RESEARCH PAPER

Preparation of carbon-coated $MnFe₂O₄$ nanospheres as high-performance anode materials for lithium-ion batteries

Fei Jiang • Xiumei Du • Saihua Zhao • Jinxin Guo • Bujun Huang • Xiu Huang • Qingmei Su • Jun Zhang • Gaohui Du

Received: 23 January 2015 / Accepted: 1 April 2015 / Published online: 8 April 2015 - Springer Science+Business Media Dordrecht 2015

Abstract Carbon-coated MnFe₂O₄ (MnFe₂O₄@C) nanospheres were successfully synthesized by a facile two-step method involving the preparation of MnFe₂O₄ nanospheres and subsequent pyrolysis treatment. The structure and morphology of the composite were characterized by XRD, SEM, TEM, and HRTEM. The MnFe2O4 nanospheres with a diameter of 300–400 nm are composed of many nanocrystals (10–15 nm). The surfaces of $MnFe₂O₄$ nanospheres were coated uniformly with thin carbon shells with a thickness of 3–5 nm. The MnFe₂O₄ $@C$ composites, as anode material for Li-ion battery, showed greatly enhanced electrochemical performance with high lithium storage capacity, satisfactory cyclic durability, and rate capacity compared with the pristine $MnFe₂O₄$. The reversible capacity of the MnFe₂O₄ $@C$ composites was retained at 646 mAh g^{-1} after 50 cycles at 100 mA g^{-1} . Even when cycled at various rates for 50 cycles, the capacity could recover to 626 mAh g^{-1} at the current of 100 mA g^{-1} . The MnFe₂O₄@C nanospheres exhibit excellent electrochemical performance as a potential

F. Jiang · X. Du · S. Zhao · J. Guo · Q. Su · J. Zhang \cdot G. Du (\boxtimes) Institute of Physical Chemistry, Zhejiang Normal University, Jinhua 321004, China e-mail: gaohuidu@zjnu.edu.cn

B. Huang - X. Huang Chuyang Honors College, Zhejiang Normal University, Jinhua 321004, China

candidate for anode material in high-energy lithium-ion battery.

Keywords Nanostructures - Composite - Electron microscopy - Anode material

Introduction

In recent years, lithium-ion batteries (LIBs) have become the dominant power sources in portable electronic devices and electric vehicles due to their high gravimetric and volumetric capacity, long cycle life, less environmental pollution, etc. (Dunn et al. [2011;](#page-7-0) Bruce et al. [2008;](#page-7-0) Xu et al. [2014\)](#page-8-0). Although graphite has served as a reliable anode material for commercial LIBs with high reversibility, its low capacity (372 mAh g^{-1}) can hardly meet the increasing demand for high energy/power density of nextgeneration LIBs (Zhang et al. [2012a](#page-8-0), [b\)](#page-8-0). To improve the electrochemical performance of LIBs, considerable efforts have been made recently in finding new electrode materials, which should have higher energy density compared with the existing system (Ji et al. [2011\)](#page-7-0). Transition metal oxides have been widely investigated as anode materials for LIBs because they have higher specific capacity and volumetric energy density than graphite (Zhou et al. [2014](#page-8-0); Wang et al. [2011;](#page-8-0) Zhao et al. [2008;](#page-8-0) Zhang et al. [2014a,](#page-8-0) [b](#page-8-0); Tummala et al. [2012\)](#page-8-0). However, transition metal oxides suffer from large volume change during lithiation/delithiation process, which leads to poor reversibility and rapid capacity degradation. For example, MnO delivered a capacity of 650 mAh g^{-1} in the first cycle but it decreased quickly to 250 mAh g^{-1} after 20 cycles (Liu et al. [2012](#page-7-0)); $Fe₃O₄$ showed a discharge capacity of more than 949 mAh g^{-1} in the first cycle that declined to 200 mAh g^{-1} after 20 cycles (Yoon et al. [2011\)](#page-8-0). To circumvent the poor cycle and high rate problems of transition metal oxides, tremendous efforts have been made to improve their electrochemical performance by optimizing the size of particles, constructing special architectures, coating with electronically conductive layers, or doping metals into compounds (Xu et al. [2014](#page-8-0); Zhang et al. [2012a](#page-8-0), [b](#page-8-0); Wang et al. [2011](#page-8-0); Issac et al. [2011\)](#page-7-0). Recently, ternary metal oxides have received considerable attention due to their good cyclic stability due to the possible synergistic effect, which enhances the intrinsic properties of each component. For example, $MnCo₂O₄$ quasi-hollow microsphere electrodes exhibited a long cycle life of 610 mAh g^{-1} after 100 cycles (Li et al. [2013](#page-7-0)). $ZnFe₂O₄/C$ electrodes showed a high specific capacity of 840 mAh g^{-1} after 30 cycles (Deng et al. [2011](#page-7-0)). However, the ternary metal oxides still cannot tolerate high current density. $ZnFe₂O₄$ electrode only maintained a discharge capacity of 86 mAh g^{-1} at high current density of 1600 mA g^{-1} (Xie et al. [2014\)](#page-8-0).

