ORIGINAL ARTICLE

A facile solvent-free three-component domino synthesis of novel 2,4-diaryl-5,6-dihydrobenzo[j][1,7]phenanthrolines

Shanmugavel Uma Maheswari^{1,2} · Sundaravel Vivek Kumar¹ · Shanmugam Muthusubramanian¹ · Subbu Perumal¹

Received: 28 November 2017 / Accepted: 14 June 2018 / Published online: 12 July 2018 - Springer International Publishing AG, part of Springer Nature 2018

Abstract

A simple, efficient and green procedure for the synthesis of novel 2,4-diaryl-5,6-dihydrobenzo[j][1,7]phenanthrolines has been developed via a Krohnke-type one-pot three-component reaction of 2-[arylmethylidene]-3,4-dihydro-1(2H)-acridinones and (2-aryl-2-oxoethyl)pyridinium bromides in the presence of excess ammonium acetate in good yields under solvent-free conditions. Good functional group tolerance, high substrate scope and no column purification are the practical advantages of this methodology.

Graphical abstract

Keywords Multicomponent domino reaction · Solvent-free reaction · Green chemistry · Dihydrobenzo[j][1,7]phenanthrolines · MCRs

Electronic supplementary material The online version of this article [\(https://doi.org/10.1007/s11030-018-9847-y](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11030-018-9847-y)) contains supplementary material, which is available to authorized users.

Shanmugavel Uma Maheswari and Sundaravel Vivek Kumar have contributed equally to this work.

 \boxtimes Shanmugam Muthusubramanian muthumanian2001@yahoo.com

> Subbu Perumal subbu.perum@gmail.com

- ¹ Department of Organic Chemistry, School of Chemistry, Madurai Kamaraj University, Madurai, Tamil Nadu 625021, India
- ² Centre of Research and P.G. Studies in Chemistry, Ayya Nadar Janaki Ammal College, Sivakasi, Tamil Nadu 626124, India

Introduction

In recent years, sustainable synthetic methods have gained more attention as they address many environmental problems [[1,](#page-8-0) [2\]](#page-8-0). Among them, solvent-free methods [\[3](#page-8-0), [4](#page-8-0)] are an attractive tool for the synthesis of biologically active frameworks. Multicomponent domino reactions are an interesting strategy due to the great synthetic efficiency in a one-pot operation [\[5–11](#page-8-0)]. These protocols have rendered many advantages including convergent routes, facile automation and reduction of workup stages, extraction and purification processes. Needless to say, the combination of these two protocols can be effective in the construction of novel heterocycles in the greener perspective [[12\]](#page-8-0).

Molecular frameworks comprising quinoline/pyridine bear potential for biological and medicinal applications [\[13,](#page-8-0) [14\]](#page-8-0). In particular, the phenanthroline core presents in many natural products such as meridine and ascididemin [\[15](#page-9-0)] and some synthetic phenanthrolines display significant antitumor activities $[16, 17]$ $[16, 17]$ $[16, 17]$ $[16, 17]$ (Fig. 1) besides serving as ligands $[18, 19]$ $[18, 19]$ $[18, 19]$ and organic semiconductor materials [[20\]](#page-9-0). Benzophenanthrolines also serve as protein tyrosine kinase inhibitors, which can be used in mammalian carcinomas [[21](#page-9-0)].

It is interesting to note that syntheses of benzophenanthrolines are relatively scarce in the literature, and one of such syntheses is the reaction between (E) -2-benzylidene-7-chloro-9-phenyl-3,4-dihydroacridin-1(2H)-one and malononitrile in the presence of NaH in refluxing ethanol– benzene mixture or in the presence of montmorillonite KSF in ethanol [\[22](#page-9-0)]. This method uses toxic solvents and offers a limited substrate scope. In this context, here we disclose the assembly of novel benzophenanthrolines viz 2,4-diaryl-5,6-dihydrobenzo[j][1,7]phenanthrolines, (Scheme 1). The final products bear structural resemblance to quinolinebased heterocycles. The present study is the part of our ongoing research program on the assembly of novel biologically relevant heterocycles through multicomponent/domino and green transformations [[23–27\]](#page-9-0).

Results and discussion

The present study commenced with the optimization of a model three-component reaction between 2-[4-bromobenzylidene]-3,4-dihydro-1- $(2H)$ -acridinone, 1- $(2$ -oxo-2-

Fig. 1 Naturally occurring phenanthroline derivatives, meridine analogues and biologically active benzophenanthroline

Scheme 1 Outline of this work

Table 1 Solvent influence on the synthesis of 4m

^aIsolated yield after purification by column chromatography. Yields in brackets are after recrystallization from ethanol

phenylethyl)pyridin-1-ium bromide and ammonium acetate. This reaction was explored in different solvents, viz. methanol, ethanol, water, diethylene glycol, N,Ndimethylformamide, acetonitrile, tetrahydrofuran, and also under solvent-free conditions (Table 1).

As can be seen fromthe data listedin Table 1, the best result was obtained by heating the reaction mixture under solventfree conditions at 110 °C to furnish 4-(4-bromophenyl)-2phenyl-5,6-dihydrobenzo[j][1,7]-phenanthroline $(4m)$ in 81% yield (Table 1, entry 6). Protic solvents (ethanol, methanol) afforded higher yields than the other solvents tested.

Having established the optimal conditions for our reaction, the scope of the reaction was first examined focusing on the 2-[arylmethylidene]-3,4-dihydro-1(2H) acridinone component. Acridinones bearing electronwithdrawing and electron-donating groups in the aryl part proceeded smoothly to give the respective products (Table [2](#page-3-0)). Similarly, for 1-(2-oxo-2-arylethyl)pyridin-1 ium bromides, the presence of electron-donating, neutral and electron-withdrawing groups in the aryl part is well tolerated, affording products in good yields (Table [2\)](#page-3-0). A practical advantage of this protocol is the fact that no column purification is needed. After completion of the reaction (monitoring by TLC), the mixture was treated with ice water and the resulting solid 4 was filtered and washed with water. Single recrystallization of the product from ethanol afforded analytically pure samples. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first report of a multicomponent reaction employing pyridinium ylides generated in situ for the construction of 2,4-diaryl-5,6-dihydrobenzo[j][1,7]phenanthrolines under solvent-free conditions.

