CLINICAL STUDY



Long non-coding RNA *MEG3* regulates proliferation, apoptosis, and autophagy and is associated with prognosis in glioma

Haikang Zhao^{1,2} · Xin Wang³ · Xiaoyun Feng³ · Xiaoqiang Li² · Li Pan¹ · Jianrong Liu² · Fenglu Wang² · Zhihai Yuan² · Lei Yang² · Jun Yu⁴ · Rujuan Su⁵ · Yuelin Zhang⁶ · Lianting Ma¹

Received: 27 November 2017 / Accepted: 15 April 2018 / Published online: 3 October 2018 © Springer Science+Business Media, LLC, part of Springer Nature 2018

Abstract

Purpose Accumulating evidence indicates that dysregulated long noncoding RNAs (lncRNAs) play critical roles in tumorigenesis and cancer progression. LncRNA-maternally expressed gene 3 (*MEG3*) has been shown to be involved in the initiation and development of several cancers, including glioma. However, the clinical prognostic value of *MEG3* in glioma has not yet been fully elucidated.

Methods The expression levels of *MEG3* were detected in 79 glioma tissues and adjacent normal brain tissues, as well as, glioma cells and normal human astrocytes by qRT-PCR. Kaplan–Meier and Cox regression methods were utilized for the survival analysis. MTT assay, flow cytometry, and immunofluorescence assay were carried out to detect the impact of *MEG3* on glioma cell proliferation, apoptosis, and autophagy.

Result The current results showed that *MEG3* expression was significantly downregulated in glioma tissues and cell line and negatively correlated with WHO grade in glioma patients. Low *MEG3* expression was significantly associated with the advanced WHO grade, low Karnofsky performance score (KPS), IDH wild-type, and tumor recurrence. Patients displaying a low expression of *MEG3* contributed to poor overall survival. The downregulated level of *MEG3*, advanced WHO grade, low KPS, IDH wild-type, and tumor recurrence were independent poor prognostic indicators in glioma patients. The in vitro experiments demonstrated that the *MEG3* overexpression remarkably suppressed the proliferation while facilitating apoptosis and autophagy in glioma cells.

Conclusions These findings indicated a critical role of *MEG3* in glioma cell proliferation, apoptosis, and autophagy. Also, the gene was found to be significantly associated with the prognosis in glioma patients. Thus, it might provide a new target for predicting prognosis and therapeutic intervention in glioma.

Keywords LncRNAs · MEG3 · Proliferation · Apoptosis · Autophagy · Prognosis · Glioma

Haikang Zhao, Xin Wang and Xiaoyun Feng have contributed equally to this study.

Rujuan Su rujuansu@163.com

⊠ Yuelin Zhang zhangyuelin68@163.com

- Lianting Ma 1196796625@qq.com
- ¹ Department of Neurosurgery, Wuhan General Hospital of PLA, No. 627 Wuluo Road, Wuhan 430070, Hubei, China
- ² Department of Neurosurgery, The Second Affiliated Hospital, Xi'an Medical University, Xi'an 710038, Shaanxi, China

- ³ Department of Neurosurgery, Tangdu Hospital, Fourth Military Medical University, Xi'an 710038, Shaanxi, China
- ⁴ Experimental Center, The Second Affiliated Hospital, Xi'an Medical University, Xi'an 710038, Shaanxi, China
- ⁵ Department of Oncology, First Affiliated Hospital of Medical College of Xi'an Jiaotong University, No. 277 Yanta west Road, Xi'an 710061, Shaanxi, China
- ⁶ Shaanxi Key Laboratory of Preventinon and Therapy of Cerebral Disease, Xi'an Medical University, No. 1 Xinwang Road, Xi'an 710016, Shaanxi, China

Gliomas are the most common type of primary brain tumors, accounting for approximately 80% of the primary malignancies in the central nervous system of adults [1, 2]. According to the degree of malignancy, gliomas are categorized into four grades (WHO grade I–IV) [3]. Despite the recent improvements in tumor diagnosis and treatment including neurosurgery, radiotherapy, chemotherapy, and photodynamic therapy, the prognosis, especially in patients with glioblastoma remains poor with the median survival of only 9–12 months [4, 5]. Therefore, identifying the novel risk factors to predict the clinical prognosis and developing new therapeutic targets and biomarkers for this life-threatening disease is imperative.

