

Remarks on Cotton solitons

Rahul Poddar^{1,2}

Received: 19 October 2023 / Revised: 5 June 2024 / Accepted: 19 June 2024 / Published online: 9 July 2024 © The Author(s), under exclusive licence to Springer Nature B.V. 2024

Abstract

In this note, we show that the potential vector field of a Cotton soliton (M, g, V) is an infinitesimal harmonic transformation, and we use it to give another proof of the triviality of compact Cotton solitons. Moreover, we extend this triviality result to the complete case by imposing certain regularity conditions on the potential vector field V.

Keywords Cotton solitons \cdot Infinitesimal harmonic transformation \cdot Locally conformally flat \cdot Killing vector field

Mathematics Subject Classification 53C21 · 53C25

1 Introduction

The evolution of a Riemannian metric g on a three-dimensional smooth manifold M to a metric g(t) in time t through the equation

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}g(t) = \kappa C_{g(t)},$$

where $C_{g(t)}$ is the (0, 2)-Cotton tensor of (M, g), is called the Cotton flow and was introduced by Kisisel et al. [9]. For $\kappa = 1$, the corresponding soliton, known as the Cotton soliton, is a three-dimensional Riemannian manifold (M, g) with a vector field V and a real constant λ such that

$$L_V g + C = \lambda g, \tag{1.1}$$

where L_V denotes the Lie-derivative operator along the vector field V. A Cotton soliton is said to be shrinking, steady, or expanding when $\lambda > 0$, = 0, < 0, respectively. It

Rahul Poddar rahul27poddar@gmail.com; rahulpoddar@hri.res.in

¹ Sri Sathya Sai Institute of Higher Learning, Prasanthi Nilayam, AP 515134, India

² Present Address: Harish-Chandra Research Institute, Prayagraj, UP 211019, India

is said to be trivial if V is Killing and M is locally conformally flat. A Lorentzian Lie-group admits a non-trivial left-invariant Cotton soliton if and only if the Cotton operator is nilpotent [2]. If $V = \nabla f$ in (1.1), for a smooth function f, where ∇ denotes the gradient operator of g, then it is known as a gradient Cotton soliton, in which case (1.1) assumes the form

$$2Hessf + C = \lambda g$$
,

where Hess f denotes the Hessian of f with respect to g. Lorentzian metrics with nilpotent Ricci operator allow the existence of gradient Cotton solitons [3]. The Cotton tensor is given by

$$C_{ijk} = (\nabla_i S)_{jk} - (\nabla_j S)_{ik},$$

where S is the Schouten tensor given by

$$S_{ij}=R_{ij}-\frac{r}{4}g_{ij},$$

where R_{ij} are the components of the Ricci tensor and *r* denotes the scalar curvature of *M*. Now, the (0, 2)-Cotton tensor (also known as the Cotton–York tensor) is given by

$$C_{ij} = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{g}} C_{mni} \epsilon^{nml} g_{lj},$$

where $\epsilon^{123} = 1$. The Cotton tensor is trace-free and divergence-free [16] and vanishes if and only if *M* is locally conformally flat. For more details on Cotton flow and its physical aspects, we refer the reader to [4, 6, 8, 10].

Following Nouhaud [11], we say that a vector field V is an infinitesimal harmonic transformation if trace $(L_V \nabla) = 0$, i.e. $(L_V \nabla)(e_i, e_i) = 0$, where (e_i) , i = 1, ..., n is any local orthonormal frame on M. It was shown by Stepanov and Shandra [13] that a vector field V is an infinitesimal harmonic transformation on a Riemannian manifold (M, g) if and only if

$$\Delta V = 2QV, \tag{1.2}$$

where *Q* is the Ricci operator associated with the Ricci tensor Ric(X, Y) = g(QX, Y), and the Laplacian Δ is determined by the Weitzenböck formula

$$\Delta V = \bar{\Delta} V + QV, \tag{1.3}$$

where ΔV is the rough Laplacian given by

$$\sum_{i} \{ \nabla_{e_i} \nabla_{e_i} - \nabla_{\nabla_{e_i}} e_i \} V.$$
(1.4)

One can infer from equation (1.2) that $V \in ker \square$, where \square denotes the Yano operator (see Yano [14]) given by

$$\Box V = \Delta V - 2QV. \tag{1.5}$$

A Killing vector field is obviously an example of an infinitesimal harmonic transformation [13]. Ghosh [7] proved that an almost Ricci soliton reduces to a Ricci soliton if and only if the potential vector field is an infinitesimal harmonic transformation. In [12], Stepanov and Shelepova showed that the potential vector field of a Ricci soliton is an infinitesimal harmonic transformation and used it to show that there exist no non-trivial expanding Ricci solitons on a compact manifold M.

