SHORT COMMUNICATION



An unusual synthesis of 3-(2-(arylamino)thiazol-4-yl)-2H-chromen-2 -ones from ethyl 2-(chloromethyl)-2-hydroxy-2H-chromene-3 -carboxylate via benzopyran ring opening

Kotthireddy Kavitha¹ · Devulapally Srikrishna¹ · Balasubramanian Sridhar² · Pasula Aparna¹

Received: 30 June 2018 / Accepted: 25 September 2018 / Published online: 1 October 2018 © Springer Nature Switzerland AG 2018

Abstract

An unusual and unexpected synthesis of 3-(2-(arylamino)thiazol-4-yl)-2*H*-chromen-2-ones has been observed by the reaction of ethyl 2-(chloromethyl)-2-hydroxy-2*H*-chromene-3-carboxylate with various arylthioureas in ethanol under mild reaction conditions with excellent yields. The ambiguity in the structure of the obtained products has been solved by recording its single-crystal X-ray analysis. This protocol has been found to be a novel approach for the preparation of title compounds via benzopyran ring opening. A systematic plausible mechanism has been proposed for the formation of the product. Also, an efficient one-pot three-component method has been demonstrated for the formation of title compounds starting from salicylaldehyde.

Keywords Coumarins · Ethyl 2-(chloromethyl)-2-hydroxy-2*H*-chromene-3-carboxylate · Arylthioureas · X-ray crystallog-raphy · 3-(2-(Arylamino)thiazol-4-yl)-2H-chromen-2-ones

Introduction

Coumarin and its related compounds have found to have significant therapeutic, agricultural and material chemistry importance which has been reviewed by us recently [1]. Coumarin and its derivatives exhibited potential biological activities such as antimicrobial [2], anti-HIV [3], antioxidant [4], anti-tubercular [5], anti-mutagenic [6] and antibiotic [7]. Coumarins are also found be active pharmacophores in various drugs.

Furthermore, thiazoles and its derivatives have been also found to show various therapeutic activities such as anti-HIV [8], anti-tumor [9], insulin releasing [10], anti-convulsant [11], neuroprotective agent [12] and antimicrobial [13] agents. Some of the commercially available thiazole-based drugs are listed in Fig. 1.

Different approaches have been reported in the recent literature for the synthesis of thiazoles by making use of various substrates and reagents. A gist of some of the recent highlights for the thiazole synthesis is as follows. Sheldrake et al. reported the synthesis of 5-arylthiazoles by the treatment of N,N-diformylaminomethyl aryl ketones with phosphorus pentasulfide and triethylamine in chloroform [14]. Tang et al. reported the synthesis of thiazoles by a copper-catalyzed [3+1+1]-type condensation of oximes, anhydrides and potassium thiocyanate in toluene at 120 °C [15]. Lingaraju et al. reported a base-induced cyclization of active methylene isocyanides such as tosylmethyl isocyanide, ethyl isocyanoacetate and arylmethyl isocyanides with methyl arene- and hetarenecarbodithioates to give 4,5-disubstituted thiazoles [16]. Miura et al. reported the reaction of 1sulfonyl-1,2,3-triazoles with thionoesters in the presence of a rhodium(II) catalyst providing 3-sulfonyl-4-thiazolines, which subsequently aromatize into the corresponding of 2,5-disubstituted thiazoles [17]. Chen et al. reported the palladium(II) acetate catalyzed highly selective synthesis of 4-substituted 2-aminothiazoles from vinyl azides and potassium thiocyanate, where iron(III) bromide promotes the formation of 4-substituted 5-thiocyano-2-aminothiazoles [18]. Tang et al. reported the synthesis of 4,5-disubstituted 2-aminothiazoles by a copper-catalyzed coupling of oxime

Kotthireddy Kavitha kavithakothireddy@gmail.com

¹ Department of Chemistry, College of Engineering, Jawaharlal Nehru Technological University, Hyderabad, Kukatpally, Hyderabad, Telangana 500 085, India

² Center for X-Ray Crystallography, CSIR-Indian Institute of Chemical Technology, Hyderabad, Telangana 500 007, India



acetates with isothiocyanates under mild reaction conditions via copper-catalyzed N–O bond cleavage [19]. Castagnolo et al. reported a domino alkylation-cyclization reaction of propargyl bromides with thioureas and thio-pyrimidinones to give the 2-aminothiazoles [20]. Narender et al. outlined the preparation of 2-amino-4-arylthiazole-5-carboxylates and by α -halogenation of β -keto esters with *N*-bromosuccinimide, followed by cyclization with thiourea [21].

One of the most commonly used procedures for the synthesis of the thiazole ring that clubbed to coumarin is based on the reaction of 3-(2-bromoacetyl)-2H-chromen-2-one with thioureas. Siddiqui et al. reported the synthesis of thiazoles by treating 3-(2-bromoacetyl)-2H-chromen-2-one with thiourea and its derivatives in refluxing ethanol [22]. Rao et al. reported the tandem synthesis of 3-(2-(arylamino)thiazol-4-yl)-2H-chromen-2-ones by reacting 3-(2-bromoacetyl)-2H-chromen-2-ones with potassium thio-cyanate for 1 h followed by further addition of arylamines at 60–65 °C for a period of 2 h [23]. Koti et al. reported the reaction between 3-(2-bromoacetyl)-2H-chromen-2-ones and substituted thiourea derivatives using ethanol as a solvent under reflux conditions for 12 h to give 3-(2-(arylamino)thiazol-4-yl)-2H-chromen-2-ones [24].

