

On the exponential diophantine equation $(a m^2 + 1)^x + (b m^2 - 1)^y = (c m)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ with $c \mid m$

Ruiqin Fu¹ · Hai Yang²

Published online: 12 November 2016 © Akadémiai Kiadó, Budapest, Hungary 2016

Abstract Let *a*, *b*, *c*, *m* be positive integers such that $a + b = c^2$, $2 | a, 2 \nmid c$ and $m > 1$. In this paper we prove that if $c \mid m$ and $m > 36c^3 \log c$, then the equation $(am^2 + 1)^x$ + $(bm^2 - 1)^y = (cm)^z$ has only the positive integer solution $(x, y, z) = (1, 1, 2)$.

Keywords Exponential diophantine equation · Existence of primitive divisor of Lucas and Lehmer numbers · Application of BHV theorem

Mathematics Subject Classification 11D61

1 Introduction

In recent years, many papers investigated pure ternary exponential diophantine equations (see $[6-15]$ $[6-15]$).

Let *a*, *b*, *c*, *m* be positive integers such that

$$
a + b = c^2, \ 2 \mid a, \ 2 \nmid c, \ m > 1. \tag{1.1}
$$

In this paper we discuss the equation

$$
(am2 + 1)x + (bm2 - 1)y = (cm)z, x, y, z \in N.
$$
 (1.2)

In 2012, Terai [\[13\]](#page-6-2) proved that if $(a, b, c) = (4, 5, 3)$, then (1.2) has only the solution $(x, y, z) = (1, 1, 2)$ under some conditions. Recently, Wang et al. [\[17](#page-6-3)] improved that for

B Hai Yang xpuyhai@163.com Ruiqin Fu xsyfrq@163.com

¹ School of Science, Xi'an Shiyou University, Xi'an 710065, Shaanxi, People's Republic of China

² School of Science, Xi'an Polytechnic University, Xi'an 710048, Shaanxi, People's Republic of China

 $(a, b, c) = (4, 5, 3)$ and $3 \nmid m$. Then [\(1.2\)](#page-0-0) has only the solution $(x, y, z) = (1, 1, 2)$. In this paper we prove a general result as follows:

Theorem 1.1 If $c \mid m$ and $m > 36c^3 \log c$, then [\(1.2\)](#page-0-0) has only the solution $(x, y, z) =$ (1, 1, 2).

As a direct consequence we get:

Corollary 1.2 If $(a, b, c) = (4, 5, 3)$ and $3 \mid m$ and $m > 1068$, then [\(1.2\)](#page-0-0) has only the solution $(x, y, z) = (1, 1, 2)$.

Thus, combining the result of $[17]$ and our corollary we get that (1.2) is basically solved for $(a, b, c) = (4, 5, 3)$.

2 Preliminaries

For any nonnegative integer *n*, let F_n and L_n be the *n*-th Fibonacci and Lucas number, respectively.

Lemma 2.1 ($\begin{bmatrix} 3 \end{bmatrix}$). The equation

$$
F_n = X^2, n, X \in \mathbf{N}.
$$

has only the solutions $(n, X) = (1, 1), (2, 1)$ and $(12, 12)$.

For any positive integer *D*, let *h*(−4*D*) denote the class number of positive binary quadratic forms of discriminant −4*D*.

Lemma 2.2 ([\[4](#page-6-5)], Theorems 11.4.3, 12.10.1 and 12.14.3]).

$$
h(-4D) < \frac{4}{\pi} \sqrt{D} \log \left(2e\sqrt{D}\right).
$$

Let *D*, D_1 , D_2 , *k* be positive integers such that min {*D*, D_1 , D_2 } > 1, gcd (*D*₁, D_2) = 1, $2 \nmid k$ and $gcd(D, k) = gcd(D_1, D_2, k) = 1$.

