Peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor-α activation protects against endoplasmic reticulum stress-induced HepG2 cell apoptosis

Wei-xiang Tang · Li-kui Wang · Yi-qiao Wang · Zhi-jun Zong · Zhi-xin Gao · Xue-sheng Liu · Yu-jun Shen · Yu-xian Shen · Yuan-hai Li

Received: 29 June 2013/Accepted: 13 September 2013/Published online: 26 September 2013 © Springer Science+Business Media New York 2013

Abstract Live ischemia-reperfusion injury is associated with endoplasmic reticulum (ER) stress-induced apoptosis. Activation of peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor- α (PPAR α) may inhibit hepatocyte apoptosis induced by oxidative stress and protect against liver injury. This study aimed to investigate the effects of PPAR α activation, through a specific agonist, on ER stress-induced apoptosis in human liver hepatocellular carcinoma (HepG2) cells. HepG2 cells were challenged with H₂O₂ and treated with WY14643, a selective PPAR α agonist, in the presence or absence of the PPARa antagonist of MK886. Cell viable assay (MTT) and immunostaining were used to evaluate cell viability. The level of apoptotic cell death was quantified through Annexin V/PI staining. Alanine aminotransferase, asparatate aminotransferase, and malondialdehyde levels were measured to determine the presence of cellular injury and oxidative stress. RT-PCR and Western blot analysis were used to detect mRNA and protein expression of PPARa, BiP, and CHOP. Immunofluorescence was utilized to determine the intracellular localization of CHOP. H₂O₂ and MK886 both reduced the viability

W. Tang · L. Wang · Y. Wang · Z. Zong · Z. Gao · X. Liu · Y. Li (\boxtimes)

Department of Anesthesiology, First Affiliated Hospital of Anhui Medical University, 288 Ji-xi Rd., Hefei 230022, China e-mail: liyuanhai-1@163.com

L. Wang e-mail: WLK9560@sina.cn

L. Wang · Y. Shen · Y. Shen Key Laboratory of Gene Resource Utilization for Genetic Diseases of Educational Ministry, Anhui Medical University, Hefei 230022, China of HepG2 cells, increased oxidative stress and apoptosis, up-regulated the BiP and CHOP expression, and induced CHOP translocation from the cytoplasm to the nucleus. Compared with cells treated with H_2O_2 alone, pre-administration of WY14643 increased cell viability, attenuated apoptosis, improved cell function, down-regulated BiP and CHOP expression and inhibited CHOP translocation. The effects of WY14643 were completely abolished using the MK886 antagonist. PPAR α activation protects against H_2O_2 -induced HepG2 cell apoptosis. The underlying mechanisms may be associated with its activation to suppress excessive ER stress.

Keywords PPAR α · Oxidative stress · Endoplasmic reticulum stress · Hepatocyte · Apoptosis

Introduction

Liver ischemia-reperfusion (LIR) injury often occurs in a number of clinical settings, such as liver resection surgery, liver transplantation, and hemorrhagic shock, and results in hepatic cell damage and death [1]. Previous studies have demonstrated that endoplasmic reticulum (ER) stress is an initiator of apoptosis during LIR injury [2-4]. LIR injury is associated with Ca²⁺ escape from the ER to the cytosol and this process in turn triggers the production of reactive oxygen species (ROS), leading to oxidative stress and producing a number of unfolded proteins that accumulate in the ER [5, 6]. To cope with the increase in unfolded proteins, mammalian cells generate a specific adaptive response called the unfolded protein response (UPR) [4, 7, 8]. Upon activation of the UPR, the chaperone BiP (GRP78) binds to misfolded proteins thereby activating proximal UPR transducer proteins, such as PKR-like ER-

Li-kui Wang: co-first author.

localized kinase (PERK), inositol requiring enzyme 1α (IRE1 α), and activating transcription factor 6 (ATF6) [9, 10]. PERK autophosphorylation, IRE1 α transautophosphorylation, and ATF6 activation all induce the expression of several genes including amino acid transporters, chaperones, and the C/EBP homologous protein/GADD153 (CHOP) [11–16]. Overexpression of CHOP leads to cell cycle arrest and/or apoptosis by decreasing Bcl-2 protein levels and translocating the Bax protein from the cytosol to the mitochondria [17]. Furthermore, induction of CHOP causes the cell to undergo apoptosis by up-regulating caspase-3 [17, 18].

PPAR α is one of the three subtypes of peroxisome proliferator-activated receptors (PPARs), which are members of a set of nuclear receptors related to retinoid, steroid, and thyroid hormone receptors that are abundantly expressed in liver parenchymal cells. WY14643, a selective PPAR α agonist, protects vital organs against various ischemia– reperfusion injuries to the heart, brain, kidney, and liver [19–21]. WY14643 decreases apoptosis of cardiomyocytes, preserves myocardial function, and resumes cardiac contractility after global cardiac IR under CPB [22]. Meanwhile, WY14643 up-regulates fatty aldehyde dehydrogenase (FALDH), which may contribute to the defensive system against oxidative and ER-related stress [23].

LIR injury is caused by oxidative and ER stress and leads to apoptosis. However, PPAR α activation can protect against such injuries, although the possible molecular mechanisms behind this effect remain elusive. The aim of the present study is to evaluate whether PPAR α activation by WY14643 protects against ER stress-induced HepG2 apoptosis and to identify a possible underlying mechanism.

