

Twisted index on hyperbolic four-manifolds

Daniele Iannotti1,[2](http://orcid.org/0009-0009-0738-5998) · Antonio Pittelli3,[4](http://orcid.org/0000-0003-0616-0681)

Received: 23 August 2023 / Revised: 4 January 2024 / Accepted: 19 February 2024 / Published online: 7 March 2024 © The Author(s) 2024

Abstract

We introduce the topologically twisted index for four-dimensional $\mathcal{N} = 1$ gauge theories quantized on $AdS_2 \times S^1$. We compute the index by applying supersymmetric localization to partition functions of vector and chiral multiplets on AdS₂ $\times T^2$, with and without a boundary: in both instances we classify normalizability and boundary conditions for gauge, matter and ghost fields. The index is twisted as the dynamical fields are coupled to a *R*-symmetry background 1-form with non-trivial exterior derivative and proportional to the spin connection. After regularization, the index is written in terms of elliptic gamma functions, reminiscent of four-dimensional holomorphic blocks, and crucially depends on the *R*-charge.

Keywords Supersymmetry · Differential geometry · Gauge theory · Index theory · Dualities · Equivariant cohomology

Mathematics Subject Classification 81Q60 · 81T13 · 81T20 · 81T35 · 81T70 · 55N25 · 58J20

Contents

B Antonio Pittelli apittelli88@gmail.com

¹ Scuola Superiore Meridionale, Largo S. Marcellino 10, 80138 Napoli, Italy

² INFN, Sezione di Napoli, Italy

³ Dipartimento di Matematica, Università di Torino, Via Carlo Alberto 10, 10123 Turin, Italy

⁴ INFN, Sezione di Torino, Via Pietro Giuria 1, 10125 Torino, Italy

1 Introduction and summary

Supersymmetric field theories in both flat and curved spaces have been extensively studied over the years, serving as a crucial arena for advancing our theoretical understanding of quantum field theory (QFT), especially in the regime of strong interactions $[1-3]$ $[1-3]$. While the complete information of a OFT is contained in its generating functional of correlation functions, exact computations of this functional in interacting theories remain challenging. Nevertheless, the technique of supersymmetric localization [\[4\]](#page-21-3) has proven to be an extremely ductile tool, enabling exact non-perturbative computations of specific generating functionals and other observables in a large class of supersymmetric field theories defined on curved manifolds. In particular, localization techniques have been employed to study supersymmetric field theories on compact Riemannian manifolds, where a class of BPS observables can be precisely evaluated by reducing functional integrals to Gaussian integrals around a supersymmetric locus. Several such computations have been performed in various dimensions and for diverse topologies, leading to precious results [\[5](#page-21-4)[–21](#page-21-5)], see also [\[22\]](#page-21-6) and references therein.

Building on this success, this paper shifts attention toward studying supersymmetric gauge theories on non-compact hyperbolic manifolds, focusing on $AdS_2 \times T^2$, where by AdS*^d* we indicate *d*-dimensional Anti-de Sitter space with Euclidean signature. Gauge theories in AdS have been investigated in connection with monodromy defects [\[23](#page-21-7)], black-hole entropy [\[24](#page-21-8), [25](#page-21-9)], chiral algebras [\[26\]](#page-21-10) and holomorphic blocks [\[27](#page-21-11), [28](#page-22-0)]. Moreover, the isometry group of AdS_d being the (global) conformal group in $(d - 1)$ dimensions, QFT in AdS can be studied via conformal bootstrap [\[29,](#page-22-1) [30\]](#page-22-2). Applying supersymmetric localization to QFTs on non-compact manifolds is also interesting from a technical viewpoint as it requires the study of the behavior at infinity of the degrees of freedom contributing to the path integral. This is necessary to make sure that not only the final result for the partition function is convergent and well-defined, but also supersymmetry is preserved. Alternatively, one can consider a boundary at a specific distance from the origin of AdS and explore the interplay between supersymmetry, boundary conditions and boundary degrees of freedom [\[31](#page-22-3)[–39](#page-22-4)]. Partition functions of supersymmetric gauge theories on boundaries were also given intriguing interpretations in terms of enumerative-geometry and representation theory [\[40,](#page-22-5) [41\]](#page-22-6).

In this paper, we present a detailed calculation of partition functions for $\mathcal{N} = 1$ supersymmetric gauge theories defined on $AdS_2 \times T^2$. This manifold manifests itself as the near-horizon geometry of magnetic black strings obtained in five-dimensional gauged $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supergravity [\[42](#page-22-7)[–44](#page-22-8)]. The construction of supersymmetric theories in a fixed background geometry involves taking a suitable limit of new minimal supergravity, leading to background fields coupled to a supersymmetric gauge theory with an *R*-symmetry, incorporating ordinary vector and chiral multiplets [\[45](#page-22-9)[–47](#page-22-10)]. We turn on a non-trivial *R*-symmetry background field equal to half the spin connection, which is the usual setup corresponding to the topological twist, preserving on AdS₂ $\times T^2$ two Killing spinors of opposite chirality and *R*-charge. The metric we use on the four-manifold is refined by parameters that in the partition function combine into two complex moduli: one is a fugacity $p = e^{2\pi i \tau}$ for momentum on T^2 , with τ being the torus modular parameter, while the other is a fugacity *q* probing angular momentum on AdS2. Such fugacities allow for linking partition functions of supersymmetric gauge theories on AdS₂ × T^2 to flavored Witten indices for theories quantized on AdS₂ × S^1 , namely

$$
I_{\text{AdS}_2 \times T^2} = \text{Tr}_{\mathscr{H}} \Big[(-1)^{\mathcal{F}} e^{-2\pi \mathcal{H}} \Big] = \text{Tr}_{\mathscr{H}} \Big[(-1)^{\mathcal{F}} e^{-2\pi i \varphi_i Q_i} q^{-\mathcal{J}} p^{\mathcal{P}} \Big], \qquad (1.1)
$$

where *H* is the Hilbert space of BPS states on AdS₂ \times S¹, whereas the operator *H* is the Hamiltonian, $\mathcal F$ is the fermionic number, $\mathcal J$ is the angular momentum on AdS₂, $\mathcal P$ is the translation operator along S^1 , while φ_i and \mathcal{Q}_i are the chemical potential and the charge operator for the i -th flavor symmetry,^{[1](#page-2-0)} respectively. Technically, supersymmetric localization provides the plethystic exponential of the single-letter index [\(1.1\)](#page-2-1). For instance, the topologically twisted index on the four-dimensional hyperbolic manifold $AdS_2 \times T^2$ of supersymmetric quantum chromodynamics (SQCD) with gauge group $G = SU(2)$ and flavors $N_F = 3$ is given by the following contour integral over the Cartan torus of *G* involving a ratio of elliptic Gamma functions:

$$
Z = \int_{\mathscr{C}} d\gamma_G \frac{\prod_{i=1}^6 \Gamma_e \left(e^{2\pi i \left(q_R^{(i)} \gamma_R + q_F^{(i)} \gamma_F\right)} e^{2\pi i \gamma_G}; q, p\right) \Gamma_e \left(e^{2\pi i \left(q_R^{(i)} \gamma_R + q_F^{(i)} \gamma_F\right)} e^{-2\pi i \gamma_G}; q, p\right)}{\Gamma_e \left(e^{4\pi i \gamma_G}; q, p\right) \Gamma_e \left(e^{-4\pi i \gamma_G}; q, p\right)} \tag{1.2}
$$

where γ_G , γ_F and γ_R , respectively, are gauge, flavor and *R*-symmetry fugacities. The contour of integration $\mathscr C$ should be fixed via the Jeffrey–Kirwan prescription [\[48\]](#page-22-11) or by inspecting the analyticity properties of the integral [\[27](#page-21-11)]. A non-trivial test of the correct choice of the integration contour is the matching of partition functions corresponding to theories related by non-perturbative dualities.

In the presence of boundaries, two dual sets of boundary conditions do not break supersymmetry: either Dirichlet conditions, requiring the vanishing of fields at the boundary; or Robin conditions, requiring the vanishing of derivatives of fields at the boundary. In fact, derivatives are linear combinations of partial derivatives in directions that can be parallel and normal to the boundary, hence Robin conditions effectively are generalized Neumann conditions. A boundary then allows for constructing many different theories by just combining multiplets satisfying a priori different sets of supersymmetric boundary conditions. For example, (1.2) is the twisted index of a gauge theory where Robin boundary conditions were imposed on the vector multiplet and Dirichlet boundary conditions were imposed upon the chiral multiplet. An intriguing feature peculiar to the presence of boundaries is how boundary degrees of

¹ Although the *R*-symmetry does not commute with the supercharges, the corresponding fugacity φ_R appears in the index as all other flavor fugacities φ_i . Gauge fugacities appear as flavor fugacities that are integrated over.

freedom induce a *flip* of boundary conditions [\[34,](#page-22-12) [49](#page-22-13)]: for instance, suitably coupling a lower-dimensional matter multiplet to a four-dimensional chiral multiplet fulfilling Dirichlet boundary conditions effectively yields a chiral multiplet with Robin boundary conditions. At the level of the partition function, such a flip of boundary conditions is realized thanks to the multiplication properties of the special function appearing in the 1-loop determinants whose integral defines the index:

$$
Z_{1\text{-L}}^{\text{CM}}|_{R} = Z_{1\text{-L}}^{\text{CM}}|_{D} Z_{1\text{-L}}^{\text{CM}}|_{\partial} , \qquad Z_{1\text{-L}}^{\text{CM}}|_{\partial} = e^{2\pi i \Psi_{\partial}^{\text{CM}}} / \theta_{0} \Big(e^{2\pi i q_{i} \gamma_{i}}; q \Big) , \qquad (1.3)
$$

where $\theta_0(z,q) = (z,q)_{\infty}(q/z;q)_{\infty}$, with $(z;q)_{\infty} = \prod_{j\geq 0} (1 - zq^j)$ being the indefinite *q*-Pochhammer symbol, $Z_{1\text{-}L}^{\text{CM}}|_{D,R}$ are the four-dimensional chiral-multiplet 1-loop determinants of fluctuations satisfying Dirichlet and Robin boundary conditions, respectively, while $Z_{1\text{-}L}^{\text{CM}}|_{\partial}$ is the 1-loop determinant of the three-dimensional boundary multiplet.