However, there is no report available for the preparation of 3-(2-(arylamino)thiazol-4-yl)-2*H*-chromen-2-ones by the reaction of ethyl 2-(chloromethyl)-2-hydroxy-2*H*-chromene-3-carboxylate with arylthioureas. As part of our

ongoing research on synthesis of biologically important oxygen containing heterocyclic compounds, in particular, coumarins, this article describes an unexpected synthesis of 3-(2-(arylamino)thiazol-4-yl)-2H-chromen-2-ones via a benzopyran ring opening mechanism.

As shown in Scheme 1, reaction of salicylaldehyde (1) with ethyl-4-chloroacetoacetate (2) in ethanol containing catalytic amount of *L*-proline resulted in the formation of ethyl 2-(chloromethyl)-2-hydroxy-2*H*-chromene-3-carboxylate (3) which has been reported earlier in literature [25].

Reaction of ethyl 2-(chloromethyl)-2-hydroxy-2Hchromene-3-carboxylate (3) with 1-phenylthiourea (4a) in refluxing ethanol resulted in the formation of a compound which has been characterized on the basis of its spectral and analytical data. Thus, its IR (KBr) spectrum showed a strong, sharp peak at 1728 cm^{-1} due to a lactone C = O group and broad, medium peak in the range $3390-3430 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ assignable to NH group. Its ¹H NMR (DMSO d_6/TMS) spectrum showed signals at δ 6.98 (d, 2H, Ar–H), 7.39–7.68 (complex, m, 6H, Ar-H), 7.72 (s, 1H, Ar-H), 7.96 (d, 1H, Ar-H), 8.67 (s, 1H, Ar-H), 10.15 (s, 1H, -NH). Its ¹³C NMR (DMSO d₆/TMS) spectrum showed signals at δ 110.3, 116.3, 117.5, 119.7, 120.7, 121.9, 125.1, 129.4, 129.6, 132.1, 139.0, 141.4, 144.0, 152.7, 159.2, 162.9. Its HRMS showed the molecular ion (M^++1) peak at m/z321.0707 corresponding to its molecular weight of 320. Based on the above spectral and analytical data, there is an

Fig. 2 Possible products for the reaction of 3 with 1-phenylthiourea (4a): an ambiguity



Both these structures will show same characterization data with respect to IR, ¹H-NMR, ¹³C-NMR and Mass spectra

ambiguity in the structure of the product and we assumed there is possibility for the formation of two compounds and proposed the structures as shown in Fig. 2. Furthermore, in mechanistic way, structure 1 can be formed via an amide bond formation between ester group of **3** and amine from thioamide group of 1-phenylthiourea (**4a**) followed by internal cyclization and further dehydration to get a stabilized structure. Structure 2 can be possible by the reaction of 1-phenylthiourea (**4a**) in its thiol form with chloromethyl group of ethyl 2-(chloromethyl)-2-hydroxy-2*H*-chromene-3-carboxylate (**3**) to give a condensed compound followed by internal cyclization between ester group and amine from its thioamide group which further undergoes dehydration to obtain stability.

To resolve the ambiguity in the structure of the obtained product, we have crystallized the compound in a mixture of 1:1 chloroform and acetonitrile for several days and recorded its single-crystal X-ray crystallography (Fig. 3). Interestingly, the obtained structure kept us in still confusion. The structure is none of the above two possibilities but found to be as 3-(2-(phenylamino)thiazol-4-yl)-2H-chromen-2-one (**5a**) whose structure will also show same characterization data (Scheme 2).

The mechanism for this unusual conversion from **3** to thiazole skeleton (**5a**) was postulated to occur via the series of steps depicted in Scheme **3**. To solve this mechanism, we have gone through the literature carefully and proposed a suitable mechanism which is quite closer to the ring opening of a quinoxaline-pyran system which was reported earlier [26]. In detail (Scheme **3**), 1-phenylthiourea (**4a**) in its thiol form reacts with chloromethyl group of ethyl 2-(chloromethyl)-2-hydroxy-2*H*-chromene-3-carboxylate (**3**) to give a condensed compound **A** with a mole of hydrochloric acid which will undergo internal cyclization via a nucleophilic addition reaction to give an intermediate **B**. The latter will undergo benzopyran ring opening which is similar to ring opening of a quinoxaline-pyran system under acidic conditions to give an intermediate **C** which will undergo internal transesterification to give a stabilized structure, i.e., 3-(2-(phenylamino)thiazol-4-yl)-2*H*-chromen-2-one (**5a**).