Lemma 2.3 ([\[5](#page-6-6)], Theorems 1 and 2]). If the equation

$$
X^{2} + DY^{2} = k^{z}, \text{ gcd}(X, Y) = 1, Z > 0, X, Y, Z \in \mathbf{Z}
$$
 (2.1)

has solutions (X, Y, Z) , then every solution (X, Y, Z) of (2.1) can be expressed as

$$
Z = Z_1 t, t \in \mathbb{N},
$$

$$
X + Y\sqrt{-D} = \lambda_1 \left(X_1 + \lambda_2 Y_1 \sqrt{-D} \right)^t, \lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in \{1, -1\},
$$

where X_1, Y_1, Z_1 are positive integers satisfying $X_1^2 + DY_1^2 = k^{z_1}$, gcd(X_1, Y_1) = 1 and $h(-4D) \equiv 0 \pmod{Z_1}$.

Lemma 2.4 ([\[5](#page-6-6)], Lemma 1]). For a fixed solution (X, Y, Z) of the equation

$$
D_1X^2 + D_2Y^2 = k^z, \text{ gcd}(X, Y) = 1, Z > 0, X, Y, Z \in \mathbb{Z},
$$
 (2.2)

there exists a unique positive integer *l* such that

$$
l = D_1 \alpha X + D_2 \beta Y, \ 0 < l < k,
$$

where α , β are integers with $\beta X - \alpha Y = 1$.

The positive integer *l* defined as in Lemma [2.4](#page-1-1) is called the characteristic number of the solution (X, Y, Z) and is denoted by $\lt X$, Y , Z \gt .

Lemma 2.5 ([\[5](#page-6-6)], Lemma 6]). If < *X*, *Y*, *Z* > = *l*, then $D_1X \equiv -lY \pmod{k}$.

For a fixed positive integer l_0 , if [\(2.2\)](#page-1-2) has a solution (X_0, Y_0, Z_0) with $\lt X_0$, Y_0 , $Z_0 \gt \gt =$ *l*₀, then the set of all solutions (X, Y, Z) of (2.2) with $\lt X, Y, Z \gt \equiv \pm l_0 \pmod{k}$ is called a solution class of (2.2) and is denote by $S(l_0)$.

Lemma 2.6 ([\[5](#page-6-6)], Theorems 1 and 2]). For any fixed solution class $S(l_0)$ of [\(2.2\)](#page-1-2), there exists a unique solution $(X_1, Y_1, Z_1) \in S(l_0)$ such that $X_1 > 0, Y_1 > 0$ and $Z_1 \le Z$, where Z runs through all solutions $(X, Y, Z) \in S(l_0)$. The solution (X_1, Y_1, Z_1) is called the least solution of $S(l_0)$. Every solution $(X, Y, Z) \in S(l_0)$ can be expressed as

$$
Z = Z_1t, \ 2 \nmid t, \ t \in \mathbb{N},
$$

$$
X\sqrt{D_1} + Y\sqrt{-D_2} = \lambda_1 \left(X_1\sqrt{D_1} + \lambda_2 Y_1\sqrt{-D_2}\right)^t, \ \lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in \{1, -1\}.
$$

Lemma 2.7 ([\[2](#page-6-7)], Theorem 2]). Let (X_1, Y_1, Z_1) be the least solution of $S(l_0)$. If [\(2.2\)](#page-1-2) has a solution $(X, Y, Z) \in S(l_0)$ satisfying $X > 0$ and $Y = 1$, then $Y_1 = 1$. Further, if $(X, Z) \neq (X_1, Z_1)$, then one of the following conditions is satisfied:

- (i) $D_1 X_1^2 = \frac{1}{4} (k^{Z_1} \pm 1), D_2 = \frac{1}{4} (3k^{Z_1} \mp 1), (X, Z) = (X_1 | D_1 X_1^2 3D_2 |, 3Z_1).$
- (ii) $D_1 X_1^2 = \frac{1}{4} F_{3r+3\varepsilon}, D_2 = \frac{1}{4} L_{3r}, k^{Z_1} = F_{3r+\varepsilon}, (X, Z) = (X_1 | D_1^2 X_1^4 10D_1 D_2 X_1^2 +$ $5D_2^2$, $5Z_1$, where *r* is a positive integer, $\varepsilon \in \{1, -1\}$.