The structures of products 4 were deduced from one- and two-dimensional NMR spectroscopic data as detailed for 4n as a representative example (Fig. [2](#page-4-0)). In the 1 H NMR spectrum of 4n, the H-3 appears as a singlet at 7.59 ppm, which shows (i) a C,H-COSY correlation with the signal at 120.0 ppm due to C-3 and (ii) HMBCs with C-2, C-1', and C-4a, appearing at 154.1, 137.4, and 128.0 ppm, respectively. The 5 -CH₂ hydrogen atoms appear as a multiplet around 3.09–3.13 ppm. These hydrogen atoms show (i) H,H-COSY correlation with 6-CH_2 hydrogen atoms, (ii) C,H-COSY correlations with C-5 at 24.7 ppm, and HMBCs with C-6 at 31.9 ppm, C-4a at 128.0 ppm, C-6a at 158.9 ppm and C-12b at 151.6 ppm. The multiplet at 3.26–3.30 ppm arises from the 6-CH₂ hydrogens which show (i) H, H-COSY correlation with 5-CH₂ hydrogens (ii) C,H-COSY correlation with C-6 at 31.9 ppm, and HMBCs with C-5, C-4a, and C-6a, appearing at 24.7, 128.0, and 158.9 ppm, respectively. The H-12 appears as a singlet at 9.23 ppm, which shows (i) C,H-COSY correlation with carbon signal at 132.7 ppm due to C-12, and HMBCs with C-11 at 128.8 ppm, C-6a at 158.9 ppm, C-7a at 148.0 ppm, and C-12b at 151.6 ppm. Finally, the structure of the compound was confirmed by single-crystal X-ray crystallography of 4g (Fig. [3](#page-4-0)).

A plausible mechanism for the formation of 2,4-diaryl-5,6-dihydrobenzo[j][1,7]phenanthrolines 4 is depicted in

^aIsolated yield after recrystallization from ethanol

Scheme [2.](#page-5-0) The Michael addition of pyridinium ylide 5 (generated in situ from 2) to 1 presumably affords pyridinium enolate 6, which subsequently reacts with ammonia available from the dissociation of ammonium acetate to afford enamine 8 via 7. Intermediate 5 is ultimately transformed into product 4 via elimination–condensation reactions. Another plausible mechanism involves the hemiaminal formation and intramolecular attack onto the other carbonyl followed by elimination.

It is interesting that the other possible product $4'$ via chemoselective intramolecular S_N^2 substitution [[28–30\]](#page-9-0) is not formed at all.

Conclusion

In summary, we have described a facile synthesis of novel dihydrobenzo[j][1,7]phenanthrolines through solvent-free Krohnke-type one-pot three-component domino reactions

of 2-[arylmethylidene]-3,4-dihydro-1(2H)-acridinones and 1-(2-oxo-2-arylethyl)pyridin-1-ium bromide in the presence of excess ammonium acetate. This protocol avoids the use of expensive catalysts, toxic solvents and chromatographic separation.

Experimental part

General

All melting points reported in this work were measured in open capillaries and are uncorrected (Sigma, 71281). Silica gel-G plates (Merck) were used for TLC analysis with a mixture of petroleum ether of boiling range 60–80 °C and ethyl acetate as eluent. ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra were recorded at 300 and 75 MHz, respectively, on a Bruker 300 MHz (Avance) instrument using either $CDCl₃$ or DMSO-d₆ and tetramethylsilane as the internal standard.

Fig. 2 1 H and 13 C NMR chemical shifts and selected HMBCs of 4n

Fig. 3 ORTEP representation of 4g

Chemical shifts are reported as δ values (ppm) (s = singlet; $d =$ doublet; $t =$ triplet; $td =$ triplet of doublet; $m =$ multiplet). All one- and two-dimensional NMR spectra were obtained using standard Bruker software throughout. Elemental analyses were performed on a PerkinElmer 2400 Series II Elemental CHN analyzer. Mass spectra were recorded on a LCQ Fleet mass spectrometer, Thermo Fisher Instruments Limited, USA. Electrospray ionization mass spectrometry (ESI–MS) analysis was performed in

Scheme 2 Proposed mechanism for the formation of 4

the positive ion and negative ion modes on a liquid chromatography ion trap.

Single-crystal X-ray diffraction studies

Single crystals of 4-(2-methoxyphenyl)-2-phenyl-5,6-dihydrobenzo[j][1,7]phenanthroline 4g were grown by slow evaporation solution growth method using ethanol as solvent at room temperature. Suitable crystals were selected for single-crystal X-ray diffraction studies. Single-crystal X-ray data set was collected on a Bruker AXS SMART APEX-2 diffractometer equipped with graphite monochromator. The structure was solved by direct methods and refined by full-matrix least-squares calculations using SHELXL-2014. Crystallographic data (excluding structure factors) for compound 4g in this manuscript have been deposited with the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre as supplementary publication number 4g CCDC 968695. Copies of the data can be obtained, free of charge, on application to CCDC, 12 Union Road, Cambridge CB2 1EZ, UK [Fax: +44 (0)1223-336033 or e-mail:deposit@ccdc.cam.ac.uk].

General procedure for the synthesis of 2,4-diaryl-5,6-dihydrobenzo[j][1,7]phenanthrolines 4

A mixture of 2-[arylmethylidene]-3,4-dihydro-1(2H) acridinones (1 mmol), 1-(2-oxo-2-arylethyl)pyridin-1-ium bromide (1 mmol), and ammonium acetate (1.5 mmol) was heated under neat conditions at 110 $^{\circ}$ C for 6–9 h. After completion of the reaction (TLC monitoring), the mixture was poured onto ice water and the resulting solid was filtered and washed with water. This solid was recrystallized from ethanol to afford pure product 4.