Carbon coating is a simple and effective way to alleviate the above issues (Deng et al. [2011\)](#page-7-0). On one hand, carbon materials are very stable anode materials in LIBs due to their small volume change during $Li⁺$ insertion/extraction. The SEI films on carbon surface are also relatively stable, which further ensure the structural stability upon cycling (Xiao et al. [2013;](#page-8-0) Hu et al. [2006;](#page-7-0) Needham et al. [2006](#page-7-0); Li et al. [2009](#page-7-0)). On the other hand, carbon has high conductivity, which greatly enhances the rapid electron transport during the electrochemical $Li⁺$ insertion/extraction reaction (Geng et al. [2014;](#page-7-0) Zhi et al. [2008](#page-8-0)). In particular, carbon coatings can serve as perfect barriers to protect the inner active materials and maintain their high capacities (Su et al. [2013](#page-8-0); Wang et al. [2010](#page-8-0); Lou et al. [2008;](#page-7-0) Liu et al. [2009;](#page-7-0) Su et al. [2012](#page-8-0)).

 $MnFe₂O₄$ is an important ternary metal oxide and has been widely investigated in drug delivery (Shah et al. [2013](#page-8-0)), environmental remediation (Yao et al. [2014\)](#page-8-0), and LIBs (Lin et al. [2013](#page-7-0)) for its excellent physical and chemical properties. During the past few years, Xiao et al. synthesized MnFe₂O₄–graphene nanocomposites by hydrothermal method, which showed an enhanced reversible capacity and an excellent rate capacity (Xiao et al. [2013](#page-8-0)). Lin et al. successfully synthesized $MnFe₂O₄$ particles with different morphologies including cube, truncated cube, polyhedron, and octahedron (Lin et al. [2013](#page-7-0)). The polyhedral $MnFe₂O₄$ particles exhibited a large initial discharge capacity of 980 mAh g^{-1} and remained about 428 mAh g^{-1} after 50 cycles, which was large than the other $MnFe₂O₄$ particles. Zhang et al. successfully synthesized mesoporous $MnFe₂O₄$ microspheres which displayed a capacity of 410.3 mAh g^{-1} after 50 cycles at 0.2 C (Zhang et al. [2013a](#page-8-0), [b](#page-8-0)). Permien et al. synthesized nanocrystalline $MnFe₂O₄$ by conventional refluxing method and investigated the reaction mechanism of $Li⁺$ insertion into anode materials (Permien et al. [2013](#page-7-0)). To the best of our knowledge, there are no reports on the studies of carbon-coated MnFe₂O₄ (MnFe₂O₄@C) composites as anode materials for LIBs. In this paper, we report a facile method for the preparation of $MnFe₂O₄@C$ composites, which show good reversible capacity, remarkable rate, and cycling performance for LIBs.

Experimental

Synthesis of $MnFe₂O₄$ nanospheres

All the reagents were of analytical purity and were used without further purification. $MnFe₂O₄$ was prepared by a hydrothermal method. 2.5 mmol manganese acetate tetrahydrate $(Mn(CH_3COO)_2.4H_2O)$, 5.0 mmol iron chloride hexahydrate $(FeCl₃·6H₂O)$, 1.0 g polyethylene glycol (PEG), and 3.6 g $CH₃$ COONa were mixed and dissolved in 40 ml of ethylene glycol (EG) to form a uniform solution. The mixture was transferred into a Teflon-lined autoclave and maintained at 200 $^{\circ}$ C for 10 h. Finally, the $MnFe₂O₄$ microspheres were obtained after centrifugation and drying at 60 °C overnight.

Synthesis of MnFe₂O₄@C composites

The as-prepared $MnFe₂O₄$ nanospheres (0.2 g) were dispersed in 25 mL deionized water by ultrasonication to form a suspension. 0.5 g of glucose was added to the solution under gentle stirring. The resulting suspension was transferred to a Teflon autoclave (40 mL), which was heated at $200\degree C$ for 12 h. The solid products were separated by centrifugation and dried at 60 °C overnight. The MnFe₂O₄@C composites were obtained after calcination at 500 \degree C in Ar atmosphere for 4 h.

Characterization

X-ray diffraction (XRD) patterns were obtained by a diffractometer (Philips PW3040/60) with Cu Ka radiation ($\lambda = 1.5418$ Å). Raman spectrum was recorded by a Renishaw RM2000 Raman system with He–Ne (632.8 nm) laser excitation. The morphologies of the samples were examined using scanning electron microscopy (SEM, Hitachi S4800), and the microstructures were investigated using high-resolution TEM (HRTEM, JEOL-2100F) performed at an acceleration voltage of 200 kV. The thermogravimetric analysis (TG) measurement of the sample was performed using Netzsch STA 449C thermal analyzer. The carbon percentage in $MnFe₂O₄@C$ composites was determined by a Flash EA1112 element analysis analyzer (Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc).

