ORIGINAL ARTICLE

The complete chloroplast genome of the halophyte fowering plant *Suaeda monoica* **from Jeddah, Saudi Arabia**

Rana M. Alshegaihi[1](http://orcid.org/0009-0001-5132-1213)

Received: 27 June 2023 / Accepted: 11 October 2023 © The Author(s), under exclusive licence to Springer Nature B.V. 2023

Abstract

The complete chloroplast genome (plastome) of the annual fowering halophyte herb *Suaeda monoica* Forssk. ex J. F. Gmel. family (Amaranthaceae) that grows in Jeddah, Saudi Arabia, was identifed for the frst time in this study. *Suaeda monoica* is a medicinal plant species whose taxonomic classifcation remains controversial. Further, studying the species is useful for current conservation and management efforts. In the current study, the full chloroplast genome *S. monoica* was reassembled using whole-genome next-generation sequencing and compared with the previously published chloroplast genomes of *Suaeda* species. The chloroplast genome size of *Suaeda monoica* was 151,789 bp, with a single large copy of 83,404 bp, a small single copy of 18,007 bp and two inverted repeats regions of 25,189 bp. GC content in the whole genome was 36.4%. The cp genome included 87 genes that coded for proteins, 37 genes coding for tRNA, 8 genes coding for rRNA and one non-coding pseudogene. Five chloroplast genome features were compared between *S. monoica* and *S. japonica*, *S. glauca*, *S. salsa*, *S. malacosperma* and *S. physophora*. Among *Suaeda* genus and equal to most angiosperms chloroplast genomes, the RSCU values were conservative. Two pseudogenes (*acc*D and *ycf*1), *rpl*16 intron and *ndh*F-*rpl*32 intergenic spacer, were highlighted as suitable DNA barcodes for diferent *Suaeda* species. Phylogenetic analyses show Suaeda cluster into three main groups; one in which *S. monoica* was closer to *S. salsa*. The obtained result provided valuable information on the characteristics of the *S. monoica* chloroplast genome and the phylogenetic relationships.

Keywords *Suaeda monoica* · Chloroplast genome · NGS · Phylogenetic analysis

Introduction

One of the signifcant halophytes found both inland and in the intertidal zone is *Suaeda monoica* (family Amaranthaceae), a perennial succulent halophytic herb. Typically, it grows in dry shrubland areas or deserts throughout the East and West Coast mangroves in Asia, Europe and North America [\[1](#page-9-0)]. Furthermore, it was recorded from hypersaline soils that extend from Syria to South Africa, Arabian Peninsula, Pakistan to India and Sri Lanka [\[2\]](#page-9-1). There are about 100 species in the genus Suaeda, fve of which are distinguished in many cultures: *S. glauca, S. japonica, S. australis, S. maritima* and *S. malacosperma* [[3](#page-9-2)]. The coastal halophyte *S. monoica* thrives in marine environments and has been extensively studied [[4](#page-9-3)]. The ability of the plant to absorb sodium chloride has also made it helpful in reclaiming salt-afected agricultural lands [[5\]](#page-9-4). In traditional medicine, the leaf of *S. monoica* is considered to be effective against hepatitis; scientifcally, it has been used as an ointment for wound healing and has antiviral properties [\[6](#page-9-5)]. Moreover, it was reported to possess antiviral, antimicrobial, antioxidant, wound healing, and phytoremediation activities [[7,](#page-9-6) [8\]](#page-9-7) (Muthazhaga et al. [9](#page-9-8)). In addition, *S. monoica* has been reported to be effective in treating rheumatism, paralysis, asthma, and snakebites in Saudi Arabia [[10\]](#page-9-9).

The chloroplast is a semi-autonomous organelle in algae, cyanobacteria and plants that performs photosynthesis [[11–](#page-9-10)[13](#page-9-11)]. The chloroplast genomes of higher plants generally consist of double-stranded circular DNA with highly conserved structure and gene content, ranging in size from 120 to 170 kb and containing 120 to 130 genes [\[14](#page-9-12), [15\]](#page-9-13). This genome is generally composed of a small single-copy region (SSC), a large single-copy region (LSC) and two inverted repeat regions (IR) that separate the SSC and LSC [[16](#page-9-14)]. Featuring parthenogenetic inheritance, a small genome, and

 \boxtimes Rana M. Alshegaihi rmalshegaihi@uj.edu.sa

 1 Department of Biological Sciences, College of Science, University of Jeddah, 21493 Jeddah, Saudi Arabia

a low mutation rate [[17\]](#page-9-15). Genetic information obtained from chloroplasts has been widely used to develop DNA barcoding techniques and markers for classifying medicinal plants, species identifcation, population genetics, genome evolution and phylogenetics [\[18,](#page-9-16) [19\]](#page-9-17).

Hence, this study aimed to sequence, annotate, and report the complete chloroplast genome of *S. monoica*, which had never been reported. Furthermore, the new chloroplast genome was compared with the other available chloroplast genome of *Suaeda* species, namely *S. japonica* [[3](#page-9-2)], *S. salsa* [[20\]](#page-9-18)*, S. glauca* [[21\]](#page-9-19), *S. malacosperma* [[22\]](#page-9-20) and *S. physophora* [[23\]](#page-9-21) in order to highlight the genetic diversity and evolutionary dynamics within *Suaeda* genus.

