

where

$$
Q_1 = -Bd_2d_{3u_2^* - (A_\delta)(d_2 + d_2d_3u_1^*)} + d_1\gamma
$$
  
\n
$$
Q_2 = d_1d_2 + d_1d_2d_3u_1^*
$$
  
\n
$$
det(G_u) = -\gamma(A - \delta) + Bk_2
$$

We denote  $Q(\mu) = Q_2 \mu_i^2 + Q_1 \mu_i + \det(G_\mu)$ , and  $\widetilde{\mu_1}$  and  $\widetilde{\mu_2}$  be the roots of  $Q(\mu) = 0$  with  $Re(\widetilde{\mu_1})$  <  $Re(\widetilde{\mu_2})$ . Condition [\(3.1\)](#page-2-2) implies that

$$
\widetilde{\mu_1} + \widetilde{\mu_2} = -\frac{Q_1}{Q_2} \quad \text{and} \quad \widetilde{\mu_1} \widetilde{\mu_2} = \frac{\det(G_u)}{Q_2} > 0.
$$

Furthermore,we have

$$
\lim_{d_3 \to \infty} \frac{Q_2}{d_3} = d_1 d_2 u_1^*
$$
\n
$$
\lim_{d_3 \to \infty} \frac{Q_1}{d_3} = -B d_2 u_2^* - (A - \delta) d_2 u_1^*
$$
\n
$$
\lim_{d_3 \to \infty} \frac{Q}{d_3} = -B d_2 u_1^* \mu_i - A d_2 u_1^* \mu_i + d_1 d_2 u_1^* \mu_i^2.
$$

We see that  $\lim_{d_3 \to \infty} \frac{Q}{d_3} = 0$  has two real roots, one being zero and the other being positive. A continuity argument allows us to show that  $\widetilde{\mu_1}$  and  $\widetilde{\mu_2}$  are real and positive when  $d_3$  is large enough, and in addition

<span id="page-4-0"></span>
$$
\lim_{d_3 \to \infty} \widetilde{\mu_1} = 0,
$$
\n
$$
\lim_{d_3 \to \infty} \widetilde{\mu_2} = \frac{u_1^* + (A - \delta)u_1^* + Bd_2u_2^*}{d_1u_1^*} = \widetilde{\mu}
$$
\n(3.2)

Hence, there exists a positive number  $d_3^*$  such that the inequalities  $\tilde{\mu} < \mu_2$  when  $d_3 > d_3^*$ , and  $Q(\mu) < 0$ <br>when  $\mu \in (0, \tilde{\mu})$  are valid Since  $0 < \mu_3 < \tilde{\mu}$  then when  $\mu \in (0, \tilde{\mu})$ , are valid. Since  $0 < \mu_2 < \tilde{\mu}$ , then<br> $\mu_2 \in (\tilde{\mu}, \tilde{\mu_2})$ . It follows that  $O(\mu) < 0$ , which finally  $u_2 \in (\tilde{u}_1, \tilde{u}_2)$ . It follows that  $Q(\mu) < 0$ , which finally implies that  $F_{\mu} < 0$ , which completes the proof implies that  $E_i < 0$ , which completes the proof.

The above theorems reveal that the cross-diffusion effect is able to destabilize the positive equilibrium point, and thereby the solution results in spatial patterns.

### 3.2 Turing parameter space

In view of Theorem [3.2,](#page-3-1) the fulfillment of the following conditions is sufficient for the positive equilibrium point *u*<sup>∗</sup> being linearly unstable with respect to the particular case of system  $(2.1)$ :

(i) 
$$
A - \delta < \gamma
$$
 and  $4d_1d_2k_2B > (d_2(A - \delta) + d_1\gamma)^2$ .  
\n(ii)  $\mu_2 < \frac{u_1^* + (A - \delta)(u_1^* + Bd_2u_2^*}{d_1u_1^*}$ .

