SHORT COMMUNICATION

Transcriptional regulation of ABA core signaling component genes in sorghum (*Sorghum bicolor* L. Moench)

Monika Dalal · Madhuri Inupakutika

Received: 5 February 2014/Accepted: 12 May 2014/Published online: 22 May 2014 © Springer Science+Business Media Dordrecht 2014

Abstract Abscisic acid (ABA) plays an important role in growth, development and adaptation of plants to environmental stresses. The mechanism of ABA signal transduction involves three core components namely ABA receptors [pyrabactin resistance 1 (PYR1)/PYR1-like (PYL)/regulatory component of ABA receptor (RCAR)], clade A PP2Cs and Class III SnRK2 family proteins. In the present study, we identified and analyzed the core components of ABA signaling in sorghum, which is known for its drought tolerance. Genome wide *in silico* analysis led to the identification of eight PYL ABA receptors, nine clade A PP2Cs and three class III SnRK2 family members. Abiotic stresses and exogenous

Electronic supplementary material The online version of this article (doi:10.1007/s11032-014-0114-3) contains supplementary material, which is available to authorized users.

M. Dalal (⊠) National Research Centre on Plant Biotechnology, IARI Campus, New Delhi, India e-mail: monika@nrcpb.org; monikadalal@hotmail.com

M. Dalal · M. Inupakutika Directorate of Sorghum Research, Rajendra Nagar, Hyderabad, Andhra Pradesh, India

Present Address: M. Inupakutika Department of Biology, Eastern New Mexico University, Portales, NM 88130, USA

ABA-mediated transcriptional changes of the genes encoding ABA core signaling components were analyzed at seedling stage. All the members of SbPYL gene family were downregulated, except SbPYL1 and SbPYL7 which showed significant upregulation in leaf under drought stress. SbPYL1 and SbPYL5 were upregulated in response to ABA, cold, high salt and PEG-induced osmotic stress, while SbPYL4 showed significant upregulation only under cold stress. Expression levels of the SbPP2C genes were higher or unaffected in response to exogenous ABA and abiotic stresses in leaf except SbPP2C5, which decreased under cold stress. SbPP2C4, SbPP2C5 and SbPP2C6 were highly induced (up to 56-fold-99-fold increase) under different stresses. Expression of class III SbSnRK2 genes was either unaffected or downregulated under abiotic stresses and exogenous ABA. Heat stress downregulated the expression of all the ABA core signaling component genes except that of SbPP2C6 which was upregulated under heat stress. In general, abiotic stresses upregulated the expression of PP2Cs but downregulated the expression of SnRK2 in sorghum seedlings. Differential stress-responsive expression and less number of PYLs in sorghum as compared with Arabidopsis suggest that SbPYL family members might have acquired distinct functions during evolution.

Keywords ABA signaling · Osmotic stress · PP2C · PYL · SnRK2 · Sorghum

Introduction

The plant stress hormone abscisic acid (ABA) orchestrates several important physiological functions and adaptive responses of plants to environmental stresses. Shortly after the discovery of ABA as abscisin, dormin and inhibitor- β in early 1960s, its role in stress response was established (Addicott and Lyon 1969). This triggered intensive research in deciphering various biochemical and molecular genetic mechanisms of ABA signaling that led to the identification of protein phosphatase 2C (PP2C) and subclass III sucrose non-fermenting-1 (SNF1)-related protein kinase 2 (SnRK2), ABF/AREB transcription factors, effector genes and secondary messengers involved in ABA responses (Cutler et al. 2010). PP2Cs belong to Mg²⁺- or Mn²⁺-dependent protein phosphatase (PPM) family of protein serine/threonine phosphatases that require Mg²⁺/Mn²⁺ as cofactor (Schweighofer et al. 2004). Arabidopsis genome encodes 80 PP2C genes which are categorized into 13 subfamilies namely A-L (Schweighofer et al. 2004; Xue et al. 2008). Clade A subfamily contains nine proteins, of which six are negative regulators of ABA signal transduction (Cutler et al. 2010). SnRK2 proteins belong to family of plant-specific serine/ threonine kinases that are involved in abiotic stress and ABA responses (Boudsocq et al. 2004; Fujii et al. 2007). The ten members of SnRK2 family are divided into three subclasses viz. I, II and III in Arabidopsis (Kobayashi et al. 2004). Members of SnRK2 subclass I are activated by osmotic stress, while subclass II and III kinases are activated by both ABA and osmotic stress. Subclass III SnRK2s show higher activation by ABA than subclass II (Boudsocq et al. 2004) and are positive regulators of ABA signal transduction (Mustilli et al.2002; Yoshida et al. 2002). The quest for ABA receptor started about three decades ago, and many candidate proteins were proposed as ABA receptors. Yet none of these proteins could be proved as bona fide ABA receptor (McCourt and Creelman 2008). The enigmatic search for the ABA receptor culminated in 2009, when two research groups reported the discovery of soluble receptors of ABA namely pyrabactin resistance (PYR)/PYR1-like (PYL)/regulatory component of ABA receptor (RCAR). These scientists demonstrated ABA-dependent inhibition of clade A PP2Cs (ABI1 or ABI2) by PYR/PYL/RCARs (Ma et al. 2009; Park et al. 2009). Based on these results, it was proposed that ABA-bound receptors (PYR/PYLs/ RCARs) inhibit PP2Cs, which relieves repression of SnRK2s. This proposed pathway for signal perception and transduction was successfully reconstituted in vitro demonstrating that ABA receptors (here after collectively referred as PYL), PP2Cs and SnRK2s constitute the core components of ABA signaling for stress-responsive gene expression (Fujii et al. 2009). The structural and functional aspects of ABA core signaling components have been reviewed in Santiago et al. (2012). Since the establishment of core components of ABA signaling in *Arabidopsis*, the orthologs of PYL, PP2C and SnRK2 family were identified in rice, tomato, grape and strawberry (Chai et al. 2011; Sun et al. 2011; Kim et al. 2012; Boneh et al. 2012a, b).

