Altered PPAR γ expression inhibits myogenic differentiation in C2C12 skeletal muscle cells

Jaskirat Singh*, Navin Kumar Verma*, Sejal M. Kansagra, Bhusan N. Kate and Chinmoy Sankar Dey

Signal Transduction Research Laboratory, Department of Biotechnology, National Institute of Pharmaceutical Education and Research (NIPER), SAS Nagar, Punjab, India

Received 10 March 2006; accepted 1 June 2006

Abstract

Peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor γ (PPAR γ) is a member of the nuclear receptor superfamily known to regulate adipocyte differentiation. However, its role in skeletal muscle differentiation is not known. To investigate possible involvement of PPAR γ in skeletal muscle differentiation, we modulated its expression in C2C12 mouse skeletal muscle cells by stable transfection with sense or antisense plasmid constructs of PPAR γ cDNA. Phenotypic observations and biochemical analysis of different myogenic markers showed that altered expression of PPAR γ inhibited the formation of myotubes, as well as expression of muscle-specific myogenic proteins including myogenin, MyoD and creatine kinase activity. Together, we show that critical expression of PPAR γ is required for skeletal muscle cells differentiation.

 $Key words: myogenesis, PPAR_Y, skeletal muscle cells$

Introduction

The development of skeletal muscle is a highly regulated process in which pluripotent mesodermal cells give rise to myoblasts that subsequently withdraw from the cell cycle and differentiate to form myotubes through temporally distinct sequence of events. Muscle cells undergo a distinct and well-characterized series of biochemical and morphological changes during the process of differentiation i.e. myogenesis. The process leads to the induction of several differentiation-linked genes specifically expressed in muscle cells. At molecular level, myogenesis is controlled by a family of myogenic regulatory factors, which includes myogenin, muscle creatine kinase and MyoD that are expressed with a well-defined time course [1–3]. Myoblasts align and

fuse to form multinucleated myotubes. The endocrine factors and signal transduction pathways that coordinate skeletal muscle cell differentiation and expression of musclespecific proteins [4, 5] have been extensively studied [3, 6–8]. However, the mechanisms and molecules that are temporally required for regulating the process of skeletal muscle differentiation are not fully understood [7].

Peroxisome proliferator-activated receptors (PPARs) are members of nuclear receptor superfamily [9] and regulate a large number of genes that are essential for lipid and metabolic homeostasis and energy balance [10]. PPAR γ is expressed predominantly in mature adipocytes and its role as a key transcriptional factor controlling adipocyte differentiation has been demonstrated [11, 12]. Although very little amount of PPAR γ is detectable in skeletal muscle cells

*These authors contributed equally to this work.

Address for offprints: Chinmoy Sankar Dey, Signal Transduction Research Laboratory, Department of Biotechnology, National Institute of Pharmaceutical Education and Research (NIPER), Sector 67, SAS Nagar, Punjab 160062, India (E-mail: csdey@niper.ac.in)

 $(5-10\%$ of the expression observed in fat cells) [13–17], its physiological role in muscle is suggested by the fact that insulin sensitizers such as thiazolidinediones confer action on muscle as $PPARv$ ligand, the main site for insulinstimulated glucose uptake [18–20]. Role of PPAR γ in skeletal muscle differentiation is not clear. Earlier studies with genetic manipulation of $PPAR\gamma$ failed because complete elimination of functional PPAR γ gene by knockout resulted in embryonic lethality $[20-22]$ making PPAR γ an essential gene for their survival. Role of PPAR γ in the urothelial differentiation programme has been proposed [23]. Recently, an interaction between MyoD and PPAR γ has been reported indicating a connection between $PPAR\gamma$ and MyoD [24]. However, whether PPAR γ could mediate myogenic differentiation of skeletal muscle cells remains to be established.

To elucidate a functional link between $PPAR\gamma$ expression and the induction of myogenic differentiation, we tested whether modulation of $PPAR\gamma$ expression can affect skeletal muscle cells differentiation. Results suggest that critical expression of PPAR γ is required in the normal myogenic differentiation of skeletal muscle cells. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first report, which establishes the relationship between skeletal muscle cell differentiation as a function of PPAR γ expression.

Materials and methods

Materials

C2C12 Mouse skeletal muscle cell line was kindly provided by Dr. H. Blau, Stanford University, School of Medicine, Stanford, USA and Dr. J. Dhawan, CCMB, India. Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium (DMEM), horse serum and trypsin-EDTA were purchased from Gibco BRL, USA. Foetal calf serum (FCS) was from Biological Industries, Israel. Rabbit polyclonal PPAR_Y antibody, anti-myogenin antibody and anti-MyoD antibody were purchased from Santa Cruz Biotechnology, USA. Nitrocellulose membranes, TEMED, acrylamide, bisacrylamide and glycine were purchased from Bio-Rad, USA. All other reagents, unless attributed specifically, were purchased from Sigma, USA. All the plasticwares were purchased from Tarsons, India.