<span id="page-4-1"></span>In this paper, the values satisfying the parameter spaces are taken as  $(3.3)$ 

$$
\alpha = \frac{1}{2}, \quad \beta = 1, \quad a = 1, \quad b = 1, \quad \gamma = 1,
$$
  
\n $k_1 = 1, \quad k_2 = 1, \quad d_1 = 1, \quad d_2 = 1.$ \n(3.3)

<span id="page-4-3"></span>For this particular choice, the positive stationary uniform solution is given by

$$
(u_1^*, u_2^*) = (0.6316, 1.6316). \tag{3.4}
$$

In Fig. [2,](#page-4-2) we depict the parameter spaces where instabilities are expected to appear according to conditions (i) and (ii) above. These graphics are obtained by fixing all parameters in [\(3.3\)](#page-4-1) except for *a* and *b* (Fig. [2a](#page-4-2)),  $\gamma$  and  $k_1$  (Fig. [2b](#page-4-2)).

6



<span id="page-5-1"></span>**Fig. 3** a Phase plane for model  $(2.1)$ . Four trajectories are represented, starting from the initial states *A*, *B*,*C*, *D* and reaching the intersection of the nullclines, **b** plot of the largest of the eigen-

If no diffusion is considered, then the system  $(2.1)$ boils down to the dynamical system

$$
\frac{\mathrm{d}u}{\mathrm{d}t} = G(u),
$$

whose phase diagram is presented in Fig. [3a](#page-5-1). We show trajectories for different initial values of  $u_{1,0}$  and  $u_{2,0}$ in which the trajectories converge to the equilibrium state [\(3.4\)](#page-4-3), shown by the intersection of the (nontrivial) nullclines

$$
\alpha + \frac{k_1 u_1^2}{a + u_1^2 + bu_2} - \delta u_1 = 0
$$
  

$$
\beta + k_2 u_1 - \gamma u_2 = 0.
$$

We are able to calculate the wavenumber explicitly and determine the pattern selection by linearizing crossdiffusion around the stationary uniform solution and taking  $d_3$  as the Turing bifurcation parameter. From the mathematical viewpoint, the Turing bifurcation occurs when  $Im(\lambda_k) = 0$ ,  $Re(\lambda_k) = 0$  at  $k \neq 0$ , where  $k_c$ is the critical wavenumber and  $|k|^2$  is equivalent to the eigenvalue  $\mu_i$  in Notation [3.1.](#page-3-0)

Now, replacing  $\mu_i$  with  $|k|^2$ , the problem can obtain from the linearization of  $(2.1)$  around  $u^*$  as follows:

$$
u_t = (G_u(U^*) - |k|^2 \Phi_u)u.
$$

And the characteristic equation is as follows:

$$
\det(G_u(U^*) - |k|^2 \Phi_u - \lambda E) = 0.
$$

In order to find out the critical wavenumber, we only need to confirm that

$$
\min_{k^2} \det(G_u(U^*) - |k|^2 \Phi_u) = 0,
$$



values  $Re(\lambda)$ , there is a range of wave numbers which are linearly unstable

which is a quadratic polynomial of  $|k|^2$ . In this way, the Turing bifurcation threshold is given by  $d_3^c$  which satisfies the following equation:

$$
4 \det(G_u(u^*)) \det(\Phi_u) = (G_{u_{11}} \Phi_{u_{22}} + G_{u_{22}} \Phi_{u_{11}} -G_{u_{12}} \Phi_{u_{12}})^2,
$$

and the critical wavenumber  $k_c$  is given by

$$
k_c = \sqrt{\frac{G_{u_{11}}\Phi_{u_{22}} + G_{u_{22}}\Phi_{u_{11}} - G_{u_{12}}\Phi_{u_{21}} - G_{u_{21}}\Phi_{u_{12}}}{2\det(\Phi_u)}}.
$$

#### <span id="page-5-0"></span>**4 Numerical analysis**

In this section, we will demonstrate Turing pattern according to the previous theoretical results. First, we introduce our numerical scheme using finite difference method. In this paper, we consider zero-flux boundary conditions with region defined as square region  $[0, L] \times [0, L] \in \mathbb{R}^2$ , then discretize the space and time, in which the square space is divided as  $M \times N$ lattice sites domain with the *h* length of lattices, and time step is set as constant  $\tau$ . The system [\(2.1\)](#page-2-3) is performed using the standard five-point approximation for the spatial derivative and an explicit Euler method for the time integration. In this paper, we set  $h = 1$ ,  $\tau = 0.02$ , and select coefficients of selfdiffusion  $(d_1, d_2) = (1, 1)$ , each frame is  $100 \times 100$ space units, we choose parameters  $(a, b) = (1, 1)$ ,