Sorghum is the fifth most important crop of the world. It is grown in arid and semiarid areas of the world and is a source of food, feed, fodder, fiber and fuel. Its diverse germplasm and genomic resources, along with its capability to grow under low input and environmentally adverse conditions, make it an attractive model for functional genomics. Moreover, it provides a link for translational research between model systems such as Arabidopsis and rice with the larger and polyploid genomes such as maize and sugarcane. Despite larger variation in genome size, there is syntenic relationship among these species. Studies on the orthologous genes across species may help identification of evolutionarily conserved and distinct functions that are acquired during the course of evolution. Identification and analysis of such distinct functions in turn might reveal the speciesspecific differences in stress adaptation. Therefore, to understand the mechanism of ABA perception and signal transduction in sorghum, we carried out genome-wide analysis to identify core components of ABA signaling and their transcriptional regulation in response to exogenous ABA and abiotic stresses.

The protein sequences of the *Arabidopsis* ABA core signaling components namely ABA receptors (*PYR/PYL/RCAR*), clade A *PP2C* and *SnRK2* family genes were obtained from TAIR database (http://www.arabidopsis.org). The protein sequences were subjected to a tblastn search against the sorghum genome (www.phytozome.net.in) with default settings. After eliminating redundant sequences, the obtained protein sequences were screened for the existence of specific domains (http://pfam.sanger.ac. uk/) with *E* value cutoff of 0.01 and default setting of

SMART database (http://smart.embl-heidelberg.de/). Conserved motifs were identified through prosite (www.prosite.expasy.org). Rice sequences were obtained from MSU database (www.rice.plantbiology. msu.edu/). Multiple alignment of amino acid sequences were performed using the ClustalW2 algorithm (http://www.ebi.ac.uk/Tools/msa/clustalw2/) with default settings. Phylogenetic trees were constructed using neighborhood-joining method in MEGA 5.2.1 software (http://megasoftware.net/). Bootstrap analysis was performed with 1,000 replicates to evaluate the reliability of different phylogenetic groups.

The expression of PYL, PP2C and SnRK2 family genes was analyzed in Sorghum [Sorghum bicolor (L.) Moench] genotype BTx623 at seedling stage. The seedlings were raised in pots filled with soil under natural environmental conditions. Fourteen-day-old seedlings were subjected to moisture-deficit stress (drought) by withholding irrigation, and sampling was done after 7 and 14 days when the leaf relative water content (RWC) was 85.54 and 46.36 %, respectively. The samples of 14 days stress with 46 % RWC were used for analysis. Plants that were watered optimally were taken as control (RWC 94.90 %). For RWC measurement, the leaf samples from control and water-stressed plants were cut into small pieces $(\sim 1 \text{ cm})$ and fresh weights were recorded. These leaves were immersed in water for 6 h at room temperature, and then, turgid weight of the leaves was recorded. These leaves were dried in an oven at 80 °C for 2 days, and dry weight was recorded. The RWC was calculated using the formula RWC (%) = (fresh weight – dry weight)/(turgid weight – dry weight) \times 100.

For imposing other stresses, the 14-day-old sorghum seedlings were carefully removed from pots and the soil was washed away from roots. The whole seedlings were used for abiotic stress treatments by immersing the roots in water (control), 10 % PEG6000 (-1.48 bars) or 150 mM NaCl solution (15 dS m⁻¹; -7.4 bars). For imposing cold stress, seedling roots were immersed in ice-cold water and placed at 4 °C, while high temperature stress was imposed by keeping the seedlings at 42 °C. ABA treatment was given by applying 100 μ M ABA on the leaves. The stress was given for 3 h. For all these treatments, seedlings kept in water at 24 °C served as control. After the stress treatments, leaf and root (for drought stress) samples were collected from the control and stressed seedlings, immediately frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at -80 °C till further use.

Total RNA was isolated from control and stressed leaf and root tissues using RNeasy plant Mini Kit (QIAGEN). Genomic DNA contamination in RNA was eliminated by on-column DNAse digestion (RNase free DNase set, QIAGEN) according to the manufacturer's protocol. Further, the absence of DNA in total RNA was confirmed by using RNA as template in PCR. The primers used for RT-PCR analysis are given in Supplementary Table S1. All primer pairs amplified expected amplicon in PCR with DNA and cDNA as template. For RT-PCR expression analysis, the first-strand cDNAs were synthesized using superscript III following the manufacturer's protocol (Invitrogen). The cDNA was synthesized from pooled biological replicates for each treatment separately. The reactions were carried out in three technical replicates each. The relative expression of genes was analyzed by real-time PCR using Mastercycler ep Realplex (Eppendorf, AG, Hamburg) with SYBR Premix (KAPA SYBR FAST qPCR kit). Each 10-µL reaction contained 0.4 µL (0.4 µM of forward and reverse primer mix), 2 µL (1:20 diluted) of cDNA template, 5 µL of SYBR master mix. Reaction conditions were as follows: one cycle of 95 °C for 2 min, 40 cycles of 95 °C for 3 s and 60 °C for 45 s followed by melt curve analysis to rule out non-specific amplification. GAPDH (Sb07g002220) was used as house keeping gene for normalization of cDNA concentration. The normalized control (non-stressed) $C_{\rm t}$ values ($\Delta C_{\rm t}$) were used as calibrator. Relative fold change in expression in stress samples over control were calculated using the relative $2^{-\Delta\Delta C_t}$ method (Livak and Schmittgen 2001). The fold change values were directly plotted in log scale.

