

# **Repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation protects mice against 6‑OHDA‑induced Parkinson's disease symptoms by regulating brain amyloid β1–42 level**

**Fang Ba<sup>1</sup> · Yuxin Zhou1 · Jie Zhou1 · Xueyun Chen[1](http://orcid.org/0000-0002-5802-3091)**

Received: 4 December 2018 / Accepted: 10 April 2019 / Published online: 19 April 2019 © Springer Science+Business Media, LLC, part of Springer Nature 2019

## **Abstract**

Repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation (rTMS) is a technique protecting neurons against diverse neurodegenerative disorders by delivering magnetic stimuli into the brain through the intact scalp. In the current study, the protection efect of rTMS on Parkinson's disease (PD) and the associated mechanism driving the treatment were explored. The PD symptoms were induced using 6-OHDA in mice, and the effect of rTMS of two frequencies (1 Hz and 10 Hz) on the cognitive behaviors and neuron viability was detected. Afterwards, the level of  $A\beta_{1-42}$  and activity of MKK7-ERK-Fos-APP axis under the administration of rTMS were recorded as well. The intracranial injection of 6-OHDA impaired the cognitive behaviors of the mice in the test of Morris water maze as well as reducing the viability and number of neurons in PD mice. After the treatment of rTMS of both frequencies, the cognitive function of mice was improved and the neuron viability and number were restored in mice brain tissues. The administration of rTMS also increased the cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) level of  $A\beta_{1-42}$ in PD mice, which was accompanied by the suppressed levels of p-MKK7, p-ERK1/2, p–c-Fos, and APP. Moreover, the efect of rTMS on mice nerve system was all exerted in a frequency-dependent manner. In conclusion, the fndings outlined in the current study afrmed the protection efect of rTMS against PD. The anti-PD function of rTMS was associated with the suppression of MKK7-ERK-Fos-APP axis, which subsequently resulted in the increased CSF  $\mathsf{AB}_{1-42}$  level and decreased brain  $Aβ_{1-42}$  level.

**Keywords**  $\mathsf{A}\beta_{1-42} \cdot \mathsf{APP} \cdot \mathsf{MKK7} \cdot \mathsf{Parkinson's disease} \cdot \mathsf{Repetitive}$  transcranial magnetic stimulation

# **Introduction**

Parkinson's disease (PD) is a major neurodegenerative disorder occurring as a result of cell death of dopaminergic (DA) neurons. The disease is characterized by bradykinesia, resting tremor, muscular rigidity, and gait disturbance in elderly population  $[1, 2]$  $[1, 2]$  $[1, 2]$  $[1, 2]$ . Currently, the diagnosis of PD is primarily based on clinical criteria [[3](#page-6-2)]. However, given the fact that the neurodegenerative process of PD begins several years

**Electronic supplementary material** The online version of this article [\(https://doi.org/10.1007/s11010-019-03531-w\)](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11010-019-03531-w) contains supplementary material, which is available to authorized users.

 $\boxtimes$  Xueyun Chen amandaport@163.com before the associated symptoms can be diagnosed, the current criteria themselves bring some limitations. According to the review of Hardy and Revesz, the early diagnosis of PD was a priority for the development of disease-modifying therapies for this disorder [[3](#page-6-2)]. Thus, increasing attentions have been paid to the exploration of novel biomarkers to predict the progression of PD [[4\]](#page-6-3).

Emerging evidence shows that molecular changes in the brain tissues can be refected in cerebrospinal fuid (CSF) composition [\[5\]](#page-6-4). Thus, CSF may represent a promising source for discovering biomarkers of neurodegenerative diseases. For example, recent studies have highlighted the potential of CSF biomarkers in the early diagnosis of AD: molecules such as amyloid  $\beta_{1-42}$  (A $\beta_{1-42}$ ), total tau (t-tau), and phosphorylated tau (p-tau) are all biomarkers refecting the pathology of AD [[6\]](#page-6-5). Regarding the biomarkers for PD, it is recognized in the recent years that classical CSF biomarkers of AD can also be utilized in the diagnosis and treatment of PD [[5](#page-6-4), [7\]](#page-6-6), of which  $A\beta_{1-42}$  has drawn a lot of