2,4-Di-p-tolyl-5,6-dihydrobenzo[j][1,7]phenanthroline (4a) Isolated as off white solid. Yield: 83%, m.p. = $227-228$ °C; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) $\delta_{\rm H}$: 2.45 (s, 3H, -CH₃), 2.46 (s, 3H, –CH3), 3.12–3.17 (m, 2H), 3.24–3.29 (m, 2H), 7.34–7.36 (m, 6H, Ar–H), 7.55 (td, 1H, $J = 7.8$, 1.2 Hz, Ar–H), 7.64 (s, 1H, Ar–H), 7.72 (td, 1H, J = 7.8, 1.2 Hz Ar–H), 8.00–8.08 (m, 2H, Ar–H), 8.10 (d, 2H, $J = 8.1$ Hz, Ar–H), 9.27 (s, 1H, Ar–H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ_C : 21.1, 21.2, 24.7, 31.9, 120.4, 125.9, 126.7, 127.8, 128.1, 128.3, 128.5, 128.6, 128.9, 129.2, 129.4, 129.7, 132.5, 135.9, 136.5, 138.0, 138.8, 147.7, 149.7, 151.0, 155.2, 159.2; ESI–MS m/z, calcd: 412.19; found: 413.31 $[M + 1]$; anal. calcd for C₃₀H₂₄N₂: C, 87.35; H, 5.86; N, 6.79%. Found C, 87.49; H, 5.74; N, 6.89%.

2-(4-Methoxyphenyl)-4-p-tolyl-5,6-dihydrobenzo[j][1,7]phenan-

throline (4b) Isolated as off white solid. Yield: 84%, m.p. = 198–200 °C; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ _H: 2.46 (s, 3H, –CH3), 3.11–3.16 (m, 2H), 3.24–3.29 (m, 2H), 3.90 $(s, 3H, -OCH_3)$, 7.06 (d, 2H, $J = 8.7$ Hz, Ar–H), 7.34 (s, 4H, Ar–H), 7.55 (t, 1H, J = 7.5 Hz, Ar–H), 7.61 (s, 1H, Ar–H), 7.72 (td, 1H, $J = 7.8$, 1.5 Hz, Ar–H), 8.01 (d, 1H, $J = 7.8$ Hz, Ar–H), 8.06 (d, 1H, $J = 8.7$ Hz, Ar–H), 8.17 (d, 2H, $J = 8.7$ Hz, Ar–H), 9.25 (s, 1H, Ar–H); ¹³C NMR $(75 \text{ MHz}, \text{CDCl}_3) \delta_C$: 21.3, 24.7, 32.1, 55.4, 114.1, 120.0, 126.0, 127.5, 128.1, 128.4, 128.7, 128.9, 129.2, 129.8, 132.0, 132.5, 136.0, 138.1, 147.8, 149.7, 151.0, 154.9, 159.3, 160.5; anal. calcd for $C_{30}H_{24}N_2O$: C, 84.08; H, 5.65; N, 6.54%. Found C, 84.00; H, 5.71; N, 6.58%.

2-Phenyl-4-p-tolyl-5,6-dihydrobenzo[j][1,7]phenanthroline

(4c) Isolated as off white solid. Yield: 81% , m.p. = $205-$ 206 °C; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) $\delta_{\rm H}$: 2.47 (s, 3H, -CH3), 3.13–3.17 (m, 2H), 3.25–3.30 (m, 2H), 7.34 (s, 4H, Ar–H), 7.46–7.48 (m, 1H, Ar–H), 7.52–7.57 (m, 3H, Ar– H), 7.67 (s, 1H, Ar–H), 7.72 (td, 1H, J = 7.8, 1.2 Hz, Ar– H), 8.01 (d, 1H, $J = 8.1$ Hz, Ar–H), 8.07 (d, 1H, $J = 8.4$ Hz, Ar–H), 8.21 (d, 2H, $J = 7.2$ Hz, Ar–H), 9.27 (s, 1H, Ar–H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ _C: 21.1, 24.7, 32.0, 120.7, 126.0, 126.8, 128.0, 128.1, 128.4, 128.6, 128.7, 128.8, 128.9, 129.2, 129.7, 132.5, 135.9, 138.1, 139.3, 147.9, 149.8, 151.2, 155.2, 159.2; ESI–MS m/z, calcd: 398.18; found: 399.29 $[M + 1]$; anal. calcd for $C_{29}H_{22}N_2$: C, 87.41; H, 5.56; N, 7.03%. Found C, 87.54; H, 5.67; N, 6.94%.

2-(4-Fluorophenyl)-4-p-tolyl-5,6-dihydrobenzo[j][1,7]phenanthroline (4d) Isolated as off white solid. Yield: 79%, m.p. = 218–220 °C; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ _H: 2.46 (s, 3H, –CH3), 3.13–3.17 (m, 2H), 3.25–3.29 (m, 2H), 7.19–7.26 (m, 2H, Ar–H), 7.34 (s, 4H, Ar–H), 7.55 (t, 1H, $J = 7.2$ Hz, Ar–H), 7.62 (s, 1H, Ar–H), 7.73 (t, 1H, $J = 7.8$ Hz, Ar–H), 8.01 (d, 1H, $J = 8.1$ Hz, Ar–H), 8.06 (d, 1H, $J = 8.1$ Hz, Ar–H), 8.19 (dd, 2H, $J = 8.7$, 5.4 Hz, Ar–H), 9.24 (s, 1H, Ar–H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ_C : 21.3, 24.8, 32.1, 115.5, 115.8, 120.5, 126.2, 128.1, 128.2, 128.5, 128.6, 128.7, 129.3, 130.0, 132.6, 135.5, 135.8, 138.3, 147.9, 150.0, 151.3, 154.3, 159.3; anal. calcd for $C_{29}H_{21}FN_2$: C, 83.63; H, 5.08; N, 6.73%. Found C, 83.70; H, 5.03; N, 6.69%.