Materials and methods

Cell culture and drug treatment

Human liver hepatocellular carcinoma (HepG2) cells, purchased from Shanghai Cell Bank, (Chinese Academy of Sciences, Shanghai, China) were cultured in the Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium (GIBCO, Grand Island, NY, USA) and supplemented with 10 % fetal calf serum (GIB-CO) at 37 °C in a humidified incubator containing an atmosphere air and 5 % CO₂. For the MTT assay and PI-DAPI staining (see below), HepG2 cells were organized into 12 treatment groups as follows: negative control (no treatment); WY14643 treated cells (25 μ M for 8 h dissolved in DMSO (Cayman Chemical, USA); DMSO alone (0.05 % for 8 h; mock treated); 0.1 mM, 1 mM, and 3 mM H₂O₂ (Sigma, USA) treated cells; 25 μ M WY14643 (2 h pre-treatment) and 0.1 mM, 1 mM, and 3 mM H₂O₂ (for 6 h); and 0.05 % DMSO (2 h pre-treatment) and 0.1 mM,

1 mM, and 3 mM H₂O₂ (for 6 h). There were six groups in flow cytometry and immunofluorescence microscopy analysis as follows: negative control (no treatment), WY14643 treated cells [25 µM for 8 h dissolved in DMSO (Cayman Chemical, USA)], MK886 (an inhibitor of PPARa) alone (25 μ M for 10 h), H₂O₂ alone (1 mM for 6 h), 25 μ M WY14643 (2 h pre-treatment) and 1 mM H₂O₂ for 6 h, MK886 (25 µM, 2 h pre-treatment) and 25 µM WY14643 (2 h) and 1 mM H₂O₂ (6 h). For the quantification of asparatate aminotransferase (AST), alanine aminotransferase (ALT) and malondialdehyde (MDA) levels, RT-PCR and Western blotting analysis, HepG2 cells were randomized into six groups: negative control (no treatment), WY14643 treated cells (25 μ M for 8 h), DMSO alone (0.05 % for 8 h; mock treated), 1 mM H₂O₂ (for 6 h), 25 µM WY14643 (2 h pre-treatment) and 1 mM H₂O₂ for 6 h, DMSO alone and 1 mM H₂O₂ for 6 h. Each experimental group above were randomized into five groups: negative control (no treatment), MK886 treated cells (25 µM for 8 h), 1 mM H₂O₂ (for 6 h), 25 µM WY14643 (2 h pre-treatment) and 1 mM H₂O₂ for 6 h, MK886 (25 µM, 2 h pre-treatment), and 25 µM WY14643 (2 h) and 1 mM H₂O₂ (6 h).

MTT assay

HepG2 cells were cultured in 96-well plates at a density of $1-5 \times 10^5$ cells/L (100 µl/well) for 24 h. 25 µM WY14643 or 0.05 % DMSO was added to the culture medium 2 h before H₂O₂. Then, cells were treated with various concentrations of H₂O₂ (0.1, 1, and 3 mM). After 6 h of H₂O₂, MTT [3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide] solution (5 mg/mL) was added to each well (Sigma). The cells were incubated at 37 °C for 4 h. The reaction medium was carefully removed from each well, and 150 µl of DMSO was added to ensure solubilization of the crystals. The optical density values were measured using a microplate reader (Rayto, China) at 490 nm. Five replicates were applied per sample.

PI-DAPI staining

HepG2 cells were cultured in 24-well plates at a density of $1-5 \times 10^4$ cells/L (500 µl/well) and incubated with a final concentration of approximately 5 µg/ml DAPI (4',6-diamidino-2-phenylindole) (Sigma) to the cell culture medium for 24 h. 25 µM WY14643 and 0.05 % DMSO were added to the culture medium 2 h before H₂O₂. Cells were then treated with various concentrations of H₂O₂ (0.1, 1, and 3 mM). After 6 h of H₂O₂ exposure, the reaction medium was carefully removed from each well, and the 500 µl of DMEM with 5 µg/ml propidium iodide (PI, Sigma) was added to the cells for 30 min. Images were captured using fluorescent microscopy (Olympus, Tokyo, Japan).

Flow cytometry

HepG2 cells $(1-5 \times 10^5$ cell/well) were seeded in 6-well plates and treated with MK886, WY14643, DMSO, or H₂O₂. The cells were labeled with Annexin V and PI (BestBio Biosciences, China). The percent apoptosis was determined by flow cytometry and analyzed by Folwjo software. The percent of cell in early apoptosis was calculated by enumerating cells that were Annexin V-positive and PI-negative, while the percent of cells in late apoptosis was calculated by enumerating cells that were Annexin V-positive and PI-positive.

Alanine aminotransferase (ALT), asparatate aminotransferase (AST), and malondialdehyde (MDA) measurements

The supernatants of the HepG2 cells treated above mentioned treatments were harvested and the activities of AST, ALT and MDA released from cells were determined with commercially available kits. These assay kits were purchased from the Jiancheng Bioengineering Institute (Nanjing, China).