Results and discussion

Arabidopsis genome codes for 14 ABA receptor (ABARs) family genes. Based on the amino acid sequences of these *Arabidopsis* ABARs, eight candidate genes of PYL family were identified in sorghum genome. All these candidate PYL proteins contain polyketide cylase2 domain (PF10604). Polyketide cylase is a subfamily of Bet v 1-like superfamily (PfamC:CL0209). The 14 members of PYR/PYL/

RCAR family in Arabidopsis belong to this subfamily of polyketide cyclase-like proteins (Klingler et al. 2010). The Bet v 1-like superfamily is characterized by presence of a hydrophobic cavity that acts as ligand binding site. In PYR/PYL protein, ABA binds to this ligand binding pocket. Binding of ABA brings changes in the surrounding β sheet loops called 'GATE' and 'LATCH' loops (hence referred as gate and latch mechanism of ABA signaling) (Melcher et al. 2009). These conformational changes in turn facilitate ABA-mediated interaction of PYL with PP2C. The gate and latch loops are represented by highly conserved amino acid residues, SGLPA and HRL, respectively (Fig. 1a). Among the eight candidate PYL genes in sorghum, six have perfect gate and latch motif. The remaining two genes, that is Sb03g038600 and Sb09g006700, have one mismatch (S-T) making the gate motif as TGLPA instead of SGLPA. The TGLPA motif was also found in some of the PYLs of maize, Brachypodium, rice (He et al. 2014) (Supplementary Fig. S1), strawberry and grape (Chai et al. 2011; Bohen et al. 2012b). This suggests S89T is relatively a common feature in gate motif. Therefore, these eight candidate genes were considered as members of PYL family in sorghum and were designated as SbPYL1-SbPYL8 (Supplementary Table S2). All the SbPYLs conform to the sequence and domain conservation as identified in AtPYLs (Melcher et al. 2009) (Fig. 1a; Supplementary Fig. S2). Phylogenetic analysis also revealed three subfamily of SbPYLs similar to that of Arabidopsis PYL family (Ma et al. 2009) (Fig. 1a). Among the eight members of SbPYL family, two of the intron-containing genes, SbPYL7 and SbPYL8 (Sb09g006700 and Sb04g009280), clustered with intron-containing AtP-*YLs* (Fig. 1a).

The *Arabidopsis* genome encodes 80 *PP2C* genes that are divided into 13 subfamilies (A–L) (Schweighofer et al. 2004; Xue et al. 2008). The subfamily 'clade A' consists of 9 *PP2C* members, of which six are well-characterized negative regulators of ABA signaling (Cutler et al. 2010). Based on Pfam domain search (PF 00481), we identified 80 PP2C genes in sorghum genome. Phylogenetic analysis revealed 12 SbPP2C proteins that clustered with clade A PP2Cs of *Arabidopsis*. These 12 candidate PP2C A sequences were further analyzed for motifs and residues that are highly conserved and necessary for phosphatase activity and interaction of PP2Cs with ABA-PYL and SnRK2 (Santiago et al. 2012; Soon et al. 2012). Among the 12 SbPP2Cs, three genes appear to be nonfunctional as they encode a protein with N-terminal truncation (Sb09g026860), C-terminal truncation (Sb02g038100) or 34 amino acid deletion (Sb03g32740) corresponding to HAB1 residues 367-400 (Supplementary Fig. S3). The 34 amino acid deletion encompasses tryptophan residue (Trp385 in HAB1), which is crucial for interaction with PYL and locking mechanism (Melcher et al. 2009). Hence, sorghum genome encodes at least 9 functional clade A PP2C genes (Supplementary Table S3). The multiple alignments of these PP2C protein sequences revealed that most of the functional residues or domains were well conserved within this clade of proteins (Fig. 1b; Supplementary Fig. S3). The clade A PP2C genes from Arabidopsis and rice make 4 and 3 paralogous gene pairs, respectively (Xue et al. 2008). In sorghum, also three paralogous gene pairs were found which further supports diversion by gene duplication in both monocots and eudicots (Xue et al. 2008). Furthermore, each gene of the paralogous gene pairs in sorghum had an orthologous gene in rice, and most of these were supported by high bootstrap value (96-100) (Supplementary Fig. S4). Existence of such orthologous gene pairs between species supports their close evolutionary relationship.