With a view to optimize the reaction conditions for the formation of product in maximum yield, the reaction of **3** with 1-phenylthiourea (**4a**) was examined by treating equimolar amounts of the reactants in different solvents under reflux conditions to obtain **5a** (i.e., **5**, $Ar = C_6H_5$). Among all the conditions used, ethanol was found to be the best solvent for this synthesis in terms of reaction time and yield of the pure product formed without the use of column chromatography. Results of these studies are shown in Table 1.

Further, to evaluate the scope of this methodology, various arylthioureas (**4a–l**) have been taken and were treated with ethyl 2-(chloromethyl)-2-hydroxy-2Hchromene-3-carboxylate (**3**) in refluxing ethanol to give the desired products (**5a–l**) in good yields (Scheme 4).

Encouraged with the above results, having the optimization data in hand, it was thought of interest to prepare these compounds in a one-pot method and the best solvent i.e., ethanol as the solvent has been chosen. Thus, equimolar quantities of salicylaldehyde (1), ethyl-4-chloroacetoacetate (2), 1-phenylthiourea (4a) and catalytic amount of *L*-proline were reacted together in ethanol under refluxing conditions for 4–6 h. Interestingly, this reaction completed successfully to give the product 5a in good yields (Scheme 5). Further, the scope of this reaction has been extended to various arylthiourea derivatives (4a–I) to obtain the title compounds (5a–I) in good to excellent yields.

The overall yield from the stepwise method for the formation of products (**5a–l**) has been calculated (Table 2) and compared with the yield obtained from the one-pot synthesis (Fig. 4). From this comparison, it has been clearly observed that one-pot synthesis is the most convenient and efficient method for the preparation of title compounds.



Scheme 2 An unusual synthesis of 5a

Experimental section

Melting points are uncorrected and were determined in open capillary tubes using sulfuric acid bath. TLC analyses were done on silica gel-G coated sheets supplied by Merck Company, and visualization was done using UV lamp and iodine. ¹H NMR spectra were recorded on a Varian 400 MHz spectrometer in DMSO– d_6 using TMS as an internal standard. Mass spectra were recorded on Agilent 1100 LCMS instrument.

X-ray crystallography

Data for the compound 5a was collected at room temperature on a Bruker D8 QUEST instrument with an IµS Mo microsource ($\lambda = 0.7107$ A) and a PHOTON-100 detector. The raw data frames were reduced and corrected for absorption effects using the Bruker Apex 3 software suite programs. The structure was solved using intrinsic phasing method and further refined with the SHELXL [27] program and expanded using Fourier techniques. Anisotropic displacement parameters were included for all non-hydrogen atoms. N bound H atoms were located in difference Fourier maps, and their positions and isotropic displacement parameters were refined. All C bound H atoms were positioned geometrically and treated as riding on their parent C atoms [C–H=0.93–0.97 Å and U_{iso}(H)=1.5U_{eq}(C) for methyl H or 1.2U_{eq}(C) for other H atoms].

(3)

(5a)

HN



в

ОН

с

Scheme 3 Plausible mechanism for the formation of 5a from 3 and 4a

- HCl

A

(4a)

Table 1Optimization data forthe synthesis of 5a from 3 and4a

S. no.	Solvent	Reaction condition	Reaction time	Yield ^a (%)
1	Ethanol	Reflux	2 h	91
2	Methanol	Reflux	2 h	89
3	Acetonitrile	Reflux	3 h	84
4	Acetone	Reflux	3½ h	79
5	Tetrahydrofuran	Reflux	2 h	82
6	1,4-dioxane	Reflux	4 h	68
7	Ethyl acetate	Reflux	31⁄2 h	57
8	Isopropyl alcohol	Reflux	2¼ h	76
9	Benzene	Reflux	7 h	35
10	Water	Reflux	8 h	21

^aIsolated yield



Scheme 4 Synthesis of 3-(2-(arylamino)thiazol-4-yl)-2H-chromen-2-ones (5a-l)

Preparation of 3 from 1 and 2

A mixture of **1** (20 mmol), **2** (20 mmol), L-proline (20 mol%) and ethanol (50 mL) was stirred at RT for 6 h. After the completion of reaction, the mixture was poured into ice-cold

water (100 mL). The separated solid was filtered, washed with water (2×30 mL) and air-dried at RT. The crude product was recrystallized from methanol to obtain pure **3** white color solid. Yield: 4.12 g (77%). mp: 114–116 °C. Lit mp [25]: 113–115 °C.



Scheme 5 One-pot synthesis of 3-(2-(arylamino)thiazol-4-yl)-2*H*-chromen-2-ones (5a–l)

Table 2 Comparison of yields of**5a–l** obtained in stepwise andone-pot methods

S. no.	Stepwise method	Yield of 5a–l obtained in one-pot method		
	Yield of 3 from 1 and 2	Yield of 5a–l from 3	Overall yield of 5a–l	
1	77	5a : 91	5a : 70	5a : 81
2	77	5b : 82	5b : 63	5b : 79
3	77	5c : 85	5c : 65	5c : 83
4	77	5d : 86	5d : 66	5d : 75
5	77	5e : 83	5e : 64	5e : 78
6	77	5f : 79	5f : 61	5f : 70
7	77	5g : 81	5g : 62	5g : 69
8	77	5h : 84	5h : 65	5h : 79
9	77	5i : 77	5i : 59	5i : 72
10	77	5j : 89	5j : 68	5j : 82
11	77	5k : 80	5k : 62	5k : 78
12	77	51 : 83	51 : 64	51 : 80