In summary, generalizing localization techniques to the case of non-compact manifolds naturally opens up new avenues for exploration. Compelling future directions include a careful study of the phase factors $\Psi_{D,R}^{\text{CM}}$ and $\Psi_{D,R}^{\text{VM}}$ appearing after regularization of the 1-loop determinants for chiral and vector multiplets, as such phases encode important scheme-independent information about anomalies, vacuum energy and central charges of the corresponding gauge theory in hyperbolic spacetime [\[14](#page-21-12), [50](#page-22-14)[–53](#page-22-15)]. Furthermore, it would be very interesting to analyze the large-*N* limit of $\mathcal{N} = 4$ supersymmetric Yang–Mills theory with gauge group $SU(N)$ on AdS₂ $\times T^2$ as such limit should connect to the configurations of BPS black strings found in [\[42](#page-22-7), [43\]](#page-22-16), similar to those detected in the topologically twisted index on the compact manifold $S^2 \times T^2$ [\[54](#page-22-17)[–57\]](#page-23-0). In particular, the constraint

$$
\gamma_R - \frac{\omega}{2} + \alpha_x \frac{\tau}{2} = \frac{\alpha_y}{2} , \qquad \alpha_x, \alpha_y \in \mathbb{Z} , \qquad (1.4)
$$

which we derive in the main text, should also appear in the dual gravity theory with γ_R , ω being related to the electrostatic potential and the angular velocity of the supergravity solution, respectively. Besides, it would be very intriguing to investigate non-perturbative dualities for gauge theories on $AdS_2 \times T^2$; especially in relation of boundary degrees of freedom, which are known to be affected by such transformations in a non-trivial way [\[58](#page-23-1)]. Eventually, possible generalizations of this paper comprehend the inclusion of BPS defects, vortices or orbifold structures [\[59](#page-23-2)[–63\]](#page-23-3) on AdS₂ $\times T^2$, as all these objects yield further refinements of the index [\[64\]](#page-23-4).

Outline. In Sect. [2,](#page-4-0) we set up the background geometry by introducing the chosen metric and frame on AdS₂ $\times T^2$. We then find its rigid supersymmetric completion by solving the conformal Killing-spinor equation on $AdS_2 \times T^2$ endowed with a background field for the $U(1)_R$ *R*-symmetry that is proportional to the spin connection and encodes the topological twist. Thus, we show that such conformal Killing spinors also solve the Killing-spinor equation with a suitable choice of background fields descending from new minimal supergravity. Hence, we study periodicities and global smoothness of Killing spinors on topologically twisted $AdS_2\times T^2$. In Sect. [3,](#page-6-0) we write down the supersymmetric multiplets involved in our analysis, their supersymmetry variations in component fields and Lagrangians. Then, we rewrite the supersymmetry transformations in cohomological form by introducing a new set of fields that makes manifest the fundamental degrees of freedom contributing to the partition function. Moreover, we show how supersymmetric boundary conditions emerge from either supersymmetry-exact deformations of the Lagrangian or the equations of motion. In Sect. [4,](#page-12-0) we calculate the path integral of topologically twisted gauge theories on $AdS_2 \times T^2$ by means of supersymmetric localization. We first solve the BPS equations for vector and chiral multiplets, thus obtaining the supersymmetric locus over which dynamical fields fluctuate. Then, we calculate the contribution to the partition function of such fluctuations, giving rise to a non-trivial 1-loop determinant expressed as an infinite product that can be regularized in terms of special functions. We explicitly display the various possibilities corresponding to different choices of either boundary or normalizability conditions imposed on supersymmetric fluctuations.

2 Supersymmetric background

We choose the following line element on AdS₂ $\times T^2$:

$$
ds^{2} = L^{2}d\theta^{2} + L^{2}\sinh^{2}\theta (d\varphi + \Omega_{3}dx + \Omega_{4}dy)^{2} + L^{2}\beta^{2}\left[(dx + \tau_{1}dy)^{2} + \tau_{2}^{2}dy^{2} \right],
$$
\n(2.1)

where the four-dimensional metric $g_{\mu\nu}$ can be read off from the usual relation ds² = $g_{\mu\nu}dx^{\mu}dx^{\nu}$, with $x^{\mu} = (\theta, \varphi, x, y)$. In particular, $\theta \in [0, +\infty)$ and $\varphi \in [0, 2\pi)$ are coordinates on AdS₂, while *x*, $y \in [0, 2\pi)$ are coordinates on T^2 . The parameter *L* has dimension of length and encodes the radius of AdS_2 appearing, e.g., in the Ricci scalar $R_{\text{AdS}_2} = -2/L^2$. The dimensionless parameters $\tau_1, \tau_2 \in \mathbb{R}$, respectively, are real and imaginary part of the modular parameter $\tau = \tau_1 + i\tau_2$ of the torus T^2 , whereas $\Omega_3, \Omega_4 \in \mathbb{R}$ introduce in the partition function of the theory a fugacity $\omega = \tau \Omega_3 - \Omega_4$ for the angular momentum on AdS₂, as in [\[16](#page-21-13), [54](#page-22-17)]. Finally, $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$ parametrizes the scale of T^2 with respect to the radius of AdS₂. We shall also consider a boundary at $\theta = \theta_0 > 0$ to explore the interplay between boundary conditions and boundary degrees of freedom.

We adopt the orthonormal frame

$$
e1 = Ld\theta, \t e2 = L\sinh\theta(d\varphi + \Omega_3 dx + \Omega_4 dy),
$$

\n
$$
e3 = L\beta(dx + \tau_1 dy), \t e4 = L\beta\tau_2 dy, \t (2.2)
$$

satisfying, e.g., $g_{\mu\nu} = \delta_{ab}e^a_\mu e^b_\nu$ and $\delta^{ab} = g^{\mu\nu}e^a_\mu e^b_\nu$. In the frame [\(2.2\)](#page-4-1), the non-trivial components of the spin connection read

$$
\omega_{12} = -\omega_{21} = -\cosh\theta(\mathrm{d}\varphi + \Omega_3\mathrm{d}x + \Omega_4\mathrm{d}y) \,. \tag{2.3}
$$

On AdS₂ $\times T^2$, the conformal Killing-spinor equations,

$$
\left(\nabla_{\mu} - iA_{\mu}^{C}\right)\zeta + \frac{1}{4}\sigma_{\mu}\tilde{\sigma}^{\nu}\left(\nabla_{\nu} - iA_{\nu}^{C}\right)\zeta = 0,
$$
\n
$$
\left(\nabla_{\mu} + iA_{\mu}^{C}\right)\tilde{\zeta} + \frac{1}{4}\tilde{\sigma}_{\mu}\sigma^{\nu}\left(\nabla_{\nu} + iA_{\nu}^{C}\right)\tilde{\zeta} = 0,
$$
\n(2.4)

is solved by

$$
\zeta_{\alpha} = \sqrt{k_0} \, e^{\frac{i}{2} (\alpha_{\varphi}\varphi + \alpha_x x + \alpha_y y)} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}_{\alpha} , \quad \tilde{\zeta}^{\dot{\alpha}} = \sqrt{k_0} \, e^{-\frac{i}{2} (\alpha_{\varphi}\varphi + \alpha_x x + \alpha_y y)} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}^{\dot{\alpha}} , \quad (2.5)
$$

where $k_0 \in \mathbb{C}$ is a normalization constant and $\alpha_{2,3,4} \in \mathbb{R}$ parametrize non-trivial phases of ζ , $\widetilde{\zeta}$ along the three circles inside AdS₂ $\times T^2$, while A^{*C*} is the background field

$$
A^{C} = \frac{1}{2}(\omega_{12} + \alpha_{\varphi}d\varphi + \alpha_{x}dx + \alpha_{y}dy).
$$
 (2.6)

Moreover, the spinors (2.5) fulfill the Killing-spinor equations

$$
\begin{aligned} \left(\nabla_{\mu} - \mathrm{i}A_{\mu}\right)\zeta + \mathrm{i}V_{\mu}\zeta + \mathrm{i}V^{\nu}\sigma_{\mu\nu}\zeta &= 0 \;,\\ \left(\nabla_{\mu} + \mathrm{i}A_{\mu}\right)\widetilde{\zeta} - \mathrm{i}V_{\mu}\widetilde{\zeta} - \mathrm{i}V^{\nu}\widetilde{\sigma}_{\mu\nu}\widetilde{\zeta} &= 0 \;, \end{aligned} \tag{2.7}
$$

with background fields

$$
V = L\beta\kappa(dx + \tau dy),
$$

\n
$$
A = A^{C} + \frac{3}{2}V,
$$
\n(2.8)

where κ is an arbitrary constant and the 1-forms A^C and A are smooth on AdS₂ if α_φ = 1. Thus, the ζ and ζ reported in [\(2.5\)](#page-5-0) are Killing spinors of *R*-charge ± 1 , respectively. As the field strength $F^{(R)}$ of the *R*-symmetry field is non-trivial and satisfies $F^{(R)}$ = $dA = (1/2)d\omega_{12}$, the Killing spinors ζ and ζ describe a supersymmetric AdS₂ $\times T^2$ background with a topological twist on $AdS₂$, analogous to those investigated in the case of compact manifolds, e.g., in four [\[54](#page-22-17)] and three [\[16](#page-21-13)] dimensions. On a compact two-dimensional manifold \mathcal{M}_2 , the direct link between $F^{(R)}$ and $d\omega_{12}$ characterizing the topological twist implies that the *R*-symmetry flux equals the Euler characteristic of \mathcal{M}_2 , up to a sign. On a two-dimensional manifold with boundary \mathcal{B}_2 , the *R*symmetry flux f_R is proportional to the line integral of *A* along the one-dimensional boundary ∂*B*2. Indeed, applying Stokes' theorem to the smooth *R*-symmetry field $A_{(0)} = A|_{\alpha_{\omega}=1}$ gives

$$
\mathfrak{f}_R = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathcal{B}_2} F^{(R)} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathcal{B}_2} dA_{(0)} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \oint_{S_0^1} A_{(0)} = \frac{1}{2} (1 - \cosh \theta_0), \quad (2.9)
$$

with \mathcal{B}_2 being AdS₂ with a boundary at $\theta = \theta_0$ and $S_0^1 = \partial \mathcal{B}_2$ being the circle in AdS₂ at $\theta = \theta_0$. As observed in [\[24](#page-21-8)], on AdS₂ fluxes are not quantized, as opposed to what happens, e.g., on the two-sphere, where the single-valuedness of transition functions between different patches requires all fluxes to take integer values.

Imposing either periodicity or anti-periodicity of the Killing spinors ζ , ζ along the torus circles parametrized by *x* and *y* yields

$$
\alpha_x, \alpha_y \in \mathbb{Z} \ . \tag{2.10}
$$

Furthermore, smoothness of the *R*-symmetry field *A* requires $\alpha_{\varphi} = 1$, implying the anti-periodicity of the Killing spinors along the shrinking circle in $AdS₂$ parametrized by φ . The Killing spinors reported in [\(2.5\)](#page-5-0) are manifestly smooth in every point of the four-manifold apart from the origin as ζ , ζ are written in the frame [\(2.2\)](#page-4-1), which is singular at $\theta = 0$ due to φ being undefined at the origin. Smoothness at $\theta = 0$ can be examined by first rotating (2.2) into a frame that is non-singular at the origin via a local Lorentz transformation $l^a{}_b$,

$$
\delta e^{a}{}_{\mu} = -\ell^{a}{}_{b}e^{b}{}_{\mu} , \qquad \qquad \ell^{a}{}_{b} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \varphi \\ -\varphi & 0 \end{pmatrix}^{a}{}_{b} , \qquad (2.11)
$$

which in turn induces the following rotation upon ζ , ζ :

$$
\zeta' = \mathfrak{L}^{-1} \zeta = \sqrt{k_0} e^{\frac{i}{2} \left[(\alpha_\varphi - 1) \varphi + \alpha_x x + \alpha_y y \right]} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix},
$$

$$
\widetilde{\zeta}' = \widetilde{\mathfrak{L}}^{-1} \widetilde{\zeta} = \sqrt{k_0} e^{-\frac{i}{2} \left[(\alpha_\varphi - 1) \varphi + \alpha_x x + \alpha_y y \right]} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix},
$$
 (2.12)

where

$$
\mathfrak{L} = \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\ell_{ab}\sigma^{ab}\right), \qquad \widetilde{\mathfrak{L}} = \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\ell_{ab}\widetilde{\sigma}^{ab}\right), \qquad (2.13)
$$

encode the action of local Lorentz transformations upon left- and right-handed spinors, respectively. The spinors ζ' , ζ' are independent of the coordinate φ if and only if $\alpha_{\varphi} = 1$, which is then the value making the Killing spinors smooth on the whole four-manifold.

