ORIGINAL PAPER

Experimental study on the sufusion mechanism of gap‑graded soils under an exceedance hydraulic gradient

Liang Chen1,2 · Yu Wan1,2 [·](http://orcid.org/0000-0003-3508-6126) Jian‑Jian He3,4,5 · Chun‑Mu Luo6 · Shu‑Fa Yan1,2 · Xian‑Feng He7

Received: 28 January 2021 / Accepted: 3 June 2021 / Published online: 10 June 2021 © The Author(s), under exclusive licence to Springer Nature B.V. 2021

Abstract

Suffusion is an important mechanism initiating internal erosion in geotechnical structures. This paper studies the sufusion mechanism of gap-graded soils during the whole sufusion process under an exceedance hydraulic gradient. We defne the exceeded critical ratio *Ri* and study the change in the parameters such as the fow velocity, hydraulic conductivity, and fine particle loss with R_i . Under steady flow, a formula for determining the flow velocity state of the sample with R_i according to the fine particle content and relative density of the sample was proposed; during the suffusion process, the influence of R_{imax} on the rate at which the flow velocity and hydraulic conductivity of the sample increase as R_i decreases was greater than that of the initial relative density and the initial fne particle content of the sample. Under unsteady fow, a larger initial relative density corresponds to a smaller amplitude of increase in the average value of the peak flow velocity with increasing R_i . Compared with the test under steady flow, the flow velocity under unsteady flow would experience abrupt changes. The relative position of the trend line L of the fow velocity varying with R_i under unsteady flow and the fixed peak water head height point A under steady flow was related to the relative density of the sample.

Keywords Suffusion · Exceedance hydraulic gradient · Flow velocity · Fine content · Relative density

 \boxtimes Yu Wan wy0209@hhu.edu.cn

- ² Geotechnical Research Institute of Hohai University, Nanjing 210098, Jiangsu, China
- ³ Key Laboratory of Soft Soils and Geoenvironmental Engineering of Ministry of Education, Zhejiang University, Hangzhou 310058, Zhejiang, China
- ⁴ Institute of Geotechnical Engineering, Zhejiang University, Hangzhou 310058, Zhejiang, China
- ⁵ Center for Hypergravity Experimental and Interdisciplinary Research, Zhejiang University, Hangzhou 310058, Zhejiang, China
- ⁶ State Grid Changzhou City Jintan District Electric Power Supply Company, Changzhou 213200, Jiangsu, China
- ⁷ Yellow River Institute of Hydraulic Research, Zhengzhou 450003, Henan, China

¹ Key Laboratory of Geomechanics and Embankment Engineering, Ministry of Education, Hohai University, Nanjing 210098, Jiangsu, China

1 Introduction

Internal erosion is a substantial cause of the destruction of geotechnical engineering structures such as flling dams and embankments (Foster et al., [2000](#page-33-0); Richards and Reddy, [2007\)](#page-33-1). In recent years, an increasing number of internal erosion accidents have occurred worldwide (Brazil [2019](#page-32-0); Laos [2018](#page-33-2) and China [2015\)](#page-33-3). Sufusion is one of the four mechanisms that initiate the internal erosion (Fell and Fry, [2007\)](#page-33-4), and it leads to the failure of hydraulic structures such as dams and levees. Therefore, it can be concluded that sufusion is a problem worthy of sufficient attention and intensive study, and many scholars have conducted relevant research on this topic (Liu et al., [2020](#page-33-5); Hu et al., [2020;](#page-33-6) Zhou et al., [2020;](#page-34-0) Cheng et al., [2021](#page-33-7); Xiong et al., [2021](#page-34-1)).

Sufusion is the process characterized as the seepage-induced gradual loss of fne particles supported by a coarser grained skeleton without any change in total volume of soils (Fannin and Slangen [2014](#page-33-8)). The determination of the onset of sufusion plays an important role in risk assessment of dams and levees, so the research on the onset of sufusion has become a popular topic. There are various factors that affect the onset of suffusion, mainly involving four aspects: seepage conditions (such as the water head type and seepage direction), geometric conditions (such as the soil particle gradation and particle size ratio), physical conditions (such as the soil compactness and cohesion), and stress conditions (such as the confning pressure and stress path) (Schuler, [1995;](#page-33-9) Kenney and Lau [1985;](#page-33-10) Wan and Fell [2008;](#page-34-2) Fannin and Moffat [2006](#page-33-11); Chang and Zhang [2011](#page-32-1)). The critical hydraulic gradient (CHG) is defned as the initiation gradient for the initiating of the sufusion, and the CHG is an important criterion for evaluating the critical condition of the onset of suffusion and depends on the properties of the soil included in the above physical and geometric conditions aspects (Kenney and Lau [1985](#page-33-10); Aberg [1993;](#page-32-2) Burenkova [1993](#page-32-3); Skempton and Brogan [1994](#page-33-12); McDougall [2013](#page-33-13); Chang and Zhang [2013](#page-32-4)). At present, it is the common to study the CHG in terms of the seepage conditions combined with physical and geometric conditions. For example, a formula for calculating the CHG of internal erosion for various grain sizes in sand gravels was established by Mao et al. ([2009\)](#page-33-14); Huang et al. [\(2017](#page-33-15)) established a theoretical model under two-dimensional seepage fow and showed that the seepage direction angle was positively related to the CHG; Xie et al. ([2018\)](#page-34-3) found that CHG increases as the degree of compaction and clay content increases when investigating the failure mechanism of internal erosion at soil–structure interfaces by a tailor-made device; Yang and Wang [\(2017](#page-34-4)) also designed a new apparatus for investigating internal erosion and CHG between uniform sands and gap-graded soils and compared the values of CHG measured with uniform sand and gag-graded soil with Terzaghi's theoretical values.

These research methods have a shortcoming in that they ignore the infuence of external factors such as the stress state on the sufusion. During the process of sufusion, fuidized particle erosion and migration caused by pore water seepage make the soil particles rearranged and deposited which resulting in changes in the microstructure and mechanical properties of the soil, such as inhomogeneous change in porosity, hydraulic conductivity, stifness, and shear strength. Inhomogeneous change in hydraulic conductivity leads to the change in pore water pressure. Since the soil is generally in a triaxial compression state, according to the principle of efective stress, the efective stress of the soil skeleton will inevitably change with the change in pore water pressure, which will lead to the change in the internal stress state of the soil, and the change in the stress state in turn again afects the distribution of the seepage feld and its erosion on soil skeleton. Therefore, the stress state of soils is an important factor which must be considered during the development process of sufusion. More recently, many researchers have focused on stress condition factors. Luo et al. [\(2013](#page-33-16)) designed a seepage–erosion–stress coupling test apparatus; Chang and Zhang [\(2011](#page-32-1)) determined the efects of complex stress states on the CHG of internal erosion; Liang et al. [\(2017](#page-33-17), [2019\)](#page-33-18) further developed a new device that can simulate sufusion in the upward fow direction under a complex stress state. They studied the onset of sufusion under isotropic and anisotropic stress conditions and found that the CHG under the isotropic stress state and the anisotropic stress state was notably diferent.