Comparison graph between step-wise & one-pot methods



Fig. 4 Yield comparison of 5a-l obtained in stepwise and one-pot methods

General procedure for the synthesis of 5 from 3 and 4

A mixture of **3** (10 mmol), **4** (10 mmol) and ethanol (30 mL) was refluxed for a period of 2–4 h. Progress of the reac-

tion was monitored by thin layer chromatography. After the completion of the reaction, as shown by TLC analysis, the mixture was poured into ice-cold water (100 mL). The separated solid was filtered, washed with water (2×30 mL) and

448

air-dried at RT. The crude product was recrystallized from suitable solvent to obtain pure **5**.

3-(2-(Phenylamino)thiazol-4-yl)-2H-chromen-2-one (5a)

Yellow solid. Yield: 2.90 g (91%); mp 244–246 °C (Methanol); IR(KBr) vmax/cm⁻¹: 1728 cm⁻¹ (strong, sharp, –CO of coumarin ring), 3390–3430 cm⁻¹ (broad, medium, –NH group); ¹H-NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-d₆/TMS): δ = 6.98 (*d*, *J* = 8.8 Hz, 2H, Ar–H) 7.39–7.68 (complex, m, 6H, Ar–H), 7.72 (s, 1H, Ar–H), 7.96 (*d*, *J* = 6.8 Hz, 1H, Ar–H), 8.67 (s, 1H, Ar–H), 10.15 (s, 1H, –NH); ¹³C-NMR (100 MHz, DMSO-d₆): 110.3, 116.3, 117.5, 119.7, 120.7, 121.9, 125.1, 129.4, 129.6, 132.1, 139.0, 141.4, 144.0, 152.7, 159.2, 162.9; HRMS calculated for C₁₈H₁₂O₂N₂S [M+H]⁺: 321.0697, Found: 321.0707.

Crystal data for 5a

C₁₈H₁₂N₂O₂S (M = 320.36 g/mol): trigonal, space group R-3 (no. 148), a = 31.8600(3) Å, c = 7.6100(3) Å, V = 6689.7(3) Å³, Z = 18, T = 293(2) K, μ (MoK α) = 0.229 mm⁻¹, *Dcalc* = 1.431 g/cm³, 59,150 reflections measured (4.428° $\leq 2\Theta \leq 61.276^{\circ}$), 4585 unique ($R_{int} = 0.0338$, $R_{sigma} = 0.0163$) which were used in all calculations. The final R_1 was 0.0441 (I>2 σ (I)), and wR_2 was 0.1201 (all data). CCDC 1844833 contains supplementary Crystallographic data for the structure. These data can be obtained free of charge at www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/conts/retrieving.html [or from the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre (CCDC), 12 Union Road, Cambridge CB2 1EZ, UK; fax: +44(0) 1223 336 033; email: deposit@ccdc.cam.ac.uk].

3-(2-((4-Chlorophenyl)amino)thiazol-4-yl)-2*H*-chromen-2one (5b)

Yellow solid. Yield: 2.90 g (82%); mp 273–275 °C (Ethanol); IR(KBr) vmax/cm⁻¹: 1701 cm⁻¹ (strong, sharp, –CO of coumarin ring), 3380–3460 cm⁻¹ (broad, medium, –NH group); ¹H-NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-d₆/TMS): δ = 7.13 (*t*, *J* = 1.2 Hz, 1H, Ar–H), 7.36–7.66 (m, 5H, Ar–H), 7.82 (s, 1H, Ar–H), 7.92 (*d*, *J* = 1.2 Hz, 1H, Ar–H), 8.48 (*d*, *J* = 1.2 Hz, 1H, Ar–H), 8.71 (s, 1H, Ar–H) and 9.79 (s, 1H, –NH); ¹³C-NMR (100 MHz, DMSO-d₆): 115.0, 115.3, 115.8, 118.8, 118.9, 119.2, 120.6, 124.2, 127.9, 130.8, 136.9, 138.2, 143.7, 152.3, 156.0, 159.1, 159.3, 163.0; HRMS calculated for C₁₈H₁₁O₂N₂SCI [M+H]⁺: 355.0308, Found: 355.0321.

3-(2-((2-Chlorophenyl)amino)thiazol-4-yl)-2H-chromen-2one (5c)

Yellow solid. Yield: 3.00 g (85%); mp 154–156 °C (Ethanol); IR(KBr) vmax/cm⁻¹: 1720 cm⁻¹ (strong, sharp, –CO of coumarin ring), 3390–3450 cm⁻¹ (broad, medium, –NH group); ¹H-NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-d₆/TMS): δ = 7.11 (*t*, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 1H, Ar–H), 7.37–7.65 (complex, m, 5H, Ar–H), 7.82 (s, 1H, Ar–H), 7.91 (*d*, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 1H, Ar–H), 8.48 (*d*, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 1H, Ar–H), 8.61 (s, 1H, Ar–H) and 9.81 (s, 1H, –NH); ¹³C-NMR (100 MHz, DMSO-d₆): 109.4, 115.5, 118.1, 119.0, 120.3, 124.0, 125.4, 127.8, 128.2, 130.7, 136.9, 137.0, 138.1, 139.3, 143.5, 152.1, 159.0, 162.1; HRMS calculated for C₁₈H₁₁ClN₂O₂S [M+H]⁺: 355.0308, Found: 355.0310.