3 Supersymmetry and cohomology

3.1 Vector multiplet

A vector multiplet enjoying $\mathcal{N} = 1$ supersymmetry consists of a 1-form a_{μ} encoding the gauge field, two complex spinors λ , $\tilde{\lambda}$ of opposite chirality parametrizing the gauginos and a 0-form *D* corresponding to an auxiliary field ensuring off-shell closure of the supersymmetry algebra. The fields $(a_{\mu}, \lambda, \lambda, D)$ have *R*-charges (0, +1, -1, 0), transform in the adjoint representation of the gauge group *G*. The vector-multiplet supersymmetry variations with respect to ζ , $\widetilde{\zeta}$ read

$$
\delta a_{\mu} = i \tilde{\zeta} \tilde{\sigma}_{\mu} \lambda + i \zeta \sigma_{\mu} \tilde{\lambda} ,
$$

\n
$$
\delta \lambda = f_{\mu\nu} \sigma^{\mu\nu} \zeta + i D \zeta ,
$$

\n
$$
\delta \tilde{\lambda} = f_{\mu\nu} \tilde{\sigma}^{\mu\nu} \tilde{\zeta} - i D \tilde{\zeta} ,
$$

\n
$$
\delta D = \tilde{\zeta} \tilde{\sigma}^{\mu} \left(D_{\mu} \lambda + \frac{3i}{2} V_{\mu} \lambda \right) - \zeta \sigma^{\mu} \left(D_{\mu} \tilde{\lambda} - \frac{3i}{2} V_{\mu} \tilde{\lambda} \right),
$$
 (3.1)

where *f* is the field strength of the gauge field *a* with components $f_{\mu\nu} = \partial_{\mu} a_{\nu}$ − $\partial_{\nu} a_{\mu} - i q_G [a_{\mu}, a_{\nu}]$. The constant q_G is the gauge charge appearing in the covariant derivative

$$
D_{\mu} = \nabla_{\mu} - \mathrm{i}q_R A_{\mu} - \mathrm{i}q_G a_{\mu} \circ_{\Re_G} , \qquad (3.2)
$$

where \circ_{\Re_G} represents the action upon a field Φ in the representation \Re_G of the gauge group *G*. The bosonic fields a_{μ} and *D* of the vector multiplet satisfy the reality conditions

$$
a_{\mu}^{\dagger} = a_{\mu} , \qquad D^{\dagger} = -D , \qquad (3.3)
$$

whereas there is no need to impose reality conditions upon the vector-multiplet fermionic fields λ , $\tilde{\lambda}$. The supersymmetry transformations [\(3.1\)](#page-7-0) can be rewritten in cohomological form as follows:

$$
\delta a = \Lambda , \qquad \delta \Lambda = 2i(\mathcal{L}_K + \mathcal{G}_{\Phi_G})a ,
$$

\n
$$
\delta \Phi_G = 0 , \qquad \delta \Lambda = 2i(\mathcal{L}_K + \mathcal{G}_{\Phi_G})\Psi , \qquad (3.4)
$$

where we introduced the Grassmann-even 0-forms Φ_G , Δ as well as the Grassmannodd 0-form Ψ and 1-form Λ_{μ} given by

$$
\Phi_G = \iota_K a \,, \qquad \Delta = D - 2iY^\mu \widetilde{Y}^\nu f_{\mu\nu} \,,
$$

\n
$$
\Delta_{\mu} = i\widetilde{\zeta}\widetilde{\sigma}_{\mu}\lambda + i\zeta\sigma_{\mu}\widetilde{\lambda} \,, \qquad \Psi = \frac{\zeta^{\dagger}\lambda}{2i|\zeta|^2} - \frac{\widetilde{\zeta}^{\dagger}\widetilde{\lambda}}{2i|\widetilde{\zeta}|^2} \,,
$$

\n
$$
\lambda_{\alpha} = i(\Psi - \iota_{\widetilde{K}}\Lambda)\zeta_{\alpha} + i\frac{\iota_Y\Lambda}{|\zeta|^2}\zeta_{\alpha}^{\dagger} \,, \qquad \widetilde{\lambda}^{\dot{\alpha}} = -i(\Psi + \iota_{\widetilde{K}}\Lambda)\widetilde{\zeta}^{\dot{\alpha}} - i\frac{\iota_{\widetilde{Y}}\Lambda}{|\widetilde{\zeta}|^2}\widetilde{\zeta}^{\dagger\dot{\alpha}} \,, \qquad (3.5)
$$

with

$$
K^{\mu} = \zeta \sigma^{\mu} \tilde{\zeta} , \qquad Y^{\mu} = \frac{\zeta \sigma^{\mu} \tilde{\zeta}^{\dagger}}{2|\tilde{\zeta}|^{2}} , \qquad \tilde{Y}^{\mu} = -\frac{\zeta^{\dagger} \sigma^{\mu} \tilde{\zeta}}{2|\zeta|^{2}} , \qquad \tilde{K}^{\mu} = \frac{\tilde{\zeta}^{\dagger} \sigma^{\mu} \tilde{\zeta}^{\dagger}}{4|\zeta|^{2}|\tilde{\zeta}|^{2}} , \qquad (3.6)
$$

 $\textcircled{2}$ Springer

being the Killing-spinor bilinears defined in [\[54](#page-22-17)]. The norms $|\zeta|^2$ and $|\tilde{\zeta}|^2$ descend
from the complex conjugates of the Killing enjagge which are from the complex conjugates of the Killing spinors, which are

$$
\zeta^{\dagger \alpha} = (\zeta_{\alpha})^* = \sqrt{k_0^*} e^{-\frac{i}{2} (\alpha_{\varphi} \varphi + \alpha_x x + \alpha_y y)} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}^{\alpha} , \qquad |\zeta|^2 = |k_0| ,
$$

$$
\widetilde{\zeta}_{\dot{\alpha}}^{\dagger} = (\widetilde{\zeta}^{\dot{\alpha}})^* = \sqrt{k_0^*} e^{\frac{i}{2} (\alpha_{\varphi} \varphi + \alpha_x x + \alpha_y y)} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}_{\dot{\alpha}} , \qquad |\widetilde{\zeta}|^2 = |k_0| , \qquad (3.7)
$$

providing in turn the reality conditions on Killing-spinor bilinears:

$$
K_{\mu}^* = 4|\zeta|^2|\widetilde{\zeta}|^2\widetilde{K}^{\mu}, \qquad \qquad Y_{\mu}^* = \frac{|\zeta|^2}{|\widetilde{\zeta}|^2}\widetilde{Y}^{\mu}. \qquad (3.8)
$$

In particular, the Killing-spinor equations (2.7) imply that K^{μ} , which in our setup reads

$$
K^{\mu}\partial_{\mu} = \frac{\mathrm{i}k_0}{L\beta\tau_2} \big(\omega\partial_{\varphi} - \tau\partial_{x} + \partial_{y}\big) , \qquad (3.9)
$$

is a Killing vector:

$$
\nabla_{\mu} K_{\nu} = i\epsilon_{\mu\nu\lambda\rho} K^{\lambda} V^{\rho} \qquad \to \qquad \nabla_{(\mu} K_{\nu)} = 0. \tag{3.10}
$$

Moreover, the explicit expression for the vector Y^{μ} is

$$
Y^{\mu}\partial_{\mu} = -\frac{1}{2L}e^{i(\alpha_{\varphi}\varphi + \alpha_{x}x + \alpha_{y}y)}\left(\partial_{\theta} + \frac{i}{\sinh\theta}\partial_{\varphi}\right). \tag{3.11}
$$

In [\(3.4\)](#page-7-1), the supersymmetry variation δ manifests itself as an equivariant differential fulfilling

$$
\delta^2 = 2i(\mathcal{L}_K + \mathcal{G}_{\Phi_G}), \qquad (3.12)
$$

where the Lie derivative \mathcal{L}_K generates a spacetime isometry of the manifold while

$$
\mathcal{G}_{\Phi_G} a = -d_a \Phi_G = -d \Phi_G + i q_G [a, \Phi_G],
$$

\n
$$
\mathcal{G}_{\Phi_G} X = -i q_G \Phi_G \circ_{\Re_G} X, \qquad X \neq a,
$$
\n(3.13)

represent the action of gauge transformations upon fields. In the case of weakly gauged theories with background vector multiplets, (3.13) is interpreted as the action of the flavor group $G \equiv G_F$.

The vector-multiplet Lagrangian,

$$
\mathcal{L}_{\text{VM}} = \frac{1}{4} f_{\mu\nu} f^{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2} D^2 + \frac{i}{2} \lambda \sigma^\mu D_\mu \widetilde{\lambda} + \frac{i}{2} \widetilde{\lambda} \widetilde{\sigma}^\mu D_\mu \lambda - \frac{3}{2} V_\mu \widetilde{\lambda} \widetilde{\sigma}^\mu \lambda , \qquad (3.14)
$$

² Springer

is δ -exact up to boundary terms,

$$
\mathcal{L}_{\text{VM}} = \delta V_{\text{VM}} + \frac{i}{2} \nabla_{\mu} \left[\widetilde{Y}^{\mu} (\lambda \lambda) - Y^{\mu} (\widetilde{\lambda \lambda}) \right],
$$

= $\delta V_{\text{VM}} + i \nabla_{\mu} \left[\widetilde{Y}^{\mu} (\Psi - \iota_{\widetilde{K}} \Lambda) \iota_{Y} \Lambda - Y^{\mu} (\Psi + \iota_{\widetilde{K}} \Lambda) \iota_{\widetilde{Y}} \Lambda \right],$ (3.15)

with deformation term

$$
V_{\rm VM} = \frac{1}{4|\zeta|^2} (\delta \lambda_\alpha)^\dagger \lambda_\alpha + \frac{1}{4|\widetilde{\zeta}|^2} \left(\delta \widetilde{\lambda}^{\dot{\alpha}} \right)^\dagger \widetilde{\lambda}^{\dot{\alpha}} ,
$$

