#### **ORIGINAL ARTICLE**



# **Synthesis and evaluation of novel arylisoxazoles linked to tacrine moiety: in vitro and in vivo biological activities against Alzheimer's disease**

Arezoo Rastegari<sup>1</sup> • Maliheh Safavi<sup>2</sup> • Fahimeh Vafadarnejad<sup>3</sup> • Zahra Najafi<sup>4</sup> • Roshanak Hariri<sup>3</sup> • Syed Nasir Abbas Bukhari<sup>5</sup> · Aida Iraji<sup>6,7</sup> · Najmeh Edraki<sup>8</sup> · Omidreza Firuzi<sup>8</sup> · Mina Saeedi<sup>9,1</sup> · **Mohammad Mahdavi10 · Tahmineh Akbarzadeh3,1**

Received: 3 April 2021 / Accepted: 5 June 2021 / Published online: 17 July 2021 © The Author(s), under exclusive licence to Springer Nature Switzerland AG 2021

#### **Abstract**

Alzheimer's disease (AD) is now ranked as the third leading cause of death after heart disease and cancer. There is no defnite cure for AD due to the multi-factorial nature of the disease, hence, multi-target-directed ligands (MTDLs) have attracted lots of attention. In this work, focusing on the efficient cholinesterase inhibitory activity of tacrine, design and synthesis of novel arylisoxazole-tacrine analogues was developed. In vitro acetylcholinesterase (AChE) and butyrylcholinesterase (BChE) inhibition assay confrmed high potency of the title compounds. Among them, compounds **7l** and **7b** demonstrated high activity toward AChE and BChE with IC<sub>50</sub> values of 0.050 and 0.039  $\mu$ M, respectively. Both compounds showed very good self-induced Aβ aggregation and AChE-induced inhibitory activity (79.4 and 71.4% for compound **7l** and 61.8 and 58.6% for compound **7b**, respectively). Also, **7l** showed good anti-BACE1 activity with  $IC_{50}$  value of 1.65  $\mu$ M. The metal chelation test indicated the ability of compounds **7l** and **7b** to chelate biometals  $(Zn^{2+}, Cu^{2+}, and Fe^{2+})$ . However, they showed no signifcant neuroprotectivity against Aβ-induced damage in PC12 cells. Evaluation of in vitro hepatotoxicity revealed comparable toxicity of compounds **7l** and **7b** with tacrine. In vivo studies by Morris water maze (MWM) task demonstrated that compound **7l** significantly reversed scopolamine-induced memory deficit in rats. Finally, molecular docking studies of compounds **7l** and **7b** confrmed establishment of desired interactions with the AChE, BChE, and BACE1 active sites.

This paper is dedicated to our unique teacher in chemistry and medicinal chemistry (1937-2016)

 $\boxtimes$  Tahmineh Akbarzadeh akbarzad@tums.ac.ir

- <sup>1</sup> Persian Medicine and Pharmacy Research Center, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran
- <sup>2</sup> Department of Biotechnology, Iranian Research Organization for Science and Technology (IROST), Tehran, Iran
- Department of Medicinal Chemistry, Faculty of Pharmacy, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran
- <sup>4</sup> Department of Medicinal Chemistry, School of Pharmacy, Hamadan University of Medical Sciences, Hamadan, Iran
- <sup>5</sup> Department of Pharmaceutical Chemistry, College of Pharmacy, Jouf University, Aljouf 2014, Sakaka, Saudi Arabia
- <sup>6</sup> Stem Cells Technology Research Center, Shiraz University of Medical Sciences, Shiraz, Iran
- <sup>7</sup> Central Research laboratory, Shiraz University of Medical Sciences, Shiraz, Iran
- Medicinal and Natural Products Chemistry Research Center, Shiraz University of Medical Sciences, Shiraz, Iran
- Medicinal Plants Research Center, Faculty of Pharmacy, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran
- <sup>10</sup> Endocrinology and Metabolism Research Center, Endocrinology and Metabolism Clinical Sciences Institute, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran

#### **Graphic Abstract**



**Keywords** Alzheimer's disease · BACE1 · Cholinesterase · Isoxazole · Metal chelating · Morris water Maze · Tacrine

#### **Introduction**

Alzheimer's disease (AD) is a progressive and fatal neurodegenerative disorder, known as the main cause of dementia in elderly population. It is usually characterized by the loss of cognitive function and behavioral disturbances [[1](#page-17-0), [2](#page-17-1)]. Although some cases of AD have been observed before the age of 65, old age is still a major risk factor as the number of new cases of AD increases dramatically with age. According to the 2020 Alzheimer's disease facts and fgures, the average annual incidence in people aged 65–74 is 0.4% and it increases to 3.2% in people aged 75–84. And as for the age demographic of 85 and older, the incidence is 7.6% [\[3](#page-18-0)]. Despite the tremendous efforts and financial expenditures aimed at finding an efficient treatment for AD, no definite cure has been found so far. Because AD is a multi-factorial disease and single-targeted drugs usually do not work efficiently [[4,](#page-18-1) [5\]](#page-18-2). To date, autopsy studies and clinical diagnosis [\[6](#page-18-3)] have identifed several factors responsible for the onset and progression of AD [[7](#page-18-4)]. Intracellular formation of neurofbrillary tangles (NFTs) and neuropil threads (NTs) resulting from abnormal hyperphosphorylation of tau protein are of great importance [\[8](#page-18-5)]. In addition, the aggregation and extracellular deposition of amyloid beta (Aβ) protein is the main cause of plaque formation [\[9](#page-18-6)], which is usually cat-alyzed by β-secretase 1 (BACE-1) [[10\]](#page-18-7), leading to activation of cell death [[11\]](#page-18-8). Also, metal-ion dysregulation has been

known to interact with the  $\mathbf{A}\beta$  peptide, trigging its aggregation and increasing toxicity [[12\]](#page-18-9). Another important factor in the creation of AD which is described by the cholinergic hypothesis is associated with the reduction of acetylcholine (ACh) levels in the brain, up to 90 percent [[13,](#page-18-10) [14\]](#page-18-11).

ACh is the main neurotransmitter of the parasympathetic nervous system which is immediately hydrolyzed by cholinesterases (ChEs), acetylcholinesterase (AChE) and butyrylcholinesterase (BChE). AChE and BChE are serine hydrolase, having similar three-dimensional structures but have shown diverse catalytic actions. For example, they have shown diferent substrate specifcity and inhibitor sensitivity. In the case of hydrolysis of ACh, BChE usually plays a supportive role when AChE exists in low concentrations [[15\]](#page-18-12). Despite the development of other theories, the cholinergic theory has played a signifcant role in the treatment of AD, since current FDA approved drugs such as donepezil, rivastigmine, and galantamine are ChE inhibitors (ChEIs) [[16,](#page-18-13) [17](#page-18-14)] and development of both acetylcholinesterase and butyrylcholinesterase inhibitors (AChEIs and BChEIs) have been considered as a prominent strategy for the amelioration of AD symptoms [\[15](#page-18-12), [18](#page-18-15)].

As previously mentioned, single-targeted drugs have not been completely successful in the treatment of AD and for this reason, design of multi-targeted ligands (MTDLs) has been in the center of attention in drug discovery research. One of the design strategies is based on a core, possessing potent ChEI activity which is hybridized with diferent functional groups, inducing diferent biological properties such as βA and BACE1 inhibitory activity as well as metal chelating ability and neuroprotectivity [\[19](#page-18-16)].

Tacrine (1,2,3,4-tetrahydroacridin-9-amine) (Fig. [1\)](#page-2-0) has been removed from the market due to serious side effects such as hepatotoxicity  $[20]$  $[20]$  $[20]$ ; however, its structure has remained as a versatile core in the design and synthesis of MTDLs [\[21](#page-18-18)] as it is a strong ChE inhibitor, possessing high affinity for desired interactions with enzymes active site. In this respect, tacrine-isatins have shown efficient ChEI activity in the nM range and among them, compound **A**  $(IC_{50} (AChE) = 0.42 \text{ nM}, IC_{50} (BChE) = 0.57 \text{ nM}, Fig. 1)$  $(IC_{50} (AChE) = 0.42 \text{ nM}, IC_{50} (BChE) = 0.57 \text{ nM}, Fig. 1)$ showed significant capacity to chelate  $Fe<sup>2+</sup>$  ions [\[22\]](#page-18-19). Tacrine-1,2,4-thiadiazoles have been designed and synthesized as potent multifunctional agents. In this series, compound **B** exhibited the highest ChE inhibitory activity  $(IC_{50})$ 

 $(AChE) = 0.431 \mu M$ ,  $IC_{50} (BChE) = 0.004 \mu M$ , Fig. [1](#page-2-0)) along with the ability to scavenge free radicals [[23\]](#page-18-20). Moreover, the efficacy of substituted tacrine analogues has attracted lots of attention since the presence of an appropriate substituent can lead to lower toxicity [[24](#page-18-21)]. Compound **C** (Fig. [1](#page-2-0)), a tacrine-pyrazolo[3,4-*b*]pyridine hybrid has shown potent AChEI and BChEI activity with  $IC_{50}$  values of 0.12 and 0.045 µM, respectively [[25\]](#page-18-22).

Tacrine-1,2,3-triazole hybrids **D** and **E** (Fig. [1](#page-2-0)) also depicted potent anti-ChE activity. The instructive point comes back to the efect of the presence of Cl on the tacrine moiety. The inhibitory activity of compound **D** was indicated by IC<sub>50</sub> values of 0.52 and 0.05  $\mu$ M toward AChE and BChE, respectively. Elimination of Cl (compound **E**) led to approximately a fourfold decrease in the AChEI activity; however, compound **E** showed stronger BChEI activity by 37 times. It should be noted that compound **D** showed remarkable



<span id="page-2-0"></span>**Fig. 1** The structure of tacrine based compounds

neuroprotective activity caused by  $H_2O_2$  in distinguished PC12 neuron cells [[26\]](#page-18-23).

Good results obtained by tacrine analogues possessing efficient anti-AD activity encouraged us to develop synthesis of novel tacrine-isoxazole hybrids as MTDLs. In this respect, all synthesized compounds were evaluated for their anti-ChE activity and the most potent compounds were candidate for complementary tests including in vitro self- and AChE-induced Aβ aggregation inhibitory activity, anti-BACE1 activity, metal chelating ability, neuroprotectivity against Aβ-induced damage in PC12 cells, and hepatotoxicity as well as in vivo studies by Morris water maze (MWM) task.

# **Methods and material**

All chemicals and reagents were purchased from Merck and Aldrich. Melting points were determined on a Kofer hot stage apparatus and are uncorrected. The IR spectra were obtained on a Nicolet Magna FTIR 550 spectrometer (potassium bromide disks). NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker 500 spectrometer and chemical shifts were expressed in  $\delta$  (ppm) with respect to tetramethylsilane as the internal standard.

# **Chemistry**

#### **Synthesis of chlorotetrahydroacridines 3**

A mixture of anthranilic acid derivative **1** (23 mmol) and cyclohexanone  $2(25 \text{ mmol})$  was heated in POCl<sub>3</sub> (20 mL) for 3 h under refux conditions. After completion of the reaction (TLC control), the reaction mixture was diluted with ethyl acetate, the excess  $POCl<sub>3</sub>$  was neutralized using a 20% potassium carbonate solution, the organic phase was dried over anhydrous sodium sulfate, and the solvent was reduced under vacuum. The obtained product was purifed through flash chromatography on silica gel using petroleum ether/ ethyl acetate  $(9:1)$ , yielding 70–80% of product  $[27, 28]$  $[27, 28]$  $[27, 28]$  $[27, 28]$ .

