

On the boundedness of hyperbolic Riesz B-potential

Elina L. Shishkina

Voronezh State University, Universitetskaya pl. 1, Voronezh, Russia

(e-mail: shishkina@amm.vsu.ru)

Received December 19, 2015; revised May 21, 2016

Abstract. This paper deals with the hyperbolic Riesz B-potential, which is the negative real power of an operator $B_{\gamma_1} - \sum_{i=2}^n B_{\gamma_i}$, where $B_{\gamma_i} = \partial^2/\partial x_i^2 + (\gamma_i/x_i)\partial/\partial x_i$, $i = 1, \dots, n$, is a singular Bessel differential operator. We prove the boundedness of the hyperbolic Riesz B-potential in proper spaces.

MSC: 46E30, 31B99, 47G40

Keywords: hyperbolic Riesz B-potential, fractional power of singular hyperbolic operator, Lorentz distance, singular Bessel differential operator, generalized translation, Marcinkiewicz interpolation theorem, bounded operator

1 Introduction

In this paper, we prove the boundedness for a new type of potential with Lorentz distance in the weighted space L_p^γ . The considered potential $I_{\square_\gamma}^\alpha$ is the negative real power of the operator

$$\square_\gamma = B_{\gamma_1} - \sum_{i=2}^n B_{\gamma_i},$$

where $B_{\gamma_i} = \partial^2/\partial x_i^2 + (\gamma_i/x_i)\partial/\partial x_i$, $i = 1, \dots, n$, is the singular differential Bessel operator.

The potential I_{\square}^α that is the negative real power of the operator

$$\square = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_1^2} - \sum_{i=2}^n \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i^2}$$

was studied in [11]. In [15], for an operator similar to the operator $I_{\square_\gamma}^\alpha$, some properties were obtained, but the boundedness of such operators has not yet been proved. This work fills this gap. The results of this paper were announced in [16].

The potential with the Lorentz distance is of the form

$$(I_{\square}^\alpha f)(x) = \frac{1}{H_n(\alpha)} \int_{K_+} \frac{f(x-y) dy}{r^{n-\alpha}(y)}, \quad 2 \leq n, \quad n-2 < \alpha, \quad (1.1)$$

where

$$H_n(\alpha) = 2^{\alpha-1} \pi^{-1+n/2} \Gamma\left(\frac{\alpha}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{\alpha+2-n}{2}\right),$$

$$r(x) = \sqrt{x_1^2 - x_2^2 - \dots - x_n^2}, \quad K_+(x) = \{x: x_1^2 \geq x_2^2 + \dots + x_n^2, x_1 \geq 0\}.$$

It was introduced by Riesz [12] (cf. [13, p. 31] and [14, p. 409]).

Potential (1.1) was named the *hyperbolic Riesz potential* in [14, p. 409].

In this paper, we consider a Riesz potential with Lorentz distance connected with generalized translation operator in the following form:

$$(I_{\square_\gamma}^\alpha f)(x) = \int_{K^+} r^{\alpha-n-|\gamma|}(y) (T^y f)(x) y^\gamma \, dy, \quad y^\gamma = \prod_{i=1}^n y_i^{\gamma_i}. \tag{1.2}$$

In (1.2), $\gamma = (\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n)$ is a multiindex consisting of positive fixed real numbers $\gamma_i, i = 1, \dots, n, |\gamma| = \gamma_1 + \dots + \gamma_n, n + |\gamma| - 2 < \alpha < n + |\gamma|,$

$$K^+ = \{y \in \mathbb{R}_n: y_1^2 \geq y_2^2 + \dots + y_n^2, y_1 > 0, \dots, y_n > 0\},$$

and $(T^y f)(x) = (T_{x_1}^{y_1} \dots T_{x_n}^{y_n} f)(x)$ is a multidimensional generalized translation. Each of the one-dimensional generalized translations $T_{x_i}^{y_i}$ is defined for $i = 1, \dots, n$ by the formula (see [4, p. 122, (5.19)])

$$(T_{x_i}^{y_i} f)(x) = \frac{\Gamma(\frac{\gamma_i+1}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{\gamma_i}{2})\Gamma(\frac{1}{2})} \int_0^\pi f(x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, \sqrt{x_i^2 + y_i^2 - 2x_i y_i \cos \varphi_i}, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_n) \sin^{\gamma_i-1} \varphi_i \, d\varphi_i.$$

We will call the operator (1.2) a *hyperbolic Riesz B-potential*. Such potentials are negative real powers of the operator

$$\square_\gamma = B_{\gamma_1} - \sum_{i=2}^n B_{\gamma_i},$$

where $B_{\gamma_i} = \partial^2 / \partial x_i^2 + (\gamma_i/x_i) \partial / \partial x_i$ is the singular differential Bessel operator (see [3, p. 3]).