Materials and methods

Sample collection and DNA extraction

The *S. monoica* plant materials were collected from the Southern corniche (Jeddah, Saudi Arabia) (Fig. [1](#page-1-0)), a saline sandy area with very few plants (GPS: Latitude 21° 13' 5.518″ N; Longitude 39° 10′ 32.264″ E). Total genomic DNA was extracted using WizPrep™ gDNA Mini Kit (Cell/ Tissue, WIZBIO, Seoul, South Korea) following the kit's manual. DNA quality was assessed using 1% TBE agarose gels and measured using Quantus™ Fluorometer (Promega,

USA) dsDNA Quantification Kit. Extracted gDNA was stored at−20 °C until further processing.

Library construction, chloroplast genome assembly and annotation

The DNA library was constructed using a fragmented 350 bp short insert following the standard TruSeq protocol (Illumina, San Diego, California, USA). Illumina HiSeq 4000 was used to sequence the library in pair-end mode with 150 bp length/read (Novogene, China). With high-quality clean reads, de novo assembly was performed using the single-contig approach $[24–26]$ $[24–26]$ $[24–26]$ and remapping approach was applied with 25 iterations [[24\]](#page-9-22). The *S. monoica* cp genome assembly and confrmation of coding sequences were performed by using Geneious Prime [[27](#page-10-1)]. In order to annotate the chloroplast genome, GeSeq was used [[28\]](#page-10-2). In addition, the tRNA scan-SE 2.0 search server was used to validate the anticodon sequences and the typical cloverleaf secondary structures of all tRNA [\[29](#page-10-3)].

Tadem repeats and codon usage bias analysis

With a minimum repeat size of 30 and Hamming distance, REPuter predicted a long repetitive sequence based on Hamming distance method. The tool of Microsatellite identifcation (MISA) software was used to detect simple sequence

Fig. 1 Map of *S. monoica* sampling location in Jeddah, Saudi Arabia

repeats (SSRs) [[30\]](#page-10-4). The codon preference (i.e., relative synonymous codon usage RSCU) of protein sequences encoded by six species of the *Suaeda* species was counted by MEGA X [\[31\]](#page-10-5). A heatmap figure was generated from the codon frequencies using the online tool Heatmapper ([www.heatm](http://www.heatmapper.ca) [apper.ca,](http://www.heatmapper.ca) accessed on the 10th of November 2022).

Chloroplast genomes comparative analysis and phylogenetics

IRscope was used to compare IR/SSC and IR/LSC boundaries and junctions among the six *Suaeda* species [\(https://](https://irscope.shinyapps.io/irapp/) [irscope.shinyapps.io/irapp/,](https://irscope.shinyapps.io/irapp/) accessed on the 12th of November 2022). The mVISTA ([https://genome.lbl.gov/](https://genome.lbl.gov/vista/mvista/submit.shtml) [vista/mvista/submit.shtml](https://genome.lbl.gov/vista/mvista/submit.shtml), accessed on the 12th of November 2022) was used to compare the complete chloroplast genome of the six *Suaeda* species. To explore the phylogenetic relationships between the six *Suaeda* species, the total chloroplasts, as well as each cp region (IR, SSC, and LSC), were aligned using Mauve genome aligner [[32\]](#page-10-6) and used for phylogenetic construction by FastTree V2 [\[33](#page-10-7)] following the software default parameters.

Results

Characteristics of *S. monoica* **chloroplast genome**

The cp characteristics of *S. monoica* and the other five *Suaeda* species had a conventional quadripartite structure characteristic of most land plants (Table [1\)](#page-2-0). The length of the cp genome of *S. monoica* was approximately 151,789 kb, with 83,404 bp for LSC, 18,007 bp for SSC and 25,189 bp for the IR. The cp genome of *S. monoica* had 130 genes, including 87 protein-coding genes, 37 tRNA genes, eight rRNA genes, and one pseudogene (Fig. [2\)](#page-3-0). The GC content of the *S. monoica* cp genome was 36.4%.

SSR and long repeats sequence analysis

Through MISA analysis of numbers, types and spatial distributions of simple sequence repeats, we detected the distribution and diferences in SSRs among *S. monoica*.

As with most land plants, mono-nucleotide repeats represent the most abundant SSRs in the plastid genome. The total SSRs loci in cp genomes ranged from 7 to 42 bp, with a total occurrence number of 940 SSRs represented by mono to deca-nucleotide repeats were found. A single deca-nucleotide repeat occurrence was found in the LSC region for the *S. monoica* cp genome. In contrast, nova (9)-nucleotide repeat was found in all regions except for SSC, where none was found (Table [2\)](#page-4-0). LSC was the most common location for SSRs (59%), followed by SSC (15.4%) and IRs (12.3%) . In the current study, we analyzed the repeat sequence of the complete cp genomes of the six *Suaeda* species and found that the repetition type was similar. However, *S. glauca* recorded a higher number of hexanucleotides than the others; in contrast, *S. physophora* recorded a lower number of pentanucleotides than the other *Suaeda* species (Fig. [3](#page-4-1))*.*

Codon usage bias of *Suaeda* **species**

Based on the translation properties of the PCGs, the genes present in *S. monoica* are encoded by 20 amino acids, where the codons encoded for Leucine (L) were the most frequent (10.5%), followed by Isoleucine (8.7%) in contrast to 1.10% recorded for the codons encode Cysteine (C). Based on codon bias or preference, the RSCU values for 29 codons were more than 1.00. The values ranged between 0.34 for codon CGC to 1.98 for codon UUA. Moreover, the codons with A or U (T) nucleotide at the third codon position were most preferred in the RSCU value of *S. monoica* (Fig. [4](#page-4-2)).