# **Synthesis of compounds 5**

A mixture of chlorotetrahydroacridine derivative **3** (2 mmol) and phenol (1 mmol) was heated under refux conditions at 150° C for 30 min. Ethylene diamine **4** (2 mmol) was then added dropwise to the mixture and the reaction was continued at the same conditions for 3 h. After completion of the reaction (TLC control), it was cooled to room temperature, extracted by chloroform, and washed three times using 3% KOH solution. It was then dried over anhydrous sodium sulfate and the solvent was evaporated under vacuum. The oily product was obtained with a yield of 60–70% [\[28](#page-18-25)].

# **General procedure for the preparation of tacrine‑arylisoxazoles hybrids 7a–l**

A mixture of isoxazole-3-carboxylic acid derivative **6** (1 mmol), 1-ethyl-3-(3-dimethylaminopropyl)carbodiimide (EDCI) (1.1 mmol), and hydroxybenzotriazole (HOBT) (1 mmol) in dry acetonitrile (10 mL) was stirred at room temperature for 1 h. Then, the corresponding derivative **5** (1 mmol) was added and the reaction was continued for 24–48 h at room temperature. After completion of reaction (TLC control), the mixture was extracted using chloroform, and washed with a  $10\%$  NaHCO<sub>3</sub> solution,  $10\%$  citric acid solution, and brine, respectively. The organic phase was then dried over anhydrous sodium sulfate and the solvent was removed under vacuum to obtain pure solid product [\[29](#page-18-26)].

# **5‑(4‑Fluorophenyl)‑N‑(2‑((1,2,3,4‑tetrahydroacri‑ din‑9‑yl)amino)ethyl)isoxazole‑3‑carboxamide (7a)**

Yield: 55%. Mp: 114–116 °C. IR (KBr): 3395, 3122, 2939, 2872, 1661, 1636, 1588, 1511, 1445 cm<sup>-1</sup>. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (DMSO-*d6*, 500 MHz) δ (ppm): 9.15 (t, *J*=6.0 Hz, 1H, NH), 8.49 (d,  $J=8.0$  Hz, 1H, H<sub>8</sub>), 8.00–7.95 (m, 3H, H<sub>5</sub>, H<sub>6</sub>, NH), 7.86 (d,  $J=8.0$  Hz, 2H, H<sub>2</sub>', H<sub>6</sub>'), 7.52 (t,  $J=8.0$  Hz, 1H, H<sub>7</sub>), 7.40 (t,  $J=8.0$  Hz, 2H, H<sub>3</sub>', H<sub>5</sub>'), 7.35 (s, 1H, isoxazole), 4.09–4.06 (q, *J*=6.0 Hz, 2H, CH2), 3.67–3.64 (q, *J*=6.0 Hz, 2H, CH<sub>2</sub>), 3.00–2.99 (m, 2H, H<sub>4</sub>), 2.70–2.69 (m, 2H, H<sub>1</sub>), 1.82–1.81 (m, 4H, H<sub>2</sub>, H<sub>3</sub>). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (DMSO- $d_6$ , 125 MHz) δ (ppm):169.6, 164.4, 162.4, 160.2 (*J*<sub>C-F</sub>=250.0 Hz), 159.3, 156.1, 150.7, 137.8, 132.5, 128.3, 125.1, 122.9, 119.1, 116.5 (*J* C-F=12.5 Hz), 115.7, 111.6, 99.6, 46.7, 40.1, 27.9, 23.9, 21.4, 20.2. Anal. calcd. for C<sub>25</sub>H<sub>23</sub>FN<sub>4</sub>O<sub>2</sub>: C, 69.75; H, 5.39; N, 13.02. Found: C, 69.52; H, 5.26; N, 12.90.

### **5‑(4‑Chlorophenyl)‑N‑(2‑((1,2,3,4‑tetrahydroacri‑ din‑9‑yl)amino)ethyl)isoxazole‑3‑carboxamide (7b)**

Yield: 50%. Mp: 117-119 °C. IR (KBr): 3389, 3122, 2940, 2871, 1661, 1636, 1584, 1522, 1444 cm<sup>-1</sup>. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (DMSO-*d6*, 500 MHz) δ (ppm): 9.10 (bs, 1H, NH), 8.47  $(d, J=8.0 \text{ Hz}, 1H, H_8)$ , 7.95  $(d, J=7.5 \text{ Hz}, 2H, H_2, H_6)$ , 7.95–7.74 (m, 2H, H<sub>5</sub>, NH), 7.77–7.76 (m, 1H, H<sub>6</sub>), 7.63  $(d, J=7.5 \text{ Hz}, 2\text{H}, \text{H}_3, \text{H}_5)$ , 7.59–7.58 (m, 1H, H<sub>7</sub>), 7.39 (s, 1H, isoxazole),  $4.08-4.06$  (m, 2H, CH<sub>2</sub>),  $3.66-3.65$  (m, 2H, CH<sub>2</sub>), 2.97–2.96 (m, 2H, H<sub>4</sub>), 2.71–2.70 (m, 2H, H<sub>1</sub>), 1.83–1.82 (m, 4H, H<sub>2</sub>, H<sub>3</sub>). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (DMSO- $d_6$ , 125 MHz) δ (ppm):169.9, 164.4, 162.1, 159.9, 155.4, 147.5, 145.8, 136.1, 132.2, 130.0, 127.4, 125.0, 122.5, 119.7, 115.1,

111.5, 99.8, 52.0, 40.0, 24.4, 21.8, 20.7, 20.3. Anal. calcd. for  $C_{25}H_{23}CIN_{4}O_{2}$ : C, 67.19; H, 5.19; N, 12.54. Found: C, 67.42; H, 4.83; N, 12.81.

### **5‑(4‑Bromophenyl)‑N‑(2‑((1,2,3,4‑tetrahydroacri‑ din‑9‑yl)amino)ethyl)isoxazole‑3‑carboxamide (7c)**

Yield: 50%. Mp: 115-118 °C. IR (KBr): 3397, 3121, 2940, 2870, 1663, 1635, 1582, 1538, 1445 cm<sup>-1</sup>. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (DMSO-*d6*, 500 MHz) δ (ppm): 9.14 (bs, 1H, NH), 8.48 (d,  $J=8.0$  Hz, 1H, H<sub>8</sub>), 7.92 (d,  $J=8.0$  Hz, 1H, H<sub>5</sub>), 7.88–7.74  $(m, 4H, H_6, H_2$ <sup>'</sup>,  $H_6$ <sup>'</sup>, NH), 7.77 (d,  $J=8.0$  Hz, 2H,  $H_3$ <sup>'</sup>, H5'), 7.58 (t, *J*=8.0 Hz, 1H, H7), 7.41 (s, 1H, isoxazole), 4.10–4.08 (m, 2H, CH<sub>2</sub>), 3.67–3.65 (m, 2H, CH<sub>2</sub>), 3.01–2.99  $(m, 2H, H_4)$ , 2.70–2.69  $(m, 2H, H_1)$ , 1.82–1.81  $(m, 4H, H_2)$ , H3). 13C NMR (DMSO-*d6*, 125 MHz) δ (ppm): 170.0, 163.2, 162.1, 159.5, 154.5, 148.5, 145.1, 135.9, 133.0, 130.5, 127.7, 125.0, 123.5, 119.6, 116.2, 111.2, 99.9, 51.8, 40.3, 24.4, 21.7, 20.5, 20.0. Anal. calcd. for  $C_{25}H_{23}BrN_4O_2$ : C, 61.11; H, 4.72; N, 11.40. Found: C, 60.89; H, 4.78; N, 11.24.

#### **5‑Phenyl‑N‑(2‑((1,2,3,4‑tetrahydroacridin‑9‑yl) amino)ethyl)isoxazole‑3‑carboxamide (7d)**

Yield: 55%. Mp: 100–103 °C. IR (KBr): 3399, 3123, 2933, 2863, 1661, 1635, 1576, 1542, 1443 cm<sup>-1</sup>. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (DMSO- $d_6$ , 500 MHz) δ (ppm): 9.80 (bs, 1H, NH), 9.15  $(d, J=7.5 \text{ Hz}, 1\text{H}, \text{H}_8)$ , 8.59–8.52 (m, 5H, H<sub>5</sub>, H<sub>6</sub>, H<sub>2</sub>', H<sub>6</sub>', NH), 8.23–8.21 (m, 4H, H<sub>7</sub>, H<sub>3</sub>', H<sub>4</sub>', H<sub>5</sub>'), 8.02 (s, 1H, isoxazole), 4.74–4.73 (m, 2H, CH<sub>2</sub>), 4.34–4.33 (m, 2H, CH<sub>2</sub>), 3.66–3.65 (m, 2H, H<sub>4</sub>), 3.38–3.37 (m, 2H, H<sub>1</sub>), 2.52–2.50 (m, 4H, H<sub>2</sub>, H<sub>3</sub>). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (DMSO- $d_6$ , 125 MHz) δ (ppm): 170.5, 164.4, 159.2, 156.0, 150.9, 147.5, 136.1, 132.5, 131.0, 129.3, 126.2, 125.7, 125.0, 119.3, 115.8, 111.7, 99.8, 46.8, 40.0, 28.0, 23.9, 21.4, 20.3. Anal. calcd. for  $C_{25}H_{24}N_4O_2$ : C, 72.80; H, 5.86; N, 13.58. Found: C, 72.56; H, 5.49; N, 13.28.

### **N‑(2‑((1,2,3,4‑Tetrahydroacridin‑9‑yl)amino) ethyl)‑5‑(p‑tolyl)isoxazole‑3‑carboxamide (7e)**

Yield: 55%. Mp: 102-104 °C. IR (KBr): 3379, 3130, 2941, 2870, 1660, 1634, 1586, 1518, 1446 cm<sup>-1</sup>. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (DMSO-*d6*, 500 MHz) δ (ppm): 9.10 (t, *J*=6.0 Hz, 1H, NH), 8.47 (d,  $J=8.0$  Hz, 1H, H<sub>8</sub>), 7.91–7.85 (m, 2H, H<sub>5</sub>, NH), 7.83–7.80 (m, 3H, H<sub>6</sub> H<sub>2</sub>', H<sub>6</sub>'), 7.58–7.57 (m, 1H, H<sub>7</sub>), 7.36 (d,  $J=8.0$  Hz, 2H, H<sub>3</sub>', H<sub>5</sub>'), 7.25 (s, 1H, isoxazole), 4.07–4.05 (m, 2H, CH<sub>2</sub>), 3.66–3.63 (m, 2H, CH<sub>2</sub>), 2.98–2.96  $(m, 2H, H_4)$ , 2.71–2.68  $(m, 2H, H_1)$ , 2.38–2.37  $(m, 4H, H_2)$ , H<sub>3</sub>), 1.83 (s, 3H, CH<sub>3</sub>). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (DMSO- $d_6$ , 125 MHz) δ (ppm): 169.8, 161.5, 159.9, 154.1, 148.0, 144.7, 143.1, 140.2, 138.4, 134.0, 131.8, 130.2, 129.1, 127.7, 125.0, 118.4, 116.1, 48.8, 40.3, 29.1, 24.0, 21.7, 20.5, 20.0. Anal.

calcd. for  $C_{26}H_{26}N_4O_2$ : C, 73.22; H, 6.14; N, 13.14. Found: C, 73.05; H, 5.89; N, 12.93.