Plasmid constructs

For sense and antisense constructs of PPAR- γ , a copy of \sim 1.5 kb mouse PPAR- γ gene was isolated from pCMXmPPARg cDNA clone (kind gift from Ronald M. Evans, The Salk Institute for Biological Studies, San Diego, CA,

USA) and inserted into the cloning site of the plasmid pCDNA3.1neo^r (Invitrogen) in sense and antisense orientation with respect to CMV promoter (sense, pCDNA3.1 mPPAR γ + and antisense, pCDNA3.1-mPPAR γ –). Plasmids were expanded in *Escherichia coli* (strain $DH5\alpha$) and isolated with Wizard Plus Midiprep DNA isolation kit (Promega, Madison, WI, USA).

Transfection

C2C12 skeletal muscle cells in the exponential growth phase were transfected with PPAR- γ sense or antisense plasmid construct using TransFast transfection reagent (Promega, Madison, WI, USA), as described previously [6]. Briefly, transfection reagent was incubated with plasmid DNA constructs in serum-free DMEM at room temperature for 15 min. This transfection mixture was applied to the proliferating cells and incubated for 1 h at 37 $\,^{\circ}$ C. Following incubation, DMEM with 15% FCS was overlaid on the plate and further incubated at 37 °C. Selection drug (G-418) was applied to a final concentration of 400 μ g ml⁻¹ after 24 h incubation and were maintained in a medium containing G-418 until proliferating cells in the control plate had died (approximately after 8–10 subculture). At this stage all the proliferating cells from the plates containing transfected cells were trypsinized, washed, and a part of the cells was continued with the subculturing without G418 and another part was subjected to differentiation, according to the procedure described below. After differentiation, the cells were lysed and tested for the degree of expression of $PPAR\gamma$ protein. When expected results were obtained (over expression in case of PPAR γ /+ transfected cells and under expression in PPAR γ transfected cells) in the differentiated cells, the proliferated cells, which were being parallaly subcultured, were grown in bigger culture, frozen as stocks of stably transfected cell lines. As and when required cells were thawed from the stocks, subcultured 2–3 times, tested for degree expression of PPAR γ in respective stable cell line and subjected to experimentation.

Cell culture and induction of differentiation

The C2C12 skeletal muscle cell lines (wild type and transfectants) were cultured as described previously [25]. Briefly, they were maintained in DMEM supplemented with 15% FCS and antibiotics (penicillin 100 IU ml^{-1} , streptomycin 100 μ g ml⁻¹) in 5% CO₂ at 37 °C. When the cells achieved 70% confluency, they were differentiated in 2% horse serum for 3 days. Under these conditions, cells were found to be healthy, viable and not undergoing necrosis or apoptosis, as

Fig. 1. State of differentiation due to modulation of PPAR_Y expression in C2C12 myoblasts. C2C12wt, C2PPAR_Y/+ and C2PPAR_Y/- cells were proliferated for 48 h after subculture. When cells reached to 70% confluency, they were differentiated for 3 days in differentiation medium containing 2% horse serum and photographed.

observed under phase contrast microscope (Fig. 1) and trypan blue staining (data not shown). Moreover, all three kinds of cells were found to be capable of significant amount of glucose uptake [26].

Cell counting for determination of fusion index

Fusion index of the myotubes were calculated as described previously [27] with minor modifications. Briefly, cells were grown over cover slips, fixed with paraformaldehyde (3%, w/v) and stained with 10 μ g ml⁻¹ propidium iodide (PI). The total number of nuclei and the number of nuclei incorporated in myotubes were scored in 10 microscopic fields/dish chosen at random. The fusion index was calculated as the percentage of nuclei incorporated in the myocytes relative to the total number of nuclei.

Preparation of cell lysates and Western-immunoblotting

Cells were lysed and protein expression levels were analyzed by Western-immunoblot analysis, as described previously [25]. Equal amount of protein samples were resolved by sodium dodecyl sulphate poly-acrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE) and transferred to nitrocellulose membranes. The membranes were blocked with 5% bovine serum albumin (BSA) and incubated with the indicated primary antibodies for 12–16 h, followed by 1 h incubation with alkaline phosphatase conjugated secondary antibody. The protein bands were visualized with BCIP/NBT as substrate.