RT-PCR (reverse transcription-polymerase chain reaction) analysis

Total RNA was isolated by using Trizol Reagent (Invitrogen) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Reverse transcription was performed with the AMV enzyme (Promega) using the manufacturer's protocol. Amplification primers were 5' GGT ATT GAA ACT GTG GGA GG 3' (forward) and 5' TTG TCT TCA GCT GTC ACT CG 3' (reverse) for GRP8/BIP, 5' GGA GCT GGA AGC CTG GTA TGA 3' (forward) and 5' TCC CTG GTC AGG CGC TCG ATT T 3' (reverse) for GADD153/CHOP, and 5' TCA CCA ACT GGG ACG ACA T 3' (forward) and 5' GCA CAG CCT GGA TAG CAA C 3' (reverse) for β -actin.

Western blotting analysis

Cultured cells were collected and lysed in 2 % SDS sample buffer followed by SDS–PAGE. Proteins were transferred to PVDF membranes and blocked for 30 min at room temperature with phosphate buffered saline with 0.05 % Tween-20 (PBST) containing 5 % nonfat dry milk. The blots were washed three times with PBST and incubated at 4 °C overnight with either an anti-GRP78/BiP antibody (1:2000; Abcam, ab21685), an anti-GADD153/CHOP antibody (1:500; Santa Cruz Biotechnology, sc-793), or an anti-PPAR α antibody (1:100; Santa Cruz Biotechnology, sc-1985). All primary antibodies were dissolved in PBST. The next day, the blots were washed three times with PBST, then incubated for 1 h at room temperature with horseradish peroxidase (HRP)-conjugated secondary antibodies (1:2,000 dilution) (Deko Cytomation, Denmark) in PBST. Proteins were visualized using the ECL detection system (Thermo Scientific Pierce). The blots were stripped and incubated for 2 h with HRP-conjugated monoclonal mouse anti-GAPDH (1:2,000; KangCheng Biotechnology, KC-5G5) in PBST. Blots were developed using the ECL detection system. All the results shown were from three to four independent experiments.

Immunofluorescence

Cells grown on glass cover slides were washed with PBS and fixed in 10 % formalin solution containing 4 % formaldehyde for 20 min at 4 °C. Fixed cells were blocked with PBS containing 1 % bovine serum albumin and 0.1 % Triton X-100 for 30 min at room temperature then incubated with an anti-GADD153/CHOP antibody (1:50, Santa Cruz Biotechnology, sc-793), and visualized with an anti-rabbit IgG Alexa 594 secondary antibody (1:200, Molecular Probe). After the incubation with secondary antibodies, the cells were stained with 40 μ g/ml DAPI for 10 min, and mounted. Microscopic analyses were performed to determine colocalization of the proteins.

Statistical analysis

All data are expressed as mean \pm SD. To determine if significant differences occurred between the means of two groups, an unpaired two-tailed Student's *t* test was applied. To determine if significant differences occurred among the means of several groups, a one way analysis of variance (ANOVA) was performed followed by Scheffe's post hoc tests. A *p*-value of <0.05 was considered to be significant.

Results

PPARa activation increases cell viability

The changes in cell viability of HepG2 cells exposed to H_2O_2 were examined with the MTT assay and PI-DAPI staining. After treating cells with 0.1 mM H_2O_2 for 6 h, cell viability of the HepG2 cells was not altered. However, cell viability decreased from 100 to 59.6 \pm 9.6 % with 1 mM H_2O_2 for 6 h and to 30.2 \pm 3.5 % with 3 mM H_2O_2 for 6 h (P < 0.001). Pretreatment with 25 μ M WY14643 prior to 1 mM or 3 mM H_2O_2 exposure significantly increased cell viability to 92.6 \pm 14.2 % and 45.6 \pm 4.0 % when compared to cells treated with H_2O_2 alone as assessed with MTT, respectively (P < 0.001) (Fig. 1a). Using the PI/DAPI



◄ Fig. 1 Cell viability. The effect of WY14643, DMSO, and H₂O₂ on HepG2 cell viability was measured by MTT assay (Fig. 1a) and PI-DAPI staining (Fig. 1b, c). In c, double labeling of DAPI (*blue*) and PI (*red*). In the graphs, H₂O₂ (*black filled rounds*, n = 6), DMSO + H₂O₂ (*gray filled squares*, n = 6), WY14643 + H₂O₂ (*black filled triangles*, n = 6). Data are presented as mean ± SD percentage of control (cells untreated with drugs). **P* < 0.001 versus the control group (H₂O₂ 0 mM); ***P* < 0.05 versus the H₂O₂ group at 1 and 3 mM. (Color figure online)

staining, all cells were stained with DAPI and PI stained only dead cell. Cell viability as determined with this technique decreased to 56.1 ± 5.6 % with 1 mM H₂O₂ and to 32.0 ± 4.7 % with 3 mM H₂O₂ relative to the control group (P < 0.001) (Fig. 1b, c), while 25 μ M WY14643 pretreatment significantly increased the cell viability to 96.4 ± 3.8 % and 52.8 ± 6.0 % when compared to that of control, respectively (P < 0.001) (Fig. 1b, c). However, cell viability was not altered with either WY14643 or DMSO alone as assessed by both MTT assay and PI-DAPI staining.

