

# **Compound Geometric Distribution of Order** *k*

**Markos V. Koutras<sup>1</sup> · Serkan Eryilmaz2**

Received: 11 February 2015 / Revised: 7 January 2016 / Accepted: 15 January 2016 / Published online: 4 February 2016 © Springer Science+Business Media New York 2016

**Abstract** The distribution of the number of trials until the first *k* consecutive successes in a sequence of Bernoulli trials with success probability *p* is known as geometric distribution of order *k*. Let *Tk* be a random variable that follows a geometric distribution of order *k*, and *Y*1, *Y*2,... a sequence of independent and identically distributed discrete random variables which are independent of  $T_k$ . In the present article we develop some results on the distribution of the compound random variable  $S_k = \sum_{t=1}^{T_k} Y_t$ .

**Keywords** Compound distributions · Geometric distribution of order *k* · Phase-type distribution · Runs

**Mathematics Subject Classification (2010)** 60E05 · 60G40 · 60G50 · 60J10

## <span id="page-0-0"></span>**1 Introduction**

For a sequence  $\xi_1, \xi_2, \cdots$  of binary trials each resulting in either a success "1" or a failure "0", the number of trials until the first *k* consecutive successes can be formally defined as

 $T_k = \min(n : \xi_{n-k+1} = \ldots = \xi_n = 1).$ 

Markos V. Koutras [mkoutras@unipi.gr](mailto:mkoutras@unipi.gr)

> Serkan Eryilmaz [serkan.eryilmaz@atilim.edu.tr](mailto:serkan.eryilmaz@atilim.edu.tr)

<sup>1</sup> Department of Statistics and Insurance Science, University of Piraeus, Piraeus, Greece

<sup>2</sup> Department of Industrial Engineering, Atilim University, Incek, Ankara, Turkey

If  $\xi_1, \xi_2, \ldots$  are independent and identically distributed (iid) with  $p = P(\xi_i = 1)$ , then the distribution of the random variable  $T_k$  has been termed as geometric distribution of order  $k$ (see, e.g. Balakrishnan and Koutras [\(2002\)](#page-15-0)). Distributional properties of the random variable *Tk* and several associated statistics (e.g. number of run occurrences, waiting times for the *r*th occurrence of fixed length runs etc) have been extensively studied in the literature under the iid and Markov dependence assumption on the sequence of binary trials  $\xi_1, \xi_2, \ldots$ . The interested reader may consult, among others, Aki [\(1985\)](#page-15-1), Aki and Hirano [\(1995\)](#page-15-2), Koutras et al. [\(1995\)](#page-15-3), Koutras [\(1997\)](#page-15-4), Koutras and Papastavridis [\(1993\)](#page-15-5), Philippou et al. [\(1983\)](#page-15-6), Philippou and Makri [\(1986\)](#page-16-0).

The geometric distribution of order *k*, besides its theoretical flavor, has been proved of substantial interest in numerous practical applications, ranging from quality control and reliability (a special reliability system named consecutive-*k*-out-of-*n*: *F* system is very closely related to this distribution), to non-parametric statistics, psychology, finance, ecology etc, Koutras and Alexandrou [\(1997\)](#page-15-7), Eryilmaz [\(2010\)](#page-15-8), Eryilmaz et al. [\(2011\)](#page-15-9), Balakrishnan et al. [\(2009\)](#page-15-10), Papastavridis and Koutras [\(1992\)](#page-15-11),

Let us consider the random variable

$$
S_k = \sum_{t=1}^{T_k} Y_t,
$$

where  $Y_1, Y_2, \ldots$  is a sequence positive valued iid random variables, independent of  $T_k$ . The compound random variable  $S_k$  is potentially useful for modeling the lifetime of a system under a particular run shock model. Consider a system that is subject to a sequence of shocks over time. Let *Y*<sup>1</sup> denote the time when the first shock occurs; the magnitude of the shock is also assumed as random and is described by a continuous random variable *X*1. Moreover, denote by  $Y_t$  the interarrival time between the  $(t - 1) - th$  and  $t - th$  shocks, and by  $X_t$  the respective magnitude of the  $t - th$  shock,  $t \geq 2$ . Assume that our system fails if the magnitudes of the *k* consecutive shocks exceed a prespecified level  $c > 0$ . If the magnitudes of the shocks are independent, then the random variable  $T_k$  has a geometric distribution of order *k* with  $p = P(\xi_t = 1) = P(X_t \ge c)$ , and the compound random variable  $S_k$  represents the total lifetime of the system. As far as the shocks magnitude  $X_t$ are concerned they are usually modeled by phase-type distributions. For related reliability shock models, see, e.g. Sumita and Shanthikumar [\(1985\)](#page-16-1), Gut [\(1990\)](#page-15-12), Mallor and Omey [\(2001\)](#page-15-13), Montoro-Cazorla et al. [\(2009\)](#page-15-14).

The random variable  $S_k$  might also be useful in actuarial risk analysis. In this case the random variables  $X_t$  and  $Y_t$  respectively represent the claim size and the times between successive claims in a certain portfolio. Thus  $S_k$  defines the random time until the occurrence of *k* consecutive claims above a critical threshold.

It should be mentioned that, sums of independent random variables of the form

$$
S = \sum_{t=1}^{N} Y_t
$$

where the number of terms in  $N$  is itself a random variable, have attracted substantial research interest for many years. The most popular terminology for the distribution generated by such a random sum is *compound distribution*, while the terms *generalized distributions* and *stopped sum distributions* have also been in use at a smaller extend. Feller [\(1968\)](#page-15-15), in his classic book on discrete probability, considered the special case where *Y*1, *Y*2,... is a sequence of integral-valued iid random variables, to illustrate the use of generating functions, while Charalambides [\(2005\)](#page-15-16) presented a combinatorial approach to study

the distribution of *S*. Both authors provided interesting applications of such random sums in several areas including ecology (population expansion), molecular biology, operations research (service times) etc. In these applications the random variable  $N$  is simply an enumerating variable (usually refers to the number of events in a specific time period); in our set-up *N* denotes waiting time for observing *k* consecutive occurrences of a specific event.

In the present article, we study the distribution of the random variable  $S_k$  when  $Y_t$ 's are discrete. We obtain recursive and nonrecursive formulae for the computation of the probability mass function (pmf) of the random variable  $S_k$ . More specifically, taking advantage of the fact that the distribution of the random variable  $T_k$  can be represented as a phase-type distribution, our derivations for finding the pmf of  $S_k$  are mainly based on the phase-type modeling of the random variable  $T_k$ .