Creatine kinase (CK) assay

The CK assay was performed, as described previously [6]. Briefly, cells growing on 35 mm Petri plates were washed twice with ice-cold PBS. To each Petri plate, $40 \mu l$ of icecold 0.05 M glycylglycin (pH 6.75) was added. Petri plates were kept at -20 °C for 24 h. The cells were scrapped after thawing on ice, centrifuged at $16,000 \times g$ for 10 min at 4 °C and subjected to CK assay. The assay contained 10 mM glucose, 2.5 mM magnesium acetate, 0.5 mM ADP, 5 mM AMP, 0.4 mM NADP, 10 mM creatine phosphate, 1 U/ml hexokinase, 0.5 U/ml glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase and freshly added 1.54 mg/ml dithiothreitol in 0.1 M glycylglycin (pH 6.75). The reaction was initiated by adding 1 μ g of protein in a total assay volume of 50 μ l. The change in absorbance was measured at 340 nm up to 5 min using Lambda Bio 10 UV/vis spectrometer (Perkin Elmner, USA).

Immunofluorescence microscopy

Immunofluorescence microscopy studies were carried out, as described previously [26] and visualized under florescence microscope (Nikon E600, Japan).

Densitometric analysis

Densitometric analyses of the Western blots were done using Gel Doc 2000 equipped with Quantity One 1-D analysis software (Bio-Rad, USA) as described previously [26]. The relative values of the samples were determined by giving an arbitrary value of 1.0 to the respective control samples of each experiment, keeping the background value as 0.

Statistical analysis

The data are expressed as mean \pm standard error of mean (s.e.m.). For comparison of two groups, p-values were calculated by two-tailed unpaired Student's t-test. In all cases, $p \leq 0.05$ was considered to be statistically significant.

Results

C2C12 is well-characterized cell culture model used to study skeletal muscle differentiation. Under conditions permissive for differentiation, such as low serum concentration, C2C12 myoblasts undergo differentiation to form myotubes. We have previously established cell lines derived from C2C12 myoblasts after stable transfection with sense (C2PPAR γ /+) or antisense (C2PPAR γ /-) plasmid constructs of mouse PPAR γ in order to study its role in insulin resistance [26]. By Western-immunoblot analysis, we have shown that stable transfection of C2C12 skeletal muscle cells with sense or antisense plasmid constructs of PPAR γ significantly modulated the level of PPAR γ expression with 28.57% increase in C2PPAR γ eells or 23.07% decrease in C2PPAR γ cells as compared to C2C12wt [26]. Using these cell lines, present studies were designed to analyze the potential effect(s) of PPAR γ expression on skeletal muscle cell differentiation.

To investigate the effect(s) of PPAR γ expression in skeletal muscle differentiation, wild type and transgenic C2C12 myoblasts (C2C12wt, C2PPAR γ /+ and C2PPAR γ /-) were incubated under conditions permissive for differentiation and cultures were examined daily. When cultured in DMEM growth medium containing 15% FCS, all the three cell lines mentioned above proliferated normally (Fig. 1). When these cells were incubated for 3 days in differentiation medium containing 2% horse serum, extensive myotube formation was observed in C2C12wt cells adopting a spindle shaped morphology and membrane fusion to form multinucleated myotubes, as expected under these conditions (Fig. 1). Similar results were obtained in cells that contained vectors without the inserted gene (data not shown). In contrast, C2C12 cells either overexpressing (C2PPAR γ ⁺) or inhibited PPAR γ (C2PPAR γ [']-) expression exhibited a marked reduction in their ability to form myotubes (Fig. 1).

To visualize the nuclei, cells were stained with propidium iodide (Fig. 2A). In C2C12wt cells, majority of them were multinucleated (Fig. 2A) with average number of nucleus in each myotubes being 13.2 ± 1.28 (Fig. 2B, lane 1) and the fusion index was calculated to be 38.75 ± 1.6 (Fig. 2C, lane 1). However, in C2PPAR γ or C2PPAR γ cells, majority of them were mononucleated (Fig. 2A, B) and the fusion index was decreased to 3.8 ± 0.92 and 4.2 ± 1.4 respectively (Fig. 2C, lanes 2 and 3). Thus, data shows that altered expression of PPAR γ repressed the myogenesis process in C2C12 cells.

To further establish these observations, the phenomenon was investigated biochemically by the determination of myogenin and MyoD expression levels and creatine kinase activity, as they are known muscle differentiation markers [27–29]. During differentiation, these myogenic factors activates muscle-specific genes and coordinate withdrawal from the cell cycle [4]. It has been well established that expression of myogenin, an early marker for the entry of myoblasts into the differentiation pathway occurs only in post-mitotic myocytes and is expressed between 8 and 24 h of the in vitro initiation of differentiation [2, 7, 30, 31]. Therefore, our initial interest was to determine whether myogenin expression is affected due to alteration of expression of PPAR γ in C2C12 skeletal muscle cells. To this end, all the three cell lines (C2C12wt, C2PPAR γ /+ and C2PPAR γ /-) were incubated for 24 h under conditions for differentiation (DMEM containing 2% horse serum) and the level of myogenin expression was examined by Westernimmunoblotting (Fig. 3). Myogenin expression was not detected in proliferating myoblasts of all the three cell lines (data not shown), which was expected [2, 32, 33]. C2C12wt cells differentiated in 2% horse serum medium showed high level of myogenic expression (Fig. 3, lane 1). In contrast, the level of myogenin expression was very low in C2PPAR γ /+ (6.4% of C2C12wt) (Fig. 3, lane 2 compared to lane 1; $p < 0.01$) or in C2PPAR γ cells (4.4% of C2C12wt) (Fig. 3, lane 3 compared to lane 1; $p < 0.01$).