Most of the studies focused on the critical conditions for the onset of sufusion (research on CHG). However, in practice, the water level of rivers will rise rapidly due to heavy rainfall and cause fooding. Sufusion usually occurs at high food levels during the food season, such as in May 2020, foods caused by heavy rain led to dam bursts in America ([2020\)](#page-32-5), and in May, a dam in Uzbekistan ([2020](#page-33-19)) also broke due to fooding. A high food level also means that the hydraulic gradient will exceed the CHG or even increase far beyond the CHG, which we defne as the exceedance hydraulic gradient (EHG). Since sufusion is a gradual development process with the loss of fne particles, parameters such as the fow velocity, hydraulic conductivity and hydraulic gradient change constantly with the migration and loss of fne particles in the soil, and the parameters have a certain change rule in time. Therefore, research on the entire development process of sufusion under the action of steady fow with a fxed exceeded critical water head height has not received much attention. Meanwhile, due to the effects of tides and waves (Li et al., [2020\)](#page-33-20), high flood levels are not always stable, there may be a sudden peak water level, and after a period of time, the water level will drop to the valley water level. The sudden increase in the hydraulic gradient will lead to a nonequilibrium erosion situation (Vandenboer et al., [2019](#page-33-21)); therefore, research on the sufusion mechanism under the action of a cyclically unstable exceeded critical water head height has not attracted attention and is worth researching.

In this paper, we will use a tailor-made apparatus to test the entire development process of sufusion under stable and unstable exceeded critical water head height and study the changing regularity of the sufusion parameters, including fow velocity, hydraulic conductivity, and loss of fne particles under an EHG. Simultaneously, we research the efect of the initial relative density and initial fne particle content of soils on these parameters.

2 Test materials, apparatus, and procedures

2.1 Materials

The soils used in the test were Yangtze Sand. According to the internal stability criteria for soils proposed by Kenny et al. ([1985](#page-33-10)), the gap-graded sand specimens were artifcially prepared where the fne fraction had a particle size of 0.075–0.25 mm and the coarse particles had a particle size of 2.0–8.0 mm. The sample grading curve is shown in Fig. [1](#page-3-0) (FC represents the initial fne particle content; the initial fne particle content refers to the percentage of the mass of fne particles to the total mass of soil when the loss of fine particles is zero, expressed by the formula: $FC = \frac{m_f}{m} \times 100\%$ (m_f represents the mass of fne particles and *m* represents the total mass of soil)).

Fig. 1 Size distribution of the samples

2.2 Experimental apparatus

The homemade cylindrical tank is shown in Fig. [2a](#page-5-0). The height is 50 cm, and the inner diameter is 140 mm. There are a total of eight water pressure sensors numbered from 1 to 8 installed on the sidewall from bottom to top. Water fows through the sample from bottom to top. The length of the sample can be adjusted from 250 to 400 mm by setting a cushion seat under the water-permeable plate in the bufer area. On the basis of the previous device in Chen Liang's paper [\(2015\)](#page-32-6), to improve the accuracy of the collected data and the real-time recording of experimental data, we further improved the instrument and developed a data acquisition system including eight water pressure sensors, a fowmeter, a switching power supply, a signal converter, and an intelligent paperless recorder. The real fgure of the whole set of apparatus is shown in Fig. [2](#page-5-0)b. Figure [2d](#page-5-0)–g shows real pictures of the components of the data acquisition system. The water pressure sensor is the difusion silicon pressure transmitter produced by Leicester Instrument Factory, with the accuracy of 0.2%FS and the output signal of 4-20 mA. The FPR301 impeller fowmeter is produced by American Omega Company. The flow range measured by the flowmeter is $0 \sim 316$ mL/s, with the accuracy of 1%. However, since the SIN-R960 intelligent paperless recorder made by Sinomeasure Company can only receive 4–20 mA signals, the fowmeter needs to be equipped with the DPF76-A signal converter, also made by Omega Company, which converts the NPN open-circuit collector signal into 4–20 mA signal. Finally, the data collected by the paperless recorder are transmitted to the computer. The operation process of the whole data acquisition system is shown in Fig. [2](#page-5-0)c.

2.3 Test scheme

The development of sufusion is a process in which fne particles are transported by seepage forces and taken out in the pores of coarse particles, so the content of the fne particles (FC) has a great infuence on the development of sufusion. According to the geometric conditions, Ke and Takahashi [\(2012](#page-33-22)) estimated that $FC=37%$ was the ideal state where FC was close to flling the pores between the coarse particles under the condition that the coarse particle part was loose and the fne particle part was dense. Therefore, in this study, we selected specimens with FC=10%, FC=15%, FC=18%, and FC=25%, which represent the diferent degrees of flling, to conduct experiments.

In addition, the initial relative density Dr, which is defned as:

$$
D_r = \frac{e_{\text{max}} - e_0}{e_{\text{max}} - e_{\text{min}}} \tag{1}
$$

is a condition that can infuence the volumetric strain during sufusion and has a great effect on the suffusion mechanism (Liang et al., [2017\)](#page-33-17).

Therefore, we selected three groups of samples with FC values of 10%, 18%, and 25% and three groups of samples with Dr values of 0.3, 0.6, and 0.8 to conduct the sufusion test, which is shown in Table [1](#page-8-0). In this paper, we defned that the smaller hydraulic gradient when fne particles are slightly washed out and the hydraulic gradient at the infection point of the v–i (v represents velocity; i represents hydraulic gradient) relationship curve is selected as the CHG (Lu 2005). We selected the typical Dr0.6 group and plotted the v–i curve which is shown intuitively in Fig. [3](#page-9-0). In Fig. [3,](#page-9-0) the hydraulic gradient of the infection point A is 0.35; the hydraulic gradient corresponding to point B is the hydraulic gradient where occurred the pulsation of the fne particles on the side of the sample, which is 0.46. i_b i_n indicates that before the pulsation of the fine particles on the side of the sample, the movement of fne particles has occurred inside the sample. Therefore, we selected 0.35 as the CHG of Dr0.6 group.

The calculation formula of the hydraulic gradient in this study is as follows:

$$
\Delta h = \frac{(p_3 - p_8)}{\gamma_w} - L \tag{2}
$$

$$
i = \frac{\Delta h}{L} \tag{3}
$$

where Δh represents the water head loss of the total sample; P_3 and P_8 are the No. 3 and No. 8 pore pressures, respectively; γ_w is the unit weight of water; and L is the seepage diameter length.