A discrete phase-type distribution of order *d* is the distribution of the time *T* to absorption in a finite discrete time Markov chain with *d* transient states and one absorbing state, say "0". Let us denote by  $\Lambda_0$  the  $(d+1)\times(d+1)$  transition probability matrix of the Markov chain and by  $\pi_0 = (\pi_1, \pi_2, \dots, \pi_d, \pi_{d+1})'$  the respective initial probability (column) vector; from now on we assume that the absorption state of the Markov chain has been placed as the last state of the chain, i.e. state  $d + 1$ . Then, the probability mass function (pmf) of the discrete phase-type random variable *T* may be expressed in the form

<span id="page-2-0"></span>
$$
P(T = t) = \pi' \Lambda^{t-1} \mathbf{u}, \quad t = 1, 2, ... \tag{1.1}
$$

where  $\Lambda$  is the  $d \times d$  substochastic matrix which includes the transition rates among the *d* transient states,  $\pi = (\pi_1, \pi_2, \dots, \pi_d)'$  is the initial transition probability vector with the entry corresponding to the absorption state removed and  $\mathbf{u} = (I_d - \Lambda)\mathbf{1}$  is a column vector including all transition probabilities from the transient states to the absorbing state  $(1 =$  $(1, 1, \ldots, 1)'$  while  $I_d$  denotes the  $d \times d$  identity matrix). For a discrete phase-type random variable *T* with pmf given by [\(1.1\)](#page-2-0) we shall say that *T* follows a phase-type distribution of order *d* with parameters  $\pi$ ,  $\Lambda$ , and we shall use the notation  $T \sim PH_d(\pi, \Lambda)$ .

For a detailed discussion of phase-type distributions and their properties, we refer to Neuts [\(1981\)](#page-15-17) and He [\(2014\)](#page-15-18).

The present paper is organized as follows. In Section [2,](#page-2-1) we provide some general results for the compound geometric distribution of order *k*. Explicit formulae are given for the probability generating function and moments of  $S_k = \sum_{t=1}^{T_k} Y_t$  as well as a recursive scheme for the calculation of its probability mass function. A nonrecursive formula is also given for the probability mass function of  $S_k = \sum_{t=1}^{T_k} Y_t$  when the common distribution of the random variables *Y*1, *Y*2,... is a phase-type distribution. In Section [3](#page-8-0) we present several results in the special case when  $Y_1, Y_2, \ldots$  have a geometric or negative binomial distribution. Section [4](#page-11-0) deals with a generalization of the compound geometric distribution of order *k*, namely the compound negative binomial distribution of order *k*. In Section [5](#page-12-0) we provide some numerical results for the computational effort required when applying each of the proposed approaches and a few comments on the shape of the probability mass function of the compound geometric distribution of order *k*. Finally, Section [6](#page-14-0) contains some concluding remarks on the topic addressed in the present article.

### <span id="page-2-1"></span>**2 General Results for the Compound Geometric Distribution of Order** *k*

Let us start our study of the compound geometric distribution of order *k* by presenting some results related to the probability generating function (pgf) and moments of  $S_k = \sum_{t=1}^{T_k} Y_t$ .

We shall be denoting by

$$
P_{S_k}(z) = E(z^{S_k}) = \sum_{t=1}^{\infty} f_k(t)z^t = \sum_{t=1}^{\infty} P(S_k = t)z^t \text{ and } P_{T_k}(z) = E(z^{T_k}) = \sum_{t=1}^{\infty} P(T_k = t)z^t
$$

the pgf's of  $T_k$  and  $S_k$  respectively, and by

$$
P_Y(z) = E(z^{Y_t}) = \sum_{x=1}^{\infty} P(Y_t = x) z^x
$$

the common pgf's of all  $Y_t$ 's,  $t = 1, 2, \ldots$  Applying the well known formula for the pgf of a random sum of random variables (see e.g. Bowers et al. [\(1997\)](#page-15-19) or Feller [\(1968\)](#page-15-15)) we may express the pgf of  $S_k = \sum_{t=1}^{T_k} Y_t$  as follows

$$
P_{S_k}(z) = P_k(P_Y(z)).
$$

Making use of the following formula which gives the pgf of  $P_{T_k}(z)$  (see e.g. Balakrishnan and Koutras [\(2002\)](#page-15-0))

$$
P_{T_k}(z) = E(z^{T_k}) = \frac{(pz)^k - (pz)^{k+1}}{1 - z + qp^k z^{k+1}}
$$

we readily deduce the next expression for the pgf of the compound geometric distribution of order *k*

<span id="page-3-0"></span>
$$
P_{S_k}(z) = E(z^{S_k}) = \frac{(p P_Y(z))^k - (p P_Y(z))^{k+1}}{1 - P_Y(z) + q p^k (P_Y(z))^{k+1}}.
$$
\n(2.1)

The mean and variance of the compound geometric distribution of order *k* are given by the formulae

$$
E(S_k) = \frac{1 - p^k}{(1 - p)p^k} E(Y_t)
$$
  
\n
$$
Var(S_k) = \frac{1 - p^k}{(1 - p)p^k} Var(Y_t) + (E(Y_t))^2 \frac{1 - (2k + 1)(1 - p)p^k - p^{2k + 1}}{(1 - p)^2 p^{2k}}.
$$

This is an immediate consequence of the the well-known formulae for the mean and variance of random sum of variables, namely

$$
E(S_k) = E\left(\sum_{t=1}^{T_k} Y_t\right) = E(T_k)E(Y_t),
$$
  

$$
Var(S_k) = Var\left(\sum_{t=1}^{T_k} Y_t\right) = E(T_k)Var(Y_t) + (E(Y_t))^2 Var(T_k).
$$

and the following expressions for the mean and variance of the geometric distribution of order *k* (see, e.g. Balakrishnan and Koutras [\(2002\)](#page-15-0))

$$
E(T_k) = \frac{1 - p^k}{(1 - p)p^k}, \quad Var(T_k) = \frac{1 - (2k + 1)(1 - p)p^k - p^{2k + 1}}{(1 - p)^2 p^{2k}}.
$$

Formula [\(2.1\)](#page-3-0) can be used, at least for some simple special cases, to get neat recurrence schemes for the pmf  $f_k(t) = P(S_k = t), t = 1, 2, \ldots$  of  $S_k$ . As an illustration we mention that, if  $k = 2$  and  $Y_1, Y_2, \ldots$  have geometric distribution with pmf

$$
P(Y_i = y) = \theta (1 - \theta)^{y-1}, y = 1, 2, ...
$$

 $\mathcal{D}$  Springer

then  $P_Y(z) = E(z^{Y_t}) = \theta z/(1 - (1 - \theta)z)$  and the pgf of the compound geometric distribution of order  $k = 2$  reduces to

<span id="page-4-0"></span>
$$
P_{S_2}(z) = E(z^{S_2}) = \frac{(p\theta z)^2}{1 - [2 - \theta(1 + p)]z - [(1 + p)\theta - p^2\theta^2 - 1]z^2}.
$$
 (2.2)

Therefore, the pmf  $f_2(t) = P(S_2 = t), t = 1, 2, \ldots$  obeys the next recursive scheme

$$
f_2(t) = [2 - \theta(1 + p)]f_2(t - 1) + [(1 + p)\theta - p^2\theta^2 - 1]f_2(t - 2), \quad t \ge 3
$$

with initial conditions

$$
f_2(2) = (p\theta)^2
$$
,  $f_2(1) = 0$ .