### **5‑(3‑Methoxyphenyl)‑N‑(2‑((1,2,3,4‑tetrahydroacri‑ din‑9‑yl)amino)ethyl)isoxazole‑3‑carboxamide (7f)**

Yield: 65%. Mp: 105-107 °C. IR (KBr): 3382, 3127, 2928, 2863, 1661, 1635, 1574, 1525, 1460 cm<sup>-1</sup>. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (DMSO-*d6*, 500 MHz) δ (ppm): 9.07 (bs, 1H, NH), 8.46 (d,  $J=8.0$  Hz, 1H, H<sub>8</sub>), 7.84–7.80 (m, 3H, H<sub>5</sub>, H<sub>6</sub>, H<sub>7</sub>), 7.58 (bs, 1H, NH),  $7.50-7.45$  (m,  $3H, H_1$ <sup>'</sup>,  $H_5$ <sup>'</sup>,  $H_6$ <sup>'</sup>),  $7.37$  (s, 1H, isoxazole), 7.10 (s, 1H,  $H_2$ ), 4.10–4.08 (m, 2H, CH<sub>2</sub>), 3.84 (s, 3H, OCH3), 3.65–3.63 (m, 2H, CH2), 2.99–2.98 (m, 2H, H4), 2.71–2.69 (m, 2H, H<sub>1</sub>), 1.87–1.85 (m, 4H, H<sub>2</sub>, H<sub>3</sub>). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (DMSO-*d6*, 125 MHz) δ (ppm): 171.0, 165.5, 161.8, 160.2, 159.4, 155.7, 150.1, 139.2, 137.0, 131.8, 127.7, 125.9, 124.1, 122.6, 119.1, 116.3, 114.7, 111.4, 99.7, 54.5, 46.3, 40.0, 28.0, 24.1, 21.5, 20.3. Anal. calcd. for  $C_{26}H_{26}N_4O_3$ : C, 70.57; H, 5.92; N, 12.66. Found: C, 70.73; H, 6.02; N, 13.01.

### **5‑(4‑Nitrophenyl)‑N‑(2‑((1,2,3,4‑tetrahydroacri‑ din‑9‑yl)amino)ethyl)isoxazole‑3‑carboxamide (7g)**

Yield: 40%. Mp: 121-123 °C. IR (KBr): 3237, 3079, 2936, 1673, 1543, 1522, 1449, 1425, 1345, 1313 cm−1. 1 H NMR (DMSO-*d6*, 500 MHz) δ (ppm): 9.13 (bs, 1H, NH), 8.38  $(d, J=8.0 \text{ Hz}, 2\text{H}, \text{H}_3, \text{H}_5)$ , 8.21  $(d, J=8.0 \text{ Hz}, 2\text{H}, \text{H}_2)$ ,  $H_6$ '), 7.66 (s, 1H, isoxazole), 7.62–7.58 (m, 2H, H<sub>8</sub> NH), 7.48–7.34 (m, 3H, H<sub>5</sub>, H<sub>6</sub>, H<sub>7</sub>), 4.27–4.26 (m, 2H, CH<sub>2</sub>), 4.10–4.03 (m, 2H, CH<sub>2</sub>), 3.11–3.07 (m, 2H, H<sub>4</sub>), 2.89–2.75  $(m, 2H, H<sub>1</sub>), 2.06–1.97$   $(m, 4H, H<sub>2</sub>, H<sub>3</sub>).$  <sup>13</sup>C NMR (DMSO*d6*, 125 MHz) δ (ppm): 168.1, 162.8, 159.7, 157.8, 150.9, 145.5, 143.7, 137.5, 135.4, 131.1, 128.8, 127.0, 125.5, 117.6, 112.5, 111.0, 124.5, 50.5, 40.1, 29.1, 26.1, 22.5, 21.1. Anal. calcd. for  $C_{25}H_{23}N_{5}O_{4}$ : C, 65.64; H, 5.07; N, 15.31. Found: C, 65.37; H, 4.87; N, 15.04.

# **N‑(2‑((6‑Chloro‑1,2,3,4‑tetrahydroacridin‑9‑yl) amino)ethyl)‑5‑(4‑fuorophenyl)isoxazole‑3‑carbox‑ amide (7h)**

Yield: 50%. Mp: 125–127 °C. IR (KBr): 3333, 3050, 2940, 1673, 1632, 1578, 1506, 1446 cm−1. 1 H NMR (DMSO-*d6*, 500 MHz) δ (ppm): 9.1 (bs, 1H, NH), 8.51 (d, *J*=8.5 Hz, 1H, H<sub>8</sub>), 8.04 (s, 1H, H<sub>5</sub>), 8.02–7.94 (m, 3H, H<sub>2</sub>', H<sub>6</sub>', NH), 8.57 (d,  $J=8.5$  Hz, 1H, H<sub>7</sub>), 7.42–7.38 (m, 2H, H<sub>3</sub>', H<sub>5</sub>'), 7.35 (s, 1H, isoxazole), 4.10–4.06 (m, 2H, CH<sub>2</sub>), 3.66–3.65  $(m, 2H, CH<sub>2</sub>), 2.99-2.97 (m, 2H, H<sub>4</sub>), 2.68-2.67 (m,$ 2H, H<sub>1</sub>), 1.83–1.81 (m, 4H, H<sub>2</sub>, H<sub>3</sub>). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (DMSO*d6*, 125 MHz) δ (ppm): 169.4, 164.5, 162.2, 160.0 (*J*  $_{C-F}$ =250.2 Hz), 159.3, 156.4, 150.2, 143.1, 137.5, 134.0, 132.5, 128.7, 125.5, 122.8, 118.9, 116.0 (*J*<sub>C-F</sub>=12.5 Hz), 99.6, 46.75, 40.10, 27.9, 23.9, 21.4, 20.2..Anal. calcd. for

 $C_{25}H_{22}CIFN<sub>4</sub>O<sub>2</sub>: C, 64.59; H, 4.77; N, 12.05. Found: C,$ 64.23; H, 4.69; N, 11.89.

# **N‑(2‑((6‑Chloro‑1,2,3,4‑tetrahydroacridin‑9‑yl) amino)ethyl)‑5‑(4‑chlorophenyl)isoxazole‑3‑carbox‑ amide (7i)**

Yield: 55%. Mp: 120-122 °C. IR (KBr): 3338, 3050, 2941, 1673, 1632, 1577, 1519, 1444 cm−1. 1 H NMR (DMSO*d6*, 500 MHz) δ (ppm): 9.12 (t, *J*=6.0 Hz, 1H, NH), 8.50 (d,  $J=9.5$  Hz, 1H, H<sub>g</sub>), 7.98–7.96 (m, 2H, H<sub>5</sub>, NH), 7.94  $(d, J=8.0 \text{ Hz}, 2\text{H}, \text{H}_2, \text{'}, \text{H}_6)$ , 7.62  $(d, J=8.0 \text{ Hz}, 2\text{H}, \text{H}_3)$ , H5'), 7.58 (d, *J*=9.5 Hz, 1H, H7), 7.39 (s, 1H, isoxazole), 4.08–4.04 (m, 2H, CH<sub>2</sub>), 3.66–3.62 (m, 2H, CH<sub>2</sub>), 2.98–2.96  $(m, 2H, H<sub>4</sub>), 2.67–2.65$   $(m, 2H, H<sub>1</sub>), 1.84–1.82$   $(m, 4H, H<sub>2</sub>),$ H3). 13C NMR (DMSO-*d6*, 125 MHz) δ (ppm): 169.5, 164.5, 159.9, 156.8, 150.7, 147.2, 144.4, 143.1, 140.6, 138.9, 135.1, 132.1, 130.3, 129.1, 125.5, 118.6, 116.1, 46.5, 40.3, 28.6, 23.0, 21.7, 20.2. Anal. calcd. for  $C_{25}H_{22}Cl_{2}N_{4}O_{2}$ : C, 62.38; H, 4.61; N, 11.64. Found: C, 62.12; H, 4.28; N, 11.55.

### **N‑(2‑((6‑Chloro‑1,2,3,4‑tetrahydroacridin‑9‑yl) amino)ethyl)‑5‑phenylisoxazole‑3‑carboxamide (7j)**

Yield: 65%. Mp: 114-117 °C. IR (KBr): 3381, 3064, 2927, 1661, 1635, 1574, 1524, 1459 cm<sup>-1</sup>. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (DMSO- $d_6$ , 500 MHz) δ (ppm): 9.11 (bs, 1H, NH), 8.51 (d, *J*=9.0 Hz, 1H,  $H_8$ ), 8.03–7.99 (m, 2H,  $H_5$ , NH), 7.92–7.90 (m, 2H,  $H_2$ ',  $H_6$ '), 7.59–7.54 (m, 4H,  $H_7$ ,  $H_3$ ',  $H_4$ ',  $H_5$ '), 7.33 (s, 1H, isoxazole),  $4.07-4.06$  (m,  $2H$ , CH<sub>2</sub>),  $3.65-3.64$  (m, 2H, CH<sub>2</sub>), 2.97–2.96 (m, 2H, H<sub>4</sub>), 2.67–2.66 (m, 2H, H<sub>1</sub>), 1.82–1.80 (m, 4H, H<sub>2</sub>, H<sub>3</sub>). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (DMSO- $d_6$ , 125 MHz) δ (ppm): 169.0, 162.3, 162.0, 159.9, 153.5, 147.7, 144.5, 136.5, 134.2, 131.1, 130.2, 125.1, 124.9, 118.2, 116.2, 115.8, 99.5, 46.2, 40.1, 28.6, 23.9, 21.4, 20.2.Anal. calcd. for  $C_{25}H_{23}CIN_4O_2$ : C, 67.19; H, 5.19; N, 12.54. Found: C, 66.95; H, 4.89; N, 12.68.

# **N‑(2‑((6‑Chloro‑1,2,3,4‑tetrahydroacridin‑9‑yl) amino)ethyl)‑5‑(p‑tolyl)isoxazole‑3‑carboxamide (7k)**

Yield: 45%. Mp: 110-112 °C. IR (KBr): 3270, 2941, 1664, 1577, 1508, 1445 cm<sup>-1</sup>. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>, 500 MHz) δ (ppm): 9.08 (bs, 1H, NH), 8.50 (d, J = 9.5 Hz, 1H, H<sub>8</sub>), 8.05 (bs, H, NH), 7.93 (s, 1H, H<sub>5</sub>), 7.94 (d, J = 7.5 Hz, 2H, H<sub>2</sub>', H6'), 7.60 (d, *J*=9.5 Hz, 1H, H7), 7.35 (d, *J*=7.5 Hz, 2H,  $H_3$ ',  $H_5$ '), 7.26 (s, 1H, isoxazole), 4.07–4.05 (m, 2H, CH<sub>2</sub>), 3.98–3.95 (m, 2H, CH<sub>2</sub>), 2.82–2.81 (m, 2H, H<sub>4</sub>), 2.67–2.66  $(m, 2H, H<sub>1</sub>), 2.37$  (s, 3H, CH<sub>3</sub>), 1.80–1.77 (m, 4H, H<sub>2</sub>, H<sub>3</sub>).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>, 125 MHz) δ (ppm): 169.7, 161.9, 159.9, 153.8, 147.8, 144.4, 143.1, 140.6, 138.9, 134.3, 131.1, 130.2, 129.6, 128.7, 125.1, 118.6, 116.1, 46.8, 40.1, 28.0, 23.9, 21.4, 20.6, 20.0.Anal. calcd. for  $C_{26}H_{25}CIN_4O_2$ : C, 67.75; H, 5.47; N, 12.15. Found: C, 67.52; H, 5.38; N, 11.81.