Long noncoding RNAs (lncRNAs) are a class of noncoding RNAs (ncRNAs), exceeding 200 nucleotides in length with little protein-coding ability. Reportedly, lncR-NAs are involved in the regulation of gene expression at the transcriptional and post-transcriptional levels and in the epigenetic mechanisms [6-9]. Numerous studies have shown that lncRNAs dysregulate in several tumors and play a critical role in the biological process during tumor initiation and progression, such as proliferation, migration, invasion, and apoptosis [10–12]. Recent evidence indicates that specific lncRNA expression patterns are associated with the clinical features and outcomes that might predict the prognosis in various types of human cancers such as gliomas. For instance, the levels of lncRNA AB073614, HOXA-AS3, MALAT1, and UCA1 in glioma tissues correlate closely with clinical staging and prognosis [13–16].

Herein, we focused on lncRNA-maternally expressed gene 3 (*MEG3*), an imprinted gene localized at 14q32, encoding a ncRNA associated with tumorigenesis [17, 18]. *MEG3* served as a tumor suppressor in several human cancers including gliomas [19–21]. However, to the best of our knowledge, the prognostic significance of *MEG3* in gliomas has not yet been fully evaluated. Thus, the present study aimed to detect the expression levels of *MEG3* in glioma tissues and adjacent normal brain tissues and analyze the correlation between the level of *MEG3* expression and the clinicopathological characteristics and prognosis of glioma patients. Finally, we also investigated the effect of *MEG3* on glioma cell proliferation, apoptosis, and autophagy.

Materials and methods

Patients and tissue samples

Clinical glioma tissues and adjacent normal brain tissues were obtained from 79 patients who underwent surgery between January 2010 and June 2012 at the Department of Neurosurgery, Wuhan General Hospital of PLA, Wuhan, Hubei Province, China. The resected tissue samples were immediately frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at - 80 °C for RNA extraction. None of these patients had received any anti-cancer treatments including radiotherapy and chemotherapy prior to surgery. After histopathological diagnosis by pathologists, 34 patients were classified as low-grade (15 WHO I: 15 pilocytic astrocytoma; 19 WHO II: 8 diffuse astrocytomas, 11 oligodendrogliomas) and 45 as high-grade (21 WHO III: 13 anaplastic oligodendrogliomas, 8 anaplastic astrocytomas; 24 WHO IV: 24 glioblastomas) according to the 2007 WHO classification. All patients were followed up for 5 years since the date of surgery. Data regarding the clinicopathological characteristics, including age, gender, Karnofsky performance score (KPS), WHO grade, surgical strategies, tumor size, tumor location, and tumor recurrence were collected. The present study was approved by the Research Ethics Committee of Wuhan General Hospital of PLA. Written informed consent was obtained from each patient before surgery.

LncRNA isolation and quantitative RT-PCR assay

Total RNA from tissue specimens was isolated using TRIzol reagent (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Subsequently cDNA was synthesized, followed by quantitative real-time polymerase chain reaction (qRT-PCR) that was performed using an Applied Biosystems 7500 Real-Time PCR System (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA) and SYBR Green realtime PCR Kit (Takara Biotechnology, Takara, Dalian, China); GAPDH was used as an endogenous control. The PCR primers for MEG3 and GAPDH were as follows: MEG3 forward, 5'-CTGCCCATCTACACCTCACG-3' and reverse, 5'-CTCTCCGCCGTCTGCGCTAGGGGCT-3'; GAPDH forward, 5'-AGCCACATCGCTCAGACAC-3' and reverse, 5'-GCCCAATACGACCAAATCC-3'. The relative expression of *MEG3* was calculated using the $2^{-\Delta\Delta Ct}$ method after normalization to GAPDH.

Cell culture and transduction

Human glioma cell line (U251) and normal human astrocytes (NHA) were procured from the Cell Resource Center of Shanghai Institute of Life Sciences (Shanghai, China). All cell lines were maintained in Dulbecco's Modified Eagle's medium (DMEM; Gibco; Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc., Waltham, MA, USA) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS; Gibco; Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc.) and 100 mg/mL penicillin and cultured at 37 °C in a humidified chamber containing 5% CO₂. Subsequently, the U251 cells were transduced with pcDNA-MEG3 lentiviral vector and negative control (NC) in DMEM without FBS.