It is noteworthy that, in cases where the pgf of the compound geometric distribution admits a simple closed expression, as the one shown above the method of partial fraction expansion can be used to derive an explicit formula for the pmf as well as an efficient asymptotic expression for it. The definite reference for this method is the classical Feller's [\(1968\)](#page-15-15) book, while a nice application of this approach to run-related models applied to sampling inspection can be found in Shmueli and Cohen [\(2000\)](#page-16-2).

For illustration purposes let us briefly present the application of the partial fraction expansion method for the special case of the compound geometric distribution of order  $k = 2$  with *Y*<sub>1</sub>, *Y*<sub>2</sub>,... following the geometric distribution with parameter  $\theta$ . To start with, let us write [\(2.2\)](#page-4-0) in the form

$$
P_{S_2}(z) = E(z^{S_2}) = c + \frac{u_1 z + u_0}{v_2 z^2 + v_1 z + v_0} = c + \frac{U(z)}{V(z)}
$$
(2.3)

where

$$
v_2 = -2 + \theta(1 + p), v_1 = -(1 + p)\theta + p^2\theta^2 + 1, v_0 = 1,
$$
  
\n
$$
c = p^2\theta^2/v_2,
$$
  
\n
$$
u_1 = -p^2\theta^2v_1/v_2, u_0 = -p^2\theta^2v_0/v_2
$$

and

$$
U(z) = u_1 z + u_0, \quad V(z) = v_2 z^2 + v_1 z + v_0.
$$

It is not difficult to verify that, for  $p \neq 1$ , the equation  $V(z) = 0$  has 2 distinct real roots *z*1,*z*<sup>2</sup> given by the formulae

$$
z_{1,2} = \frac{-2 + \theta(1+p) \pm \theta \sqrt{(1-p)(1+3p)}}{2[(1+p)\theta - p^2\theta^2 - 1]}.
$$

Using the partial fraction expansion method for the ratio  $U(z)/V(z)$  and adequate infinite geometric series, we can arrive at a power series expression of  $P_{S_2}(z)$  from which the next simple formula arises for the pmf  $f_2(t)$ 

<span id="page-4-1"></span>
$$
f_2(t) = P(S_2 = t) = \frac{\rho_1}{z_1^{t+1}} + \frac{\rho_2}{z_2^{t+1}}, \quad t > 0.
$$
 (2.4)

The coefficients  $\rho_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2$  are given by the expression

$$
\rho_i = -\frac{U(z_i)}{V'(z_i)} = -\frac{u_1 z_i + u_0}{2v_2 z_i + v_1}, \quad i = 1, 2.
$$

Apparently, the evaluation of  $f_2(t)$  by the aid of formula [\(2.4\)](#page-4-1) is fairly easy for any *t*.

As stated in Feller [\(1968\)](#page-15-15), in the general case the quantity  $V(z)$  is a high degree polynomial and, although we can potentially develop an exact expression for the pmf, the labor involved in calculating the roots of the equation  $V(z) = 0$  is usually prohibitive; this makes the method primarily of theoretical interest. Fortunately a simple and surprisingly good approximation can be derived for large  $t$  values by using only the root, say  $z_1$  which is smaller in absolute value than all the other roots; more specifically, when  $t \to \infty$ , the following asymptotic formula holds true

<span id="page-5-1"></span>
$$
f_2(t) = P(S_2 = t) \sim \frac{\rho_1}{z_1^{t+1}}
$$
\n(2.5)

where the sign ∼ indicates that the ratio of the two sides tends to 1 as *t* → ∞. In most cases this formula provides surprisingly good approximations even for relatively small values of *t*.

We shall now proceed to the development of some new formulae that exploit the theory of phase-type family of distributions. Eisele [\(2006\)](#page-15-20) obtained recursive schemes for the pmf of the random variable  $S = \sum_{t=1}^{T} Y_t$  when  $Y_1, Y_2, \ldots$  is a sequence of positive valued iid random variables (discrete or continuous) with common pmf  $f_Y(t)$ , and *T* is a discrete random variable having a phase-type distribution of order *d*, say  $T \sim PH_d(\pi, \Lambda)$ . The recurrence schemes of Eisele [\(2006\)](#page-15-20) are making use of two sets of coefficients that are computed from the substochastic  $d \times d$  matrix  $\Lambda$ . The first set  $b_1, \ldots, b_d$  is simply the set of coefficients of the characteristic polynomial of  $\Lambda$ , namely

$$
\det(xI_d - \Lambda) = x^d + \sum_{i=1}^d b_i x^{d-i}.
$$

The second sequence  $a_1, \ldots, a_d$  is computed through  $b_1, \ldots, b_d$  and  $P(T = t)$ ,  $t =$  $1, 2, \ldots, d$  by the following formulae

$$
a_1 = P(T = 1)
$$
,  $a_t = P(T = t) + \sum_{i=1}^{t-1} b_i P(T = t - i)$  for  $i = 2, ..., d$ .

In order to apply Eisele's [\(2006\)](#page-15-20) result for the compound geometric distribution of order *k*, we shall give first a Lemma providing the set of coefficients involved in his recursive scheme for the case of interest.

**Lemma 1** *For the geometric distribution of order k we have,*

$$
b_i = p^i - p^{i-1}, i = 1, \dots, k \text{ and } a_i = \begin{cases} p^k, & \text{if } i = k \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}
$$

*Proof* As Tank and Eryilmaz [\(2014\)](#page-16-3) have proved, the Geometric distribution of order *k* belongs to the family of phase-type distributions. More specifically,  $T_k \sim PH_k(\pi, \Lambda)$  with  $\pi = (1, 0, \ldots, 0)' = \mathbf{e}_1$  and

<span id="page-5-0"></span>
$$
\Lambda = \begin{bmatrix} 1-p & p & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 1-p & 0 & p & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 1-p & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \end{bmatrix} .
$$
 (2.6)

It is not difficult to verify that, the characteristic polynomial of  $\Lambda$  is given by

$$
\det(xI_k - \Lambda) = x^k + (p-1)x^{k-1} + \ldots + (p^{k-1} - p^{k-2})x + (p^k - p^{k-1}) = x^k + \sum_{i=1}^k b_i x^{k-i}.
$$

Thus  $b_i = p^i - p^{i-1}$ ,  $i = 1, \ldots, k$  and taking into account that

$$
P(T_k = i) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } i = 1, 2, \dots, k - 1 \\ p^k & \text{for } i = k, \end{cases}
$$

we can easily verify that

 $a_k = p^k$  and  $a_i = 0$  for  $i = 1, 2, ..., k - 1$ .

We are now ready to establish an efficient set of recurrence relations for the compound geometric distribution of order *k*.