**Fig. 4** The patterns of species  $u_1$ ,  $u_2$  at  $d_3 = 0$ 

<span id="page-6-0"></span>

<span id="page-6-1"></span>**Fig. 5** The patterns of species  $u_1$ ,  $u_2$  at  $d_3 = 17$ 

 $(k_1, k_2, \alpha, \beta) = (0.5, 1, 1, 1)$ . For our first test, we simulate the section of different patterns depending on the value of the cross-diffusion coefficient  $d_3$ , and the initial data are taken as a uniformly distributed random perturbation. More precisely, we choose

$$
u_1(x, 0) = u_1^* + \eta_1, u_2(x, 0) = u_2^* + \eta_2,
$$

where  $\eta_1 \in [0, 1], \eta_2 \in [0, 1].$ 

First, we simulate the section of different patterns based on the the value of cross-diffusion coefficient *d*3, and depict the real part of the eigenvalues  $Re(\lambda)$  in correspondence with the norm of the wave vector in Fig. [3b](#page-5-1). In Fig. [4,](#page-6-0) we choose the parameter  $d_3$  as  $d_3 = 0$ , and changed it to  $d_3 = 17$ ,  $d_3 = 18$ ,  $d_3 = 19$ ,  $d_3 = 19.5$ for Figs. [5,](#page-6-1) [6,](#page-7-0) [7,](#page-7-1) and [8,](#page-7-2) respectively. In addition, we can know that the initial value paly an important role in the selection of patterns and that the modes of the pattern convert from the stripes to the spots as the crossdiffusion coefficient  $d_3$  increases. Finally, we also find



## **5 Conclusion**

50

40

30

20

 $10$ 

 $0\frac{1}{\epsilon}$ 

20

40

60

In this paper, we have developed a cancer network regulated by microRNA with cross-diffusion and analyze the spatiotemporal dynamics of the cancer network

1.6326

1.6325

1.6325

1.6325

100

80



(a)  $100$ 

90

80

70

60

50

 $40$ 

30

 $20$ 

 $10$ 

 $\times 10^{20}$ 

5

 $\overline{\mathbf{4}}$ 

 $\vert$ <sub>3</sub>

 $\vert$ <sub>2</sub>

 $\mathbf{1}$ 

 $\overline{\mathbf{0}}$ 

 $-1$ 

 $\overline{2}$ 

 $-3$ 

 $-4$ 

 $-5$ 

 $x 10^{29}$ 

6

 $\overline{a}$ 

 $\overline{0}$ 

 $-2$ 

-6

100

 $100\,$ 

and Turing patterns can be formed under other conditions. Importantly, we have shown that cross-diffusion can lead to the Turing pattern formation and influence

<span id="page-7-2"></span>with diffusion under the zero-flux boundary conditions. It is found that the steady state of the system can be globally asymptotically stable under some condition,



 $+0.6321$ 

100

 $(b)$ 100

90

80

70

60

50

 $40\,$ 

 $30\,$ 

 $20\,$ 

 $10$ 

 $0\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$ 

(b)  $100$ 

20

40

60

80



<span id="page-7-1"></span>**Fig. 7** The patterns of species  $u_1$ ,  $u_2$  at  $d_3 = 19$ 

0.6321 80  $0.632$ 70 60 †0.632 50 0.6319 40 0.6319  $30$ 0.6318  $20$ 0.6318  $10$  $\dagger$ 0.6317  $0\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$ 40 60 80



<span id="page-7-0"></span>**Fig. 6** The patterns of species  $u_1$ ,  $u_2$  at  $d_3 = 18$ 

20

 $(a)$  100

90

on the distribution of protein and miRNA; obviously, the cross-diffusion is one of drivers which drive the turing pattern in biological system. We also find that the appearance of spots pattern will be delayed  $(d_3 \text{ will be})$ larger when the spots pattern appear) when the coefficient  $d_1$  increases in the simulation, and the delay value is in proportion to  $d_1$ . As we know that the formation of Turing patterns in biochemical pathway could then be related to organizing centers in eukaryotic cells playing a role during cell division, and the Turing pattern inside cells could provide a checkpoint that combine mechanical and biochemical information to trigger events during the cell division process, in addition, the cancer is uncheck cell growth, and the unregulated checkpoint can induce to cancer.

