ORIGINAL PAPER

# **Second-order consensus of nonlinear multi-agent systems with restricted switching topology and time delay**

**Kairui Chen · Junwei Wang · Yun Zhang · Zhi Liu**

Received: 31 December 2013 / Accepted: 22 May 2014 / Published online: 17 June 2014 © Springer Science+Business Media Dordrecht 2014

**Abstract** In this paper, a distributed protocol based on only relative position information is proposed for consensus of second-order multi-agent systems with inherent nonlinear dynamics and communication time delay. Compared with previous works, the distinguished feature of the paper lies in the directed interaction topology that is switching according to average dwell time (ADT) switching signals. Under the proposed protocol, we not only present sufficient conditions for ensuring consensus, but also explicitly give the lower bound of ADT for admissible switching signals. Numerical examples are provided to illustrate the performance of the proposed consensus algorithm.

**Keywords** Consensus · Multi-agent systems · Nonlinear dynamics · Switching topology · Average dwell time

# **1 Introduction**

Enormous attention from the research community has been paid to the consensus problem of multi-agent

K. Chen · J. Wang · Y. Zhang · Z. Liu Faculty of Automation, Guangdong University of Technology, Guangzhou 510006, China

J. Wang  $(\boxtimes)$ 

School of Informatics, Guangdong University of Foreign Studies, Guangzhou 510006, China e-mail:wangjwlj@gmail.com

systems, partly due to its applications in the formation control of unmanned air vehicles, the cooperative control of mobile robots, the design of distributed sensor networks, and so on (see  $[1-3]$  $[1-3]$  and references therein). Although first-order consensus protocols have been intensively studied [\[4](#page-5-2)[–8\]](#page-6-0), they are unapplicable to consensus of second-order multi-agent systems (i.e., agents are governed by both position and velocity states) which can characterize a broad class of real vehicles. It has been demonstrated that secondorder consensus may not be reached even if the communication topology contains a spanning tree [\[9\]](#page-6-1), which is somewhat different from first-order consensus. Therefore, the consensus problem for second-order multiagent systems is more challenging than the first-order case  $[10-15]$  $[10-15]$ .

It should be pointed out that most previous results focus on multi-agent systems with fixed communication topologies. However, for practical engineering and social multi-agent systems, their interaction topologies are often unreliable due to the limited sensing region of sensors or effect of obstacles. Upto now, much progress has been achieved in solving consensus problems under switching topologies [\[16](#page-6-4)[–21\]](#page-6-5). For example, the pioneering work of Jadbabaie et al. [\[16\]](#page-6-4) proved that "jointly connectivity" over a time interval is sufficient for consensus of firstorder multi-agent systems with undirected topology. Then, Ren and Beard [\[17\]](#page-6-6) generalized the results in [\[16\]](#page-6-4) to the directed information topology. Generally speaking, these initial attempts were obtained without noticing that the communication topologies of multiagent systems cannot shift arbitrarily fast in reality. Naturally, researchers began to impose some constraints on the time interval between consecutive switchings (i.e., the so-called dwell time (DT)). Along this line, Zareh et al. [\[22\]](#page-6-7) solved the second-order multi-agent systems with slow switching topology by proposing a PD-like protocol. Minimum bounds of the DT were calculated to ensure stability of hybrid systems in [\[23](#page-6-8)].

Another challenge in multi-agent consensus is the inherent nonlinear dynamics of agents and ubiquitous communication time delay between interacting agents [\[24\]](#page-6-9). Until now, many techniques have been employed to analyze nonlinear multi-agent systems, including dissipativity theory [\[25\]](#page-6-10), non-smooth analysis [\[26](#page-6-11)], and Lyapunov functions [\[27,](#page-6-12)[28\]](#page-6-13). In [\[29](#page-6-14)], Wang and Slotine derived delay-independent sufficient conditions for group agreement with time-delayed communications. On the other hand, delay-dependent conditions for consensus of a class of second-order multi-agent systems were derived in [\[30](#page-6-15)]. Despite the overall progress, some issues in this area still await further research, such as multi-agent consensus with more constraints including inherent nonlinear dynamics, restricted interaction topology (e.g., average dwell time (ADT) switching topology [\[31](#page-6-16)]) and communication time delay simultaneously.

With the above motivation, this paper deals with the consensus problem of second-order multi-agent systems with nonlinear dynamics, communication time delay, and restricted switching topology. By introducing a state transformation, the consensus problem is converted to a stability problem of the corresponding disagreement systems. Then, by constructing piecewise Lyapunov–Krasovskii functionals, it is proved that second-order consensus can be achieved if each switching topology contains a directed spanning tree and the system parameters satisfy the derived linear matrix inequalities (LMIs).