Electrochemical measurement

Electrochemical performances of the as-prepared composites were investigated with two-electrode coin-type cells (CR2025). The working electrodes were prepared by a slurry coating procedure. The slurry consisted of the as-synthesized MnFe₂O₄ $@C$ composite or pure $MnFe₂O₄$ as active material, acetylene black as conducting additive, and polyvinylidene fluorides (PVDF) as binder with a weight ratio of 75:10:15 in Nmethyl pyrrolidinone (NMP); the slurry was spread on a nickel foam and dried at 80 \degree C for 6 h in vacuum. The CR2025-type coin cells were assembled in an argonfilled glove box. The electrolyte solution was 1 M $LiPF_6$ dissolved in a mixture of ethylene carbonate and dimethyl carbonate with a volume ratio of 1:1. The CV experiment was tested on a CHI604D electrochemistry workstation from 0.01 to 3.0 V (vs. $Li⁺/Li$) at a scan rate of 0.1 mV s^{-1} . The galvanostatic charge and discharge measurements were tested at a current density of 100 mA g^{-1} , and the rate performance was conducted at different current densities (100, 200, 500, 1000 mA g^{-1}) with 10 charge-discharge cycles

at each step on a battery test system (Neware Co. Ltd., Shenzhen) in the voltage range from 0.005 to 3 V. AC impedance measurements were carried out using a CHI604D electrochemical workstation with a 5 mV sinusoidal voltage in the frequency range from 1 MHz to 0.1 Hz at room temperature. The impedance data were fitted using the ZsimpWin computer program.

Results and discussion

Figure 1 shows the typical XRD patterns of the pristine $MnFe₂O₄$ nanospheres and $MnFe₂O₄@C$ composites. All the diffraction peaks in the XRD pattern of pristine $MnFe₂O₄$ can be perfectly indexed to the (220), (311), (400), (422), (333), (440), and (533) planes of face-centered cubic $MnFe₂O₄$ with a lattice constant of $a = 8.511$ Å (JCPDS No. 74-2403). The size of $MnFe₂O₄$ nanoparticles estimated from the Debye–Scherrer formula is about 20 nm. The characteristic peaks of the MnFe₂O₄ $@C$ composites can also be well indexed as cubic $MnFe₂O₄$. No obvious reflection peaks from other impurities were detected in the as-prepared $MnFe₂O₄@C$ composites.

The existence of carbon in the MnFe₂O₄@C composites is further confirmed by Raman spectroscopic analysis. As shown in Fig. [2,](#page-3-0) the two characteristic D and G bands at about 1371 and 1585 cm^{-1} belong to the disordered and graphitic carbon, respectively (Yuan et al. [2011](#page-8-0)). The peak intensity ratio between D and G (I_D/I_G) can be used to estimate the degree of crystallinity of carbon materials. Here, the

Fig. 1 XRD patterns of the $MnFe₂O₄$ nanospheres and MnFe₂O₄@C composites

Fig. 2 Raman spectrum of the as-prepared $MnFe₂O₄@C$ composites

 I_D/I_G value is calculated to be 0.85, indicating that the carbon in these composites is partially graphitized (Zhao et al. [2013](#page-8-0)).

The carbon content of the as-synthesized $MnFe₂$ $O_4@C$ composites was evaluated by thermal gravimetric analysis (TGA) in air (Fig. 3). The TG curves demonstrate that the weight loss of the pristine $MnFe₂O₄$ microspheres is about 3.13 wt% below 100 \degree C, which is ascribed to the desorption of physically adsorbed H_2O , while the weight loss of 3.27 wt% from 100 to 600 $^{\circ}$ C is due to the decomposition of organic species (e.g., EG and PEG) adsorbed on the sample surface. The slight weight increase of \sim 1 wt% from 100 to 250 °C in the TG curve of $MnFe₂O₄@C$ sample can be attributed to the

Fig. 3 TG curve of the $MnFe₂O₄$ nanospheres and $MnFe₂$ O4@C composites

rapid formation of C=O functional groups on carbon shells due to the pre-oxidation reaction (Wu et al. [2013\)](#page-8-0), which is similar to the TG result of RGO– $MnFe₂O₄$ $MnFe₂O₄$ $MnFe₂O₄$ nanocomposites (Zhang et al. [2014a,](#page-8-0) b). The rapid weight loss of $MnFe₂O₄@C$ composites appears between 300 and 450 $^{\circ}$ C, which is attributed to the oxidation of carbon. On the basis of the weight losses, the amount of carbon in the MnFe₂O₄ $@C$ composites is around 11.18 wt%. To further determine the carbon content of $MnFe₂O₄@C$ composites, the elemental analysis experiment was also performed. The carbon content is revealed to be 12.01 wt%, which is well consisted with the TG result.