Let α , β be algebraic integers. If $\alpha + \beta$ and $\alpha\beta$ are nonzero coprime integers and $\frac{\alpha}{\beta}$ is not a root of unity, then (α, β) is called a Lucas pair. Further, let $A = \alpha + \beta$ and $C = \alpha \tilde{\beta}$. Then we have

$$
\alpha = \frac{1}{2} \left(A + \lambda \sqrt{B} \right), \ \beta = \frac{1}{2} \left(A - \lambda \sqrt{B} \right), \ \lambda \in \{1, -1\},\tag{2.3}
$$

where $B = A^2 - 4C$. We call (A, B) the parameters of the Lucas pair (α, β) . Two Lucas pairs (α_1 , β_1) and (α_2 , β_2) are equivalent if $\frac{\alpha_1}{\alpha_2} = \frac{\beta_1}{\beta_2} = \pm 1$. Given a lucas pair (α , β), one defines the corresponding sequence of Lucas numbers by

$$
L_n(\alpha, \beta) = \frac{\alpha^n - \beta^n}{\alpha - \beta}, \quad n = 0, 1, \dots
$$
 (2.4)

For equivalent Lucas pairs (α_1, β_1) and (α_2, β_2) , we have $L_n(\alpha_1, \beta_1) = \pm L_n(\alpha_2, \beta_2)$ ($n = 0, 1, \ldots$). A prime *p* is called a primitive divisor of $L_n(\alpha, \beta)(n > 1)$ if

 $p \mid L_n(\alpha, \beta), p \nmid BL_1(\alpha, \beta) \ldots L_{n-1}(\alpha, \beta).$

A Lucas pair (α, β) such that $L_n(\alpha, \beta)$ has no primitive divisors will be called an *n*-defective Lucas pair. Further, a positive integer *n* is called totally non-defective if no Lucas pair is *n*defective.

Lemma 2.8 ([\[1](#page-6-8)], Theorem 1.4]). If $n > 30$, Then *n* is totally non-defective.

Lemma 2.9 ([\[16](#page-6-9)]). Let *n* satisfy $4 < n \leq 30$ and $n \neq 6$. Then, up to equivalence, all parameters of *n*-defective Lucas pairs are given as follows:

- (i) *n* = 5, (*A*, *B*) = (1, 5), (1, −7), (2, −40), (1, −11), (1, −15), (12, −76), $(12, -1364)$.
- (ii) $n = 7$, $(A, B) = (1, -7)$, $(1, -19)$.
- (iii) $n = 8$, $(A, B) = (2, -24)$, $(1, -7)$.
- (iv) $n = 10$, $(A, B) = (2, -8)$, $(5, -3)$, $(5, -47)$.
- (v) *n* = 12, (*A*, *B*) = (1, 5), (1, −7), (1, −11), (2, −56), (1, −15), (1, −19).
- (vi) $n \in \{13, 18, 30\}, (A, B) = (1, -7).$

3 Proof of theorem

We now assume that (x, y, z) is a solution of [\(1.2\)](#page-0-0) with $(x, y, z) \neq (1, 1, 2)$. Since $c \mid m$, we have $cm \mid m^2$, and by [\(1.2\)](#page-0-0), we get

$$
\gcd\left(am^2+1,\ cm\right)=\gcd\left(bm^2-1,\ cm\right)=\gcd\left(am^2+1,\ bm^2-1\right)=1. \tag{3.1}
$$

Since $m > 1$ and $z > 2$, by [\(1.2\)](#page-0-0), we have $0 \equiv (cm)^z \equiv (am^2 + 1)^x + (bm^2 - 1)^y \equiv$ $1 + (-1)^y$ (mod m^2) and

$$
2 \nmid y. \tag{3.2}
$$

Further, since $z > 3$, by [\(1.2\)](#page-0-0) and [\(3.2\)](#page-3-0), we get $0 \equiv (cm)^z \equiv (am^2 + 1)^x + (bm^2 - 1)^y \equiv$ $(ax + by)m²(modm³)$ and

$$
(ax + by) \equiv 0 \pmod{m}.
$$
 (3.3)