<sup>1</sup> Department of Rehabilitation, Shengjing Hospital of China Medical University, 36 Sanhao Street, Shenyang 110004, People's Republic of China

interest [[8\]](#page-6-7). The peptide is a typical member of  $\mathbf{A}\beta$  peptides generated from amyloid precursor protein (APP) that is an integral membrane protein [[9](#page-6-8)]. For a quite long time, the level of  $A\beta_{1-42}$  has been related to the progression of AD [[10\]](#page-6-9) and is being increasing descripted as a factor participating in the onset and progression of PD [[8\]](#page-6-7). Numerous proteins are involved in post-transcription cleavage of APP into Aβs. For example, ADAM10 is important to the APP cleavage that does not form A $\beta$ s [\[11–](#page-6-10)[15\]](#page-6-11). In another pathway, APP is underwent cleavage of BACE1 or BACE2, which subsequently produces amyloidogenic Aβ peptide in a γ-secretase cleavage manner  $[16-20]$  $[16-20]$  $[16-20]$  $[16-20]$ . Except for the above-mentioned molecules, presenilin, nicastrin (NCSTN), APH1B, and PEN2 are also uniquely involved in the cleavage of APP based on the tissue specificity  $[21-23]$  $[21-23]$  $[21-23]$ . Taken together, the levels of Aβ peptides are evidently refecting the function of multiple pathways involved in the pathogenesis of neurodegenerative disorders such as PD. Thus, it is reasonable to develop treatment strategies for PD by targeted regulating the level of Aβ, which will restore the function of neurons in multi-pronged ways.

Noninvasive repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation (rTMS) is a method of delivering magnetic stimuli into the brain through the intact scalp. In the recent years, the technique has been applied to manage neurodegenerative disorders in clinic and contributes to the up-regulation of endogenous neurotrophins in brains tissues [\[24](#page-6-16), [25\]](#page-6-17). Since the clinical manifestations of PD are characterized by the abnormal neuronal activity within the basal ganglia, several studies have employed rTMS to protect brain tissues against progression of PD. For example, in the study of Miranda et al., the authors demonstrated that the patients receiving 25 Hz rTMS treatment for 4 weeks showed a gradual improvement in walking and hand movements [\[26](#page-6-18)]. The treatment efect of rTMS on PD was also proved in the meta-analysis of Fregni et al.: the pooled efect size across the studies signifcantly favored the rTMS stimulation when compared with sham stimulation [\[27](#page-6-19)]. However, even with comprehensive application of rTMS in clinic, the mechanism driving the efect of rTMS on neurodegenerative disorders stays partially revealed. Given the ubiquitous infuence of rTMS on nerve system, the understanding of the molecular basis of the treatment will promote the application of the technique for more disorders. In 2013, Tan et al. reported that low-frequency (1 Hz) rTMS could reverse the abnormal level of  $A\beta_{1-42}$  in memory deficit rats [\[28](#page-6-20)]. The results were inspirable in that it linked the level of  $A\beta_{1-42}$ to the treatment efect of rTMS, which reminded us of the possibility that the anti-PD efect of rTMS might be also related to its interaction with brain  $A\beta_{1-42}$ .

To verify the possibility, PD symptoms were induced in mice using 6-OHDA method. Then the PD mice were subjected to the treatment of rTMS of two frequencies (low

frequency: 1 Hz; high frequency: 10 Hz) and the efect of rTMS administrations on the cognitive behaviors and brain structures of mice was assessed. Additionally, by focusing on the  $A\beta_{1-42}$  levels and MKK7-ERK-Fos-APP pathway that regulates the generation of  $Aβ_{1-42}$  [\[29](#page-6-21)], we also attempted to explain the pathways mediating anti-PD function of rTMS.

## **Methods**

#### **Induction of Parkinson's disease using 6‑OHDA**

C57BL/6 mice (10-week-old) were provided by Changsheng Company (Jilin, Changchun) and housed at  $25 \pm 1$  °C with a constant humidity of 45–55% with food and water available. For 6-OHDA lesions induction, mice were anesthetized using 50 mg/kg body weight phenobarbital sodium and were positioned within a stereotaxic apparatus. The left striatum was exposed by opening the brain skin along the sagittal suture. Afterwards, 2 μl 6-OHDA (4 μg/μl) (162,957–50 mg, Sigma, China) was injected into the left striatum. 10 min after the injection, the lesion was sutured and mice were housed routinely for 2 weeks before the rTMS treatment (Figure S1). For mice in Sham group, 6-OHDA was replaced by 0.02% vitamin C solution (A103534-100 g, Aladdin, China). This study was carried out in accordance with the recommendations of the Animal Care Guidelines for the Care and Use of Institutional Animal Ethics Committee of China Medical University and was ethically approved by the Institutional Animal Ethics Committee of China Medical University.