When the six *Suaeda* species were compared, the codons were clustered into two major groups based on their occurrences (frequency) within each genome. One cluster featured very low abundance codons in *S. glauca* in contrast to all other species. The other cluster featured high abundance codons in *S. physophora* in contrast to all other species. Both species were clustered apart from the other four, while *S. monoica* and *S. salsa* showed similar codon occurrences values approximate to what was observed in *S. japonica* and *S. malacosperma* (Fig. [5](#page-5-0)).

Table 1 The basic characteristics of *S. monoica* cp genome and the other available *Suaeda* species.

Fig. 2 The complete chloroplast genome map of *S. monoica* with a total length of 151,789 bp. The inner circle represents the GC content and is defned by cp regions (LSC, SSC, IRA and IRB). The outer circle represents the complete cp sequence, with the genes annotated

outside the circle to represent forward genes and the genes annotated inside the circle to represent reversed genes. All genes are colored according to their functional groups

IR expansion and contraction

The six *Suaeda* species were analyzed for the gene content and synteny around the boundaries of the IR region to the LSC and SSC. IR/LSC and IR/SSC regions have also been analyzed for their expansion and contraction diversities. This results in relatively conserved cp genomes across *Suaeda* species in terms of gene arrangement, structure and the number of genes afected across regions (Fig. [6](#page-6-0)). The genes afected by the LSC/IRb (JLB) boundary were *rpl*22, *rps*19 and *rpl*2. In addition, the *ycf*1 and *ndh*F were afected by the IRb/SSC (JSB) boundary, and the SSC/ IRa boundary (JSA) was positioned within the *ycf*1 gene.

Table 2 Repeated sequences in the *S. monoica* chloroplast genome, including repeat class, repeat abundances and percentage abundance

Fig. 3 The total SSR counts in the chloroplast genome s of six *Suaeda* species. For 6-nt repeat, the highest value is presented by up-arrow and for the 5-nt repeat the lowest is presented by down-arrow

Fig. 4 RSCU value for codon occurred in the chloroplast genomes of *S. monoica*. Codon with values above 1.00 is highlighted in orange. The highest RSCU value is recorded for UUA and highlighted in red

Similarly, *rpl*2, *rps*19, *trn*H and *psb*A genes were part of the IRa/LSC (JLA) boundary.

However, in terms of boundary position, the LSC/IRb cp genome boundary was positioned across the 279 bp rps19 gene, which separated the genes into 114–165 and 116–163 bp for *S. physophora* and *S. glauca*, 131–148 for *S. monoica* and *S. salsa* and 130–149 bp for *S. japonica* and *S. malacosperma*. A similar pattern can be observed for the IRb/SSC boundary, where the *ndh*F gene overlapped with the ycf1 pseudogene across the boundaries for all *Suaeda* species, except for *S. physophora* and *S. glauca*, while *ycf*1 was not fully annotated in *S. monoica* compared to the other species*.* Another signifcant difference was observed for the IRa/LSC boundary, where the rps19 gene was crossed in *S. monoica*. In addition, the SSC/IRa junction extends diferent positions across the *ycf*1 pseudogene in the cp genomes of all six species. There were diferences in the lengths of the four regions in the six *Suaeda* species due to variations in the IR/SC boundary region in cp genomes.

Fig. 6 Comparisons of LSC, SSC and IRs junctions among the Suaeda species. Colored boxes represent genes, while arrows show the coordinate positions of each gene near the junctions. Abbrevia-

tions denote the junction site of the plastid genome JLA (IRa/LSC), JLB (IRb/LSC), JSA (SSC/IRa) and JSB (IRb/SSC)

Comparative chloroplast genome analysis of *Suaeda* **species**

The sequence similarity of *S. monoica* chloroplast compared to the other fve *Suaeda* species was analyzed using mVISTA, resulting in high sequence similarity between the analyzed chloroplast genomes with a clear divergence of the consistently divergent species *S. physophora* and *S.* *glauca* (Fig. [7\)](#page-6-1). There was less divergence in IR regions compared to SSC and LSC regions; the PCGs showed a high level of similarity (more than 85%). Land plants with IR regions are more conservative than those with LSCs and SSCs. Although the genomic patterns of the CP genomes were similar, nucleotide variations were found in coding and non-coding regions, including introns and intergenic spaces. In particular, the coding regions that showed a high

Fig. 7 Comparison of six Suaeda chloroplast genomes using mVISTA. The *S. monoica* cp genome was used as a reference and compared to other fve species (1: *S. physophora*, 2: *S. salsa*, 3: *S. glauca*, 4: *S. japonica*, and 5: *S. malacopserma*). The Red shaded area represents high similarity, the grey arrows represent annotated genic regions, and the highlighted blue zones represent exons for intron-containing genes. The genome is presented in three sections, left $(1–50 \text{ kbp})$, middle $(>50–100 \text{ kbp})$ and right $(>100–100 \text{ kbp})$ 151.789 kbp)

nucleotide variation were *acc*D and *ycf*1. While the most divergent non-coding regions were the *rps*16 intron, the *trn*H-*psb*A, *psa*A-*paf*I, *paf*I-*trn*S, *paf*II-*cem*A and *ndh*F*rpl*32 intergenic spacers. All were signifcantly diferent across all species. Subsequently, based on the hypervariability, the *ndh*F-*rpl*32 is the most suitable for developing DNA barcodes and molecular markers to identify and assess genetic variability among *Suaeda* species.