Notice that $2 \mid a, 2 \nmid c$ and $2 \nmid b$ by [\(1.1\)](#page-0-1). We see from [\(3.2\)](#page-3-0) and [\(3.3\)](#page-3-1) that $2 \nmid ax + by$ and

$$
2 \nmid m. \tag{3.4}
$$

So we have

$$
2 \nmid cm, \ 2 \nmid am^2 + 1, \ 2 \mid bm^2 - 1. \tag{3.5}
$$

We first consider the case of $2 \mid x$. Then, by [\(3.2\)](#page-3-0), the equation

$$
X^{2} + (bm^{2} - 1)Y^{2} = (cm)^{Z}, \text{ gcd}(X, Y) = 1, Z > 0, X, Y, Z \in \mathbf{Z}
$$
 (3.6)

has the solution

$$
(X, Y, Z) = \left((am^2 + 1)^{\frac{x}{2}}, (bm^2 - 1)^{\frac{y-1}{2}}, z \right).
$$
 (3.7)

By (3.1) and (3.5) , applying Lemma [2.3](#page-1-3) to (3.6) and (3.7) , we have

$$
z = Z_1 t, \ t \in \mathbb{N},\tag{3.8}
$$

$$
(am^{2}+1)^{\frac{x}{2}} + (bm^{2}-1)^{\frac{y-1}{2}}\sqrt{1-bm^{2}} = \lambda_{1}\left(X_{1} + \lambda_{2}Y_{1}\sqrt{1-bm^{2}}\right)^{t},
$$

$$
\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2} \in \{1, -1\},
$$
 (3.9)

where X_1 , Y_1 , Z_1 are positive integers satisfying

$$
X_1^2 + (bm^2 - 1) Y_1^2 = (cm)^{Z_1}, \text{ gcd}(X_1, Y_1) = 1,
$$
 (3.10)

$$
h(-4(bm2 - 1)) \equiv 0 \pmod{Z_1}.
$$
 (3.11)

If $2 \mid t$, let

$$
X_2 + Y_2\sqrt{1 - bm^2} = \left(X_1 + \lambda_2 Y_1\sqrt{1 - bm^2}\right)^{\frac{t}{2}}.
$$
 (3.12)

By Lemma 2.3 , X_2 and Y_2 are integers satisfying

$$
X_2^2 + (bm^2 - 1) Y_2^2 = (cm)^{\frac{Z_1 t}{2}} = (cm)^{\frac{z}{2}}, \text{ gcd}(X_2, Y_2) = 1.
$$
 (3.13)

Substitute [\(3.12\)](#page-3-6) into [\(3.9\)](#page-3-7), we have $(am^2 + 1)^{\frac{x}{2}} + (bm^2 - 1)^{\frac{y-1}{2}}\sqrt{1 - bm^2} = \lambda_1(X_2 +$ $Y_2\sqrt{1-bm^2}$ ² and

$$
(bm2 - 1) $\frac{y-1}{2}$ = 2 $\lambda_1 X_2 Y_2$. (3.14)
$$

 \circledcirc Springer

By [\(3.1\)](#page-3-2) and [\(3.13\)](#page-3-8), we get gcd $(X_2, bm^2 - 1) = 1$. Therefore, we see from [\(3.14\)](#page-3-9) that

$$
|X_2| = 1, \ |Y_2| = \frac{1}{2}(bm^2 - 1)^{\frac{y-1}{2}}.
$$
 (3.15)

Substitute (3.15) into (3.13) , we get

$$
1 + \frac{1}{4}(bm^2 - 1)^y = (cm)^{\frac{z}{2}}.
$$
 (3.16)