2-(4-Nitrophenyl)-4-p-tolyl-5,6-dihydrobenzo[j][1,7]phenanthrolines (4e) Isolated as off white solid. Yield: 76%, m.p. = 238-240 °C; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ _H: 2.47 (s, 3H, –CH3), 3.16–3.20 (m, 2H), 3.26–3.20 (m, 2H), 7.35 $(s, 4H, Ar-H)$, 7.57 (t, 1H, $J = 7.2$ Hz, Ar–H), 7.73 (s, 1H, Ar–H), 7.74–7.77 (m, 1H, Ar–H), 8.03 (d, 1H, $J = 8.1$ Hz, Ar–H), 8.07 (d, 1H, $J = 8.4$ Hz, Ar–H), 8.38–8.41 (m, 4H, Ar–H), 9.23 (s, 1H, Ar–H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ _C: 21.2, 24.8, 31.8, 121.2, 123.9, 126.2, 127.4, 127.9, 128.2, 128.5, 128.6, 129.3, 129.8, 130.0, 132.6, 135.3, 138.5, 145.1, 148.0, 148.1, 150.2, 151.8, 152.4, 158.9; ESI–MS m/z , calcd: 443.16; found: 444.27 $[M + 1]$; anal. calcd for $C_{29}H_{21}N_3O_2$: C, 78.54; H, 4.77; N, 9.47%. Found C, 78.42; H, 4.91; N, 9.42%.

4-(2-Methoxyphenyl)-2-(4-methoxyphenyl)-5,6-dihy-

drobenzo[j][1,7]phenanthroline (4f) Isolated as off white solid. Yield: $77\%, \, m.p. = 235-236 \, ^\circ\text{C}; \, ^1\text{H} \, \text{NMR}$ (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ_{H} : 2.81–2.90 (m, 1H), 2.99–3.09 (m, 1H), 3.22–3.29 (m, 2H), 3.81 (s, 3H, –OCH3), 3.90 (s, 3H, $-OCH_3$), 7.04–7.08 (m, 3H, Ar–H), 7.11 (td, 1H, $J = 7.2$, 0.9 Hz, Ar–H), 7.30 (dd, 1H, $J = 7.5$, 1.8 Hz, Ar–H), 7.46 (td, 1H, $J = 7.8$, 1.5 Hz, Ar–H), 7.54 (td, 1H, $J = 7.5$, 0.9 Hz, Ar–H), 7.58 (s, 1H, Ar–H), 7.71 (td, 1H, $J = 7.5$, 1.5 Hz, Ar–H), 8.01 (d, 1H, $J = 7.2$ Hz, Ar–H), 8.06 (d, 1H, $J = 8.4$ Hz, Ar–H), 8.16 (d, 2H, $J = 9.0$ Hz, Ar–H), 9.26 (s, 1H, Ar–H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ _C: 24.4, 31.9, 55.3, 110.8, 114.0, 120.6, 120.8, 126.0, 127.8, 128.1, 128.3, 128.6, 129.0, 129.7, 129.8, 130.4, 132.1, 132.3, 146.9, 147.7, 150.3, 154.8, 156.4, 159.6, 160.3; anal. calcd for $C_{30}H_{24}N_2O_2$: C, 81.06; H, 5.44; N, 6.30%. Found C, 80.93; H, 5.38; N, 6.34%.

4-(2-Methoxyphenyl)-2-phenyl-5,6-dihy-

drobenzo[j][1,7]phenanthroline (4q) Isolated as off white solid. Yield: 82%, m.p. = 235–236 °C; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, DMSO-d₆) δ_{H} : 2.73–2.78 (m, 1H), 2.89–2.91 (m, 1H), 3.14 (m, 2H), 3.76 (s, 3H, –OCH3), 7.09–7.19 (m, 2H,Ar–H), 7.24 (d, 1H, $J = 7.2$ Hz, Ar–H), 7.46–7.60 (m, 5H, Ar–H), 7.71–7.76 (m, 2H,Ar–H), 7.97 (d, 1H, $J = 8.1$ Hz, Ar–H), 8.17 (d, 1H, $J = 8.1$ Hz, Ar–H), 8.29 (d, 2H, $J = 7.2$ Hz, Ar–H), 9.25 (s, 1H, Ar–H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, DMSO-d₆) δ _C: 24.6, 31.6, 55.9, 111.8, 121.2, 121.5, 126.7, 127.2, 127.3, 128.0, 128.6, 128.7, 129.2, 129.3, 129.5, 130.3, 130.5, 130.6, 130.8, 132.2, 139.0, 147.6, 147.8, 150.4, 154.6, 156.5, 159.6; anal. calcd for $C_{29}H_{22}N_2O$: C, 84.03; H, 5.35; N, 6.76%. Found C, 83.93; H, 5.47; N, 6.84%.

2-(4-Fluorophenyl)-4-(2-methoxyphenyl)-5,6-dihy-

drobenzo[j][1,7]phenanthroline (4 h) Isolated as off white solid. Yield: 78%, m.p. = 245–247 °C; ¹H NMR

 $(300 \text{ MHz}, \text{CDCl}_3)$ δ_{H} : 2.85–2.92 (m, 1H), 3.00–3.11 (m, 1H), 3.23–3.30 (m, 2H), 3.82 (s, 3H, –OCH3), 7.05 (d, 1H, $J = 8.1$ Hz, Ar–H), 7.11 (t, 1H, $J = 7.5$ Hz, Ar–H), 7.18– 7.24 (m, 2H, Ar–H), 7.29 (dd, 1H, $J = 7.5$, 1.5 Hz, Ar–H), 7.47 (td, 1H, $J = 7.5$, 1.8 Hz, Ar–H), 7.55 (t, 1H, $J = 7.5$ Hz, Ar–H), 7.59 (s, 1H, Ar–H), 7.72 (td, 1H, $J = 7.5$, 1.5 Hz, Ar–H), 8.01 (d, 1H, $J = 8.1$ Hz, Ar–H), 8.06 (d, 1H, $J = 8.4$ Hz, Ar–H), 8.17–8.21 (m, 2H, Ar–H), 9.25 (s, 1H, Ar–H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ _C: 24.6, 31.9, 55.4, 110.9, 115.4, 115.7, 120.9, 121.0, 126.0, 127.7, 128.1, 128.5, 128.6, 128.7, 128.9, 129.8, 129.9, 130.4, 132.4, 135.7, 147.2, 147.9, 150.7, 154.1, 156.4, 159.5, 163.5 (${}^{1}J_{\text{C-F}}$ = 246.5); ESI-MS *m/z*, calcd: 432.16; found: 433.25[$M + 1$]; anal. calcd for C₂₉H₂₁FN₂O: C, 80.54; H, 4.89; N, 6.48%. Found C, 80.61; H, 5.03; N, 6.43%.