Our proof of the boundedness of operator (1.2) is based on applying the appropriate Marcinkiewicz interpolation theorem.

Riesz B-potentials with Euclidian distance (elliptic Riesz B-potentials) are studied in detail (see [5, 6, 7, 8, 9]). Such potentials are negative real powers of the operator $\Delta_\gamma = \sum_{k=1}^n B_{\gamma_k}$. But methods of studying elliptic and hyperbolic Riesz B-potentials are different, and we will use techniques for studying the generalized translation developed by Lyakhov [5, 6, 7] and methods of studying hyperbolic potentials (1.1) proposed by Nogin and Sukhinin in [11].

The boundedness of operator (1.2) is essentially used when we construct its inverse, but at the same time, it is of independent interest.

The main result of this paper is a proof of the boundedness for the Riesz potential with Lorentz distance generated by a generalized translation operator T^y in special weighted spaces.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we present necessary preliminary definitions and theorems. In Section 3, we prove our main theorem on the boundedness of the hyperbolic Riesz B-potential from L_p^γ to L_q^γ for functions from the Schwartz space. The last section contains further study of the potential (1.2), which implies its absolute convergence.

2 Preliminaries

We consider functions $f = f(x)$ defined on

$$\mathbb{R}_n^+ = \{x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}_n: x_1 > 0, \dots, x_n > 0\}.$$

We call a function defined on \mathbb{R}_n^+ to be even with respect to $x_i, i = 1, \dots, n$, if it can be extended on \mathbb{R}_n as an even function with respect to x_i preserving the considered class of functions.

The weighted $L_p^\gamma(\mathbb{R}_n^+) = L_p^\gamma$ space, $p \geq 1$, is the set of all measurable functions from \mathbb{R}_n^+ to \mathbb{R} that are even with respect to each variable and, moreover, the absolute value of such a function raised to the p th power and multiplied by $x^\gamma = \prod_{i=1}^n x_i^{\gamma_i}$ is integrable, that is,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}_n^+} |f(x)|^p x^\gamma dx < \infty.$$

For a real number $p \geq 1$, the L_p^γ -norm of f is defined by

$$\|f\|_{L_p^\gamma(\mathbb{R}_n^+)} = \|f\|_{p,\gamma} = \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}_n^+} |f(x)|^p x^\gamma dx \right)^{1/p}, \quad x^\gamma = \prod_{i=1}^n x_i^{\gamma_i}.$$

Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}_n^+ \cup \{x_i = 0, i = 1, \dots, n\}$, and $\text{mes}_\gamma \Omega$ be the *weighted measure* of Ω defined by

$$\text{mes}_\gamma \Omega = \int_{\Omega} x^\gamma dx.$$

For every measurable function $f(x)$ defined on \mathbb{R}_n^+ , we consider

$$\mu_\gamma(f, t) = \text{mes}_\gamma \{x \in \mathbb{R}_n^+: |f(x)| > t\} = \int_{\{x: |f(x)| > t\}^+} x^\gamma dx,$$

where $\{x: |f(x)| > t\}^+ = \{x \in \mathbb{R}_n^+: |f(x)| > t\}$. The function $\mu_\gamma = \mu_\gamma(f, t)$ is called the *weighted distribution function* of $|f(x)|$.

The space $L_\infty^\gamma(\mathbb{R}_n^+) = L_\infty^\gamma$ is defined as the set of measurable functions f on \mathbb{R}_n^+ that are even with respect to each variable and such that

$$\|f\|_{L_\infty^\gamma(\mathbb{R}_n^+)} = \|f\|_{\infty,\gamma} = \text{ess sup}_{x \in \mathbb{R}_n^+} |f(x)| = \inf_{a \in \mathbb{R}} \{\mu_\gamma(f, a) = 0\} < \infty.$$

We have the following inequality [10]:

$$\mu_\gamma(f, t) \leq \left(\frac{\|f\|_{p,\gamma}}{t} \right)^p. \quad (2.1)$$

The norms of the spaces L_p^γ and L_∞^γ are connected by the following equality:

$$\|f\|_{\infty,\gamma} = \lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} \|f\|_{p,\gamma}. \quad (2.2)$$

The space $S_{\text{ev}}(\mathbb{R}_n^+) = S_{\text{ev}}$ consists of all functions on \mathbb{R}_n^+ that are even with respect to each variable and belong to the space of Schwartz functions.