**Acknowledgments** This work is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (11272277), Program for New Century Excellent Talents in University (NCET-10- 0238), the Key Project of Chinese Ministry of Education (211105) and Innovation Scientists and Technicians Troop Construction Projects of Henan Province (134100510013), Innovative Research Team in University of Henan Province (13IRT-STHN019).

**Conflict of interest** The authors declare that there is no conflict of interests.

## <span id="page-8-17"></span>**References**

- 1. Aguda, B.D., Kim, Y., Piper-Hunter, M.G., Friedman, A., Marsh, C.B.: MicroRNA regulation of a cancer network: consequences of the feedback loops involving miR-17-92, E2F, and Myc. In: Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, vol. 105(50), pp. 19678–19683 (2008)
- <span id="page-8-18"></span>2. Ambros, V.: The functions of animal microRNAs. Nature **431**, 350–355 (2004)
- <span id="page-8-12"></span>3. Almirantis, Y., Papageorgiou, S.: Cross-diffusion effects on chemical and biological pattern formation. J. Theoret. Biol. **151**, 289–311 (1991)
- <span id="page-8-8"></span>4. Bard, J.: Lauder, I.:How well does Turings theory of morphogenesis work. J. Theoret. Biol **45**, 501–531 (1974)
- <span id="page-8-24"></span>5. Bartel, D.P.: MicroRNAs: genomics, biogenesis, mechanism and function. Cell **116**, 281–297 (2004)
- <span id="page-8-10"></span>6. Baier, R.R., Tian, C.: Mathematical analysis and numerical simulation of pattern formation under cross-diffusion. Nonlinear Anal. Real World Appl. **10**, 1–12 (2012)
- <span id="page-8-20"></span>7. Brandman, O., Ferrell, J.E., Li, R., et al.: Interlinked fast and slow positive feedback loops drive reliable cell decisions. Science **310**, 496–498 (2005)
- <span id="page-8-15"></span>8. Budrene, E.O., Berg, H.C.: Dynamics of formation of symmetrical patterns by chemotactic bacteria. Nature **376**, 49– 53 (1995)
- <span id="page-8-13"></span>9. Chung, J.M., Peacock-Lpez, E.: Bifurcation diagrams and Turing patterns in a chemical self-replicating reaction-

diffusion system with cross diffusion. J. Chem. Phys. **127**, 174903 (2007)