**Proposition 1** Assume that the support of the random variables  $Y_1, Y_2, \ldots$  is  $\{y_0,$ *y*<sub>0</sub> + 1, ....} *and denote by*  $f_Y^{*j}(t)$  *the*  $j - th$  *convolution of*  $Y_i, Y_2, \ldots, Y_j$ *, i.e.* 

<span id="page-6-0"></span>
$$
f_Y^{*j}(t) = P\left(\sum_{i=1}^j Y_i = t\right), \quad j = 1, 2, \dots \quad . \tag{2.7}
$$

*Then the pmf*  $f_k(t) = P(S_k = t)$ ,  $t = 1, 2, ...$  *of the respective compound geometric distribution of order k obeys the following recurrence scheme*

$$
f_k(t) = p^k f_Y^{*k}(t) - \sum_{j=1}^k (p^j - p^{j-1}) \left( \sum_{u=1}^{t-1} f_k(u) f_Y^{*j}(t-u) \right) \text{for } t > y_0 k
$$

*with initial conditions*

$$
f_k(t) = 0
$$
 for  $t < y_0k$ ,  $f_k(y_0k) = [pP(Y = y_0)]^k$ .

*Proof* Eisele [\(2006\)](#page-15-20) obtained the next recursion for the pmf of the random variable  $S = \nabla^T V$  when *T* has a discrete phase type distribution of order *d* and *Y*. *Y*<sub>2</sub> is a  $\sum_{t=1}^{T} Y_t$  when *T* has a discrete phase-type distribution of order *d* and *Y*<sub>1</sub>, *Y*<sub>2</sub>,... is a sequence of positive valued iid random variables, independent of *T* :

$$
P(S = t) = \sum_{j=1}^{\min(d,t)} a_j f_Y^{*j}(t) - \sum_{j=1}^{\min(d,t-1)} b_j \left( \sum_{u=1}^{t-1} P(S = u) f_Y^{*j}(t - u) \right), \text{ for } t \ge 1.
$$

The result follows immediately by replacing the coefficients  $a_j$ ,  $b_j$  by the expressions obtained in Lemma 1.  $\Box$ 

It is of interest to note that, when the random variables  $Y_1, Y_2, \ldots$  follow a phase-type distribution, one can evaluate the pmf  $f_k(t) = P(S_k = t)$ ,  $t = 1, 2, \ldots$  of the respective compound geometric distribution of order *k* by the aid of an exact formula similar to expression [\(1.1\)](#page-2-0). This can be achieved by the following result.

**Proposition 2** *Assume that the random variables Y*1, *Y*2,... *follow a phase-type distribution PH<sub>c</sub>*( $\rho$ , *M*) *of order c. Then the pmf*  $f_k(t) = P(S_k = t)$ ,  $t = 1, 2, \ldots$  *of the respective compound geometric distribution of order k is given by*

<span id="page-6-1"></span>
$$
f_k(t) = P(S_k = t) = \sigma' \Sigma^{t-1} (I_{ck} - \Sigma) \mathbf{1}, \quad t = 1, 2, ... \tag{2.8}
$$

*where*

$$
\sigma = \rho \otimes e_1 (I_k - a\Lambda)^{-1}
$$
  

$$
\Sigma = M \otimes I_k + u\rho' \otimes (I_k - a\Lambda)^{-1} \Lambda,
$$

 $\textcircled{2}$  Springer

*i*s the *k* × *k* transition probability matrix [\(2.6\)](#page-5-0),  $\mathbf{u} = (I_c - M)\mathbf{I}$  and  $a = 1 - \rho'1$ . *The notation A*  $\otimes$  *Brepresents the Kronecker product of two matrices A and B i.e. if A* =  $(a_{ij})_{n_1 \times n_2}$  *then* 

$$
A \otimes B = \begin{bmatrix} a_{11}B & a_{12}B & \dots & a_{1n_2}B \\ a_{21}B & a_{22}B & \dots & a_{2n_2}B \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ a_{n_11}B & a_{n_12}B & \dots & a_{n_1n_2}B \end{bmatrix}.
$$

*Proof* Conditioning on the random variable  $T_k$  we get

$$
P(S_k = t) = P\left(\sum_{i=1}^{T_k} Y_i = t\right) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} P\left(\sum_{i=1}^{T_k} Y_i = t | T_k = j\right) P(T_k = j)
$$

$$
= \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} P\left(\sum_{i=1}^{j} Y_i = t | T_k = j\right) P(T_k = j)
$$

and making use of the independence between  $T_k$  and  $Y'_i$ s we may express the pmf of  $S_k$  as follows

$$
P(S_k = t) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} P\left(\sum_{i=1}^{j} Y_i = t\right) P(T_k = j) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} f_Y^{*j}(t) f_{T_k}(j).
$$

The result can now be easily obtained by resorting to the next proposition given by Neuts [\(1981\)](#page-15-17): If  $\{f_N(v)\}$ and  $\{f_X(t)\}$  are the pmf's of two discrete PH-distributions  $PH_d(\pi, \Lambda)$ ,  $P H_c(\rho, M)$  of orders *d* and *c* respectively then the mixture

$$
\sum_{v=0}^{\infty} f_N(v) f_X^{*v}(t)
$$

follows a phase type distribution  $PH_{cd}(\sigma, \Sigma)$  of order *cd*, with parameters

$$
\sigma = \rho \otimes \pi (I_d - \alpha \Lambda)^{-1}, \quad \Sigma = M \otimes I_d + u \rho' \otimes (I_d - \alpha \Lambda)^{-1} \Lambda,
$$

where  $a = 1 - \rho' \mathbf{1}$  and  $\mathbf{u} = (I_c - M) \mathbf{1}$ .

Since  $T_k \sim PH_d(\pi, \Lambda)$  with  $d = k, \pi = e_1$  and  $\Lambda$  as given in expression [\(2.6\)](#page-5-0), the proof is complete.

As an illustration of the application of Theorem 1, let us consider the case where the random variables  $Y_1, Y_2, \ldots$  follow a negative binomial distribution with parameters  $r = 2$ and  $\theta$ , i.e.

$$
f_Y(y) = P(Y_i = y) = (y - 1)\theta^2 (1 - \theta)^{y-2}, \quad y = 2, 3, ...
$$

The negative binomial distribution can be viewed as a phase type distribution  $PH_c(\rho, M)$ of order  $c = 2$  with  $\rho = (1, 0)$ <sup>'</sup> and

$$
M = \left[ \begin{array}{cc} 1 - \theta & \theta \\ 0 & 1 - \theta \end{array} \right].
$$

Hence  $a = 1 - \rho' \mathbf{1} = 0$  and the rest quantities needed to apply Theorem 1 are easily computed as

$$
\sigma = \rho \otimes \mathbf{e}_1 = (1, 0, 0, ..., 0)_{1 \times (2k)}', \quad \mathbf{u} = (I_2 - M)\mathbf{1} = (0, \theta)'
$$

$$
\Sigma = M \otimes I_k + \mathbf{u}\rho' \otimes \Lambda = \begin{bmatrix} 1 - \theta & \theta \\ 0 & 1 - \theta \end{bmatrix} \otimes I_k + \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \theta & 0 \end{bmatrix} \otimes \Lambda = \begin{bmatrix} (1 - \theta)I_k & \theta I_k \\ R & (1 - \theta)I_k \end{bmatrix}
$$
  
where

where

$$
R = \begin{bmatrix} \theta(1-p) & \theta p & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \theta(1-p) & 0 & \theta p & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \theta(1-p) & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \end{bmatrix}.
$$