We denote by $SL_p^\gamma(\mathbb{R}_n^+) = SL_p^\gamma$ the set of all even with respect to each variable functions for which the norm

$$\|f\|_{SL_p^\gamma(\mathbb{R}_n^+)} = \|f\|_{SL_p^\gamma} = \sup_{0 < t < \infty} t(\mu_\gamma(f, t))^{1/p} < \infty, \quad 1 \leq p < \infty.$$

The operator A is said to be *quasilinear* (see [2, p. 41]) if $A(f_1 + f_2)$ is uniquely defined, Af_1 and Af_2 are defined, and if there exists a constant κ such that for all f_1 and f_2 , the following inequality is valid pointwise:

$$|A(f_1 + f_2)| \leq \kappa(|Af_1| + |Af_2|).$$

A quasilinear operator A from L_p^γ to L_q^γ is of *strong type* $(p, q)_\gamma$, $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, $1 \leq q \leq \infty$, if the following inequality is valid:

$$\|Af\|_{q,\gamma} \leq h\|f\|_{p,\gamma} \quad \forall f \in L_p^\gamma, \tag{2.3}$$

where the constant h does not depend on f .

We say that a quasilinear operator A is an operator of *weak type* $(p, q)_\gamma$ ($1 \leq p \leq \infty$, $1 \leq q < \infty$) if

$$\mu_\gamma(Af, \lambda) \leq \left(\frac{h\|f\|_{p,\gamma}}{\lambda}\right)^q \quad \forall f \in L_p^\gamma, \tag{2.4}$$

where h does not depend on f and $\lambda > 0$.

If $q = \infty$, then a quasilinear operator A is an operator of weak type $(p, q)_\gamma$ if it is of strong type $(p, q)_\gamma$.

A generalized convolution is defined by

$$(f * g)_\gamma(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}_n^+} f(y)(T^y g)(x)y^\gamma dy$$

(see [17] formula (14) for one-dimensional convolution and [3, p. 19] for the general case).

Let $p, q, r \in [1, \infty]$ and

$$\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1 + \frac{1}{r}. \tag{2.5}$$

If $f \in L_p^\gamma$, $g \in L_q^\gamma$, $1 \leq p, q, r \leq \infty$, $1/q = 1/p + 1/r - 1$, then a generalized convolution $(f * g)_\gamma$ is bounded almost everywhere, and the Hausdorff–Young inequality is valid:

$$\|(f * g)_\gamma\|_{r,\gamma} \leq \|f\|_{p,\gamma}\|g\|_{q,\gamma}. \tag{2.6}$$

We obtain the inequality

$$\|(f * g)_\gamma\|_{\infty,\gamma} \leq \|f\|_{p,\gamma}\|g\|_{q,\gamma} \tag{2.7}$$

from (2.6) by tending to the limit as $r \rightarrow \infty$ using (2.2) (with p and q such that $1/p + 1/q = 1$).

We present the Marcinkiewicz interpolation theorem in the following form (see [1] and [10]).

Theorem 1. *Let $1 \leq p_i \leq q_i < \infty$ ($i = 1, 2$), $q_1 \neq q_2$, $0 < \tau < 1$, $1/p = (1 - \tau)/p_1 + \tau/p_2$, and $1/q = (1 - \tau)/q_1 + \tau/q_2$. If a quasilinear operator A has simultaneously weak types $(p_1, q_1)_\gamma$ and $(p_2, q_2)_\gamma$, then A has a strong type $(p, q)_\gamma$, and*

$$\|Af\|_{q,\gamma} \leq M\|f\|_{p,\gamma}, \tag{2.8}$$

where the constant $M = M(\gamma, \tau, \kappa, p_1, p_2, q_1, q_2)$ does not depend on f and A .