2.4 Procedures

The test steps were performed as follows:

- 1. The soil samples were dried in an oven at a temperature of 105 °C for 24 h.
- 2. The specimens prepared for the experiments were 250 mm in height and 140 mm in diameter. According to the requirements of void ratio, fne particle content, and relative density in the test scheme, the total mass of coarse particle and fne particle required for the specimens was calculated. Due to the large sample capacity, in order to avoid test errors caused by uneven sample preparation, the total mass of the required specimens was divided into fve equal parts during specimen preparation, and the specimens were loaded in layers. The moist tamping method is used (Ladd et al., [1978\)](#page-33-24) to prepare the

Fig. 2 Schematic diagram of the device: **a** apparatus of the model tests; **b** real picture of the apparatus; c ► data acquisition system; **d** SIN-R960 paperless recorder; **e** FPR301 fowmeter; **f** DPF76-A signal converter; **g** water pressure sensor

specimens in order to minimize the segregation of the two diferent sized grains. In addition, before loading samples into the test tank, a layer of Vaseline was evenly coated on the side wall of the test tank to prevent the formation of erosion channels on the side wall and afect the test results.

- 3. The water head slowly increased to saturate the entire sample, which lasted for 24 h, and then the test began.
- 4. The water head was raised from the height of the samples and slowly saturated every 50 mm, which was fxed for 5 min, and stopped lifting of the water head when the rate of fow increased which could be observed from the paperless recorder, and then fxed the fnal water head height. During the whole test, the real-time change curves of water pressure sensors No. 1 to No. 8 and the fow meter in the paperless recorder were observed, simultaneously making a record of the test phenomenon. When no fne particles fowed out from the top surface of the sample and the real-time change curve of the water pressure and fow in the paperless recorder became stable, it was determined that the sample was completely destroyed and then the test was terminated.

3 Results and discussion

3.1 Experimental phenomena

Since the experimental phenomena of the FC and Dr groups are similar, Dr0.6 was selected as a typical experimental phenomenon for illustrating the whole process of sufusion.

Figure [4](#page-9-1) is the Dr0.6 saturation sample before lifting the upstream water head, and it can be seen that the fne and coarse particles in the sample are evenly distributed.

Figure [5](#page-10-0) shows the stage of lifting the Dr0.6 upstream water head, where the seepage quantity tended to decrease and the pore pressure tended to stabilize after every lifting water head stage (a). Typical pictures corresponding to points A, B, C, and D were recorded from the top and side of the sample from the cylindrical tank, which are shown in Fig. [5.](#page-10-0) When the experiment was carried out for 26 min (b), the pulsation of the fne particles occurred in two places, and a small amount of fne particles accumulated in one of them. When the experiment continued for 32 min (c), there were two pulsations of the fne particles on the side of the sample. After 47 min (d) of the test, it was found that there had been fne particle accumulation in three places on the top of the sample. When the test continued for 57 min (e), it was found that there had been relatively obvious fne particle accumulation in the direction from 1:00 to 4:00 on the top of the sample.

Figure [6a](#page-11-0) shows the changes in the pore pressure and the seepage quantity during the whole process of Dr0.6 suffusion failure. Point E (b) shows the phenomenon of the top surface of the sample when the test was carried out for 193 min. It can be seen that there were many fne particles that accumulated on the top surface of the sample, the seepage quantity was continuously rising, and the pore pressure of No. $3 \sim 6$ decreased obviously. When the test was carried out for 268 min (c), continuous fne particles gushed out during the test, and the coverage area of the fne particles that accumulated on the top surface of the sample further increased. The increase rate of the seepage quantity became slower, and the rate

 (b)

Fig. 2 (continued)

Fig. 2 (continued)

Table 1 Test scheme and materials physical properties

Sample	Number	Initial fines content FC $(\%)$	Maximum void ratio e_{max}	Minimum void ratio e_{\min}	Initial rela- tive density Dr	Length L (cm)	Specific gravity Gs
FC	FC10	10%	0.59	0.39	0.3	25	2.70
	FC18	18%	0.54	0.28	0.3	25	2.70
	FC25	25%	0.50	0.26	0.3	25	2.70
Dr	Dr0.3	15%	0.56	0.29	0.3	25	2.70
	Dr0.6	15%	0.56	0.29	0.6	25	2.70
	Dr0.8	15%	0.56	0.29	0.8	25	2.70

of the pore pressure drop of No. $3 \sim 6$ also slowed down. When the test was conducted for 575 min (d), corresponding to point G, the sample approached complete sufusion failure, and the test continued until 695 min (e). It could be seen that no fne particles were fushed out from the top surface of the sample, and complete sufusion failure occurred. Mean-while, compared with Fig. [5](#page-10-0), two obvious suffusion outlets appeared on the top surface (e), and two obvious complete sufusion channels appeared on the sidewall of the sample (f).

3.2 Analysis of the test results

3.2.1 Flow velocity

The variation in the velocity with time of group FC and Dr during the whole sufusion development is shown in Fig. [7](#page-12-0). Table [2](#page-12-1) shows the EHG i_{ecr} when the water head was fixed after the last head lift, hydraulic gradient i_f when complete suffusion failure occurred and CHG of the sample. We define the exceeded critical ratio as $R_i = i_e/i_{cr}$ (i_e represents the EHG) and $R_{\text{imax}} = i_{\text{ecr}}/i_{\text{cr}}$ because i_{ecr} is the maximum EHG of the whole process of suffusion. The whole process of the suffusion test consisted of the upstream water head lifting stage and the sufusion failure stage after the upstream water head was fxed. In

Fig. 3 Dr0.6 critical hydraulic gradient (CHG)

Fig. 4 Dr0.6 saturation sample: **a** top surface of the sample and **b** side of the sample

the suffusion failure stage, according to Chen et al. ([2020\)](#page-32-7), the solid point M_n (n=1~5) was the critical point where the fow velocity started to increase; similarly, the solid points N_n (n=1~6) and P_n (n=1~6) represented the critical points at which the flow velocity started to become stable and decrease, respectively. Consequently, $M_n \sim N_n$ demonstrated that the flow velocity showed an increasing state, $N_n \sim P_n$ demonstrated that the flow velocity showed a stable state, and the flow velocity after point P_n showed a decreasing state.