Fig. 2. Determination of nuclear fusion in differentiated cells. (A) C2C12wt, C2PPAR γ and C2PPAR γ cells were grown on coverslips and differentiated for 3 days. Cells were fixed, permeabilized and stained with PI (10 μ g/ml). At least 10 microscopic fields were photographed and a representative figure is shown. (B) Average number of nuclei per myotubes were counted and presented. lane 1: C2C12wt; lane 2: C2PPAR γ /+; lane 3: C2PPAR γ /-. (C) Fusion index were calculated as described in 'material and methods'. lane 1: C2C12wt; lane 2: C2PPAR γ /+; lane 3: C2PPAR γ /-. Data are mean ± standard error of mean (s.e.m.) of three independent experiments ($p < 0.01$).

Proliferating myoblast showed no detectable CK activity (data not shown); however, CK activity was detectable after day 1 of culture in differentiation medium. In C2C12wt cells, CK activity increased by about 3.5-fold from day 1 to day 3 when incubated in differentiation medium (Fig. 4, lanes 7 compared to lane 1, $p < 0.01$), which was consistent with our previously reported results [25]. However, when C2PPAR γ or C2PPAR γ cells were incubated in differentiation medium, the CK activity was constantly lower than that detected in C2C12wt cells for the entire 3 days period of differentiation (Fig. 4). C2PPAR γ /+ cells showed 3.5fold and 4.5-fold less activity than C2C12wt after day 2 and day 3, respectively (Fig. 4, lane 5 compared to lane 4 and lane 8 compared to lane 7; $p < 0.01$), whereas C2PPAR γ /cells showed 3.3-fold and 4.1-fold less activity than C2C12wt after day 2 and day 3, respectively (Fig. 4, lane 6 compared to lane 4 and lane 9 compared to lane 7; $p < 0.01$).

To determine the degree of MyoD expression, all the three cell lines were incubated in the differentiation medium for 3 days. Cells were lysed and Western immunoblotted using anti-MyoD antibody (Fig. 5A). Marked reduction of MyoD expression was observed in C2PPAR γ /+ or C2PPAR γ – cells as compared to C2C12wt cells (Fig. 5A, lanes 2 and 3 compared to lane 1; $p < 0.01$). The expression of MyoD was also monitored by immunofluorescence microscopy. Cells growing on coverslips were incubated in differentiation medium for 3 days and subjected to immunofluorescence microscopy analysis probing anti-MyoD antibody and visualized by FITC labeled secondary antibody. Immunofluorescent image showed that the expression of MyoD was greatly inhibited in cells with altered PPAR γ expression (C2PPAR γ /+ or C2PPAR γ /-) (Fig. 5B). Thus, data show that alteration of PPAR γ expression in C2C12 cells repressed the myogenesis process.

These observations suggest that the critical level of expression of PPAR γ is required for normal differentiation of skeletal muscle cells. Any alteration in the PPAR γ expression in skeletal muscle may cause faulty differentiation.

Fig. 3. Determination of myogenin expression during myogenic differentiation of C2C12 skeletal muscle cells as a function of PPAR γ expression. Cell lysates (40 μ g) were resolved in 10% SDS-PAGE, transferred to nitrocellulose paper, immunoblotted with anti-myogenin antibody or btubulin (as an indicator of loading control) Experiments were performed three times and one representative blot has been shown. lane 1: C2C12wt; lane 2: C2PPAR γ /+; lane 3: C2PPAR γ /-. Data are mean \pm standard error of mean (s.e.m.) (* $p < 0.01$).

Discussion

Physiological characterization of PPAR γ deficiency in mice has been limited to the study of heterozygous PPAR γ +/mice or tissue-specific deletions; because PPAR γ -/- mice die during intra-uterine development owing to defects in the placenta [34, 35]. Muscle-specific PPAR γ deficient mice have been shown to develop insulin resistance [16, 17]. PPAR γ is required in mature white and brown adipocytes for their survival in the mouse [36]. It has been shown in vitro that PPAR γ is required for differentiation of adipose cells from embryonic stem cells and from embryonic fibroblasts [37]. These studies have led to re-interpret the role of PPAR γ in the control of cellular physiology and prompted us to investigate whether $PPAR\gamma$ is required for skeletal muscle cell development and differentiation.