Note now that  $(I_{2k} - \Sigma)$ **1** = (0, 0, ...,  $\theta p \gamma_{2k \times 1} = \theta p \tau'$  where  $\tau' = (0, 0, ..., 1)$  is a unit vector of  $R^{2k}$  and replace all the above quantities in formula [\(2.7\)](#page-6-0) to gain the following matrix representation for the pmf of the compound geometric distribution of order *k*

$$
f_k(t) = P(S_k = t) = \sigma' \Sigma^{t-1} (I_{2k} - \Sigma) \mathbf{1} = (\theta p) \sigma' \begin{bmatrix} (1-\theta)I_k & \theta I_k \\ R & (1-\theta)I_k \end{bmatrix}^{t-1} \tau', \ t = 1, 2, ....
$$

On closing the present section we present a result pertaining to the stochastic behavior of the random variable  $S_k$  with respect to  $k$ . □

**Proposition 3** Let  $Y_1, Y_2, \ldots$  and  $Y_1^*, Y_2^*, \ldots$  be two sequences of independent random *variable such that the terms of the first sequence are stochastically smaller than the respective terms of the second, i.e.*  $Y_t \leq_{st} Y_t^*$ ,  $t = 1, 2, \ldots$  *If*  $k_1 \leq k_2$  *then for the compound random variables*

$$
S_{k_1} = \sum_{t=1}^{T_{k_1}} Y_t \text{ and } S_{k_2} = \sum_{t=1}^{T_{k_2}} Y_t^*
$$

*we have*  $S_{k_1} \leq_{st} S_{k_2}$ *.* 

*Proof* If  $L_n$  denotes the length of the longest run of successes (1's) in a sequence of iid binary trials  $\xi_1, \xi_2, \ldots, \xi_n$ , then we have  $P(T_k \ge n) = P(L_n \le k)$ . Thus for  $k_1 \le k_2$ , we may state

$$
P(T_{k_1} \ge n) = P(L_n \le k_1) \le P(L_n \le k_2) = P(T_{k_2} \ge n)
$$

which implies that  $T_{k_1} \leq_{st} T_{k_2}$ . The proof now follows by applying Theorem 1.A.4 of Shaked and Shanthikumar (1994). Shaked and Shanthikumar [\(1994\)](#page-16-4).

### <span id="page-8-0"></span>**3 The Compound Geometric Distribution of Order** *k* **for Geometric and Negative Binomial** *Y* **'s**

In the present section we shall apply the results established in Section [2](#page-2-1) in the special case when  $Y_1, Y_2, \ldots$  $Y_1, Y_2, \ldots$  $Y_1, Y_2, \ldots$  have a negative binomial or geometric distribution. In Section 1 we presented an example from the reliability literature that motivated our research, where the random variables  $Y_1, Y_2, \ldots$  described the interarrival times between successive shocks. It si quite plausible to assume that the geometric and negative binomial distributions are suitable models for the interarrival times when we use discrete unit times (e.g. hours, days, weeks etc.). For example, if we assume that at each time unit, say day, a shock occurs with probability  $\theta$ , then the number of days  $Y_1$  until the first shock occurs will follow a geometric distribution with parameter  $\theta$ ; the same holds true for the interarrival times  $Y_2, Y_3, \ldots$ between the subsequent successive shocks. By a similar argument, we can substantiate the use of the negative binomial distribution for modeling the interarrival times if we assume that only after the occurrence of  $r \geq 1$  shocks there is a positive probability that the shock magnitudes exceeds a prespecified level  $c > 0$ .

Let us now assume that  $Y_1, Y_2, \ldots$  follow a negative binomial distribution with pmf

$$
f_Y(y) = P(Y_i = y) = {y-1 \choose r-1} \theta^r (1-\theta)^{y-r}, \quad y = r, r+1, ...
$$

Since the *j* − *th* convolution of  $Y_i$ ,  $Y_2$ , ...,  $Y_j$  follows a negative binomial distribution with parameters  $r j$  and  $\theta$ , i.e.

$$
f_Y^{*j}(y) = P\left(\sum_{i=1}^j Y_i = y\right) = \left(\begin{matrix}y-1\\rj-1\end{matrix}\right) \theta^{rj} (1-\theta)^{y-rj}, \quad y = rj, rj+1, \dots, 2, \dots
$$

a direct application of Proposition 1 yields the following recursive scheme for the pmf  $f_k(t) = P(S_k = t), t = 1, 2, ...$  of the compound geometric distribution of order *k*.

$$
f_k(t) = {t-1 \choose rk-1} (\theta^r p)^k (1-\theta)^{t-rk}
$$
  

$$
-\sum_{j=1}^k (p^j - p^{j-1}) \left( \sum_{u=1}^{t-rj} f_k(u) {t-u-1 \choose rj-1} \theta^{rj} (1-\theta)^{t-u-rj} \right)
$$
for  $t > rk$ .

The necessary initial conditions in order to launch the above scheme are

$$
f_k(rk) = (\theta^r p)^k \text{ and } f_k(t) = 0 \text{ for } t < rk.
$$

The pgf of the compound geometric distribution of order  $k$  with negative binomial compounding distribution can be easily deduced by replacing

$$
P_Y(z) = \left(\frac{\theta z}{1 - (1 - \theta)z}\right)^r
$$

in formula  $(2.1)$ . More specifically we get

$$
P_{S_k}(z) = E(z^{S_k}) = \frac{p^k(\theta z)^{rk}[(1 - (1 - \theta)z)^r - p(\theta z)^r]}{(1 - (1 - \theta)z)^{r(k+1)} - (\theta z)^r(1 - (1 - \theta)z)^{rk} + qp^k(\theta z)^{r(k+1)}}.
$$

Let us next assume that  $Y_1, Y_2, \ldots$  follow a geometric distribution with pmf

$$
f_Y(y) = P(Y_i = y) = \theta (1 - \theta)^{y-1}, \quad y = 1, 2, ...
$$

Then one can get a recursive scheme and the pgf of the respective compound geometric distribution of order *k* by replacing  $r = 1$  in the above formulae.

In this case, we can also develop a simple matrix expression for the direct evaluation of the pmf  $f_k(t) = P(S_k = t)$ ,  $t = 1, 2, ...$  of the compound geometric distribution of order  $k$ . More spesifically, we have the following result.