= $\frac{1}{2} (\delta \Psi)^\dagger \Psi + \frac{1}{2} (\iota_{\widetilde{\kappa}} \delta \Lambda)^\dagger \iota_{\widetilde{\kappa}} \Lambda + \frac{1}{4|\zeta|^4} (\iota_{\widetilde{\kappa}} \delta \Lambda)^\dagger \iota_{\widetilde{\kappa}} \Lambda + \frac{1}{4|\widetilde{\zeta}|^4} (\iota_{\widetilde{\kappa}} \delta \Lambda)^\dagger \iota_{\widetilde{\kappa}} \Lambda .$
(3.16)

In the absence of boundaries, the total derivative in (3.15) is irrelevant and the corresponding action S_{VM} is δ -exact and then manifestly supersymmetric. In the presence of boundaries, the total-derivative terms in (3.15) drop out if the following dual sets of supersymmetric boundary conditions are imposed:

Robin:

\n
$$
\iota_{Y}a|_{\partial} = \iota_{\widetilde{Y}}a|_{\partial} = \iota_{Y}\Lambda|_{\partial} = \iota_{\widetilde{Y}}\Lambda|_{\partial} = 0,
$$
\nDirichlet:

\n
$$
\iota_{K}a|_{\partial} = \iota_{\widetilde{K}}a|_{\partial} = \Psi_{\partial} = \iota_{\widetilde{K}}\Lambda|_{\partial} = 0,
$$
\n(3.17)

together with the vanishing of the corresponding supersymmetry variations. Especially, Dirichlet conditions only affect the components of the gauge field a_{μ} that are parallel to the boundary, whereas Robin conditions mix with each other components that are either parallel or orthogonal to the boundary. After including Faddeev–Popov ghosts c, \tilde{c} and their supersymmetric completion, as, e.g., in [\[4](#page-21-3), [32\]](#page-22-18), the BRSTimproved supersymmetry variation $(\delta + \delta_{BRST})a_{\mu} = (\Lambda_{\mu} + D_{\mu}c)$ implies

Robin:

\n
$$
L_{\widetilde{Y}}c|_{\partial} = L_{Y}c|_{\partial} = L_{\widetilde{Y}}\widetilde{c}|_{\partial} = L_{Y}\widetilde{c}|_{\partial} = 0,
$$
\nDirichlet:

\n
$$
c|_{\partial} = \widetilde{c}|_{\partial} = 0.
$$
\n(3.18)

3.2 Chiral multiplet

 $\mathcal{N} = 1$ chiral multiplets in a representation \mathfrak{R}_G of the gauge group *G* contain a 0form ϕ , a left-handed spinor ψ and a 0-form *F*, where the latter is a non-dynamical field that, in analogy with *D*, allows the closure of the supersymmetry algebra on the chiral multiplet without using the equations of motion. The fields (ϕ , ψ , *F*), whose *R*charges are $(r, r - 1, r - 2)$, are related to each other by the following supersymmetry variations:

$$
\delta \phi = \sqrt{2} \zeta \psi ,
$$

\n
$$
\delta \psi = \sqrt{2} F \zeta + i \sqrt{2} (\sigma^{\mu} \widetilde{\zeta}) D_{\mu} \phi ,
$$

\n
$$
\delta F = i \sqrt{2} \widetilde{\zeta} \widetilde{\sigma}^{\mu} \left(D_{\mu} \psi - \frac{i}{2} V_{\mu} \psi \right) - 2i (\widetilde{\zeta \lambda}) \phi .
$$
\n(3.19)

The bosonic fields ϕ and F of the chiral multiplet fulfill the reality conditions

$$
\phi^{\dagger} = \phi \,, \qquad F^{\dagger} = -\widetilde{F} \,, \qquad (3.20)
$$

where ϕ and *F*, together with the right-handed spinor ψ , form an anti-chiral multi-
plot² in the conjuncts representation $\overline{\mathcal{R}}$ of the squase group *G*. Their supersummatrix plet^{[2](#page-10-0)} in the conjugate representation $\overline{\mathfrak{R}}_G$ of the gauge group *G*. Their supersymmetry transformation reads

$$
\delta \widetilde{\phi} = \sqrt{2} \widetilde{\zeta} \widetilde{\psi} ,
$$

\n
$$
\delta \widetilde{\psi} = \sqrt{2} \widetilde{F} \widetilde{\zeta} + i \sqrt{2} (\widetilde{\sigma}^{\mu} \zeta) D_{\mu} \widetilde{\phi} ,
$$

\n
$$
\delta \widetilde{F} = i \sqrt{2} \widetilde{\zeta} \sigma^{\mu} \left(D_{\mu} \widetilde{\psi} + \frac{i}{2} V_{\mu} \widetilde{\psi} \right) + 2i \widetilde{\phi} (\zeta \lambda) .
$$
\n(3.21)

If we define cohomological fields corresponding to the Grassmann-odd 0-forms *B*,*C* and the Grassmann-even 0-form

$$
B = \frac{\zeta^{\dagger} \psi}{\sqrt{2}|\zeta|^2},
$$

\n
$$
\psi = \sqrt{2}B\zeta - \frac{C\zeta^{\dagger}}{\sqrt{2}|\zeta|^2},
$$

\n
$$
E = F - 2iL_{\widetilde{Y}}\phi, \qquad (3.22)
$$

where

$$
L_v = v^{\mu} D_{\mu} , \qquad \qquad v \in \{K, \widetilde{K}, Y, \widetilde{Y}\}, \qquad (3.23)
$$

is the covariant Lie derivative along the vector v , the relations (3.19) can be written in cohomological form:

$$
\delta X_i = X'_i , \qquad \delta X'_i = 2i(\mathcal{L}_K - i q_R \Phi_R + \mathcal{G}_{\Phi_G}) X_i , \qquad (3.24)
$$

with $i = 1, 2$, where $X_1 = \phi$, $X_2 = B$, $X'_1 = C$ and $X'_2 = \Xi$. The supersymmetry variation of the auxiliary field *F* in cohomological form is

$$
\delta F = 2i(L_K B + L_{\widetilde{Y}} C - i\iota_{\widetilde{Y}} \Lambda \phi). \tag{3.25}
$$

² In Euclidean, spacetime imposing reality conditions upon fermionic fields is not necessary.

The structure of [\(3.24\)](#page-10-2) implies that the supersymmetry variation δ behaves as an equivariant differential also on chiral-multiplet fields, where Φ_R is the *R*-symmetry counterpart of the 0-form Φ_G ,

$$
\Phi_R = \iota_K A = \frac{ik_0}{2L\beta\tau_2} \left(\omega \alpha_\varphi - \tau \alpha_x + \alpha_y \right). \tag{3.26}
$$

More generally, δ^2 acts upon a field *X* of *R*-charge q_R , flavor charge q_F and gauge charge *qG* as

$$
\delta^2 X = 2i L_K X = 2i \left(\mathcal{L}_K + \mathcal{G}_{\Phi_R} + \mathcal{G}_{\Phi_F} + \mathcal{G}_{\Phi_G} \right) X , \qquad (3.27)
$$

where

$$
\mathcal{G}_{\Phi_R} X = -\mathrm{i} q_R \Phi_R X \,, \qquad \Phi_R = \iota_K A = \frac{\mathrm{i} k_0}{2L \beta \tau_2} \big(\omega \alpha_\varphi - \tau \alpha_x + \alpha_y \big) \,, \qquad (3.28)
$$

while \mathcal{G}_{Φ_F} formally acts as in [\(3.13\)](#page-8-0) with respect to the flavor group G_F . The object Φ_R did not appear in [\(3.4\)](#page-7-1) as the fields $(a, \Lambda, \Phi_G, \Psi, \Delta)$ are *R*-symmetry neutral. The supersymmetry variations (3.21) can be recast in the form reported in (3.24) by defining

$$
\widetilde{B} = \frac{\widetilde{\zeta}^{\dagger} \widetilde{\psi}}{\sqrt{2}|\widetilde{\zeta}|^2},
$$
\n
$$
\widetilde{\psi} = \sqrt{2}\widetilde{B}\widetilde{\zeta} - \frac{\widetilde{C}\widetilde{\zeta}^{\dagger}}{\sqrt{2}|\widetilde{\zeta}|^2},
$$
\n
$$
\widetilde{\Xi} = \widetilde{F} + 2iL_Y\widetilde{\phi},
$$
\n(3.29)

and choosing $X_1 = \phi$, $X_2 = B$, $X'_1 = C$ as well as $X'_2 = \Xi$. In cohomological form, the supersymmetry variation of the auxiliary field F reads

$$
\delta \widetilde{F} = 2i \big(L_K \widetilde{B} - L_Y \widetilde{C} - i \widetilde{\phi}_{\ell Y} \Lambda \big) . \tag{3.30}
$$

The chiral-multiplet Lagrangian,

$$
\mathcal{L}_{\text{CM}} = D_{\mu}\widetilde{\phi}D^{\mu}\phi + iV^{\mu}[(D_{\mu}\widetilde{\phi})\phi - \widetilde{\phi}D_{\mu}\phi] + (r/4)\widetilde{\phi}\left(R + 6V^{2} + D\right)\phi - \widetilde{F}F
$$

+ $i\widetilde{\psi}\widetilde{\sigma}^{\mu}D_{\mu}\psi + (V^{\mu}/2)\widetilde{\psi}\widetilde{\sigma}_{\mu}\psi + i\sqrt{2}(\widetilde{\phi}\lambda\psi - \widetilde{\psi}\widetilde{\lambda}\phi),$ (3.31)

which in cohomological fields reads

$$
\mathcal{L}_{CM} = 4L_K \widetilde{\phi} L_{\widetilde{K}} \phi + 4L_Y \widetilde{\phi} L_{\widetilde{Y}} \phi - (\widetilde{\Xi} - 2iL_Y \widetilde{\phi})(\Xi + 2iL_{\widetilde{Y}} \phi) \n+ 2i(\kappa \widetilde{\phi} L_K \phi + \widetilde{B} L_K B + \widetilde{B} L_{\widetilde{Y}} C - \widetilde{C} L_Y B + \widetilde{C} L_{\widetilde{K}} C) - \kappa \widetilde{C} C \n+ q_G [\widetilde{C} \iota_{\widetilde{K}} \Lambda \phi - 2 \widetilde{\phi} \iota_Y \Lambda B + 2 \widetilde{B} \iota_{\widetilde{Y}} \Lambda \phi + \widetilde{C} \Psi \phi + \widetilde{\phi} (\Delta - 2i \iota_{\widetilde{K}} L_K a) \phi - \widetilde{\phi} (\Psi - \iota_{\widetilde{K}} \Lambda) C],
$$
\n(3.32)

is δ -exact with respect to the deformation term V_{CM} given by

$$
\mathcal{L}_{\text{CM}} = \delta V_{\text{CM}} \,, \quad V_{\text{CM}} = -2i\widetilde{B}L_{\widetilde{Y}}\phi - 2i\widetilde{C}L_{\widetilde{K}}\phi - \widetilde{F}B + q_{G}\widetilde{\phi}(\Psi - \iota_{\widetilde{K}}\Lambda)\phi - \kappa \widetilde{\phi}C \,.
$$
\n(3.33)