Recently, we have shown that inhibition of muscle PPAR γ expression caused insulin resistance in vitro [26]. Overexpression of PPAR γ sensitized the cells to insulin causing more glucose uptake even under insulin resistant

Fig. 4. Creatine kinase activity during myogenic differentiation of C2C12 skeletal muscle cells as a function of PPAR_Y expression. C2C12wt, C2PPAR γ /+, C2PPAR γ /- cells were allowed to differentiate for 3 days. Creatine kinase activities were recorded daily for 3 days of differentiation. Data are mean ± standard error of mean (s.e.m.) of three independent experiments ($\frac{p}{p}$ < 0.01 compared with lane 1; *p < 0.01 compared with respective controls within the group).

conditions [26]. However, the cells with alerted PPAR γ expression could not differentiate properly. In cultured cell systems, it has been possible to observe the activation of inappropriate programme of gene expression. In this study, alteration in the expression of $PPAR\gamma$ completely blocked muscle differentiation and prevented transcriptional activation of muscle-specific genes by the myogenic activators myogenin, MyoD and creatine kinase, which are directly linked to cell cycle regulating proteins and are regulators of skeletal muscle differentiation. The ability to form myotubes was completely lost if the expression of $PPAR\gamma$ was altered. This suggests PPAR γ 's role as myogenic transcriptional regulators. To date there are no report of the role of $PPAR\gamma$ in skeletal muscle differentiation. It appears from present studies that critical expression of $PPAR\gamma$ is necessary to maintain differentiation in skeletal muscle cells. Long-term expression or activation of $PPAR\gamma$ may result in modulation of different subsets of differentiation related genes. The mechanism whereby PPARs may participate in different cellular processes is still not clear but may involve different substrates, which needs to be addressed. This suggests $PPAR\gamma$'s role as myogenic transcriptional regulators.

The three PPAR subtypes identified PPAR α , PPAR δ (also called β), and PPAR γ (γ 1, γ 2, and γ 3 isoforms) exhibit unique as well as overlapping tissue-specific expression and ligand activation profiles, and regulate physiological processes [38–40]. They are encoded by separate genes and

Fig. 5. Determination of MyoD expression during myogenic differentiation of C2C12 skeletal muscle cells as a function of PPAR γ expression. (A) Cell lysates (40 μ g) were resolved in 10% SDS-PAGE, transferred to nitrocellulose paper, immunoblotted with anti-MyoD antibody or β -tubulin (as an indicator of loading control). lane 1: C2C12wt; lane 2: C2PPAR γ /+; lane 3: C2PPAR γ /-. Data are mean ± standard error of mean (s.e.m.) (*p < 0.01). (B) Immunofluorescence microscopy photograph of C2C12wt, C2PPAR γ /+ and C2PPAR γ cells after 3 days of differentiation. Experiments were performed three times and representative blots or photographs have been shown.

exhibit distinct tissue distribution in animals [41–42]. However, the normal function of PPARs remains unclear. $PPAR\gamma$ is expressed predominantly in mature adipocytes and its role as a key transcriptional factor controlling adipocyte differentiation has been demonstrated [43–46]. Peroxisome proliferation (PP) family of nuclear receptors are PPAR α , PPAR γ , and PPAR δ . PP is mediated by PPAR α , that regulate the expression of genes associated with lipid metabolism and adipocyte differentiation. PPAR γ is involved in adipogenesis and differentiation, but the events do not directly involve peroxisomes and peroxisome proliferation. PPARs heterodimerize with 9-cis-retinoic acid receptor (RXR), and bind to PP response element(s) (PPREs) on the target gene promoter to initiate transcriptional activity. There are tissue- and species-specific responses that depend on relative abundance of PPAR, PPRE, the degree of competition and/or cross-talk among nuclear transcription factors, pharmacokinetics and modulations of coactivators and corepressors on ligand-dependent transcription of PPARs [47]. Zhu and Reddy [47] have identified PPAR coactivators like steroid receptor coactivator-1 (SRC-1) and PPAR-binding protein (PBP). PPAR γ coactivator-1 α (PGC-1 α), a coactivator at the transcriptional level is specifically expressed in skeletal muscle. The expression of PGC-1 α in muscle is regulated by two transcription factors, MEF2 and FKHR, implicated in terminal differentiation of muscle [48, 49]. In both C2C12 and Sol8 myoblasts, Chang et al. [49] observed that myogenic bHLH proteins, MyoD, activated PGC-1a expression in vivo, which in turn was activated during terminal muscle differentiation. These results directly implicated the activation of the key fibre-type and metabolic switch gene PGC-1 α . PGC-1 α and PGC-1 β regulate PPAR α [50]. Identification of additional coactivators that may be responsible for cellspecific transcriptional activation of PPAR-mediated target genes will be necessary to gain more insight into the responses regulated by PPAR. We have provided evidences for a cellular control component that regulate muscle-specific proteins. PPAR γ conceivably participates through a variety of known and/or yet unknown coactivators, as discussed above. It may affect the myogenic factors in the regulatory networks that convey inhibitory signals in myoblasts to the important myogenic control factors present in the nucleus. In this connection, it is important to assemble a complete picture of signaling pathways triggered by proliferative and differentiation factors, and the mechanisms that coordinate these processes leading to muscle differentiation. This understanding, together with greater physiological knowledge of the impact of certain genetic manipulations in vivo, is essential to design future approaches for the treatment of skeletal muscle diseases and for the prevention of or recovery from muscle loss in situations such as cachexia, muscle wasting or sarcopenia. From a pathophysiological point of view, it may be essential to ensure that PPAR γ expression is not altered as it may alter sequence of events in the repair of injured muscles during subsequent differentiation of myotubes. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first report, which establishes the relationship between skeletal muscle cell differentiation as a function of PPAR γ expression.

