**RESEARCH LETTER**



# **Exploring EM‑DAT for depicting spatiotemporal trends of drought and wildfres and their connections with anthropogenic pressure**

**Michael Nones1 · Hossein Hamidifar2 · Seyed Mohammad Bagher Shahabi‑Haghighi2**

Received: 22 June 2023 / Accepted: 8 September 2023 / Published online: 25 September 2023 © The Author(s), under exclusive licence to Springer Nature B.V. 2023

#### **Abstract**

In light of increasing extreme events driven by climate change, the relationship between drought and wildfre events and their impacts on society is of paramount importance, necessitating comprehensive studies to understand long-term trends. This manuscript utilizes the Emergency Events Database (EM-DAT) to gather data on drought and wildfre events, focusing on the number of afected people and human losses. The analysis covers the period from 1983 to 2022 and incorporates eco-hydro-socio-geographical variables such as gross domestic product (GDP), precipitation anomaly, population density, and forested area. The study reveals signifcant geographical disparities in the impacts of drought and wildfre. Asia stands out as the region most afected by these phenomena, with more than 72% of individuals experiencing their efects. In contrast, Europe and Oceania show negligible impacts, accounting for less than 1% collectively. When it comes to losses specifcally caused by drought, Asia has the highest share at around 82%. Conversely, Oceania has the lowest share, with less than 0.1% of total losses attributed to drought. In the case of wildfres, Africa takes the lead with 84% of total losses. On the other hand, Oceania, Europe, Asia, and America collectively contribute only 16% to the total losses, which is considerably lower. Temporal analysis indicates an increasing trend in the number of people afected by both drought and wildfre, particularly after the early 2000s, potentially attributed to the improved reporting in EM-DAT. Correlation tests highlight the inverse relationship between GDP and the studied parameters, while precipitation anomaly exhibits an inverse correlation with wildfre-afected populations. Forested area signifcantly correlates with wildfre-related damages. These insights can inform policies and actions at various levels, from local to international, to address the challenges posed by climaterelated disasters.

 $\boxtimes$  Michael Nones mnones@igf.edu.pl Hossein Hamidifar

hamidifar@shirazu.ac.ir

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Hydrology and Hydrodynamics Department, Institute of Geophysics Polish Academy of Sciences, Warsaw, Poland

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Water Engineering Department, Shiraz University, Shiraz, Iran

**Keywords** Drought · EM-DAT · GDP · Human losses · Wildfres

#### **1 Introduction**

In recent decades, an increase in natural (e.g., floods, hurricanes, droughts) and technological disasters (e.g., hazardous material releases) has been observed by many researchers (e.g., Pescaroli et al. [2018](#page-15-0); Shen and Hwang [2019](#page-16-0); Sankaran et al. [2022\)](#page-15-1). Such an increment has had signifcant consequences for millions of people all over the world. Indeed, many natural hazards, including meteorological, hydrological, and climatological events, may turn into disasters, resulting in physical impacts such as injuries, casualties, and property damages, as well as nonphysical efects like psychological, mental, and political wounds (Lindell [2013](#page-15-2); Pescaroli et al. [2018\)](#page-15-0). There is a growing body of literature showing that human injuries, causalities, and economic losses caused by natural hazards have been increasing over the past decades, mostly because of the intensifcation of human pressure on the natural environment (e.g., O'Keefe et al. [1976](#page-15-3); Mileti [1999](#page-15-4); Dewan [2013](#page-14-0); Kelman [2020;](#page-14-1) Hamidifar and Nones [2023\)](#page-14-2). Therefore, for appropriate disaster management, it is important to gain a better understanding of the key spatiotemporal scales at which natural hazards, and their drivers, have an impact, and what might be the correlations between such hazards (Pescaroli et al. [2018\)](#page-15-0).

Among the various natural hazards, drought stands out as a particularly concerning phenomenon, and an in-depth exploration of its impacts is essential to comprehend its consequences. Drought is a climatic hazard that occurs in most world climates and can have considerable economic, societal, and environmental impacts (UNISDR [2015](#page-16-1); Naumann et al. [2018,](#page-15-5) [2021\)](#page-15-6). Drought, like other natural hazards, is driven by climate change and human pressure, but compared to other happenings like foods, events connected to such a phenomenon tend to be longer, sometimes in the order of years. Presently, there is various evidence proving that drought can be a major threat in the future, especially in the form of fash drought (Shah et al. [2022\)](#page-16-2). To adequately tackle the increment of drought events, recognizable mainly at the regional scale (Dhawale et al. [2022](#page-14-3); Shahdad and Saber [2022](#page-16-3)), more complex and long-lasting management strategies are needed (Sayers et al. [2017](#page-16-4); Hall and Leng [2019](#page-14-4)). Like all natural hazards, droughts are complex multidimensional spatial–temporal events, and because of the diversity of geophysical variables needed to characterize droughts, as well as their consequences, such as wildfres, they are of a high level of importance.

Wildfre is another essential natural hazard that merits attention (Piñol et al. [1998](#page-15-7)). A comprehensive understanding of wildfre geographical distribution and impacts is crucial for efective disaster management and environmental preservation. Past investigations (Scasta et al. [2016](#page-16-5); Ertugrul et al. [2019](#page-14-5), [2021](#page-14-6)) have shown that, recently, diferent regions around the world were afected by an increment in wildfre size, extent, seasonality, and severity, which might relate to more prolonged drought periods driven by climate change. In this work, the authors investigated signifcant wildfre events that happened in 2003 and 2012, pointing out that such years were characterized by below-average precipitation and negative Palmer drought severity index values (namely, dry conditions). Such a result suggests that wildfres do not act independently of drought, but rather they interact with shortterm weather and long-term climatic patterns that change over time.