The remainder of the paper is organized as follows. Some necessary preliminaries and consensus problem formulations are given in Sect. [2.](#page-1-0) In Sect. [3,](#page-2-0) we present the sufficient conditions for ensuring consensus in terms of LMIs. A numerical example is simulated to verify the theoretical results in Sect. [4.](#page-4-0) Section [5](#page-5-3) draws the conclusion and puts forward some future topics.

Some mathematical notations are used throughout the paper. Let  $I_n$  and  $O_n$  be the  $n \times n$  identity matrix and zero matrix, respectively;  $1_n = [1, \ldots, 1]^T \in R^n$ , and  $0_n = [0, ..., 0]^T \in R^n$ . diag $\{x_1, ..., x_m\}$  denotes the diagonal matrix with diagonal entries  $x_1$  to  $x_m$ . We say  $X > 0$  ( $X < 0$ ) if the matrix X is positive (negative) definite.  $\bar{\lambda}(\cdot)$  and  $\underline{\lambda}(\cdot)$  denote, respectively, the maximum and minimum eigenvalue of a positive definite matrix.

### <span id="page-1-0"></span>**2 Preliminaries and problem setup**

We first recall some concepts from the graph theory. A directed graph (or digraph)  $\mathcal{G} = (\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{E}, \mathcal{A})$  of order *n* consists of a set of nodes  $V = \{1, \ldots, n\}$ , a set of edges  $\mathcal{E} \subseteq \mathcal{V} \times \mathcal{V}$ , and a weighted adjacency matrix  $A = [a_{ij}] \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ . A directed edge in  $\mathcal{E}$  is denoted by  $e_{ij} = (i, j) \in \mathcal{E}$ , which means that node *i* has access to the information of node  $j$ . The element  $a_{ij}$ in *A* is decided by the edge between *i* and *j*, i.e.,  $e_{ii} \in \mathcal{E} \Leftrightarrow a_{ii} > 0$ , otherwise  $a_{ii} = 0$ . The set of neighbors of node *i* is denoted by  $N_i = \{j \in$  $V|(i, j) \in \mathcal{E}$ . The Laplacian matrix *L* of graph *G* is defined by  $L = D - A$ , where  $D = \text{diag}\{d_1, \ldots, d_n\}$ and  $d_i = \sum_{j \in N_i} a_{ij}$  is the in-degree of node *i*. A sequence of edges  $(i_1, i_2)$ ,  $(i_2, i_3)$ , ...,  $(i_{k-1}, i_k)$  is called a directed path from node  $i_k$  to node  $i_1$ . If there exists at least one node (called the root) having directed paths to any other nodes, the digraph is said to have a spanning tree.

<span id="page-1-1"></span>We consider a multi-agent system with *n* agents, in which the *i*th agent moves according to the following second-order dynamics:

$$
\begin{cases} \n\dot{x}_i = v_i \\ \n\dot{v}_i = f(x_i, v_i, t) + u_i, \n\end{cases} \tag{1}
$$

where  $x_i, v_i, u_i \in R$  are position state, velocity state, and control input of agent *i*, respectively, and  $f(x_i, v_i, t)$ is the inherent nonlinear dynamics of agent *i*.

For the multi-agent system  $(1)$ , a protocol with communication time delay is proposed as

<span id="page-1-2"></span>
$$
u_i(t) = -k_0 v_i(t) + k_1 \sum_{j \in N_i(t)} a_{ij}(t) [x_j(t - \tau)]
$$
  

$$
-x_i(t - \tau)], i = 1, 2, ..., n.
$$
 (2)

With protocol  $(2)$ , the multi-agent system  $(1)$  becomes

<span id="page-2-1"></span>
$$
\begin{cases}\n\dot{x}_i = v_i \\
\dot{v}_i = f(x_i, v_i, t) - k_0 v_i \\
+ k_1 \sum_{j \in N_i(t)} a_{ij}(t) [x_j(t-\tau) - x_i(t-\tau)].\n\end{cases} (3)
$$

<span id="page-2-2"></span>To facilitate our derivation, we rewrite the multiagent system  $(3)$  in a compact form as

$$
\dot{\eta}(t) = \begin{bmatrix} O_n & I_n \\ O_n & -k_0 I_n \end{bmatrix} \eta(t)
$$
  
+ 
$$
\begin{bmatrix} O_n & O_n \\ -k_1 L(t) & O_n \end{bmatrix} \eta(t-\tau) + \begin{bmatrix} 0_n \\ f(x, v, t) \end{bmatrix}
$$
 (4)

with  $\eta = [x^T, v^T]^T, x = [x_1, x_2, ..., x_n]^T, v =$  $[v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_n]^T$ ,  $f(x, v, t) = [f(x_1, v_1, t),$  $f(x_2, v_2, t), \ldots, f(x_n, v_n, t)$ <sup>T</sup>.