Cell proliferation assay

In order to evaluate the cell viability, in vitro cell proliferation of glioma cells was measured using MTT (Sigma, St. Louis, MO, USA) method according to the manufacturer's instructions. U251 cells were seeded into 96-well plates and transduced with pcDNA-MEG3 lentiviral vector and NC, respectively. The MTT assay was performed to test the cell viability at 24, 48, 72, and 96 h after transduction. The optical density (OD) was measured at 490 nm.

Apoptosis assay

Cell apoptosis was determined by FACS flow cytometry using an Annexin-V-FITC apoptosis detection kit (Becton–Dickinson) according to the manual. The rate of apoptosis was calculated using the Cell Quest Pro software (Becton–Dickinson). The annexin-V positive population was considered as apoptotic cells.

Immunofluorescence

U251 cells were seeded on coverslips in 6-well plate and cultured overnight. Subsequently, the cells were fixed in 3.5% paraformaldehyde, permeabilized in KB solution and 0.2% Triton X-100 at room temperature, followed by blocking. Then, the cells were incubated with a primary antibody (diluted in KB) for 30–45 min at 37 °C followed by secondary antibody for 30–45 min at 37 °C. Finally, the cells were mounted on the slide with a mounting solution medium 0.2 mg/mL DAPI, sealed with nail polish, stored at 4 °C in a dark box, and observed under a fluorescent microscope.

Statistical analysis

SPSS 20.0 software system (IBM, SPSS, Chicago, IL, USA) was used for statistical analysis and data represented as mean \pm SE. The differential expression of *MEG3* among glioma tissues and adjacent normal brain tissues, as well as glioma cell and NHA was evaluated by one-way ANOVA test. The relationship between *MEG3* expression and clinicopathological characteristics was assessed by Pearson's Chi square test. The Kaplan–Meier method evaluated the overall survival rates, and data analyzed using the log-rank test. The Cox proportional hazards regression model was used to calculate the hazard ratio (HR) and the 95% confidence interval (CI); the risk factors that exert a significant influence on the overall survival were identified. *P* < 0.05 was considered as statistically significant.

Results

Expression level of *MEG3* was downregulated in human glioma tissues and cell line

Firstly, we examined the expression of *MEG3* in 79 pairs of glioma tissues and adjacent normal brain tissues using qRT-PCR. The current results demonstrated that the expression level of *MEG3* was significantly decreased in glioma tissues as compared to the adjacent normal brain tissues $(3.89\pm0.14 \text{ vs. } 6.76\pm0.15, P<0.001)$ (Fig. 1a). In addition, the current



Fig. 1 The relative expression level of MEG3 in 79 pairs of glioma tissues and adjacent normal brain tissues. **a** The expression level of MEG3 was significantly decreased in glioma tissues as compared to

the adjacent normal brain tissues (***P<0.001). **b** The expression level of *MEG3* was much lower in high-grade (WHO III–IV) than that in low-grade (WHO I–II) gliomas (***P<0.001)

data showed that the level of *MEG3* in high-grade (WHO III–IV) glioma was significantly lower than that in low-grade (WHO I–II) glioma $(3.26 \pm 0.16 \text{ vs. } 4.74 \pm 0.15, P < 0.001)$, indicating that the level of *MEG3* was inversely correlated to the malignancy degree of glioma (Fig. 1b). Furthermore, the expression level of *MEG3* in glioma cell line (U251) and NHA was examined. A similar phenomenon was observed in tissues, wherein the *MEG3* expression showed a significant decrease in U251 cells as compared to that in NHA (2.46 \pm 0.53 vs. 6.80 \pm 0.52, *P* < 0.001) (Fig. 1c).

Relationship between *MEG3* expression and clinicopathological characteristics in glioma patients

To further explore the association between MEG3 expression and clinicopathological characteristics of glioma, 79 glioma tissue specimens were divided into two groups according to the MEG3 level. 41 samples with MEG3 expression level less than the median value were assigned to the lowexpression group, while the remaining 38 samples with MEG3 expression above the median value were assigned to the high-expression group. As shown in Table 1, the low level of MEG3 expression was significantly associated with advanced WHO grade (P < 0.001), low KPS (P = 0.019), IDH wild-type (P = 0.001) and tumor recurrence (P = 0.003). However, a significant association of MEG3 expression with age, gender, tumor location, tumor size, or surgical strategies was not observed (P = 0.589, 0.616, 0.873, 0.428, and0.450, respectively). Taken together, these data suggested that MEG3 might play a critical role in the progression of glioma.