There has been growing concern about the impact of climate change on wildfre events. For example, Marín et al. ([2018\)](#page-15-8) studied the relationship between drought and

forest fres in Mexico from 2005 to 2015. They used georeferenced fre records and a multiscale drought index to identify four fre clusters in the study area and found that the peak in fre frequency occurred in 2011. Also, they assessed how fre activity related to the drought index for both the entire study period and 2011 specifcally. Their study revealed a strong correlation between drought severity and forest fres in Mexico, particularly in the northern and central regions during the dry season. Investigating the temporal dynamics of forest fre in India by means of remote sensing methods, Srivastava and Garg ([2013](#page-16-6)) demonstrated that, in this country, fres are positively correlated with the temperature and the dryness of the forested areas.

However, global warming is not the only cause of an increase in wildfres, as humans are generally the main drivers of such events. Focusing on a long-term investigation of wildfre events in the conterminous US, Strader ([2018](#page-16-7)) pointed out that, in the period 1940–2010, wildfre exposure has increased substantially, mostly because of the escalating wildfre likelihood and an expanding human-developed footprint.

Wildfres can be triggered by a variety of natural and human-related factors such as lightning strikes (Chen and Jin [2022\)](#page-13-0), human activities (Pozo et al. [2022](#page-15-9)), climate change (Mansoor et al. [2022\)](#page-15-10), invasive species (Dennison et al. [2014](#page-14-7)), and for-est management practices (Miezite et al. [2022](#page-15-11)). To address the problem of wildfire events, which are fostered by drought conditions, various strategies have been proposed, including fire suppression and prevention efforts, land management practices, and climate change mitigation measures. Fire suppression and prevention efforts involve reducing the risk of wildfres through measures such as prescribed burning, fuel reduction, and fre breaks (Jazebi et al. [2019;](#page-14-8) Bertomeu et al. [2022](#page-13-1); Lambrechts et al. [2023\)](#page-15-12). Management practices are generally developed for managing vegetation cover and reducing soil erosion to improve soil moisture retention (Mariani et al. [2022;](#page-15-13) van Leeuwen and Miller-Sabbioni [2023](#page-16-8)). Climate change mitigation measures involve reducing greenhouse gas emissions to limit the extent of global warming and its impact on drought conditions (Leverkus et al. [2022\)](#page-15-14).

The spatiotemporal variations of the number of events and fatalities in diferent continents and regions around the world are also of importance when analyzing the relationship between drought and wildfre events. Fréjaville and Curt [\(2015](#page-14-9)) conducted a study examining the evolving patterns of fre activity and climate in the Mediterranean and mountain ecosystems of southeastern France over the period from 1973 to 2009. Their research unveiled dynamic fre–climate relationships that exhibited rapid variations across both space and time, likely infuenced by shifts in regional land-use practices and fre management policies. However, there is a lack of comprehensive studies that investigate the long-term trends of both droughts and wildfres. To fll this knowledge gap, the present study focuses on using the Emergency Events Database (EM-DAT, emdat.be) to gather such data and provide valuable insights into this relationship. EM-DAT is a multi-hazard database that has been used as the main source of information by several researchers (e.g., Lesk et al. [2016;](#page-15-15) Guoqiang and Seong [2019;](#page-14-10) Chen et al. [2020](#page-14-11); Hamidifar and Nones [2023\)](#page-14-2) and validation tool (Winsemius et al. [2013\)](#page-16-9), even if it has some limitations (Petrucci et al. [2019;](#page-15-16) Saharia et al. [2021\)](#page-15-17). A comprehensive dataset (EM-DAT), spanning from 1983 to 2022, is employed, allowing for a long-term analysis of trends. Furthermore, several eco-hydro-socio-geographical variables, including GDP, precipitation anomaly, population density, and forested area are integrated to provide a holistic perspective on the subject.

# <span id="page-3-0"></span>**2 Materials and methods**

### **2.1 The EM‑DAT database**

In 1988, the Centre for Research on the Epidemiology of Disasters (CRED) launched the Emergency Events Database (thereafter EM-DAT). The EM-DAT was created with the initial support of the World Health Organization (WHO) and the Belgian Government, and contains core data on the occurrence and efects of over 22,000 disasters that happened worldwide from 1900 up to now. The database is compiled from various sources, including UN agencies, non-governmental organizations, insurance companies, research institutes, and press agencies.

The main objective of the database is to serve the purposes of humanitarian action at national and international levels. This initiative aims to rationalize decision-making for disaster preparedness, as well as provide an objective base for vulnerability assessment and priority setting.

EM-DAT provides data subdivided into three main classes: natural, technological, and complex disasters, each of which further contains one or more types of specifc disaster. The database includes disasters' identifcation number, place, date, and impacts in terms of total number of deaths and afected (injured, displaced, missing).

For a disaster to be entered into the database, at least one of the following criteria must be fulfilled (EM-DAT [2016](#page-14-12); Djalante and Garschagen [2017\)](#page-14-13):

- Ten (10) or more fatalities
- Hundred (100) or more people affected/injured/homeless
- Declaration of a state of emergency and a call for international assistance

The data utilized in this study were downloaded from the public repository of the EM-DAT database (public.emdat.be) using the keywords "Natural," "Climatological," "Wildfre," and "Drought."

The period 1983–2022 was selected for the present analysis, as data referring to previous years might be incomplete and therefore were not considered fully reliable. Given that both disasters may have a severe impact on the lives of other creatures than humans and the environment in general, the present analysis was limited only to the effects of drought and wildfire on people (Fig. [1\)](#page-4-0).

#### **2.2 Statistical analysis**

To more comprehensively explore the potential societal impacts of natural hazards like drought and wildfre, an investigation has been undertaken to uncover potential correlations between the number of afected people or the number of life losses and ecohydro-geographical variables including gross domestic product (GDP), precipitation anomaly, population density, and forested area. To do this, relevant data were extracted from the World Bank Database (data.worldbank.org). The Spearman's rho (SR) test was used to highlight potential correlations between the two observed phenomena.