PPARa activation reduces cell apoptosis

To obtain additional evidence for H₂O₂-induced apoptosis and the protective effect of PPAR α activation, cellular apoptosis was assessed through flow cytometry using PI and Annexin V-FITC staining that identifies dead and apoptotic cells, respectively [24]. Cells in the early stages of apoptosis were 5.67 \pm 0.45 % (mock control), 6.24 ± 0.58 % (25 μM WY14643 alone), 25.2 ± 1.39 % (25 μM MK886 alone), 27.13 ± 2.01 % (1 mM H₂O₂ alone), 15.1 ± 2.01 % (25 μM WY14643 and 1 mM H₂O₂), 27.1 ± 2.08 % (25 μM MK886, 25 μM WY14643, and 1 mM H₂O₂), respectively (Fig. 2). Statistical analysis indicated that both MK886 alone and H₂O₂ alone induced significant apoptosis (P < 0.001). However, the pre-administration of WY14643 reduced the levels of apoptosis compared with H₂O₂ alone and MK886 inhibited the effect of WY14643 (P < 0.001) (Fig. 2).

PPARa activation protects cell function

WY14643 treatment alone did not induce hepatocyte damage. Compared with the mock control group, ALT levels were significantly increased from 3.6 ± 0.8 to 74.7 ± 3.0 U/L in the cells treated with H₂O₂ alone and were also significantly increased from 5.5 ± 1.6 to 71.2 ± 2.7 U/L in the cells treated with MK886 (P < 0.001). AST levels were also increased from 7.2 ± 1.5 to 93.3 ± 6.7 U/L in the cells treated with H₂O₂ alone and from 10.9 ± 2.2 to 101.3 ± 5.1 U/L in the cells treated with MK886 (P < 0.001). The increases of ALT and AST induced by H₂O₂ were significantly attenuated to 17.5 ± 3.1 and 26.2 ± 7.8 U/L with pretreatment of WY14643 (P < 0.001) (Tables 1, 2), while the amelioration of PPAR α agonist WY14643 was attenuated by the pretreatment of PPAR α antagonist MK886.



Fig. 2 Apoptosis rate. The effect of WY14643, DMSO, and H_2O_2 on HepG2 cell apoptosis was measured by Annexin V-fluorescein isothiocyanate/propidium iodide staining. The early apoptotic cells stained by Annexin-V-fluorescein isothiocyanate are located in the

lower right quadrant. In the *graphs*, each *column* represents the mean \pm SD of apoptosis rate. (n = 6 independent experiments). *P < 0.001 versus control; **P < 0.001 versus H₂O₂; #P < 0.001 versus WY14643 + H₂O₂

Table 1 Mean \pm SD (U/L)

Group	AST	ALT
Control	7.2 ± 1.5	3.6 ± 0.8
DMSO	7.2 ± 1.8	4.2 ± 1.0
WY14643	7.8 ± 1.4	4.2 ± 1.1
H_2O_2	$93.3 \pm 6.7*$	$74.7 \pm 3.0^{*}$
$DMSO + H_2O_2$	98.8 ± 9.5	75.8 ± 4.4
$WY14643 + H_2O_2$	$26.2 \pm 7.8^{**}$	$17.5 \pm 3.1^{**}$

* P < 0.001 versus control; ** P < 0.001 versus H₂O₂

Table 2 Mean \pm SD (U/L)

Group	AST	ALT
DMSO	10.9 ± 2.2	5.5 ± 1.6
MK886	$101.3 \pm 5.1*$	$71.2 \pm 2.7*$
H_2O_2	$107.8 \pm 7.2^{*}$	$72.0 \pm 5.0^{*}$
$WY14643 + H_2O_2$	31.7 ± 3.8**	$26.6 \pm 3.5^{**}$
$MK886 + WY14643 + H_2O_2$	$105.5 \pm 4.3^{\#}$	$72.8 \pm 3.0^{\#}$

* P < 0.001 versus control; ** P < 0.001 versus H2O2; # P < 0.001 versus WY14643 + H2O2

PPARa activation attenuates oxidative stress

The MDA levels were increased significantly in cells treated with MK886 alone (from 3.35 ± 0.41 to $6.90 \pm 0.43 \ \mu \text{mol/L}$), and in cells treated with H₂O₂ alone (from 3.07 ± 0.43 to $7.54 \pm 0.48 \ \mu \text{mol/L}$) (P < 0.001). Pretreatment of WY14643 decreased the levels of MDA compared with the H₂O₂ treatment (to $3.18 \pm 0.31 \ \mu \text{mol/L}$) (P < 0.001). However, the levels of MDA increased significantly to $7.05 \pm 0.27 \ \mu \text{mol/L}$ in cells treated with MK886, WY14643, and H₂O₂ compared with cells treated with WY14643 and H₂O₂ (P < 0.001) (Tables 3, 4).