3 The boundedness of the hyperbolic Riesz B-potential with density function from the Schwartz space

Along with potential (1.2), we consider the operator

$$(I_{\square_{\gamma},\delta}^{\alpha} f)(x) = \int_{\delta y_1^2 \geq y_2^2 + \dots + y_n^2} r^{\alpha-n-|\gamma|}(y)(T^y f)(x)y^{\gamma} dy, \quad 0 < \delta < 1. \tag{3.1}$$

Lemma 1. *If $f \in L_s^{\gamma}$, $1 \leq s < (n + |\gamma|)/\alpha$, $n + |\gamma| - 2 < \alpha < n + |\gamma|$, then we have the estimate*

$$\mu_{\gamma}((1 - \delta)^{(n+|\gamma|-\alpha)/2}(I_{\square_{\gamma},\delta}^{\alpha} f)(x), \lambda) \leq C_{\alpha,n,\gamma,s}(1 - \delta)^{(n+|\gamma|-\alpha)/2} \left(\frac{\|f\|_{s,\gamma}}{\lambda} \right)^t, \tag{3.2}$$

where $t = (n + |\gamma|)s/(n + |\gamma| - \alpha s)$, $0 < \delta < 1$, $\lambda > 0$, and $C_{\alpha,n,\gamma,s}$ does not depend on λ , δ , and f .

Proof. Assume, without loss of generality, that $\|f\|_{s,\gamma} = 1$. Let ω be a fixed real number. We define

$$\begin{aligned} G_{\delta,\omega}^0 &= \{x \in \mathbb{R}_n^+ : \delta x_1^2 \geq x_2^2 + \dots + x_n^2, 0 \leq x_1 \leq \omega\}, \\ G_{\delta,\omega}^{\infty} &= \{x \in \mathbb{R}_n^+ : \delta x_1^2 \geq x_2^2 + \dots + x_n^2, \omega < x_1\}, \\ K_{0,\delta}^+(x) &= \begin{cases} r^{\alpha-n-|\gamma|}(x), & x \in G_{\delta,\omega}^0, \\ 0, & x \in \mathbb{R}_n^+ \setminus G_{\delta,\omega}^0, \end{cases} & K_{\infty,\delta}^+(x) &= \begin{cases} r^{\alpha-n-|\gamma|}(x), & x \in G_{\delta,\omega}^{\infty}, \\ 0, & x \in \mathbb{R}_n^+ \setminus G_{\delta,\omega}^{\infty}. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Using these notations, we obtain

$$(I_{\square_{\gamma},\delta}^{\alpha} f)(x) = (K_{0,\delta}^+ * f)_{\gamma} + (K_{\infty,\delta}^+ * f)_{\gamma}. \tag{3.3}$$

Let $x' = (x_2, \dots, x_n)$, $|x'| = \sqrt{x_2^2 + \dots + x_n^2}$, $(x')^{\gamma'} = x_2^{\gamma_2} \dots x_n^{\gamma_n}$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|K_{0,\delta}^+\|_{1,\gamma} &= \int_{\mathbb{R}_n^+} K_{0,\delta}^+(x)x^{\gamma} dx = \int_{G_{\delta,\omega}^0} (x_1^2 - x_2^2 - \dots - x_n^2)^{(\alpha-n-|\gamma|)/2} x^{\gamma} dx \\ &= \int_0^{\omega} x_1^{\gamma_1} dx_1 \int_{|x'|^2 \leq \delta x_1^2} (x_1^2 - |x'|^2)^{(\alpha-n-|\gamma|)/2} (x')^{\gamma'} dx' \\ &= \{x' = x_1 y', y' \in \mathbb{R}_{n-1}^+\} \\ &= \int_0^{\omega} x_1^{\alpha-1} dx_1 \int_{|y'|^2 \leq \delta} (1 - |y'|^2)^{(\alpha-n-|\gamma|)/2} (y')^{\gamma'} dy' \\ &\leq \int_0^{\omega} x_1^{\alpha-1} dx_1 \int_{|y'|^2 \leq 1} (1 - |y'|^2)^{(\alpha-n-|\gamma|)/2} (y')^{\gamma'} dy' \\ &= \frac{\omega^{\alpha}}{\alpha} \int_{|y'| \leq 1} (1 - |y'|^2)^{(\alpha-n-|\gamma|)/2} (y')^{\gamma'} dy' = C_{\alpha,n,\gamma}^1 \omega^{\alpha}, \end{aligned}$$

where $C_{\alpha,n,\gamma}^1 = 2^{1-n}\Gamma((\alpha - n - |\gamma| + 2)/2) \prod_{i=2}^n \Gamma((\gamma_i + 1)/2) / (\alpha\Gamma((\alpha - \gamma_1 + 1)/2))$ does not depend on δ . Consequently,

$$\|K_{0,\delta}^+\|_{1,\gamma} \leq C_{\alpha,n,\gamma}^1 \omega^\alpha, \tag{3.4}$$

which means that $K_{0,\delta}^+ \in L_1^\gamma$.