*Remark 1* The consensus protocol [\(2\)](#page-1-2) is only based on the local velocity information and the neighboring position information. Without requiring the neighboring velocity information, the proposed protocol [\(2\)](#page-1-2) is more practical. Another virtue of the protocol [\(2\)](#page-1-2) is its simplicity even with the presence of communication delay and nonlinear dynamics, thus it is viable even for agents with simple computational ability.

When the connection of the nodes in the digraph changes with time, the topology of the system is said to be switching. To describe the variable topologies, let  $G = \{G_1, G_2, \ldots, G_M\}$  denote the finite set of all possible topologies and  $M = \{1, 2, ..., M\}$  as the index set. Here, we consider the switching signals as time dependent, therefore, the topology  $\mathcal{G}_{\sigma(t)}$ of the multi-agent system at time *t* is activated, where the map  $\sigma(t)$ :  $[0, +\infty) \rightarrow M$  is a right continuous piecewise constant function (called switching signal).

The following assumption and lemma are needed in the following section.

**Assumption 1** The nonlinear term  $f(x, v, t)$  satisfies the Lipschitz condition with the Lipschitz constant  $\rho$ , i.e.,

$$
|f(x_2, v_2, t) - f(x_1, v_1, t)|
$$
  
\n
$$
\leq \rho^{1/2} \sqrt{(x_2 - x_1)^2 + (v_2 - v_1)^2}, \forall x_i, v_i \in R,
$$
  
\n $i = 1, 2, \forall t \geq 0.$ 

**Definition 1** [\[31](#page-6-16)] For any switching signal  $\sigma(t)$  and  $t_2 > t_1 \ge t_0$ , let  $N_\sigma(t_2, t_1)$  denote the switching number of  $\sigma(t)$  over the interval  $[t_1, t_2)$ . For given  $\tau_a > 0$ and an integer  $N_0 \geq 0$ , if

$$
N_{\sigma}(t_2, t_1) < N_0 + \frac{t_2 - t_1}{\tau_a}
$$

holds, then  $\tau_a$  is called an ADT.

*Remark 2* The ADT will be used to describe the switching signals. When  $N_0 \neq 0$ , the switching signals with ADT property are allowed to switch fast and then compensated it by slow switching consequently.

<span id="page-2-4"></span>**Definition 2** The consensus of system [\(1\)](#page-1-1) is said to be achieved, if for all agents using the protocol [\(2\)](#page-1-2) such that the closed-loop system satisfies

$$
\lim_{t \to \infty} |x_i(t) - x_j(t)| = 0, \quad \lim_{t \to \infty} |v_i(t)|
$$
  
-v<sub>j</sub>(t)| = 0, \forall i, j = 1, 2, ..., n.

<span id="page-2-5"></span>**Lemma 1** *[\[32\]](#page-6-17) For any two real vectors*  $x, y \in R^n$ *and positive definite matrix*  $\Phi \in R^{n \times n}$ *, we have* 

$$
2x^T y \le x^T \Phi x + y^T \Phi^{-1} y.
$$

#### <span id="page-2-0"></span>**3 Consensus under restricted switching topology**

Here, we introduce a state transformation for both position and velocity states

$$
\begin{cases} \psi \triangleq [\psi_1, \psi_2, \dots, \psi_{n-1}]^T = Ex \\ \zeta \triangleq [\zeta_1, \zeta_2, \dots, \zeta_{n-1}]^T = Ev \end{cases} \tag{5}
$$

where  $E = [-1_{n-1}, I_{n-1}] \in R^{(n-1)\times n}$  is the transformation matrix. Denote  $\xi = [\psi^T, \zeta^T]^T$  and  $f(x, v, t) = [f(x_2, v_2, t) - f(x_1, v_1, t), \ldots, f(x_n, v_n, t)]$  $(t) - f(x_1, v_1, t)$ <sup>T</sup>. The corresponding disagreement system of multi-agent system [\(4\)](#page-2-2) can be expressed in the following reduced-order compact form

<span id="page-2-3"></span>
$$
\dot{\xi}(t) = \Xi_0 \xi(t) + \Xi_d \xi(t - \tau) + \begin{bmatrix} 0_{n-1} \\ \bar{f}(x, v, t) \end{bmatrix}
$$
 (6)

where 
$$
\Xi_0 = \begin{bmatrix} O_{n-1} & I_{n-1} \\ O_{n-1} & -k_0 I_{n-1} \end{bmatrix}
$$
,  
\n $\Xi_d = \begin{bmatrix} O_{n-1} & O_{n-1} \\ -k_1 EL(t) F & O_{n-1} \end{bmatrix}$ ,  $F = [0_{n-1}, I_{n-1}]^T \in R^{n \times (n-1)}$ .