Suppressive effects of PPAR α on BiP and CHOP mRNA expression

Treatment with the PPAR α agonist, WY14643, not only reduced the level of apoptosis in HepG2 cells due to H₂O₂, but it also inhibited H₂O₂-induced BiP (Bip/ β -actin from

Table 3	Mean	\pm SD	(µmol/L)
---------	------	----------	----------

Group	MDA
Control	3.07 ± 0.43
DMSO	3.16 ± 0.21
WY14643	3.18 ± 0.31
H ₂ O ₂	$7.54 \pm 0.48^{*}$
$DMSO + H_2O_2$	7.73 ± 0.42
$WY14643 + H_2O_2$	$3.94 \pm 0.41^{**}$

* P < 0.001 versus control; ** P < 0.001 versus H₂O₂

Table 4	Mean	\pm	SD (µmol/L
---------	------	-------	------	--------

Group	MDA
DMSO	3.35 ± 0.41
MK886	$6.90 \pm 0.43^{*}$
H ₂ O ₂	$7.08 \pm 0.21*$
$WY14643 + H_2O_2$	$4.22 \pm 0.26^{**}$
$MK886 + WY14643 + H_2O_2$	$7.05 \pm 0.27^{\#}$

* P < 0.001 versus control; ** P < 0.001 versus H2O2; # P < 0.001 versus WY14643 + H2O2

110.0 ± 7.3 to 76.7 ± 5.6 %) and CHOP (CHOP/β-actin from 42.7 ± 2.8 to 22.0 ± 4.1 %) mRNA expression (P < 0.001) (Fig. 3a, c, e). Treatment with the PPARα antagonist, MK886, suppressed the effect of WY14643 during H₂O₂-induced BiP and CHOP mRNA expression. Compared to cells treated with WY14643 and H₂O₂, BiP (Bip/β-actin from 59.5 ± 3.4 to 83.8 ± 4.6 %) and CHOP (CHOP/β-actin from 36.0 ± 3.6 to 74.6 ± 3.6 %) mRNA expression was up-regulated in cells treated with MK886, WY14643, and H₂O₂ (P < 0.001) (Fig. 3b, d, f). Additionally, MK886 significantly up-regulated BiP mRNA expression from 52.7 ± 3.3 to 78.3 ± 2.8 % and CHOP mRNA expression from 12.1 ± 1.1 to 66.4 ± 3.4 % compared to cells treated with only DMSO (P < 0.001) (Fig. 3).

PPAR α alters H₂O₂ induced PPAR α , BiP, and CHOP protein expression

WY14643, as a selective PPARa agonist, activated PPAR α , however, H₂O₂ also up-regulated PPAR α protein expression (PPARa/GAPDH from 62.6 ± 12.0 to $158.6 \pm 14.6 \%$ compared to mock controls) (P < 0.00) (Fig. 4a, e). MK886, as a selective PPAR α antagonist, prevented both endogenous and extrinsic PPARa ligands to active PPAR α (P < 0.001) (Fig. 4b, f). H₂O₂ increased the percentage of BiP/GAPDH from 40.7 ± 6.5 to 180.4 ± 11.0 % and the percentage of CHOP/GAPDH from 13.3 \pm 4.7 to 188.2 \pm 9.8 % (*P* < 0.001). Treatment with WY14643 produced a significant reduction in H₂O₂induced BiP protein expression by 101.8 ± 13.2 % and CHOP protein expression by $67.6 \pm 14.5 \% (P < 0.001)$ (Fig. 4a, c, g). However, in contrast to the effects of WY14643, MK886 was able to inhibit the repression of BiP (from 31.2 ± 4.6 to 72.3 ± 5.8 %) and CHOP (from 17.4 ± 4.2 to 56.1 ± 4.1 %) protein expression (P < 0.001) (Fig. 4b, d, h). Moreover, when compared with mock control cells, MK886 treatment alone significantly induced BiP (28.0 \pm 4.1 to 44.4 \pm 5.3 %) (P = 0.001) and CHOP $(3.4 \pm 0.6$ to $46.8 \pm 6.0 \%)$ expression (P < 0.001) (Fig. 4b, d, h).



Fig. 3 BiP and CHOP mRNA expression. The effect of WY14643, MK886, DMSO and H_2O_2 on BiP, and CHOP mRNA expression in HepG2 cells. In the graphs, each *column* represents the mean \pm SD of

BIP/β-actin and CHOP/β-actin. (n = 6 independent experiments). *P < 0.001 versus control; **P < 0.001 versus H₂O₂; ${}^{\#}P < 0.001$ versus WY14643 + H₂O₂



◆Fig. 4 BiP, CHOP, and PPARα protein level. The effect of WY14643, MK886, DMSO and H₂O₂ on BiP, CHOP and PPARα expression in HepG2 cells. In the graphs, each *column* represents the mean ± SD of BIP/GAPDH, CHOP/GAPDH and PPARα/GAPDH. (n = 6 independent experiments). **P* < 0.001 versus control; ***P* < 0.001 versus H₂O₂; **P* < 0.001 versus WY14643 + H₂O₂

Effect of PPAR α on the intracellular localization of CHOP

In the control group, CHOP protein expression was concentrated in the cytoplasm at a very low level. H_2O_2 induced CHOP translocation to the nucleus, but the pretreatment of WY14643 dramatically prevented CHOP translocation from the cytoplasm to the nucleus. MK886 reversed the effect of WY14643, and caused CHOP to transfer to the nucleus (Fig. 5).