# **N‑(2‑((6‑Chloro‑1,2,3,4‑tetrahydroacridin‑9‑yl) amino)ethyl)‑5‑(3‑methoxyphenyl)isoxazole‑3‑car‑ boxamide (7l)**

Yield: 50%. Mp: 118-120 °C. IR (KBr): 3255, 3064, 2938, 1665, 1633, 1576, 1437 cm<sup>-1</sup>. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (DMSO- $d_6$ , 500 MHz) δ (ppm):): 9.10 (t, *J*=6.0 Hz, 1H, NH), 8.49 (d,  $J=9.0$  Hz, 1H, H<sub>8</sub>), 7.98 (s, 1H, H<sub>5</sub>), 7.94–7.92 (bs 1H, NH), 7.56 (d, J = 9.0 Hz, 1H, H<sub>7</sub>), 7.47–7.44 (m, 2H, H<sub>5</sub>', H6'), 7.39–7.38 (m, 2H, H2', isoxazole), 7.09 (d, *J*=7.0 Hz, 1H,  $H_4$ '), 4.05–4.04 (m, 2H, CH<sub>2</sub>), 3.83 (s, 3H, OCH<sub>3</sub>), 3.64–3.63 (m, 2H, CH<sub>2</sub>), 2.96–2.95 (m, 2H, H<sub>4</sub>), 2.66–2.65  $(m, 2H, H<sub>1</sub>), 1.81–1.79$   $(m, 4H, H<sub>2</sub>, H<sub>3</sub>).$  <sup>13</sup>C NMR (DMSO*d6*, 125 MHz)δ (ppm): 170.4, 164.2, 162.9, 159.7, 159.2, 156.0, 150.6, 139.0, 132.4, 127.4, 125.4, 124.1, 122.2, 121.0, 117.9, 116.8, 115.4, 110.8, 100.4, 55.4, 46.8, 39.8, 27.1, 24.4, 21.3, 20.2. Anal. calcd. for  $C_{26}H_{25}CIN_4O_3$ : C, 65.47; H, 5.28; N, 11.75. Found: C, 65.33; H, 5.03; N, 12.01.

## **Biological activity**

#### **Cholinesterase inhibitory activity**

Acetylcholinesterase (AChE, E.C. 3.1.1.7, Type V-S, lyophilized powder, from electric eel, 1000 unit), butyrylcholinesterase (BChE, E.C. 3.1.1.8, from equine serum), acetylthiocholine iodide (ATCI), and 5,5-dithiobis-(2-nitrobenzoic acid) (DTNB) were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich. Potassium dihydrogen phosphate, dipotassium hydrogen phosphate, potassium hydroxide, and sodium hydrogen carbonate were obtained from Fluka.

The in vitro cholinesterase inhibitory activity of all synthesized compounds **7a–l** was studied using the modifed Ellman's method, exactly according to our previous studies [\[30](#page-18-27)[–32](#page-19-0)]. For this purpose, synthesized compounds **7a**–**l** were dissolved in DMSO (1 mL) and diluted with a mixture of DMSO and methanol to achieve desired concentrations of the test compounds and obtain fnal ratio of 50/50 DMSO/ methanol. Each well contained 50 μL potassium phosphate buffer ( $KH_2PO_4/K_2HPO_4$ , 0.1 M, pH 8), prepared sample as described above (25  $\mu$ L), AChE (25  $\mu$ L) with final concentration of 0.22 U/mL in bufer. They were preincubated

for 15 min at room temperature, and then DTNB (3 mM in buffer) (125 μL) was added. Characterization of hydrolysis of ATCI catalyzed by the AChE, was spectrometrically performed at 405 nm following with the addition of substrate (ATCI, 3 mM in water). After 15 min, the change in the absorbance was measured at 405 nm. A control experiment was performed under the same conditions without inhibitor, containing buffer, water, DTNB, and substrate. The  $IC_{50}$ values were graphically determined using inhibition curves. BChE inhibition assay was performed in the same described method. For all synthesized compounds, four diferent concentrations were tested in triplicate, to obtain an appropriate range of 20–80% inhibition for both enzymes.

#### **Kinetic studies**

Kinetic studies were carried out for the inhibition of AChE and BChE, respectively by compounds **7l** and **7b** according to the Ellman's method used for the inhibition assay, using various concentrations of inhibitors [[32](#page-19-0)]. For the kinetic study on the inhibition of AChE, compound **7l** was used at the concentrations of 0, 0.01, 0.05, and 0.1 µM. The Lineweaver–Burk reciprocal plot was constructed by plotting 1/V against 1/[S] at variable concentrations of the substrate acetylthiocholine (187.5, 750, 1500, 3000 µM). The inhibition constant *Ki* was calculated by the plot of slopes versus the corresponding concentrations of compound **7l**. The same method was performed for the kinetic study on the inhibition of BChE using diferent concentrations of compound **7b** (0, 0.01, 0.04, and 0.08 µM) and butyrylthiocholine (187.5, 750, 1500, 3000 µM).

### **Investigation of beta‑secretase (BACE1) inhibitory efects**

The BACE-1 inhibitory activity was studied via a fuorescence resonance emission transfer (FRET) method. The used kit consisted of BACE1 enzyme and APP peptide-based substrate (Rh-EVNLDAEFK- quencher) and the evaluation was performed according to our previous study [[32\]](#page-19-0).

# **Inhibition of AChE‑induced and self‑induced Aβ aggregation**

The test was performed against  $A\beta_{1-42}$  aggregation and AChE-induced  $A\beta_{1-40}$  peptide aggregation using the Thioflavin T (ThT) assay according to our previous work  $[33]$  $[33]$ .

# **Neuroprotection efect against Aβ‑induced damage**

The neuroprotectivity assay for protecting neuronal PC12 cells against  $\mathbf{A}\beta_{25}$ –35 induced damage was examined according to our previous report [[34](#page-19-2)].

### **Hepatoxicity**

To evaluate the efect of selected compounds on cell viability, the MTT assay was performed using HepG2 cell line [\[32\]](#page-19-0).

### **Metal chelating studies**

To study the metal chelating ability of selected compounds, a mixture of the methanolic solutions of the related compound (1 mL) and metal ion (1 mL) with the same fnal concentrations of 20 µM was incubated at room temperature for 30 min in a quartz cuvette. The absorption spectra were then recorded in the range of 200–600 nm [[29](#page-18-26)] and compared with those spectra obtained from compounds alone.

### **Molecular docking evaluation**

Interactions between selected derivatives and studied enzymes were investigated by in silico molecular docking to explore the preferred orientation of the ligands in the corresponding receptors' binding site. The crystal structures of AChE (PDB code: 4ey7), BChE (PDB code: 4bds), and BACE1 (PDB code: 1w51) were downloaded from the Protein Data Bank.

Before screening the most potent compounds, the docking protocol was validated. The structure of cognate ligands (known potent compounds) were sketched using Hyperchem software and minimized with molecular mechanics MM+ and AM1 methods. All the water molecules and the co-crystallized ligands were removed from the enzyme structures. Polar hydrogens and gasteiger charges were assigned to the structures with AutoDockTools1.5.2 (ADT) and the PDBQT fle format was prepared. ADT was used to select a docking grid and the parameters for the X, Y, Z grid dimensions were defned as 60 by 60 by 60 A°. AutoDock 4.2 was applied with a total of 100 runs that were carried out with a population size of 150 individuals, a maximum of 25 million energies evaluations, a maximum of 270,000 generations, a gene mutation rate of 0.01, and a crossover rate of 0.8 [\[35\]](#page-19-3). After validation of protein−ligand performance, the structure of selected compounds were sketched, minimized, and docked with the validated method [\[36\]](#page-19-4). Schematic 3D representations of the ligand-receptor interactions were generated using Discovery Studio Visualizer software programs.

# **In vivo memory evaluation using the Morris water maze (MWM) test**

#### **Ethical considerations**

The Ethics Committee of Tehran University of Medical Sciences approved this research by the code IR.TUMS.TIPS. REC.1398.091 in 2019.

# **Drugs and animals**

The required drugs including scopolamine hydrobromide and donepezil hydrochloride were purchased from Sigma and dissolved in saline as a carrier for the drugs. Compound **7l** was dissolved in 20% polyethylene glycol (PEG-400) as a carrier.

Rats (Male Albino Wistar) with an average weight of 80–120 g were obtained from the Faculty of Pharmacy, Tehran University of Medical Sciences. They were randomly divided into several experimental groups. Their 12 h sleep and wake cycle was maintained and food and water were placed in their special place. Room temperature was maintained between  $25 \pm 2$  °C. The method of handling animals in our laboratory is approved by the Ethics Committee for Animal Behavior.

### **Morris water maze**

The Morris water maze is a water tank with a diameter of 136 cm and a depth of 35 cm. The maze is hypothetically divided into four equal parts, and a 10 cm diameter fberglass escape platform is placed in one of the four sections, 1 cm below the water surface and cannot be seen from the outside. The water temperature is set at 25° C. The maze is placed in a room with various spatial signs, fxed during the tests and visible to the animal in the maze. The set is monitored by a tracker camera, located above the center of the water maze, and the test related information is stored using the Ethovision software. The choice of starting location is random. Simultaneously with releasing the animal into the water, the start button of the program is pressed and the program starts recording the behavior of the animal inside the maze. The maximum time allowed for the animal to fnd the platform is 90 s.

If the animal is unable to find the platform during the time (usually seen in the early days), it will be guided to the platform after the program is stopped and allowed to stand there for 15 s. During the 15 s, the animal remembers its position in the tank according to the position of the platform and the signs installed in the room. If the animal finds the platform by any means (accidentally or by using the signs) before the end of 90 s, the recording will be stopped as soon as the animal stands on the platform and it will be given 15 s to remember the signs. Rats are taught for 4 consecutive days, 4 times a day from 4 different random directions in the water maze. In this stage, the learning process of the animal is studied based on the time and distance traveled to find the platform.

On the ffth day after the training tests, a probe trial is performed. After removing the platform, the animal is randomly released inside the maze from one of the directions. The test is based on the assumption that the animal remembers the location of the platform, and must spend most of its time in the quarter where the platform was located. If this result is obtained, it will be clear that the animal did not accidentally fnd the platform, but it has determined the position of the platform based on the evidence and the signs in the room. This step is repeated once for each animal, for 90 s, and the duration of the time elapsed in the target quarter, where the platform was located, is a criterion of measuring the animal's memory and learning abilities.

# **Experimental groups**

In this study, fve groups each consisting of 7 rats: control, scopolamine, synthesized compound at diferent concentrations plus scopolamine, and donepezil as positive control were investigated. All injections were done intraperitoneal and were given 30 min before the test. In the synthesized compound group, the compound was injected 1 h before the start of the test and the scopolamine was injected 30 min before the start of the test. For each group, the duration and distance traveled to fnd the platform and the speed of swimming in the frst 4 days of training were recorded. On day 5 (probe day), the time elapsed in the target quarter where the platform was located, was used to measure the animal's memory.