 $\mathcal{D}$  Springer

<span id="page-3-0"></span>Then by Newton–Leibniz formula, the disagreement system [\(6\)](#page-2-3) can be rewritten as

$$
\dot{\xi}(t) = \Pi_0 \xi(t) - \Pi_d \int_{-\tau}^0 \xi(t+\theta) d\theta + \left[ \frac{0_{n-1}}{f(x, v, t)} \right] (7)
$$

where  $\Pi_0 = \begin{vmatrix} Q_{n-1} & I_{n-1} \\ -k_1 E L(t) F & -k_0 I_n \end{vmatrix}$ −*k*1*E L*(*t*)*F* −*k*<sup>0</sup> *In*<sup>−</sup><sup>1</sup> ,  $\prod_{d} = \begin{vmatrix} Q_{n-1} & Q_{n-1} \\ Q_{n-1} & -k_1 E L \end{vmatrix}$ *On*<sup>−</sup><sup>1</sup> −*k*1*E L*(*t*)*F* .

According to Definition [2,](#page-2-4) consensus of the multiagent system [\(4\)](#page-2-2) is achieved if and only if the disagreement system [\(7\)](#page-3-0) is asymptotically stable.

<span id="page-3-7"></span>**Theorem 1** *Suppose that each digraph*  $G_i$  ( $i \in M$ ) *contains a spanning tree. For given constant*  $\alpha > 0$ , *the consensus problem of the multi-agent system [\(1\)](#page-1-1) is solved by the protocol [\(2\)](#page-1-2) under ADT switching topology if there exist matrices*  $Q_i > 0$ ,  $R_i > 0$  *such that the following LMIs hold*

<span id="page-3-1"></span>
$$
\begin{bmatrix}\n\Upsilon_i^{11} & 0 & -\tau P_i \Pi_d \\
\ast & -e^{-\alpha \tau} Q_i & 0 \\
\ast & \ast & -\tau e^{-\alpha \tau} R_i\n\end{bmatrix} < 0, \ i \in \mathcal{M} \qquad (8)
$$

<span id="page-3-6"></span> $with \Upsilon_i^{11} = \Pi_0^T P_i + P_i \Pi_0 + \alpha P_i + I_2 \otimes (\bar{P}_i + \bar{P}_i)$  $2\rho\bar{\lambda}(\bar{P}_i)I_{n-1}$  +  $Q_i$  +  $\tau R_i$ *, and* 

$$
\tau_a > \tau_a^* = \frac{\ln \beta}{\alpha} \tag{9}
$$

*where* β *satisfies*

<span id="page-3-4"></span>
$$
P_i \le \beta P_j, \ Q_i \le \beta Q_j, \ R_i \le \beta R_j \ \text{for } i, j \in \mathcal{M}.
$$
\n
$$
(10)
$$

<span id="page-3-5"></span>*Proof* First, we choose a Lyapunov–Krasovskii functional as

$$
V_i(t) = V_i^1(t) + V_i^2(t) + V_i^3(t)
$$
\n(11)

where

$$
V_i^1(t) = \xi^T(t) P_i \xi(t)
$$
  
\n
$$
V_i^2(t) = \int_{t-\tau}^t e^{\alpha(s-t)} \xi^T(s) Q_i \xi(s) ds
$$
  
\n
$$
V_i^3(t) = \int_{-\tau}^0 \int_{t+\tau}^t e^{\alpha(s-t)} \xi^T(s) R_i \xi(s) ds dr
$$

 $\mathcal{D}$  Springer

and  $P_i = \begin{bmatrix} k_0 \bar{P}_i & \bar{P}_i \\ \bar{P}_i & \bar{P}_i \end{bmatrix}$ with positive definite matrix  $\bar{P}_i \in$  $R^{(n-1)\times(n-1)}$  satisfying  $\bar{P}_i(E L_i F) + (E L_i F)^T \bar{P}_i$  =  $I_{n-1}$  and  $k_0 > 1$ .  $Q_i$  and  $R_i$  are positive definite matrices determined by [\(8\)](#page-3-1).