Consequently,

$$
\delta \mathcal{L}_{\text{CM}} = 2i \mathcal{L}_K V_{\text{CM}} = 2i \nabla_\mu \left(K^\mu V_{\text{CM}} \right). \tag{3.34}
$$

In the absence of boundaries, the supersymmetry variation of \mathcal{L}_{CM} being a total derivative readily implies that the variation of the corresponding action S_{CM} is zero. Moreover, since $K^{\theta} = 0$, in the presence of a boundary at $\theta = \theta_0$ the Lagrangian of the chiral multiplet is supersymmetric for any choice of boundary conditions, where the latter can be obtained by imposing the vanishing of the boundary terms generated by the equations of motion for to the bulk Lagrangian:

$$
\delta_{\text{eom}} \mathcal{L}_{\text{CM}} = (\text{bulk}) + 4 \nabla_{\mu} \left[Y^{\mu} \delta_{\text{eom}} \widetilde{\phi} L_{\widetilde{Y}} \phi + 4 \widetilde{Y}^{\mu} (L_{Y} \widetilde{\phi}) \delta_{\text{eom}} \phi \right] + 2i \nabla_{\mu} (\widetilde{Y}^{\mu} \widetilde{B} \delta_{\text{eom}} C - Y^{\mu} \widetilde{C} \delta_{\text{eom}} B) ,
$$
(3.35)

where the bulk terms vanish on the solution of the equations of motion. The boundary terms descending from the equations of motion cancel out if the following dual sets of supersymmetric boundary conditions are imposed:

Dirichlet :
$$
\phi_{\partial} = \tilde{\phi}_{\partial} = C_{\partial} = \tilde{C}_{\partial} = 0
$$
,
Robin : $B_{\partial} = \tilde{B}_{\partial} = (L_{\tilde{Y}}\phi)_{\partial} = (L_{Y}\tilde{\phi})_{\partial} = 0$. (3.36)

4 Supersymmetric localization

We now compute the partition function of gauge theories coupled to matter via supersymmetric localization [\[4](#page-21-3)]. We focus on Abelian gauge theories as the generalization to the non-Abelian case is straightforward. We start by deriving the supersymmetric locus solving the BPS equations; then, we will compute the 1-loop determinant of the fluctuations over the BPS locus.

4.1 BPS locus

The vector-multiplet BPS equations are

$$
\lambda = \delta \lambda = \widetilde{\lambda} = \delta \widetilde{\lambda} = 0 , \qquad (4.1)
$$

which in cohomological form read

$$
(\mathcal{L}_K + \mathcal{G}_{\Phi_G})a = 0, \qquad D - 2iY^{\mu}\widetilde{Y}^{\nu}f_{\mu\nu} = 0. \qquad (4.2)
$$

 \mathcal{D} Springer

We employ the following ansatz:

$$
a = \left[a_{\varphi}(\theta) + b_{\varphi}\right]d\varphi + \left[a_{x}(\theta) + b_{x}\right]dx + \left[a_{y}(\theta) + b_{y}\right]dy, \tag{4.3}
$$

where we set to zero the pure-gauge component $a_x(\theta)$, while a_{φ}, a_x, a_y are complex functions and the flat connections b_{ω} , b_{x} , b_{y} are complex constants, a priori. The gauge field above is smooth on AdS₂ if $b_\varphi = -a_\varphi(0)$. By plugging the ansatz [\(4.3\)](#page-13-0) into the BPS equations [\(4.2\)](#page-12-2), we obtain the complex BPS locus for the vector multiplet:

$$
\left[\omega a_{\varphi}(\theta) - \tau a_{x}(\theta) + a_{y}(\theta)\right]_{\text{BPS}} = a_{0} = \text{constant},
$$

$$
D|_{\text{BPS}} = L^{-2} \sinh^{-1}(\theta) a_{\varphi}'(\theta), \qquad (4.4)
$$

implying that the BPS value of the gauge fugacity Φ_G is manifestly constant,

$$
\Phi_G|_{\text{BPS}} = \frac{\mathrm{i}k_0}{L\beta\tau_2} \big(a_0 + \omega b_\varphi - \tau b_x + b_y\big) \,. \tag{4.5}
$$

Imposing the reality conditions reported in (3.3) yields the real BPS locus

$$
a_{\varphi}(\theta)|_{\text{BPS}} = a_{\varphi} = \text{constant},
$$

\n
$$
a_{x}(\theta)|_{\text{BPS}} = a_{x} = \text{constant},
$$

\n
$$
a_{y}(\theta)|_{\text{BPS}} = a_{y} = \text{constant},
$$

\n
$$
D|_{\text{BPS}} = 0,
$$

\n
$$
\text{Re}(\omega)a_{\varphi} - \tau_{1}a_{x} + a_{y} = \text{Re}(a_{0}),
$$

\n
$$
\text{Im}(\omega)a_{\varphi} - \tau_{2}a_{x} = \text{Im}(a_{0}).
$$
\n(4.6)

Using [\(4.6\)](#page-13-1) for a smooth connection on AdS₂ gives $b_{\varphi} = -a_{\varphi}$ and

$$
\Phi_G|_{\text{BPS}} = \frac{\mathrm{i}k_0}{L\beta\tau_2} \big[a_y + b_y - \tau (a_x + b_x) \big]. \tag{4.7}
$$

In the presence of a boundary at $\theta = \theta_0$, there are two possibilities: if Dirichlet conditions are imposed, then $\Phi_G|_{BPS}$ has to vanish at the boundary. Since $\Phi_G|_{BPS}$ is constant, Dirichlet conditions require $\Phi_G|_{BPS} = 0$ everywhere. Instead, Robin boundary conditions do not impose any constraint on $\Phi_G = \iota_K a$, which hence stays non-trivial.

The BPS equations for the chiral multiplet read

$$
\psi = \delta \psi = \widetilde{\psi} = \delta \widetilde{\psi} = 0 , \qquad (4.8)
$$

which in cohomological form are

$$
(\mathcal{L}_K - iq_R\Phi_R - iq_G\Phi_G)\phi = 0,
$$

\n
$$
(\mathcal{L}_K + iq_R\Phi_R + iq_G\Phi_G)\widetilde{\phi} = 0,
$$

\n
$$
F = 2iL\widetilde{\gamma}\phi,
$$

\n
$$
\widetilde{F} = -2iL\gamma\widetilde{\phi},
$$
\n(4.9)

with $\phi = \phi(\theta, \varphi, x, y)$ and $\phi = \phi(\theta, \varphi, x, y)$ being periodic in φ, x, y . For generic values of Φ_R , Φ_G , the trivial locus

$$
\phi = \widetilde{\phi} = F = \widetilde{F} = 0 , \qquad (4.10)
$$

is the only solution to [\(4.9,](#page-14-1) regardless of the presence of a boundary at $\theta = \theta_0$. In particular, the value of fields reported in [\(4.10\)](#page-14-2) trivializes the classical contribution to the partition function given, e.g., by superpotential terms.

4.2 One-loop determinant

The 1-loop determinant of supersymmetric fluctuations over the BPS locus for a chiral multiplet is

$$
Z_{1\text{-L}}^{\text{CM}} = \frac{\det_{\text{Ker}L_Y} \delta^2}{\det_{\text{Ker}L_{\widetilde{Y}}} \delta^2},\tag{4.11}
$$

with the kernel of the differential operator $L_Y = Y^{\mu} D_{\mu}$ being spanned by functions B_{m_φ,m_x,m_y} labeled by integers corresponding to the Fourier modes around the circles parametrized by φ , *x*, *y*:

$$
\text{Ker} L_Y: B_{m_{\varphi},m_x,m_y} = e^{\text{i}m_{\varphi}\varphi + \text{i}m_x x + \text{i}m_y y} B_{m_{\varphi},m_x,m_y}^{(0)}(\theta) , \qquad m_{\varphi}, m_x, m_y \in \mathbb{Z} .
$$
\n(4.12)

The behavior of the modes B_{m_{φ},m_x,m_y} at the origin of AdS₂ is

$$
\lim_{\theta \to 0} B_{m_{\varphi}, m_x, m_y}^{(0)}(\theta) \sim \theta^{m_{\varphi}}, \tag{4.13}
$$

meaning that B_{m_φ,m_x,m_y} is non-singular at $\theta = 0$ if $m_\varphi \in \mathbb{N}$. The modes B_{m_φ,m_x,m_y} satisfy the eigenvalue equation $\delta^2 B_{m_\varphi,m_x,m_y} = \lambda_B B_{m_\varphi,m_x,m_y}$, where

$$
\lambda_B = \frac{2ik_0}{L\beta\tau_2} \left[-\omega m_\varphi + \tau m_x - m_y + q_G(a_0 + b_y - \tau b_x - \omega a_\varphi) + \frac{r-2}{2} (\omega - \tau \alpha_x + \alpha_y) \right],\tag{4.14}
$$

 \bigcirc Springer

is the eigenvalue contributing to the numerator of Z_{1-L}^{CM} . Analogously, the kernel of the differential operator $L_{\tilde{Y}} = \tilde{Y}^{\mu} D_{\mu}$ is spanned by functions $\phi_{n_{\varphi},n_{x},n_{y}},$

$$
\text{Ker}\,L_{\widetilde{Y}}:\phi_{n_{\varphi},n_{x},n_{y}}=e^{\mathrm{i}n_{\varphi}\varphi+\mathrm{i}n_{x}x+\mathrm{i}n_{y}y}\phi_{n_{\varphi},n_{x},n_{y}}^{(0)}(\theta),\quad n_{\varphi},n_{x},n_{y}\in\mathbb{Z},\qquad(4.15)
$$

whose behavior near the origin of $AdS₂$ is

$$
\lim_{\theta \to 0} \phi_{n_{\varphi}, n_x, n_y}^{(0)}(\theta) \sim \theta^{-n_{\varphi}}, \tag{4.16}
$$

implying that $\phi_{n_{\varphi},n_x,n_y}$ is non-singular at $\theta = 0$ if $(-n_{\varphi}) = \ell_{\varphi} \in \mathbb{N}$. The modes ϕ_{n_φ,n_x,n_y} are eigenfunctions of the operator δ^2 with eigenvalue

$$
\lambda_{\phi} = \frac{2ik_0}{L\beta\tau_2} \Big[\omega\ell_{\varphi} + \tau n_x - n_y + q_G\big(a_0 + b_y - \tau b_x - \omega a_{\varphi}\big) + \frac{r}{2} \big(\omega - \tau \alpha_x + \alpha_y\big) \Big],\tag{4.17}
$$

which contributes to the denominator of Z_{1-L}^{CM} . In both λ_B and λ_{ϕ} the *R*-charges $q_R^{\phi} = r$, $q_R^B = (r - 2)$ as well as the gauge charge q_G , respectively, multiply the same quantities γ_R and γ_G , where

$$
\gamma_R = \frac{1}{2} (\omega - \tau \alpha_x + \alpha_y),
$$

\n
$$
\gamma_G = a_0 - \omega a_\varphi - \tau b_x + b_y.
$$
\n(4.18)

Especially, the first line in (4.18) can be interpreted as a constraint on the chemical potentials γ_R , ω , τ , as in the case of gauge theories on $S^3 \times S^1$ dual to AdS₅ black holes $[18]$.