The purpose of this note is to show that the potential vector field of a Cotton soliton is an infinitesimal harmonic transformation and then apply it to discuss the triviality of Cotton solitons in the compact and non-compact cases, respectively.

Theorem 1.1 Let (M, g, V) be a Cotton soliton. Then, V is an infinitesimal harmonic transformation.

This fact can be used to give an alternative demonstration of the following assertion for a compact Cotton soliton [3].

Proposition 1.1 Let (M, g, V) be a compact Cotton soliton. Then, (M, g) is locally conformally flat and V is a Killing vector field.

Remark 1.1 Our proof of Proposition 1.1 is a consequence of the fact that V is an infinitesimal harmonic transformation for a Cotton soliton, and is different from the proof of Calviño-Louzao et al. [3] which uses the following formula

$$\langle L_{\xi}g, \varphi \rangle = 2div(i_{\xi}\varphi) - 2(div\varphi)(\xi)$$

for a symmetric (0, 2)-tensor field φ and an arbitrary smooth vector field ξ on M, where $i_{\xi}\varphi(.) = \varphi(\xi, .)$.

Calviño-Louzao et al. [3] showed the existence of a complete, non-trivial shrinking Cotton soliton on the Heisenberg group. Recently, Cunha and Silva Junior [5] studied complete, non-compact Cotton solitons under various assumptions to infer the triviality of Cotton solitons. This motivates us to extend Proposition 1.1 to the complete case by using the result proved in Theorem 1.1 and imposing certain regularity conditions on *V*. More precisely, we prove the following theorem.

Theorem 1.2 Let (M, g, V) be a complete, non-compact Cotton soliton with closed *V*. Then *M* is locally conformally flat and *V* is parallel if any one of the following conditions are met

- (i) *M* is parabolic and $|V| \in L^{\infty}(M)$.
- (ii) $|V| \in L^{p}(M)$ for p > 1.
- (iii) |V| converges to zero at infinity.

A vector field V is said to be closed if its metrically associated one-form is closed (i.e. dv = 0). Also, we use the notation $L^p(M) = \{u : M \to \mathbb{R}; \int_M |u|^p < +\infty\}$ for each $p \ge 1$.

Remark 1.2 Theorem 1.2 is different from Theorems 4 and 6 of Cunha and Silva Junior [5] in the sense that by limiting our scope to the case when V is closed, we avoid imposing restrictions on the curvature of the manifold.

Remark 1.3 Theorem 1.2 generalizes Theorems 2 and 5 of Cunha and Silva Junior [5] in the sense that *V* closed need not imply *V* gradient, unless *M* is simply connected.

2 Preliminaries

In this section, we prepare a lemma and recall some useful results from the literature, which eventually will be used in proving our main assertions.

Lemma 2.1 Let (M, g, V) be a Cotton soliton. Then,

- (a) $\nabla_X V = -\frac{1}{2} CX + \frac{1}{2} \lambda X + FX$, where C(X, Y) = g(CX, Y), C is a tensor field of type (1, 1) associated with C, and F is a skew-symmetric tensor field of type (1, 1) such that g(FX, Y) = -g(X, FY).
- (b) $divV = \frac{n}{2}\lambda$.
- (c) Ric(X, V) = (divF)X, where Ric denotes the Ricci tensor.