Phylogenetic relationships of *Suaeda* **species**

The phylogenetic trees were built based on the complete cp genome and the IR, SSC and LSC, separately (Fig. [8](#page-7-0)). In each constructed tree topology, high bootstrap support values were detected on most nodes (>0.7) . The unrooted tree based on the complete cp genome showed three clear nodes consistent with the previous results, where *S. monoica* and *S. salsa* formed a highly supported monophyletic node (0.84). When compared to the highly supported monophyletic nodes created by *S. glauca* and *S. physophora*, and by *S. japonica* and *S. malacosperma*. Except for the SSC tree topology, which failed to correctly group the six *Suaeda* species, the other unrooted trees showed the same tree topology as the complete cp genome. However with a diferent bootstrap value for the monophyletic node of *S. monoica* and *S. salsa*, a lower value (0.76) for the IR region, and a higher value (1.00) for the LSC region-based trees. The *S. monoica* and *S. salsa* were found to be the most closely related species within the analyzed Suaeda species. A kinship that is refected by the complete cp sequence, IR and LSC.

Discussion

In this study, Suaeda plants were studied more closely with regard to their genetic relationships and evolutionary characteristics. Based on the presented fndings, the complete cp genome of *S. monoica* was sequenced for the frst time using NGS technology and assembled and compared to other available *Suaeda* species in the NCBI genomic database after splicing and gap flling. The *Suaeda* species share a similar genomic structure, a similar genomic base GC content and a similar gene composition, indicating a stable genome structure and a low level of evolutionary change. Due to its highly conserved nature and low evolutionary rate, the cp genome is a hotspot for phylogenetic studies [\[34\]](#page-10-8); therefore, the entire sequence of the cp genome is a valuable resource for molecular phylogenetics and ecology research [[35\]](#page-10-9).

It was reported that the cp genomes in the majority of land plants showed two identical IR regions, with lower

Fig. 8 Unrooted molecular phylogenetic tree of *Suaeda* species based on total chloroplast genome, IR, SSC and LSC regions

nucleotide substitution rates and fewer indels than SSC and LSC regions [\[36\]](#page-10-10). Therefore, the cp genomes structure in the genus *Suaeda* was conservative, as in most land plants. Like the most reported in other land plants, the length variation in the LSC region is relatively higher than IR and SSC regions, suggesting the SSC and IR regions were more conservative [\[37](#page-10-11)]. The GC content of *Suaeda* species was quite similar, as of *S. monoica* was 36.4%, like the GC content of *S. japonica, S. salsa* and *S. malacosperma* and was 36.5% in *S. glauca* and *S. physophora* [\[21](#page-9-19)[–23](#page-9-21)].

A total of 942 SSRs were detected in *S. monoica* chloroplast genome. Mononucleotides and hexanucleotides were the most abundant repeat type in the plastid genome; similar has been reported in other species [[38\]](#page-10-12). SSRs (simple sequence repeats) are widely used as molecular markers because they are abundant, reproducible, codominantly inherited, uniparentally inherited and relatively conserved, making them ideal for identifying species and assessing genetic variation at both population and individual levels [[39\]](#page-10-13). In addition, most SSR were distributed in LSC and SSC regionsconsistent with the plastid genome. Long repeats sequence was also analyzed in this study.

As a species evolves, it develops adaptive codon usage patterns. Similar codon usage bias indicates similar living environments or close genetic relationships between species [[40,](#page-10-14) [41\]](#page-10-15). Codon preference refers to the uneven usage of synonymous codons encoding the same amino acid by organisms [[34\]](#page-10-8), which evolved through long-term evolution. It has a complex set of synthesis mechanisms [\[42\]](#page-10-16). The evolution and phylogeny of land plants have been extensively investigated using codon usage bias analyses [[43](#page-10-17)]. The RSCU value was greater than 1, indicating codon usage bias. Moreover, codons with A or U (T) nucleotide at the third codon position showed higher RSCU values. Several plant species enrich codons with A or U (T) at the third codon position [[19](#page-9-17)].