Data Collection Phase	Data Preprocessing	Data Analysis	Findings	
• Gathering historical records of drought and wildfire events (EM-DAT) $\cdot$ Collect spatiotemporal data on GDP, annual precipitation, population density, and forested area	• Screening and validating the dataset, addressing missing or inconsistent data points • Aggregating data at both global and regional scales for analysis	• Performing a temporal analysis of drought and wildfire trends • Calculating the number of people affected and human losses due to drought and wildfires • Conducting correlation tests to examine relationships between variables	• Identifying geographical disparities in drought and wildfire impacts • Highlighting regions particularly vulnerable to these hazards • Emphasizing the role of GDP, precipitation patterns, and forested areas in disaster impacts	

<span id="page-4-0"></span>**Fig. 1** Study fowchart

# **3 Results**

To investigate the spatial distribution of losses by drought and wildfre, the events were frst gathered from EM-DAT and then subdivided per continent (Fig. [2\)](#page-4-1). This analysis pointed out that most people afected by them are located in Asia, followed by Africa and the Americas. It is worth noticing that EM-DAT reports a very low number of afected citizens in Oceania and Europe.

To better represent such a geographical inequality of the afected population, a world map is reported in Fig. [3,](#page-5-0) along with a histogram showing the afected population for each region. In this case, the EM-DAT data were extracted for each subregion.



<span id="page-4-1"></span>Fig. 2 The share of different continents in terms of the number of people affected by drought and wildfire. (DA=number of individuals afected by drought; WFA=number of individuals afected by wildfre)



<span id="page-5-0"></span>**Fig. 3** Spatial distribution of individuals afected by **a** drought and **b** wildfre. Reported data are logarithmic. **c** The histogram reports the same data subdivided per region. (DA=number of individuals affected by drought, WFA = number of individuals affected by wildfire)

From the fgure, it is evident that the majority of the afected people live in Asian and African regions, while Australia and Europe, especially its Eastern part, are still relatively less impacted by both phenomena. Focusing on Northern America, one can notice that, despite the low infuence of drought in the region, wildfres constitute a relevant threat, probably because of the high population density in the afected areas, in agreement with literature evidence (Scasta et al. [2016\)](#page-16-5).

Looking at the temporal variation of the total number of people afected by drought and wildfire on the global scale (Fig. [4](#page-6-0)), an increasing trend is observable for both events, with wildfre impacting very hard after 2002. Such a change in trend could be correlated to the increasing facility in reporting events in EM-DAT, but also to the observed changes in cli-mate, as frequent dry periods were observed in the recent decades (Dai [2011\)](#page-14-14). It is worth remembering that, following the EM-DAT Guidelines (see Sect. [2\)](#page-3-0), "afected" means people afected/injured/homeless, regardless of human losses.

Focusing on human fatalities caused by drought and wildfre at the continental scale, a clear picture appears (Fig. [5\)](#page-7-0): Africa sufers very much from both hazards, and the information reported in EM-DAT attributes to drought and wildfre almost 99% and 90% of African losses, respectively. This points out a potential correlation between the two phenomena, which is however less clear when looking at the data reported for the other continents, in which drought is not considered a major cause of fatalities, while wildfre might be.

Similarly to the analysis of afected people (Fig. [3\)](#page-5-0), fatalities were also categorized by regions to identify potential geographical patterns (Fig. [6\)](#page-9-0). In this case, African countries emerge as the most heavily impacted, particularly by drought (Fig. [6a](#page-9-0)), with Eastern Africa experiencing a signifcant impact from wildfres as well. These two hazards afect the other regions to a lesser extent, with some exceptions observed for Eastern Asia in the case of drought.

Considering the temporal variations of both hazards on the global scale (Fig. [7](#page-11-0)), no signifcant trends can be observed, even if a slight increase in reported wildfre-related



<span id="page-6-0"></span>**Fig. 4** Temporal variations of the total number of people afected by drought and wildfre, at the global scale



<span id="page-7-0"></span>**Fig. 5** The share of diferent continents in terms of the number of human losses by drought and wildfre.  $(DD =$ number of human losses by drought, WFD = number of human losses by wildfire)

fatalities can be noticed, and can be connected to the increase in the number of people afected by such events (Fig. [4\)](#page-6-0).

Table [1](#page-12-0) reports the key statistics of the analyzed data.

The SR test was also applied to study correlations between variables including GDP, precipitation anomaly, population density, and forested area, and wildfre and drought over the study period 1983–2022. Table [2](#page-13-2) shows the SR test results. Among the studied variables, population density did not exhibit any signifcant correlation, while GDP had a signifcant inverse correlation with WFD (number of human losses by wildfre), WFA (number of individuals afected by wildfre), and DA (number of individuals afected by drought) parameters at the level of 0.01 and with DD (number of human losses by drought) at the level of 0.05. While no signifcant correlations were found between precipitation anomaly and WFD, DD, and DA, a signifcant inverse correlation was observed between this variable and the WFA parameter at the level of 0.05. Furthermore, forest area showed a signifcant correlation with DA at the level of 0.01, while it did not show any signifcant correlation with other parameters.

To assess the severity of wildfre events worldwide, the ratio of total losses to the total number of afected people was calculated for diferent countries. This analysis considered countries where the lists of afected individuals and human losses overlapped. The fndings revealed that Croatia (12.2%), Lebanon (6.7%), Cyprus (2.7%), Bulgaria (1.5%), and Ukraine (0.8%) are the countries with the highest proportion of human losses compared to the number of people affected by wildfires. Conversely, China, Eswatini, the Syrian Arab Republic, India, and Brazil (collectively accounting for less than 0.0003%) have the lowest rate of human losses in relation to the number of afected individuals from wildfres.