Low *MEG3* expression predicts poor prognosis in patients with glioma

To investigate the prognostic significance of MEG3 in glioma, Kaplan-Meier method with log-rank test was employed to analyze the association between MEG3 expression level and overall survival rates. As shown in Fig. 2, the glioma patients with low level of MEG3 expression had distinctly lower overall survival rates than patients with high MEG3 expression level, suggesting that the low expression significantly impacted the overall survival rates of glioma patients (P < 0.001). Furthermore, univariate and multivariate analyses assessed whether MEG3 expression level and other clinicopathological characteristics were independent prognostic factors for glioma. The results from univariate Cox regression analysis showed that the low level of *MEG3* expression (P = 0.004), the advanced WHO grade (P = 0.015), low KPS (P = 0.031), and tumor recurrence (P = 0.022) were the leading variables for prognosis. The multivariate analysis using the
 Table 1
 Relationship between MEG3 expression level and clinicopathological characteristics in glioma patients

Characteristics	n	MEG3 ex	pression	р
		High expres- sion	Low expression	
Age (years)				0.598
< 50	35	18	17	
≥ 50	44	20	24	
Gender				0.616
Male	48	22	26	
Female	31	16	15	
KPS				0.019
≥80	37	23	14	
<80	42	15	27	
Tumor location				0.873
Frontal	32	17	15	
Parietal	18	7	11	
Occipital	7	4	3	
Temporal	13	6	7	
Others	9	4	5	
Tumor grade				< 0.001
Low grade I-II	34	26	8	
High grade III–IV	45	12	33	
Tumor diameter (cm)				0.428
< 4.5	40	21	19	
≥ 4.5	39	17	22	
Surgical resection				0.450
GTR	55	28	27	
PR	24	10	14	
IDH mutation status				
IDH-mutated	30	22	8	0.001
IDH-wildtype	34	11	23	
Tumor recurrence				0.003
Yes	43	15	28	
No	36	23	13	

Bold indicate statistically significant values

KPS Karnofsky performance score, *GTR* gross total resection, *PR* partial resection

Cox proportional hazards regression model demonstrated that the low level of *MEG3* expression (HR 3.423, 95% CI 2.052–6.779, P < 0.001), the advanced WHO grade (HR 2.921, 95% CI 1.562–6.095, P < 0.001), low KPS (HR 2.615, 95% CI 1.387–5.047, P = 0.009), IDH wild-type (HR 2.671, 95% CI 1.426–5.054, P = 0.006), and tumor recurrence (HR 2.485, 95% CI 1.311–4.702, P = 0.013) were significantly correlated with poor prognosis of glioma patients (Table 2). Taken together, the current findings suggested that the expression of *MEG3* was a novel and independent prognostic factor for glioma.



Fig. 2 Kaplan–Meier curves of the overall survival of 79 glioma patients with low- and high-expression levels of *MEG3*. The overall survival rates in glioma patients with low *MEG3* expression (red line) were distinctly lower than that in patients with high *MEG3* expression (black line, ***P<0.001)

Overexpression of *MEG3* significantly suppressed the proliferation, while facilitated apoptosis and autophagy of glioma cells in vitro

In order to investigate the role of *MEG3* in glioma, U251 cells were transduced with NC or pcDNA-MEG3 lentiviral vector. qRT-PCR assay revealed that the endogenous expression of *MEG3* was obviously increased in *MEG3* overexpression group as compared to that in the NC group (Fig. 3a). After transduction, the MTT assay examined the impact of

Table 2Univariate andmultivariate analyses ofdifferent clinicopathologicalvariables and MEG3 expressionin patients with glioma

MEG3 overexpression on the proliferation of glioma cells. Compared to the NC group, the overexpression of *MEG3* resulted in a significant decrease in the viability of U251 cells as monitored by the MTT assay (Fig. 3b). Furthermore, flow cytometry analysis was performed to define whether transduction with pcDNA-MEG3 lentiviral vector could induce glioma cell apoptosis. The current result showed that the rate of cell apoptosis was significantly increased after overexpression of *MEG3* (Fig. 3c). Finally, the immunofluorescence LC3 autophagy assay showed that LC3 was significantly increased in the *MEG3* overexpression group as compared to that in the NC group, indicating that the overexpression of *MEG3* could activate the in vitro autophagy in glioma cells (Fig. 3d).