Discussion

In the present study, we demonstrate that PPAR α activation, through its agonist WY14643, protected hepatocytes against H₂O₂-induced ER stress-mediated apoptosis, due to the evidence of down-regulated BiP and CHOP expression, reduced apoptosis, and increased cellular function of this in vitro model. These protective effects were mediated by PPAR α since they were abolished by MK886, a PPAR α antagonist, which was demonstrated through flow cytometry analysis with Annexin V and PI, RT-PCR analysis, Western blotting analysis, and immunofluorescence. Conversely, our data also indicated that PPAR α inhibition, through MK886, up-regulated BiP and CHOP mRNA and protein expression, induced apoptosis and caused liver cell damage (Fig. 6).

LIR injury is a major complication in liver surgery, particularly in liver transplantation and hepatic resection [25]. LIR effects the ability of the ER to synthesize, fold, and sort proteins and also leads to ER stress and activation of the UPR [5]. PERK, ATF6, and IRE1 α are three family members of the UPR and their downstream targets such as eIF-2a, ATF4, XBP1 are activated during partial hepatectomy under IR with the induction of CHOP and BiP upregulation and caspase-12 activation in both under steatotic and nonsteatotic conditions [26]. As reported previously [2, 4, 27], a rapid increase in CHOP is a common response of liver cells to LIR injury which may be a key pathway leading to liver cell apoptosis. There is a functional link between oxidative stress and ER stress. Increasing evidence has suggested that oxygen species (ROS) are a key mediator of LIR injury [28, 29], and accumulation of ROS in the ER increases CHOP expression and leads to ER stress and cell death [30]. Furthermore, exposure to the oxidant, tert-butyl hydroperoxide, increases oxidative stress, increases the accumulation of ROS in the ER and up-regulates expression of BiP and CHOP in human retinal pigment epithelium cells [31]. In line with a previous study [32], using a established H_2O_2 induced ER stress mediated apoptosis model, as reported here, our data show that oxidative stress induced by H₂O₂ contributes to ER stress through the up-regulation of BiP and CHOP, accompanied with a remarkable increase of MDA, ALT, and AST



Fig. 5 Localization of CHOP. The effect of WY14643, MK886 and H_2O_2 on localization of CHOP in HepG2 cells by immunofluorescence. *Red fluorescence* marks CHOP, *blue fluorescence* marks

nuclei. The *white arrow* indicates that CHOP expressed in the cytoplasm, the *green arrow* refers to the localization of CHOP in the nuclei. (Color figure online)

Fig. 6 The protective mechanisms of PPAR α activation on oxidative stress and ER stress-induced cell injury. Model showing the effect of WY14643 inhibiting the oxidative stress and ER stress-induced cell injury, in response to H₂O₂ through different mechanisms, which leads to the down-regulation of BiP and CHOP. As a consequence, CHOP translocation levels decrease, which allows cell survival



release in response to H_2O_2 challenge associated with CHOP localization in the nucleus (Fig. 5).

Activation of PPARa provides protection against organ injury resulting from ischemia reperfusion. For example, a PPAR α agonist WY14643 significantly reduced the severity of liver pathology [33], and also provided a protective effect on dietary steatohepatitis, which results from the action of ROS on accumulated lipids and excessive formation of lipoperoxides in the livers of mice [34]. In the brain, WY14643 prevents ROS production in hippocampal neurons and protects from β -amyloid induced neurodegeneration [21]. Our previous study [20] also showed that WY14643 administration before the onset of liver ischemia significantly protects liver against LIR injury in rats. Its effect is probably associated with the enhancement of anti-oxidative capacities, inhibition of inflammation and enhanced expression of antioxidant enzymes such as SOD, decreased MDA levels in the liver, and decreased levels of TNF- α , IL-1 β and MPO in the plasma. Pretreatment of WY14643 exerts a protective effect against hypoxia/reoxygenation injury in primary hepatocyte cultures by activating PPARa, attenuating oxidative stress and these effects occur in a dose-dependent manner [35]. However, the mechanism by which PPAR α is activated with WY14643 and provides a protective effect is still unknown. The current data reported here show that WY14643 activates PPARa, and completely down-regulated the expression of BiP and CHOP at both the transcriptional and translational level, while both BiP and CHOP mediate the development of ER stress to trigger cell apoptosis. Furthermore, we found that WY14643 blocked H₂O₂induced translocation of CHOP from cytoplasm to nucleus.

Administration of WY14643 activated PPAR α and increased PPAR α expression, while MK886, a selective antagonist of PPAR α , inhibited the activation of PPAR α . Ultimately, MK886 triggers cells to become apoptotic, however, WY14643 activates PPAR α mediating the antiapoptotic effect to protect cells [36].

In summary, our data indicate that WY14643 protected HepG2 cells against H_2O_2 -induced apoptosis and MK886 reduced cell viability and increased apoptosis. Furthermore, MK886 abolished the anti-apoptotic effect of WY14643 on H_2O_2 -induced apoptosis via suppressing activation of PPAR α . Interestingly, we also found that H_2O_2 stimulated the up-regulation of endogenous PPAR α in HepG2 cells. The mechanisms for this observation are unknown but warrant further study.

Our study is not without limitations: first, a liver cancer cell line was used. Although they behave and respond to drug treatments, this may be different to normal primary cells. Secondly, a relatively high concentrations of H_2O_2 used in our experiments and are likely irrelevant clinically. Third, MK886, as a noncompetitive PPAR α antagonist, may not completely block WY14643 on the activation of PPAR α . Further studies, therefore, are required to determine whether PPAR α activation would suppress excessive ER stress and its associated changes as shown in our study in a clinically relevant model of LIR injury.