# **Control group**

The rats in this group received normal saline (5 mL/kg) 30 min before the test during the frst 4 learning days.

#### **Scopolamine group**

This group of rats received scopolamine hydrobromide (4 mg/kg) 30 min before the test in the first 4 days of learning.

# **Synthesized compound group**

Compound **7l** at diferent doses and scopolamine (4 mg/ kg) was given to the animals 60 and 30 min before the test, respectively, in the frst 4 learning days.

# **Donepezil group (positive control)**

The rats in this group received donepezil (2.5 mg/kg) and scopolamine (4 mg/kg) 60 and 30 min before the test, respectively, in the frst 4 days of learning.

### **Statistical analysis**

The results were evaluated using the "one way anova" method, followed by a statistical comparison of "Bonferroni" and "Newman-Keuls". Prism software version 6.0 was also used.

# **Results and discussion**

# **Design of target compounds**

To design novel multi-targeted tacrine analogues (Fig. [2](#page-8-0)), tacrine moiety was maintained as the main core and it was tried to incorporate a potent heterocyclic scafold linked to tacrine moiety as heterocycles have shown versatile ChEI activity [[37](#page-19-5)]. In this regard, isoxazole derivatives were selected based on our previous studies [[29](#page-18-26), [31,](#page-18-28) [38](#page-19-6)–[40](#page-19-7)]. As can be seen in Fig. [2](#page-8-0), compound **F** demonstrated good and selective AChEI activity ( $IC_{50} = 0.90 \mu M$ ), however, it inhibited BChE with  $IC_{50}$  value of 31.20  $\mu$ M [\[31](#page-18-28)]. In addition, compound **G** showed good and selective activity



<span id="page-8-0"></span>**Fig. 2** Design of target compounds

toward BChE (IC<sub>50</sub> = 0.32 μM) and depicted lower activity toward AChE ( $IC_{50} = 16.82 \mu M$ ). It also possessed negligible BACE1 inhibitory activity and neuroprotectivity [[40\]](#page-19-7). Compound **H** showed selective AChEI activity  $(IC_{50} = 1.23 \mu M)$  and it was completely inactive against BChE. It also could inhibit BACE1 by 48.46% at 50  $\mu$ M and moderate neuroprotectivity. Compound **H** was able to chelate  $\text{Zn}^{2+}$ , Fe<sup>2+</sup>, and Cu<sup>2+</sup> ions [[30](#page-18-27)]. Apart from isoxazole moiety, the presence of the amide moiety (Fig. [2\)](#page-8-0) has also been found as an important linker which is prone to construct desired interactions with the active site backbone and able to chelate metal ions.

# **Chemistry**

Synthesis of tacrine analogues **7** has been schematically explained in Scheme [1.](#page-9-0) Initially, diferent derivatives of anthranilic acid 1 and cyclohexanone  $2$  reacted in POCl<sub>3</sub> for 3 h under refux conditions to aford chlorotetrahydroacridine derivative **3** [[27](#page-18-24)]. Then, reaction of compound **3** and ethane-1,2-diamine **4** at refux for 4 h led to the nucleophilic reaction of the amine and formation of compound **5** [\[28\]](#page-18-25). Finally, reaction of various derivatives of isoxazole-3-carboxylic acid **6** and compound **5** in dry acetonitrile, in the presence of EDCI and HOBT at room temperature for 24–48 h [[29\]](#page-18-26) gave the title compounds **7**.

### **Anti‑cholinesterase activity**

All synthesized compounds **7a–l** were tested in vitro through the modifed Ellman's method comparing with tacrine and donepezil as the reference drugs. Tacrine was selected as the positive control to compare the potency of synthesized hybrids based on structural similarity. For each compound,

50% inhibitory concentration  $(IC_{50})$  was determined and reported in Table [1.](#page-10-0) According to the presence or absence of the chlorine substitution in compounds **7**, they were classifed into two categories depending on the (*i*) absence and (*ii*) presence of Cl at 6- position of acridine moiety (**7a–g** and **7 h–l**).

In the case of AChEI activity, the most potent compounds **7l** and **7i** with IC<sub>50</sub> values of 0.050 and 0.052  $\mu$ M belonged to the second category. These compounds have diferent substituents on the aryl ring connected to the isoxazole moiety, compound **7l** contained *meta*-methoxy and compound **7i** contained *para*-chloro aryl groups. Their counterparts in the frst category, compounds **7f** and **7b** showed lower activity  $(IC_{50} = 0.129$  and 0.075 µM, respectively) than compounds **7l** and **7i**. It should be noted that compound **7b** having *para*chloro aryl group showed potent anti-AChE activity indicating the efficacy of Cl even if it is not present on the acridine moiety. Compounds **7h** and **7a** containing *para*-fuoro aryl group also were found to be potent AChE inhibitors, however, **7h** possessing Cl on the acridine moiety was a little more potent than **7a**. The absence of substituents on the aryl ring (compounds 7j and 7d,  $IC_{50} = 0.100$  and 0.299  $\mu$ M, respectively) generally resulted in the reduction of activity. Also, replacement of F and Cl by large-size halogen (Br, **7c**,  $IC_{50} = 0.175 \mu M$ ) led to at least a twofold reduction in the anti-AChE activity. Finally, compounds **7e** and **7k** having *para*-methyl aryl group showed IC<sub>50</sub>s = 0.147 and 0.170  $\mu$ M, respectively. Nevertheless, the presence of strong electron withdrawing group (NO<sub>2</sub>, 7g, IC<sub>50</sub> = 10.26  $\mu$ M) absorbed more attention since its activity was remarkably deteriorated. With these results in hand, it can be concluded that (*i*) the presence of Cl at 6- position of acridine usually improved AChEI activity (**7l**>**7f**, **7i**>**7b**, **7h**>**7a**, **7j**>**7d**) which was in good accordance with our previous results (Fig. [1\)](#page-2-0). However, an exception was observed in the case of **7e**>**7k**. (*ii*) The Cl substituent was not only effective when it was present on the acridine moiety but also when it was inserted into the

<span id="page-9-0"></span>

analogues **7a–l**

### <span id="page-10-0"></span>**Table 1** Anticholinesterase activity  $(IC_{50}, \mu M)^{a}$  of compounds **7a–l**





 $a<sup>a</sup>$ Data are expressed as Mean $\pm$ SE (three independent experiments)

aryl group connected to the isoxazole ring. (*iii*) The presence of strong electron donating group (OMe) and F on the aryl was found to be efective for inducing desired anti-AChE activity, while the presence of strong electron withdrawing group  $(NO<sub>2</sub>)$  was not beneficial at all.

In the case of anti-BChE activity, compound **7b** from the frst category having *para*-chloroaryl group showed the most potent activity ( $IC_{50} = 0.039 \mu M$ ). However, its counterpart in the second category **7i** depicted approximately a tenfold decrease in the inhibitory activity ( $IC_{50} = 0.372 \mu M$ ). Replacement of Cl by F in compounds **7a** and **7h** led to the reduction of anti-BChE activity  $(IC_{50} s = 0.047$  and 0.202 µM, respectively), still the compound from the frst category was much more active than its counterpart in the second category. Moderate electron donating group (Me) was found to be important, ranking after Cl and F since compound **7e** showed good activity toward BChE with  $IC_{50}$  value of 0.051 µM. Introduction of a strong electron donating substituent (OMe) decreased activity (compound **7f**,  $IC_{50} = 0.075 \mu M$ ) and it should not be forgotten that compounds **7e** and **7f** were signifcantly more potent than those counterparts in the second category  $(IC_{50} = 0.104$  and 0.413 µM, respectively). Compound **7c** containing *para*-bromoaryl group showed moderate activity  $(IC_{50} = 0.087 \mu M)$ as potent as compound **7d** with no substituents on the aryl group (IC<sub>50</sub> = 0.088  $\mu$ M). Interestingly, the counterpart of **7d**, compound **7j** showed the same BChEI activity  $(IC_{50} = 0.088 \mu M)$ . Compound **7g** possessing *para*-nitroaryl group was the weakest inhibitor of BChE in the series **7a–l**  $(IC_{50} = 1.064 \mu M)$ . In summary, *i*) anti-BChE activity of synthesized compounds lacking Cl on the acridine moiety led to better activity than those possessing it, as the order of activity **7b**>**7i**, **7a**>**7h**, **7e**>**7k**, **7f**>**7l** was observed. *ii*) The effect of substituents on the aryl group connected to the isoxazole moiety was completely in relation with the absence or presence of Cl on the acridine moiety. In the frst category (**7a–g**), the presence of Cl, F, and Me was found to be important but in the second category of compounds (**7h–l**), the absence of substituents led to higher activity. However, the presence of methyl group was efective.

Comparing AChEI and BChEI activity of synthesized compounds **7** indicated that the frst category of compounds **7a–g** were better inhibitor of BChE than AChE while in the second category **7h–l**, the results were generally reversed. For the first category, AChEI and BChEI activity was improved by the presence of Cl and F on the aryl ring connected to the isoxazole moiety. However, in the case of the second category, no defnite rule was followed. Also, the presence of strong electron withdrawing group  $(NO<sub>2</sub>)$  deteriorated both AChE and BChE inhibitory activity.

The presence of Cl on the acridine moiety often improved AChEI activity comparing with their analogues lacking this

substituent, while it decreased BChEI potency. The AChEI activity of chlorinated tacrine derivatives has been previously discussed in the literature [[28](#page-18-25), [41–](#page-19-8)[43\]](#page-19-9), which can be explained by the formation of halogen bonding with the receptor [[44](#page-19-10)].

Comparing our results with those reported for tacrinehybrids (Fig. [1](#page-2-0)) showed that synthesized tacrine-isoxazoles **7** were found to be generally more potent than compounds **B–E**. It seems that the hybridization of isoxazole with tacrine was more efficient than the presence of thidiazole (compound **B**) [\[23](#page-18-20)], pyrazolo[3,4-*b*]pyridine (compound **C**) [\[24](#page-18-21)], and 1,2,3-triazole (compound **D** and **E**) [\[26](#page-18-23)]. However, oxindole hybrids [[22](#page-18-19)] were found to be more potent than isoxazole.

# **Kinetic studies**

Kinetic studies were performed to investigate the mechanism of inhibition by the most potent inhibitors of AChE and BChE, compounds **7l** and **7b**, respectively. Graphical analysis of the reciprocal Lineweaver–Burk plot of both inhibitors indicated a competitive inhibition (Fig. [3](#page-11-0) and [4\)](#page-12-0) describing that both compounds had exclusive affinity for the enzymes and competed with the substrate for binding to the active site. In addition, the *Ki* values were calculated using the secondary plot as  $Ki = 0.035$ , 0.009  $µ$ M for the inhibition of AChE and BChE, respectively.

# **Beta‑secretase 1 (BACE1) inhibitory activity of compound 7l**

Small molecule nonpeptide inhibitor of BACE1 is a promising target for the treatment of AD. This enzyme functions in the frst step of the pathway of production and deposition of  $\mathbf{A}\beta$  [[45](#page-19-11)]. In this respect, BACE1 inhibitory activity of compound **7l** was evaluated and compared with OM99-2  $(IC_{50} = 0.014 \pm 0.003 \mu M)$ . Our results indicated that **7l** had good inhibitory activity with  $IC_{50} = 1.65 \pm 0.005 \mu M$ .