Discussion

An increasing number of studies have focused on the role of lncRNAs in the development of human disease, especially cancers [22, 23]. Some lncRNAs are shown to be directly involved in various biological activities from nuclear organization to post-transcriptional influence on mRNA splicing, stability, and translation [24, 25]. Accumulating evidence also demonstrated that dysregulated lncRNA expression contributes to specific tumorigenic and continuous processes, including cell proliferation, cell growth, cell cycle progression, and apoptosis [26, 27]. These findings indicated that these specific lncRNAs might provide the missing

Characteristics	Univariate analysis P	Multivariate analysis	
		HR (95% CI)	Р
Age ($<$ 50 vs. \ge 50 years)	0.534	1.361 (0.726–2.357)	0.417
Gender (female vs. male)	0.426	0.723 (0.351-1.342)	0.697
KPS ($< 80 \text{ vs.} \ge 80$)	0.031	2.615 (1.387-5.047)	0.009
Tumor location			
Parietal vs. Frontal	0.722	0.866 (0.354-1.927)	0.651
Temporal vs. Frontal	0.358	1.417 (0.633–2.646)	0.363
Occipital vs. Frontal	0.463	0.924 (0.427-2.131)	0.632
Others vs. Frontal	0.274	1.347 (0.638-2.825)	0.403
Tumor grade (I-II vs. III-IV)	0.015	2.921 (1.562-5.595)	< 0.001
Tumor diameter (<4.5 vs. \geq 4.5 cm)	0.673	0.684 (0.313-1.207)	0.722
Surgery (GTR vs. PR)	0.704	0.565 (0.272-1.021)	0.816
IDH (mutated vs. wildtype)	0.019	2.671 (1.426-5.054)	0.006
Tumor recurrence (yes vs. no)	0.022	2.485 (1.311-4.702)	0.013
MEG3 expression (low vs. high)	0.004	3.423 (2.052-6.679)	< 0.001

Bold indicate statistically significant values

HR hazard ratio, CI confidence interval, KPS Karnofsky performance score, GTR gross total resection, PR partial resection



Fig. 3 Overexpression of *MEG3* significantly suppressed the proliferation, while facilitated the apoptosis of glioma cells in vitro. **a** The efficiency of *MEG3* overexpression in U251 cells transduced with NC or pcDNA-MEG3 lentiviral vector was measured by qRT-PCR. **b** MTT assay detected the proliferation of U251 cells after transduction

with NC or pcDNA-MEG3 lentiviral vector. **c** Cell apoptosis of U251 cells transduced with NC or pcDNA-MEG3 lentiviral vector was determined by Annexin-FITC/PI assay. **d** LC3 autophagy of U251 cells transduced with NC or pcDNA-MEG3 lentiviral vector was determined by immunofluorescence assay (**P < 0.01; ***P < 0.001)

piece of the well-known tumor-suppressive and oncogenic network puzzle.

Several recent investigations have begun to unravel the vitality of lncRNAs in tumor initiation and development. Sun et al. [28] discovered that the increased expression of IncRNA 00511 promoted oncogenesis, tumor size, metastasis, and poor prognosis by binding to EZH2 and suppressing p57 in human non-small-cell lung cancer. Liu et al. [29] found that lncRNA BX357664 acted as a potential tumor suppressor by inhibiting the TGF-β1/p38/HSP27 signaling pathway and interfering with cancer cell proliferation, invasion, and metastasis in renal cell carcinoma. In addition, IncRNA RP5-833A20.1 inhibited tumor cell proliferation and cell-cycle progression and induced apoptosis by suppressing the expression of nuclear factor IA in gliomas [30]. Taken together, these findings provided evidence that some lncRNAs play a major role in tumorigenesis and progression, advocating that these lncRNAs may serve as novel biomarkers and therapeutic targets in a variety of malignancies.