<span id="page-3-2"></span>For the term  $V_i^1$ , its time derivative along trajectory of the disagreement system [\(7\)](#page-3-0) is

$$
\dot{V}_{i}^{1}(t) = \xi^{T}(t)(\Pi_{0}^{T} P_{i} + P_{i} \Pi_{0})\xi(t) - 2\xi^{T}(t)P_{i} \Pi_{d}
$$
\n
$$
\times \int_{-\tau}^{0} \xi(t + \theta) d\theta + 2\xi^{T}(t)P_{i} \left[ \frac{0_{n-1}}{f(x, v, t)} \right]
$$
\n
$$
= \xi^{T}(t)(\Pi_{0}^{T} P_{i} + P_{i} \Pi_{0})\xi(t) - 2\xi^{T}(t)P_{i} \Pi_{d}
$$
\n
$$
\times \int_{-\tau}^{0} \xi(t + \theta) d\theta + 2\xi^{T} \text{diag}\{\bar{P}_{i}, \bar{P}_{i}\} \left[ \frac{\bar{f}(x, v, t)}{\bar{f}(x, v, t)} \right].
$$
\n(12)

Using Lemma [1,](#page-2-5) we have

$$
2\xi^T \text{diag}\{\bar{P}_i, \bar{P}_i\} \left[ \frac{\bar{f}(x, v, t)}{\bar{f}(x, v, t)} \right]
$$
  
\n
$$
\leq \left[ \bar{f}^T(x, v, t) \ \bar{f}^T(x, v, t) \right] \text{diag}\{\bar{P}_i, \bar{P}_i\} \left[ \frac{\bar{f}(x, v, t)}{\bar{f}(x, v, t)} \right]
$$
  
\n
$$
+ \xi^T \text{diag}\{\bar{P}_i, \bar{P}_i\} \xi
$$
  
\n
$$
\leq \xi^T (I_2 \otimes (\bar{P}_i + 2\rho \bar{\lambda}(\bar{P}_i)I_{n-1})) \xi.
$$
 (13)

For the term  $V_i^2$  and  $V_i^3$ , we have

$$
\dot{V}_i^2(t) = -\alpha V_i^2(t) + \xi^T(t) Q_i \xi(t)
$$
  

$$
- e^{-\alpha \tau} \xi(t - \tau) Q_i \xi(t - \tau),
$$
 (14)

<span id="page-3-3"></span>and

$$
\dot{V}_i^3(t) = -\alpha V_i^3(t) + \tau \xi^T(t) R_i \xi(t)
$$
  
\n
$$
-\int_{t-\tau}^t e^{\alpha(s-t)} \xi^T(s) R_i \xi(s) ds
$$
  
\n
$$
\leq -\alpha V_i^3(t) + \tau \xi^T(t) R_i \xi(t)
$$
  
\n
$$
-\frac{\alpha}{t-\tau} \int_{-\tau}^0 \xi^T(t+\theta) R_i \xi(t+\theta) d\theta.
$$
\n(15)

Then, combining  $(12)$ – $(15)$ , we conclude that

$$
\dot{V}_i(t) + \alpha V_i(t) \leq \xi^T(t) \left( \Pi_0^T P_i + P_i \Pi_0 + \alpha P_i
$$
\n
$$
+ Q_i + \tau R_i \xi(t)
$$
\n
$$
+ \xi^T(t) \left( I_2 \otimes (\bar{P}_i + 2\rho \bar{\lambda}(\bar{P}_i) I_{n-1}) \right) \xi(t)
$$
\n
$$
- e^{-\alpha \tau} \xi(t - \tau) Q_i \xi(t - \tau)
$$
\n
$$
- 2\xi^T(t) P_i \Pi_d \int_{-\tau}^0 \xi(t + \theta) d\theta
$$
\n
$$
- \int_{-\tau}^0 e^{-\alpha \tau} \xi^T(t + \theta) R_i \xi(t + \theta) d\theta
$$
\n
$$
\leq \frac{1}{\tau} \int_{-\tau}^0 \varpi^T(t) \left[ \int_{-\tau}^{\tau} \frac{1}{\xi} \int_{-\tau}^{\tau} \frac{1}{\tau} \int_{-\tau}^{\tau} e^{-\alpha \tau} Q_i \right] \frac{1}{\tau} \varpi(t) d\theta
$$
\n(16)

where  $\varpi(t) = [\xi^T(t), \xi^T(t-\tau), \xi^T(t+\theta)]^T$ . Therefore, if  $(8)$  holds, we have

 $\dot{V}_i(t) \leq -\alpha V_i(t)$  (17)

<span id="page-4-1"></span>whose integration gives

$$
V_i(t) \le e^{-\alpha(t-t_0)} V_i(t_0), \ i \in \forall \mathcal{M}.
$$
 (18)