#### **4 Discussion**

Climate change is expected to exacerbate drought conditions in many regions around the world, increasing the likelihood and severity of wildfres (Jones et al. [2020](#page-14-15); Pausas and Keeley [2021](#page-15-18)). Some previous studies have shown that the severity of drought is a better predictor of wildfre activity than temperature or precipitation (e.g., Kulakowski and Veblen [2007](#page-14-16); Abatzoglou and Williams [2016;](#page-13-3) Taufk et al. [2017](#page-16-10)). In fact, as the frequency and severity of drought conditions increased in recent decades, an increase in wildfre activity is expected (Scasta et al. [2016\)](#page-16-5).

Indicators such as GDP, population density, and mean annual precipitation can provide useful information for analyzing the relationship between drought and wildfre events in diferent countries (Shi et al. [2016\)](#page-16-11). For example, countries with high GDP and high population density, such as North America and Europe, may have more resources available for fire suppression and prevention efforts, which could eventually help in reducing the impact of wildfres (Aldersley et al. [2011\)](#page-13-4). Mean annual precipitation can also be used as an indicator of drought conditions, as areas with lower precipitation are more likely to experience drought conditions and consequently increased risk of wildfre events (Russo et al. [2017;](#page-15-19) Kennedy et al. [2021\)](#page-14-17). In addition, other indicators such as land use and land cover can support the analysis of the relationship between drought and wildfre events (Littell et al. [2016\)](#page-15-20). For example, areas with a high percentage of forest or grassland cover may be more susceptible to wildfres, as these types of vegetation are highly fammable (Vilar et al. [2016;](#page-16-12) Coskuner [2022;](#page-14-18) Pozo et al. [2022\)](#page-15-9).

In discussing the results proposed in this study, it should be noted that a more connected world is driving abundant and faster access to information and data, playing a signifcant role in detecting trends between natural hazards and human drivers (e.g., Wagler and Cannon [2015](#page-16-13); Tanoue et al. [2016](#page-16-14); de Bruijn et al. [2019](#page-14-19); Baranowski et al. [2020](#page-13-5)). However, such a growing availability of data does not mean, per se, more scientifcally sound and worldwide distributed information. In fact, cases where official reports are very uncertain in terms of the afected population and number of fatalities exist (Altez and Revet [2005;](#page-13-6) Alvala et al. [2017\)](#page-13-7).

It is worth to note there that only the values of population density, GDP, and forest area corresponding to the disaster time in each country were considered in the present study. However, for the calculation of precipitation anomaly, the whole period (1983–2022) was considered. Furthermore, there are many other hydro-geo-economic variables such as water resources availability, land-use patterns, infrastructure development, soil permeability, and vegetation cover that might be correlated to the studied disasters but are not considered in the present investigation. To address this issue, future studies will consider more variables, aiming to correlate time-variant relations between food fatalities and hydro-geo-economic factors. It is important to note that the inclusion of more variables and the exploration of time-variant relationships can also involve signifcant challenges. Indeed, collecting comprehensive and reliable data for a wide range of variables over extended periods may be resource-intensive and time-consuming, also involving uncertainties, especially in less developed regions (Peters et al. [2011](#page-15-21); Davis et al. [2015](#page-14-20); Jones et al. [2022\)](#page-14-21).

While EM-DAT is a widely used and reliable database for inferring long-term trends, it is very important to exercise caution when interpreting the data, considering their possible limitations and uncertainties (Edwards et al. [2021;](#page-14-22) Hamidifar and Nones [2023\)](#page-14-2). In fact, despite technological advances in disaster surveillance and signifcant progress in data collection, previous studies (Jones et al. [2022\)](#page-14-21) pointed out that, since 2022, EM-DAT has been

sufering from an increase in missing data, suggesting shortfalls in the current data quality procedures. These limitations include reporting biases, inconsistencies in data quality and criteria, variations in completeness across regions and time periods, a lack of comprehensive socioeconomic data, and the absence of severity metrics for events. Additionally, the database may not provide detailed information on the underlying causes and drivers of drought and wildfre events, limiting the ability to conduct in-depth analyses. Furthermore, temporal and spatial resolution can be limited, and changes in reporting practices over time may afect data comparability (Hamidifar and Nones [2023](#page-14-2)). To address these limitations, future studies should focus on evaluating, case-by-case natural hazards, also involving more complex statistical methods.

## **5 Conclusions**

Drought and wildfres are natural hazards with signifcant environmental, social, and economic implications. Understanding their spatiotemporal patterns and connections with various parameters, such as the economy, hydrology, and geography, is crucial for efective disaster risk management and sustainable land-use planning. This manuscript discusses the role of climate change in exacerbating drought conditions and wildfre activities, emphasizing the importance of indicators such as GDP, population density, and annual precipitation in understanding the relationship between these phenomena. This was done by leveraging the Emergency Events Database (EM-DAT) to depict the spatiotemporal trends of drought and wildfres over the period 1983–2022. Historical records of drought and wildfres are analyzed at both global and regional scales. The Spearman's rank correlation test is employed to examine the relationships between drought, wildfres, and parameters such as GDP, precipitation anomaly, population density, and forest area. The analysis reveals intriguing spatiotemporal patterns regarding the number of people afected and human losses caused by drought and wildfres, highlighting regions particularly vulnerable to these hazards. Signifcant geographical disparities in the impacts of drought and wildfre were found. Asia stands out as the region most afected by these phenomena, with more than 72% of individuals experiencing their effects. In contrast, Europe and Oceania show negligible impacts, accounting for less than 1% collectively. GDP exhibits a strong inverse correlation with both the number of people afected and human losses due to both drought and wildfres. This underscores the critical role of economic stability in mitigating the impact of these disasters. Precipitation anomaly shows an inverse correlation with wildfre-afected populations, highlighting the importance of precipitation patterns in wildfre occurrences. Furthermore, the study demonstrates the signifcance of forested areas in infuencing the impact of drought and wildfre on human populations. Forested areas are

<span id="page-9-0"></span>**Fig. 6** Spatial distribution of the human losses by **a** drought and **b** wildfre. Reported data are logarithmic. ▸**c** The histogram reports the same data subdivided per region. (DD=number of human losses by drought,  $WFD =$ number of human losses by wildfire)





<span id="page-11-0"></span>**Fig. 7** Temporal variations of the total number of human losses caused by drought and wildfre, at the global scale

particularly vulnerable to wildfres, leading to higher human losses. It is worth reminding that these relationships derive from available data, therefore biases due to missing data or a lack of reporting are possible.