The imprinted *MEG3* gene has attracted increasing research interest. Previous studies have proved that *MEG3*

was downregulated and acted as a tumor suppressor in several human cancers including oral squamous cell carcinoma [31], cervical cancer [32], breast cancer [33], pancreatic cancer [34], and glioma [35]. Zhang et al. [36] demonstrated that MEG3 suppresses breast cancer cell proliferation, invasion, and angiogenesis via the AKT pathway. Xiu et al. [37] demonstrated the tumor suppressor function of MEG3 in epithelial ovarian cancer by regulating the ATG3 activity and inducing autophagy. Notably, Tong et al. [38] found that MEG3 suppressed the proliferation, migration, and invasion of glioma cells when acting as competitive endogenous RNA of miR-19a. Another study demonstrated that MEG3 promoted the proliferation of cells through targeting Wnt/ β catenin signaling pathway in glioma [39]. Moreover, some groups have shown that the decreased expression of MEG3 predicted a poor prognosis in patients with colorectal cancer, cervical cancer, non-small cell lung cancer, and gastric cancer [21, 40–43]. However, to date, no study has reported the prognostic significance of MEG3 in glioma.

In the present study, for the first time, we focused on investigating the correlation between the expression level of MEG3 and prognosis of glioma patients. In addition, the current findings demonstrated that MEG3 expression was downregulated in glioma tissues as compared to the adjacent normal brain tissues. The MEG3 level in high-grade glioma was significantly lower than that in low-grade glioma. Furthermore, we found that the decreased expression level of MEG3 was significantly associated with advanced WHO grade, low KPS, IDH wild-type, and tumor recurrence. However, no correlation was established between MEG3 expression and age, gender, surgical strategies, tumor size, or tumor location. Importantly, the low expression of MEG3 was correlated with poor overall survival rates and could function as an independent prognostic factor for glioma. Moreover, this study also explored the impact of MEG3 overexpression on glioma cell line. Interestingly, results consistent with the previous studies were also observed in our study; MEG3 overexpression could suppress glioma cell proliferation in vitro. To the best of our knowledge, this study for the first time demonstrated that MEG3 overexpression facilitated apoptosis and autophagy of glioma cells in vitro.

Nevertheless, the present study had some limitations. First, it was a retrospective study. Secondly, the sample size was relatively small; thus, a study containing a large sample size is essential to confirm these findings. Finally, although our data revealed that the overexpression of *MEG3* significantly suppressed the proliferation and facilitated apoptosis of glioma cells, the underlying mechanisms and the precise role of *MEG3* in glioma have not yet been elucidated, and further investigations of *MEG3* function and its downstream genes in glioma cell and animal models are essential.

In conclusion, the current findings demonstrated that the downregulation of *MEG3* was closely correlated with the lower overall survival rates in patients with glioma. The low expression of *MEG3* might function as an independent biomarker for poor prognosis. The overexpression of *MEG3* significantly suppressed the proliferation, while apoptosis and autophagy of glioma cells were facilitated in vitro. Therefore, further investigations are necessary to confirm these preliminary findings and illuminate the underlying molecular mechanisms.

Acknowledgements We thank Professor Li for assistance in language editing.

Funding This work was supported by the National Natural Science Funds (No. 81470438) and Fund for the Development of Key Subjects from Xi'an Medical University.

Compliance with ethical standards

Conflict of interest The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Ethics approval The study was approved by the Research Ethics Committee of Wuhan General Hospital of PLA, and it complied with the Declaration of Helsinki. All procedures performed in this study were in accordance with the 1964 Helsinki Declaration and its later amendments.

Informed consent Informed consent was obtained from all individual participants included in the study.