Since $z > 2$, we have $\frac{z}{2} \ge 2$. By [\(3.2\)](#page-3-0) and [\(3.16\)](#page-4-1), we get $0 \equiv (cm)^{\frac{z}{2}} \equiv 1 + \frac{1}{4}(bm^2 - 1)^y \equiv$ $1 - \frac{1}{4} \equiv \frac{3}{4}$ (mod *m*²) and *m*² | 3, a contradiction. So we have

$$
2 \nmid t. \tag{3.17}
$$

Let

$$
\alpha = X_1 + Y_1 \sqrt{1 - bm^2}, \ \beta = X_1 - Y_1 \sqrt{1 - bm^2}.
$$
 (3.18)

By [\(3.10\)](#page-3-10) and [\(3.18\)](#page-4-2), we have $\alpha + \beta = 2X_1$, $\alpha - \beta = 2Y_1\sqrt{1 - bm^2}$, $\alpha\beta = (cm)^{Z_1}$ and $\frac{\alpha}{\beta}$ satisfies $(cm)^{Z_1}(\frac{\alpha}{\beta})^2 - 2(X_1^2 - (bm^2 - 1)Y_1^2)(\frac{\alpha}{\beta}) + (cm)^{Z_1} = 0$. It implies that (α, β) is a Lucas pair with parameters

$$
(A, B) = (2X_1, -4(bm^2 - 1)Y_1^2). \tag{3.19}
$$

Further, let $L_n(\alpha, \beta)$ ($n = 0, 1, ...$) be the corresponding Lucas numbers. By [\(2.3\)](#page-2-0), [\(3.9\)](#page-3-7) and (3.18) , we have

$$
(bm2 - 1)y-1 = Y1 \left| \frac{\alpha^{t} - \beta^{t}}{\alpha - \beta} \right| = Y1 | Lt(\alpha, \beta)|.
$$
 (3.20)

We see from [\(3.19\)](#page-4-3) and [\(3.20\)](#page-4-4) that the Lucas number $L_t(\alpha, \beta)$ has no primitive divisors. Therefore, by Lemmas 2.8 and 2.9 , we get from (3.17) and (3.19) that

$$
t \le 3. \tag{3.21}
$$

By (3.8) , (3.11) and (3.21) , we have

$$
z \le 3h \left(-4(bm^2 - 1) \right). \tag{3.22}
$$

Applying Lemma [2.2](#page-1-4) to [\(3.22\)](#page-4-7), we get

$$
z < \frac{12}{\pi} \sqrt{bm^2 - 1} \log \left(2e \sqrt{bm^2 - 1} \right). \tag{3.23}
$$

Further, since $b < a + b = c^2$, by [\(3.23\)](#page-4-8), we have

$$
z < \frac{12}{\pi} \, \text{cm} \log(2 \, \text{cm}).\tag{3.24}
$$

On the other hand, since $2 | x$, if $z = 3$, then $(cm)^3 > (am^2 + 1)^x > (am^2 + 1)^2 > a^2m^4$, whence we get $c^3 > a^2m > m > 36c^3 \log c$, a contradiction. It implies that $z \ge 4$ and $0 \equiv (cm)^z \equiv (am^2 + 1)^x + (bm^2 - 1)^y \equiv (ax + by)m^2 \pmod{m^4}$, whence we obtain $ax + by \equiv 0 \pmod{m^2}$ and

$$
ax + by \ge m^2. \tag{3.25}
$$

Since $m > 36c^3 \log c$, by [\(1.2\)](#page-0-0), we have

$$
z > x \frac{\log(am^2 + 1)}{\log(cm)} > x, \ z > y \frac{\log(bm^2 - 1)}{\log(cm)} > y.
$$
 (3.26)

 $\circled{2}$ Springer

Hence, by [\(3.25\)](#page-4-9) and [\(3.26\)](#page-4-10), we get

$$
c^2 z = (a+b)z > ax + by \ge m^2.
$$
 (3.27)