#### **Administration of rTMS**

The rTMS treatment was performed following previous study of Ma et al.: briefy, the mice in low-frequency rTMS group (PD+L rTMS) were exposed to low-frequency rTMS (1 Hz) with magnetic stimulation intensity set at 30% maximum output (1.26T). Two sessions of rTMS consisting of 1000 pulses in 10 trains were performed for 14 consecutive days. An interval of 2 min was between the two sessions to cool down the coil, and the interval between each train was 20 s. For administration of high-frequency rTMS (PD  $+$  H rTMS), the frequency was set to 10 Hz.

#### **Morris water maze**

MWM was used to test the learning and memorizing abilities. The assays were performed routinely as reported previously with two investigators blind to the experiment designs. The test included including a 5-day visible platform trial and a 1-day probe trial and. In brief, for visible platform trail in 60 s, mice were allowed to swim for 60 s before getting to the platform for four times each day. If the mice failed, investigator would help the mice to stay on the platform for 10 s before another test. For probe trial in the 6th day, the platform was removed, and then the time of mice staying in the quadrant in 60 s as well as the number of penetrating through the quadrant of the former platform position in 60 s in each test were measured.

#### **Nissl staining**

The substantia nigra (SN) sections were frstly subjected to dehydration, dimethylbenzene, and alcohol administration of diferent concentrations. Afterwards, the sections were incubated with 0.5% cresol purple for 10 min at room temperature. After being washed using  $ddH_2O$ , the sections were sequentially administrated with 0.25% glacial acetic acid-alcohol solution, alcohol of diferent concentrations, and dimethylbenzene. The results of the staining were detected using a Microscope (BX53, OLUMPUS, Japan) at  $100\times$ .

#### **Immunochemistry detection**

Brain tissues were dehydrated using alcohol in diferent concentrations and embedded in paraffin. Then  $5-\mu m$  sections were administrated with alcohol in diferent concentrations and washed with PBS for three times. Primaries antibody against tyrosine hydroxylase (TH) (1:200) (25859- 1-AP, Proteintech, China), brain derived neurotrophic factor (BDNF) (1:200) (25699-1-AP, Proteintech, USA), and  $A\beta_{1-42}$  (1:200) (ab2539, Abcam, UK) were then added on sections and incubated at 4 °C overnight. After three cycles of PBS washing, secondary Cy3-labled antibodies (A0277, goat anti-rabbit, Beyotime Biotechnology, China) were incubated with sections at 37 °C for 30 min. Afterwards, the sections were labeled with horseradish peroxidase-labeled avdin at 37 °C for 30 min and incubated with DAB solution (DA1010, Solarbio, China). Finally, the sections were subjected to re-stain using hematoxylin for 3 min and dehydrated using alcohol of diferent concentrations. Images were captured using a fuorescence microscope (BX53, Olumpus, Japan) at 400× magnifcation.

#### **Enzyme‑linked immuno sorbent assay (ELISA)**

The CSF level of  $A\beta_{1-42}$  was detected using  $A\beta_{1-42}$  Detection Kit following instruction for manufacturer (CEA946Mu, USCN, China). The results was represented by OD value at 450 nm using a Microplate Reader (ELX-800, BIOTEK, USA).

#### **Western blotting**

Brain tissues were lysed using RIPA lysis bufer (P0013B, Beyotime Biotechnology, China). The concentrations of protein samples were determined using BCA Protein Concentration Kit (P0009, Beyotime Biotechnology, China). After being separated by sodium dodecyl sulfatepolyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE) at 80 V for 2.5 h, protein samples were transferred to PVDF membranes and blocked using 5% skim milk powder for 1 h. Then the membranes were incubated with primary antibodies against MKK7 (1:10,000) (ab52618, Abcam, UK), phosphorylated MKK7 (p-MKK7) (1:1000) (Bioss, bs-3277R, China), ERK1/2 (1:1000) (#4695, CST, USA), p-ERK1/2 (1:1000) (#4370, CST, USA), p–c-Fos (1:1000) (ab27793, Abcam, UK), and β-actin (1:1000) (bsm-33036M, Bioss, China) at 4 °C overnight. Then secondary IgG-HRP antibodies (1:5000) (A0208, goat-anti rabbit; A0216, goat anti-murine, Beyotime Biotechnology, China) were added onto membranes and incubated at 37 °C for 45 min. Bands were developed using ECL Plus reagent (P0018, Beyotime Biotechnology, China), and the relative expression levels of proteins were calculated by Gel-Pro-Analyzer (Media Cybernetics, USA).