The ten members of SnRK2 family from Arabidopsis were used as query for BLAST search in sorghum genome database. Initially, 96 putative genes were identified, and later based on SnRK-specific Pfam domain (PF0069), 94 SnRK genes were identified in sorghum genome. Phylogenetic analysis revealed that out of 94 sorghum SnRK proteins, 10 SbSnRK proteins clustered with 10 AtSnRK2 proteins (Supplementary Table S4). Our study identified more number of SnRK genes than previous report of 41 SnRKs in sorghum (Li et al. 2010). However, the number of SnRK2 family members identified was same as found in our study. There were three SbSnRK2 (Sb01g007120, proteins Sb01g014720 and Sb08g019700) that clustered with Arabidopsis ABAactivated SnRK2 subclass III proteins (SnRK2.2, SnRK2.6 and SnRK2.3). These three SbSnRK2 proteins contain highly conserved ATP-binding loop, activation loop, PP2C interface residues, SnRK2 box (domain I) and highly acidic ABA box (domain II) (Yoshida et al. 2006; Soon et al. 2012; Ng et al. 2011) (Fig. 1c; Supplementary Fig. S5). The domain I

			Gate		Latch				
		* *	* * * ***	* *	* ***	* *	*	*	
(A)	100 🗖 🗖 AT4G17870(PYR1)	J YKHF-	- RDVIVISGLPAN	TSTERL-	- HRLTNYKS -	ESYVVDMP:-	-)TRMF -	-'NT	
• • •	98 🗆 🗆 AT5G46790(PYL1)	YKHF-	- RDVNVISGLPAN	TSRERL-	- HRLRNYKS -	ESYVVDVP:-	-)TRLF -	-'NT	
	■ Sb01g028330(SbPYL	_1) YKHF-	- REVSVISGLPAS	TSTERL -	- HRLRNYRS -	ESYVVDVP-	-)TRLF-	-'NT	
	98 - Sb04g008040(SbPYL	_2) - IIIYKHF -	- REVTVVSGLPAS	TSTERL -	- HRLRNYRS	esyvvdvp-	-)TRMF -	-'NL	
	Sb10g022200(SbPYL	3) YKHF-	- REVTVVSGLPAS	SSTERL -	- HRLRNYRS -	ESYAVDVP -	-)TRMF -	-,NL	
	98 AT2G26040(PYL2)	YKHF-	- REVTVISGLPAS	TSTERL -	- HRLKNYKS -	ESYTVDIP -	-)TKMF -	- NL	
	92 AT1G73000(PYL3)	J YKHF-	- REVSVVSGLPAS	TSVEIL-	- HRLNNYRS	ESYIVDIP-	-)TRMF -	- NL	
	94 - Sb09q023180(SbPYL	5) YKHF-	- REVRVVSGLPAT	SSRERL -	- HRLANYRS	esyvvdvp -	- TRVF -	-:NL	
	93 - Sb03g038600(SbPYL	6) YKGF-	- RELEVVTGLPAC	NSRERL -	- HRLANYRS -	ESYVVDVP	- THIF -	-'NL	
	30 Sb01g038150(SbPY)	4) YKRF	- REVRVVSGLPAA	SSRERL	- HRLONYLS	esyvvdvp -	- TRVF -	-:NL	
	AT5G05440(PYL5)	YKNF-	- REVMVVSGLPAV	SSTERL-	- HRLKNYRS'-	ESYIVDVP-	- TLSF -	-:NL	
41	AT2G38310(PYL4)	- UYKHF-	- ROVHVVSGLPAA	SSTERL	- HRLSNYRS -	ESYVVDVP-	- TCDF -	- NL	
	AT2G40330(PVL6)	YKHF-	- REVRVVSGLPAA	FSLERL	- HRLMNYKS -	ESYVVDVP-	- TCSF -	- NT.	
	AT4g18620(PYL13)	YORF-	- RDVTLVSGEPAD	FSTERL	- HRLVNYKS -	ESYVVDVP-	- 77T(-	- NT.	
	58 ATEG45860(PVI 11)	ARUE-	- REVIVVSGLPAR	FSRERL	- HRLVNYRS -	ESYVVDVP -	- TTSF -	- 'NIT.	
Ц	89 ATEC 45830(PYL 12)	EKHE-	- REVIVUSDLDAS	FSLERL-	- HRLVNYOS -	FSYWDVP -	- 'TTLE -	- 'NT.	
	$g_7 \longrightarrow \Box ATSG45870(FTET2)$	J VKDE-	- REVOVKSCI DAT	POTERI -	- HEIKNAGG -	FSEVVDVP -	- TOYE -	- 'NT.	
		VKDE-	- PEVDIKSCI DAT	KOTENI -·	- HET KNAGS -		- TOFF	- 'NT.	
		N EKDE-	- DEVNIVECT DAT		- UDI ONVCC -		- TOTT	- 'NIT	
	100 93 Sb04g009280(SbP1L		- DDWWWEGI DAT	ROIERL	- UDI ONVCC -		- TOIT	- 'NTT	
		-/) INPP	- DEWNWROLDA	IJIERL	- UDI VNVCC -		- TOIT		
	52 AT4G01026(PYL7)	IKPF	- DEWNWCCI DA	ISIERL	- UDI KNYCC -		- TOIF		
	99 - LI ATTG01360(PYL9)	J IKPF	KEVNVKSGLPAI	ISIERL	UKTVN I 22	ESE VVDVP	ICIF	· 14 11	
			• • • • • •						
(B)	100 At4g26080(ABI1)	GRRPI	EMED — -DGHG G	GDSRA	V — – SVDHK	——GGKVIQWNG	YRVFGVL	AMSR	
. ,	100 At5g57050(ABI2)	GRRPI	emed — - dghg g	GDSRA	V—– SVDHK	GGKVINWNG	YRVLGVL	AMSR	
	100 Sb03g026070(SbPF	P2C1) GGRSI	emed — - dghg gi	GDSRA	V — – SVDHK	——GGKVIQWQG	ARVSGVL	AMSR	
	└── ■ Sb09g030600(SbPF	P2C2) GNRSH	EMED — - DGHG G	GDSRA	V—– SVDHK	—— GGKVIQWQG	ARVFGVL	AMSR	
	86 - At1g17550(HAB2)	GRRPI	EMED — - DGHG G	GDSRA	v — – svdhk	GGKVIQWNG	ARVFGVL	AMSR	
	100 🗖 🗆 At1g72770(HAB1)	GRRPI	EMED — - DGHG G	GDSRA	v — – svdhk	GGKVIRWNG	ARVFGVL	AMSR	
	Sb02g022090(SbPF	P2C3) GRRRI	EMED — - DGHG C	GDSRA	v—- ssdhk	GGRVIFWEG	ARVLGVL	AMSR	
	99 — 🗆 At1g07430	GRRRI	DMEDDGHG C	GDSRA	v — – svdhk	GGRVIYWDG	ARVLGVL	AMSR	
90	92 - At2g29380	GRRR	EMED - DGHG C	GDSRA	v — - ssdhk	GGRVIYWDG	PRVLGVL	AMSR	
ΙГ	99 At5a59220	GRRRI	MED DGHG C	GDSBA	V STDHK	GGRVTYWDG	ARVI.GVI.	AMSR	
		GRBB	FMED DOHG C	CDSPA	V STDHK		PRVLGVL	AMSR	
66			EMED DOILC C	CDSDA	V SVDHK			AMCD	
	81 100 Sb09g022410(SbFF	-204) GLINN	EMED DGRG C	GDSKA	V = SVDHR			AMOD	
		-207) GRRR	EMED DGHGC	GDSRA	V SVDAK	GGRVIIWDG		AMOR	
	09 ■ Sb01g039890(SbPF	-208) GRRRI	DMEDDGHG C	GDSRA	V SDDHK	GGRVIFWDG		AMSR	
4 L	Sb09g029080(SbPF	P2C5) GRRR	e me d — -dghg Gi	–– GDSRA	V—– STDHK	— – GGRVINWNG	YRVLGVL	ATSR	
	100 🖵 🗖 sb03g029890(SbPF	2C6) GRRRI	emed —-dghg g	GDSRA	V—– SSDHK	GGRVINWNG	YRVLGVL	ATSR	
	Sb06g001720(SbPF	P2C9) GRMRI	i med – - dghg g	GDSRA	V —– SFDHK	GGQVVFING	YRVRGIL	AMSR	
	100 🖵 🗆 At5g51760(AHG1)	GRSR	KMEDDGHG G	GDSRA	v — – sndhk	GGRVLVVDG	ARVEGIL	ATSR	
(C)	AT	P binding loo	op Activatio	n loop		ABA Box			
	—— 🗆 At4g33950(SnRK2E 2.6/ost1)	TGSGNE-DE	GYSKSSVLHSOPK	STVGTPAY	TAPE LDLD	DDMDD - FDSE - S	SEIDVDSS	GETVYAL	
Н,	■ Sb01g007120 (SnSnRK2-1)	IGSGNF-DF	GYSKSSVLHSOP K	STVGTPAY	IAPE - LDLD	DDMDD - FDSE - S	SEIDIDSS	GEIVYAL	
	Sb01g014720(SnSnRK2-2)	IGSGNFDF	GYSKSSVLHSOP K	STVGTPAY	IAPE LDMD	DDMDD-LDSD-S	DLDVDSS	GEIVYAM	
946	3 - Sb08g019700(SnSnRK2-3)	IGSGNFDF	GYSKSSVLHSOP K	STVGTPAY	IAPE LLDLD	DDMED-LDSD-A	ADLDLESS	GEIVYAM	
· ·		TCCCNE DE					DUDTESS	GETVYAM	
	At3g50500(snRk2D 2.2)	TOPONL DL	GISKSSVLHSQPK	SIVGIPAI	TAPE		101010200	0	