The combination of (3.24) and (3.27) , we have

$$
m < \frac{12}{\pi} c^3 \log(2ecm). \tag{3.28}
$$

But, since $m > 36c^3 \log c$, [\(3.28\)](#page-5-1) is false. Thus, [\(1.2\)](#page-0-0) has no solutions (x, y, z) with $2 | x$. Finally, we consider the case of $2 \nmid x$. Then, by [\(1.2\)](#page-0-0) and [\(3.2\)](#page-3-0), the equation

$$
(am2 + 1) X2 + (bm2 - 1)Y2 = (cm)Z, gcd(X, Y) = 1, Z > 0, X, Y, Z \in \mathbb{Z}
$$
 (3.29)

has the solution

$$
(X, Y, Z) = \left((am^2 + 1)^{\frac{x-1}{2}}, (bm^2 - 1)^{\frac{y-1}{2}}, z \right). \tag{3.30}
$$

Let $l = \langle (am^2 + 1)^{\frac{x-1}{2}}, (bm^2 - 1)^{\frac{y-1}{2}}, z \rangle$. Since *cm* $|m^2$, by Lemma [2.5,](#page-2-3) *l* satisfies

$$
am^{2} + 1 \equiv (am^{2} + 1)^{\frac{x+1}{2}} \equiv -l(bm^{2} - 1)^{\frac{y-1}{2}} \equiv (-1)^{\frac{y+1}{2}} l \pmod{cm}.
$$
 (3.31)

On the other hand, since $(x, y, z) \neq (1, 1, 2), (3.29)$ $(x, y, z) \neq (1, 1, 2), (3.29)$ has an other solution

 $(X, Y, Z) \neq (1, 1, 2).$ (3.32)

Let $l_0 = \langle 1, 1, 2 \rangle$. Then we have

$$
am^2 + 1 \equiv -l_0 \pmod{cm}.
$$
\n(3.33)

Obviously, since $z > 2$ for any solution (x, y, z) of [\(3.29\)](#page-5-2), the least solution of $S(l_0)$ is

$$
(X_1, Y_1, Z_1) = (1, 1, 2). \tag{3.34}
$$

Compare [\(3.31\)](#page-5-3) and [\(3.33\)](#page-5-4), we have $l = \pm l_0 \pmod{m}$. It implies that the solution [\(3.30\)](#page-5-5) belongs to $S(l_0)$. Therefore, using Lemma [2.6,](#page-2-4) we get from (3.30) and (3.32) that

$$
z = 2t, \ 2 \nmid t, \ t \in \mathbb{N},
$$
\n
$$
(am^2 + 1)^{\frac{x-1}{2}} \sqrt{am^2 + 1} + (bm^2 - 1)^{\frac{y-1}{2}} \sqrt{1 - bm^2}
$$
\n
$$
= \lambda_1(\sqrt{am^2 + 1} + \lambda_2\sqrt{1 - bm^2})^t, \ \lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in \{1, -1\}.
$$
\n(3.35)

By (3.35) , we have

$$
(bm2 - 1)y-1 = \lambda_1 \lambda_2 \sum_{i=0}^{t-1} {t \choose 2i+1} (am2 + 1)t-1 (1 - bm2)i.
$$
 (3.36)

Further, since 2 | $bm^2 - 1$ and $2 \nmid (am^2 + 1)t$, we see from [\(3.36\)](#page-5-8) that $y = 1$ and $(bm^2 (1)^{\frac{y-1}{2}} = 1$. It implies that [\(3.30\)](#page-5-5) is a solution of *S*(*l*₀) satisfying *X* > 0, *Y* = 1 and $(X, Z) \neq (X_1, Z_1) = (1, 2)$. Therefore, by Lemma [2.7,](#page-2-5) we get either

$$
am2 + 1 = (am2 + 1)X12 = \frac{1}{4} ((cm)2 \pm 1)
$$
 (3.37)

or

$$
(cm)^2 = (cm)^{Z_1} = F_{3r + \varepsilon}.
$$
\n(3.38)

When [\(3.37\)](#page-5-9) holds, since $c \mid m$, we have $1 \equiv am^2 + 1 \equiv \frac{1}{4} ((cm)^2 \pm 1) \equiv \pm \frac{1}{4} (mod c^2)$. But, since $c^2 \ge 9$, it is impossible. On the other hand, since $cm > 1$ and $2 \nmid cm$, by Lemma

[2.1,](#page-1-5) [\(3.38\)](#page-5-10) is false. Thus, [\(1.2\)](#page-0-0) has only the solution $(x, y, z) = (1, 1, 2)$ with $2 \nmid x$. the theorem is proved.