2-(4-Chlorophenyl)-4-(2-methoxyphenyl)-5,6-dihy-

drobenzo[j][1,7]phenanthroline (4i) Isolated as off white solid. Yield: $85\%, \text{ m.p.} = 224-226 \text{ °C}; \text{ }^{1}H \text{ NMR}$ (300 MHz, CDCl₃) $\delta_{\rm H}$: 2.83–2.92 (m, 1H), 3.01–3.11 (m, 1H), 3.23–3.30 (m, 2H), 3.81 (s, 3H, –OCH3), 7.05 (d, 1H, $J = 8.4$ Hz, Ar–H), 7.12 (t, 1H, $J = 7.5$ Hz, Ar–H), 7.28 (t, 1H, J = 7.5 Hz, Ar–H), 7.44–7.52 (m, 1H, Ar–H), 7.49 (d, 2H, $J = 8.7$ Hz, Ar–H), 7.55 (t, 1H, $J = 7.5$ Hz, Ar–H), 7.61 (s, 1H, Ar–H), 7.72 (t, 1H, $J = 7.8$ Hz, Ar–H), 8.01 (d, 1H, $J = 8.1$ Hz, Ar–H), 8.06 (d, 1H, $J = 8.4$ Hz, Ar–H), 8.15 (d, 2H, $J = 8.4$ Hz, Ar–H), 9.24 (s, 1H, Ar–H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ _C: 24.6, 31.9, 55.4, 110.9, 111.0, 120.9, 121.1, 126.1, 127.7, 128.1, 128.5, 128.7, 128.8, 129.8, 130.0, 130.2, 130.4, 132.4, 134.9, 137.9, 147.2, 150.8, 153.9, 156.4, 159.4; ESI–MS m/z, calcd: 448.13; Found: 449.25 $[M + 1]$; anal. calcd for C₂₉H₂₁ClN₂O: C, 77.58; H, 4.71; N, 6.24%. Found C, 77.44; H, 4.64; N, 6.29%.

4-(4-Chlorophenyl)-2-(4-fluorophenyl)-5,6-dihy-

drobenzo[j][1,7]phenanthroline (4j) Isolated as off white solid. Yield: 86%, m.p. = 213–214 °C; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) $\delta_{\rm H}$: 3.08–3.13 (m, 2H), 3.25–3.30 (m, 2H), 7.19–7.27 (m, 2H, Ar–H), 7.37 (d, 2H, J = 8.4 Hz, Ar–H), 7.50 (d, 2H, $J = 8.4$ Hz, Ar–H), 7.55–7.58 (m, 1H, Ar–H), 7.58 (s, 1H, Ar–H), 7.73 (t, 1H, $J = 7.2$ Hz, Ar–H), 8.00 (d, 1H, $J = 8.1$ Hz, Ar–H), 8.06 (d, 1H, $J = 8.1$ Hz, Ar–H), 8.19 (dd, 2H, $J = 8.7$, 5.4 Hz, Ar–H), 9.23 (s, 1H, Ar–H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ _C: 24.6, 31.8, 115.5, 115.8, 120.0, 126.1, 127.8, 127.9, 128.4, 128.5, 128.6, 128.8, 130.0, 132.6, 134.5, 135.1, 137.0, 147.9, 148.6, 151.4, 154.3, 158.9, 163.6 $(^1J_{\text{C-F}} = 247.0)$; anal. calcd for $C_{28}H_{18}CIFN_2$: C, 76.97; H, 4.15; N, 6.41%. Found C, 77.09; H, 4.05; N, 6.49%.

4-(4-Bromophenyl)-2-p-tolyl-5,6-dihy-

drobenzo[j][1,7]phenanthroline (4k) Isolated as off white solid. Yield: 87% , m.p. = 222–223 °C; ¹H NMR

 $(300 \text{ MHz}, \text{CDCl}_3) \delta_{\text{H}}$: 2.45 (s, 3H, -CH₃), 3.08–3.12 (m, 2H), 3.25–3.30 (m, 2H), 7.30–7.36 (m, 4H, Ar–H), 7.57 (t, 1H, J = 7.2 Hz, Ar–H), 7.60 (s, 1H, Ar–H), 7.65 (d, 2H, $J = 8.4$ Hz, Ar–H), 7.73 (t, 1H, $J = 7.2$ Hz, Ar–H), 8.00– 8.05 (m, 2H, Ar–H), 8.09 (d, 2H, $J = 8.4$ Hz, Ar–H), 9.26 (s, 1H, Ar–H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ_c : 21.3, 24.7, 32.0, 120.0, 122.6, 126.1, 126.7, 127.5, 128.0, 128.4, 128.6, 128.8, 129.5, 129.9, 130.4, 131.8, 132.7, 136.2, 137.7, 139.1, 147.9, 148.5, 151.3, 155.4, 159.0; ESI–MS m/ z, calcd: 476.09; found: 477.22 $[M + 1]$; anal.calcd for $C_{29}H_{21}BrN_2$: C, 72.96; H, 4.43; N, 5.87%. Found C, 73.02; H, 4.56; N, 5.91%.

4-(4-Bromophenyl)-2-(4-methoxyphenyl)-5,6-dihy-

drobenzo[j][1,7]phenanthroline (4l) Isolated as off white solid. Yield: 83%, m.p. = 222-223 °C; ¹H NMR $(300 \text{ MHz}, \text{CDCl}_3) \delta_{\text{H}}$: 3.06–3.10 (m, 2H), 3.24–3.29 (m, 2H), 3.90 (s, 3H, $-OCH_3$), 7.06 (d, 2H, $J = 8.7$ Hz, Ar–H), 7.31 (d, 2H, $J = 8.1$ Hz, Ar–H), 7.52–7.57 (m, 2H, Ar–H), 7.65 (d, 2H, $J = 8.1$ Hz, Ar-H), 7.72 (t, 1H, $J = 7.2$ Hz, Ar–H), 8.00 (d, 1H, $J = 8.1$ Hz, Ar–H), 8.06 (d, 1H, $J = 8.4$ Hz, Ar–H), 8.16 (d, 2H, $J = 8.4$ Hz, Ar–H), 9.24 (s, 1H, Ar–H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ _C: 24.6, 31.8, 55.4, 114.2, 119.4, 123.1, 123.7, 126.2, 126.9, 128.0, 128.2, 128.4, 128.5, 128.7, 129.6, 130.0, 131.4, 132.7, 134.7, 140.6, 148.0, 148.5, 151.6, 155.4, 158.8; anal. calcd for $C_{29}H_{21}BrN_2O$: C, 70.59; H, 4.29; N, 5.68%. Found C, 70.50; H, 4.40; N, 5.61%.