References

- Colquhoun A (2017) Cell biology-metabolic crosstalk in glioma. Int J Biochem Cell Biol 89:171–181
- Bugiani M, Veldhuijzen VZS, Caretti V et al (2017) Deceptive morphologic and epigenetic heterogeneity in diffuse intrinsic pontine glioma. Oncotarget 8(36):60447–60452
- Louis DN, Ohgaki H, Wiestler OD et al (2007) The 2007 WHO classification of tumours of the central nervous system. Acta Neuropathol 114(2):97–109
- Stupp R, Hegi ME, Mason WP et al (2009) Effects of radiotherapy with concomitant and adjuvant temozolomide versus radiotherapy alone on survival in glioblastoma in a randomised phase III study: 5-year analysis of the EORTC-NCIC trial. Lancet Oncol 10(5):459–466
- Sasaki H, Yoshida K (2017) Treatment recommendations for adult patients with diffuse gliomas of grades II and III according to the new WHO classification in 2016. Neurol Med Chir (Tokyo) 57:658–666
- Koch L (2017) Functional genomics: screening for lncRNA function. Nat Rev Genet 18(2):70
- Li J, Meng H, Bai Y et al (2016) Regulation of lncRNA and its role in cancer metastasis. Oncol Res 23(5):205–217
- Shi J, Dong B, Cao J et al (2017) Long non-coding RNA in glioma: signaling pathways. Oncotarget 8(16):27582–27592
- Jandura A, Krause HM (2017) The new RNA world: growing evidence for long noncoding RNA functionality. Trends Genet 33(10):665–676
- Wang R, Li Y, Zhu G et al (2017) Long noncoding RNA CASC2 predicts the prognosis of glioma patients and functions as a suppressor for gliomas by suppressing Wnt/beta-catenin signaling pathway. Neuropsychiatr Dis Treat 13:1805–1813
- Alvarez-Dominguez JR, Lodish HF (2017) Emerging mechanisms of long noncoding RNA function during normal and malignant hematopoiesis. Blood 130:1965–1975
- Kiang KM, Zhang XQ, Leung GK (2015) Long non-coding RNAs: the key players in glioma pathogenesis. Cancers (Basel) 7(3):1406–1424
- Wu F, Zhang C, Cai J et al (2017) Upregulation of long noncoding RNA HOXA-AS3 promotes tumor progression and predicts poor prognosis in glioma. Oncotarget 8(32):53110–53123
- Ma KX, Wang HJ, Li XR et al (2015) Long noncoding RNA MALAT1 associates with the malignant status and poor prognosis in glioma. Tumour Biol 36(5):3355–3359
- Hu L, Lv QL, Chen SH et al (2016) Up-regulation of long noncoding RNA AB073614 predicts a poor prognosis in patients with glioma. Int J Environ Res Public Health 13(4):433
- Zhao W, Sun C, Cui Z (2017) A long noncoding RNA UCA1 promotes proliferation and predicts poor prognosis in glioma. Clin Transl Oncol 19(6):735–741
- Balik V, Srovnal J, Sulla I et al (2013) MEG3: a novel long noncoding potentially tumour-suppressing RNA in meningiomas. J Neurooncol 112(1):1–8
- Zhou C, Huang C, Wang J et al (2017) LncRNA MEG3 downregulation mediated by DNMT3b contributes to nickel malignant transformation of human bronchial epithelial cells via modulating