Fig. 1 Phylogenetic analysis of members of ABA core signaling components from sorghum and *Arabidopsis*. Trees were generated by neighbor-joining method (Mega 5.2) with bootstrap value 1,000. The alignment was performed with the CLUSTALW2 program (http://www.ebi.ac.uk/Tools/clustalw2/index.html) using the default settings. Conserved residues are shaded in *gray*. **a** Conserved residues and residues involved in ligand binding (*stars*) in ABA receptors (PYLs) are denoted according to Melcher et al. (2009), **b** residues involved

in interaction of PP2C with ABA, PYLs, Mn^{2+} ions are marked based on Santiago et al. (2012), contact points for *inverted triangle* ABA, *filled inverted triangle* phosphate, *filled circle* PYL interaction and *shaded inverted triangle* Mn^{2+} , **c** functional residues and domains in SnRK2 are reported based on Ng et al. (2011) and Soon et al. (2012). Protein sequences of ABA core signaling components of sorghum and *Arabidopsis* were obtained from www.phytozome.net and www.arabidopsis.org, respectively



Fig. 2 Real-time RT-PCR expression analysis of *SbPYL*, *SbPP2C* and *SbSnRK2* genes in leaves and roots under moisture-deficit stress. Relative fold change in expression was

calculated using the $2^{-\Delta AC_t}$ method (Livak and Schmittgen 2001) and shown in *log scale*. *Error bars* indicate SEM (n = 3)

(SnRK2 box) is highly conserved in the ten SnRK2 proteins, while domain II has been shown to be required for ABA-mediated activation of SnRK2 (Yoshida et al. 2006).