4-(4-Bromophenyl)-2-phenyl-5,6-dihy-

drobenzo[j][1,7]phenanthroline (4m) Isolated as off white solid. Yield: 81%, m.p. = 227–228 °C; ¹H NMR $(300 \text{ MHz}, \text{CDCl}_3) \delta_H$: 3.05–3.07 (m, 2H), 3.22–3.24 (m, 2H), 7.27 (d, 2H, J = 7.8 Hz, Ar–H), 7.46–7.54 (m, 4H, Ar–H), 7.59 (s, 1H, Ar–H), 7.63 (d, 2H, J = 7.8 Hz, Ar–H), 7.70 (t, 1H, $J = 7.5$ Hz, Ar–H), 7.96 (d, 1H, $J = 7.8$ Hz, Ar–H), 8.06 (d, 1H, $J = 8.1$ Hz, Ar–H), 8.19 (d, 2H, $J = 7.2$ Hz, Ar–H), 9.22 (s, 1H, Ar–H);¹³C NMR $(75 \text{ MHz}, \text{ CDCl}_3)$ δ_C : 24.7, 31.9, 120.3, 122.6, 126.1, 126.9, 127.8, 128.0, 128.5, 128.8, 129.1, 129.9, 130.4, 131.8, 132.7, 137.7, 139.1, 148.0, 148.6, 151.5, 155.4, 159.0; ESI–MS m/z , calcd: 462.07; found: 463.27 $[M + 1]$; anal. calcd for $C_{28}H_{19}BrN_2$: C, 72.58; H, 4.13; N, 6.05%. Found C, 72.72; H, 4.01; N, 6.00%.

4-(4-Bromophenyl)-2-(4-chlorophenyl)-5,6-dihy-

drobenzo[j][1,7]phenanthroline (4n) Isolated as off white solid. Yield: $88\%, \, m.p. = 204 - 206 \, ^\circ\text{C}; \, ^1\text{H} \, \text{NMR}$ (300 MHz, CDCl₃) $\delta_{\rm H}$: 3.09–3.13 (m, 2H), 3.26–3.30 (m, 2H), 7.31 (d, 2H, J = 8.4 Hz, Ar–H), 7.51 (d, 2H, $J = 8.4$ Hz, Ar–H), 7.56–7.59 (m, 1H, Ar–H), 7.59 (s, 1H, Ar–H), 7.66 (d, 2H, $J = 8.4$ Hz, Ar–H), 7.74 (t, 1H, $J = 7.2$ Hz, Ar–H), 8.01 (d, 1H, $J = 8.1$ Hz, Ar–H), 8.07 (d, 1H, $J = 8.1$ Hz, Ar–H), 8.14 (d, 2H, $J = 8.4$ Hz, Ar–H),

9.23 (s, 1H, Ar–H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ_c : 24.7, 31.9, 120.0, 122.8, 126.3, 128.0, 128.1, 128.4, 128.5, 128.8, 129.0, 130.1, 130.4, 131.9, 132.7, 135.3, 137.4, 137.5, 148.0, 148.7, 151.6, 154.1, 158.9; anal. calcd for $C_{28}H_{18}BrClN_2$: C, 67.56; H, 3.64; N, 5.63%. Found C, 67.68; H, 3.59; N, 5.55%.

4-(3-Nitrophenyl)-2-p-tolyl-5,6-dihydrobenzo[j][1,7]phenanthroline (4o) Isolated as off white solid. Yield: 76%, m.p. = 276–278 °C; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ _H: 2.45 (s, 3H, –CH3), 3.07–3.11 (m, 2H), 3.27–3.31 (m, 2H), 7.36 $(d, 2H, J = 8.1 \text{ Hz}, \text{Ar-H}$, 7.56 $(t, 1H, J = 7.5 \text{ Hz}, \text{Ar-H}$), 7.63 (s, 1H, Ar–H), 7.68–7.79 (m, 3H, Ar–H), 8.01 (d, 1H, $J = 7.8$ Hz, Ar–H), 8.06 (d, 1H, $J = 8.4$ Hz, Ar–H), 8.11 $(d, 2H, J = 8.1 \text{ Hz}, \text{Ar}-\text{H}), 8.33-8.36 \text{ (m, 2H, Ar}-\text{H}), 9.27$ (s, 1H, Ar–H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ _C: 21.3, 24.6, 31.7, 119.9, 123.1, 123.7, 126.2, 126.7, 127.3, 128.0, 128.3, 128.4, 128.7, 129.5, 129.6, 130.0, 132.8, 134.7, 135.9, 139.3, 140.5, 147.1, 147.9, 148.3, 151.6, 155.7, 158.7; anal. calcd for $C_{29}H_{21}N_3O_2$: C, 78.54; H, 4.77; N, 9.47%. Found C, 78.47; H, 4.81; N, 9.42%.