PHLPP1 transcription and HIF-1alpha translation. Oncogene 36(27):3878–3889

- Cui X, Jing X, Long C et al (2017) Long noncoding RNA MEG3, a potential novel biomarker to predict the clinical outcome of cancer patients: a meta-analysis. Oncotarget 8(12):19049–19056
- Luo G, Wang M, Wu X et al (2015) Long non-coding RNA MEG3 inhibits cell proliferation and induces apoptosis in prostate cancer. Cell Physiol Biochem 37(6):2209–2220
- 21. Ma B, Gao Z, Lou J et al (2017) Long noncoding RNA MEG3 contributes to cisplatin-induced apoptosis via inhibition of autophagy in human glioma cells. Mol Med Rep 16(3):2946–2952
- Mercer TR, Dinger ME, Mattick JS (2009) Long non-coding RNAs: insights into functions. Nat Rev Genet 10(3):155–159
- Kondo Y, Shinjo K, Katsushima K (2017) Long non-coding RNAs as an epigenetic regulator in human cancers. Cancer Sci 108(10):1927–1933
- Kong X, Liu W, Kong Y (2017) Roles and expression profiles of long non-coding RNAs in triple-negative breast cancers. J Cell Mol Med 22:390–394
- 25. Fan C, Tang Y, Wang J et al (2017) Role of long non-coding RNAs in glucose metabolism in cancer. Mol Cancer 16(1):130
- 26. Yang L, Wang H, Shen Q et al (2017) Long non-coding RNAs involved in autophagy regulation. Cell Death Dis 8(10):e3073
- Vafaee F, Colvin EK, Mok SC et al (2017) Functional prediction of long non-coding RNAs in ovarian cancer-associated fibroblasts indicate a potential role in metastasis. Sci Rep 7(1):10374
- Sun CC, Li SJ, Li G et al (2016) Long intergenic noncoding RNA 00511 acts as an oncogene in non-small-cell lung cancer by binding to EZH2 and suppressing p57. Mol Ther Nucleic Acids 5(11):e385
- 29. Liu Y, Qian J, Li X et al (2016) Long noncoding RNA BX357664 regulates cell proliferation and epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition via inhibition of TGF-beta1/p38/HSP27 signaling in renal cell carcinoma. Oncotarget 7(49):81410–81422
- 30. Kang CM, Hu YW, Nie Y et al (2016) Long non-coding RNA RP5-833A20.1 inhibits proliferation, metastasis and cell cycle progression by suppressing the expression of NFIA in U251 cells. Mol Med Rep 14(6):5288–5296
- Liu Z, Wu C, Xie N et al (2017) Long non-coding RNA MEG3 inhibits the proliferation and metastasis of oral squamous cell carcinoma by regulating the WNT/beta-catenin signaling pathway. Oncol Lett 14(4):4053–4058
- 32. Zhang J, Yao T, Wang Y et al (2016) Long noncoding RNA MEG3 is downregulated in cervical cancer and affects cell

proliferation and apoptosis by regulating miR-21. Cancer Biol Ther 17(1):104–113

- 33. Sun L, Li Y, Yang B (2016) Downregulated long non-coding RNA MEG3 in breast cancer regulates proliferation, migration and invasion by depending on p53's transcriptional activity. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 478(1):323–329
- Gu L, Zhang J, Shi M et al (2017) lncRNA MEG3 had anti-cancer effects to suppress pancreatic cancer activity. Biomed Pharmacother 89:1269–1276
- Zhang L, Liang X, Li Y (2017) Long non-coding RNA MEG3 inhibits cell growth of gliomas by targeting miR-93 and inactivating PI3K/AKT pathway. Oncol Rep 38(4):2408–2416
- Zhang CY, Yu MS, Li X et al (2017) Overexpression of long noncoding RNA MEG3 suppresses breast cancer cell proliferation, invasion, and angiogenesis through AKT pathway. Tumour Biol 39(6):1393388353
- 37. Xiu YL, Sun KX, Chen X et al (2017) Upregulation of the IncRNA Meg3 induces autophagy to inhibit tumorigenesis and progression of epithelial ovarian carcinoma by regulating activity of ATG3. Oncotarget 8(19):31714–31725
- Tong GF, Qin N, Sun LW et al (2017) Long noncoding RNA MEG3 suppresses glioma cell proliferation, migration, and invasion by acting as competing endogenous RNA of MiR-19a. Oncol Res 25:1471–1478
- Gong X, Huang M (2017) Long non-coding RNA MEG3 promotes the proliferation of glioma cells through targeting Wnt/ beta-catenin signal pathway. Cancer Gene Ther 24(9):381–385
- Tian ZZ, Guo XJ, Zhao YM et al (2015) Decreased expression of long non-coding RNA MEG3 acts as a potential predictor biomarker in progression and poor prognosis of osteosarcoma. Int J Clin Exp Pathol 8(11):15138–15142
- Yin DD, Liu ZJ, Zhang E et al (2015) Decreased expression of long noncoding RNA MEG3 affects cell proliferation and predicts a poor prognosis in patients with colorectal cancer. Tumour Biol 36(6):4851–4859
- 42. Zhang Z, Liu T, Wang K et al (2017) Down-regulation of long non-coding RNA MEG3 indicates an unfavorable prognosis in non-small cell lung cancer: evidence from the GEO database. Gene 630:49–58
- Zhang J, Lin Z, Gao Y et al (2017) Downregulation of long noncoding RNA MEG3 is associated with poor prognosis and promoter hypermethylation in cervical cancer. J Exp Clin Cancer Res 36(1):5