Proof Equation (1.1) can be written as

$$g(\nabla_X V, Y) + g(\nabla_Y V, X) + C(X, Y) = \lambda g(X, Y).$$
(2.1)

The exterior derivative dv of the one-form v metrically associated with V is given by

$$\frac{1}{2}g(\nabla_X V, Y) - \frac{1}{2}g(\nabla_Y V, X) = (dv)(X, Y).$$
(2.2)

As dv is skew-symmetric, we define a tensor field F of type (1, 1) by

$$(dv)(X, Y) = g(FX, Y).$$

Thus, equation (2.2) assumes the form

$$g(\nabla_X V, Y) - g(\nabla_Y V, X) = 2g(FX, Y).$$
(2.3)

Adding equations (2.1) and (2.3) side by side leads us to

$$2g(\nabla_X V, Y) + C(X, Y) = \lambda g(X, Y) + 2g(FX, Y),$$

i.e.

$$\nabla_X V = -\frac{1}{2}\mathcal{C}X + \frac{1}{2}\lambda X + FX, \qquad (2.4)$$

which proves (a). Contracting (2.4) with respect to X and noting that the Cotton tensor is trace-free give

$$divV = \frac{n}{2}\lambda,$$
(2.5)

which proves (b). Using (2.4), we compute R(Y, X)V to get

$$R(Y, X)V = \frac{1}{2}(\nabla_X \mathcal{C})Y - \frac{1}{2}(\nabla_Y \mathcal{C})X + (\nabla_Y F)X - (\nabla_X F)Y.$$
(2.6)

Contracting (2.6) with respect to *Y* and using the trace-freeness and divergence-freeness of the Cotton tensor provide

$$Ric(X, V) = (divF)X,$$
(2.7)

which proves part (c) of the assertion, thereby completing the proof.

Let us recall a very useful lemma due to Yau [15].

Lemma 2.2 (Yau [15]) Let u be a non-negative smooth subharmonic function on a complete Riemannian manifold M. If $u \in L^p(M)$ for p > 1, then u is constant.

A function f is said to be subharmonic if $\Delta f \ge 0$. Further, a Riemannian manifold (M, g) is said to be parabolic if the unique subharmonic functions on M which are bounded from above are constant functions, i.e. if $u \in C^{\infty}(M)$ with $\Delta u \ge 0$ and $sup_M u < +\infty$, then u is constant.

We also recall the maximum principle at infinity due to Alías et al. [1] and state it as the following lemma.

Lemma 2.3 (Alías et al. [1]) Let (M, g) be a complete non-compact Riemannian manifold and X be an arbitrary smooth vector field on M. Assume that there exists a non-negative, non-identically vanishing function $u \in C^{\infty}(M)$ which converges to zero at infinity and $g(\nabla u, X) \ge 0$. If $divX \ge 0$ on M, then $g(\nabla u, X) \equiv 0$ on M.

A continuous function $u \in C^0(M)$ is said to converge to zero at infinity if it satisfies the condition

$$\lim_{d(x,x_0)\to\infty}u(x)=0,$$

where $d(., x_0) : M \to [0, \infty)$ denotes the Riemannian distance of a complete noncompact Riemannian manifold *M* measured from a fixed point $x_0 \in M$.

Next, we would require the well-known Bochner formula.

Lemma 2.4 For any vector field V, we have

$$\frac{1}{2}\Delta|V|^{2} = Ric(V, V) - g(\Delta V, V) + |\nabla V|^{2}.$$
(2.8)

3 Proofs of the results

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Taking the covariant derivative of (1.1) along an arbitrary vector field *X*, we acquire

$$(\nabla_X L_V g)(Y, Z) + (\nabla_X C)(Y, Z) = 0.$$
(3.1)

🖉 Springer

Now, the commutation formula (Yano [14]):

$$(L_V \nabla_X g - \nabla_X L_V g - \nabla_{[V,X]} g)(Y,Z) = -g((L_V \nabla)(X,Y),Z) - g((L_V \nabla)(X,Z),Y).$$

reduces to

$$(\nabla_X L_V g)(Y, Z) = g((L_V \nabla)(X, Y), Z) + g((L_V \nabla)(X, Z), Y).$$
(3.2)

Comparing (3.1) and (3.2) gives

$$g((L_V \nabla)(X, Y), Z) + g((L_V \nabla)(X, Z), Y) + (\nabla_X C)(Y, Z) = 0.$$
(3.3)