The morphology and microstructure of the asprepared products were measured using SEM and TEM. It is very clear that the pristine $MnFe₂O₄$ has a spherical morphology with diameters ranging from 300 to 400 nm (Fig. [4](#page-4-0)a, b). An HRTEM image (Fig. [4](#page-4-0)c) of the MnFe₂O₄ nanospheres confirms the high crystallinity of $MnFe₂O₄$ nanoparticles. The lattice fringe with a spacing of 0.30 nm is assigned to the (220) plane of cubic MnFe₂O₄. As shown in Fig. [4d](#page-4-0) and e, the spherical morphology is well preserved after pyrolysis treatment for the preparation of MnFe₂O₄@C. An HRTEM image of the MnFe₂₋ O4@C nanosphere and its corresponding FFT pattern are shown in Fig. [4](#page-4-0)f; the lattice fringes of $MnFe₂O₄$ show a spacing of 0.26 nm, which agrees well with the d-spacing of the (311) plane of MnFe₂O₄. Also, the carbon layers can be clearly seen in the HRTEM image with a uniform thickness of 3–5 nm, confirming the successful wrapping of thin carbon shells on $MnFe₂O₄$ microspheres.

The formation process and mechanism of $MnFe₂$ $O_4@C$ composites is proposed. In the first stage, $MnFe₂O₄$ is nucleated in the presence of Fe³⁺ and Mn^{2+} ions under solvothermal condition via the reaction ($2Fe^{3+} + Mn^{2+} + 4H_2O \rightarrow MnFe_2O_4 + 8$ - H^+), which leads to the formation of nanosized crystalline MnFe₂O₄ (10–15 nm). Then, in the second stage, these nanosized crystallites are self-assembled into large secondary nanospheres, driven by a need to reduce their surface energy. CH₃COONa plays a crucial role as a mineralizer for the formation of $MnFe₂O₄$ nanospheres with a narrow size distribution (Zhang et al. $2012a$, [b\)](#page-8-0). Ethylene glycol acts as a structure-directing agent to regulate the surface state of the nanosized crystalline particles and influence their nucleation and aggregation process, which are

Fig. 4 SEM image (a), TEM image (b), and HRTEM (c) image of MnFe₂O₄ nanospheres; SEM image (d), TEM (e), and HRTEM (f), images of $MnFe₂O₄@C$ composites and the corresponding FFT pattern (inset)

finally assembled into nanospheres (Zhang et al. [2013a](#page-8-0), [b](#page-8-0)). Subsequently, carbonaceous materials are produced by hydrothermal carbonization of glucose at low temperature (180–200 $^{\circ}$ C), which is a wellestablished method to create hydrophilic carbon materials (Wang et al. 2001). The surfaces of MnFe₂₋ $O₄$ nanosphere with rich hydroxyl groups can induce the in situ precipitation of carbonaceous units to form a uniform carbon shell by carbonization of glucose. In order to improve the stability and electrical conductivity of the carbon shells, they are further graphitized at 500 \degree C for 4 h in Ar atmosphere. Eventually, the $MnFe₂O₄@C$ nanospheres are produced.

The electrochemical reactivity of $MnFe₂O₄@C$ composites for lithium storage was evaluated by CV measurement. Figure [5](#page-5-0)a shows the CV curves of the as-prepared $MnFe₂O₄@C$ composite electrode from the first to the fourth cycle between 0.01 and 3.0 V at a scan rate of 0.1 mV s^{-1} . There is a broad peak centered at 0.5 V in the first cathodic process, which is associated with the reduction of Mn^{2+} and Fe³⁺ to Mn^0 and Fe⁰, and the formation of SEI film due to the decomposition of the electrolyte (Xiao et al. [2013](#page-8-0)). Recently, in situ TEM has been used to understand the

electrochemical conversion mechanism of $MnFe₂O₄$ in LIBs (Liu et al. [2014](#page-7-0)). It was found that singlecrystalline $MnFe₂O₄$ was converted into polycrystalline $Li₂O/Mn/Fe$ with large volume expansion during the first discharge and subsequently transformed to polycrystalline MnO/Fe₃O₄ with volume shrinkage during charge. Reversible conversion between MnO/Fe₃O₄ and Li₂O/Mn/Fe occurred during the subsequent cycles with small volume changes. The main cathodic peak in Fig. [5](#page-5-0)a shifts to about 0.8 V from the second cycles, distinguishing the later conversion mechanism from that in the first cycle. During the anodic process, two peaks are present at 1.60 and 1.75 V, which are associated with the oxidation of Mn and Fe to MnO and $Fe₃O₄$, respectively. Compared with the first discharge process, the peak current and the integrated area of this process decrease, indicating a large capacity loss during the charge process. In the subsequent charging process, two anodic peaks shift to 1.7 and 1.8 V because of the polarization of electrode materials (Tao et al. [2011](#page-8-0)). The conversion reaction could be described as follows (Liu et al. [2014\)](#page-7-0):