In the presence of boundaries, we have two possible 1-loop determinants: on the one hand, if we impose Dirichlet conditions, the modes $\phi_{n_{\varphi},n_x,n_y}$ have to satisfy a first-order homogeneous differential equation with boundary condition $\phi_{n_{\varphi},n_{x},n_{y}}|_{\partial}=0$, implying $\phi_{n_\varphi,n_x,n_y} = 0$. Therefore, Dirichlet conditions kill the modes ϕ_{n_φ,n_x,n_y} contributing to the denominator of Z_{1-L}^{CM} , leaving the modes B_{m_{φ},m_x,m_y} unaffected. The result is

$$
Z_{1\text{-L}}^{\text{CM}}|_{D} = \prod_{m_{\varphi} \in \mathbb{N}} \prod_{m_{x}, m_{y} \in \mathbb{Z}} \left[\omega m_{\varphi} - \tau m_{x} + m_{y} - q_{G} \gamma_{G} - (r - 2) \gamma_{R} \right], \qquad (4.19)
$$

which can be regularized by means of $(A.4)$, yielding

$$
Z_{1\text{-}L}^{\text{CM}}|_{D} = e^{2\pi i \Psi_{D}^{\text{CM}}} / \Gamma_{e} \Big(e^{2\pi i (2\gamma_{R} - q_{i}\gamma_{i})}; q, p \Big) ,
$$

\n
$$
\Psi_{D}^{\text{CM}} = \frac{1}{24\tau \omega} (1 + \tau - 2(2\gamma_{R} - q_{i}\gamma_{i}) + \omega) \times \left[2(2\gamma_{R} - q_{i}\gamma_{i})(2\gamma_{R} - q_{i}\gamma_{i}) - \omega - 1 \right) + \omega + \tau (1 - 2(2\gamma_{R} - q_{i}\gamma_{i}) + \omega) \Big], \tag{4.20}
$$

 \mathcal{D} Springer

$$
Z_{1\text{-L}}^{\text{CM}}|_{R} = \prod_{\ell_{\varphi} \in \mathbb{N}} \prod_{n_{x}, n_{y} \in \mathbb{Z}} \left[\omega \ell_{\varphi} + \tau n_{x} - n_{y} + q_{G} \gamma_{G} + r \gamma_{R} \right]^{-1}, \tag{4.21}
$$

whose regularized form provided by $(A.4)$ reads

$$
Z_{1\text{-}L}^{\text{CM}}|_{R} = e^{2\pi i \Psi_{R}^{\text{CM}}} \Gamma_{e} \Big(e^{2\pi i q_{i} \gamma_{i}}; q, p \Big) ,
$$

\n
$$
\Psi_{R}^{\text{CM}} = -\frac{1}{24\tau \omega} (1 + \tau - 2q_{i} \gamma_{i} + \omega)
$$

\n
$$
\Big[2q_{i} \gamma_{i} (q_{i} \gamma_{i} - \omega - 1) + \omega + \tau (1 - 2q_{i} \gamma_{i} + \omega) \Big].
$$
\n(4.22)

Dual 1-loop determinants are mapped to each other by multiplication of 1-loop determinants corresponding to boundary multiplets:

$$
Z_{1\text{-L}}^{\text{CM}}|_{R} = Z_{1\text{-L}}^{\text{CM}}|_{D} Z_{1\text{-L}}^{\text{CM}}|_{\partial} , \qquad Z_{1\text{-L}}^{\text{CM}}|_{\partial} = e^{2\pi i \Psi_{\partial}^{\text{CM}}} / \theta_{0} \Big(e^{2\pi i q_{i} \gamma_{i}}; q \Big) ,
$$

\n
$$
Z_{1\text{-L}}^{\text{CM}}|_{D} = Z_{1\text{-L}}^{\text{CM}}|_{R} Z_{1\text{-L}}^{\text{FM}}|_{\partial} , \qquad Z_{1\text{-L}}^{\text{FM}}|_{\partial} = e^{-2\pi i \Psi_{\partial}^{\text{CM}}} \theta_{0} \Big(e^{2\pi i q_{i} \gamma_{i}}; q \Big) , \qquad (4.23)
$$

with the boundary phase being given by

$$
\Psi_{\partial}^{\text{CM}} = -\frac{1}{12\omega} \Big[1 + 6q_i \gamma_i \big(q_j \gamma_j - 1 - \omega \big) + \omega (3 + \omega) \Big] = \Psi_R^{\text{CM}} - \Psi_D^{\text{CM}}.
$$
 (4.24)

We utilized the superscripts CM and FM to indicate that the expressions *Z*_{1-L} |∂ and *Z*_{I-L}|∂ can be interpreted as partition functions of two-dimensional chiral and Fermi multiplets. The dependence on the variable *q* signals that these multiplets live on a twodimensional torus characterized by the modular parameter ω . A similar observation was made in prior studies such as [\[34](#page-22-12), [49](#page-22-13)], wherein a comprehensive examination of such boundary multiplets was conducted.

Eventually, in the absence of boundaries, we require that both $\phi_{n_{\varphi},n_{x},n_{y}}$ and B_{m_{ω},m_x,m_y} are square integrable on AdS₂ × T^2 according to the integral measure

$$
\int_{\text{AdS}_2 \times T^2} \sqrt{\det g} \, |\Phi|^2 \,. \tag{4.25}
$$

By inspection, the behavior of the modes B_{m_{φ},m_x,m_y} at infinity is

$$
\lim_{\theta \to +\infty} B_{m_{\varphi}, m_x, m_y}^{(0)}(\theta) \sim e^{\theta(r-2)/2} \,, \tag{4.26}
$$

 \mathcal{D} Springer

and taking into account that

$$
\lim_{\theta \to +\infty} \sqrt{\det g} \sim e^{\theta} , \qquad (4.27)
$$

as well as that the modes are finite near the origin at $\theta \sim 0$, normalizability of B_{m_φ,m_x,m_y} requires

$$
r < 1 \tag{4.28}
$$

Analogously, the behavior of the modes $\phi_{n_{\varphi},n_{x},n_{y}}$ at infinity is

$$
\lim_{\theta \to +\infty} \phi_{n_{\varphi}, n_x, n_y}^{(0)}(\theta) \sim e^{-\theta r/2}, \qquad (4.29)
$$

and normalizability of $\phi_{n_{\varphi},n_{x},n_{y}}$ imposes

$$
r > 1. \tag{4.30}
$$

In summary,

$$
Z_{1-\text{L}}^{\text{CM}}|_{r<1} = Z_{1-\text{L}}^{\text{CM}}|_{D} , \qquad Z_{1-\text{L}}^{\text{CM}}|_{r>1} = Z_{1-\text{L}}^{\text{CM}}|_{R} , \qquad (4.31)
$$

and $Z_{1\text{-}L}^{\text{CM}}|_{r=1} = 1$ as there are no normalizable modes for $r = 1$.

Similarly to what happens in three dimensions [\[32\]](#page-22-18), the 1-loop determinant for a non-Abelian vector multiplet enjoying $\mathcal{N} = 1$ supersymmetry is

$$
Z_{1\text{-L}}^{\text{VM}} = \sqrt{\frac{(\det_{\Psi} \delta^2)(\det_{c} \delta^2)(\det_{\tilde{c}} \delta^2)}{(\det_{\tilde{k}a} \delta^2)(\det_{\iota_{\tilde{Y}a} \delta^2})(\det_{\iota_{\tilde{Y}a} \delta^2})}},
$$
(4.32)

where Ψ , *c* and \tilde{c} contribute as modes B_{m_{α},m_x,m_y} , in the adjoint representation of the gauge group *G*, with *R*-charge $r = 2$, while $\iota \tilde{\gamma} a$, $\iota \tilde{\chi} a$ and $\iota \gamma a$ contribute as modes $\phi_{n_{\omega},n_{x},n_{y}}$ in the adjoint of *G* with *R*-charges (2, 0, -2), respectively. Nonetheless, if Dirichlet conditions upon vector multiplet modes are imposed, only $\iota \tilde{\gamma}a$, $\iota \gamma a$ survive and after simplifications we find and after simplifications we find

$$
Z_{1\text{-L}}^{\text{VM}}|_{\text{D}} = \frac{1}{\det_{t_{Y}\mathcal{A}}\delta^{2}} = \left(\det_{\phi}\delta^{2}\right)_{r=2}^{-1} = \left(Z_{1\text{-L}}^{\text{CM}}|_{R}\right)_{r=2},\tag{4.33}
$$

where the product over roots of the adjoint representation of *G* is understood. On the other hand, Robin conditions kill $\iota \tilde{\gamma}a$, $\iota \gamma a$, leaving the other modes invariant;
therefore ofter a faul other simplifications. therefore, after a few other simplifications,

$$
Z_{1\text{-}L}^{\text{VM}}|_{R} = \det_{c} \delta^{2} = \left(\det_{B} \delta^{2}\right)_{r=2} = \left(Z_{1\text{-}L}^{\text{CM}}|_{D}\right)_{r=2}.
$$
 (4.34)

Instead, in the absence of boundaries, all modes appearing in [\(4.32\)](#page-17-0) do contribute, a priori. In fact, various contributions drop out, giving at the end of the day

$$
Z_{1\text{-L}}^{\text{VM}} = \frac{\det_c \delta^2}{\det_{lya} \delta^2} = \left(Z_{1\text{-L}}^{\text{CM}}\right)_{r=2} = \left(Z_{1\text{-L}}^{\text{CM}}|_{R}\right)_{r=2},\tag{4.35}
$$

where the last equality holds if we restrict to normalizable modes only, as in [\(4.31\)](#page-17-1).

4.3 The Seiberg dual of *SU(***2***)* **SQCD**

As an application, we calculate the partition function of SQCD with gauge group $SU(2)$ and three flavors N_F . The degrees of freedom of $SU(2)$ SQCD with $N_F = 3$ can be encoded by a vector multiplet in the adjoint and six chiral multiplets $\Phi_{(i)}$ with $i = 1, \ldots 6$ in the fundamental representation of the symplectic group $USp(2)$, respectively, whereas *i* is an index corresponding to the fundamental of the flavor symmetry $SU(6) = SU(2N_F)$. The partition function of this gauge theory, according to Seiberg dualities [\[65,](#page-23-5) [66](#page-23-6)], should be related to the partition function of a Wess– Zumino model of chiral multiplets characterizing fifteen mesons. Such a duality was used, e.g., in [\[16\]](#page-21-13) to test the four-dimensional uplift of the topologically twisted index in three dimensions.