2-(4-Fluorophenyl)-4-(3-nitrophenyl)-5,6-dihy-

drobenzo[j][1,7]phenanthroline (4p) Isolated as off white solid. Yield: 80%, m.p. = 245–247 °C; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ_{H} : 3.08–3.13 (m, 2H), 3.27–3.32 (m, 2H), 7.25 (d, 2H, J = 8.4 Hz, Ar–H), 7.57 (t, 1H, $J = 7.5$ Hz, Ar–H), 7.61 (s, 1H, Ar–H), 7.70–7.79 (m, 3H, Ar–H), 8.02 (d, 1H, $J = 8.1$ Hz, Ar–H), 8.07 (d, 1H, $J = 8.4$ Hz, Ar–H), 8.20 (dd, 2H, $J = 8.7$, 5.4 Hz, Ar–H), 8.34–8.37 (m, 2H, Ar–H), 9.25 (s, 1H, Ar–H); 13C NMR $(75 \text{ MHz}, \text{ CDCl}_3)$ δ_C : 24.6, 31.7, 115.7, 119.8, 123.1, 123.6, 126.2, 127.6, 127.9, 128.1, 128.4, 128.5, 128.6, 128.7, 129.6, 130.1, 132.7, 134.6, 134.8, 140.3, 147.3, 148.0, 148.4, 151.8, 156.6, $163.7(^1J_{\text{C-F}} = 247.1)$; anal. calcd for $C_{28}H_{18}FN_3O_2$: C, 75.16; H, 4.05; N, 9.39%. Found C, 75.29; H, 3.96; N, 9.28%.

2-(4-Chlorophenyl)-4-(3-nitrophenyl)-5,6-dihy-

drobenzo[j][1,7]phenanthroline (4q) Isolated as off white solid. Yield: 77%, m.p. = 244–246 °C; ¹H NMR $(300 \text{ MHz}, \text{CDCl}_3) \delta_H$: 3.09–3.14 (m, 2H), 3.28–3.33 (m, 2H), 7.52 (d, 2H, J = 8.7 Hz, Ar–H), 7.57 (t, 1H, $J = 7.5$ Hz, Ar–H), 7.63 (s, 1H, Ar–H), 7.71–7.80 (m, 3H, Ar–H), 8.03 (d, 1H, $J = 8.1$ Hz, Ar–H), 8.07 (d, 1H, $J = 8.4$ Hz, Ar–H), 8.16 (d, 2H, $J = 8.4$ Hz, Ar–H), 8.34– 8.38 (m, 2H, Ar–H), 9.25 (s, 1H, Ar–H); 13C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃ + DMSO-d₆) δ_C : 24.1, 31.1, 119.6, 122.8, 123.1, 125.8, 127.4, 127.6, 127.7, 127.8, 128.3, 128.4, 129.4, 129.7, 132.3, 134.4, 134.7, 136.7, 139.6, 147.0, 147.4, 147.8, 153.8, 158.2; anal. calcd for $C_{28}H_{18}$ -ClN3O2: C, 72.49; H, 3.91; N, 9.06%. Found C, 72.62; H, 3.80; N, 9.15%.

4-(3-Nitrophenyl)-2-phenyl-5,6-dihy-

drobenzo[j][1,7]phenanthroline (4r) Isolated as off white solid. Yield: 75%, m.p. = $231 - 232$ °C; ¹H NMR $(300 \text{ MHz}, \text{CDCl}_3) \delta_H$: 3.09–3.13 (m, 2H), 3.28–3.33 (m, 2H), 7.49–7.59 (m, 4H, Ar–H), 7.66 (s, 1H, Ar–H), 7.70– 7.80 (m, 3H, Ar–H), 8.02 (d, 1H, $J = 8.1$ Hz, Ar–H), 8.07 (d, 1H, $J = 8.4$ Hz, Ar–H), 8.21 (d, 2H, $J = 7.2$ Hz, Ar–H), 8.35–8.37 (m, 2H, Ar–H), 9.28 (s, 1H, Ar–H); 13C NMR $(75 \text{ MHz}, \text{CDCl}_3)$ δ_C : 24.6, 31.7, 120.1, 123.1, 123.6, 126.1, 126.8, 127.6, 128.0, 128.3, 128.5, 128.6, 128.7, 129.2, 129.6, 130.0, 132.8, 134.6, 138.7, 140.5, 147.2, 148.0, 148.4, 151.7, 155.7, 158.6; anal. calcd for $C_{28}H_{19}N_3O_2$: C, 78.31; H, 4.46; N, 9.78%. Found C, 78.42; H, 4.52; N, 9.69%.

Acknowledgements SM acknowledges the award of Emeritus Scientist Scheme from CSIR, New Delhi.