Acknowledgements

We thank Dr. C.L. Kaul, Ex-Director, NIPER, for his support in this work. Authors greatly acknowledge Dr. R.M. Evans, The Salk Institute for Biological Studies, San Diego, CA, USA for the generous gift of pCMX-mPPARg plasmid. We are thankful to Dr. N. Kumar and Dr. A. Khurana for his constant support. R. Singh for his assistance in the laboratory. J.K.S., B.K. and K.S.M. are recipients of NIPER fellowship and N.K.V. was research scientist of NIPER.

References

- 1. Smith CK, Janney MJ, Allen RE: Temporal expression of myogenic regulatory genes during activation, proliferation and differentiation of rat skeletal muscle satellite cells. J Cell Physiol 159: 379–385, 1994
- 2. Ueda Y, Wang MC, Ou BR, Haung J, Elce J, Tanaka K, Ichihara A, Forsberg NE: Evidence for the participation of the proteasome and calpain in early phases of muscle cell differentiation. Int J Biochem Cell Biol 30: 679–694, 1998
- 3. Puri PL, Sartorelli V: Regulation of muscle regulatory factors by DNAbinding, interacting proteins, and post transcriptional modifications. J Cell Physiol 185: 155–173, 2000
- 4. Lassar AB, Skapek SX, Novitch B: Regulatory mechanisms that coordinate skeletal muscle differentiation and cell cycle withdrawal. Curr Opin Cell Biol 6: 788–794, 1994
- 5. Olson EN, Klein WH: bHLH factors in muscle development. Dead lines and commitments, what to leave in and what to leave out. Genes Dev 8: 1–8, 1994
- 6. Khurana A, Dey CS: Subtype specific roles of mitogen activated protein kinases in L6L9 skeletal muscle cell differentiation. Mol Cell Biochem 238: 27–39, 2002
- 7. Carbane C, Englaro W, Yeow K, Ragno M, Derijard B: Regulation of C2C12 myogenic terminal differentiation by MKK3/p38a pathway. Am J Physiol Cell Physiol 284: C658–C666, 2003
- 8. Figuera JD, Hayman MJ: Differential effects of the Ski-interacting protein (SKIP) on differentiation induced by transforming growth factors-b1 and bone morphogenetic protein 2 in C2C12 cells. Exp Cell Res 296: 163–172, 2004
- 9. Dreyer C, Krey G, Keller H, Givel F, Helftenbein G, Wahli W: Control of the perexisomal β -oxidation pathway by a novel family of nuclear hormone receptors. Cell 68: 879–887, 1992
- 10. Evans RM, Barish GD, Wang YX: PPARs and the complex journey of obesity. Nat Med 10: 1–7, 2004
- 11. He W, Barak Y, Havener A, Olson P, Liao D, Le J, Nelson M, Ong E, Olefsky JM, Evans RM: Adipose-specific peroxisome proliferatoractivated receptor knockout causes insulin resistance in fat and liver but not in muscle. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 100: 15,712–15,717, 2003
- 12. Rosen ED, Sarraf P, Troy AE, Bradwin G, Moore K, Milstone DS, Spiegelman BM, Mortensen RM: PPAR gamma is required for the differentiation of adipose tissue in vivo and in vitro. Mol Cell 4: 611– 617, 1999
- 13. Kruszynska YT, Mukherjee R, Jow L, Dana S, Paterniti JR, Olefsky JM: Skeletal muscle peroxisome proliferator activated receptor gamma expression in obesity and non-insulin dependent diabetes mellitus. J Clin Invest 101: 543–548, 1998
- 14. Zierath JR, Ryder JW, Doebber T, Woods J, Wu M, Ventre J, Li Z, McCrary C, Berger J, Zhang B, Moller DE: Role of skeletal muscle in thiazolidinediones insulin sensitizer (PPAR γ agonist) action. Endocrinol 139: 5034–5041, 1998
- 15. Loviscach M, Rahman N, Carter L, Muudaliar S, Mohadeen P, Ciaraldi TP, Veerkamp JH, Henry RR: Distribution of peroxisome proliferator activated receptors (PPARs) in human skeletal muscle and adipose tissues: relation to insulin action. Diabetologia 43: 304–311, 2000
- 16. Hevener A, He W, Barak Y, Le J, Bandyopadhyay G, Olson P, Wilkes J, Evans RM, Olefsky J: Muscle-specific Pparg deletion causes insulin resistance. Nat Med 9: 1491–1497, 2003