#### **Statistical analysis**

The data were represented by mean $\pm$  standard deviation (SD). One-way analysis of variance and post hoc multiple comparisons using Fisher's Least Signifcance Diference (LSD) method were performed using GraphPad Prism version 6.0 (GraphPad Software, Inc., San Diego, CA) with a signifcant level of 0.05 (two tailed).

### **Results**

## **Administration of rTMS improved cognitive behaviors of PD mice**

As shown in Fig. [1,](#page-3-0) the injection of 6-OHDA dramatically impaired the cognitive function of mice when compared with mice in Sham group. The induction of PD significantly increased the latency of escaping time, suppressed the proportion of mice's penetrating path in platform area, and shortened the time of mice staying in the platform quadrant (Fig. [1\)](#page-3-0). For PD mice treated with rTMS, the data showed that both frequencies signifcantly improved the cognitive function of the mice (Fig. [1\)](#page-3-0). Moreover, the improvement efect of rTMS increased with frequency, with rTMS of 10 Hz showing a better treatment outcome than rTMS of 1 Hz (Fig. [1\)](#page-3-0).



<span id="page-3-0"></span>**Fig. 1** Administration of rTMS improved cognitive function of PD mice (mean $\pm$ SD). **a** Quantitative analysis results of escaping latency. **b** Quantitative analysis results of the proportion of platform area in the pathway of mice traveling. **c** Quantitative analysis results of the

# **Administration of rTMS protected SN neurons against 6‑OHDA‑induced damages**

The results of Nissl staining showed that the number of SN neurons (stained blue) in PD group was lower than that in Sham group (Fig. [2](#page-4-0)), but the administration of rTMS of both frequencies restored the SN number in PD mice, evidently supporting the protection efect of the technique on neuron viability. Additionally, we also detected the expression and distribution of TH and BDNF that are two classical neurotrophic factors. The results of immunochemistry detection showed that although the injection of 6-OHDA dramatically suppressed the expression and distribution of both factors (stained brown), the administration of rTMS counteracted the efect of 6-OHDA and increased the levels of both indicators in SN tissues (Fig. [2](#page-4-0)). Similar to the efect on cognitive behaviors, the protection efect of rTMS on SN neurons also increased with frequency (Fig. [2\)](#page-4-0).

number of mice penetrating former platform. \**P*<0.05 vs. Sham group. <sup>#</sup>P<0.05 vs. PD group. Each assay was represented by six replicates

# **Administration of rTMS increased CSF Aβ1–42 level**  while suppressed the brain Aβ<sub>1-42</sub> level in PD mice

The abnormally low CSF  $A\beta_{1-42}$  level associated with abnormally high brain  $A\beta_{1-42}$  level has been gradually recognized as a symptom of PD. The theory was verifed in the current study: the CSF  $\mathbf{A}\beta_{1-4}$ , level was decreased in mice after 6-OHDA injection, while the brain  $A\beta_{1-42}$ level was increased (Fig. [3a](#page-5-0), b). Nevertheless, the administration of rTMS reversed the levels of  $A\beta_{1-42}$  in CSF and brain tissues in a frequency-dependent manner (Fig. [3](#page-5-0)), which was a representative of attenuation of PD symptoms in mice. The results further supported our hypothesis that the protection efect of rTMS against PD was associated with its effect on the brain levels of  $A\beta_{1-42}$ .



<span id="page-4-0"></span>**Fig. 2** Administration of rTMS increased neuron viability and number in the SN of PD mice (mean $\pm$ SD). Magnification for Nissl staining, ×100. Magnifcation for immunochemistry detection of TH,

×100. Magnifcation for immunochemistry detection of BDNF, ×400. Each assay was represented by six replicates

# **Influence of rTMS on brain Aβ<sub>1-42</sub> level was associated with the suppression of MKK7‑ERK‑Fos‑APP axis**

The generation of  $A\beta_{1-42}$  is closely related to the expression of APP. Thus, we also detected the activity of MKK7-ERK-Fos-APP axis in the current study. The injection of 6-OHDA increased the protein levels of p-MKK7, p-ERK1/2, p–c-Fos, and APP (Fig. [4](#page-5-1)), which would result in further deposition of  $A\beta_{1-42}$  in brain tissues. After being subjected to rTMS administration of both frequencies, the tissue levels of all the four indicators were suppressed (Fig. [4\)](#page-5-1), evidently indicating the inhibition efect of rTMS on MKK7-ERK-Fos-APP axis. Moreover, the efect of rTMS administration on molecule expressions also strengthened with frequency (Fig. [4\)](#page-5-1).