Cp genomes experience structural variations primarily due to the expansion and contraction of IRs, contributing signifcantly to genome diversity. Comparatively, the duration of its specifc position and interval plays a crucial evolutionary role [\[34\]](#page-10-8). In the cp genomes of the Suaeda species, the boundaries of SSC/ IR and LSC/IR were found to be diferent. Despite the presence of more conservation in the LSC/IRb, IRa/LSC and IRb/ SSC border regions, variations can be seen in the IRb/IRa border regions, indicating an expansion or contraction of the outer regions [[39](#page-10-13)]. During the contraction and expansion of the IR region, the length of the plastid genome and genes may change [\[44](#page-10-18), [45](#page-10-19)]. Previous research has shown that the gene extent length of IR/SSC and IR/LSC boundaries is associated with systematic features among plant species [\[46\]](#page-10-20). The genes *ndh*F, *ycf*1 and *psb*A vary among Suaeda species in gene length and the distance away from IR/LSC and IR/SSC boundary regions; the LSC region was the most divergent and IR regions were the most conservative [\[47\]](#page-10-21).

Intergenic spacers are more divergent than introns and protein-coding sequences [[16\]](#page-9-14). However, pseudogenes sufer the same fate as intergenic spacers due to a lack of functional importance, leading to less conservative strains. Pseudogenization was common in the evolution of chloroplast genomes, such as *acc*D, *ccs*A, *ycf*1, *rps*19 and *psb*B pseudogenes [[36,](#page-10-10) [48,](#page-10-22) [49](#page-10-23)]. The most divergent genes among the six Suaeda species were two pseudogenes accD and ycf1, an intron of the rps16 genes, and the intergenic spacer ndhF-rpl32. Regions that can be used to develop molecular markers for species identifcation and population studies [[24\]](#page-9-22).

In our phylogenetic analysis, the monophyly of *Suaeda* species was well supported, in agreement with our results and consistent with prior research [[3,](#page-9-2) [21](#page-9-19)[–23](#page-9-21), [54\]](#page-10-24), implying the closely related relationship between *S. monoica* and *S. salsa,* which cluster in one branch, consisting of phylogenetic analyses based on cpDNA, IR and LSC [\[50\]](#page-10-25).

The chloroplast genome of halophytes, including *Suaeda monoica*, is likely to harbor genes essential for the plant's adaptation to saline environments. The primary role of the chloroplast, which is photosynthesis, suggests that many genes will be related to this function. Efficient photosynthesis is paramount in halophytes, especially under high salinity conditions, which can restrict CO_2 availability due to stomatal closure [\[51\]](#page-10-26). Additionally, halophytes like *Suaeda monoica* might possess genes in their chloroplast genomes associated with osmotic stress responses, facilitating the plant's survival under high salt concentrations [[52](#page-10-27)]. Furthermore, the synthesis of compatible solutes, which act as osmoprotectants in cellular protection and osmotic adjustment, may be regulated by specifc genes within the chloroplast [\[53](#page-10-28)]. Oxidative stress is another signifcant challenge in salty habitats and thus genes responsible for the synthesis of antioxidants and other protective molecules might be present in the chloroplast genome [\[54](#page-10-24)]. Protein damage can be prevalent under salt stress; hence, genes related to protein turnover might play a critical role in halophyte chloroplasts [\[55\]](#page-10-29). Lastly, ion transport and sequestration are pivotal in halophytes for managing excess salt ions to avoid cellular damage, suggesting the possible presence of genes linked to this process in the chloroplast [\[56–](#page-10-30)[58](#page-10-31)].

Conclusions

In the current study, the structure of *S. monoica* cp genome, such as basic features, repeat sequences and codon preferences, have been investigated extensively. Moreover, it was compared and tested for IR/LSC and IR/SSC boundaries, code usage bias and phylogenetic relationship with the other fve available cp genomes of *Suaeda* species. The *S. monoica* cp genome was quadripartite and consisted of 130 functional genes. In terms of genome size and content, it is similar to other *Suaeda* species. The structure of the chloroplast

genome was highly conservative in the genus *Suaeda*. Tandem repeats and SSR sequences detected in this study may be used for population genetic analyses. Two pseudogenes, one intron and three intergenic spacers were highly variable regions suitable for developing DNA barcodes and molecular markers to identify and assess genetic variability among *Suaeda* species. Markers that could be employed in species identifcation and population genetic studies. The phylogenetic analyses implied that *S. monoica* was closer to *S. salsa* than other species*.* Our study sheds light on cp genomics and the genetic diversity of Suaeda, paving the way for cp genome editing, DNA barcoding, molecular markers, phylogenetics and population studies in the future.

Acknowledgements The author would like to express their deepest gratitude to university of Jeddah, for the technical support for this study.

Funding This work was funded by the University of Jeddah, Jeddah, Saudi Arabia, under grant No. (UJ-23-FR-89). Therefore, the author thanks the University of Jeddah for its technical and fnancial support.

Data availability The complete sequence of *S. monoica* is deposited into NCBI GenBank accession number OP002735.

Declarations

Conflicts of interest The author declare no confict of interest.