3.2.1.1 Upstream water head lifting stage Theoretically speaking, when the upstream water head height exceeds the critical head height, the fow velocity should increase with the elevation of the head height. In actual experiments, it was found that with the increase in the

Fig. 5 Dr0.6 upstream water head lifting stage: **a** changes in the seepage quantity and pore pressure; **b** point A (26 min); **c** point B (32 min); **d** point C (47 min); **e** point D (57 min)

Fig. 6 Process of Dr0.6 sufusion failure: **a** changes in the seepage quantity and pore pressure; **b** point E (193 min); **c** point F (268 min); **d** point G (575 min); **e** point H (695 min); **f** side of the sample (695 min)

Fig. 7 Variation in the velocity with time. **a** Dr group and **b** FC group

head height, the fow velocity did not increase completely over time and might also show a stable or decreasing state. The fow velocity gradually decreased over time because of the fne particles inside the sample blocking the pores when they moved, which resulted in a decrease in the hydraulic conductivity of the sample. Since there was no loss of fne particles in the process of blocking the pores with fne particles, the content of the fne particles and relative density of the sample did not change, but the water head loss in the length direction of the sample's seepage diameter increased due to pore blockage, so the hydraulic gradient increased. Therefore, with the gradual increase in the amount of fne particles inside the sample to block the pores, the fow velocity gradually decreased. To further study the efect of diferent EHGs on the change in the state of the fow velocity over time before the sufusion failure stage, combined with $R_{i_{max}}$ shown in Table [3](#page-14-0), the smallest $R_{i_{max}}$ among the six groups of different specimens was 2.38. When R_i reached R_{imax} , it means that the experiment entered the suffusion failure stage. When selecting different R_i values in the upstream water head lifting stage, it needs to be greater than 1 and less than 2.38. Therefore, we selected $R_i = 1.1, 1.3$, 1.5, 1.7, 1.9, 2.1, and 2.3 according to the principle of proportional increase for each group of samples to conduct the suffusion test and maintain 90 min at each R_i . (Figure [7](#page-12-0) shows that the upstream water head lifting stage of each group of samples lasted no more than 90 min.)

The test phenomena of each group of samples were similar. Therefore, we selected the typical Dr0.6 group (Fig. [8\)](#page-15-0) to analyze and determine that the fow velocity decreased over time when $R_i = 1.1$, 1.3, and 1.5. When $R_i = 1.7$, 1.9, and 2.1, the flow velocity remained stable over time, and it increased over time when $R_i = 2.3$. Therefore, the state of the change in the fow velocity over time during the process of sufusion is represented as follows: decreasing state, stable state, and increasing state. Consequently, to further study the infuence of the initial fne particle content and the initial relative density on the change in the fow velocity over time under the action of various EHG, we obtained the distribution of the change state of the fow velocity of each group of samples under each level of the EHG in the form of points, as shown in Fig. [9.](#page-16-0)

In Fig. [9](#page-16-0), "Area A" is the distribution area where the fow velocity is in a decreasing state and "Area B" is the distribution area where the fow velocity is in a stable state and increasing state. On the whole, the distribution of the three states of the fow velocity with the increase in the hydraulic gradient is the decreasing state, stable state, and increasing state successively, regardless of how the initial fne particle content or initial relative density of the samples changed. The stable state is a transitional phase between the decreasing state and the increasing state, and its distribution area is small.

In Fig. [9a](#page-16-0), the area where the fow velocity decreased was more to the upper left, that is, the larger the fne particle content of the sample, the larger the EHG corresponding to the stable state of the fow velocity. In addition, "Area A and Area B" shifted to the direction of the increase in the hydraulic gradient; in Fig. [9b](#page-16-0), the fow velocity decreasing state was also more distributed in the upper left, that is, with the increase in the relative density of the sample, the EHG corresponding to the stable state also increased.

The movement path of the fow velocity state in Fig. [9](#page-16-0) moved from "Area A" to the right, and the clogging of fne particles at "Point P" and "Point M" intuitively confrmed the above explanation: Due to the pore blockage of fne particles, the fow velocity gradually decreased, while the hydraulic gradient increased.

The fow velocity gradually increased over time because the fne particles inside the sample were washed away from the pores, resulting in greater hydraulic conductivity of the sample. Due to the loss of fne particles, the fne particle content and relative density of the sample gradually decreased, and the water head loss in the length direction of the sample's seepage diameter also decreased, so the hydraulic gradient decreased. Therefore, with the

250 400 21.6 18 39.6

21.6

400

250 l

 18

39.6

Fig. 8 Dr0.6 variation in the velocity with time

gradual increase in the loss of fne particles inside the sample, the fow velocity increased accordingly. The direction of movement of the fow velocity state in the test was similar to "point Q" and "point N" shown in Fig. [9.](#page-16-0)

To further study the relationship between the state of the flow velocity and R_i , the abscissa in Fig. [9](#page-16-0) is replaced with R_i and the distribution diagram of the flow velocity state is drawn, as shown in Fig. [10.](#page-17-0)

In Fig. [10](#page-17-0), the distribution of the three flow velocity states on R_i and the movement path of the points were the same as those in Fig. [9](#page-16-0). The distribution law of the stable state of the flow velocity in Fig. [10](#page-17-0) was more obvious than that in Fig. [9,](#page-16-0) as shown in the area between the solid line and the dotted line. It can be seen that with the increase in the fne particle content of the sample, the hydraulic gradient range corresponding to the stable state of the fow velocity gradually became larger; with the increase in the relative density of the sample, the hydraulic gradient range corresponding to the stable state of the fow velocity gradually became larger.

The dividing line of "Area A and Area B" in Fig. [10](#page-17-0) was basically a straight line. Under the condition that the ratio of the sample is the same or close to that in this paper, the fow velocity state of the sample under the action of a certain EHG can be roughly obtained in terms of the fne particle content and density of the sample, respectively, as follows:

In terms of the fne particle content of the sample:

(4) when $R_i < \frac{FC + 191}{FC + 191}$, the flow velocity was in a decreasing state;
(5) when $R_i \ge \frac{FC + 191}{88}$, the flow velocity was in a stable state or increasing state;

In terms of the relative density of the sample:

(6) when $R_i \leq \frac{D_r+11}{4.889}$, the flow velocity was in a decreasing state;
(7) when $R_i \geq \frac{D_r+11}{4.889}$, the flow velocity was in a stable state or increasing state;

Fig. 9 Distribution of the fow velocity state with the change in various EHGs: **a** Dr group and **b** FC group

3.2.1.2 Sufusion failure stage Based on Fig. [10](#page-17-0), we further plot the change path of the flow velocity state of the whole process of suffusion, as shown in Fig. [11.](#page-18-0) Take group Dr0.3 as an example (Fig. [11](#page-18-0)a). Section $O \sim A$ corresponded to the upstream water head lifting stage and then the water head at point A was fxed, the hydraulic gradient of the sample gradually decreased, and fnally, complete sufusion failure occurred at point D. Point D

Fig. 10 Distribution of the flow velocity state with the change in R_i : **a** Dr group and **b** FC group

was located at the junction of the steady state and the descending state of the fow velocity. Combined with Fig. [7](#page-12-0), it can be concluded that when $R_{\text{imax}} = 2.38$ (FC18), the flow velocity then went through two stages: the stable stage and then the decreasing stage; when $R_{\text{imax}} > 3$ (other five groups), the flow velocity then went through three stages: the increasing stage, then the stabilize stage, and fnally the decreasing stage. Figure [7](#page-12-0)a shows that the FC25 group did not experience a signifcant change in the fow velocity until 80 min because the

Fig. 11 Change path of the fow velocity state during the whole process of sufusion: **a** Dr group and **b** FC group

fne particle content was too high, which caused the fne particles to block the pores during the early process of raising the water head. When the water head was raised to a height of 3.1, the larger water fow force suddenly fushed away the fne particles, causing the fow velocity to rapidly increase. Figure [7b](#page-12-0) shows that point B is the sudden change point of the fow velocity in the Dr0.8 group because during the loss of fne particles, the migration of the fne particles caused the pores to clog again until they were fushed out, resulting in an instantaneous increase in the fow velocity. Therefore, the migration of the particles under the EHG may also experience the movement-blocking-fushing process, similar to that under the CHG.