# **Inhibition of AChE‑induced and self‑induced Aβ aggregation**

Aβ peptide has been detected as the major component of the amyloid plaques found in the brains of people with AD. Thus, the efect of potent inhibitors **7b** and **7l** were investigated for their inhibition ability against  $A\beta_{1-42}$  aggregation



<span id="page-11-0"></span>**Fig. 3** Kinetic study of compound **7l** [I] against AChE. Lineweaver–Burk plot and double reciprocal Lineweaver–Burk plot are shown

<span id="page-12-0"></span>



and AChE-induced  $\mathbf{A}\beta_{1-40}$  peptide aggregation comparing with donepezil and tacrine as the reference compounds.

Compound **7b** showed  $61.8 \pm 3.55\%$  and  $58.6 \pm 2.9\%$ potency for the inhibition of  $A\beta_{1-42}$  self-aggregation and AChE-induced Aβ aggregation, respectively. Those values for compound **7l** were  $79.4 \pm 1.9$  and  $71.4 \pm 1.8\%$ , respectively. However, donepezil showed  $15.9 \pm 1.2$  and  $28.4 \pm 3.6\%$  inhibition and tacrine's values were found to be  $7.9 \pm 0.5$  and  $7.0 \pm 1.4\%$ , respectively. Our results indicated high activity of compounds **7b** and **7l** for the inhibition of AChE-induced and self-induced Aβ aggregation.

# **Neuroprotection efect against Aβ‑induced damage**

The neuroprotective role of the strongest AChE inhibitor **7l** against Aβ-induced PC12 cell damage was investigated comparing with cafeic acid as a positive control which protected the PC12 cells with 33.85% at 100 μM. Unfortunately, no signifcant protection was observed by compound **7l** at diferent concentrations.

#### **In vitro hepatotoxicity test**

The clinical use of tacrine has been restricted due to its poor oral bioavailability and severe hepatotoxicity [[20\]](#page-18-17). Therefore, development of tacrine analogues with low hepatotoxicity is in the center of attention.

Compounds **7b** and **7l** were selected to be evaluated in vitro toward HepG2 cells (Fig. [5](#page-12-1)). It was found that the cytotoxicity of **7l** was comparable with that of tacrine. It demonstrated lower toxicity since the cell viability values were calculated as



<span id="page-12-1"></span>**Fig. 5** Cytotoxicity of compounds **7b** and **7l** against HepG2

100, 100, 87.5, and 54.3% at concentration of 2.5, 5, 10, and 20 μg/mL, respectively compared to tacrine which showed cell viability (%) of 100, 100, 89.4, and 65.8% at the same concentrations. However, compound **7b** was found to be more toxic than **7l** and tacrine based on cell viability (%) values of 54, 50, 42, and 44% at the similar concentrations.

### **Metal chelating studies**

Compounds **7l** and **7b** were tested for their metal chelating abilities toward Fe<sup>2+</sup>, Cu<sup>2+</sup>, and Zn<sup>2+</sup> ions (Fig. [6a](#page-13-0) and b). In the case of compound **7l** (Fig. [6a](#page-13-0)), UV spectrum of its methanolic solution  $(20 \mu M)$  showed two absorption peaks at 254.4 and 333.3 nm. After interaction of compound **7l** with  $\text{Zn}^{2+}$  (71- $\text{Zn}^{2+}$ ) for 30 min, those peaks shifted to 250.1 and 331.2 nm, respectively. The similar interaction with  $Fe^{2+}$  ions (**7l**-Fe<sup>2+</sup>) resulted in the shift of those peaks to 252.3 and 329.1 nm. In the case of interaction of **7l** and  $Cu<sup>2+</sup>$  ions, only one shift to 329.1 nm was observed and the peak at 254.4 did not change.

Compound **7b** (Fig. [6b](#page-13-0)) depicted three characteristic peaks at 248.0, 273.6, and 335.5 nm. After interaction of **7b** and metal ions, the peak at 248.0 nm shifted to 245.9 nm for **7b**-Zn<sup>2+</sup>, however **7b**-Cu<sup>2+</sup> and **7b**-Fe<sup>2+</sup> showed no change. Also, the peak at 273.6 nm was similarly observed for **7b**- $\text{Zn}^{2+}$  and **7b**- $\text{Cu}^{2+}$  but it shifted to 275.7 nm for  $7b$ -Fe<sup>2+</sup>. It should be noted that all  $7b$  complexes showed peaks at 335.5 nm with diferent intensity.

# **Docking studies**

To gain further insight into the interactions and related inhibitory effects, in silico simulations of molecular docking were carried out on the crystal structures of AChE (PDB code: 4ey7), BChE (PDB code: 4bds), and BACE1 (PDB code: 1w51).

Validation of protein−ligand docking was performed and recorded the RMSD values of 0.73 Å, 0.36 Å, and 1.39 Å between the docked structure and crystallographic inhibitors of AChE, BACE1, and BChE, respectively (RMSD <  $3 \text{ Å}$ ) is the commonly acceptable limit). The results indicated that the parameters for docking simulations were good in the prediction and validation of protein–ligand docking performance.

<span id="page-13-0"></span>



<span id="page-14-0"></span>**Fig. 7** Docking conformations of compound **7l** (green line) in the AChE. Hydrogen bonds are depicted in green dashed lines,  $\pi$ - $\pi$ stacked interactions are depicted in dark pink dashed lines, π-aryl

Docking study results of the most potent AChE inhibitor (compound **7l)** in the AChE active site (Fig. [7](#page-14-0)) showed that **7l** displayed three conventional hydrogen bonding interactions between the oxygen of the amide group and Tyr124, the amine group of tetrahydroacridin moiety and Tyr124, and the oxygen of 3-methoxyaryl group and Tyr72, respectively. It was found that tetrahydroacridin core established efective  $\pi$ - $\pi$  and  $\pi$ -aryl interactions with side chains of Trp86

interactions are depicted in pink dashed lines,  $\pi$ -donor interactions are depicted in pale green dashed lines, and halogen interactions are depicted in blue dashed lines

and Tyr124 (important residues of the choline-binding site). The same  $\pi$ - $\pi$  interactions were evident between Trp286, Tyr341 (residue of the PAS pocket), and 3-methoxyaryl and isoxazole moieties. Also, the AChE residues, His447 (critical residue of catalytic triads) and Gly448 showed π-donor and halogen interactions with the chlorotetrahydroacridin-9-amine ring [[45\]](#page-19-11). Taking into account all of



<span id="page-14-1"></span>**Fig. 8** Docking conformations of compound **7b** (gray line) in BChE. Hydrogen bonds are depicted in green dashed lines, π-π stacked interactions are depicted in dark pink dashed lines, and  $\pi$ -aryl interactions are depicted in pink dashed lines

these interaction modes confrmed the higher stability of **7l-AChE** (-9.52 kcal mol<sup>-1</sup>) and its potent activity.

Next, to confirm the potential of **7b** as a potent BChE inhibitor, molecular docking was also developed. Regarding the results depicted in Fig. [8](#page-14-1), it can be understood that **7b** was mainly stabilized by hydrogen bonding interactions between the nitrogen of tetrahydroacridin ring and Ser198 (catalytic triads of the BChE) as well as nitrogen of amide linker and Pro285. Additionally, 4-chloroaryl substituent contributed to binding by  $\pi$ - $\pi$ -T-shape interactions with Pro285 residue in the PAS pocket. Moreover, hydrophobic interactions were established between the tetrahydroacridin ring and Trp231 ( $\pi$ -alkyl), Leu286  $(\pi$ -alkyl), and Phe329 ( $\pi$ - $\pi$ ) which described the stability of the **7b**-**BChE** complex (−9.74 kcal mol−1). The molecular docking was consistent with the results of enzyme assays. The above results suggested sufficient explanation for **7b** as a potent BChE inhibitor.

Figure [9](#page-15-0) shows the docking interactions of compound **7l** within the BACE1 active site. Chloro-tetrahydroacridin-9-amine moiety made H-bonding interaction with Gly230 (2.05 Å) as well as  $\pi$ - $\pi$  stacked interaction with Tyr71. Chlorotetrahydroacridine ring also showed a  $\pi$ –alkyl interaction with Ile110. On the other side of the molecule, 3-methoxyaryl pendant formed three Vander Waals interactions with Gly34, Asp228, and Thr329. π-Sigma and π-alkyl interactions were also observed between the methoxyaryl substituent and Val332 and Ile226 residues, respectively. Two other H-bonding interactions were also seen between the nitrogen of amide linker and Thr231 (1.86 Å) as well as oxygen of carbonyl group with Gln73 (3.00 Å). Also, isoxazole core was fixed through two  $\pi$ -alkyl and one Vander Waals interactions with Arg235, Val332 and Thr72, respectively. These results confirmed the high potential of **7l** as a potent BACE1 inhibitor.

# **Morris water maze test**

Morris water maze (MWM) has been developed to evaluate the efficacy of drug candidates for the improvement of memory defcits. Practically, scopolamine which is an anticholinergic compound, is used to induce amnesia and memory loss in animal models [\[47\]](#page-19-12). In this work, the effect of compound **7l** (the most potent AChE inhibitor) was evaluated for its ability to reverse scopolamine-induced memory deficit in rats.

# **The efect of prescribing 7l on the escape latency time, traveled distance and the swimming speed during learning days**

Figure [10](#page-16-0) (A-C) shows the escape latency time, traveled distance, and swimming speed during learning days, respectively for all groups in the frst 4 day of trials. As can be seen in Fig. [10A](#page-16-0) and [10](#page-16-0)B, the scopolamine group depicted a signifcant increase of escape latency time (*P*<0.01) and traveled distance  $(P < 0.01)$  comparing with the control group. Group administered by compound **7l** at the dose of 1.25 mg/kg plus scopolamine showed a smaller signifcant difference  $(P < 0.05)$  in terms of the escape latency time and



<span id="page-15-0"></span>**Fig. 9** Docking conformations of compound **7l** (green line) in the BACE1 enzyme. Hydrogen bonds were depicted in green dashed lines, van der Waals interactions were depicted in pale green dashed

lines, π-alkyl and alkyl interactions were depicted in pale purple dashed lines, and  $\pi$ -sigma interactions were depicted in purple dashed lines



<span id="page-16-0"></span>during learning days. (B) The efect of prescribing **7l** on the traveled distance during learning days. (C) The efect of prescribing **7l** on the swimming speed during learning days. Each column displays the

mean  $\pm$  SEM for seven rats in all 4 training days for each group. Sco, scopolamine; \*Significantly different from control group. \**P*<0.05; \*\**P*<0.01. # Signifcantly diferent from scopolamine group. #  $P < 0.05$ ;  $# P < 0.01$ 

traveled distance than scopolamine group comparing with control group.

This result indicated that compound **7l** at the dose of 1.25 mg/kg could slightly improve learning memory impairment made by scopolamine. However, the group administered by compound **7l** at the dose of 2.50 mg/ kg plus scopolamine showed signifcant improvement of both escape latency time and traveled distance  $(P < 0.05$ and  $P < 0.01$ , respectively) comparing with scopolamine group. It should be noted that all groups showed no signifcant diferences in swimming speed (Fig. [10](#page-16-0)c) due to MWM learning impairment are independent of locomotor efects [\[48,](#page-19-13) [49](#page-19-14)].