**Proposition 4** *If*  $P(Y_i = y) = \theta (1 - \theta)^{y-1}$ ,  $y = 1, 2, \ldots$  *then the pmf of the compound geometric distribution of order k is given by the formula*

$$
f_k(t) = P(S_k = t) = (\theta p) \mathbf{e}'_1 \Sigma^{t-1} \mathbf{e}_k, \quad t = k, k+1, k+2, ...
$$

*where*  $\mathbf{e}_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2, ..., k$  *denote the unit vectors of*  $R^k$  *and* 

$$
\Sigma = \begin{bmatrix}\n1 - \theta + \theta(1 - p) & \theta p & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\
\theta(1 - p) & 1 - \theta & \theta p & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
\theta(1 - p) & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 - \theta & \theta p \\
\theta(1 - p) & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 1 - \theta\n\end{bmatrix}
$$

*Proof* The distribution of  $Y_1, Y_2, \ldots$  can be considered as a phase-type distribution *PH<sub>c</sub>*( $\rho$ , *M*) of order  $c = 1$  with  $\rho = (1)_{1 \times 1}$ ,  $M = (1 - \theta)_{1 \times 1}$ . Using these quantities, in the formulae appearing in Proposition 2 we get  $a = 1 - \rho' \mathbf{1} = 0$ ,  $\mathbf{u} = (I_1 - M)\mathbf{1} = (\theta)_{1 \times 1}$  and

$$
\sigma = \rho \otimes \mathbf{e}_1 (I_k - a\Lambda)^{-1} = \rho \otimes \mathbf{e}_1 = (1)_{1 \times 1},
$$
  

$$
\Sigma = M \otimes I_k + \mathbf{u}\rho' \otimes (I_k - a\Lambda)^{-1} \Lambda = (1 - \theta)I_k + \theta \Lambda.
$$

Replacing the  $k \times k$  transition probability matrix  $\Lambda$  by formula [\(2.6\)](#page-5-0) it is not difficult to verify that

$$
\Sigma = (1 - \theta)I_k + \theta \Lambda = \begin{bmatrix} 1 - \theta + \theta(1 - p) & \theta p & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ \theta(1 - p) & 1 - \theta & \theta p & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \theta(1 - p) & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 - \theta & \theta p \\ \theta(1 - p) & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 1 - \theta \end{bmatrix}
$$

and  $(I_k - \Sigma)$ **1** =  $(\theta I_k - \theta \Lambda)$ **1** =  $(\theta p)\mathbf{e}_k$ . The result follows immediately by applying formula (2.8). formula [\(2.8\)](#page-6-1).

Since the compound geometric distribution of order *k* with geometric compounding distributions can be regarded as a phase-type distribution  $PH_k(\mathbf{e}'_1, \Sigma)$  we can also use the following formula to compute its  $m - th$  factorial moments (see Neuts [\(1981\)](#page-15-17))

<span id="page-10-0"></span>
$$
n_m = E[(S_k)_m] = E[S_k(S_k - 1) \cdots (S_k - m + 1)] = m! \mathbf{e}_1 \Sigma^{m-1} (I - \Sigma)^{-m} \mathbf{1}, \quad m = 1, 2, \dots (3.1)
$$

For example, in the special case  $k = 2$ , the  $m - th$  factorial moments can be computed by

$$
n_m = E[(S_2)_m] = m!(1, 0) \left[ \begin{array}{cc} 1 - \theta p & \theta p \\ \theta(1 - p) & 1 - \theta \end{array} \right]^{m-1} \left[ \begin{array}{cc} \theta p & -\theta p \\ -\theta(1 - p) & \theta \end{array} \right]^{-m} \left[ \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 1 \end{array} \right].
$$

One could also manipulate in expression  $(3.1)$  to get a formula for the generating function of the factorial moments

$$
M(z) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} n_m \frac{z^m}{m!},
$$

as follows

$$
M(z) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} (m! \mathbf{e}_1 \Sigma^{m-1} (I - \Sigma)^{-m} \mathbf{1}) \frac{z^m}{m!} = \mathbf{e}_1 \left( \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \Sigma^{m-1} (I - \Sigma)^{-m} \right) z^m \right) \mathbf{1} =
$$
  
=  $z \mathbf{e}_1 \left( \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} (z \Sigma (I - \Sigma)^{-1})^{m-1} \right) (I - \Sigma)^{-1} \mathbf{1}$   
=  $z \mathbf{e}_1 \left( I - z \Sigma (I - \Sigma)^{-1} \right)^{-1} (I - \Sigma)^{-1} \mathbf{1}.$ 

.

Therefore

$$
M(z) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} n_m \frac{z^m}{m!} = z \mathbf{e}_1 \left( (I - \Sigma) - z(I - \Sigma) \Sigma (I - \Sigma)^{-1} \right)^{-1} \mathbf{1}.
$$

As an illustration, applying the last formula in the special case  $k = 2$ , we readily get

$$
M(z) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} n_m \frac{z^m}{m!} = \frac{(1+p)\theta z + [(1+p)\theta - 1]z^2}{p^2 \theta^2 + \theta(2p^2 \theta - p - 1)z + [p^2 \theta^2 - (1+p)\theta + 1]z^2}
$$

from which the next fast recursive scheme arises for the computation of the factorial moments of the geometric distribution of order *k* with geometric compounding distributions

$$
p^{2}\theta^{2}n_{m} + \theta(2p^{2}\theta - p - 1)n_{m-1} + [p^{2}\theta^{2} - (1 + p)\theta + 1]n_{m-2} = 0 \quad \text{for } m \ge 3.
$$

### <span id="page-11-0"></span>**4 A Generalization: Compound Negative Binomial Distribution of Order** *k*

For a sequence  $\xi_1, \xi_2, \ldots$  of binary trials, let  $T_{r,k}$  denote the number of trials until the  $r$ *th* appearance of a success run of length *k*. If  $\xi_1, \xi_2, \ldots$  are independent and identically distributed with  $p = P(\xi_i = 1)$ , then the distribution of the random variable  $T_{r,k}$  has been termed as type I negative binomial distribution of order *k*, see Balakrishnan and Koutras [\(2002\)](#page-15-0).

In a similar fashion as before, if  $Y_1, Y_2, \ldots$  is a sequence of positive valued iid random variables independent of  $T_{r,k}$ , we may name the distribution of the compound random variable

$$
S_{r,k} = \sum_{t=1}^{T_{r,k}} Y_t
$$

as compound negative binomial distribution of order *k*. This is apparently a generalization of the compound geometric distribution of order  $k$  ( $S_{1,k} \stackrel{d}{=} S_k$ ).

Since  $Y_1, Y_2, \ldots$  are iid random variables,  $T_{r,k}$  can be represented as a sum of *r* independent random variables following a geometric distribution of order  $k$ ; therefore  $S_{r,k}$  can be considered as an *r*-fold convolution of the compound geometric distribution of order *k*, namely

$$
S_{r,k} \stackrel{d}{=} S_k^{(1)} + \ldots + S_k^{(r)},
$$

where  $S_k^{(1)}$ , ...,  $S_k^{(r)}$  are independent random variables each following a compound geometric distribution of order *k*.