- 17. Noris AW, Chen L, Fisher SJ, Szanto I, Ristow M, Jozsi AC, Hirshman MF, Rosen ED, Goodyear LJ, Gonzalez FJ, Spiegelman BM, Kahn CR: Muscle-specific PPARγ-deficient mice develop increased adiposity and insulin resistance but respond to thiazolidinediones. J Clin Invest 112: 608–618, 2003
- 18. Lehmann JM, Moore LB, Smitholiver TA, Wilkison WO, Willson TM, Kliewer SA: An antidiabetic thiazolidinedione is a high affinity ligand for peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor gamma (PPAR gamma). J Biol Chem 270: 12,953–12,956, 1995
- 19. Desvergne B, Wahli W: Peroxisome proliferator-activated receptors: nuclear control of metabolism. Endocrine Rev 20: 649–688, 1999
- 20. Picard F, Auwerx J: PPAR_Y and glucose homeostasis. Annu Rev Nutr 22: 167–197, 2002
- 21. Kubota N, Terauchi Y, Miki H, Tamemoto H, Yamauchi T, Komeda K, Satoh S, Nakano R, Ishii C, Sugiyama T, Eto K, Tsubamoto Y, Okuno A, Murakami K, Sekihara H, Hasegawa G, Naito M, Toyoshima Y, Tanaka S, Shiota K, Kitamura T, Fujita T, Ezaki O, Aizawa S, Nagai R, Tobe K, Kimura S, Kadowaki T: PPAR γ mediates high-fat diet-induces adipocyte hypertrophy and insulin resistance. Mol Cell 4: 597–609, 1999
- 22. Miles PDG, Barak Y, Evans RM, Olefsky JM: Improved insulinsensitivity in mice heterozygous for PPAR- γ deficiency. J Clin Invest 105: 287–292, 2000
- 23. Varley CL, Stahlschmidt J, Lee WC, Holder J, Diggle C, Selby PJ, Trejdosiewicz LK, Southgate J: Role of PPAR γ and EGFR signaling in the urothelial terminal differentiation programme. J Cell Sci 117: 2029–2036, 2004
- 24. Solanes G, Pedraza N, Iglesias R, Giralt M, Villarroya F: Functional relationship between MyoD and peroxisome proliferator receptoractivated receptor dependent regulatory pathways in the control of the human uncoupling protein-3 gene transcription. Mol Endocrinol 17: 1944–1958, 2003
- 25. Kumar N, Dey CS: Metformin enhances insulin signaling in insulindependent and -independent pathways in insulin resistant muscle cells. Brit J Pharmacol 137: 329–336, 2002
- 26. Verma NK, Singh J, Dey CS: PPAR γ expression modulation insulin sensitivity in C2C12 skeletal muscle cells. Br J Pharmacol 143: 1006–1013, 2004
- 27. Brunetti A, Goldfine ID: Role of myogenin in myoblast differentiation and its regulation by fibroblast growth factor. J Biol Chem 265: 5960– 5963, 1990
- 28. Kitzmann M, Carnac G, Vandromme M, Primig M, Lamb NJCFernandez A: The muscle regulatory factors MyoD and Myf-5 undergo distinct cell cycle-specific expression in muscle cells. J Cell Biol 142: 1447–1459, 1998
- 29. Friday BB, Horsley V, Pavlath GK: Calcineurin activity is required for the initiation of skeletal muscle differentiation. J Cell Biol 149: 657–665, 2000
- 30. Andres V, Walsh K: Myogenin expression, cell cycle withdrawal, and phenotypic differentiation are temporally separable events that precede cell fusion upon myogenesis. J Cell Biol 132: 657–666, 1996
- 31. Sarbassov DD, Peterson CA: Insulin receptor substrate-1 and phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase regulate extracellular signal-regulated kinasedependent and -independent signaling pathways during myogenic differentiation. Mol Endocrinol 12: 1870–1878, 1998
- 32. Kumar N, Dey CS: Development of insulin resistance and reversal by thiazolidinediones in C2C12 skeletal muscle cells. Biochem Pharm 65: 249–257, 2003
- 33. Kumar N, Dey CS: Metformin enhances insulin signaling in insulindependent and -independent pathways in insulin resistant muscle cells. Br J Pharmacol 137: 329–336, 2003
- 34. Barak Y, Nelson MC, Ong ES, Jones YZ, Ruiz-Lozano P, Chein KR, Koder A, Evans RM: PPAR γ is required for placental, cardiac, and adipose tissue development. Mol Cell 4: 585–595, 1999