References

- 1. Chung Y (1992) A taxonomic study of the Korean Chenopodiaceae. Sungkyunkwan University, Seoul
- 2. El-Demerdash MA, Hegazy AK, Zilay AM (1994) Distribution of the plant communities in Tihamah coastal plains of Jazan region, Saudi Arabia. Vegetatio 112:141–151. [https://doi.org/10.1007/](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00044688) [BF00044688](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00044688)
- 3. Kim Y, Park J, Chung Y (2019) The complete chloroplast genome of *Suaeda japonica* Makino (Amaranthaceae). Mitochondrial DNA Part B 4:1505–1507. [https://doi.org/10.1080/23802359.](https://doi.org/10.1080/23802359.2019.1601039) [2019.1601039](https://doi.org/10.1080/23802359.2019.1601039)
- 4. Devadatha B (2018) *Deniquelata vittalii* sp. nov., a novel Indian saprobic marine fungus on *Suaeda monoica* and two new records of marine fungi from Muthupet mangroves, East coast of India. Mycosphere 9:565–582. <https://doi.org/10.5943/mycosphere/9/3/8>
- 5. Ayyappan D, Balakrishnan V, Ravindran K (2013) Potentiality of *Suaeda monoica* Forsk a salt marsh halophyte on restoration of saline agricultural soil. World Appl Sci J 28:2026–2032
- 6. Bandaranayake WM (1998) Traditional and medicinal uses of mangroves. Mangrove Salt Marshes 2:133–148. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1009988607044) [10.1023/A:1009988607044](https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1009988607044)
- 7. Arockiya Aarthi Rajathi F, Arumugam R, Saravanan S, Anantharaman P (2014) Phytofabrication of gold nanoparticles assisted by leaves of *Suaeda monoica* and its free radical scavenging property. J Photochem Photobiol, B 135:75–80. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jphotobiol.2014.03.016) [jphotobiol.2014.03.016](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jphotobiol.2014.03.016)
- 8. Joshi A, Kanthaliya B, Rajput V et al (2020) Assessment of phytoremediation capacity of three halophytes: *Suaeda monoica*, *Tamarix indica* and *Cressa critica*. Biol Futur 71:301–312. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1007/s42977-020-00038-0) doi.org/10.1007/s42977-020-00038-0
- 9. Muthazhagan K, Thirunavukkarasu P, Ramanathan T, Kannan D (2014) Studies on phytochemical screening, antimicrobial and

anti radical scavenging efect coastal salt mash plant of a Suaeda monoica. Res J phytochem 8(3):102–111

- 10. Al-Said MS, Siddiqui NA, Mukhair MA et al (2017) A novel monocyclic triterpenoid and a norsesquaterpenol from the aerial parts of *Suaeda monoica* Forssk. ex J.F. Gmel with cell proliferative potential. Saudi Pharm J 25:1005–1010. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsps.2017.03.008) [1016/j.jsps.2017.03.008](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsps.2017.03.008)
- 11. Safhi FA, Alshamrani SM, Jalal AS, Abd El-Moneim D, Alyamani AA, Ibrahim AA (2022) Genetic characterization of some Saudi Arabia's accessions from *Commiphora gileadensis* using physio-biochemical parameters, molecular markers, DNA barcoding analysis and relative gene expression. Genes 13:2099. [https://](https://doi.org/10.3390/genes13112099) doi.org/10.3390/genes13112099
- 12. Abd El Moneim DA, Mohamed IN, Belal AH, Atta ME (2010) Screen in g bread wheat genotypes for drought tolerance: Germination, radical growth and mean performance of yield and its components. In: López-Francos A (ed) Economics of drought and drought preparedness in a climate change context. CIHEAM/FAO/ICARDA/GDAR/ CEIGRAM/MARM, Zaragoza, pp 301–305
- 13. Bausher MG, Singh ND, Lee SB et al (2006) The complete chloroplast genome sequence of *Citrus sinensis* (L) *Osbeck* var "Ridge Pineapple": organization and phylogenetic relationships to other angiosperms. BMC Plant Biol 6:21. [https://doi.org/10.1186/](https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2229-6-21) [1471-2229-6-21](https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2229-6-21)
- 14. Odintsova MS, Yurina NP (2006) Chloroplast genomics of land plants and algae. Biotechnological applications of photosynthetic proteins: biochips, biosensors and biodevices. Springer, pp 57–72
- 15. Ruhlman TA, Jansen RK (2014) The plastid genomes of fowering plants. In: Maliga P (ed) Chloroplast biotechnology. Humana Press, Totowa, pp 3–38
- 16. Meng XX, Xian YF, Xiang L et al (2018) Complete chloroplast genomes from Sanguisorba: identity and variation among four species. Molecules 23:2137. [https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules2](https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules23092137) [3092137](https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules23092137)
- 17. Sun JL, Han Y, Cui XM, Liu Y (2020) Development and application of chloroplast molecular markers in *Panax notoginseng*. China J Chin Mater Med 45:1342–1349
- 18. Dong S, Zhang S, Zhang L et al (2021) Plastid genomes and phylogenomics of liverworts (Marchantiophyta): conserved genome structure but highest relative plastid substitution rate in land plants. Mol Phylogenet Evol 161:107171
- 19. Guo XL, Zheng HY, Price M et al (2020) Phylogeny and comparative analysis of Chinese *Chamaesium* species revealed by the complete plastid genome. Plants 9:965.<https://doi.org/10.3390/plants9080965>
- 20. Qu XJ, Li XT, Zhang LY et al (2019) Characterization of the complete chloroplast genome of *Suaeda salsa* (Amaranthaceae/ Chenopodiaceae), an annual succulent halophyte. Mitochondrial DNA Part B 4:2133–2134. [https://doi.org/10.1080/23802359.](https://doi.org/10.1080/23802359.2019.1623113) [2019.1623113](https://doi.org/10.1080/23802359.2019.1623113)
- 21. Qu XJ, Liu LK, Zhang LY et al (2019) The complete chloroplast genome of an annual halophyte herb, *Suaeda glauca* (Amaranthaceae). Mitochondrial DNA Part B 4:2780–2781. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1080/23802359.2019.1659111) [org/10.1080/23802359.2019.1659111](https://doi.org/10.1080/23802359.2019.1659111)
- 22. Park JS, Choi IS, Lee DH, Choi BH (2018) The complete plastid genome of *Suaeda malacosperma* (Amaranthaceae/Chenopodiaceae), a vulnerable halophyte in coastal regions of Korea and Japan. Mitochondrial DNA Part B 3:382–383. [https://doi.org/10.1080/23802359.](https://doi.org/10.1080/23802359.2018.1437822) [2018.1437822](https://doi.org/10.1080/23802359.2018.1437822)
- 23. Hu Y, Ren X, Zhang J (2022) The complete chloroplast genome of *Suaeda physophora* Pall. (Chenopodiaceae). Mitochondrial DNA Part B 7:1594–1596.<https://doi.org/10.1080/23802359.2022.2115322>
- 24. Magdy M, Ou L, Yu H et al (2019) Pan-plastome approach empowers the assessment of genetic variation in cultivated *Capsicum* species. Hortic Res 6:108.<https://doi.org/10.1038/s41438-019-0191-x>
- 25. Magdy M, Ouyang B (2020) The complete mitochondrial genome of the chiltepin pepper (*Capsicum annuum* var. glabriusculum), the