If the random variables  $Y_1, Y_2, \ldots$  belong to the phase-type family, we can readily conclude that the distribution of  $S_{r,k}$  is a phase-type random variable as well. This conclusion arises immediately by the fact that, in this case,  $S_k^{(1)}, \ldots, S_k^{(r)}$  belong to the class of phase-type distributions and, as Neuts [\(1981\)](#page-15-17) has proved, this family is closed under the convolution operator.

The distribution of the sum of two independent phase-type random variables has been established in Proposition 1.3.2 of He [\(2014\)](#page-15-18). Using that result, we can proceed to the computation of the distribution of *Sr*,*<sup>k</sup>* by an exact matrix-based formula as described in the following proposition.

**Proposition 5** Let  $S_k^{(1)}, \ldots, S_k^{(r)}$  be independent random variables each having a com*pound geometric distribution of order k, with pmf of the form [\(2.8\)](#page-6-1). Then the tail probability of the compound negative binomial distribution of order k can be expressed as*

$$
P(S_{r,k} > t) = \pi'_r \Sigma_r^t \mathbf{1},
$$

*where*  $\pi_r = (1, 0, \ldots, 0)$  *is a unit column vector with dimension rk, and*  $\Sigma_r$ *is a rk*  $\times$  *rk matrix obtained recursively as follows*

$$
\Sigma_1 = \Sigma, \quad \Sigma_r = \begin{bmatrix} \Sigma & ((I_{ck} - \Sigma) \mathbf{1}) \pi_{r-1}' \\ 0 & \Sigma_{r-1} \end{bmatrix}, \text{for } r = 2, 3, ....
$$

As an illustration let us consider again the case where  $Y_1, Y_2, \ldots$  have a geometric distribution with pmf

$$
P(Y_i = y) = \theta(1 - \theta)^{y-1}, \quad y = 1, 2, ...
$$

and  $k = 2$ . Then, from Proposition 4, we have

$$
\Sigma = \left[ \begin{array}{cc} 1 - \theta p & \theta p \\ \theta (1 - p) & 1 - \theta \end{array} \right],
$$

and  $(I_{ck} - \Sigma)$ **1** =  $(I_2 - \Sigma)$ **1** =  $(\theta p)$ **e**<sub>2</sub>. For  $i = 1, 2, 3$  we now deduce

$$
\Sigma_1 = \Sigma = \begin{bmatrix} 1 - \theta p & \theta p \\ \theta (1 - p) & 1 - \theta \end{bmatrix},
$$

$$
\Sigma_2 = \begin{bmatrix} \Sigma \ (\theta p) \mathbf{e}_2 \pi'_1 \\ 0 & \Sigma_1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 - \theta p & \theta p & 0 & 0 \\ \theta (1 - p) & 1 - \theta & \theta p & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 - \theta p & \theta p \\ 0 & 0 & \theta (1 - p) & 1 - \theta \end{bmatrix},
$$

$$
L_3 = \begin{bmatrix} \Sigma \ (\theta p) \mathbf{e}_2 \pi'_2 \\ 0 & \Sigma_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 - \theta p & \theta p & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \theta (1 - p) & 1 - \theta & \theta p & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 - \theta p & \theta p & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 - \theta p & \theta p & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 - \theta p & \theta p \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \theta (1 - p) & 1 - \theta \end{bmatrix}
$$

and the tail probability of the compound negative binomial distribution of order  $k = 2$  can be evaluated by the formula

$$
P(S_{3,2} > t) = \pi_3' \Sigma_3^t \mathbf{u}
$$

where  $\pi_3 = (1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0)'$  and **u** =  $(1, 1, 1, 1, 1)'$ .

### <span id="page-12-0"></span>**5 Numerical Results**

Since in the present MS we have discussed more than one techniques for the evaluation of the probability mass function  $f_k(t) = P(S_k = t)$ , it is plausible to address the question

$\boldsymbol{k}$	t	Recursive method	Relative difference	Nonrecursive method	Relative difference
$\overline{c}$	5	0.003154		0.000117	
	10	0.004658	0.476855	0.000118	0.008547
	20	0.010542	2.342422	0.000118	0.008547
	30	0.020530	5.509195	0.000118	0.008547
	50	0.052462	15.633481	0.000120	0.025641
	100	0.206116	64.350666	0.000120	0.025641
3	5	0.003169		0.000119	
	10	0.005380	0.697696	0.000119	0.000000
	20	0.014761	3.657936	0.000120	0.008403
	30	0.031114	8.818239	0.000122	0.025210
	50	0.084530	25.674030	0.000122	0.025210
	100	0.343953	107.536762	0.000122	0.025210
10	20	0.026238		0.000131	
	30	0.082149	2.130917	0.000136	0.038168
	50	0.272169	9.373085	0.000138	0.053435
	100	1.237378	47159767.275021	0.000141	0.076336
	300	16.36470	62370225.389207	0.000142	0.083969

<span id="page-13-0"></span>**Table 1** CPU times (in seconds) by the recursive scheme and the matrix-based nonrecursive formula

which one is more efficient. In Table [1,](#page-13-0) we provide the CPU times needed for the computation of  $f_k(t)$  by the aid of the recursive and the matrix-based nonrecursive schemes. The results refer to the special case of the compound geometric distribution of order *k*with  $p = 0.5$  when the random variables  $Y_1, Y_2, \ldots$  have geometric distribution with parameter  $\theta = 0.8$ . The computation was carried out for several values of k and t's ranging from small to sufficiently large values. The computer programs for both approaches were run in the MATLAB software on an Intel i7 (3.40 GHz) processor with 8GB of RAM. As easily conveyed from Table [1,](#page-13-0) there is a considerable difference in the CPU times between the two methods. For fixed *k*, the CPU time when working with the nonrecursive method is not substantially affected by the value of *t*; however, when working with the recursive scheme, for large values of *t* the CPU time increases significantly as compared to the small ones. This is more clear in columns 4 and 6 where have tabulated the relative change in CPU time with respect to time needed for the smallest *t* value ( $t = 5$  for the first two blocks and  $t = 20$  for the third one).

<span id="page-13-1"></span>

**Fig. 1** Compound geometric distributions of order  $k = 2$ 

<span id="page-14-1"></span>

**Fig. 2** Compound geometric distributions of order  $k = 3$ 

Should one be interested in the survival probability  $P(S_k > t)$ , the CPU times will become larger since, in this case, all  $P(S_k = i)$ ,  $i = 1, ..., t$  should be computed in order to compute  $P(S_k > t) = 1 - P(S_k \le t)$ . Although one might think that the recursive method would be more effective for this task (since all the values  $P(S_k = i)$ ,  $i = 1, 2, ..., t - 1$ have already been evaluated in order to get  $P(S_k = t)$ ), this is not quite so because by the matrix (nonrecursive) approach the survival probability can be directly computed by the aid of Proposition 5.

The overall conclusion is that, the matrix-based formula seems to be more efficient if the random variables  $Y_1, Y_2, \ldots$  have phase-type distribution, for both pmf and survival probability computations.