3-(2-((2-Chloro-4-fluorophenyl)amino)thiazol-4-yl)-2Hchromen-2-one (5d)

Yellow solid. Yield: 3.19 g (86%); mp 124–126 °C (Ethanol); IR(KBr) vmax/cm⁻¹: 1713 cm⁻¹ (strong, sharp, –CO of coumarin ring), 3360–3450 cm⁻¹ (broad, medium, –NH group); ¹H-NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-d₆/TMS): δ = 6.98 (*d*, *J* = 9.2 Hz, 2H, Ar–H), 7.40 (*t*, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 1H, Ar–H), 7.47 (s, 1H, Ar–H), 7.61–7.68 (complex, m, 3H, Ar–H), 7.72 (s, 1H, Ar–H), 8.67 (s, 1H, Ar–H) and 10.15 (s, 1H, –NH); ¹³C-NMR (100 MHz, DMSO-d₆): 117.7, 118.5, 119.6, 120.6, 125.2, 129.2, 132.1, 138.6, 139.6, 139.8, 143.9, 151.2, 152.7, 153.6, 159.1, 162.6; HRMS calculated for C₁₈H₁₀ClFN₂O₂S [M+H]⁺: 373.0213, Found: 373.0402.

3-(2-((4-Fluorophenyl)amino)thiazol-4-yl)-2*H*-chromen-2-one (5e)

Yellow solid. Yield: 2.80 g (83%); mp 126–128 °C (Methanol); IR(KBr) vmax/cm⁻¹: 1717 cm⁻¹ (strong, sharp, –CO of coumarin ring), 3390–3450 cm⁻¹ (broad, medium, –NH group); ¹H-NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-d₆/TMS): δ = 7.19–7.94 (complex, m, 8H, Ar–H), 7.96 (s, 1H, Ar–H), 8.69 (s, 1H, Ar–H) and 10.38 (s, 1H, –NH); ¹³C-NMR (100 MHz, DMSO-d₆): 109.8, 115.4, 115.6, 115.8, 118.6, 118.6, 119.2, 120.2, 124.6, 128.9, 131.6, 137.4, 138.6, 143.5, 152.2, 155.7, 158.1, 158.7, 162.5; HRMS calculated for C₁₈H₁₁IFN₂O₂S [M+H]⁺: 339.0603, Found: 339.0599.

3-(2-((4-Methoxyphenyl)amino)thiazol-4-yl)-2*H*-chromen-2-one (5f)

Yellow solid. Yield: 2.76 g (79%); mp 192–194 °C (Acetonitrile); IR(KBr) vmax/cm⁻¹: 1720 cm⁻¹ (strong, sharp, –CO of coumarin ring), 3395–3455 cm⁻¹ (broad, medium, –NH group); ¹H-NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-d₆/TMS): δ = 3.75 (s, 3H, OCH₃), 6.96–7.94 (complex, m, 8H, Ar–H), 7.96 (s, 1H, Ar–H), 8.67 (s, 1H, Ar–H) and 10.16 (s, 1H, –NH); ¹³C-NMR (100 MHz, DMSO-d₆): 55.1, 109.2, 114.3, 115.8, 118.9, 119.2, 120.2, 124.6, 128.9, 131.6, 134.4, 138.4, 143.4, 152.2, 154.2, 158.7, 163.1; HRMS calculated for C₁₉H₁₄N₂O₃S [M+H]⁺: 351.0803, Found: 351.0802.

3-(2-(4-Tolylamino)thiazol-4-yl)-2H-chromen-2-one (5g)

Yellow solid. Yield: 2.70 g (81%); mp 201–203 °C (Acetonitrile); IR(KBr) vmax/cm⁻¹: 1716 cm⁻¹ (strong, sharp, –CO of coumarin ring), 3404–3450 cm⁻¹ (broad, medium, –NH group); ¹H-NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-d₆/TMS): δ = 2.15 (s, 3H, -CH₃), 7.40–7.67 (m, 5H, Ar–H), 7.72 (s, 1H, Ar–H), 7.79–7.98 (complex, m, 3H, Ar–H), 8.71 (s, 1H, Ar–H) and 10.50 (s, 1H, –NH); ¹³C-NMR (100 MHz, DMSO-d₆): 21.2, 110.0, 115.3, 119.1, 119.8, 120.8, 125.0, 128.1, 128.4, 133.2, 139.2, 140.1, 144.0, 152.4, 159.5, 162.2; HRMS calculated for C₁₉H₁₄N₂O₂S [M+H]⁺: 335.0855, Found: 335.0853.