wild progenitor of *Capsicum annuum* L. Mitochondrial DNA Part B 5:683–684.<https://doi.org/10.1080/23802359.2020.1714496>

- 26. Magdy M, El-Sherbeny EA, Ramirez Sanchez A (2022) The complete chloroplast genome of the Egyptian henbane (*Hyoscyamus muticus* L., Solanaceae). Mitochondrial DNA Part B 7:1109–1111
- 27. Kearse M, Moir R, Wilson A et al (2012) Geneious Basic: an integrated and extendable desktop software platform for the organization and analysis of sequence data. Bioinformatics 28:1647–1649. <https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/bts199>
- 28. Tillich M, Lehwark P, Pellizzer T et al (2017) GeSeq—versatile and accurate annotation of organelle genomes. Nucleic Acids Res 45:W6–W11.<https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkx391>
- 29. Lowe TM, Chan PP (2016) tRNAscan-SE on-line: integrating search and context for analysis of transfer RNA genes. Nucleic Acids Res 44:W54–W57.<https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkw413>
- 30. Beier S, Thiel T, Münch T et al (2017) MISA-web: a web server for microsatellite prediction. Bioinformatics 33:2583–2585. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btx198) [org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btx198](https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btx198)
- 31. Kumar S, Stecher G, Li M et al (2018) MEGA X: molecular evolutionary genetics analysis across computing platforms. Mol Biol Evol 35:1547–1549.<https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msy096>
- 32. Darling ACE, Mau B, Blattner FR, Perna NT (2004) Mauve: multiple alignment of conserved genomic sequence with rearrangements. Genome Res 14:1394.<https://doi.org/10.1101/gr.2289704>
- 33. Price MN, Dehal PS, Arkin AP (2010) FastTree 2—approximately maximum-likelihood trees for large alignments. PLoS ONE 5:e9490. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0009490>
- 34. Daniell H, Lin CS, Yu M, Chang WJ (2016) Chloroplast genomes: diversity, evolution and applications in genetic engineering. Genome Biol 17:1–29
- 35. Li B, Li Y, Cai Q et al (2016) Development of chloroplast genomic resources for *Akebia quinata* (Lardizabalaceae). Conserv Genet Resour 8:447–449
- 36. Li Z, Long H, Zhang L et al (2017) The complete chloroplast genome sequence of tung tree (*Vernicia fordii*): organization and phylogenetic relationships with other angiosperms. Sci Rep 7:1869. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-02076-6>
- 37. Guan Y, Liu W, Duan B et al (2022) The frst complete chloroplast genome of *Vicatia thibetica* de Boiss.: genome features, comparative analysis and phylogenetic relationships. Physiol Mol Biol Plants 28:439–454.<https://doi.org/10.1007/s12298-022-01154-y>
- 38. Chincoya DA, Sanchez-Flores A, Estrada K et al (2020) Identifcation of high molecular variation loci in complete chloroplast genomes of Mammillaria (Cactaceae, Caryophyllales). Genes 11:830.<https://doi.org/10.3390/genes11070830>
- 39. ElShamey EA, Sakran RM, ElSayed MA, Alouf S, Alharthi B, Alqurashi M, Mansour E, Abd El-Moneim D (2022) Heterosis and combining ability for foral and yield characters in rice using cytoplasmic male sterility system. Saudi J Biol Sci 29:3727–3738
- 40. Shen Z, Gan Z, Zhang F et al (2020) Analysis of codon usage patterns in citrus based on coding sequence data. BMC Genomics 21:234.<https://doi.org/10.1186/s12864-020-6641-x>
- 41. Omar M, Rabie HA, Mowaf SA, Othman HT, El-Moneim DA, Alharbi K, Mansour E, Ali MMA (2022) Multivariate analysis of agronomic traits in newly developed maize hybrids grown under different agro-environments. Plants 11:1187. [https://doi.org/10.3390/](https://doi.org/10.3390/plants11091187) [plants11091187](https://doi.org/10.3390/plants11091187)
- 42. Hanson G, Coller J (2018) Codon optimality, bias and usage in translation and mRNA decay. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol 19:20–30
- 43. Wang Z, Cai Q, Wang Y et al (2022) Comparative analysis of codon bias in the chloroplast genomes of *Theaceae* species. Front Genet 13:824610. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fgene.2022.824610>
- 44. Zhang T, Xing Y, Xu L et al (2019) Comparative analysis of the complete chloroplast genome sequences of six species of Pulsatilla