The first check is ensuring that the theory is not plagued by anomalies affecting the gauge symmetry. Such anomalies make the partition function multivalued under large gauge transformations shifting the gauge fugacity γ_G by an integer number. The building blocks $Z_{1-\xi_{\text{M}}}^{\text{CM}}$ and $Z_{1-\xi_{\text{M}}}^{\text{VM}}$ consist of elliptic gamma functions and the phase factors $\Psi_{R,L}^{CM}$ and $\Psi_{R,L}^{NM}$. As elliptic gamma functions are single valued under integer shifts of γ_G , we just need to inspect the phases. Indeed, by considering Robin boundary conditions for the vector multiplet and Dirichlet boundary conditions for the chiral multiplets, the total phase factor Ψ does not depend on γ_G , ensuring the absence of gauge anomalies. Therefore, up to normalizations independent of γ_G , the partition function of $SU(2)$ SQCD with $N_F = 3$ flavors is given by the contour integral [\(1.2\)](#page-2-2) with *R*-symmetry and flavor charges satisfying

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{6} q_R^{(i)} = 2 , \qquad \sum_{i=1}^{6} q_F^{(i)} = 0 . \qquad (4.36)
$$

In particular, we used the same minimal coupling with respect to the flavor symmetry employed in [\[16](#page-21-13)]. We can compactify the notation by introducing the following complex variables w and *zi* :

$$
w = e^{2\pi i \gamma G} , \qquad z_i = e^{2\pi i q_F^{(i)} \gamma_F} , \qquad \prod_{i=1}^6 z_i = pq , \qquad (4.37)
$$

where the product of the collective flavor fugacities z_i is related to the refinement parameters *p* and *q* thanks to the constraint [\(1.4\)](#page-3-0). The integral yielding *Z* then reads

$$
Z = \int_{\mathscr{C}'} \frac{\mathrm{d}w}{2\pi i w} \frac{\prod_{i=1}^{6} \Gamma_e(z_i w; q, p) \Gamma_e(z_i w^{-1}; q, p)}{\Gamma_e(w^2; q, p) \Gamma_e(w^{-2}; q, p)} . \tag{4.38}
$$

In the case in which the contour *C'* is the unit circle, namely $C' = \{w \in \mathbb{C} : |w| = 1\}$, the integral above represents the elliptic extension of the binomial theorem, providing the result [\[67](#page-23-7)]

$$
Z = \frac{2}{(q;q)_{\infty}(p;p)_{\infty}} \prod_{i=1}^{5} \prod_{j=i+1}^{6} \Gamma_e(z_i z_j; q, p) ,
$$
 (4.39)

which, up to an overall constant independent of the flavor fugacities, is the partition function of the aforementioned Wess–Zumino model of fifteen chiral multiplets with Dirichlet boundary conditions, as expected from Seiberg dualities. Especially, writing down the charges $q_R^{(i)}$ and $q_F^{(i)}$ as components of the row vectors

$$
q_R^{(i)} = (1, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0)^i, \qquad q_F^{(i)} = (-2, -2, 1, 1, 1, 1)^i, \qquad (4.40)
$$

yields

$$
Z' = \Gamma_e \Big(e^{2\pi i (2\gamma_R - 4\gamma_F)}; q, p \Big) \Gamma_e \Big(e^{2\pi i (\gamma_R - \gamma_F)}; q, p \Big) \, \Gamma_e \Big(e^{4\pi i \gamma_F}; q, p \Big)^6 \,, \quad (4.41)
$$

which is a result formally analogous to that obtained in [\[16\]](#page-21-13).

A Regularization of infinite products

In the main text, we found that 1-loop determinants of supersymmetric multiplets on AdS₂ $\times T^2$ are triple infinite products of the form

$$
Q(b_0|a_0, c_0)_{\infty} = \prod_{\ell \in \mathbb{N}} \prod_{n_1, n_2 \in \mathbb{Z}} (a_0 n_1 + n_2 + c_0 \ell + b_0), \qquad (A.1)
$$

with a_0 , b_0 and c_0 being complex constants. The product above can be regularized by rewriting the products over $\mathbb Z$ as double products over $\mathbb N$ and using multiple Zeta and Gamma functions [\[68\]](#page-23-8):

$$
Q_{s_1,s_2}(b|a_{12},c)_{\infty} = \prod_{\ell \in \mathbb{N}} \prod_{n_1,n_2 \in \mathbb{N}} (a_{12}n_1 + n_2 + c\ell + b)(-a_{12}n_1 + n_2 + 1 - c\ell - b)
$$

\n
$$
\times [-a_{12}(n_1 + 1) + n_2 + c\ell + b][a_{12}(n_1 + 1) + n_2 + 1 - c\ell - b],
$$

\n
$$
= \frac{1}{\Gamma_3(b|c, a_{12}, 1)\Gamma_3(1 - b| - c, -a_{12}, 1)\Gamma_3(b - a_{12}|c, -a_{12}, 1)\Gamma_3(1 - b + a_{12}| - c, a_{12}, 1)} ,
$$

\n
$$
= e^{i\pi[\xi_3(0,b|c,a_{12}, 1) + \xi_3(0,1-b+a_{12}|-c,a_{12}, 1)]} \times (e^{2\pi i a_{12}})_{\infty} (e^{2\pi i (a_{12}-b)}; e^{-2\pi i c}, e^{2\pi i a_{12}})_{\infty},
$$

\n
$$
= e^{i\pi[\xi_3(0,b|c,a_{12}, 1) + \xi_3(0,1-b+a_{12}|-c,a_{12}, 1)]} \frac{(e^{2\pi i b}; e^{2\pi i c}, e^{2\pi i a_{12}})_{\infty}}{(e^{2\pi i (a_{12} + c - b)}; e^{2\pi i c}, e^{2\pi i a_{12}})_{\infty}},
$$

\n
$$
= e^{i\pi[\xi_3(0,b|c,a_{12}, 1) + \xi_3(0,1-b+a_{12}|-c,a_{12}, 1)]}/\Gamma_e(e^{2\pi i b}; e^{2\pi i c}, e^{2\pi i a_{12}}),
$$

\n(A.2)

where

$$
\Gamma_e(z, q_1, q_2) = \frac{(q_1 q_2 / z; q_1; q_2)_{\infty}}{(z; q_1; q_2)_{\infty}},
$$
\n(A.3)

is the elliptic Gamma function. Altogether,

$$
\prod_{\ell \in \mathbb{N}} \prod_{n_1, n_2 \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{1}{a_0 n_1 + n_2 + c_0 \ell + b_0} \to e^{2\pi i \Psi_{(3)}(a_{12}, b, c)} \Gamma_e \Big(e^{2\pi i b}; e^{2\pi i c}, e^{2\pi i a_{12}}\Big),
$$

$$
\Psi_{(3)}(a_{12}, b, c) = -\frac{1}{24 a_{12} c} (1 + a_{12} - 2b + c)[2b(b - c - 1) + c + a_{12}(1 - 2b + c)].
$$

(A.4)

Acknowledgements We thank Dario Martelli and Alberto Zaffaroni for enlightening discussions and for providing insightful comments on the manuscript.

Funding Open access funding provided by Università degli Studi di Torino within the CRUI-CARE Agreement.

Data availibility No additional research data beyond the data presented and cited in this work are needed to validate the research findings in this paper.

Declarations

Conflict of interest On behalf of all authors, the corresponding author states that there is no conflict of interest.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit [http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)