Since the hydraulic gradient in the whole process of sufusion failure after fxing the water head is EHG, to further study the effect of the EHG on the flow velocity in the whole process of suffusion failure, we plot the variation of the flow velocity with R_{i} , which is shown in Fig. [12](#page-19-0).

When fixing the upstream water head, the value of R_i at this time was the maximum, and it can be applicable to both the Dr and FC groups that the greater the value of R_{imax} , the greater the corresponding flow velocity would be when complete suffusion failure occurred.

The dashed line Ln in the increasing stage of the fow velocity is an approximate slope ftting straight line. Figure [12a](#page-19-0) shows that the smaller the initial relative density, the

Fig. 12 Variation in the velocity with R_i : **a** Dr group and **b** FC group

larger the value of $K_{L,n}$, which represents the rate of increase in the flow velocity as R_i decreases. In Fig. [12b](#page-19-0), although the fne particle content of FC10 is smaller than that of FC25, because R_{imax} of FC25 is much larger than that of FC10, which represents the much larger seepage force acting on the sample, the corresponding rate of the increase in the fow velocity is $K_{L4} < K_{L5}$. Combined with Table [3,](#page-14-0) it can be concluded that when R_{imax} of the diferent sample are close, the rate of increase in the fow velocity as *Ri* decreases is related to the relative density of the sample. The smaller the relative density, the greater the rate of increase in the flow velocity. When R_{imax} of the different sample vary greatly, the rate of increase in the flow velocity with the decrease in the value of R_i is related to R_{imax} . The greater the R_{imax} , the greater the rate of increase in the flow velocity.

3.2.2 Hydraulic conductivity

The variation in the hydraulic conductivity with time during the whole sufusion development is shown in Fig. [13](#page-21-0). The hydraulic conductivity went through three stages: the upstream water head lifting stage, increasing stage, and stabilizing stage. During the stage of upstream water head lifting, the change in the hydraulic conductivity is more complicated. During the increasing stages, the increasing rate of the hydraulic conductivity of the three groups of the Dr group (Fig. $13a$) was almost equal, and point K in Fig. $13a$ $13a$ demonstrates the rapid increase in the hydraulic conductivity of Dr0.8 because the fne particles that clogged the pores were instantly washed away. In Fig. [13b](#page-21-0), FC10 and FC25 had approximately the same rate of increase in hydraulic conductivity and were both larger than that of FC18.

To further study the efect of the EHG on the hydraulic conductivity during the process of sufusion development after fxing the upstream water head, the variation in the hydraulic conductivity with R_i is plotted, which is shown in Fig. [14](#page-22-0).

On \sim An (n = 1 \sim 6) is the upstream water head lifting stage, and the hydraulic conductivity was basically stable with increasing R_i .

An~Bn is the increasing stage. Figure [14](#page-22-0) a shows that $K_{1,3} > K_{1,1} > K_{1,2}$. In Fig. 14 b, $K_{L6} > K_{L4} > K_{L5}$. Combined with Table [3](#page-14-0), it can be concluded that the rate of increase in the hydraulic conductivity with the decrease in the value of R_i is related to $R_{i_{\text{max}}}$, which had a greater impact on the hydraulic conductivity than the initial relative density and initial fine particle content. The greater the value of $R_{i_{\text{max}}}$, the greater the rate of increase in the hydraulic conductivity.

3.2.3 Loss of fne particles

The loss of fne particles is the direct cause of the development and destruction of sufusion. Due to the continuous loss of fne particles during the failure of sufusion, the pores inside the sample will increase, which can cause the hydraulic conductivity and fow velocity of the sample to increase. To intuitively reveal the change rule of the fne particle loss amount, three sets of samples of the Dr group with the same initial fne particle content were selected to plot the variation in the fne particle loss amount corresponding to each stage of the whole suffusion development (Fig. 15).

In the whole process of sufusion failure (Fig. [15\)](#page-23-0), the fne particle loss during the upstream water head lifting was very small, almost zero; the increase stage of the fow velocity was the main stage of fne particle loss, accounting for almost 50% of the total fne particle loss; the amount of fne particle loss during the stabilization stage of the fow

Fig. 13 Variation in the hydraulic conductivity with time: **a** Dr group and **b** FC group

velocity was greatly reduced; the amount of fne particles lost during the period of decreasing fow velocity increased again (because the duration of the velocity stabilization stage was much less than that of the velocity increasing stage and decreasing stage); after the sample was completely destroyed, the amount of fne particles that were lost was very small.

It is known from the development process of the Dr group (Fig. [7](#page-12-0)a) that the duration of the fow velocity stabilization stage is much less than half of the duration of the fow velocity decreasing stage. Therefore, although the fne particle loss in the fow velocity decreasing stage was greater than that in the fow velocity stabilization stage, the loss rate of fne

Fig. 14 Variation in the hydraulic conductivity with R_i : **a** Dr group and **b** FC group

particles in the decreasing stage of the fow velocity is less than that in the stabilization stage. This is because there are many fne particles inside the sample that block the pores during the decreasing stage of fow velocity, so the rate of fne particle loss is reduced. The loss of fne particles in the stage of upstream water head lifting is lower because of the shorter duration of

Fig. 15 Loss of fne particles in diferent stages of sufusion

the sample under the EHG; the loss of fne particles in the stage of complete destruction of the sample is lower because the internal sufusion channel of the sample is completely formed. At this time, the height of the upstream water head is constant, and the hydraulic conductivity of the sample tends to be stable, so the loss of the fne particles is very small.

The order of the mass loss of the fne particles in the three sets of the Dr group during the flow velocity increasing stage was $A2 > A3 > A1$. The largest amount of loss of fine particles in Dr0.6 was due to the largest value of R_{imax} ; although the value of R_{imax} of Dr0.3 was greater than that of Dr0.8, the relative density of Dr0.3 was much lower than that of Dr0.8. Therefore, the amount of the loss of fne particles was the smallest. It can be seen that when the relative densities of the samples were the same, the larger the value of R_{imax} , the greater the amount of loss of fine particles in the increasing stage of the flow velocity; when the values of R_{imax} are the same, the larger the relative density of the sample, the greater the amount of fne particles lost during the increasing stage of fow velocity. The amount of loss of fne particles of the three sets of samples in the fow velocity stabilization stage was exactly the opposite of the flow velocity increasing phase, and the order was $B1 > B3 > B2$. It can be seen that the larger the test value of R_{imax} , the smaller the loss of fine particles in the stage of the steady fow velocity. The amount of loss of fne particles in the decreasing stage of the fow velocity was $C3 > C2 > C1$, indicating that the greater the relative density of the sample, the greater the amount of loss of fne particles in the decreasing stage of the fow velocity.