- 35. Koutnikova H, Cock TA, Watanabe M, Houseten SM, Champy MF, Dierich A, Auwerex J: Compensation by the muscle limits the metabolic consequences of lipidystrophy in $PPAR\gamma$ hypomorphic mice. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 100: 14,457–14,462, 2003
- 36. Imai T, Takakuwa R, Marchand S, Dentz E, Bornert JM, Messaddeq N, Wendling O, Mark M, Desvergne B, Wahli W, Chambon P, Metzger D: Peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor γ is required in mature white and brown adipocytes for their survival in the mouse. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 101: 4543–4547, 2004
- 37. Rosen ED, Spiegelman BM: PPARy: a nuclear regulator of metabolism, differentiation, and cell growth. J Cell Biol 276: 37,731–37,734, 2001
- 38. Mukherjee R, Hoener PA, Jow L, Bilakovics J, Klausing K, Mais DE, Faulkner A, Croston GE, Paterniti JR Jr: A selective peroxisome proliferator activated receptor $-\gamma$ (PPAR γ) modulator blocks adipocute differentiation but stimulates glucose uptake in 3T3-L1 adipocytes. Mol Endocrinol 14: 1425–1433, 2000
- 39. Mukherjee R, Jow L, Croston GE, Paterniti JR Jr: Identification, characterization, and tissue distribution of human peroxisome proliferator activated receptor (PPAR) isoforms (PPAR γ 2 verses PPAR γ 1 and activation with retinoid \times receptor agonists and antagonists. J Biol Chem 272: 1075–1078, 1997
- 40. Mukherjee R, Jow L, Noonan D, McDonnell DP: Human and rat peroxisome proliferator activated receptors (PPARs) demonstrate similar tissue distribution but different responsiveness to PPAR activators. J Steroid Biochem Mol Biol 51: 157–166, 1994
- 41. Schoonjans K, Peinado-Onsurbe J, Lefebvre AM, Heyman RA, Briggs MR, Deeb S, Staels B, Auwerx J: PPAR α and PPAR γ activators direct a distinct tissue-specific transcriptional response via a PPRE in the lipoprotein lipase gene. EMBO J 15: 5336–5348, 1996
- 42. Rosen ED, Spiegelman BM: PPARy: a nuclear regulator of metabolism, differentiation, and cell growth. J Cell Biol 276: 37,731–37,734, 2001
- 43. Tontonoz P, Hu E, Spiegelman BM: Stimulation of adipogenesis in fibroblasts by PPAR gamma 2, a lipid-activated transactivation factor. Cell 79: 1147–1156, 1994
- 44. Saladin R, Fajas L, Dana S, Halvorsen YD, Auwerx J, Briggs M: Differential regulation of peroxisome proliferator activated receptor γ 1 $(PPAR\gamma1)$ and PPAR $\gamma2$ messenger RNA expression in the early stages of adipogenesis. Cell Growth Differ 10: 43–48, 1999
- 45. He W, Barak Y, Havener A, Olson P, Liao D, Le J, Nelson M, Ong E, Olefsky JM, Evans RM: Adipose-specific peroxisome proliferatoractivated receptor knockout causes insulin resistance in fat and liver but not in muscle. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 100: 15,712–15,717, 2003
- 46. Koutnikova H, Cock TA, Watanabe M, Houseten SM, Champy MF, Dierich A, Auwerex J: Compensation by the muscle limits the metabolic consequences of lipidystrophy in PPAR γ hypomorphic mice. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 100: 14,457–14,462, 2003
- 47. Qi C, Zhu Y, Reddy JK: Peroxisome proliferator-activated receptors, coactivators, and downstream targets. Cell Biochem Biophys 32: 187– 204, 2000
- 48. Chang JH, Lin HK, Chung HS, Chang YJ, Chi HC, Chen SL: Myogenic bHLH proteins regulate the expression of PPAR γ coactivator-1 α . Endocrinol Mar 9, doi:10.1210/en: 2005–1317, 2006
- 49. Puigserver P: Tissue-specific regulation of metabolic pathways through the transcriptional coactivator PGC1-alpha. Int J Obes (Lond) Mar 29(Suppl 1): S5–S9, 2005
- 50. Corton JC, Brown-Borg HM: Peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor gamma coactivator 1 in caloric restriction and other models of longevity. J Gerontol Biol Sci Med Sci 60: 1494–1509, 2005