Miller, Ranunculaceae. Chin Med 14:53. [https://doi.org/10.1186/](https://doi.org/10.1186/s13020-019-0274-5) [s13020-019-0274-5](https://doi.org/10.1186/s13020-019-0274-5)

- 45. Kim KJ (2004) Complete chloroplast genome sequences from Korean Ginseng (Panax schinseng Nees) and comparative analysis of sequence evolution among 17 vascular plants. DNA Res 11:247– 261. <https://doi.org/10.1093/dnares/11.4.247>
- 46. Li Q, Xia M, Yu J et al (2022) Plastid genome insight to the taxonomic problem for *Aconitum pendulum* and *A. flavum* (Ranunculaceae). Biologia 77:953–966. [https://doi.org/10.1007/](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11756-021-00969-6) [s11756-021-00969-6](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11756-021-00969-6)
- 47. Shen X, Guo S, Yin Y et al (2018) Complete chloroplast genome sequence and phylogenetic analysis of *Aster tataricus*. Molecules 23:2426.<https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules23102426>
- 48. Krawczyk K, Wiland-Szymańska J, Buczkowska-Chmielewska K et al (2018) The complete chloroplast genome of a rare orchid species *Liparis loeselii* (L.). Conserv Genet Resour 10:305–308. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1007/s12686-017-0809-y) doi.org/10.1007/s12686-017-0809-y
- 49. Li X, Yang JB, Wang H et al (2021) Plastid NDH pseudogenization and gene loss in a recently derived lineage from the largest hemiparasitic plant genus Pedicularis (Orobanchaceae). Plant Cell Physiol 62:971–984.<https://doi.org/10.1093/pcp/pcab074>
- 50. Lu T, Ke M, Lavoie M et al (2018) Rhizosphere microorganisms can infuence the timing of plant fowering. Microbiome 6:231. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1186/s40168-018-0615-0) doi.org/10.1186/s40168-018-0615-0
- 51. Safhi FA, Alshamrani SM, Fiteha YG, Abd El-Moneim D (1931) DNA barcoding of endangered and rarely occurring plants in Faifa mountains (Jazan, Saudi Arabia). Agriculture 2022:12. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.3390/agriculture12111931) [org/10.3390/agriculture12111931](https://doi.org/10.3390/agriculture12111931)
- 52. Safhi FA, Alshamrani SM, Bogmaza AFM, El-Moneim DA (2023) DNA barcoding of wild plants with potential medicinal properties from Faifa mountains in Saudi Arabia. Genes 14:469. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.3390/genes14020469) [org/10.3390/genes14020469](https://doi.org/10.3390/genes14020469)
- 53. Safhi FA, Alshamrani SM, Alshaya DS, Hussein MAA, Abd El-Moneim D (2023) Genetic diversity analysis of banana cultivars (*Musa* sp.) in Saudi Arabia based on AFLP marker. Curr Issues Mol Biol 45:1810–1819. <https://doi.org/10.3390/cimb45030116>
- 54. Mittler R (2002) Oxidative stress, antioxidants and stress tolerance. Trends Plant Sci 7:405–410
- 55. Brossa R, López-Carbonell M, Jubany-Marí T, Alegre L (2011) Interplay between abscisic acid and Jasmonic acid and its role in water-oxidative stress in wild-type, ABA-deficient, JA-deficient and Ascorbate-defcient *Arabidopsis* plants. J Plant Growth Regul 30:322–333
- 56. Kronzucker HJ, Britto DT (2011) Sodium transport in plants: a critical review. New Phytol 189:54–81
- 57. Ibrahim AA, Alwutayd KM, Safhi FA et al (2023) Characterization and comparative genomic analyses of complete chloroplast genome on *Trema orientalis* L. Genet Resour Crop Evol. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10722-023-01678-6) [1007/s10722-023-01678-6](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10722-023-01678-6)
- 58. Alshegaihi RM, Mansour H, Alrobaish SA, Al Shaye NA, Abd El-Moneim D (2023) The frst complete chloroplast genome of *Cordia monoica*: structure and comparative analysis. Genes 14:976. <https://doi.org/10.3390/genes14050976>

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional afliations.

Springer Nature or its licensor (e.g. a society or other partner) holds exclusive rights to this article under a publishing agreement with the author(s) or other rightsholder(s); author self-archiving of the accepted manuscript version of this article is solely governed by the terms of such publishing agreement and applicable law.