3.3 Test under the action of unsteady fow

To further study the sufusion mechanism under unsteady fow, since the critical hydraulic gradient of each group of samples is determined in Table [3](#page-14-0), we chose to set six groups of EHGs with different multiples from low to high $(R_i=1.5, 2.0, 2.5, 3.0, 3.5, 4.0)$. After the start of the test, the upstream water head was raised from the height when the sample was saturated. We reciprocated lifting and lowering the water head according to the simplifed unsteady circulating water head model determined in Sect. [3.3.1](#page-28-0) and repeated it three times for the height of each R_i in order from low to high.

3.3.1 Establishment of the unsteady water head model

To simulate the unsteady water head situation in an actual water conservancy project, the food peak process line calculated based on the 1994 maximum food year of the Beijiang River levee (Mao et al. [2005a,](#page-33-25) [b,](#page-33-26) [2004](#page-33-27)) is selected as the prototype of the unsteady water head model in this paper. The experimental unsteady head model is simplifed and established according to the following process.

The value of 12.33 m (Liang XQ, [1994\)](#page-33-28) was taken as the indoor test peak water level 9 m (Liang XQ, [1994](#page-33-28)) was taken as the warning water level, and the approximate sine curve of the unsteady head above the warning level was converted into an equivalent stable average water head. As shown in Fig. $16(a)$ $16(a)$, $SA+SB+SC+SD=SB+SE+SF$. The flood peak water level after equivalent transformation lasted for 6 days, and the entire food peak fuctuation cycle period was $T=11$ days, which is shown in the simplified flood peak process line in Figure [16b](#page-24-0).

According to the similarity principle (Zhang WJ,[2013\)](#page-34-5), it is necessary to make the indoor model test and the real working condition meet the mechanical similarity and use the results of the indoor model test to predict the prototype working condition. We found that Coriolis' law (Mao CX,[2013\)](#page-33-29), which is suitable for both the seepage theorem and compressibility, is suitable for scaling the sufusion test model. The model scales used in this test are as follows:

(8) Length scale: $\lambda_L = \frac{L_y}{L_m}$
(9) Time scale: $\lambda_t = \frac{t_y}{t_m}$
(10) Geometric scale: $\lambda_H = \lambda_L$

where λ represents the model scales, the subscripts of λ represent the physical quantity, y represents the physical quantity of the prototype, m represents the physical quantity of the model, L represents the horizontal length, H represents the vertical height, and t represents the time.

Fig. 16 Flood peak process line: **a** real food peak process line and **b** simplifed food peak process line

Taking a typical place where sufusion occurs for the Beijiang River levee as an example, the test model is calculated as follows: The distance between the place of sufusion and Beijiang is 100 m, which can be seen as the length of the seepage diameter of the sufusion, and the length of the seepage diameter designed by the test model is 250 mm. The simplified entire flood peak fluctuation cycle is $Ty = 11$ days. According to (1), (2), and (3), the entire food peak fuctuation cycle of the test model can be calculated as shown in Table [3](#page-14-0).

3.3.2 Analysis of the fow velocity

The curves according to the relationship between the velocity and time are plotted as shown in Fig. [17](#page-26-0).

First, in terms of six different EHGs (R_i) , regardless of which group of samples was selected (except group FC25), the fow velocity would go through three stages of decreasing, stabilizing, and increasing the whole test process from $R_i = 1.5$ to 4 successively. The water head of the FC25 group only increased to the height of $R_i = 3.5$ because of the excessive large amount of fine particles, so when it was raised to the first five heights of R_i , the fne particles were blocked in the pores. There was no obvious phenomenon, and then, when raised to the height of $R_i = 4$, the excessive high peak water head height caused the fow velocity to be too large and washed away the fne particles, resulting in extremely poor overall experimental results. Similar to steady fows (Sect. [3.2\)](#page-16-1), it can also be determined that the sample experienced sufusion failure when the fow velocity was in the increasing state. Compared with the suffusion test under steady flows, we found that the value of R_i of the occurrence of sufusion failure of each group of samples under the action of food peak unsteady fow was smaller than that under the action of steady fow, which was the same as Chen Liang's article (Chen et al. [2013\)](#page-32-8).

Second, in terms of the three cycles under each water head height corresponding to R_i , when the fow velocity went through a decreasing state, the initial peak fow velocity of the second head cycle was larger than the fnal peak fow velocity of the frst head cycle, and the initial peak fow velocity of the third head cycle was larger than the fnal peak fow velocity for the second cycle. Meanwhile, under the same cycle level, the mutations between the fow velocity at the end point of the previous cycle and the starting point of the next cycle were related to the degree of decrease in the fow velocity during the entire cycle. The larger the change in velocity, the greater the mutations in the velocity of the two adjacent cycles at the end point and the starting point. Similarly, the change in the velocity was smaller, and the continuity of the velocity of the two adjacent cycles at the end and starting point was better. This is because if the fow velocity was decreasing, it meant that there were cases where fne particles migrated inside the sample, resulting in blocked pores, and then water fow opened the pores; the fne particles inside the sample were unstable. At this time, if the water head height suddenly dropped or lifted, due to the impact of the seepage force on the sample, some fne particles that were clogged in the pores were fushed away, so the fow velocity was discontinuous. In addition, in Fig. [17](#page-26-0)b, the flow velocity in the first cycle of the water head height corresponding to $R_i = 4$ of group Dr0.3 increased, but when the water head was raised to the second cycle, the fow velocity was still decreasing frst and increasing again; the junction of cycle 2 and cycle 3 of the water height corresponding to $R_i = 4$ of figure (d) and (f) experienced similar situations. Due to the cyclic rise and fall under unsteady fow, the fow velocity was relatively unstable in the short time after the water head was raised to the peak height.

Fig. 17 Variation in the flow velocity with time: **a** FC10 group; **b** FC18 group; **c** FC25 group; **d** Dr0.3; **e** Dr0.6; (f) $Dr0.8$

 \mathcal{D} Springer

From the analysis of Fig. [17](#page-26-0), we can fnd that the change rate of the peak initial fow rate and the peak end fow rate at the same cycle of the same level of EHG is not very large, so we averaged the flow velocity and the hydraulic gradient under each cycle of each R_i to further analyze the relationship between the flow velocity and R_i .

Figure [18a](#page-29-0) shows that $K_{L1} > K_{L2} > K_{L3}$ (L represents the trend line that flow velocity varies with *Ri*) and that the greater the initial content of fne particles, the smaller the amplitude of increase in flow velocity with increasing R_i . From Fig. [18b](#page-29-0), we can see that $K_{L4} > K_{L5} > K_{L6}$ and it can be determined that the larger the initial relative density, the smaller the amplitude of increase in the flow velocity with the increase in R_i .