The present research contributes to the feld of disaster risk assessment and management by showcasing the value of EM-DAT as a valuable resource for studying drought and wildfre trends. The insights gained from this study are instrumental for policymakers, land managers, and disaster response agencies in developing targeted strategies to mitigate the impacts of drought and wildfres in diferent regions. Additionally, the study emphasizes the need for proactive measures to address the role of hydro-geo-economical drivers on the consequences of these hazards and promote sustainable land management practices.

Future investigations that consider multiple databases and a broader set of hydro-geoeconomic variables and analyze time-variant relationships have the potential to advance our understanding of the factors contributing to drought and wildfre fatalities.



<span id="page-12-0"></span>**Table 1** Data statistics

Table 1 Data statistics



Variable	Statistical parameter	<b>WFD</b>	<b>WFA</b>	DD	DA
<b>GDP</b>	Correlation coefficient	$-0.259**$	$-0.386**$	$-0.414*$	$-0.310**$
	$Sig0. (2-tailed)$	0.004	0.000	0.014	0.001
	N	120	161	35	115
Precipitation anomaly	Correlation coefficient	$-0.258$	$-0.224**$	$-0.147$	$-0.033$
	$Sig0. (2-tailed)$	0.160	0.005	0.493	0.627
	N	31	154	24	219
Population density (people) per sq. km of land area)	Correlation coefficient	0.101	$-0.007$	$-0.073$	0.053
	$Sig0. (2-tailed)$	0.596	0.937	0.734	0.441
	N	30	144	24	211
Forest area (sq. km)	Correlation coefficient	$-0.162$	0.020	$-0.349$	$0.161*$
	$Sig0. (2-tailed)$	0.410	0.815	0.185	0.031
	N	28	143	16	180

<span id="page-13-2"></span>**Table 2** Spearman's rho test results for WFD, WFA, DD, and DA parameters

DA Number of individuals afected by drought, WFA Number of individuals afected by wildfre, DD Number of human losses by drought, WFD Number of human losses by wildfre

\*Correlation is signifcant at the 0.05 level

\*\*Correlation is signifcant at the 0.01 level

**Author contributions** All authors contributed equally to the study conception and design, as well as to the data analysis and manuscript preparation.

**Funding** The work of Michael Nones was supported by a subsidy from the Polish Ministry of Education and Science for the Institute of Geophysics, Polish Academy of Sciences.