References

- 1. Seiberg, N., Witten, E.: Electric-magnetic duality, monopole condensation, and confinement in N=2 supersymmetric Yang-Mills theory. Nucl. Phys. B **426**, 19–52 (1994). [\[arXiv:hep-th/9407087\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/9407087). [Erratum: Nucl. Phys. B 430, 485–486 (1994)]
- 2. Intriligator, K.A., Seiberg, N.: Mirror symmetry in three-dimensional gauge theories. Phys. Lett. B **387**, 513–519 (1996). [\[arXiv:hep-th/9607207\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/9607207)
- 3. Alday, L.F., Gaiotto, D., Tachikawa, Y.: Liouville correlation functions from four-dimensional gauge theories. Lett. Math. Phys. **91**, 167–197 (2010). [\[arXiv:0906.3219\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/0906.3219)
- 4. Pestun, V.: Localization of gauge theory on a four-sphere and supersymmetric Wilson loops. Commun. Math. Phys. **313**, 71–129 (2012). [\[arXiv:0712.2824\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/0712.2824)
- 5. Imamura, Y., Yokoyama, S.: Index for three dimensional superconformal field theories with general R-charge assignments. JHEP **04**, 007 (2011). [\[arXiv:1101.0557\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/1101.0557)
- 6. Hama, N., Hosomichi, K., Lee, S.: SUSY gauge theories on squashed three-spheres. JHEP **05**, 014 (2011). [\[arXiv:1102.4716\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/1102.4716)
- 7. Kapustin, A., Willett, B.: Generalized superconformal index for three dimensional field theories. [arXiv:1106.2484](http://arxiv.org/abs/1106.2484)
- 8. Kallen, J.: Cohomological localization of Chern–Simons theory. JHEP **08**, 008 (2011). [\[arXiv:1104.5353\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/1104.5353)
- 9. Hama, N., Hosomichi, K.: Seiberg-Witten theories on ellipsoids. JHEP **09**, 033 (2012). [\[arXiv:1206.6359\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/1206.6359). [Addendum: JHEP 10, 051 (2012)]
- 10. Källén, J., Zabzine, M.: Twisted supersymmetric 5D Yang-Mills theory and contact geometry. JHEP **05**, 125 (2012). [\[arXiv:1202.1956\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/1202.1956)
- 11. Benini, F., Cremonesi, S.: Partition functions of $\mathcal{N} = (2, 2)$ gauge theories on S^2 and vortices. Commun. Math. Phys. **334**(3), 1483–1527 (2015). [\[arXiv:1206.2356\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/1206.2356)
- 12. Alday, L.F., Martelli, D., Richmond, P., Sparks, J.: Localization on three-manifolds. JHEP **10**, 095 (2013). [\[arXiv:1307.6848\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/1307.6848)
- 13. Benini, F., Eager, R., Hori, K., Tachikawa, Y.: Elliptic genera of 2d $\mathcal{N} = 2$ gauge theories. Commun. Math. Phys. **333**(3), 1241–1286 (2015). [\[arXiv:1308.4896\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/1308.4896)
- 14. Assel, B., Cassani, D., Martelli, D.: Localization on Hopf surfaces. JHEP **08**, 123 (2014). [\[arXiv:1405.5144\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/1405.5144)
- 15. Benini, F., Hristov, K., Zaffaroni, A.: Black hole microstates in AdS₄ from supersymmetric localization. JHEP **05**, 054 (2016). [\[arXiv:1511.04085\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/1511.04085)
- 16. Benini, F., Zaffaroni, A.: A topologically twisted index for three-dimensional supersymmetric theories. JHEP **07**, 127 (2015). [\[arXiv:1504.03698\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/1504.03698)
- 17. Closset, C., Cremonesi, S., Park, D.S.: The equivariant A-twist and gauged linear sigma models on the two-sphere. JHEP **06**, 076 (2015). [\[arXiv:1504.06308\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/1504.06308)
- 18. Cabo-Bizet, A., Cassani, D., Martelli, D., Murthy, S.: Microscopic origin of the Bekenstein–Hawking entropy of supersymmetric AdS₅ black holes. JHEP 10, 062 (2019). [\[arXiv:1810.11442\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/1810.11442)
- 19. Benini, F., Milan, E.: Black holes in 4D *N* =4 Super-Yang-Mills field theory. Phys. Rev. X **10**(2), 021037 (2020). [\[arXiv:1812.09613\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/1812.09613)
- 20. Panerai, R., Pittelli, A., Polydorou, K.: Topological correlators and surface defects from equivariant cohomology. JHEP **09**, 185 (2020). [\[arXiv:2006.06692\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/2006.06692)
- 21. Festuccia, G., Gorantis, A., Pittelli, A., Polydorou, K., Ruggeri, L.: Cohomological localization of *N* = 2 gauge theories with matter. JHEP **09**, 133 (2020). [\[arXiv:2005.12944\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/2005.12944)
- 22. Pestun, V., et al.: Localization techniques in quantum field theories. J. Phys. A **50**(44), 440301 (2017). [\[arXiv:1608.02952\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/1608.02952)
- 23. Giombi, S., Helfenberger, E., Ji, Z., Khanchandani, H.: Monodromy defects from hyperbolic space. JHEP **02**, 041 (2022). [\[arXiv:2102.11815\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/2102.11815)
- 24. Cabo-Bizet, A., Giraldo-Rivera, V.I., Pando Zayas, L.A.: Microstate counting of AdS₄ hyperbolic black hole entropy via the topologically twisted index. JHEP **08**, 023 (2017). [\[arXiv:1701.07893\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/1701.07893)
- 25. Rodriguez-Gomez, D., Russo, J.G.: Free energy and boundary anomalies on $\mathbb{S}^a \times \mathbb{H}^b$ spaces. JHEP **10**, 084 (2017). [\[arXiv:1708.00305\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/1708.00305)
- 26. Bonetti, F., Rastelli, L.: Supersymmetric localization in $AdS₅$ and the protected chiral algebra. JHEP **08**, 098 (2018). [\[arXiv:1612.06514\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/1612.06514)
- 27. Beem, C., Dimofte, T., Pasquetti, S.: Holomorphic blocks in three dimensions. JHEP **12**, 177 (2014). [\[arXiv:1211.1986\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/1211.1986)
- 28. Pittelli, A.: Supersymmetric localization of refined chiral multiplets on topologically twisted $H^2 \times S^1$. Phys. Lett. B **801**, 135154 (2020). [\[arXiv:1812.11151\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/1812.11151)
- 29. Carmi, D., Di Pietro, L., Komatsu, S.: A study of quantum field theories in AdS at finite coupling. JHEP **01**, 200 (2019). [\[arXiv:1810.04185\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/1810.04185)
- 30. Alday, L.F., Bissi, A., Zhou, X.: One-loop gluon amplitudes in AdS. JHEP **02**, 105 (2022). [\[arXiv:2110.09861\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/2110.09861)
- 31. Yoshida, Y., Sugiyama, K.: Localization of three-dimensional $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supersymmetric theories on $S^1 \times D^2$. PTEP **2020**(11), 113B02 (2020). [\[arXiv:1409.6713\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/1409.6713)
- 32. Assel, B., Martelli, D., Murthy, S., Yokoyama, D.: Localization of supersymmetric field theories on non-compact hyperbolic three-manifolds. JHEP **03**, 095 (2017). [\[arXiv:1609.08071\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/1609.08071)
- 33. David, J.R., Gava, E., Gupta, R.K., Narain, K.: Boundary conditions and localization on AdS, Part I. JHEP **09**, 063 (2018). [\[arXiv:1802.00427\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/1802.00427)
- 34. Longhi, P., Nieri, F., Pittelli, A.: Localization of $4d\mathcal{N} = 1$ theories on $\mathbb{D}^2 \times \mathbb{T}^2$. JHEP 12, 147 (2019). [\[arXiv:1906.02051\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/1906.02051)
- 35. Basu, R., Ray, A.: Supersymmetric Localization on dS: sum over topologies. Eur. Phys. J. C **80**(9), 885 (2020). [\[arXiv:1911.07480\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/1911.07480)
- 36. David, J.R., Gava, E., Gupta, R.K., Narain, K.: Boundary conditions and localization on AdS. Part II. General analysis. JHEP **02**, 139 (2020). [\[arXiv:1906.02722\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/1906.02722)
- 37. David, J.R., Gava, E., Gupta, R.K., Narain, K.S.: Discontinuities of free theories on *Ad S*2. [arXiv:2303.14354](http://arxiv.org/abs/2303.14354)
- 38. González Lezcano, A., Jeon, I., Ray, A.: Supersymmetric localization: $\mathcal{N} = (2)$ theories on S² and AdS2. JHEP **07**, 056 (2023). [\[arXiv:2302.10370\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/2302.10370)
- 39. González Lezcano, A., Jeon, I., Ray, A.: Supersymmetry and complexified spectrum on Euclidean AdS2. [arXiv:2305.12925](http://arxiv.org/abs/2305.12925)
- 40. Bullimore, M., Crew, S., Zhang, D.: Boundaries, vermas, and factorisation. JHEP **04**, 263 (2021). [\[arXiv:2010.09741\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/2010.09741)
- 41. Crew, S., Zhang, D., Zhao, B.: Boundaries and localisation with a topological twist. [arXiv:2306.16448](http://arxiv.org/abs/2306.16448)

42. Chamseddine, A.H., Sabra, W.A.: Magnetic strings in five-dimensional gauged supergravity theories. Phys. Lett. B **477**, 329–334 (2000). [\[arXiv:hep-th/9911195\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/9911195)

- 43. Klemm, D., Sabra, W.A.: Supersymmetry of black strings in D = 5 gauged supergravities. Phys. Rev. D **62**, 024003 (2000). [\[arXiv:hep-th/0001131\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/0001131)
- 44. Cassani, D., Klare, C., Martelli, D., Tomasiello, A., Zaffaroni, A.: Supersymmetry in Lorentzian curved spaces and holography. Commun. Math. Phys. **327**, 577–602 (2014). [\[arXiv:1207.2181\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/1207.2181)
- 45. Festuccia, G., Seiberg, N.: Rigid supersymmetric theories in curved superspace. JHEP **06**, 114 (2011). [\[arXiv:1105.0689\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/1105.0689)
- 46. Klare, C., Tomasiello, A., Zaffaroni, A.: Supersymmetry on curved spaces and holography. JHEP **08**, 061 (2012). [\[arXiv:1205.1062\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/1205.1062)
- 47. Dumitrescu, T.T., Festuccia, G., Seiberg, N.: Exploring curved superspace. JHEP **08**, 141 (2012). [\[arXiv:1205.1115\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/1205.1115)
- 48. Jeffrey, L.C., Kirwan, F.C.: Localization for nonabelian group actions (1994)
- 49. Dimofte, T., Gaiotto, D., Paquette, N.M.: Dual boundary conditions in 3d SCFT's. JHEP **05**, 060 (2018). [\[arXiv:1712.07654\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/1712.07654)
- 50. Benini, F., Bobev, N.: Exact two-dimensional superconformal R-symmetry and c-extremization. Phys. Rev. Lett. **110**(6), 061601 (2013). [\[arXiv:1211.4030\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/1211.4030)
- 51. Cassani, D., Martelli, D.: Supersymmetry on curved spaces and superconformal anomalies. JHEP **10**, 025 (2013). [\[arXiv:1307.6567\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/1307.6567)
- 52. Di Pietro, L., Komargodski, Z.: Cardy formulae for SUSY theories in *d* = 4 and *d* = 6. JHEP **12**, 031 (2014). [\[arXiv:1407.6061\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/1407.6061)
- 53. Assel, B., Cassani, D., Di Pietro, L., Komargodski, Z., Lorenzen, J., Martelli, D.: The Casimir energy in curved space and its supersymmetric counterpart. JHEP **07**, 043 (2015). [\[arXiv:1503.05537\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/1503.05537)
- 54. Closset, C., Shamir, I.: The $\mathcal{N} = 1$ chiral multiplet on $T^2 \times S^2$ and supersymmetric localization. JHEP **03**, 040 (2014). [\[arXiv:1311.2430\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/1311.2430)
- 55. Hosseini, S.M., Nedelin, A., Zaffaroni, A.: The Cardy limit of the topologically twisted index and black strings in AdS5. JHEP **04**, 014 (2017). [\[arXiv:1611.09374\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/1611.09374)
- 56. Hosseini, S.M., Hristov, K., Zaffaroni, A.: Microstates of rotating AdS5 strings. JHEP **11**, 090 (2019). [\[arXiv:1909.08000\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/1909.08000)
- 57. Hosseini, S.M., Hristov, K., Tachikawa, Y., Zaffaroni, A.: Anomalies, black strings and the charged Cardy formula. JHEP **09**, 167 (2020). [\[arXiv:2006.08629\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/2006.08629)
- 58. Gaiotto, D., Witten, E.: S-duality of boundary conditions in N=4 Super Yang-Mills theory. Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. **13**(3), 721–896 (2009). [\[arXiv:0807.3720\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/0807.3720)
- 59. Benini, F., Nishioka, T., Yamazaki, M.: 4d Index to 3d index and 2d TQFT. Phys. Rev. D **86**, 065015 (2012). [\[arXiv:1109.0283\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/1109.0283)
- 60. Alday, L.F., Fluder, M., Sparks, J.: The large N limit of M2-branes on Lens spaces. JHEP **10**, 057 (2012). [\[arXiv:1204.1280\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/1204.1280)
- 61. Imamura, Y., Yokoyama, D.: S^3/Z_n partition function and dualities. JHEP 11, 122 (2012). [\[arXiv:1208.1404\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/1208.1404)
- 62. Imamura, Y., Matsuno, H., Yokoyama, D.: Factorization of the *S*3/Z*ⁿ* partition function. Phys. Rev. D **89**(8), 085003 (2014). [\[arXiv:1311.2371\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/1311.2371)
- 63. Inglese, M., Martelli, D., Pittelli, A.: The spindle index from localization. [arXiv:2303.14199](http://arxiv.org/abs/2303.14199)
- 64. Drukker, N., Okuda, T., Passerini, F.: Exact results for vortex loop operators in 3d supersymmetric theories. JHEP **07**, 137 (2014). [\[arXiv:1211.3409\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/1211.3409)
- 65. Seiberg, N.: Electric-magnetic duality in supersymmetric nonAbelian gauge theories. Nucl. Phys. B **435**, 129–146 (1995). [\[arXiv:hep-th/9411149\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/9411149)
- 66. Intriligator, K.A., Pouliot, P.: Exact superpotentials, quantum vacua and duality in supersymmetric SP(N(c)) gauge theories. Phys. Lett. B **353**, 471–476 (1995). [\[arXiv:hep-th/9505006\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/9505006)
- 67. Spiridonov, V.P.: Superconformal indices, seiberg dualities and special functions. Phys. Part. Nucl. **51**(4), 508–513 (2020). [\[arXiv:1912.11514\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/1912.11514)
- 68. Friedman, E., Ruijsenaars, S.: Shintani-barnes zeta and gamma functions. Adv. Math. **187**(2), 362–395 (2004)

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.