Second, we construct a family of piecewise Lyapunov–Krasovskii functionals for each subsystem as follows:

$$
V_{\sigma(t)}(t) = V_{\sigma(t)}^1(t) + V_{\sigma(t)}^2(t) + V_{\sigma(t)}^3(t)
$$
\n(19)

where

$$
V_{\sigma(t)}^1(t) = \xi^T(t) P_{\sigma(t)} \xi(t)
$$
  
\n
$$
V_{\sigma(t)}^2(t) = \int_{t-\tau}^t e^{\alpha(s-t)} \xi^T(s) Q_{\sigma(t)} \xi(s) ds
$$
  
\n
$$
V_{\sigma(t)}^3(t) = \int_{-\tau}^0 \int_{t-\tau}^t e^{\alpha(s-t)} \xi^T(s) R_{\sigma(t)} \xi(s) ds dr.
$$

<span id="page-4-2"></span>Combining  $(10)$  and  $(11)$ , we have for any switching instants  $t_j$  ( $j = 1, 2, \ldots$ )

$$
V_{\sigma(t_j)}(t_j) \leq \beta V_{\sigma(t_j^-)}(t_j^-). \tag{20}
$$

Therefore, when  $t \in [t_k, t_{k+1})$ , from [\(18\)](#page-4-1) and [\(20\)](#page-4-2), we have

<span id="page-4-4"></span>
$$
V_{\sigma(t)}(t) \leq e^{-\alpha(t-t_k)} V_{\sigma(t_k)}(t_k) \leq \beta e^{-\alpha(t-t_k)} V_{\sigma(t_k^-)}(t_k^-)
$$
  
\n
$$
\leq \beta e^{-\alpha(t-t_k)} e^{-\alpha(t_k-t_{k-1})} V_{\sigma(t_{k-1})}(t_{k-1}) \dots
$$
  
\n
$$
\leq \beta^k e^{-\alpha(t-t_0)} V_{\sigma(t_0)}(t_0)
$$
  
\n
$$
= \beta^{N_{\sigma}(t, t_0)} e^{-\alpha(t-t_0)} V_{\sigma(t_0)}(t_0)
$$
  
\n
$$
\leq \beta^{N_0} e^{-(\alpha - \frac{\ln \beta}{t_a})(t-t_0)} V_{\sigma(t_0)}(t_0)
$$

<span id="page-4-3"></span>According to  $(11)$ , we have

$$
a||\xi(t)||^2 \le V_{\sigma(t)}(t), \ V_{\sigma(t_0)}(t_0) \le b||\xi(t_0)||_c^2. \tag{22}
$$

where  $||\xi(t_0)||_c = \sup_{-\tau \leq \theta \leq 0} {||\xi(t_0 + \theta)||_2, a}$  $\min_{i \in \mathcal{M}} \underline{\lambda}(P_i), b = \max_{i \in \mathcal{M}} \{\overline{\lambda}(P_i) + \tau \overline{\lambda}(Q_i) + \tau \overline{\lambda}(Q_i) \}$  $\frac{\tau^2}{2}\bar{\lambda}(R_i)\}.$ 

Substituting  $(22)$  into  $(21)$ , we have

$$
||\xi(t)|| \le \sqrt{\frac{b}{a}} \beta^{\frac{1}{2}N_0} e^{-\frac{1}{2}(\alpha - \frac{\ln \beta}{\tau_a})(t - t_0)} ||\xi(t_0)||_c.
$$
 (23)

With [\(9\)](#page-3-6) holds, we can conclude that the consensus of the multi-agent system [\(4\)](#page-2-2) has been achieved exponentially by the designed protocol [\(2\)](#page-1-2). The proof is completed.

*Remark 3* The final consensus state of the multiagent system [\(4\)](#page-2-2) is influenced by the nonlinear term  $f(x_i, v_i, t)$ . Unlike the linear cases where the states of the agents will converge to a static position with the velocities ending up at 0, the consensus states of the agents here are variable. Therefore, by designing proper nonlinear term, we are able to regulate the consensus states of the multi-agent system [\(4\)](#page-2-2).

## <span id="page-4-0"></span>**4 Numerical simulation**

In this section, we give an example to demonstrate the effectiveness of theoretical analysis. The considered second-order multi-agent system consists of six agents labeled 1 through 6. Figure [1](#page-5-4) shows four digraphs  $G_1 - G_4$  each of which contains a directed spanning tree. For simplicity, all the elements in the adjacency matrices are assumed to be 0 or 1.