# **Discussion**

In recent years, with the emerging evidence indicating the treatment role of electric current in multiple disorders, electromagnetic phenomena has been applied to induce electric current in human bodies [\[30\]](#page-6-22). Of types of diseases handled by magnetic stimulation, nerve disorders have shown extra sensitivity to the technique [[31](#page-7-0)]. The reports regarding the protection efect of magnetic stimulation against neurodegenerative have drawn the sufficient development of the so-called rTMS technique [[32\]](#page-7-1). Based on these previous studies, we attempted to provide a complementary explanation to the treatment mechanism driving the neuroprotection efect of rTMS on PD patients. With a series of in vivo assays, our fndings supported the efect of rTMS in improving the cognitive function of PD mice. Moreover, we also indicated that the treatment efect of rTMS against PD was associated with the inhibition of MKK7-ERK1/2-c-Fos-APP, which finally resulted in  $A\beta_{1-42}$ 's suppression in brain tissues and induction in CSF. The changes in  $\mathsf{A}\beta_{1-42}$  level detected in the current evidently represented the amelioration of PD [[8\]](#page-6-7).

 $Aβ<sub>1–42</sub>$  is one of the major components of Aβ oligomers that are the typical endogenous neurotoxic substance [\[28](#page-6-20)]. In a quite long period, the component has been conceived as a major factor causing synaptic dysfunction in the early stage of AD. For example, in the study of Colaianna et al.,  $A\beta_{1-42}$ suppressed endogenous neurotrophin contents in vitro [\[33](#page-7-2)]. Moreover, the AD promoting function of  $A\beta_{1-4}$  was further verifed with in vivo model [\[34](#page-7-3)]. As multiple disease processes might coexist in PD, scientists infer that the combination of diferent biomarkers that refect each pathogenic mechanism is likely to be an appropriate approach to develop novel treatment strategies for both diseases [[35](#page-7-4)]. Such hypothesis has been solidly proved with  $A\beta_{1-42}$ . The association between CSF  $\mathbf{A}\beta_{1-42}$  level and cognition decline



<span id="page-5-0"></span>**Fig. 3** Administration of rTMS increased CSF  $\mathbf{A}\beta_{1-42}$  level while suppressed brain tissue Aβ1–42 level in PD mice (mean±SD). **a** Quantitative analysis results of ELISA detection of the CSF  $\mathsf{A}\beta_{1\text{-}42}$  level. **b** Representative images of immunochemistry detection of the brain tissue  $\mathbb{A}\beta_{1-42}$  level. Magnification ×400. \**P* < 0.05 vs. Sham group.  $P$  < 0.05 vs. PD group. Each assay was represented by six replicates



<span id="page-5-1"></span>**Fig. 4** Administration of rTMS inhibited activity of MMK7-ERK-c-Fos-APP axis (mean  $\pm$  SD).  $*P < 0.05$  vs. Sham group.  $*P < 0.05$  vs. PD group. Each assay was represented by six replicates

in PD patients has been extensively reported [\[9\]](#page-6-8). Similar results were also verifed in the current study: rTMS administrations of both frequencies showed considerable efect in inducing CSF  $A\beta_{1-4}$  level, which was accompanied by the improvements in cognitive function and neuron viability in PD mice.

The application frequency of rTMS has been long debated in that the results regarding the treatment efect of rTMS were viable. Meta-analysis of indicated that high-frequency rTMS improved motor symptoms in PD patients while lowfrequency rTMS had shown little beneft [[36,](#page-7-5) [37\]](#page-7-6). However, in the studies of Wagle-Shukla et al. and Chen et al., the authors inferred that the applications of low-frequency rTMS (1 Hz) could improve dyskinesia [\[38](#page-7-7), [39\]](#page-7-8). Additionally, in the study of Tan et al., rTMS of 1 Hz attenuated  $A\beta_{1-42}$ -induced memory deficits in rats [\[28](#page-6-20)]. To determine the infuence of administration frequency on the treatment outcome of rTMS, we employed two frequencies in the current study. Our results showed that the treatment efect of rTMS on the cognitive behaviors and CSF  $A\beta_{1-42}$  level in PD mice was exerted in a frequency-dependent pattern. Nevertheless, even rTMS of 1 Hz showed evidently amelioration efect on the PD symptoms in mice. The results might be a complementary to the long-term controversy on the efective frequency of rTMS.