In the first cycle,

Fig. 5 a CV curves of $MnFe₂O₄@C$ composites at a scan rate of 0.1 mV s⁻¹. **b** Voltage profiles of MnFe₂O₄@C composites for the selected galvanostatic cycles at a current density of 100 mA g^{-1} . c Cycling performance of the prepared MnFe₂O₄

$$
MnFe2O4 + 8Li+ + 8e- \rightarrow Mn + 2Fe
$$

+ 4Li₂O (1)

 $Mn + Li_2O \rightarrow MnO + 2Li^+ + 2e^-$ (2)

$$
3Fe + 4Li_2O \rightarrow Fe_3O_4 + 8Li^+ + 8e^-
$$
 (3)

In the subsequent charge–discharge cycles,

$$
Mn + 3Fe + 5Li2O \leftrightarrow MnO + Fe3O4 + 10Li++ 10e- (4)
$$

The discharge–charge voltage profiles of the $MnFe₂O₄@C$ composites at a current density of 100 mA g^{-1} are shown in Fig. 5b. In the first discharge process, the constant slopes between 0.7 and 0.2 V indicate the conversion reaction from $MnFe₂O₄$ to $Mn/$ Fe and the SEI formation. The discharge plateau shifts to 0.7–1 V from the second cycle, which agrees well with the CV analysis. A plateau at 1.5–2 V during all the charge cycles was recorded. These voltage plateaus were formed due to the reversible oxidation/reduction conversion between Mn/Fe and MnO/Fe₃O₄ during the $Li⁺$ insertion/extraction.

nanospheres and $MnFe₂O₄@C$ composites at a current density of 100 mA g^{-1} . **d** Rate capability of the MnFe₂O₄ nanospheres and MnFe2O4@C composite electrode between 100 and

 1000 mA g^-

The cycling performance of pristine $MnFe₂O₄$ nanospheres and MnFe₂O₄@C composites was measured at a constant current of 100 mA g^{-1} and is shown in Fig. 5c. The $MnFe₂O₄@C$ composite electrode delivers a discharge capacity of 1222 mAh g^{-1} and a charge capacity of 938 mAh g^{-1} in the first cycle, which is much larger than the theoretical value of $MnFe₂O₄$ (917 mAh g^{-1}). The initial capacity loss of 23.2 % mainly results from the diverse irreversible process, such as the irreversible phase conversion (Liu et al. [2014](#page-7-0)), the interfacial lithium storage, and the inevitable formation of SEI layer, which are common for most anode materials (Mai et al. [2011;](#page-7-0) Xu et al. [2012;](#page-8-0)

Chen et al. [2012](#page-7-0); Tang et al. [2014](#page-8-0)). However, the Coulombic efficiency reaches 95.5 % after the 5th cycle and remains relatively stable at 98 % in the subsequent cycles. In addition, the MnFe₂O₄@C composite retains a capacity of 646 mAh g^{-1} after 50 cycles, which is larger than the capacities of $MnFe₂O₄$ with different morphologies in literature (Lin et al. [2013;](#page-7-0) Permien et al. [2013](#page-7-0)). Even though a higher capacity (1335 mAh g^{-1}) can be observed at the first cycle for the pristine MnFe₂O₄ microspheres, the capacity decreases quickly to 300 mAh g^{-1} after 50 cycles, showing an obviously poorer performance than $MnFe₂O₄@C$ composite.

To investigate the rate capacity of $MnFe₂O₄@C$ composites, the electrode was cycled at different current densities. As shown in Fig. $5d$, the MnFe₂₋ $O_4@C$ composite electrode exhibits a surprising enhancement in rate capacity compared with pristine $MnFe₂O₄$ microspheres. The capacity of $MnFe₂O₄@C$ composites is maintained at about 252 mAh g^{-1} at a high current density of 1000 mA g^{-1} after 40 cycles and recovers to 626 mAh g^{-1} when the current density is reduced back to 100 mA g^{-1} . However, the pristine $MnFe₂O₄$ nanospheres deliver only \sim 40 mAh g⁻¹ at the current density of 1000 mA g^{-1} . The poor rate performance is mainly caused by their low electronic conductivity and large volume variations during the charge/discharge process. The carbon shells in $MnFe₂O₄@C$ composite can not only enhance the conductivity of the electrode but also serve as protective layers to prevent the inner active materials from pulverizing, and thus maintain their high capacities (Su et al. [2013](#page-8-0)). The results indicate that the as-prepared MnFe₂O₄ $@C$ composites could tolerate high current charge–discharge cycling.