The set of possible communication topologies is composed of  $G_1 - G_4$ . The inherent nonlinear dynamics is given as  $f(x_i, v_i, t) = 0.1(x_i + \cos v_i)$ . Suppose the communication delay  $\tau = 0.01$ , then the



**Fig. 1** Four digraphs *G*1−*G*<sup>4</sup> each of which contains a spanning tree

<span id="page-5-4"></span>

<span id="page-5-5"></span>**Fig. 2** a Position states  $x_i(t)$ ; **b** velocity states  $v_i(t)$ ; **c** switching signal  $\sigma(t)$ 

LMIs [\(8\)](#page-3-1) in Theorem [1](#page-3-7) are feasible if we choose  $\alpha = 0.2, k_0 = 20.25$  and  $k_1 = 22$ . We can also obtain that when  $\beta = 1.84$ , LMIs [\(10\)](#page-3-4) hold. As predicted by Theorem [1,](#page-3-7) the protocol [\(2\)](#page-1-2) solves the consensus problem of the second-order nonlinear multi-agent system [\(1\)](#page-1-1) for the switching signal with ADT satisfying

$$
\tau_a > \tau_a^* = \frac{\ln \beta}{\alpha} = 3.05.
$$

In the simulation, the initial states of agents are selected randomly from the interval  $[-0.2, 0.2]$ . Fig-ure [2](#page-5-5) shows the consensus dynamics with  $\tau_a = 3.1$ , where all velocities converge to a constant while all positions of the agents change with time.

## <span id="page-5-3"></span>**5 Conclusion**

In this paper, we have studied consensus of secondorder multi-agent systems with inherent nonlinear dynamics, communication time delay, and restricted switching topology simultaneously. A novel approach based on state transformation was employed to facilitate consensus analysis. We not only established sufficient conditions for consensus in terms of LMIs, but also calculated lower bound of ADT for admissible switching signals. Instead of using the concept of generalized algebraic connectivity in the literature, our results are more effective especially for models with large number of agents. The final consensus state is time varying according to the nonlinear term. Here, we point out that although we only consider the agents with only one dimension case, all results are valid for agents with any dimension by introducing the notation of the Kronecker product. In future, we will remove the constraint on nonlinear terms and tackle it with approximation capability of neural networks or fuzzy logic algorithm.

**Acknowledgments** This work is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant Nos. 61104138, 11271139), the China Postdoctoral Science Foundation (Grant No. 2013M540648), the Training Program for Outstanding Young Teachers in University of Guangdong Province (Grant No. Yq2013065), the Specialized Research Fund for the Doctoral Program of Higher Education of China (Grant No. 2012442013 0001).