3-(2-((4-Nitrophenyl)amino)thiazol-4-yl)-2*H*-chromen-2-one (5h)

Yellow solid. Yield: 3.07 g (84%); mp 170–172 °C (Methanol); IR(KBr) vmax/cm⁻¹: 1728 cm⁻¹ (strong, sharp, –CO of coumarin ring), 3404–3430 cm⁻¹ (broad, medium, –NH group); ¹H-NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-d₆/TMS): δ = 7.11 (*t*, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 1H, Ar–H), 7.37–7.65 (complex, m, 5H, Ar–H), 7.82 (s, 1H, Ar–H), 7.91 (*d*, *J* = 9.2 Hz, 1H, Ar–H), 8.48 (*d*, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 1H, Ar–H), 8.61 (s, 1H, Ar–H) and 9.81 (s, 1H, –NH); ¹³C-NMR (100 MHz, DMSO-d₆): 110.7, 116.3, 119.0, 119.7, 120.6, 125.1, 129.3, 129.4, 132.1, 139.2, 140.3, 144.0, 152.7, 159.2, 162.6; HRMS calculated for C₁₈H₁₁N₃O₄S [M+H]⁺: 366.0549, Found: 366.0548.

3-(2-((4-Bromophenyl)amino)thiazol-4-yl)-2*H*-chromen-2-one (5i)

Yellow solid. Yield: 3.07 g (77%); mp 177–179 °C (Chloroform); IR(KBr) vmax/cm⁻¹: 1730 cm⁻¹ (strong, sharp, –CO of coumarin ring), 3380–3438 cm⁻¹ (broad, medium, –NH group); ¹H-NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-d₆/TMS): δ 7.43–7.48 (complex, m, 4H, Ar–H),7.63 (*d*, *J* = 6.8 Hz, 1H, Ar–H), 7.66 (s, 1H, Ar–H), 7.69 (*d*, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 1H, Ar–H), 7.82 (*t*, *J* = 8.8 Hz, 1H, Ar–H), 7.98 (*d*, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 1H, Ar–H), 8.73 (s, 1H, Ar–H) and 10.52 (s, 1H, –NH); ¹³C-NMR (100 MHz,

 $\begin{array}{l} DMSO\text{-}d_6\text{):}\ 111.2,\ 115.5,\ 117.5,\ 118.0,\ 118.9,\ 119.3,\ 121.2,\\ 124.7,\ 128.7,\ 130.9,\ 135.1,\ 139.0,\ 143.4,\ 151.8,\ 158.5,\ 163.6;\\ HRMS\ calculated\ for\ C_{18}H_{11}BrN_2O_2S\ [M+H]+:\ 398.9807,\\ Found:\ 398.9802. \end{array}$

3-(2-((2-Nitrophenyl)amino)thiazol-4-yl)-2H-chromen-2one (5j)

Yellow solid. Yield: 3.24 g (89%); mp 214–216 °C (Ethanol); IR(KBr) vmax/cm⁻¹: 1726 cm⁻¹ (strong, sharp, –CO of coumarin ring), 3390–3440 cm⁻¹ (broad, medium, –NH group); ¹H-NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-d₆/TMS): δ 7.12 (*t*, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 1H, Ar–**H**), 7.38–7.64 (complex, m, 5H, Ar–H), 7.84 (s, 1H, Ar–**H**), 7.93 (*d*, *J* = 6.8 Hz, 1H, Ar–**H**), 8.49 (*d*, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 1H, Ar–**H**), 8.61 (s, 1H, Ar–**H**) and 9.94 (s, 1H, –N**H**); ¹³C-NMR (100 MHz, DMSO-d₆): 110.0, 115.2, 118.2, 119.1, 120.5, 123.9, 125.2, 128.0, 128.6, 130.9, 136.9, 137.2, 138.4, 139.4, 144.2, 152.2, 159.1, 162.2; HRMS calculated for C₁₈H₁₁N₃O₄S [M+H]+: 366.0545, Found: 366.0548.

3-(2-(2-Bromo-4-fluorophenylamino)thiazol-4-yl)-2*H*-chromen-2-one (5k)

Yellow solid. Yield: 3.33 g (80%); mp 153–155 °C (Ethanol); IR(KBr) vmax/cm⁻¹: 1719 cm⁻¹ (strong, sharp, –CO of coumarin ring), 3345–3480 cm⁻¹ (broad, medium, –NH group); ¹H-NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-d₆/TMS): δ 6.95 (*d*, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 2H, Ar–H), 7.44 (*t*, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 1H, Ar–H), 7.49 (s, 1H, Ar–H), 7.64–7.69 (complex, m, 3H, Ar–H), 7.75 (s, 1H, Ar–H), 8.68 (s, 1H, Ar–H) and 10.13 (s, 1H, –NH); ¹³C-NMR (100 MHz, DMSO-d₆): 116.9, 118.1, 119.4, 120.3, 125.1, 129.2, 131.9, 138.5, 139.5, 139.9, 144.0, 150.9, 152.6, 154.1, 159.2, 163.1; HRMS calculated for C₁₈H₁₀BrFN₂O₂S [M+H]⁺: 418.0325, Found: 418.0302.