To further understand the good rate performance for $MnFe₂O₄@C composites, electrochemical impedance$ spectra (EIS) of the pristine $MnFe₂O₄$ nanospheres and MnFe₂O₄@C composite electrodes were measured after 50 cycles and are shown in Fig. 6a. The impedance data were fitted using the ZsimpWin computer program. A semicircle followed by a straight line is observed in the EIS spectrum, which is a typical blocking-type behavior of thin-film electrode (Abe et al. [2004\)](#page-7-0). The semicircle at the high-frequency region is assigned to the charge-transfer process of $Li⁺$ ion at the $MnFe₂O₄/electrolyte interface, while the line$ in the lower-frequency region is ascribed to the limited diffusion of Li^+ ions into MnFe₂O₄. As shown in Fig. 6a, the MnFe₂O₄@C electrode exhibits a smaller semicircle compared with the pristine $MnFe₂O₄$, indicating a lower charge-transfer resistance of the $MnFe₂O₄@C$ electrode. The equivalent circuit model of the studied system is shown in Fig. 6b to represent the internal resistance of the test battery. The circuit component R_e represents the internal resistance of the test battery, R_{sl} and C_{sl} are designated for the resistance of the migration and capacity of the layer in the highfrequency semicircle, $R_{\rm ct}$ and $C_{\rm dl}$ are associated with the charge-transfer resistance and a double-layer capacitance in the medium-frequency semicircle, Z_W is associated with a Warburg element corresponding to the lithium-ion finite diffusion process (Su et al. [2012](#page-8-0); Yang et al. [2010](#page-8-0)). The fitted impedance parameters are listed in Table [1](#page-7-0). It can be seen that R_{sl} of the MnFe₂O₄@C electrode is 3.05 Ω , which is significantly lower than that of the pristine MnFe₂O₄ (153.5 Ω). This can be attributed to the incorporation of carbon, which has high conductivity, and greatly enhances the electron transport during the electrochemical lithium insertion/extraction reaction. Carbon shell can also prevent the aggregation of $MnFe₂O₄$, resulting in significantly short diffusion path. The charge-transfer

Fig. 6 a Electrochemical impedance spectra of the pristine MnFe₂O₄ nanospheres and MnFe₂O₄@C composite electrodes after the 50th discharge/charge cycle. b Equivalent circuit for MnFe₂O₄ nanospheres and MnFe₂O₄@C composite electrode/electrolyte interface

Table 1 Impedance parameters derived using equivalent circuit model for MnFe₂O₄ and MnFe₂O₄@C electrodes

Electrode	$R_e(\Omega)$	$R_{s1}(\Omega)$	$R_{\rm ct}(\Omega)$
MnFe ₂ O ₄	10.18	153.5	325
MnFe ₂ O ₄ @C	7.3	3.05	94.2

resistance $R_{\rm ct}$ of the MnFe₂O₄@C electrode is 94.2 Ω , while the pristine MnFe₂O₄ electrode is 325 Ω . Since the charge-transfer procedure occurs on the contact interface between active material and electrolyte, it is reasonable that $MnFe₂O₄@C$ electrode with larger contact interface exhibits smaller R_{ct} . From the above discussion, it is concluded that the total resistance of $MnFe₂O₄@C$ electrode is obviously lower than that of the pristine $MnFe₂O₄$ electrode, resulting in the significant improvement in the electrochemical performance.

Conclusion

In summary, we have successfully fabricated the $MnFe₂O₄@C$ composites by a scalable solvothermal process with subsequent calcination. The thin carbon shells with a thickness of 3–5 nm are well wrapped on $MnFe₂O₄$ nanospheres. The as-prepared $MnFe₂O₄@C$ composites are proved to have a greatly improved electrochemical performance as comparison with the pristine MnFe₂O₄, showing excellent cyclic stability and rate performance. This can be attributed to the uniform and continuous carbon layers, which can prevent the aggregation and maintain the integrity of particles, and greatly improve the electronic conductivity of electrodes. Our results reveal a new approach to MnFe₂O₄@C composite with great potential as superior anode materials for LIBs.

Acknowledgments This work was supported by the Program for New Century Excellent Talents in University of Ministry of Education of China (NCET-11-1081) and the National Science Foundation of China (No. 21203168).

References

Abe T, Fukuda H, Iriyama Y, Ogumi Z (2004) Solvated Li-ion transfer at interface between graphite and electrolyte. J Electrochem Soc 151:A1120–A1123