Let us take s' such that $1/s + 1/s' = 1$. We will estimate $\|K_{\infty,\delta}^+\|_{s',\gamma}$. Suppose first that $s \neq 1$ (i.e., $s' \neq \infty$). Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|K_{\infty,\delta}^+\|_{s',\gamma} &= \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} |K_{0,\delta}^+(x)|^{s'} x^\gamma dx \right)^{1/s'} \\ &= \left(\int_{G_{\delta,\omega}^\infty} (x_1^2 - x_2^2 - \dots - x_n^2)^{(\alpha-n-|\gamma|)/2 \cdot s'} x^\gamma dx \right)^{1/s'} \\ &= \left(\int_{\omega}^{\infty} x_1^{\gamma_1} dx_1 \int_{|x'|^2 \leq \delta x_1^2} (x_1^2 - |x'|^2)^{(\alpha-n-|\gamma|)/2 \cdot s'} (x')^{\gamma'} dx' \right)^{1/s'} \\ &= \{x' = x_1 y', y' \in \mathbb{R}_{n-1}^+\} \\ &= \left(\int_{\omega}^{\infty} x_1^{(\alpha-n-|\gamma|)s' + n + |\gamma| - 1} dx_1 \int_{|y'|^2 \leq \delta} (1 - |y'|^2)^{(\alpha-n-|\gamma|)/2 \cdot s'} (y')^{\gamma'} dy' \right)^{1/s'} \\ &\leq \frac{\prod_{i=2}^n \Gamma(\frac{\gamma_i+1}{2})}{2^n \Gamma(\frac{n+|\gamma|+1}{2})} (1 - \delta)^{(\alpha-n-|\gamma|)/2} \left(\int_{\omega}^{\infty} x_1^{(\alpha-n-|\gamma|)s' + n + |\gamma| - 1} dx_1 \right)^{1/s'} \\ &= C_{\alpha,n,\gamma,s}^2 (1 - \delta)^{(\alpha-n-|\gamma|)/2} \omega^{-(n+|\gamma|)/q}, \\ C_{\alpha,n,\gamma,s}^2 &= \frac{2^{-n} \prod_{i=2}^n \Gamma(\frac{\gamma_i+1}{2})}{((n + |\gamma| - \alpha)s' - n - |\gamma|)^{1/s'} \Gamma(\frac{n+|\gamma|+1}{2})}. \end{aligned}$$

Here we take into account that $\alpha - n - |\gamma| < 0$, $s' = s/(s-1)$, $s < (n+|\gamma|)/\alpha$ and $t = (n+|\gamma|)s/(n+|\gamma|-\alpha s)$. Then we derive

$$\|K_{\infty,\delta}^+\|_{s',\gamma} \leq C_{\alpha,n,\gamma,s}^2 (1 - \delta)^{(\alpha-n-|\gamma|)/2} \omega^{-(n+|\gamma|)/t}, \quad \frac{1}{s} + \frac{1}{s'} = 1, \tag{3.5}$$

which means that $K_{\infty,\delta}^+ \in L_{s'}^\gamma$, $s' < \infty$.

Taking the limit in (3.5) as $s' \rightarrow \infty$, we get

$$\|K_{\infty,\delta}^+\|_{\infty,\gamma} \leq C_{\alpha,n,\gamma,1}^2 (1 - \delta)^{(\alpha-n-|\gamma|)/2} \omega^{-(n+|\gamma|)/t}, \quad C_{\alpha,n,\gamma,1}^2 = \frac{2^{-n} \prod_{i=2}^n \Gamma(\frac{\gamma_i+1}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{n+|\gamma|+1}{2})}. \tag{3.6}$$

Now combining (3.5) and (3.6), we derive

$$\|K_{\infty,\delta}^+\|_{s',\gamma} \leq C_{\alpha,n,\gamma,s}^2 (1 - \delta)^{(\alpha-n-|\gamma|)/2} \omega^{-(n+|\gamma|)/t}, \quad 1 \leq s < \frac{n + |\gamma|}{\alpha}, \quad \frac{n + |\gamma|}{n + |\gamma| - \alpha} < s' \leq \infty. \tag{3.7}$$