The application of rTMS has been gradually accepted by patients with neurodegenerative patients and clinicians, but the mechanism driving the treatment effect of the technique remains partially explained. Thus, we also detected the impact of rTMS treatment on MKK7-ERK1/2-c-Fos-APP axis. As being described by Huang et al., MKK7 and ERK1/2 activated by ApoE induced c-Fos phosphorylation, which then stimulated transcription factor AP-1. The stimulated AP-1 in turn enhanced the transcription of APP and subsequently increased brain  $A\beta_{1-42}$  level [[29](#page-6-21)]. In the current day, the injection of 6-OHDA induced the activation of the axis as expected. After the treatment of rTMS, the activities of all the members involved the signaling transduction were suppressed, which resulted in the suppressed level of  $A\beta_{1-42}$  in brain tissues. The results proved the association between the axis and treatment effect of rTMS. However, no further modulation was performed to determine whether the treatment function of rTMS critically depended on the activity of the axis.

Collectively, the fndings outlined in the current study afrmed the protection efect of rTMS against PD, and the efect could be strengthened with rTMS frequency. Contrary to some previous reports, our results showed that even lowfrequency (1 Hz) rTMS could evidently relieve mice from PD impairments. At molecular level, we found that the treatment effect of rTMS was associated with the suppression of MKK7-ERK1/2-c-Fos-APP axis, which fnally resulted in the decreased  $A\beta_{1-42}$  level in brain tissues and increased

 $A\beta_{1-42}$  level in CSF. However, the conclusions were merely based on in vivo animal models and no further validation was performed to determine the key role of MKK7-ERK1/2 c-Fos-APP in the anti-PD function of rTMS. To elucidate the effect and mechanism of technique, more comprehensive work with clinical cohort and targeted modulation will be performed.