Permuting (3.3) cyclically twice over X, Y and Z, we get

$$g((L_V \nabla)(Y, Z), X) + g((L_V \nabla)(Y, X), Z) + (\nabla_Y C)(Z, X) = 0.$$
(3.4)

$$g((L_V \nabla)(Z, X), Y) + g((L_V \nabla)(Z, Y), X) + (\nabla_Z C)(X, Y) = 0.$$
(3.5)

Subtracting the sum of (3.4) and (3.5) from (3.3), we achieve

$$2g((L_V\nabla)(Y, Z), X) + (\nabla_Y C)(Z, X) + (\nabla_Z C)(X, Y) - (\nabla_X C)(Y, Z) = 0.$$
(3.6)

where we used the fact that $(L_V \nabla)(X, Y) = (L_V \nabla)(Y, X)$. Equation (3.6) can also be written as

$$2g((L_V\nabla)(X,Y),Z) + (\nabla_X C)(Y,Z) + (\nabla_Y C)(Z,X) - (\nabla_Z C)(X,Y) = 0.$$
(3.7)

Since $C(X, Y) = g(\mathcal{C}X, Y)$, equation (3.7) can be exhibited as

$$2g((L_V\nabla)(X,Y),Z) + g((\nabla_X \mathcal{C})Y,Z) + g(\nabla_Y \mathcal{C})Z,X) - g((\nabla_Z \mathcal{C})X,Y) = 0.$$
(3.8)

Now, using the formula

$$g((L_V \nabla)(X, Y), Z) = g(\nabla_X \nabla_Y V - \nabla_{\nabla_Y Y} V - R(X, V)Y, Z)$$

in equation (3.8) and subsequently setting $X = Y = e_i$, where (e_i) is an orthonormal frame field on M, and using the definition of rough Laplacian given by (1.4), along with the fact that the Cotton tensor is trace-free and divergence-free, we get

$$Ric(V, Z) - g(\Delta V, Z) = 0.$$
 (3.9)

The use of equation (1.3) in (3.9) and factoring out Z entails

$$\Delta V = 2QV.$$

Hence, V is an infinitesimal harmonic transformation. This completes the proof. \Box

Proof of Proposition 1.1 Since V is an infinitesimal harmonic transformation, in view of (1.5), we have

$$\Box V = 0.$$

Integrating the equation in Lemma 2.1 (b) and using divergence theorem give $\lambda = 0$, and hence,

$$divV = 0.$$

At this stage, we appeal to Theorem 3.4 of Yano [14], which states that, "A necessary and sufficient condition for a vector field V on a compact Riemannian manifold to be Killing is that $\Box V = 0$ and divV = 0", in order to infer that V is Killing, and hence C = 0, i.e. M is locally conformally flat. This completes the proof. \Box

Proof of Theorem 1.2 Since the potential vector field of a Cotton soliton is an infinitesimal harmonic transformation, i.e. $\Delta V = 2QV$, equation (2.8) can be written as

$$\frac{1}{2}\Delta|V|^2 = |\nabla V|^2 - Ric(V, V).$$
(3.10)

Further, by assumption, V is closed; therefore, using (2.2) and (2.3) provides $F \equiv 0$. The use of this in (2.7) shows that Ric(V, V) = 0 for the choice X = V. This finding reduces (3.10) to

$$\frac{1}{2}\Delta|V|^2 = |\nabla V|^2 \ge 0.$$
(3.11)

To prove part (i), we observe from (3.11) that $|V|^2$ is a subharmonic function. Since *M* is parabolic, $|V|^2$ is constant on *M*. Therefore, (3.11) entails *V* is parallel. This implies *V* is Killing, and hence, divV = 0. The last equality and Lemma 2.1 (b) give $\lambda = 0$. The use of these findings in (1.1) leads us to C = 0, i.e. *M* is locally conformally flat.

For part (ii), $|V|^2$ is subharmonic in view of (3.11). Since $|V|^2 \in L^p(M)$ for p > 1, we invoke Lemma 2.2 to infer that $|V|^2$ is constant on M. Thus, (3.11) shows that V is parallel. With the same reasoning as elucidated in the last part of the proof of part (i), we conclude that V is Killing and M is locally conformally flat.