- Bruce PG, Scrosati B, Tarascon JM (2008) Nanomaterials for rechargeable lithium batteries. Angew Chem Int Ed 47:2930–2946
- Chen X, Zhang N, Sun K (2012) Facile ammonia-induced fabrication of nanoporous NiO films with enhanced lithiumstorage properties. Electrochem Commun 20:137–140
- Deng Y, Zhang Q, Tang S, Zhang L, Deng S, Shi Z, Chen G (2011) One-pot synthesis of $\text{ZnFe}_2\text{O}_4/\text{C}$ hollow spheres as superior anode materials for lithium ion batteries. Chem Commun 47:6828–6830
- Dunn B, Kamath H, Tarascon JM (2011) Electrical energy storage for the grid: a battery of choices. Science 334:928–935
- Geng H, Zhou Q, Zheng J, Gu H (2014) Preparation of porous and hollow Fe₃O₄ $@C$ spheres as an efficient anode material for a high-performance Li-ion battery. RSC Adv 4:6430–6434
- Hu J, Li H, Huang X, Chen L (2006) Improve the electrochemical performances of $Cr₂O₃$ anode for lithium ion batteries. Solid State Ion 177:2791–2799
- Issac I, Scheuermann M, Becker SM, Bardajı´ EG, Adelhelm C, Wang D, Kübel C, Indris S (2011) Nanocrystalline Ti_{2/} $3Sn_{1/3}O₂$ as anode material for Li-ion batteries. J Power Sources 196:9689–9695
- Ji L, Lin Z, Alcoutlabi M, Zhang X (2011) Recent developments in nanostructured anode materials for rechargeable lithium-ion batteries. Energy Environ Sci 4:2682–2699
- Li H, Wang Z, Chen L, Huang X (2009) Research on advanced materials for li-ion batteries. Adv Mater 21:4593–4607
- Li J, Xiong S, Li X, Qian Y (2013) A facile route to synthesize multiporous $MnCo₂O₄$ and $CoMn₂O₄$ spinel quasi-hollow spheres with improved lithium storage properties. Nanoscale 5:2045–2054
- Lin X, Lv X, Wang L, Zhang F, Duan L (2013) Preparation and characterization of $MnFe₂O₄$ in the solvothermal process: their magnetism and electrochemical properties. Mater Res Bull 48:2511–2516
- Liu J, Li Y, Ding R, Jiang J, Hu Y, Ji X, Chi Q, Zhu Z, Huang X (2009) Carbon/ZnO nanorod array electrode with significantly improved lithium storage capability. J Phys Chem C 113:5336–5339
- Liu SY, Xie J, Zheng YX, Cao GS, Zhu TJ, Zhao XB (2012) Nanocrystal manganese oxide $(Mn₃O₄, MnO)$ anchored on graphite nanosheet with improved electrochemical Li-storage properties. Electrochim Acta 66:271–278
- Liu SY, Xie J, Su QM, Du GH, Zhang SC, Cao GS, Zhu TJ, Zhao XB (2014) Understanding Li-storage mechanism and performance of $MnFe₂O₄$ by in situ TEM observation on its electrochemical process in nano lithium battery. Nano Energy 8:84–94
- Lou XW, Deng D, Lee JY, Archer LA (2008) Preparation of SnO₂/carbon composite hollow spheres and their lithium storage properties. Chem Mater 20:6562–6566
- Mai YJ, Wang XL, Xiang JY, Qiao YQ, Zhang D, Gu CD, Tu JP (2011) CuO/graphene composite as anode materials for lithium-ion batteries. Electrochim Acta 56:2306–2311
- Needham SA, Wang GX, Konstantinov K, Tournayre Y, Lao Z, Liu HK (2006) Electrochemical performance of $Co₃O₄$ –C composite anode materials. Electrochem Solid State Lett 9:A315–A319
- Permien S, Hain H, Scheuermann M, Mangold S, Mereacre V, Powell KA, Indris S, Schürmann U, Kienle L, Duppel V,

Harm S, Bensch W (2013) Electrochemical insertion of Li into nanocrystalline MnFe2O4: a study of the reaction mechanism. RSC Adv 3:23001–23014