References

- 1. Anastas PT, Kirchhoff MM (2002) Origins, current status, and future challenges of green chemistry. Acc Chem Res 35:686–694. <https://doi.org/10.1021/ar010065m>
- 2. Anastas PT, Warner JC (1998) Green chemistry: theory and practice. Oxford University Press, New York, p 30
- 3. Tanaka K, Toda F (2000) Solvent-free organic synthesis. Chem Rev 100:1025–1074. <https://doi.org/10.1021/cr940089p>
- 4. Gawande MB, Bonifácio VDB, Luque R, Branco PS, Varma RS (2014) Solvent-free and catalysts-free chemistry: a benign pathway to sustainability. Chemsuschem 7:24–44. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1002/cssc.201300485) [1002/cssc.201300485](https://doi.org/10.1002/cssc.201300485)
- 5. Tietze LF, Modi A (2000) Multicomponent domino reactions for the synthesis of biologically active natural products and drugs. Med Res Rev 20:304–322. [https://doi.org/10.1002/1098-](https://doi.org/10.1002/1098-1128(200007)20) [1128\(200007\)20](https://doi.org/10.1002/1098-1128(200007)20)
- 6. Tietze LF (1996) Domino reactions in organic synthesis. Chem Rev 96:115–136. <https://doi.org/10.1021/cr950027e>
- 7. Pellissier H (2013) Stereocontrolled domino reactions. Chem Rev 113:442–524. <https://doi.org/10.1021/cr300271k>
- 8. Tietze LF, Brasche G, Gericke K (2006) Domino reactions in organic synthesis. Wiley, Weinheim. ISBN 3-527-29060-5
- 9. Dömling A, Wang W, Wang K (2012) Chemistry and biology of multicomponent reactions. Chem Rev 112:3083–3135. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1021/cr100233r) doi.org/10.1021/cr100233r
- 10. Ruijter E, Scheffelaar R, Orru RVA (2011) Multicomponent Reaction design in the quest for molecular complexity and diversity. Angew Chem Int Ed 50:6234–6246. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1002/anie.201006515) [1002/anie.201006515](https://doi.org/10.1002/anie.201006515)
- 11. Zhu J, Bienaymé H (2005) Multicomponent reaction. Wiley, Weinheim. ISBN 978-3-527-30806-4
- 12. Singh MS, Chowdhury S (2012) Recent developments in solventfree multicomponent reactions: a perfect synergy for eco-compatible organic synthesis. RSC Adv 2:4547–4592. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1039/C2RA01056A) [10.1039/C2RA01056A](https://doi.org/10.1039/C2RA01056A)
- 13. Kumar S, Bawa S, Gupta H (2009) Biological activities of quinoline derivatives. Mini Rev Med Chem 9:1648–1654. [https://](https://doi.org/10.2174/138955709791012247) doi.org/10.2174/138955709791012247
- 14. Roth HJ, Kleemann A (1988) Drug synthesis, in pharmaceutical chemistry, vol I. Wiley, New York. ISBN 0470210370
- 15. Schmitz FJ, DeGuzman FS, Hossain ME, van der Helm D (1991) Cytotoxic aromatic alkaloids from the ascidian Amphicarpa meridiana and Leptoclinides sp.: meridine and 11-hydroxyascididemin. J Org Chem 56:804–808. [https://doi.org/10.1021/](https://doi.org/10.1021/jo00002a055) [jo00002a055](https://doi.org/10.1021/jo00002a055)
- 16. Delfourne E, Kiss R, Le Corre L, Dujols F, Bastide J, Collignon F, Lesur B, Frydman A, Darro F (2004) Synthesis and in vitro antitumor activity of ring C and D-substituted phenanthrolin-7 one derivatives, analogues of the marine pyridoacridine alkaloids ascididemin and meridine. Bioorg Med Chem 12:3987–3994. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bmc.2004.06.006>
- 17. Delfourne E, Darro F, Subielos NB, Decaestecker C, Bastide J, Frydman A, Kiss R (2001) Synthesis and characterization of the antitumor activities of analogues of meridine, a marine pyridoacridine alkaloid. J Med Chem 44:3275–3282. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1021/jm0108496) [10.1021/jm0108496](https://doi.org/10.1021/jm0108496)
- 18. Hu YZ, Zhang G, Thummel RP (2003) Friedländer approach for the incorporation of 6-bromoquinoline into novel chelating ligands. Org Lett 5:2251–2253. [https://doi.org/10.1021/](https://doi.org/10.1021/ol034559q) [ol034559q](https://doi.org/10.1021/ol034559q)
- 19. Cucciolito ME, Vitagliano A (1992) Selective stabilization of the anti isomer of $(\eta^3$ -allyl)palladium and -platinum complexes. Organometallics 11:3954–3964. [https://doi.org/10.1021/](https://doi.org/10.1021/om00060a009) [om00060a009](https://doi.org/10.1021/om00060a009)
- 20. Albano G, Belser P, Cola LD, Gandolfi MT (1999) New luminescent ruthenium complexes with extended π systems. Chem Commun. <https://doi.org/10.1039/A900911F>
- 21. Groundwater PW, Solomons KR, Munawar AM (1996) Benzophenanthrolines and related fused acridines. Patent WO 1996018611 A2
- 22. Roopan SM, Bharathi A, Palaniraja J, Anand K, Gengan RM (2015) Unexpected regiospecific Michael addition product: synthesis of 5,6-dihydrobenzo[1,7] phenanthrolines. RSC Adv 5:38640–38645. <https://doi.org/10.1039/C4RA16640J>
- 23. Vivek Kumar S, Muthusaravanan S, Muthusubramanian S, Perumal S (2016) An efficient one pot three-component domino

reaction for the synthesis of 1,3,4-trisubstituted pyrroles. ChemistrySelect 1:675–679. <https://doi.org/10.1002/slct.201600108>

- 24. Uma Rani G, Vivek Kumar S, Bharkavi C, Menendez JC, Perumal S (2016) One-pot access to a library of dispiro oxindolepyrrolidine/pyrrolothiazole-thiochromane hybrids via three-component 1,3-dipolar cycloaddition reactions. ACS Comb Sci 18:337–342. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acscombsci.6b00011>
- 25. Vivek Kumar S, Muthusubramanian S, Perumal S (2015) Facile "on water" domino reactions for the expedient synthesis of 2Hthiopyrano[2,3-b]quinolones. RSC Adv 5:30826–30832. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1039/C5RA04795A) doi.org/10.1039/C5RA04795A
- 26. Vivek Kumar S, Muthusubramanian S, Perumal S (2015) A solvent- and catalyst-free domino reaction for the efficient synthesis of 3-arylthiazolidine-2-thiones under microwave irradiation. RSC Adv 5:90451–90456. [https://doi.org/10.1039/](https://doi.org/10.1039/C5RA19112B) [C5RA19112B](https://doi.org/10.1039/C5RA19112B)
- 27. Vivek Kumar S, Muthusubramanian S, Menéndez JC, Perumal S (2015) An efficient synthesis of N-substituted 3-nitrothiophen-2 amines. Beilstein J Org Chem 11:1707–1712. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.3762/bjoc.11.185) [3762/bjoc.11.185](https://doi.org/10.3762/bjoc.11.185)
- 28. Prasanna P, Balamurugan K, Perumal S, Menéndez JC (2011) A facile, three-component domino protocol for the microwave-assisted synthesis of functionalized naphtho[2,3-b]furan-4,9-diones in water. Green Chem 13:2123–2129. [https://doi.org/10.1039/](https://doi.org/10.1039/c0gc00952k) [c0gc00952k](https://doi.org/10.1039/c0gc00952k)
- 29. Gunasekaran P, Balamurugan K, Sivakumar S, Perumal S, Menéndez JC, Almansour AI (2012) Domino reactions in water:
diastereoselective synthesis of densely functionalized diastereoselective synthesis of densely functionalized indolyldihydrofuran derivatives. Green Chem 14:750–757. <https://doi.org/10.1039/c2gc16517a>
- 30. Indumathi S, Perumal S, Anbananthan N (2012) A facile ecofriendly three-component protocol for the regio- and stereoselective synthesis of functionalized trans-dihydrofuro[3,2-c] quinolin-4(2H)-ones. Green Chem 14:3361–3367. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1039/c2gc36040c) [10.1039/c2gc36040c](https://doi.org/10.1039/c2gc36040c)