3-(2-((2-Bromophenyl)amino)thiazol-4-yl)-2*H*-chromen-2-one (5l)

Yellow solid. Yield: 3.31 g (83%); mp 189–191 °C (Ethanol); IR(KBr) vmax/cm⁻¹: 1723 cm⁻¹ (strong, sharp, –CO of coumarin ring), 3382–3460 cm⁻¹ (broad, medium, –NH group); ¹H-NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-d₆/TMS): δ 7.14 (*t*, *J* = 8.2 Hz, 1H, Ar–H), 7.38–7.66 (complex, m, 5H, Ar–H), 7.83 (s, 1H, Ar–H), 7.91 (*d*, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 1H, Ar–H), 8.46 (*d*, *J* = 6.8 Hz, 1H, Ar–H), 8.60 (s, 1H, Ar–H) and 9.98 (s, 1H, –NH); ¹³C-NMR (100 MHz, DMSO-d₆): 109.5, 115.6, 117.9, 119.1, 120.2, 123.9, 125.5, 128.0, 128.9, 131.5, 136.7, 137.1, 138.5, 139.8, 144.1, 152.8, 159.6, 162.5; HRMS calculated for C₁₈H₁₁BrN₂O₂S [M+H]+: 398.9805, Found: 398.9802.

General procedure for the one-pot synthesis of 5 from 1, 2 and 4

A mixture of **1** (10 mmol), **2** (10 mmol), **4** (10 mmol), *L*proline (20 mol%) and ethanol (30 mL) was refluxed for a period of 4–6 h. Progress of the reaction was monitored by thin layer chromatography. After the completion of the reaction, as shown by TLC analysis, the mixture was poured into ice-cold water (100 mL). The separated solid was filtered, washed with water (2×30 mL) and air-dried at RT. The crude product was recrystallized from suitable solvent to obtain pure **5**.

Conclusion

In conclusion, we have demonstrated an unusual and unexpected synthetic method for the preparation of various 3-(2-(arylamino)thiazol-4-yl)-2*H*-chromen-2-ones (**5**) from with ethyl 2-(chloromethyl)-2-hydroxy-2*H*-chromene-3-carboxylate (**3**). The scope of this reaction has been successfully extended to an efficient one-pot synthetic protocol for the synthesis of the same products and thus reduced the two steps process to a one-step process. Apart from all of these, a novel approach has been outlined for the synthesis of title compounds via benzopyran ring opening under mild reaction conditions with operational simplicity.

Acknowledgements The authors are thankful to the authorities of Jawaharlal Nehru Technological University Hyderabad for providing laboratory facilities. One of the authors (KK) is thankful to the University for financial support in the form of Lectureship.

References

- Kavitha K, Aparna P (2018) Comprehensive review on the applications of Coumarin fused with five membered heterocyclics in the field of material chemistry, agrochemistry and phormocology. RJLBPCS 4:204–224. https://doi.org/10.26479/2018.0402.16
- Cacic M, Trakovnik M, Cacic F (2006) Synthesis and antimicrobial activity of some derivatives on the basis (7-hydroxy-2-oxo-2H-chromen-4-yl)-acetic acid hydrazide. Molecules 11:134–147. https://doi.org/10.3390/11010134
- Kashman Y, Gustafson KR, Fuller R (1992) HIV inhibitory natural products. Part 7. The calanolides, a novel HIV-inhibitory class of Coumarin derivatives from the tropical rainforest tree, *Calophyllum lanigerum*. J Med Chem 35:2735–2743. https://doi.org/10.1021/j m00093a004
- Yun BS, Lee IK, Ryoo IJ, Yoo LD (2001) Coumarins with monoamine oxidase inhibitory activity and antioxidative Coumarino-lignans from *Hibiscus syriacus*. J Natl Prod 64:1238–1240. https://doi.org/10.1021/np0100946
- Atul M, Malde A, Verma J (2008) Synthesis, anti-tubercular activity and 3D-QSAR study of Coumarin-4-acetic acid benzylidene hydrazides. Eur J Med Chem 43:2395–2403. https://doi.org/10.10 16/j.ejmech.2008.01.016