<span id="page-17-2"></span>**Fig. 11** The efect of prescribing **7l** on the probe test in the diferent groups. Values are expressed as mean $\pm$ SEM for seven animals in each group. Sco, scopolamine; \*Signifcantly diferent from control group. \*\**P*<0.01, # Signifcantly diferent from scopolamine group. ##*P*<0.01

# **The efect of prescribing 7l on the probe test**

After training rats for 4 days, the probe test was performed on the ffth day. The trained rats were released into the water tank for 90 s and the hidden platform was removed and the time spent in the target quarter was recorded.

As shown in Fig. [11](#page-17-2), this parameter was signifcantly reduced in the groups receiving scopolamine and compound **7l** at the dose of 1.25 mg/kg plus scopolamine comparing with control group  $(P < 0.01)$ . However, it was increased in the groups administrated by compound **7l** at the dose of 2.50 mg/kg and donepezil plus scopolamine comparing with the scopolamine group  $(P < 0.01)$ . These data indicated that memory impairment was occurred in two frst groups, whereas in the two-latter groups, compound **7l** and donepezil could reverse memory defcit made by scopolamine.

# **Conclusion**

In conclusion, searching efficient multi-targeted ligands against AD led us to the design and synthesis of novel arylisoxazole-tacrine hybrids. According to the Ellman's method, all compounds demonstrated excellent in vitro ChE inhibitory activity and among tested compounds, **7l** and **7b** depicted the most potent AChEI ( $IC_{50} = 0.050 \mu M$ ) and BChEI ( $IC_{50} = 0.039 \mu M$ ) activity, respectively. It was perceived that anti-AChE activity of all compounds was more potent than tacrine and their activity was comparable with that of donepezil. Also, synthesized compounds showed dual activity toward ChEs. Their anti-BChE activity was much more potent than donepezil and found to be weaker than tacrine.

The important aspect of this work comes back to the potency of target compounds for the inhibition of βA aggregation and BACE1 as the main mechanisms involved in the onset of AD. Self-induced and AChE-induced Aβ aggregation inhibitory activity of compounds **7l** and **7b** were much more potent than those of donepezil and tacrine. It should be noted that compound **7l** depicted better results than compound **7b**. Evaluation of the inhibitory activity of compound **7l** toward BACE1 indicated its high efficacy  $(IC_{50} = 1.65 \mu M).$ 

Compounds **7l** and **7b** were found to be efficient chelators of zinc, copper, and iron ions. However, in vitro hepatotoxicity assay on HepG2 cells revealed that compounds **7l** and **7b** were not safer than tacrine. Furthermore, compound **7l** lacked satisfactory neuroprotectivity against Aβ-induced damage in PC12 cells. The efect of compound **71** on the improvement of cognitive and spatial memory deficits in AD was investigated through MWM test which remarkably reversed scopolamine-induced memory deficit in rats.

All results suggested that synthesized tacrine hybrids particularly compounds **7l** and **7b** can be considered as the versatile drug candidates against AD. Although various benefts were achieved in this work, more complementary animal and human tests are in demand to show desired efficacy of 7l as a lead compound.

**Supplementary Information** The online version contains supplementary material available at<https://doi.org/10.1007/s11030-021-10248-w>.

**Acknowledgements** This work was supported by grants from the Research Council of Tehran University of Medical Sciences with project No. 98-01-33-41955.

#### **Declarations**

**Conflict of interest** The authors declare that there is no confict of fnancial or personal interests that could have appeared to infuence the content of this paper.

# **References**

- <span id="page-17-0"></span>1. Patterson C (2018) World Alzheimer Report 2018—The State of the Art of Dementia Research: New Frontiers. Alzheimer's Disease International (ADI), London
- <span id="page-17-1"></span>2. Deture MA, Dickson DW (2019) The neuropathological diagnosis of Alzheimer's disease. Mol Neurodegener 5:1–18. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1186/s13024-019-0333-5) [10.1186/s13024-019-0333-5](https://doi.org/10.1186/s13024-019-0333-5)
- <span id="page-18-0"></span>3. https://alz-journals.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/full[/https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1002/alz.12068) [org/10.1002/alz.12068](https://doi.org/10.1002/alz.12068)
- <span id="page-18-1"></span>4. Piton M, Hirtz C, Desmetz C, Milhau J, Dominique-Lajoix A, Bennys K, Lehmann S, Gabelle A (2018) Alzheimer's disease: advances in drug development. J Alzheimers Dis 65(1):3–13. <https://doi.org/10.3233/JAD-180145>
- <span id="page-18-2"></span>5. Oxford AE, Stewart ES, Rohn TT (2020) Clinical trials in Alzheimer's disease: A hurdle in the path of remedy. Int J Alzheimers Dis e5380346. [https://doi.org/10.1155/2020/5380346.](https://doi.org/10.1155/2020/5380346)
- <span id="page-18-3"></span>6. Dos-Santos-Picanco LC, Ozela PF, de-Fatima-de-Brito M, Pinheiro AA, Padilha EC, Braga FS, de-Paula-da-Silva CHT, Dos-Santos CBR, Rosa JMC, da-Silva-Hage-Melim LI (2018) Alzheimer's disease: A review from the pathophysiology to diagnosis, new perspectives for pharmacological treatment. Curr Med Chem 25(26):3141-3159. [https://doi.org/10.2174/092986732366616](https://doi.org/10.2174/0929867323666161213101126) [1213101126](https://doi.org/10.2174/0929867323666161213101126)
- <span id="page-18-4"></span>7. Tobor TO (2019) On the etiopathogenesis and pathophysiology of Alzheimer's disease: a comprehensive theoretical review. J Alzheimer's Dis 68(2):417–437. <https://doi.org/10.3233/JAD-181052>
- <span id="page-18-5"></span>8. Teipel SJ, Fritz HC, Grothe MJ (2020) Alzheimer's disease neuroimaging initiative. Neuropathologic features associated with basal forebrain atrophy in Alzheimer disease. Neurology 95(10):1301– 1311. [https://doi.org/10.1212/WNL.0000000000010192.](https://doi.org/10.1212/WNL.0000000000010192)
- <span id="page-18-6"></span>9. Colautti J, Nagales K (2020) Tau and beta-amyloid in Alzheimer's disease: Theories, treatments strategies, and future directions. Meducator 1(37):12–15.<https://doi.org/10.15173/m.v1i37.2502>
- <span id="page-18-7"></span>10. Castellani RJ, Plascencia-Villa G, Perry G (2019) The amyloid cascade and Alzheimer's disease therapeutics: theory versus observation. Lab Invest 99:958–970. [https://doi.org/10.1038/](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41374-019-0231-z) [s41374-019-0231-z](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41374-019-0231-z)
- <span id="page-18-8"></span>11. Moussa-Pacha NM, Abdin SM, Omar HA, Alniss H, Al-Tel TH (2020) BACE1 inhibitors: current status and future directions in treating Alzheimer's disease. Med Res Rev 40:339–384. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1002/med.21622) [doi.org/10.1002/med.21622](https://doi.org/10.1002/med.21622)
- <span id="page-18-9"></span>12. Bruni AC, Bernardi L, Gabelli C (2020) From beta amyloid to altered proteostasis in Alzheimer's disease. Ageing Res Rev. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.arr.2020.101126>
- <span id="page-18-10"></span>13. Cacabelos R (2020) Pharmacogenetic considerations when prescribing cholinesterase inhibitors for the treatment of Alzheimer's disease. Expert Opin Drug Metab Toxicol 16(8):673–701. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1080/17425255.2020.1779700) [doi.org/10.1080/17425255.2020.1779700](https://doi.org/10.1080/17425255.2020.1779700)
- <span id="page-18-11"></span>14. Whitehouse PJ, Price DL, Struble RG, Clark AW, Coyle JT, Delon MR (1982) Alzheimer's disease and senile dementia: loss of neurons in the basal forebrain. Science 215(4537):1237–1239. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.7058341) [doi.org/10.1126/science.7058341](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.7058341)
- <span id="page-18-12"></span>15. Agatonovic-Kustrin S, Kettle C, Morton DW (2018) A molecular approach in drug development for Alzheimer's disease. Biomed Pharmacother 106:553–565. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biopha.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biopha.2018.06.147) [2018.06.147](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biopha.2018.06.147)
- <span id="page-18-13"></span>16. Sharma K (2019) Cholinesterase inhibitors as Alzheimer's therapeutics (Review). Mol Med Rep 20(2):1479–1487. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.3892/mmr.2019.10374) [10.3892/mmr.2019.10374](https://doi.org/10.3892/mmr.2019.10374)
- <span id="page-18-14"></span>17. Kabir MT, Uddin MS, Begum MM, Thangapandiyan S, Rahman MS, Aleya L, Mathew B, Ahmed M, Barreto GE, Ashraf GM (2019) Cholinesterase inhibitors for Alzheimer's disease: multitargeting strategy based on anti-Alzheimer's drugs repositioning. Curr Pharm Des 25(33):3519–3535. [https://doi.org/10.2174/](https://doi.org/10.2174/1381612825666191008103141) [1381612825666191008103141](https://doi.org/10.2174/1381612825666191008103141)
- <span id="page-18-15"></span>18. Martinez A, Castro A (2006) Novel cholinesterase inhibitors as future efective drugs for the treatment of Alzheimer's disease. Expert Opin Invest Drugs 15(1):1–12. [https://doi.org/10.1517/](https://doi.org/10.1517/13543784.15.1.1) [13543784.15.1.1](https://doi.org/10.1517/13543784.15.1.1)
- <span id="page-18-16"></span>19. Ismaili L, Refouvelet B, Benchekroun M, Brogi S, Brindisi M, Gemma S, Campiani G, Filipic S, Agbaba D, Esteban G, Unzeta M, Nikolic K, Butini S, Marco-Contelles J (2017) Multitarget compounds bearing tacrine-and donepezil-like structural and

functional motifs for the potential treatment of Alzheimer's disease. Prog Neurobiol 151:4–34. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pneur](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pneurobio.2015.12.003) [obio.2015.12.003](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pneurobio.2015.12.003)