Real-time RT-PCR analysis showed that all the members of SbPYL family except SbPYL1 and SbPYL7 were downregulated in leaf under drought stress (Fig. 2). Expression of SbPYL1 and SbPYL7 was almost twofold higher in drought-stressed leaves as compared to that of unstressed leaves. In root tissue, all the SbPYL genes were downregulated under drought stress (Fig. 2). Downregulation of PYL genes under drought stress has also been reported in tomato and grape. Of the eight *SlPYL* genes in tomato, except SIPYL1 and SIPYL8, rest of the SIPYL genes were downregulated by dehydration stress in leaf (Sun et al. 2011). In grape (Vitis vinifera) PYL expression decreased in roots under abiotic stress condition (Bohen et al. 2012b). High temperature downregulated the expression of all the *SbPYL* genes in leaf (Fig. 3a). SbPYL1 showed upregulation in response to ABA and osmotic stresses such as PEG and high salt. SbPYL5 expression was higher under osmotic stresses, and a significantly higher (7.5-fold) increase in expression was recorded with ABA treatment (Fig. 3a). SbPYL4 was found to be very specific for cold stress and showed highest increase in expression (19-fold) among all the SbPYL genes in leaf (Fig. 3a). Sorghum genome encodes at least 9 clade A PP2Cs. From these, six SbPP2C genes were selected for expression analysis. All the six SbPP2C genes were expressed at different levels in leaf under drought stress condition (Fig. 2). SbPP2C1, SbPP2C2 and SbPP2C3 showed 1.5-fold-3.4-fold increase, while SbPP2C4, SbPP2C5 and SbPP2C6 exhibited 56-fold-99-fold increase in expression in leaves under drought stress. Conversely to SbPYL genes, which showed downregulation in roots under drought stress, three out of six SbPP2C analyzed (SbPP2C4, SbPP2C 5 and SbPP2C6) showed 1.5-fold-3.2-fold upregulation in roots under drought stress (Fig. 2). Among these, SbPP2C6 also showed high temperature responsiveness by exhibiting 3.6-fold increase in expression, while rest of the SbPP2C genes were downregulated by high temperature stress in leaf (Fig. 3b). Expression levels of the SbPP2Cs were in general higher or unaffected in response to osmotic stresses and exogenous ABA in leaf except SbPP2C5, which decreased under cold stress (Fig. 3b). Expression of SbPP2C6 was predominantly higher under all the abiotic stresses and exogenous ABA treatment in sorghum. The results from different plant species including Arabidopsis, rice, barley, tomato, sweet orange and grape also revealed a highly inductive nature of clade A PP2C genes under different abiotic stress conditions (Xue et al. 2008; Singh et al. 2010; Sun et al. 2011; Bohen et al. 2012b; Romero et al. 2012; Seiler et al. 2014). However, the level of induction and stress specificity might differ among these genes. In Grape, VvPP2C4/8/9 were highly induced in response to



Fig. 3 Real-time RT-PCR expression analysis of **a** *SbPYL*, **b** *SbPP2C* and **c** *SbSnRK2* genes in leaves of 14-day-old sorghum seedlings under osmotic and temperature stresses. Relative fold change in expression was calculated using the

exogenous ABA, drought, salt and cold stresses, while *VvPP2C2*, *VvPP2C1* and *VvPP2C3* were specifically induced in response to ABA and drought, cold stress and salt stress, respectively, (Bohen et al. 2012b). Similar to *SbPP2Cs*, *VvPP2Cs* also showed induction in roots under stress conditions (Bohen et al. 2012b).

Analysis of expression levels of three class III SbSnRK2 genes that clustered with Class III AtSnRK2 members revealed that these genes were either

 $2^{-\Delta AC_t}$ method (Livak and Schmittgen 2001) and shown in *log scale. Error bars* indicate SEM (n = 3)

unaffected or downregulated under different abiotic stresses and exogenous ABA (Figs. 2, 3c). Expression analysis of class III *SnRK2* genes in rice and grape also revealed that these genes were downregulated by ABA and osmotic stress (Kobayashi et al. 2004; Boneh et al. 2012a). However, at protein level, these genes show activation by osmotic stress as well as ABA (Kobayashi et al. 2004). *ZmSnRK2.8* and *ZmSnRK2.10* from class III genes also showed downregulation under

ABA/heat and cold/heat stress, respectively (Huai et al. 2008). However, in tomato leaf, expression of all the class III SnRK2 genes increased under dehydration conditions (Sun et al. 2011). These results suggest species-specific variation in stress-responsive expression pattern of SnRK2 genes.