Needless to say, in simple cases where the partial fraction expansion method can be used for deriving the pmf from its probability generating function (see Section [2\)](#page-2-1), the computations are by far faster than then ones compared above. Moreover, should one be interested in very large values of *t*, the corresponding asymptotic formula [\(2.5\)](#page-5-1) is an excellent tool for a very fast and accurate computation of the pmf of the compound geometric distribution of order *k*.

In closing the present Paragraph we provide Figs. [1](#page-13-1) and [2](#page-14-1) where we have plotted the pmf of the compound geometric distribution of order *k* for  $k = 2$  and  $k = 3$  respectively in the special case when  $Y_1, Y_2, \ldots$  have geometric distribution with pmf  $P(Y_i = y) =$  $\theta (1 - \theta)^{y-1}, v = 1, 2, \ldots$ 

Three different sets of values have been used for the parameters *p* and  $\theta$ , namely  $(p, \theta)$  =  $(0.5, 0.5)$ ,  $(0.5, 0.8)$ ,  $(0.7, 0.5)$ . As made clear, the compound geometric distribution of order *k*is unimodal in all the graphs provided, and the tail of the distribution decays faster as  $p$  or  $\theta$  increases while the reverse is observed when  $k$  increases. The analytical study of the behavior of the compound geometric distribution of order *k*as well as examination of statistical inference problems (e.g. parameter estimation and hypothesis testing based on independent samples from the distribution) will be the subject of a future work.

#### <span id="page-14-0"></span>**6 Conclusions**

In this paper, we studied the distribution of the random sum

$$
S_k = \sum_{t=1}^{T_k} Y_t
$$

when  $T_k$  follows a geometric distribution of order *k* and  $Y_1, Y_2, \ldots$  is a sequence of positive valued iid random variables, independent of  $T_k$ . This distribution has been termed as compound geometric distribution of order *k*.

We have obtained recursive and nonrecursive formulae for the evaluation of the probability mass function of  $S_k$ . The recursive equation given in Proposition 1 can be used for arbitrarily distributed discrete compounding variables while the nonrecursive matrix-based formula stated in Proposition 2, can only be used whenever the compounding variables follow a discrete phase-type distribution.

A numerical experimentation has also been carried out in order to illustrate the computational efficiency of the available alternative techniques for the evaluation of the probability mass function of the compound distribution.

**Acknowledgments** The authors would like to thank the two anonymous referees for their constructive comments that led to a significant improvement of the MS. Part of this work was carried out while S. Eryilmaz was visiting University of Piraeus. The research of M. V. Koutras has been co-financed by the European Union (European Social Fund – ESF) and Greek national funds through the Operational Program "Education and Lifelong Learning" of the National Strategic Reference Framework (NSRF) - Research Funding Program: Aristeia II. Investing in knowledge society through the European Social Fund.

#### **Compliance with Ethical Standards**

**Conflict of interests** The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

### **References**

- <span id="page-15-1"></span>Aki S (1985) Discrete distributions of order *k* on a binary sequence. Ann Inst Stat Math 37:205–224
- <span id="page-15-2"></span>Aki S, Hirano K (1995) Joint distributions of numbers of success-runs and failures until the first consecutive *k* successes. Ann Inst Stat Math 47:225–235
- <span id="page-15-0"></span>Balakrishnan N, Koutras MV (2002) Runs and scans with applications. Wiley, New York
- <span id="page-15-10"></span>Balakrishnan N, Koutras MV, Bersimis S (2009) Run and frequency quota rules in process monitoring and acceptance sampling. J Qual Technol 41:66–81
- <span id="page-15-19"></span>Bowers NL, Hickman JC, Gerber HU, Nesbitt CJ, Jones DA (1997) Actuarial Mathematics, 2nd. Society of Actuaries
- <span id="page-15-16"></span>Charalambides ChA (2005) Combinatorial Methods in Discrete Distributions. Wiley, New York

<span id="page-15-20"></span>Eisele K-T (2006) Recursions for compound phase distributions. Insurance: Math Econ 38:149–156

- <span id="page-15-8"></span>Eryilmaz S (2010) Review of recent advances in reliability of consecutive *k*-out-of-*n* and related systems. Proc. IMechE, Part O: J. Risk and Reliability 224:225–237
- <span id="page-15-9"></span>Eryilmaz S, Koutras MV, Triantafyllou IS (2011) Signature based analysis of *m*-consecutive-*k*-out-of-*n*: *F* Systems with exchangeable components. Nav Res Logist 58:344–354
- <span id="page-15-15"></span>Feller W (1968) An introduction to probability theory and its applications, vol I, 3rd edn. Wiley, New York
- <span id="page-15-12"></span>Gut A (1990) Cumulative shock models. Adv Appl Probab 22:504-507
- <span id="page-15-18"></span>He Q-M (2014) Fundamentals of matrix-analytic methods, Springer
- <span id="page-15-4"></span>Koutras MV (1997) Waiting time distributions associated with runs of fixed length in two-state Markov chains. Ann Inst Stat Math 49:123–139
- <span id="page-15-7"></span>Koutras MV, Alexandrou VA (1997) Non-parametric randomness tests based on success runs of fixed length. Stat Probability Lett 32:393–404
- <span id="page-15-3"></span>Koutras MV, Papadopoulos GK, Papastavridis SG (1995) Runs on a circle. J Appl Probab 32:396–404
- <span id="page-15-5"></span>Koutras MV, Papastavridis SG (1993) On the number of runs and related statistics. Statistica Sinica 3:277– 294
- <span id="page-15-13"></span>Mallor F, Omey E (2001) Shocks, runs and random sums. J Appl Probab 38:438–448
- <span id="page-15-14"></span>Montoro-Cazorla D, Ocon RP, Segovia MC (2009) Shock and wear models under policy *N* using phase-type distributions. Appl Math Model 33:543–554
- <span id="page-15-17"></span>Neuts MF (1981) Matrix-Geometric Solutions in Stochastic Models: An Algorithmic Approach. The Johns Hopkins University Press, Baltimore
- <span id="page-15-11"></span>Papastavridis SG, Koutras MV (1992) Consecutive *k*-out-of-*n* systems with maintainance. Ann Inst Stat Math 44:605–612
- <span id="page-15-6"></span>Philippou AN, Georghiou C, Philippou GN (1983) A generalized geometric distribution and some of its properties. Stat Probability Lett 1:171–175

<span id="page-16-0"></span>Philippou AN, Makri FS (1986) Successes, runs and longest runs. Stat Probability Lett 4:211–215

- <span id="page-16-4"></span>Shaked M, Shanthikumar JG (1994) Stochastic orders and their applications, Academic Press
- <span id="page-16-2"></span>Shmueli G, Cohen A (2000) Run-related probability functions applied to sampling inspection. Technometrics 42:188–202
- <span id="page-16-1"></span>Sumita U, Shanthikumar JG (1985) A class of correlated cumulative shock models. Adv Appl Probab 17:344–366
- <span id="page-16-3"></span>Tank F, Eryilmaz S (2014) The distributions of sum, minima and maxima of generalized geometric random variables. Statistical Papers 56:1191–1203