## <span id="page-5-0"></span>**References**

- 1. Olfati-Saber, R., Fax, J., Murray, R.: Consensus and cooperation in networked multi-agent systems. Proc. IEEE **95**, 215–233 (2007)
- 2. Ren, W., Cao, Y.: Distributed Coordination of Multi-agent Networks. Springer, London (2011)
- <span id="page-5-1"></span>3. Cao, Y., Yu, W., Ren, W., Chen, G.: An overview of recent progress in the study of distributed multi-agent coordination. IEEE Trans. Ind. Inform. **9**, 427–438 (2013)
- <span id="page-5-2"></span>4. Vicsek, T., Czirók, A., Jacob, E.B., Cohen, I., Schochet, O.: Novel type of phase transitions in a system of self-driven particles. Phys. Rev. Lett. **75**, 1226–1229 (1995)
- 5. Olfati-Saber, R., Murray, R.: Consensus problems in networks of agents with switching topology and time-delays. IEEE Trans. Autom. Control **49**, 1520–1533 (2004)
- 6. Moreau, L.: Stability of multiagent systems with timedependent communication links. IEEE Trans. Autom. Control **50**, 169–182 (2005)
- 7. Qu, Z., Wang, J., Hull, R.A.: Cooperative control of dynamical systems with application to autonomous vehicles. IEEE Trans. Autom. Control **53**, 894–911 (2008)
- <span id="page-6-0"></span>8. Zhang, H., Lewis, F.L., Qu, Z.: Lyapunov, adaptive, and optimal design techniques for cooperative systems on directed communication graphs. IEEE Trans. Ind. Electron. **59**, 3026–3041 (2012)
- <span id="page-6-1"></span>9. Ren, W., Atkins, E.: Distributed multi-vehicle coordinated control via local information exchange. Int. J. Robust Nonlinear Control **17**, 1002–1033 (2007)
- <span id="page-6-2"></span>10. Yu, W., Chen, G., Cao, M., Kurths, J.: Second-order consensus for multi-agent systems with directed topologies and nonlinear dynamics. IEEE Trans. Syst. Man Cybern. B **40**, 881–891 (2010)
- 11. Chen, L., Hou, Z.-G., Tan, M., Wang, X.: Necessary and sufficient conditions for consensus of double-integrator multi-agent systems with measurement noises. IEEE Trans. Autom. Control. **56**, 1958–1963 (2011)
- 12. Ren, W.: On consensus algorithms for double-integrator dynamics. IEEE Trans. Autom. Control. **53**, 1503–1509 (2008)
- 13. Wang, S., Xie, D.: Consensus of second-order multi-agent systems via sampled control: undirected fixed topology case. IET Control Theory Appl. **6**, 893–899 (2012)
- 14. Liu, K., Xie, G., Ren, W., Wang, L.: Consensus for multiagent systems with inherent nonlinear dynamics under directed topologies. Syst. Control Lett. **62**, 152–162 (2013)
- <span id="page-6-3"></span>15. Feng, Y., Xu, S., Zhang, B.: Group consensus control for double-integrator dynamic multiagent systems with fixed communication topology. Int. J. Robust Nonlinear Control **24**, 532–547 (2014)
- <span id="page-6-4"></span>16. Jadbabaie, A., Lin, J., Morse, A.S.: Coordination of groups of mobile autonomous agents using nearest neighbor rules. IEEE Trans. Autom. Control **48**, 988–1001 (2003)
- <span id="page-6-6"></span>17. Ren, W., Beard, R.W.: Consensus seeking in multiagent systems under dynamically changing interaction topologies. IEEE Trans. Autom. Control **50**, 655–661 (2005)
- 18. Liu, C., Liu, F.: Consensus problem of second-order multiagent systems with time-varying communication delay and switching topology. J. Syst. Eng. Electron. **22**, 672–678 (2011)
- 19. Li, H., Liao, X., Huang, T.: Second-order locally dynamical consensus of multiagent systems with arbitrarily fast switching directed topologies. IEEE Trans. Syst. Man Cybern. **43**, 1343–1353 (2013)
- 20. Chen, Y., Lü, J., Yu, X., Hill, D.J.: Multi-agent systems with dynamical topologies: consensus and applications. IEEE Circuits Syst. Mag. **13**, 21–34 (2013)
- <span id="page-6-5"></span>21. Liu, T., Jiang, Z.-P.: Distributed nonlinear control of mobile autonomous multi-agents. Automatica **50**, 1075– 1086 (2014)
- <span id="page-6-7"></span>22. Zareh, M., Seatzu, C., Franceschelli, M.: Consensus of second-order multi-agent systems with time delays and slow switching topology. In: 10th IEEE International Conference on Networking, Sensing and Control, 269–275 (2013).
- <span id="page-6-8"></span>23. DeCarlo, R.A., Branicky, M.S., Pettersson, S., Lennartson, B.: Perspectives and results on the stability and stabilizability of hybrid systems. Proc. IEEE **88**, 1069–1082 (2000)
- <span id="page-6-9"></span>24. Li, W., Chen, Z., Liu, Z.: Leader-following formation control for second-order multiagent systems with time-varying delay and nonlinear dynamics. Nonlinear Dyn. **72**, 803–812 (2013)
- <span id="page-6-10"></span>25. Stan, G.B., Sepulchre, R.: Analysis of interconnected oscillators by dissipativity theory. IEEE Trans. Autom. Control **52**, 256–270 (2007)
- <span id="page-6-11"></span>26. Li, Z., Duan, Z., Chen, G., Huang, L.: Consensus of multiagent systems and synchronization of complex networks: A unified viewpoint. IEEE Trans. Circuits Syst.-I: Reg. Papers **57**, 213–224 (2010)
- <span id="page-6-12"></span>27. Hou, Z.G., Cheng, L., Tan, M.: Decentralized robust adaptive control for the multiagent system consensus problem using neural networks. IEEE Trans. Syst. Man Cybern. B **39**, 636–647 (2009)
- <span id="page-6-13"></span>28. Wang, X., Liu, T., Qin, J.: Second-order consensus with unknown dynamics via cyclic-small-gain method. IET Control Theory Appl. **6**, 2748–2756 (2012)
- <span id="page-6-14"></span>29. Wang, W., Slotine, J.-J.E.: Contraction analysis of timedelayed communications and group cooperation. IEEE Trans. Autom. Control. **51**, 712–717 (2006)
- <span id="page-6-15"></span>30. Lin, P., Jia, Y.: Consensus of a class of second-order multiagent systems with time-delay and jointly-connected topologies. IEEE Trans. Autom. Control. **55**, 778–784 (2010)
- <span id="page-6-16"></span>31. Hespanha, J.P., Morse, A.S.: Stability of switched systems with average dwell time. In: Proceedings of the 38th IEEE Conference on Decision and Control, 2655–2660 (1999).
- <span id="page-6-17"></span>32. Boyd, S., Ghaoui, L.E., Feron, E., Balakrishnan, V.: Linear Matrix Inequalities in System and Control Theory. SIAM, Philadelphia (1994)