In general, the expression pattern of genes for core components of ABA signaling has been found to be conserved across many species with some speciesspecific variations. Exogenous ABA and abiotic stresses positively regulate the transcription of PP2Cs but negatively regulate the expression of SnRK2s, while PYL family members show differential regulation. However, these species differ considerably in their tolerance to abiotic stresses. During the course of evolution, genes have been known to acquire additional or different physiological functions depending on the species. In Arabidopsis, AtPYR1/PYL1/PYL2/ PYL4 have been associated with seed germination, root growth and ABA-induced gene expression (Park et al. 2009), whereas the strawberry homolog of AtPYR1, FaPYR1 plays important role in fruit ripening (Chai et al. 2011). Moreover, given the multiple combinations possible with multigene family of PYL/ PP2CA/SnRK2, there can be many species or stressspecific combinations of core signaling components. It is likely that SbPYL genes might have reduced redundancy as compared to that of Arabidopsis and might have acquired distinct functions to instill abiotic stress tolerance in sorghum. Therefore, a detailed species-specific characterization is required for understanding the complexity of ABA signalome. Despite the complexity of stress response, there have been studies where overexpression of single gene such as AaPYL9, from Artemisia annua, AtPYL13 and AtPYL5 has been shown to increase drought tolerance (Santiago et al. 2009; Zhang et al. 2013; Zhao et al. 2013). In our study, we could identify stress-specific ABA receptor genes (SbPYL) such as cold stress-specific SbPYL4 and SbPYL5, which showed osmotic stress and ABA-induced expression. Among core component genes, only SbPP2C6 showed upregulation under heat stress. These genes appear to be potential candidates for detailed characterization and exploitation for improvement of stress tolerance in sorghum.

Acknowledgments The study was funded by Indian Council of Agricultural Research, New Delhi. We thank Dr. Viswanathan Chinnusamy for critical reading of the manuscript.

References

- Addicott FT, Lyon JL (1969) Physiology of abscisic acid and related substances. Annu Rev Plant Physiol 20:139
- Boneh U, Biton I, Schwartz A, BenAri G (2012a) Characterization of the ABA signal transduction pathway in *Vitis vinifera*. Plant Sci 187:89–96
- Boneh U, Biton I, Zheng C, Schwartz A, BenAri G (2012b) Characterization of potential ABA receptors in *Vitis vinifera*. Plant Cell Rep 31:311–321
- Boudsocq M, Barbier-Brygoo H, Lauriere C (2004) Identification of nine sucrose nonfermenting 1-related protein kinases 2 activated by hyperosmotic and saline stresses in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. J Biol Chem 279:41758–41766
- Chai YM, Jia HF, Li CL, Dong QH, Shen YY (2011) FaPYR1 is involved in strawberry fruit ripening. J Exp Bot 62:5079–5089
- Cutler SR, Rodriguez PL, Finkelstein RR, Abrams SR (2010) Abscisic acid: emergence of a core signaling network. Annu Rev Plant Biol 61:651–679
- Fujii H, Verslues PE, Zhu JK (2007) Identification of two protein kinases required for abscisic acid regulation of seed germination, root growth, and gene expression in *Arabidopsis*. Plant Cell 19:485–494
- Fujii H, Chinnusamy V, Rodrigues A, Rubio S, Antoni R, Park SY, Cutler SR, Sheen J, Rodriguez PL, Zhu JK (2009) In vitro reconstitution of an abscisic acid signaling pathway. Nature 462:660–664
- He Y, Hao Q, Li W, Yan C, Yan N, Yin P (2014) Identification and characterization of ABA receptors in *Oryza sativa*. PLoS One 9:e95246
- Huai J, Wang M, He J, Zheng J, Dong Z, Lv H, Zhao J, Wang G (2008) Cloning and characterization of the SnRK2 gene family from Zea mays. Plant Cell Rep 27:1861–1868
- Kim H, Hwang H, Hong JW, Lee YN, Ahn IP, Yoon IS, Yoo SD, Lee S, Lee SC, Kim BG (2012) A rice orthologue of the ABA receptor, OsPYL/RCAR5, is a positive regulator of the ABA signal transduction pathway in seed germination and early seedling growth. J Exp Bot 63:1013–1024
- Klingler JP, Batelli G, Zhu JK (2010) ABA receptors: the START of a new paradigm in phytohormone signaling. J Exp Bot 61:3199–3210
- Kobayashi Y, Yamamoto S, Minami H, Kagaya Y, Hattori T (2004) Differential activation of the rice sucrose nonfermenting1-related protein kinase 2 family by hyperosmotic stress and abscisic acid. Plant Cell 16:1163–1177
- Li LB, Zhang YR, Liu KC, Ni ZF, Fang ZJ, Sun QX, Gao JW (2010) Identification and bioinformatics analysis of SnRK2 and CIPK family genes in sorghum. Agric Sci China 9:19–30
- Livak KJ, Schmittgen TD (2001) Analysis of relative gene expression data using real-time quantitative PCR and the $2^{-\Delta L_{t}}$ method. Methods 25:402–408
- Ma Y, Szostkiewicz I, Korte A, Moes D, Yang Y, Christmann A, Grill E (2009) Regulators of PP2C phosphatase activity function as abscisic acid sensors. Science 324:1064–1068
- McCourt P, Creelman R (2008) The ABA receptors—we report you decide. Curr Opin Plant Biol 11:474–478
- Melcher K, Ng LM, Zhou XE, Soon FF, Xu Y, Suino-Powell KM, Park SY, Weiner JJ, Fujii H, Chinnusamy V, Kovach