**Acknowledgements** Not applicable.

## **Compliance with ethical standards**

**Conflict of interest** The authors disclose no confict of interest.

## **References**

- <span id="page-6-0"></span>1. Driver JA, Logroscino G, Gaziano JM, Kurth T (2009) Incidence and remaining lifetime risk of Parkinson disease in advanced age. Neurology 72(5):432–438. [https://doi.org/10.1212/01.wnl.00003](https://doi.org/10.1212/01.wnl.0000341769.50075.bb) [41769.50075.bb](https://doi.org/10.1212/01.wnl.0000341769.50075.bb)
- <span id="page-6-1"></span>2. Buchman AS, Shulman JM, Nag S, Leurgans SE, Arnold SE, Morris MC, Schneider JA, Bennett DA (2012) Nigral pathology and parkinsonian signs in elders without Parkinson's disease. Ann Neurol 71(2):258–266
- <span id="page-6-2"></span>3. Lees AJ, Hardy J, Revesz T (2004) Parkinson's disease. Lancet 363(Suppl):1783–1793
- <span id="page-6-3"></span>4. Marras C, Lang A (2013) Parkinson's disease subtypes: lost in translation? J Neurol Neurosur Ps 84(4):409–415. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1136/jnnp-2012-303455) [org/10.1136/jnnp-2012-303455](https://doi.org/10.1136/jnnp-2012-303455)
- <span id="page-6-4"></span>5. van Dijk KD, Teunissen CE, Drukarch B, Jimenez CR, Groenewegen HJ, Berendse HW, van de Berg WD (2010) Diagnostic cerebrospinal fuid biomarkers for Parkinson's disease: a pathogenetically based approach. Neurobiol Dis 39(3):229–241. [https](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nbd.2010.04.020) [://doi.org/10.1016/j.nbd.2010.04.020](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nbd.2010.04.020)
- <span id="page-6-5"></span>6. Dubois B, Feldman HH, Jacova C, Cummings JL, Dekosky ST, Barberger-Gateau P, Delacourte A, Frisoni G, Fox NC, Galasko D (2010) Revising the defnition of Alzheimer's disease: a new lexicon. Lancet Neurol 9(11):1044–1045
- <span id="page-6-6"></span>7. Nyhlén J, Constantinescu R, Zetterberg H (2010) Problems associated with fuid biomarkers for Parkinson's disease. Biomarkers Med 4(5):671–681
- <span id="page-6-7"></span>8. Parnetti L, Castrioto A, Chiasserini D, Persichetti E, Tambasco N, El-Agnaf O, Calabresi P (2013) Cerebrospinal fuid biomarkers in Parkinson disease. Nat Rev Neurol 9(3):131–140
- <span id="page-6-8"></span>9. Bekris LM, Tsuang DW, Peskind ER, Yu CE, Montine TJ, Zhang J, Zabetian CP, Leverenz JB (2015) Cerebrospinal fuid Aβ42 levels and APP processing pathway genes in Parkinson's disease. Movement Disord 30(7):936–944
- <span id="page-6-9"></span>10. Zetterberg H, Lunn MP, Herukka SK (2012) Clinical use of cerebrospinal fuid biomarkers in Alzheimer's disease. Biomarkers Med 6(4):371–376
- <span id="page-6-10"></span>11. Postina R (2008) A closer look at alpha-secretase. Curr Alzheimer Res 5(2):179–186
- 12. Deuss M, Reiss K, Hartmann D (2008) Part-time alpha-secretases: the functional biology of ADAM 9, 10 and 17. Curr Alzheimer Res 5:2
- 13. Postina R, Schroeder A, Dewachter I, Bohl J, Schmitt U, Kojro E, Prinzen C, Endres K, Hiemke C, Blessing M (2004) A disintegrin-metalloproteinase prevents amyloid plaque formation and hippocampal defects in an Alzheimer disease mouse model. J Clin Invest 113(10):1456
- 14. Colciaghi F, Borroni B, Pastorino L, Marcello E, Zimmermann M, Cattabeni F, Padovani A, Di LM (2002) [alpha]-Secretase ADAM10 as well as [alpha]APPs is reduced in platelets and CSF of Alzheimer disease patients. Mo Med 8(2):67
- <span id="page-6-11"></span>15. Qin W, Ho L, Wang J, Peskind E, Pasinetti GM (2009) S100A7, a novel Alzheimer's disease biomarker with non-amyloidogenic alpha-secretase activity acts via selective promotion of ADAM-10. PLoS ONE 4(1):e4183
- <span id="page-6-12"></span>16. Zetterberg H, Andreasson U, Hansson O, Wu G, Sankaranarayanan S, Andersson ME, Buchhave P, Londos E, Umek RM, Minthon L (2008) Elevated cerebrospinal fuid BACE1 activity in incipient Alzheimer disease. Arch Neurol-Chicago 65(8):1102–1107
- 17. Wu G, Sankaranarayanan S, Tugusheva K, Kahana J, Seabrook G, Shi XP, King E, Devanarayan V, Cook JJ, Simon AJ (2008) Decrease in age-adjusted cerebrospinal fuid beta-secretase activity in Alzheimer's subjects. Clin Biochem 41(12):986–996
- 18. Ewers M, Zhong Z, Bürger K, Wallin A, Blennow K, Teipel SJ, Shen Y, Hampel H (2008) Increased CSF-BACE 1 activity is associated with ApoE-epsilon 4 genotype in subjects with mild cognitive impairment and Alzheimer's disease. Brain 131(5):1252–1258
- 19. Sun X, Wang Y, Qing H, Christensen MA, Liu Y, Zhou W, Tong Y, Xiao C, Huang Y, Zhang S (2005) Distinct transcriptional regulation and function of the human BACE2 and BACE1 genes. FASEB J 19(7):739–749