3.4 Comparison: under steady fow and unsteady fow

Figure [19](#page-30-0) is plotted to compare the flow velocity varying with R_i under the action of unsteady fow and steady fow (W in Fig. [19](#page-30-0) represents the test under steady fow and F in Fig. [19](#page-30-0) represents the test under unsteady flow).

Figure [19d](#page-30-0), e, and f shows that the relative position of the trend line L of the fow velocity varying with R_i under the unsteady flow test and the fixed peak water head height point A under the steady fow test was related to the relative density of the sample. When the relative density of the sample gradually increased, the fow rate change trend line L gradually moved from the upper left side to the lower right side of point A.

However, in Fig. [19b](#page-30-0), f, it can be seen that the fow velocity under steady fow (point A) was higher than that under unsteady fow (point B) when the water head heights of the corresponding R_i values were nearly equal. This experimental phenomenon was due to the upstream water head lifting and falling rate of the unsteady fow sufusion test being greater than that of the steady fow sufusion test. If the fne particle content of the sample was higher or the degree of relative density was higher, when the upstream water head height was suddenly raised, a large number of fne particles inside the sample began to migrate, causing the phenomenon of fne particles blocking the pores, so the fow velocity was less than that under steady fow.

3.5 Conclusions

Based on the homemade test device, a series of laboratory tests were conducted to study the sufusion mechanism of gap-graded soils under an exceedance hydraulic gradient. We defined the exceeded critical ratio R_i and studied the law of the flow velocity and other parameters varying with R_i . The following main conclusions were drawn as follows:

- 1. Through the 90-min steady water head sufusion test under diferent exceedance critical water head heights, the change in the fow velocity exhibited the following sequence: decreasing state, stable state, and increasing state. According to the distribution of the flow velocity state with the change in R_i , a formula for determining the flow velocity state of the sample with R_i according to the fine particle content and relative density of the sample was proposed.
- 2. During the sufusion failure process, after the upstream water head was fxed, the change in the fow velocity with time successively went through an increasing stage, stable stage, and decreasing stage, and the influence of $R_{i_{max}}$ on the rate at which the flow velocity of the sample increased as R_i decreased was greater than that of the initial relative density and the initial fne particle content of the sample. The change in the

Fig. 18 Variation in the velocity with R_i : **a** FC group and **b** Dr group

hydraulic conductivity with time successively went through an increasing stage and stable stage. The rate of increase in the hydraulic conductivity with the decrease in R_i was related to R_{imax} , which had a greater impact on hydraulic conductivity than the efect of the initial relative density and initial fne particle content of the sample on the hydraulic conductivity.

3. During the sufusion failure process, the increasing fow velocity stage was the main stage of fne particle loss, accounting for 50% of the total fne particle loss; although the loss of fne particles in the fow velocity decreasing stage was greater than that in the fow velocity stable stage, the rate of fne particle loss in the fow velocity decreasing **Fig. 19** Comparison of the varia tion in the flow velocity with R_i : **a** FC10 group; **b** FC18 group; **c** FC25 group; **d** Dr0.3 group; **e** Dr0.6 group; **f** Dr0.8 group

 \mathcal{D} Springer

stage was less than that in the fow velocity stable stage. When the relative densities of the samples were the same, the greater the value of R_i , the greater the loss of fine particles during the flow velocity increasing stage; when the values of R_i were the same, the greater the relative density of the sample, the greater the loss of fne particles during the fow velocity increasing stage.

- 4. During the sufusion test under the action of unsteady fow, under the exceedance critical water head height corresponding to the same R_i values, the peak flow velocity between adjacent water head cycles was discontinuous, and the sudden change in the peak fow velocity depended on the amplitude of the increase or decrease in the fow velocity at this water head height. Through the relationship between the average value of the peak flow velocity and R_i , it could also be determined that the larger the initial relative density, the smaller the amplitude of increase in flow velocity with increasing R_i .
- 5. Compared with the sufusion test under steady fow, at the same exceedance critical water head height corresponding to R_i , the flow velocity under unsteady flow was not always greater than the fow velocity under steady fow. For samples with more fne particles or a larger relative density, the sudden increase in the upstream water head would cause the migration of a large number of fne particles and block the pores, which would lead to a lower flow velocity than that under steady flow.

Acknowledgements The authors gratefully acknowledge for the fnancial support from the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 51778210) and Open Project Funded by the Engineering And Technical Research Center for Dike Safety and Disease Control of the Ministry of Water Resources (Grant No. DFZX202004).

Data availability statement Some or all data, models, or code that support the fndings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