- <span id="page-18-17"></span>20. McEneny-King A, Osman W, Edginton AN, Rao PPN (2017) Cytochrome P450 binding studies of novel tacrine derivatives: predicting the risk of hepatotoxicity. Bioorg Med Chem Lett 27(11):2443–2449.<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bmcl.2017.04.006>
- <span id="page-18-18"></span>21. Sameem B, Saeedi M, Mahdavi M, Shafee A (2017) A review on tacrine-based scafolds as multi-target drugs (MTDLs) for Alzheimer's disease. Eur J Med Chem 128:332–345. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejmech.2016.10.060) [10.1016/j.ejmech.2016.10.060](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejmech.2016.10.060)
- <span id="page-18-19"></span>22. Riazimontazer E, Sadeghpour H, Nadri H, Sakhteman A, Tüylü Küçükkılınç T, Miri R, Edraki N (2019) Design, synthesis and biological activity of novel tacrine-isatin Schif base hybrid derivatives. Bioorg Chem 89:103006. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bioorg.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bioorg.2019.103006) [2019.103006](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bioorg.2019.103006)
- <span id="page-18-20"></span>23. Makhaeva GF, Kovaleva NV, Boltneva NP, Lushchekina SV, Rudakova EV, Stupina TS, Terentiev AA, Serkov IV, Proshin AN, Radchenko EV, Palyulin VA, Bachurin SO, Richardson RJ (2020) Conjugates of tacrine and 1,2,4-thiadiazole derivatives as new potential multifunctional agents for Alzheimer's disease treatment: synthesis, quantum-chemical characterization, molecular docking, and biological evaluation. Bioorg Chem 94:103387. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bioorg.2019.103387>
- <span id="page-18-21"></span>24. Korabecny J, Musilek K, Zemek F, Horova A, Holas O, Nepovimova E, Opletalova V, Hroudova J, Fisar Z, Jung YS, Kuca K (2011) Synthesis and in vitro evaluation of 7-methoxy-N-(pent-4-enyl)-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroacridin-9-amine-new tacrine derivate with cholinergic properties. Bioorg Med Chem Lett 21:6563– 6566. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bmcl.2011.08.042>
- <span id="page-18-22"></span>25. Pan T, Xie S, Zhou Y, Hu J, Luo H, Li X, Huang L (2019) Dual functional cholinesterase and PDE4D inhibitors for the treatment of Alzheimer's disease: design, synthesis and evaluation of tacrine-pyrazolo[3,4-b]pyridine hybrids. Bioorg Med Chem Lett 29(16):2150–2152.<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bmcl.2019.06.056>
- <span id="page-18-23"></span>26. Najaf Z, Mahdavi M, Mahdavi M, Saeedi M, Karimpour-Razkenari E, Asatouri R, Vafadarnejad F, Homayouni-Moghadam F, Khanavi M, Sharifzadeh M, Akbarzadeh T (2017) Novel tacrine-1,2,3-triazole hybrids: In vitro, in vivo biological evaluation and docking study of cholinesterase inhibitors. Eur J Med Chem 125:1200–1212. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejmech.2016.11.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejmech.2016.11.008) [008](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejmech.2016.11.008)
- <span id="page-18-24"></span>27. Hu MK, Lu CF (2000) A facile synthesis of bis-tacrine isosteres. Tetrahedron Lett 41(11):1815–1818. [https://doi.org/10.1016/](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0040-4039(00)00036-8) [S0040-4039\(00\)00036-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0040-4039(00)00036-8)
- <span id="page-18-25"></span>28. Najaf Z, Mahdavi M, Saeedi M, Karimpour-Razkenari E, Edraki N, Sharifzadeh M, Khanavi M, Akbarzadeh T (2019) Novel tacrine-coumarin hybrids linked to 1,2,3-triazole as anti-Alzheimer's compounds: In vitro and in vivo biological evaluation and docking study. Bioorg Chem 83:303–316. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bioorg.2018.10.056) [1016/j.bioorg.2018.10.056](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bioorg.2018.10.056)
- <span id="page-18-26"></span>29. Saeedi M, Rastegari A, Hariri R, Mirfazli SS, Mahdavi M, Edraki N, Firuzi O, Akbarzadeh T (2020) Design and synthesis of novel arylisoxazole-chromenone carboxamides: investigation of biological activities associated with Alzheimer's disease. Chem Biodivers 17(5):e1900746.<https://doi.org/10.1002/cbdv.201900746>
- <span id="page-18-27"></span>30. Vafadarnejad F, Mahdavi M, Karimpour-Razkenari E, Edraki N, Sameem B, Khanavi M, Saeedi M, Akbarzadeh T (2018) Design and synthesis of novel coumarin-pyridinium hybrids: In vitro cholinesterase inhibitory activity. Bioorg Chem 77:311–319. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bioorg.2018.01.013) [doi.org/10.1016/j.bioorg.2018.01.013](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bioorg.2018.01.013)
- <span id="page-18-28"></span>31. Najaf Z, Mahdavi M, Saeedi M, Sabourian R, Khanavi M, Safavi M, Tehrani MB, Shafee A, Foroumadi A, Akbarzadeh T (2017) 1,2,3-Triazole-Isoxazole based acetylcholinesterase inhibitors: synthesis, biological evaluation and docking Study. Lett Drug

Des Discov 14:58–65. [https://doi.org/10.2174/157018081366616](https://doi.org/10.2174/1570180813666160628085515) [0628085515](https://doi.org/10.2174/1570180813666160628085515)

- <span id="page-19-0"></span>32. Saeedi M, Safavi M, Allahabadi E, Rastegari A, Hariri R, Jafari S, Bukhari SNA, Mirfazli SS, Firuzi O, Edraki N, Mahdavi M, Akbarzadeh T (2020) Thieno[2,3-b]pyridine amines: synthesis and evaluation of tacrine analogs against biological activities related to Alzheimer's disease. Arch Pharm 353:e2000101. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1002/ardp.202000101) [doi.org/10.1002/ardp.202000101](https://doi.org/10.1002/ardp.202000101)
- <span id="page-19-1"></span>33. Karimi-Askarani H, Iraji A, Rastegari A, Abbas-Bukhari SN, Firuzi O, Akbarzadeh T, Saeedi M (2020) Design and synthesis of multi-target directed 1,2,3-triazole-dimethylaminoacryloyl-chromenone derivatives with potential use in Alzheimer's disease. BMC Chem 14(1):pe64. [https://doi.org/10.1186/](https://doi.org/10.1186/s13065-020-00715-0) [s13065-020-00715-0](https://doi.org/10.1186/s13065-020-00715-0).
- <span id="page-19-2"></span>34. Iraji A, Firuzi O, Khoshneviszadeh M, Tavakkoli M, Mahdavi M, Nadri H, Edraki N, Miri R (2017) Multifunctional iminochromene-2*H*-carboxamide derivatives containing diferent aminomethylene triazole with BACE1 inhibitory, neuroprotective and metal chelating properties targeting Alzheimer's disease. Eur J Med Chem 141:690–702. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejmech.2017.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejmech.2017.09.057) [09.057](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejmech.2017.09.057)
- <span id="page-19-3"></span>35. Iraji A, Firuzi O, Khoshneviszadeh M, Nadri H, Edraki N, Miri R (2018) Synthesis and structure-activity relationship study of multi-target triazine derivatives as innovative candidates for treatment of Alzheimer's disease. Bioorg Chem 77:223–235. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bioorg.2018.01.017) [doi.org/10.1016/j.bioorg.2018.01.017](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bioorg.2018.01.017)
- <span id="page-19-4"></span>36. Edraki N, Firuzi O, Fatahi Y, Mahdavi M, Asadi M, Emami S, Divsalar K, Miri R, Iraji A, Khoshneviszadeh M, Firoozpour L, Shafee A, Foroumadi A (2015) *N*-(2-(Piperazin-1-yl)phenyl) arylamide derivatives as β-secretase (BACE1) inhibitors: simple synthesis by Ugi Four-component reaction and biological evaluation. Arch Pharm 348(5):330–337. [https://doi.org/10.1002/ardp.](https://doi.org/10.1002/ardp.201400322) [201400322](https://doi.org/10.1002/ardp.201400322)
- <span id="page-19-5"></span>37. Martorana A, Giacalone V, Bonsignore R, Pace A, Gentile C, Pibiri I, Buscemi S, Lauria A, Piccionello AP (2016) Heterocyclic scaffolds for the treatment of Alzheimer's disease. Curr Pharm Des 22:3971–3995
- <span id="page-19-6"></span>38. Saeedi M, Mohtadi-Haghighi D, Mirfazli SS, Mahdavi M, Hariri R, Lotfan H, Edraki N, Iraji A, Firuzi O, Akbarzadeh T (2019) Design and synthesis of selective acetylcholinesterase inhibitors: Arylisoxazole-Phenylpiperazine derivatives. Chem Biodivers 16:e1800433.<https://doi.org/10.1002/cbdv.201800433>
- 39. Vafadarnejad F, Saeedi M, Mahdavi M, Rafnejad A, Karimpour-Razkenari E, Sameem B, Khanavi M, Akbarzadeh T (2017) Novel indole-isoxazole hybrids: synthesis and in vitro anti-cholinesterase activity. Lett Drug Des Discov 14:712–717. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.2174/1570180813666161018124726) [2174/1570180813666161018124726](https://doi.org/10.2174/1570180813666161018124726)
- <span id="page-19-7"></span>40. Vafadarnejad F, Karimpour-Razkenari E, Sameem B, Saeedi M, Firuzi O, Edraki N, Mahdavi M, Akbarzadeh T (2019) Novel N-benzylpyridinium moiety linked to arylisoxazole derivatives as selective butyrylcholinesterase inhibitors: Synthesis, biological evaluation, and docking study. Bioorg Chem 92:103192. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bioorg.2019.103192) [doi.org/10.1016/j.bioorg.2019.103192](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bioorg.2019.103192)
- <span id="page-19-8"></span>41. Ragab HM, Teleb M, Haidar HR, Gouda N (2019) Chlorinated tacrine analogs: Design, synthesis and biological evaluation of their anti-cholinesterase activity as potential treatment for Alzheimer's disease. Bioorg Chem 86:557–568. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bioorg.2019.02.033) [1016/j.bioorg.2019.02.033](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bioorg.2019.02.033)
- 42. Ragab HM, Ashour HMA, Galal A, Ghoneim AI, Haidar HR (2016) Synthesis and biological evaluation of some tacrine analogs: study of the effect of the chloro substituent on the acetylcholinesterase inhibitory activity. Monatsh Chem 147:539–552. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00706-015-1641-2>
- <span id="page-19-9"></span>43. Keri RS, Quintanova C, Chaves S, Silva DF, Cardoso SM, Santos MA (2016) New tacrine hybrids with natural-based cysteine derivatives as multitargeted drugs for potential treatment of Alzheimer's disease. Chem Biol Drug Des 87(1):101-111. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1111/cbdd.12633) [doi.org/10.1111/cbdd.12633](https://doi.org/10.1111/cbdd.12633)
- <span id="page-19-10"></span>44. Wilcken R, Zimmermann MO, Lange A, Joerger AC, Boeckler FM (2013) Principles and applications of halogen bonding in medicinal chemistry and chemical biology. J Med Chem 56(4):1363–1388.<https://doi.org/10.1021/jm3012068>
- <span id="page-19-11"></span>45. Iraji A, Khoshneviszadeh M, Firuzi O, Khoshneviszadeh M, Edraki N (2020) Novel small molecule therapeutic agents for Alzheimer disease: Focusing on BACE1 and multi-target directed ligands. Bioorg Chem 97:e103649. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bioorg.2020.103649) [bioorg.2020.103649](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bioorg.2020.103649)
- 46. Yazdani M, Edraki N, Badri R, Khoshneviszadeh M, Iraji A, Firuzi O (2020) 5,6-Diphenyl triazine-thio methyl triazole hybrid as a new Alzheimer's disease modifying agents. Mol Divers 24:641–654.<https://doi.org/10.1007/s11030-019-09970-3>
- <span id="page-19-12"></span>47. Liao J, Nai Y, Feng L, Chen Y, Li M, Xu H (2020) Walnut oil prevents scopolamine-induced memory dysfunction in a mouse model. Molecules 25:pe1630. [https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules2](https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules25071630) [5071630](https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules25071630).
- <span id="page-19-13"></span>48. Vorhees CV, Williams MT (2006) Morris water maze: procedures for assessing spatial and related forms of learning and memory. Nat Protoc 1:848–858. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nprot.2006.116>
- <span id="page-19-14"></span>49. Brandeis R, Brandys Y, Yehuda S (1989) The use of the Morris Water Maze in the study of memory and learning. Int J Neurosci 48(1–2):29–69.<https://doi.org/10.3109/00207458909002151>

**Publisher's Note** Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional afliations.