- <span id="page-6-13"></span>20. Stockley JH, O'Neill C (2007) The proteins BACE1 and BACE2 and beta-secretase activity in normal and Alzheimer's disease brain. Biochem Soc Trans 35(3):574–576
- <span id="page-6-14"></span>21. Zhang J (2002) aph-1 and pen-2 are required for Notch pathway signaling, gamma-secretase cleavage of betaAPP, and presenilin protein accumulation. Dev Cell 3(1):85–97
- 22. Baulac S, Lavoie MJ, Kimberly WT, Strahle J, Wolfe MS, Selkoe DJ, Xia W (2003) Functional gamma-secretase complex assembly in Golgi/trans-Golgi network: interactions among presenilin, nicastrin, Aph1, Pen-2, and gamma-secretase substrates. Neurobiol Dis 14(2):194–204
- <span id="page-6-15"></span>23. Kimberly WT, Lavoie MJ, Ostaszewski BL, Ye W, Wolfe MS, Selkoe DJ (2003) γ-secretase is a membrane protein complex comprised of presenilin, nicastrin, aph-1, and Pen-2. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 100(11):6382–6387
- <span id="page-6-16"></span>24. Gersner R, Kravetz E, Feil J, Pell G, Zangen A (2011) Long-term efects of repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation on markers for neuroplasticity: diferential outcomes in anesthetized and awake animals. J Neurosci 31(20):7521–7526
- <span id="page-6-17"></span>25. Wang HY, Crupi D, Liu J, Stucky A, Cruciata G, Di RA, Friedman E, Quartarone A, Ghilardi MF (2011) Repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation enhances BDNF-TrkB signaling in both brain and lymphocyte. J Neurosci 31(30):11044–11054
- <span id="page-6-18"></span>26. Miranda PC, Lomarev M, Hallett M (2006) Modeling the current distribution during transcranial direct current stimulation. Clin Neurophysio 117(7):1623–1629
- <span id="page-6-19"></span>27. Fregni F, Simon D, Wu A, Pascual-Leone A (2005) Non-invasive brain stimulation for Parkinson's disease: a systematic review and meta-analysis of the literature. J Neurol Neurosur Psychiatry 76(12):1614
- <span id="page-6-20"></span>28. Tan T, Xie J, Liu T, Chen X, Zheng X, Tong Z, Tian X (2013) Low-frequency (1 Hz) repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation (rTMS) reverses  $A\beta(1-42)$ -mediated memory deficits in rats. Exp Gerontol 48(8):786–794
- <span id="page-6-21"></span>29. Huang YA, Zhou B, Wernig M, Südhof TC (2017) ApoE2, ApoE3, and ApoE4 diferentially stimulate app transcription and Aβ secretion. Cell 168(3):427
- <span id="page-6-22"></span>30. Ridding MC, Rothwell JC (2007) Is there a future for therapeutic use of transcranial magnetic stimulation? Nat Rev Neurosci 8(7):559–567
- <span id="page-7-0"></span>31. Ni Z, Chen R (2015) Transcranial magnetic stimulation to understand pathophysiology and as potential treatment for neurodegenerative diseases. Transl Neurodegener 4(1):22
- <span id="page-7-1"></span>32. Oscar AC (2008) Basic mechanisms of rTMS: implications in Parkinson's disease. Int Arch Med 1(1):2
- <span id="page-7-2"></span>33. Colaianna M, Tucci P, Zotti M, Morgese MG, Schiavone S, Govoni S, Cuomo V, Trabace L (2010) Soluble βamyloid1–42: a critical player in producing behavioural and biochemical changes evoking depressive-related state? Br J Pharmacol 159(8):1704
- <span id="page-7-3"></span>34. Holsinger RM, Schnarr J, Henry P, Castelo VT, Fahnestock M (2000) Quantitation of BDNF mRNA in human parietal cortex by competitive reverse transcription-polymerase chain reaction: decreased levels in Alzheimer's disease. Mol Brain Res 76(2):347–354
- <span id="page-7-4"></span>35. Parnetti L, Chiasserini D, Bellomo G, Giannandrea D, De CC, Qureshi MM, Ardah MT, Varghese S, Bonanni L, Borroni B (2011) Cerebrospinal fluid Tau/α-synuclein ratio in Parkinson's disease and degenerative dementias. Mov Disord 26(8):1428–1435
- <span id="page-7-5"></span>36. Elahi B, Chen R (2010) Efect of transcranial magnetic stimulation on Parkinson motor function–systematic review of controlled clinical trials. Mov Disord 24(3):357–363
- <span id="page-7-6"></span>37. Zanjani A, Zakzanis KK, Daskalakis ZJ, Chen R (2015) Repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation of the primary motor cortex in the treatment of motor signs in Parkinson's disease: a quantitative review of the literature. Mov Disord 30(6):750–758
- <span id="page-7-7"></span>38. Nielsen NS, Jacobsen C (1997) Depression of motor cortex excitability by low-frequency transcranial magnetic stimulation. Neurology 48(5):1398–1403
- <span id="page-7-8"></span>39. Wagleshukla A, Angel MJ, Zadikoff C, Enjati M, Gunraj C, Lang AE, Chen R (2007) Low-frequency repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation for treatment of levodopa-induced dyskinesias. Neurology 68(9):704–705

**Publisher's Note** Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional afliations.