# **Declarations**

**Confict of interest** The authors have no relevant fnancial or non-fnancial interests to disclose.

# **References**

- <span id="page-13-3"></span>Abatzoglou JT, Williams AP (2016) Impact of anthropogenic climate change on wildfre across western US forests. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 113(42):11770–11775. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1607171113>
- <span id="page-13-4"></span>Aldersley A, Murray SJ, Cornell SE (2011) Global and regional analysis of climate and human drivers of wildfre. Sci Total Environ 409(18):3472–3481. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2011.05.032>
- <span id="page-13-6"></span>Altez R, Revet S (2005) Contar los muertos para contar la muerte: discusion en torno al numero de fallecidos en la tragedia de 1999 en el estado Vargas-Venezuela. Rev Geogr Venezolana 46:21–43
- <span id="page-13-7"></span>Alvala R, Cunha AP, Brito SS, Seluchi ME, Marengo JA, Moraes OL, Carvalho MA (2017) Drought monitoring in the Brazilian Semiarid region. An Acad Bras Cienc. [https://doi.org/10.1590/0001-](https://doi.org/10.1590/0001-3765201720170209) [3765201720170209](https://doi.org/10.1590/0001-3765201720170209)
- <span id="page-13-5"></span>Baranowski DB, Flatau MK, Flatau PJ, Karnawati D, Barabasz K, Labuz M (2020) Social-media and newspaper reports reveal large-scale meteorological drivers of foods on Sumatra. Nat Commun 11(1):2503. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-020-16171-2>
- <span id="page-13-1"></span>Bertomeu M, Pineda J, Pulido F (2022) Managing wildfre risk in mosaic landscapes: a case study of the Upper Gata River catchment in Sierra de Gata. Spain Land 11(4):465. [https://doi.org/10.3390/land1](https://doi.org/10.3390/land11040465) [1040465](https://doi.org/10.3390/land11040465)
- <span id="page-13-0"></span>Chen B, Jin Y (2022) Spatial patterns and drivers for wildfre ignitions in California. Environ Res Lett 17(5):055004. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/ac60da>
- <span id="page-14-11"></span>Chen B, Shi F, Lin T, Shi P, Zheng J (2020) Intensive versus extensive events? Insights from cumulative food-induced mortality over the globe, 1976–2016. Int J Disaster Risk Sci 11(4):441–451. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1007/s13753-020-00288-5) [doi.org/10.1007/s13753-020-00288-5](https://doi.org/10.1007/s13753-020-00288-5)
- <span id="page-14-18"></span>Coskuner KA (2022) Land use/land cover change as a major driver of current landscape fammability in Eastern Mediterranean region: a case study in Southwestern Turkey. Rev Bosque 43(2):157–167. <https://doi.org/10.4067/S0717-92002022000200157>
- <span id="page-14-14"></span>Dai A (2011) Drought under global warming: a review. Wiley Interdiscip Rev Clim Change 2(1):45–65. <https://doi.org/10.1002/wcc.81>
- <span id="page-14-20"></span>Davis J, O'Grady AP, Dale A, Arthington AH, Gell PA, Driver PD, Specht A (2015) When trends intersect: the challenge of protecting freshwater ecosystems under multiple land use and hydrological intensifcation scenarios. Sci Total Environ 534:65–78. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2015.03.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2015.03.127) [127](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2015.03.127)
- <span id="page-14-19"></span>de Bruijn JA, de Moel H, Jongman B, de Ruiter MC, Wagemaker J, Aerts JCJH (2019) A global database of historic and real-time food events based on social media. Sci Data 6(1):311. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41597-019-0326-9) [1038/s41597-019-0326-9](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41597-019-0326-9)
- <span id="page-14-7"></span>Dennison PE, Brewer SC, Arnold JD, Moritz MA (2014) Large wildfre trends in the western United States, 1984–2011. Geophys Res Lett 41(8):2928–2933.<https://doi.org/10.1002/2014GL059576>
- <span id="page-14-0"></span>Dewan A (2013) Floods in a megacity: geospatial techniques in assessing hazards, risk and vulnerability. Springer, Dordrecht
- <span id="page-14-3"></span>Dhawale R, Paul S, George JS (2022) Water balance analysis using Palmer Drought Severity Index for drought-prone region of Marathwada, India. Int J River Basin Manag. [https://doi.org/10.1080/15715](https://doi.org/10.1080/15715124.2022.2079661) [124.2022.2079661](https://doi.org/10.1080/15715124.2022.2079661)
- <span id="page-14-13"></span>Djalante R, Garschagen M (2017) A review of disaster trend and disaster risk governance in Indonesia: 1900–2015. Disast Risk Reduct Indones Progress Chall Issues. [https://doi.org/10.1007/](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-54466-3_2) [978-3-319-54466-3\\_2](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-54466-3_2)
- <span id="page-14-22"></span>Edwards B, Gray M, Borja JB (2021) Measuring natural hazard-related disasters through self-reports. Int J Disaster Risk Sci 12(4):540–552.<https://doi.org/10.1007/s13753-021-00359-1>
- <span id="page-14-12"></span>EM-DAT (2016) The international disaster database. Center for Research on the Epidemiology of Disasters (CRED), Brussels
- <span id="page-14-5"></span>Ertugrul M, Ozel HB, Varol T, Cetin M, Sevik H (2019) Investigation of the relationship between burned areas and climate factors in large forest fres in the Çanakkale region. Environ Monit Assess 191:737. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10661-019-7946-6>
- <span id="page-14-6"></span>Ertugrul M, Varol T, Ozel HB, Cetin M, Sevik H (2021) Infuence of climatic factor of changes in forest fre danger and fre season length in Turkey. Environ Monit Assess 193:1–17. [https://doi.org/10.1007/](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10661-020-08800-6) [s10661-020-08800-6](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10661-020-08800-6)
- <span id="page-14-9"></span>Fréjaville T, Curt T (2015) Spatiotemporal patterns of changes in fre regime and climate: defning the pyroclimates of south-eastern France (Mediterranean Basin). Clim Change 129:239–251. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10584-015-1332-3) [10.1007/s10584-015-1332-3](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10584-015-1332-3)
- <span id="page-14-10"></span>Guoqiang S, Seong NH (2019) Spatial-temporal snapshots of global natural disaster impacts revealed from EM-DAT for 1900–2015. Geomat Nat Hazards Risk 10(1):912–934. [https://doi.org/10.1080/19475](https://doi.org/10.1080/19475705.2018.1552630) [705.2018.1552630](https://doi.org/10.1080/19475705.2018.1552630)
- <span id="page-14-4"></span>Hall JW, Leng G (2019) Can we calculate drought risk… and do we need to? Wiley Interdiscip Rev Water 6(4):e1349. <https://doi.org/10.1002/wat2.1349>