Acknowledgments This work was financially supported by National Nature Science Foundation (81171031). The authors are grateful to the Key Laboratory of Gene Resource Utilization for Genetic Diseases of Educational Ministry, Anhui Medical University, Hefei, China, for providing instructions of technology and material

support. The authors also thank to Dr. Daqing Ma, M.D., Ph.D., Imperial College London for his critical comment during manuscript preparation.

References

- Yang L-Q, Tao K-M, Liu Y-T, Cheung C-W, Irwin MG, Wong GTC, Lv H, Song J-G, Wu F-X, Yu W-F (2011) Remifentanil preconditioning reduces hepatic ischemia–reperfusion injury in rats via inducible nitric oxide synthase expression. Anesthesiology 114:1036–1047
- Bailly-Maitre B, Fondevila C, Kaldas F, Droin N, Luciano F, Ricci J-E, Croxton R, Krajewska M, Zapata JM, Kupiec-Weglinski JW, Farmer D, Reed JC (2006) Cytoprotective gene bi-1 is required for intrinsic protection from endoplasmic reticulum stress and ischemia–reperfusion injury. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 103:2809–2814
- Mosbah IB, Alfany-Fernández I, Martel C, Zaouali MA, Bintanel-Morcillo M, Rimola A, Rodés J, Brenner C, Roselló-Catafau J, Peralta C (2010) Endoplasmic reticulum stress inhibition protects steatotic and non-steatotic livers in partial hepatectomy under ischemia–reperfusion. Cell Death Dis 1:e52
- Mosbah IB, Zaouali MA, Martel C, Bjaoui M, Abdennebi HB, Hotter G, Brenner C, Rosello-Catafau J (2012) IGL-1 solution reduces endoplasmic reticulum stress and apoptosis in rat liver transplantation. Cell Death Dis 3:e279. doi:10.1038/cddis.2012. 12
- Orrenius S, Zhivotovsky B, Nicotera P (2003) Regulation of cell death: the calcium-apoptosis link. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol 4:552–565. doi:10.1038/nrm1150
- Cheng F, Zhong X, Lu Y, Wang X, Song W, Guo S, Liu D, Wang Q (2012) Refined QingKaiLing protects MCAO mice from endoplasmic reticulum stress-induced apoptosis with a broad time window. Evid Based Complement Altern Med 2012:567872. doi:10.1155/2012/567872
- Fu S, Yang L, Li P, Hofmann O, Dicker L, Hide W, Lin X, Watkins SM, Ivanov AR, Hotamisligil GS (2011) Aberrant lipid metabolism disrupts calcium homeostasis causing liver endoplasmic reticulum stress in obesity. Nature 473:528–531
- Ozcan U, Yilmaz E, Ozcan L, Furuhashi M, Vaillancourt E, Smith RO, Gorgun CZ, Hotamisligil GS (2006) Chemical chaperones reduce ER stress and restore glucose homeostasis in a mouse model of type 2 diabetes. Science 313:1137–1140. doi:10. 1126/science.1128294
- Malhi H, Kaufman RJ (2011) Endoplasmic reticulum stress in liver disease. J Hepatol 54:795–809
- Fuest M, Willim K, MacNelly S, Fellner N, Resch GP, Blum HE, Hasselblatt P (2012) The transcription factor c-Jun protects against sustained hepatic endoplasmic reticulum stress thereby promoting hepatocyte survival. Hepatology 55:408–418
- Harding HP, Zhang Y, Ron D (1999) Protein translation and folding are coupled by an endoplasmic-reticulum-resident kinase. Nature 397:271–274. doi:10.1038/16729
- Teske BF, Wek SA, Bunpo P, Cundiff JK, McClintick JN, Anthony TG, Wek RC (2011) The eIF2 kinase PERK and the integrated stress response facilitate activation of ATF6 during endoplasmic reticulum stress. Mol Biol Cell 22:4390–4405. doi:10.1091/mbc.E11-06-0510
- Harding HP, Novoa I, Zhang Y, Zeng H, Wek R, Schapira M, Ron D (2000) Regulated translation initiation controls stressinduced gene expression in mammalian cells. Mol Cell 6:1099–1108
- Luo S, Baumeister P, Yang S, Abcouwer SF, Lee AS (2003) Induction of Grp78/BiP by translational block: activation of the

Grp78 promoter by ATF4 through and upstream ATF/CRE site independent of the endoplasmic reticulum stress elements. J Biol Chem 278:37375–37385. doi:10.1074/jbc.M303619200