- Kontogiorgis CA, Hadjipavlou LDJ (2005) Synthesis and antiinflammatory activity of coumarin derivatives. J Med Chem 48:6400–6408. https://doi.org/10.1021/jm0580149
- Khan KM, Zafar SS, Khan MZ, Zia U, Coudhary MI, Rahman AU (2004) Synthesis of coumarin derivatives with cytotoxic, antibacterial and antifungal activity. J Enzyme Inhib Med Chem 19:373–379. https://doi.org/10.1080/14756360409162453
- Racane L, Tralic KV, Fiser JL (2001) Synthesis of bis-substituted amidinobenzothiazoles as potential anti-HIV agents. Heterocycles 55:2085–2098. https://doi.org/10.3987/com-01-9305
- Mirjana P, Sasa S, Milos S, Vesna K, Gordana B, Velimir P (2012) Synthesis and in vitro antitumour screening of 2-(b-Dxylofuranosyl)thiazole-4-carboxamide and two novel tiazofurin analogues with substituted tetrahydrofurodioxol moiety as a sugar mimic. Bioorg Med Chem Lett 22:6700–6704. https://doi.org/10. 1016/j.bmcl.2012.08.093
- Maren TH (1976) Relations between structure and biological activity of sulfonamides. Annu Rev Pharmacol Toxicol 16:309–327. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.pa.16.040176.001521
- Gouda MA, Berghot MA, Abd EG, Khalil AM (2010) Synthesis and antimicrobial activities of some new thiazole and pyrazole derivatives based on 4,5,6,7-tetrahydrobenzo thiophene moiety. Eur J Med Chem 45:1338–1345. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejmec h.2009.12.020
- Wilby MJ, Hutchinson PJ (2004) The pharmacology of chlormethiazole: a potential neuroprotective agent. CNS Drug Rev 10:281–294. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1527-3458.2004.tb00028
- Farag AA (2015) Synthesis and antimicrobial activity of 5-(morpholinosulfonyl) isatin derivatives incorporating a thiazole moiety. Drug Res 65:373–379. https://doi.org/10.1055/s-0034-13 84609
- Sheldrake PW, Matteucci M, McDonald E (2006) Facile generation of a library of 5-aryl-2-arylsulfonyl-1,3-thiazoles. Synlett 3:460–462. https://doi.org/10.1055/s-2006-926243
- Tang X, Yang J, Zhu Z, Zheng M, Wu W, Jiang H (2016) Access to thiazole via copper-catalyzed [3+1+1]-type condensation reaction under redox-neutral conditions. J Org Chem 81:11461–11466. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.joc.6b02124
- Lingaraju GS, Swaroop TR, Vinayaka AC, Kumar KSS, Sadashiva MP, Ragappa KS (2012) An easy access to 4,5-disubstituted thiazoles via base-induced click reaction of active methylene isocyanides with methyl dithiocarboxylates. Synthesis 44:1373–1379. https://doi.org/10.1055/s-0031-1290762
- Miura T, Funakoshi Y, Fujimoto Y, Nakahashi J, Murakami M (2015) Facile synthesis of 2,5-disubstituted thiazoles from terminal alkynes, sulfonyl azides and thionoesters. Org Lett 17:2454–2457. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.orglett.5b00960
- Chen B, Guo S, Guo X, Zhang G, Yu Y (2005) selective access to 4-substituted 2-aminothiazoles and 4-substituted 5-thiocyano-2-aminothiazoles from vinyl azides and potassium thiocyanate switched by palladium and iron catalysts. Org Lett 17:4698–4701. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.orglett.5b02152
- Tang X, Zhu Z, Qi C, Wu W, Jiang H (2016) Copper-catalyzed coupling of oxime acetates with isothiocyanates: a strategy for 2aminothiazoles. Org Lett 18:180–183. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs. orglett.5b03188
- Castagnolo D, Pagano M, Bernardini M, Botta M (2009) Domino alkylation-cyclization reaction of propargyl bromides with thioureas/thiopyrimidinones: a new facile synthesis of 2aminothiazoles and 5h-thiazolo[3,2-a]pyrimidin-5-ones. Synlett 13:2093–2096. https://doi.org/10.1055/s-0029-1217700
- 21. Narender M, Reddy MS, Kumar VP, Srinivas B, Sridhar R, Nageswar YVD, Rao KR (2007) Aqueous-phase one-pot synthesis of 2-aminothiazole- or 2-aminoselenazole-5-carboxylates from β -keto esters, thiourea or selenourea, and n-bromo-succinimide under

supramolecular catalysis. Synthesis 22:3469–3472. https://doi.org/ 10.1055/s-2007-990849

- 22. Siddiqui N, Faizarshad M, Khan SA (2009) Synthesis of some new coumarin incorporated thiazolyl semicarbazones as anticonvulsants. Acta Pol Pharm 66:161–167
- 23. Rao CHVS, Rao RV (2010) One pot synthesis of 3-[2-(arylamino)thiazol-4-yl]coumarins in a three-component synthesis and a catalyst and solvent-free synthesis on grinding. J Chem Res 1:50–53. https://doi.org/10.3184/030823410X12627991159610
- Koti RS, Gundurao K, Vinayak SH (2007) Intramolecular amidation: synthesis of novel thiazole-fused diazepinones. Synth Commun 37:99–105. https://doi.org/10.1080/0039791060097848
- Bhimapaka CR, Gannerla S, Jaladi AK, Balasubramanian S (2011) Facile synthesis of substituted ethyl 2-(chloromethyl)-2-hydroxy-2-h-1-benzopyran-3-carboxylates. Helv Chim Acta 94:248–253. https://doi.org/10.1002/hlca.201000181
- Thibault F, Pascal R, Lise-Marie C, Marc F, Yun L (2017) The unusual ring scission of a quinoxaline-pyran-fused dithiolene system related to molybdopterin. Dalton Trans 46:4161–4164. https:// doi.org/10.1039/c7dt00377c
- Sheldrick GM (2015) Crystal structure refinement with SHELXL. Acta Crystallogr C Struct Chem 71:3–8. https://doi.org/10.1107/s 2053229614024218