References

- Aberg B (1993) Washout of grains from fltered sand and gravel materials. J Geotech Eng. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1061/(ASCE)0733-9410(1993)119:1(36)) [org/10.1061/\(ASCE\)0733-9410\(1993\)119:1\(36\)](https://doi.org/10.1061/(ASCE)0733-9410(1993)119:1(36))
- America (2020) Analysis and Thinking of the dam failure in Michigan, USA. Baidu Web. [https://baiji](https://baijiahao.baidu.com/s?id=1667904333955604039&wfr=spider&for=pc) [ahao.baidu.com/s?id=1667904333955604039&wfr=spider&for=pc](https://baijiahao.baidu.com/s?id=1667904333955604039&wfr=spider&for=pc). Accessed 28 May 2020
- Brazil (2019) Four people were dead and nearly 200 were missing after a dam failure in Brazil. Baidu Web. [https://baijiahao.baidu.com/s?id=1623674201519620625&wfr=spider&for=pc.](https://baijiahao.baidu.com/s?id=1623674201519620625&wfr=spider&for=pc) Accessed 26 Jan 2019
- Burenkova VV (1993) Assessment of sufusion in non-cohesive and graded soils. In: Brauns J, Heibaum M (eds) U S Filters in Geotechnical and Hydraulic Engineering. Balkema, Rotterdam, The **Netherlands**
- Chang DS, Zhang LM (2011) Internal stability criteria for soils. Rock Soil Mech 32(S1):253–259 **(in Chinese)**
- Chang DS, Zhang LM (2013) Critical hydraulic gradients of internal erosion under complex stress states. Journal of Geotechnical and Geoenvironmental Engineering 139(9):1454–1467
- Chen L, Lei W, Zhang HY, Zhao JC, Li J (2013) Laboratory simulation and theoretical analysis of piping mechanism under unsteady fows. Chinese Journal of Geotechnical Engineering 35(4):655–662 **(in Chinese)**
- Chen L, Zhao JC, Zhang HY, Lei W (2015) Experimental study on sufusion of gravelly soil. Soils Mech Found Eng 52(3):135–143
- Chen L, Cai GD, Gu JH, Tan YF, Chen C, Yin ZX (2020) Efect of clay on internal erosion of clay-sandgravel mixture. Adv Civ Eng. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2020/8869289>
- Cheng K, Zhang CY, Peng K, Liu HS, Ahmad M (2021) Un-resolved CFD-DEM method: An insight into its limitations in the modelling of sufusion in gap-graded soils. Powder Technol 381:520–538
- China (2015) More than 2,000 people have been evacuated due to piping erosion in Sichuan, China. Baidu Web. <http://www.chinanews.com/sh/2015/04-06/7186420.shtml>. Accessed 6 Apr 2015
- Fannin RJ, Mofat R (2006) Observations on internal stability of cohensionless soils. Geotechnique 56:497–500
- Fannin RJ, Slangen P (2014) On the distinct phenomena of sufusion and sufusion. Geotechnique Lett 4(4):289–294
- Fell R, Fry JJ (2007) Internal erosion of dams and their foundations: Selected papers from the workshop on internal erosion and piping of dams and their foundations, Aussois, France, 25–27 April 2005. Taylor & Francis Group, London
- Foster M, Fell R, Spannagle M (2000) The statistics of embankment dam failures and accidents. Can Geotech J 37(5):1000–1024
- Hu Z, Zhang YD, Yang ZX (2020) Sufusion-induced evolution of mechanical and microstructural properties of gap-graded soils using CFD-DEM. J Geotech Geoenviron Eng 146(5):04020024
- Huang Z, Bai YC, Xu HJ, Cao YF, Hu X (2017) A theoretical model to predict the critical hydraulic gradient for soil particle movement under two-dimensional seepage fow. Water 9(11):828
- Ke L, Takahashi A (2012) Strength reduction of cohesionless soil due to internal erosion induced by one-dimensional upward seepage fow. Soils Found 52(4):698–711
- Kenney TC, Lau D (1985) Internal stability of granular flters. Can Geotech J 22(2):215–225
- Ladd RS (1978) Preparing test specimens using under compaction. Geotech Test J 1:16–23
- Laos (2018) Several people were dead and hundreds missing after a hydroelectric dam failure in southern Laos. Baidu Web. [https://baijiahao.baidu.com/s?id=1606888451670208649&wfr=spider&for=](https://baijiahao.baidu.com/s?id=1606888451670208649&wfr=spider&for=pc) [pc.](https://baijiahao.baidu.com/s?id=1606888451670208649&wfr=spider&for=pc) Accessed 25 July 2018
- Li D, Zhou NQ, Wu XN, Yin JC (2020) Seepage–stress coupling response of coferdam under storm surge attack in Yangtze estuary. Mar Georesour Geotechnol. [https://doi.org/10.1080/1064119X.](https://doi.org/10.1080/1064119X.2020.1712630) [2020.1712630](https://doi.org/10.1080/1064119X.2020.1712630)
- Liang XQ (1994) The situation and value of food control of Beijiang dike in 1994. Guangdong Water Resour Hydropower 4:31–34 **(in Chinese)**
- Liang Y, Yeh T-CJ, Zha YY, Wang JJ, Liu MW, Hao YH (2017) Onset of sufusion in gap-graded soils under upward seepage. Soils Found 57(5):849–860
- Liang Y, Yeh T-CJ, Zha YY, Wang JJ, Liu MW, Hao YH (2019) Onset of sufusion in upward seepage under isotropic and anisotropic stress conditions. Eur J Environ Civ Eng 23(12):1520–1534
- Liu YJ, Wang LZ, Hong Y, Zhao JD, Yin ZY (2020) A coupled CFD-DEM investigation of sufusion of gap graded soil: Coupling efect of confning pressure and fnes content. Int J Numer Anal Meth Geomech 44:2473–2500
- Lu TH (2005) Soils mechanics. Hohai University Press, China
- Luo YL, Wu Q, Zhan ML, Sheng JC (2013) Development of seepage-erosion-stress coupling piping test apparatus and its primary application. Chin J Rock Mechan Eng 32(10):2108–2114
- Mao CX (2013) Dam engineering hydraulics and design management. Water Resources and Electric Power Press, China
- Mao CX, Duan XB, Cai JB (2004) Experimental study on harmless seepage piping in levee foundation. J Hydraul Eng 36(7):46–53 **(in Chinese)**
- Mao CX, Duan XB, Cai JB (2005a) Piping experimental study and theoretical analysis of unsteady seepage fow during food peak. J Hydraul Eng 36(9):1105–1120 **(in Chinese)**
- Mao CX, Duan XB, Cai JB (2005b) Experimental study and analysis on piping of levee in Beijiang River. J Hydraul Eng 36(7):818–824 **(in Chinese)**
- Mao CX, Duan XB, Wu LJ (2009) Study of critical gradient of piping for various grain sizes in sandy graveIs. Rock and Soil Mechanics 30(12):3705–3709 **(in Chinese)**
- McDougall J, Kelly D, Barreto D (2013) Particle loss and volume change on dissolution: Experimental results and analysis of particle size and amount efects. Acta Geotech 8(619):627. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11440-013-0212-0) [10.1007/s11440-013-0212-0](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11440-013-0212-0)
- Richards KS, Reddy KR (2007) Critical appraisal of piping phenomena in earth dams. Bull Eng Geol Env 66(4):381–402
- Schuler U (1995) How to deal with the problem of sufusion. Res Develop Field Dams 7(9):145–159
- Skempton AW, Brogan JM (1994) Experiments on piping in sandy gravels. Geotechnique 44:449–460 Uzbekistan (2020) 4 dead in a dam failure accident in Uzbekistan. Baidu Web. [https://baijiahao.baidu.](https://baijiahao.baidu.com/s?id=1665874360811158910&wfr=spider&for=pc)
- [com/s?id=1665874360811158910&wfr=spider&for=pc.](https://baijiahao.baidu.com/s?id=1665874360811158910&wfr=spider&for=pc) Accessed 6 May 2020
- Vandenboer K, Celette F, Bezuijen A (2019) The efect of sudden critical and supercritical hydraulic loads on backward erosion piping: small-scale experiments. Acta Geotech 14:783–794
- Wan CF, Fell R (2008) Assessing the potential of internal instability and suffusion in embankment dams and their foundations. J Geotech Geoenviron Eng 134(3):401–407
- Xie QY, Liu J, Han B, Li HT, Li YY, Li XZ (2018) Critical hydraulic gradient of internal erosion at the soil-structure interface. Processes 6(7):92. <https://doi.org/10.3390/pr6070092>
- Xiong H, Yin ZY, Zhao JD, Yang Y (2021) Investigating the efect of fow direction on sufusion and its impacts on gap-graded granular soils. Acta Geotech 16:399–419
- Yang KH, Wang JY (2017) Experiment and statistical assessment on piping failures in soils with diferent gradations. Mar Georesour Geotechnol 35(4):512–527

Zhang WJ (2013) Fluid Mechanics. China Architecture & Building Press, China

Zhou ZQ, Li ZH, Ranjith PG, Wen ZJ, Shi SS, Wei CC (2020) Numerical simulation of the infuence of seepage direction on suffusion in granular soils. Arab J Geosci 13:669

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.