- <span id="page-14-2"></span>Hamidifar H, Nones M (2023) Spatiotemporal variations of riverine food fatalities: 70 years global to regional perspective. River 2:222–238.<https://doi.org/10.1002/rvr2.45>
- <span id="page-14-8"></span>Jazebi S, De Leon F, Nelson A (2019) Review of wildfre management techniques-part I: causes, prevention, detection, suppression, and data analytics. IEEE Trans Power Deliv 35(1):430–439. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1109/TPWRD.2019.2930055) [1109/TPWRD.2019.2930055](https://doi.org/10.1109/TPWRD.2019.2930055)
- <span id="page-14-15"></span>Jones MW, Smith A, Betts R, Canadell JG, Prentice IC, Le Quéré C (2020) Climate change increases the risk of wildfres. Sci Brief Rev 116:117
- <span id="page-14-21"></span>Jones RL, Guha-Sapir D, Tubeuf S (2022) Human and economic impacts of natural disasters: can we trust the global data? Sci Data 9(1):572. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41597-022-01667-x>
- <span id="page-14-1"></span>Kelman I (2020) Disaster by choice: how our actions turn natural hazards into catastrophes. Oxford University Press
- <span id="page-14-17"></span>Kennedy MC, Bart RR, Tague CL, Choate JS (2021) Does hot and dry equal more wildfre? Contrasting short-and long-term climate efects on fre in the Sierra Nevada, CA. Ecosphere 12(7):e03657. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1002/ecs2.3657) [doi.org/10.1002/ecs2.3657](https://doi.org/10.1002/ecs2.3657)
- <span id="page-14-16"></span>Kulakowski D, Veblen TT (2007) Efect of prior disturbances on the extent and severity of wildfre in Colorado subalpine forests. Ecology 88(3):759–769. <https://doi.org/10.1890/06-0124>
- <span id="page-15-12"></span>Lambrechts HA, Paparrizos S, Brongersma R, Kroeze C, Ludwig F, Stoof CR (2023) Governing wildfre in a global change context: lessons from water management in the Netherlands. Fire Ecol 19(1):6. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1186/s42408-023-00166-7) [doi.org/10.1186/s42408-023-00166-7](https://doi.org/10.1186/s42408-023-00166-7)
- <span id="page-15-15"></span>Lesk C, Rowhani P, Ramankutty N (2016) Infuence of extreme weather disasters on global crop production. Nature 529(7594):84–87. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature16467>
- <span id="page-15-14"></span>Leverkus AB, Thorn S, Lindenmayer DB, Pausas JG (2022) Tree planting goals must account for wildfres. Science 376(6593):588–589.<https://doi.org/10.1126/science.abp8259>
- <span id="page-15-2"></span>Lindell MK (2013) Disaster Studies. Curr Sociol 61(5–6):797–825. [https://doi.org/10.1177/0011392113](https://doi.org/10.1177/0011392113484456) [484456](https://doi.org/10.1177/0011392113484456)
- <span id="page-15-20"></span>Littell JS, Peterson DL, Riley KL, Liu Y, Luce CH (2016) A review of the relationships between drought and forest fre in the United States. Global Change Biol 22(7):2353–2369. [https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.](https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.13275) [13275](https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.13275)
- <span id="page-15-10"></span>Mansoor S, Farooq I, Kachroo MM, Mahmoud AE, Fawzy M, Popescu SM et al (2022) Elevation in wildfre frequencies with respect to the climate change. J Environ Manag 301:113769. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2021.113769) [1016/j.jenvman.2021.113769](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2021.113769)
- <span id="page-15-13"></span>Mariani M, Connor SE, Theuerkauf M, Herbert A, Kuneš P, Bowman D et al (2022) Disruption of cultural burning promotes shrub encroachment and unprecedented wildfres. Front Ecol Environ 20(5):292– 300. <https://doi.org/10.1002/fee.2395>
- <span id="page-15-8"></span>Marín PG, Julio CJ, Dante Arturo RT, Daniel Jose VN (2018) Drought and spatiotemporal variability of forest fres across Mexico. Chin Geogr Sci 28:25–37. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11769-017-0928-0>
- <span id="page-15-11"></span>Miezite LE, Ameztegui A, De Cáceres M, Coll L, Morán-Ordóñez A, Vega-García C, Rodrigues M (2022) Trajectories of wildfre behavior under climate change. Can forest management mitigate the increasing hazard?. Available at SSRN 4068658. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2022.116134>
- <span id="page-15-4"></span>Mileti D (1999) Disasters by design: A reassessment of natural hazards in the United States. Joseph Henry Press
- <span id="page-15-5"></span>Naumann G, Alferi L, Wyser K, Mentaschi L, Betts RA, Carrao H, Feyen L (2018) Global changes in drought conditions under diferent levels of warming. Geophys Res Lett 45(7):3285–3296. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1002/2017GL076521) [doi.org/10.1002/2017GL076521](https://doi.org/10.1002/2017GL076521)
- <span id="page-15-6"></span>Naumann G, Cammalleri C, Mentaschi L, Feyen L (2021) Increased economic drought impacts in Europe with anthropogenic warming. Nat Clim Change 11(6):485–491. [https://doi.org/10.1038/](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41558-021-01044-3) [s41558-021-01044-3](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41558-021-01044-3)
- <span id="page-15-3"></span>O'Keefe PK, Westgate K, Wisner B (1976) Taking the naturalness out of natural disasters. Nature 260:566–567.<https://doi.org/10.1038/260566a0>
- <span id="page-15-18"></span>Pausas JG, Keeley JE (2021) Wildfres and global change. Front Ecol Environ 19(7):387–395. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1002/fee.2359) [doi.org/10.1002/fee.2359](https://doi.org/10.1002/fee.2359)
- <span id="page-15-0"></span>Pescaroli G, Nones M, Galbusera L, Alexander D (2018) Understanding and mitigating cascading crises in the global interconnected system. Int J Disaster Risk Reduction 30:159–163. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijdrr.2018.07.004) [1016/j.ijdrr.2018.07.004](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijdrr.2018.07.004)
- <span id="page-15-21"></span>Peters DP, Lugo AE, Chapin FS III, Pickett ST, Duniway M, Rocha AV et al (2011) Cross-system comparisons elucidate disturbance complexities and generalities. Ecosphere 2(7):1–26. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1890/ES11-00115.1) [10.1890/ES11-00115.1](https://doi.org/10.1890/ES11-00115.1)
- <span id="page-15-16"></span>Petrucci O, Papagiannaki K, Aceto L, Boissier L, Kotroni V, Grimalt M, Vinet F (2019) MEFF: The database of Mediterranean food fatalities (1980 to 2015). J Flood Risk Management 12(2):e12461. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jfr3.12461>
- <span id="page-15-7"></span>Piñol J, Terradas J, Lloret F (1998) Climate warming, wildfre hazard, and wildfre occurrence in coastal eastern Spain. Clim Change 38(3):345–357.<https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1005316632105>