Acknowledgements The authors would like to thank the referees for their valuable suggestions. This work is supported by N.S.F.(11226038, 11371012) of P.R. China, the Education Department Foundation of Shaanxi Province(14JK1311) and Scientific Research Foundation for Doctor of Xi'an Shiyou University (2015BS06)

References

- 1. Y. Bilu, G. Hanrot, P. M. Voutier (with an appendix by M. Mignotte), Existence of primitive divisors of Lucas and Lehmer numbers. J. Reine Angew. Math. **539**, 75–122 (2001)
- 2. Y. Bugeaud, T.N. Shorey, On the number of solutions of the generalized Ramanujan–Nagell equation. J. Reine Angew. Math. **539**, 55–74 (2001)
- 3. J.H.E. Cohn, On square Fibonacci numbers. J. Lond. Math. Soc. **39**(4), 537–540 (1964)
- 4. L.K. Hua, *Introduction to Number Theory* (Springer, Berlin, 1982)
- 5. M.H. Le, Some exponential diophantine equations I: the equation $D_1x^2 - D_2y^2 = \lambda k^2$. J. Number. Theory **55**(2), 209–221 (1995)
- 6. M.H. Le, A. Togbé, H.L. Zhu, On a pure ternary exponential diophantine equation. Publ. Math. Debr. **85**(3–4), 395–411 (2014)
- 7. F. Luca, On the system of diophantine equation $a^2 + b^2 = (m^2 + 1)^r$ and $a^x + b^y = (m^2 + 1)^z$. Acta Arith. **153**(4), 373–392 (2012)
- 8. T. Miyazaki, Generalizations of classical results on Jesmanowicz' conjecture concerning Pythagorean triples. J. Number Theory **133**(2), 583–589 (2013)
- 9. T. Miyazaki, A note on the article by F. Luca "On the system of diophantine equations $a^2 + b^2 = (m^2 + 1)^r$ and $a^x + b^y = (m^2 + 1)^{z}$. Acta Arith. **164**(1), 31–42 (2014)
- 10. T. Miyazaki, N. Terai, on the exponential diophantine equation $(m^2 + 1)^x + (cm^2 1)^y = (am)^z$. Bull. Aust. Math. Soc. **90**(1), 9–19 (2014)
- 11. T. Miyazaki, A. Togbé, The diophantine equation $(2am 1)^{x} + (2m)^{y} = (2am + 1)^{z}$, Int. J. Number Theory **8**(8), 2035–2044 (2012)
- 12. T. Miyazaki, P.Z. Yuan, D.Y. Wu, Generalizations of classical results on Jeśmanowicz' conjecture concerning Pythagorean triples II, J. Number Theory **141**(1), 184–201 (2014)
- 13. N. Terai, On the exponential diophantine equation $(4m^2 + 1)^x + (5m^2 - 1)^y = (3m)^z$, Int. J. Algbra **6**(21–24), 1135–1146 (2012)
- 14. N. Terai, On Je´smanowicz' conjecture concerning Pythagorean triples. J. Number Theory **141**(2), 316–323 (2014)
- 15. H. Yang, R.Q. Fu, A note on Jesmanowicz' conjecture concerning primitive Pythagorean triples. J. Number Theory **156**(1), 183–194 (2015)
- 16. P.M. Voutier, Primitive divisors of Lucas and Lehmer sequences. Math. Comp. **64**, 869–888 (1995)
- 17. J.P. Wang, T.T. Wang, W.P. Zhang, A note on the exponential diophantine equation $(4m^2 + 1)^x + (5m^2 - 1)^x$ 1 ^{*y*} = $(3m)^{z}$, Collog. Math. **139**(1), 121–126 (2015)