- Richardson CE, Kooistra T, Kim DH (2010) An essential role for XBP-1 in host protection against immune activation in *C. elegans*. Nature 463:1092–1095. doi:10.1038/nature08762
- Ye J, Rawson RB, Komuro R, Chen X, Dave UP, Prywes R, Brown MS, Goldstein JL (2000) ER stress induces cleavage of membrane-bound ATF6 by the same proteases that process SREBPs. Mol Cell 6:1355–1364
- Oyadomari S, Mori M (2004) Roles of CHOP/GADD153 in endoplasmic reticulum stress. Cell Death Differ 11:381–389. doi:10.1038/sj.cdd.4401373
- Xu C, Bailly-Maitre B, Reed JC (2005) Endoplasmic reticulum stress: cell life and death decisions. J Clin Invest 115:2656–2664. doi:10.1172/jci26373
- Li J, Zúñiga J, Cancino M, Medina F, Varela P, Vargas R, Tapia G, Videla LA, Fernández V (2011) N-3 PUFA supplementation triggers PPAR-α activation and PPAR-α/NF-κB Interaction: antiinflammatory implications in liver ischemia–reperfusion injury. PLoS ONE 6:e28502
- Xu S-Q (2008) Effects of Wy14643 on hepatic ischemia reperfusion injury in rats. World J Gastroenterol 14:6936–6942. doi:10.3748/wjg.14.6936
- Santos MJ, Quintanilla RA, Toro A, Grandy R, Dinamarca MC, Godoy JA, Inestrosa NC (2005) Peroxisomal proliferation protects from beta-amyloid neurodegeneration. J Biol Chem 280:41057–41068. doi:10.1074/jbc.M505160200
- 22. Yeh CH, Chen TP, Lee CH, Wu YC, Lin YM, Lin PJ (2006) Cardiomyocytic apoptosis following global cardiac ischemia and reperfusion can be attenuated by peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor alpha but not gamma activators. Shock 26:262–270. doi:10.1097/01.shk.0000225863.56714.96
- 23. Ashibe B, Motojima K (2009) Fatty aldehyde dehydrogenase is upregulated by polyunsaturated fatty acid via peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor α and suppresses polyunsaturated fatty acidinduced endoplasmic reticulum stress. FEBS J 276:6956–6970
- Vanags DM, Porn-Ares MI, Coppola S, Burgess DH, Orrenius S (1996) Protease involvement in fodrin cleavage and phosphatidylserine exposure in apoptosis. J Biol Chem 271:31075–31085
- de Rougemont O, Lehmann K, Clavien P-A (2009) Preconditioning, organ preservation, and postconditioning to prevent ischemia–reperfusion injury to the liver. Liver Transplant 15:1172–1182. doi:10.1002/lt.21876
- Peralta C, Brenner C (2011) Endoplasmic reticulum stress inhibition enhances liver tolerance to ischemia/reperfusion. Curr Med Chem 18:2016–2024
- Vilatoba M, Eckstein C, Bilbao G, Smyth CA, Jenkins S, Thompson JA, Eckhoff DE, Contreras JL (2005) Sodium 4-phenylbutyrate protects against liver ischemia reperfusion injury by inhibition of endoplasmic reticulum-stress mediated apoptosis. Surgery 138:342–351. doi:10.1016/j.surg.2005.04.019
- Zhou G, Dada LA, Wu M, Kelly A, Trejo H, Zhou Q, Varga J, Sznajder JI (2009) Hypoxia-induced alveolar epithelial-mesenchymal transition requires mitochondrial ROS and hypoxia-inducible factor 1. Am J Physiol Lung Cell Mol Physiol 297:L1120–L1130. doi:10.1152/ajplung.00007.2009
- Song X, Zhang N, XU H, Cao L, Zhang H (2012) Combined preconditioning and postconditioning provides synergistic protection against liver ischemic reperfusion injury. Int J Biol Sci 8:707–718. doi:10.7150/ijbs.4231
- Moon D-O, Park S-Y, Choi YH, Ahn JS, Kim G-Y (2011) Guggulsterone sensitizes hepatoma cells to TRAIL-induced apoptosis through the induction of CHOP-dependent DR5: involvement of ROS-dependent ER-stress. Biochem Pharmacol 82:1641–1650. doi:10.1016/j.bcp.2011.08.019

- He S, Yaung J, Kim YH, Barron E, Ryan SJ, Hinton DR (2008) Endoplasmic reticulum stress induced by oxidative stress in retinal pigment epithelial cells. Graefes Arch Clin Exp Ophthalmol 246:677–683. doi:10.1007/s00417-008-0770-2
- Pan C, Giraldo GS, Prentice H, Wu J-Y (2010) Taurine protection of PC12 cells against endoplasmic reticulum stress induced by oxidative stress. J Biomed Sci 17:S17. doi:10.1186/1423-0127-17-s1-s17
- Schrader M, Fahimi HD (2006) Peroxisomes and oxidative stress. Biochim Biophys Acta 1763:1755–1766. doi:10.1016/j.bbamcr. 2006.09.006
- 34. Ip E, Farrell GC, Robertson G, Hall P, Kirsch R, Leclercq I (2003) Central role of PPARalpha-dependent hepatic lipid

turnover in dietary steatohepatitis in mice. Hepatology 38:123–132. doi:10.1053/jhep.2003.50307

- Chen K, Li YH, Xu SQ, Hu SH, Zhang L (2012) Protective effects of peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor-alpha agonist, Wy14643, on hypoxia/reoxygenation injury in primary rat hepatocytes. PPAR Res 2012:547980. doi:10.1155/2012/547980
- 36. Schuster M, Zouboulis CC, Ochsendorf F, Müller J, Thaçi D, Bernd A, Kaufmann R, Kippenberger S (2011) Peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor activators protect sebocytes from apoptosis: a new treatment modality for acne? Br J Dermatol 164:182–186