- <span id="page-15-9"></span>Pozo RA, Galleguillos M, González ME, Vásquez F, Arriagada R (2022) Assessing the socio-economic and land-cover drivers of wildfre activity and its spatiotemporal distribution in south-central Chile. Sci Total Environ 810:152002. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2021.152002>
- <span id="page-15-19"></span>Russo A, Gouveia CM, Páscoa P, DaCamara CC, Sousa PM, Trigo RM (2017) Assessing the role of drought events on wildfres in the Iberian Peninsula. Agric for Meteorol 237:50–59. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agrformet.2017.01.021) [10.1016/j.agrformet.2017.01.021](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agrformet.2017.01.021)
- <span id="page-15-17"></span>Saharia M, Jain A, Baishya RR, Haobam S, Sreejith OP, Pai DS, Rafeeinasab A (2021) India food inventory: creation of a multi-source national geospatial database to facilitate comprehensive food research. Nat Hazards 108(1):619–633. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11069-021-04698-6>
- <span id="page-15-1"></span>Sankaran KS, Lim SJ, Bhaskar SCV (2022) An automated prediction of remote sensing data of Queensland-Australia for food and wildfre susceptibility using BISSOA-DBMLA scheme. Acta Geophys 70(6):3005–3021.<https://doi.org/10.1007/s11600-022-00925-1>
- <span id="page-16-4"></span>Sayers PB, Yuanyuan L, Moncrief C, Jianqiang L, Tickner D, Gang L, Speed R (2017) Strategic drought risk management: eight 'golden rules' to guide a sound approach. Int J River Basin Manag 15(2):239–255. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15715124.2017.1280812>
- <span id="page-16-5"></span>Scasta JD, Weir JR, Stambaugh MC (2016) Droughts and wildfres in western US rangelands. Rangelands 38(4):197–203. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rala.2016.06.003>
- <span id="page-16-2"></span>Shah J, Hari V, Rakovec O, Markonis Y, Samaniego L, Mishra V et al (2022) Increasing footprint of climate warming on fash droughts occurrence in Europe. Environ Res Lett 17(6):064017. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/ac1a30) [org/10.1088/1748-9326/ac1a30](https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/ac1a30)
- <span id="page-16-3"></span>Shahdad M, Saber B (2022) Drought forecasting using new advanced ensemble-based models of reduced error pruning tree. Acta Geophysica 70(2):697–712. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11600-022-00738-2>
- <span id="page-16-0"></span>Shen G, Hwang SN (2019) Spatial-temporal snapshots of global natural disaster impacts Revealed from EM-DAT for 1900-2015. Geomatics Nat Hazards Risk 10(1):912–934. [https://doi.org/10.1080/19475](https://doi.org/10.1080/19475705.2018.1552630) [705.2018.1552630](https://doi.org/10.1080/19475705.2018.1552630)
- <span id="page-16-11"></span>Shi P, Yang X, Fang J, Wang JA, Xu W, Han G (2016) Mapping and ranking global mortality, afected population and GDP loss risks for multiple climatic hazards. J Geogr Sci 26:878–888. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11442-016-1304-1) [10.1007/s11442-016-1304-1](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11442-016-1304-1)
- <span id="page-16-6"></span>Srivastava P, Garg A (2013) Forest fres in India: regional and temporal analyses. J Trop Forest Sci 228– 239. <https://www.jstor.org/stable/23617038>
- Strömberg D (2007) Natural disasters, economic development, and humanitarian aid. J Econ Perspect 21(3):199–222.<https://doi.org/10.1257/jep.21.3.199>
- <span id="page-16-7"></span>Strader SM (2018) Spatiotemporal changes in conterminous US wildfre exposure from 1940 to 2010. Nat Hazards 92(1):543–565. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11069-018-3217-z>
- <span id="page-16-14"></span>Tanoue M, Hirabayashi Y, Ikeuchi H (2016) Global-scale river food vulnerability in the last 50 years. Sci Rep 6(1):36021.<https://doi.org/10.1038/srep36021>
- <span id="page-16-10"></span>Taufk M, Torfs PJ, Uijlenhoet R, Jones PD, Murdiyarso D, Van Lanen HA (2017) Amplifcation of wildfre area burnt by hydrological drought in the humid tropics. Nat Clim Change 7(6):428–431[.https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1038/nclimate3280) [org/10.1038/nclimate3280](https://doi.org/10.1038/nclimate3280)
- Thompson MP, Calkin DE, Hand MS, Kreitler J, Miller C (2017) Integrated wildland fre modeling: approaches to support fre management. Int J Wildland Fire 26(12):1025–1032. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1071/WF16148) [1071/WF16148](https://doi.org/10.1071/WF16148)
- <span id="page-16-1"></span>UNISDR (2015) Sendai framework for disaster risk reduction 2015–2030. United Nations Office for Disaster Risk Reduction (UNISDR)
- <span id="page-16-8"></span>van Leeuwen S, Miller-Sabbioni C (2023) Impacts of wildfre on Indigenous cultural values. In: Australia's megafres: biodiversity impacts and lessons from 2019–2020, vol 23. CSIRO Publishing, Melbourne, Australia
- <span id="page-16-12"></span>Vilar L, Camia A, San-Miguel-Ayanz J, Martín MP (2016) Modeling temporal changes in human-caused wildfres in Mediterranean Europe based on Land Use-Land Cover interfaces. For Ecol Manag 378:68–78.<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2016.07.020>
- <span id="page-16-13"></span>Wagler A, Cannon KJ (2015) Exploring ways social media data inform public issues communication: an analysis of Twitter conversation during the 2012-2013 drought in Nebraska. J Appl Commun 99(2):44–60.<https://digitalcommons.unl.edu/aglecfacpub/82>
- <span id="page-16-9"></span>Winsemius HC, Van Beek LPH, Jongman B, Ward PJ, Bouwman A (2013) A framework for global river food risk assessments. Hydrol Earth Syst Sci 17(5):1871–1892. [https://doi.org/10.5194/](https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-17-1871-2013) [hess-17-1871-2013](https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-17-1871-2013)
- Zhou Q, Ye X, Qi Z, Ding Y (2021) The impact of land use change on food peak discharge and sediment transport at a small watershed scale: a case study in China. Sustain 13(5):2547. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.3390/su13052547) [3390/su13052547](https://doi.org/10.3390/su13052547)
- Zou L, Chen L, Shi P, Zhao Z, Jiang Z, Peng J (2020) Assessing the spatiotemporal distribution and driving factors of wildfre danger in Yunnan. China. Ecol Indic 108:105715. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolind.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolind.2019.105715) [2019.105715](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolind.2019.105715)

**Publisher's Note** Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.