A, Li J, Wang Y, Li J, Peterson FC, Jensen DR, Yong EL, Volkman BF, Cutler SR, Zhu JK, Xu HE (2009) A gatelatch lock mechanism for hormone signaling by abscisic acid receptors. Nature 462:602–608

- Mustilli AC, Merlot S, Vavasseur A, Fenzi F, Giraudat J (2002) Arabidopsis OST1 protein kinase mediates the regulation of stomatal aperture by abscisic acid and acts upstream of reactive oxygen species production. Plant Cell 14:3089–3099
- Ng LM, Soon FF, Zhou XE, West GM, Kovach A, Suino-Powell KM, Chalmers MJ, Li J, Yong EL, Zhu JK, Griffin PR, Melcher K, Xu HE (2011) Structural basis for basal activity and autoactivation of abscisic acid (ABA) signaling SnRK2 kinases. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 108:21259–21264
- Park SY, Fung P, Nishimura N, Jensen DR, Fujii H, Zhao Y, Lumba S, Santiago J, Rodrigues A, Chow TFF, Alfred SE, Bonetta D, Finkelstein R, Provart NJ, Desveaux D, Rodriguez PL, McCourt P, Zhu JK, Schroeder JI, Volkman BF, Cutler SR (2009) Abscisic acid inhibits type 2C protein phosphatases via the PYR/PYL family of start proteins. Science 324:1068–1071
- Romero P, Lafuente MT, Rodrigo MJ (2012) The Citrus ABA signalosome: identification and transcriptional regulation during sweet orange fruit ripening and leaf dehydration. J Exp Bot 63:4931–4945
- Santiago J, Rodrigues A, Saez A, Rubio S, Antoni R, Dupeux F, Park S-Y, Marquez JA, Cutler SR, Rodriguez PL (2009) Modulation of drought resistance by the abscisic acid receptor PYL5 through inhibition of clade A PP2Cs. Plant J 60:575–588
- Santiago J, Dupeuxb F, Betzb K, Antonia R, Gonzalez-Guzmana M, Rodrigueza L, Marquezb JA, Rodriguez PL (2012) Structural insights into PYR/PYL/RCAR ABA receptors and PP2Cs. Plant Sci 182:3–11
- Schweighofer A, Hirt H, Meskiene I (2004) Plant PP2C phosphatases: emerging functions in stress signalling. Trends Plant Sci 9:236–243
- Seiler C, Harshavardhan VT, Reddy PS, Hensel G, Kumlehn J, Eschen-Lippold L, Rajesh K, Korzun V, Wobus U, Lee J, Selvaraj G, Sreenivasulu N (2014) Abscisic acid flux

alterations result in differential ABA signalling responses and impact assimilation efficiency in barley under terminal drought stress. Plant Physiol. doi:10.1104/pp.113.229062

- Singh A, Giri J, Kapoor S, Tyagi AK, Pandey GK (2010) Protein phosphatase complement in rice: genome-wide identification and transcriptional analysis under abiotic stress conditions and reproductive development. BMC Genomics 11:435
- Soon FF, Ng LM, Zhou XE, West GM, Kovach A, Eileen Tan MH, Suino-Powell KM, He Y, Xu Y, Chalmers MJ, Brunzelle JS, Zhang H, Yang H, Jiang H, Li J, Yong EL, Cutler SR, Zhu JK, Griffin PR, Melcher K, Xu HE (2012) Molecular mimicry regulates ABA signaling by SnRK2 kinases and PP2C phosphatases. Science 335:85–88
- Sun L, Wang YP, Chen P, Ren J, Ji K, Li Q, Li P, Dai SJ, Leng P (2011) Transcriptional regulation of SIPYL, SIPP2C, and SISnRK2 gene families encoding ABA signal core components during tomato fruit development and drought stress. J Exp Bot 62:5659–5669
- Xue T, Wang D, Zhang S, Ehlting J, Ni F, Jakab S, Zheng C, Zhong Y (2008) Genome-wide and expression analysis of protein phosphatase 2C in rice and *Arabidopsis*. BMC Genomics 9:550
- Yoshida R, Hobo T, Ichimura K, Mizoguchi T, Takahashi F, Aronso J, Ecker JR, Shinozaki K (2002) ABA-activated SnRK2 protein kinase is required for dehydration stress signaling in *Arabidopsis*. Plant Cell Physiol 43:1473–1483
- Yoshida R, Umezawa T, Mizoguchi T, Takahashi S, Takahashi F, Shinozaki K (2006) The regulatory domain of SRK2E/ OST1/SnRK2.6 interacts with ABI1 and integrates abscisic acid (ABA) and osmotic stress signals controlling stomatal closure in *Arabidopsis*. J Biol Chem 281:5310–5318
- Zhang F, Lu X, Lv Z, Zhang L, Zhu M, Jiang W, Wang G, Sun X, Tang K (2013) Overexpression of the *Artemisia* orthologue of ABA receptor, AaPYL9, enhances ABA sensitivity and improves artemisinin content in *Artemisia annua* L. PLoS One 8(2):e56697
- Zhao Y, Chan Z, Xing L, Liu X, Hou YJ, Chinnusamy V, Wang P, Duan C, Zhu JK (2013) The unique mode of action of a divergent member of the ABA-receptor protein family in ABA and stress signaling. Cell Res 23:1380–1395