- Shah SA, Majeed A, Rashid K, Awan SU (2013) PEG-coated folic acid-modified superparamagnetic $MnFe₂O₄$ nanoparticles for hyperthermia therapy and drug delivery. Mater Chem Phys 138:703–708
- Su Y, Li S, Wu D, Zhang F, Liang H, Gao P, Cheng C, Feng X (2012) Two-dimensional carbon-coated graphene/metal oxide hybrids for enhanced lithium storage. ACS Nano 6:8349–8356
- Su QM, Du GH, Zhang J, Zhong YJ, Xu BS, Yang YH, Neupane S, Kadel K, Li WZ (2013) In situ transmission electron microscopy investigation of the electrochemical lithiationdelithiation of individual Co₉S₈/Co-filled carbon nanotubes. ACS Nano 7:11379–11387
- Tang H, Gao PB, Xing A, Tian S, Bao ZH (2014) One-pot lowtemperature synthesis of a $MnFe₂O₄$ -graphene composite for lithium ion battery applications. RSC Adv 4:28421–28425
- Tao HC, Fan LZ, Mei Y, Qu X (2011) Self-supporting Si/reduced graphene oxide nanocomposite films as anode for lithium ion batteries. Electrochem Commun 13:1332–1335
- Tummala R, Guduru RK, Mohanty PS (2012) Binder free, porous and nanostructured $Co₃O₄$ anode for Li-ion batteries from solution precursor plasma deposition. J Power Sources 199:270–277
- Wang Q, Li H, Chen LQ, Huang XJ (2001) Monodispersed hard carbon spherules with uniform nanopores. Carbon 39:2211–2214
- Wang Y, Zhang HJ, Lu L, Stubbs LP, Wong CC, Lin J (2010) Designed functional systems from peapod-like Co@Carbon to $Co₃O₄@Carbon$ nanocomposites. ACS Nano 4:4753–4761
- Wang B, Chen JS, Wu HB, Wang Z, Lou XW (2011) Quasiemulsion-templated formation of α -Fe₂O₃ hollow spheres with enhanced lithium storage properties. J Am Chem Soc 133:17146–17148
- Wu H, Fan SW, Yuan XW, Chen LF, Deng JL (2013) Fabration of carbon fibers from jute fibers by peroxidation and carbonization. New Carbon Mater 28:448–453
- Xiao Y, Zai J, Tao L, Li B, Han Q, Yu C, Qian X (2013) MnFe₂O₄–graphene nanocomposites with enhanced performances as anode materials for Li-ion batteries. Phys Chem Chem Phys 15:3939–3945
- Xie J, Song W, Cao G, Zhu T, Zhao X, Zhang S (2014) One-pot synthesis of ultrafine ZnFe_2O_4 nanocrystals anchored on graphene for high-performance Li and Li-ion batteries. RSC Adv 4:7703–7709
- Xu X, Cao R, Jeong S, Cho J (2012) Spindle-like mesoporous a- $Fe₂O₃$ anode material prepared from MOF template for high-rate lithium batteries. Nano Lett 12:4988–4991
- Xu LL, Bian SW, Song KL (2014) Graphene sheets decorated with ZnO nanoparticles as anode materials for lithium ion batteries. J Mater Sci 49:6217–6224
- Yang S, Feng X, Ivanovici S, Mullen K (2010) Fabrication of graphene-encapsulated oxide nanoparticles: towards highperformance anode materials for lithium storage. Angew Chem Int Ed 49:8408–8411
- Yao Y, Cai Y, Lu F, Wei F, Wang X, Wang S (2014) Magnetic recoverable $MnFe₂O₄$ and $MnFe₂O₄$ -graphene hybrid as heterogeneous catalysts of peroxymonosulfate activation for efficient degradation of aqueous organic pollutants. J Hazard Mater 270:61–70
- Yoon T, Chae C, Sun YK, Zhao X, Kung HH, Lee JK (2011) Bottom-up in situ formation of $Fe₃O₄$ nanocrystals in a porous carbon foam for lithium-ion battery anodes. J Mater Chem 21:17325–17330
- Yuan SM, Li JX, Yang LT, Su LW, Liu L, Zhou Z (2011) Preparation and lithium storage performance of mesoporous Fe3O4@C microcapsules. ACS Appl Mater Inter 3:705–709
- Zhang C, Wang Z, Guo Z, Lou XW (2012a) Synthesis of MoS₂-C one-dimensional nanostructures with improved lithium storage properties. ACS Appl Mater Inter 4:3765–3768
- Zhang ZL, Che HW, Wang YL, Gao JJ, She XL, Sun J, Zhong ZY, Su FB (2012b) Flower-like CuO microspheres with enhanced catalytic performance for dimethyldichlorosilane synthesis. RSC Adv 2:2254–2256
- Zhang Z, Wang Y, Tan Q, Zhong Z, Su F (2013a) Facile solvothermal synthesis of mesoporous manganese ferrite $(MnFe₂O₄)$ microspheres as anode materials for lithiumion batteries. J Colloid Interface Sci 398:185–192
- Zhang ZL, Wang YH, Zhang MJ, Tan QQ, Lv X, Zhang ZY, Su FB (2013b) Mesoporous CoFe_2O_4 nanospheres crosslinked by carbon nanotubes as high-performance anodes for lithium-ion batteries. J Mater Chem A 1:7444–7464
- Zhang L, Wu HB, Lou XW (2014a) Iron-oxide- based advanced anode materials for lithium ion batteries. Adv Energy Mater 4:1300958
- Zhang XJ, Wang GS, Cao WQ, Wei YZ, Liang JF, Guo L, Cao MS (2014b) Enhanced microwave absorption property of reduced graphene oxide (RGO)-MnFe₂O₄ nanocomposites and polyvinylidene fluoride. ACS Appl Mater Interface 6:7471–7478
- Zhao N, Wang G, Huang Y, Wang B, Yao B, Wu Y (2008) Preparation of nanowire arrays of amorphous carbon nanotube-coated single crystal SnO2. Chem Mater 20:2612–2614
- Zhao NQ, Wu S, He CN, Wang ZY, Shi CS, Liu EZ, Li JJ (2013) One-pot synthesis of uniform $Fe₃O₄$ nanocrystals encapsulated in interconnected carbon nanospheres for superior lithium storage capability. Carbon 57:130–138
- Zhi L, Hu YS, Hamaoui BE, Wang X, Lieberwirth I, Kolb U, Maier J, Müllen K (2008) Precursor-controlled formation of novel carbon/metal and carbon/metal oxide nanocomposites. Adv Mater 20:1727–1731
- Zhou XY, Shi JJ, Liu Y, Su QM, Zhang J, Du GH (2014) Microwave irradiation synthesis of $Co₃O₄$ quantum dots/graphene composite as anode materials for Li-ion battery. Electrochim Acta 143:175–179