Finally, we prove part (iii) by contradiction. Suppose $|V|^2$ is non-constant on M. We consider the function $u = |V|^2$. We observe that u is non-negative and non-identically vanishing and converges to zero at infinity. Let us consider the smooth vector field $X = \nabla |V|^2$ on M. For this vector field, we have

$$g(\nabla u, X) = |\nabla |V|^2|^2 \ge 0.$$

Also, $divX = div(\nabla |V|^2) = \Delta |V|^2 = 2|\nabla V|^2 \ge 0$. That is, $divX \ge 0$ on M. Hence, by Lemma 2.3, $|V|^2$ is constant on M, thus arriving at a contradiction. Therefore, |V| is constant, and in view of (3.11), V is parallel. Again, appealing to the same arguments as in the last part of the proof of part (i), we arrive at our conclusion. This completes the proof.

Acknowledgements The author sincerely thanks the referees for their valuable suggestions towards the improvement in this paper.

The author was funded by the University Grants Commission (UGC), India, in the form of a Senior Research Fellowship.

Data availability Data sharing is not applicable to this article as no datasets were generated or analysed during the current study.

Declarations

Competing interests The authors have no relevant financial or non-financial interests to disclose.

References

- Alías, L., Caminha, A., Nascimento, F.: A maximum principle at infinity with applications to geometric vector fields. J. Math. Anal. Appl. 474, 242–247 (2019)
- Calvino-Louzao, E., Hervella, L., Seoane-Bascoy, J., Vázquez-Lorenzo, R.: Homogeneous Cotton solitons. J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. 46, 285204 (2013)
- Calvino-Louzao, E., Garcia-Rio, E., Vázquez-Lorenzo, R.: A note on compact Cotton solitons. Class. Quantum Grav. 29, 205014 (2012)
- Chow, D., Pope, C., Sezgin, E.: Classification of solutions in topologically massive gravity. Class Quantum Grav. 27, 105001 (2010)
- 5. Cunha, A., Silva Junior, A.: On non-compact Cotton solitons. Lett. Math. Phys. 112, 87 (2022)
- Garcia, A., Hehl, F., Heinicke, C., Macıcındas, A.: The Cotton tensor in Riemannian spacetimes. Class. Quantum Grav. 21, 1099 (2004)
- Ghosh, A.: Ricci almost solitons satisfying certain conditions on the potential vector field. Publ. Math. Debrecen. 87, 103–110 (2015)
- Guven, J.: Chern–Simons theory and three-dimensional surfaces. Class. Quantum Grav. 24, 1833 (2007)
- 9. Kişisel, A., Sarıoğlu, Ö., Tekin, B.: Cotton flow. Class. Quantum Grav. 25, 165019 (2008)
- Lashkari, N., Maloney, A.: Topologically massive gravity and Ricci–Cotton flow. Class. Quantum Grav. 28, 105007 (2011)
- 11. Nouhaud, O.: Transformations infinitesimales harmoniques. CR Acad. Paris Ser. A **274**, 573–576 (1972)
- 12. Stepanov, S., Shelepova, V.: A note on Ricci solitons. Math. Notes 86, 447 (2009)
- Stepanov, S., Shandra, I.: Geometry of infinitesimal harmonic transformations. Ann. Glob. Anal. Geom. 24, 291–299 (2003)
- 14. Yano, K.: Integral formulas in Riemannian geometry. Marcel Dekker (1970)
- Yau, S.-T.: Some function-theoretic properties of complete Riemannian manifold and their applications to geometry. Indiana Univ. Math. J. 25, 659–670 (1976)
- York, J., Jr.: Gravitational degrees of freedom and the initial-value problem. Phys. Rev. Lett. 26, 1656 (1971)

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Springer Nature or its licensor (e.g. a society or other partner) holds exclusive rights to this article under a publishing agreement with the author(s) or other rightsholder(s); author self-archiving of the accepted manuscript version of this article is solely governed by the terms of such publishing agreement and applicable law.