- <span id="page-8-9"></span>10. Cohen, S.M., Brennecke, J., Stark, A.: Denoising feedback loops by thresholding—a new role for microRNAs. Genes. Dev **20**, 2769–2772 (2006)
- <span id="page-8-6"></span>11. Fanelli, D., Cianci, C., Di Patti, F.: Turing instabilities in reaction-diffusion systems with cross diffusion. Eur. Phys. J. B **86**, 1–8 (2013)
- <span id="page-8-19"></span>12. Gottesman, S.: Micros for microbes: Non-coding regulatory RNA in bacteria. Trands. Genet **21**, 399–404 (2005)
- <span id="page-8-26"></span>13. Kitano, H.: Biological robustness. Nat. Rev. Genet **5**, 826– 837 (2004)
- <span id="page-8-22"></span>14. Lacerte, A., Korah, J., Roy, M., et al.: Transforming growth factor to be inhibits telomerase through SMAD3 and E2F transcription factors. Cell Signal **20**, 50–59 (2008)
- <span id="page-8-3"></span>15. Lee, I., Cho, U.I.: Pattern formations with Turing and Hopf oscillating pattern. Bull. Korean. Chem. Soc. **21**, 1213–1216 (2000)
- <span id="page-8-21"></span>16. Leone, G., Sears, R., Huang, E.: Myc requires distinct E2F activities to induce S phase and apoptosis. Mol. Cell **8**, 105– 113 (2001)
- <span id="page-8-7"></span>17. Maini, P.K., Woolley, T.E., Baker, R.E., et al.: Turings model for biological pattern formation and the robustness problem. Interface Focus **2**, 487–496 (2012)
- <span id="page-8-4"></span>18. Pena, B., Perez, C.: Stability of Turing patterns in the Brusselator model. Phys. Rev. E **64**, 056213 (2001)
- <span id="page-8-5"></span>19. Sayama, H., Marcus, A.M., Aguiar, D.E., et al.: Interplay between Turing pattern formation and domain coarsening in spatially extended population models. Forma **18**, 19–36 (2003)
- <span id="page-8-11"></span>20. Shi, J., Xie, Z., Little, K.: Cross-diffusion induced instability and stability in reaction-diffusion systems. J. Appl. Anal. Comput. **1**, 95–119 (2011)
- <span id="page-8-1"></span>21. Shoji, H.: Entrainment and modulation of Turing patterns under spatiotemporal forcing. Forma **24**, 23–27 (2009)
- <span id="page-8-23"></span>22. Soucek, L., Evan, G.: The ups and downs of Myc biology. Curr. Opin. Genet. Dev. **20**, 91–95 (2010)
- <span id="page-8-25"></span>23. Thomas, M., Grnweller, K.L., et al.: Analysis of transcriptional regulation of the human miR-17-92 cluster. Int. J. Mol. Sci. **14**, 12273–12296 (2013)
- <span id="page-8-0"></span>24. Turing, A.: The chemical basis of morphogenesis. Trans. R. Soc. B **237**, 37–72 (1952)
- <span id="page-8-14"></span>25. Vanag, V.K., Epstein, I.R.: Cross-diffusion and pattern formation in reaction diffusion systems. Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. **11**, 897–912 (2008)
- <span id="page-8-2"></span>26. Wu, Y., Wang, P., et al.: Turing patterns in a reactiondiffusion system. Commun. Theor. Phys **45**, 761–764 (2006)
- <span id="page-8-16"></span>27. Xu, Y., Feng, J., Li, J.J., Zhang, H.Q.: Lèvy noise induced switch in the gene transcriptional regulatory system. Chaos **23**, 013110 (2013)
- 28. Xu, Y., Jin, X., et al.: Parallel logic gates in synthetic gene networks induced by non-Gaussian noise. Phys. Rev. E **88**, 052721 (2013)
- 29. Xu, Y., Wu, J., Zhang, H.Q., Ma, S.J.: Stochastic resonance phenomenon in an underdamped bistable system driven by weak asymmetric dichotomous noise. Nonlinear Dyn. **70**, 531–539 (2012)
- 30. Xu, Z.Y., Bagci, U., Kubler, A., et al.: Computer-aided detection and quantification of cavitary tuberculosis from CT scans. Med. Phys. **40**, 113701 (2013)
- <span id="page-9-1"></span>31. Xu, Z.Y., Dasgupta, S.K., Saha, P.K.: Tensor scale: an analytic approach with efficient computation and applications. Comput. Vision Image Underst. **116**, 1060–1075 (2012)
- <span id="page-9-4"></span>32. Yan, F., Liu, H., Hao, J., et al.: Dynamical behaviors of Rb-E2F pathway including negative feedback loops involving miR449. PLoS One **7**, 43908 (2012)
- <span id="page-9-0"></span>33. Zemskov, E.P., Vanag, V.K., Epstein, I.R.: Amplitude equation for reaction-diffusion systems with cross diffusion. Phys. Rev. E **10**, 1103 (2011)
- <span id="page-9-5"></span>34. Zhang, A., Zhang, Y.: Negative feedback regulation of E2F– 1 on c-Myc-induced hTERT expression. Military Med. J. South China **26**, 527–531 (2012)
- <span id="page-9-2"></span>35. Zheng, Q.Q., Shen, J.W.: Bifurcations and dynamics of cancer signaling network regulated by microRNA. Discret. Dyn. Nat. Soc. **2013**, 176956 (2013)
- 36. Zhu, Y.N., Shen, J.W., Xu, Y.: Mechanism of stochastic resonance in a quorum sensing network regulated by small RNAs. Abstr. Appl. Anal. **2013**, 105724 (2013)
- <span id="page-9-3"></span>37. Zhu, Y.N., Shen, J.W., Xu, Y.: Coherence resonance in a noise-driven gene network regulated by small RNA. Theoret. Appl. Mech. Lett. **4**, 013008 (2014)