Then, for any $\lambda > 0$, (3.3) implies that

$$\begin{aligned} &\mu_\gamma((1 - \delta)^{(n+|\gamma|-\alpha)/2}(I_{\square_\gamma, \delta}^\alpha f)(x), 2\lambda) \\ &\leq \mu_\gamma((1 - \delta)^{(n+|\gamma|-\alpha)/2}(K_{0, \delta}^+ * f)_\gamma, \lambda) + \mu_\gamma((1 - \delta)^{(n+|\gamma|-\alpha)/2}(K_{\infty, \delta}^+ * f)_\gamma, \lambda). \end{aligned} \tag{3.8}$$

From (2.7) and (3.7) it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} &(1 - \delta)^{(n+|\gamma|-\alpha)/2} \|(K_{\infty, \delta}^+ * f)_\gamma\|_{\infty, \gamma} \\ &\leq (1 - \delta)^{(n+|\gamma|-\alpha)/2} \|f\|_{s, \gamma} \|K_{\infty, \delta}^+\|_{s', \gamma} \leq C_{\alpha, n, \gamma, s}^2 \omega^{-(n+|\gamma|)/t}. \end{aligned}$$

Putting $\omega = (C_{\alpha, n, \gamma, s}^2)^{t/(n+|\gamma|)} \lambda^{-t/(n+|\gamma|)}$, it follows that

$$\mu_\gamma((1 - \delta)^{(n+|\gamma|-\alpha)/2}(K_{\infty, \delta}^+ * f)_\gamma, \lambda) = 0. \tag{3.9}$$

Combining (2.1) and (2.6) with (3.4), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\mu_\gamma((1 - \delta)^{(n+|\gamma|-\alpha)/2}(K_{0, \delta}^+ * f)_\gamma, \lambda) \\ &\leq \frac{(1 - \delta)^{(n+|\gamma|-\alpha)s/2} \|(K_{0, \delta}^+ * f)_\gamma\|_{s, \gamma}^s}{\lambda^s} \leq \frac{(1 - \delta)^{(n+|\gamma|-\alpha)s/2} \|K_{0, \delta}^+\|_{1, \gamma}^s \|f\|_{s, \gamma}^s}{\lambda^s} \\ &\leq \frac{(C_{\alpha, n, \gamma}^1)^s (1 - \delta)^{s(n+|\gamma|-\alpha)/2} \omega^{s\alpha}}{\lambda^s}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\omega = (C_{\alpha, n, \gamma, s}^2)^{t/(n+|\gamma|)} \lambda^{-t/(n+|\gamma|)}$ and $t = (n + |\gamma|)s / (n + |\gamma| - \alpha)$, denoting

$$C_{\alpha, n, \gamma, s} = (C_{\alpha, n, \gamma}^1)^s \times (C_{\alpha, n, \gamma, s}^2)^{\alpha^2 / (n+|\gamma|-\alpha s)},$$

we have

$$\mu_\gamma((1 - \delta)^{(n+|\gamma|-\alpha)/2}(K_{0, \delta}^+ * f)_\gamma, \lambda) \leq \frac{C_{\alpha, n, \gamma, s} (1 - \delta)^{(n+|\gamma|-\alpha)/2}}{\lambda^t}. \tag{3.10}$$

From (3.8), (3.9), and (3.10) it is clear that

$$\mu_\gamma((1 - \delta)^{(n+|\gamma|-\alpha)/2}(I_{\square_\gamma, \delta}^\alpha f)(x), \lambda) \leq \frac{C_{\alpha, n, \gamma, s} (1 - \delta)^{(n+|\gamma|-\alpha)/2}}{\lambda^t},$$

where $C_{\alpha, n, \gamma, s}$ does not depend on λ , δ , and f . This completes the proof. \square

Theorem 2. Let $n + |\gamma| - 2 < \alpha < n + |\gamma|$, $1 \leq p < (n + |\gamma|)/\alpha$. Then the estimate

$$\|I_{\square_\gamma}^\alpha f\|_{q, \gamma} \leq C_{n, \gamma, p} \|f\|_{p, \gamma}, \quad f \in S_{\text{ev}}, \tag{3.11}$$

holds if and only if $q = (n + |\gamma|)p / (n + |\gamma| - \alpha p)$.

Proof. Necessity. Let $n + |\gamma| - 2 < \alpha < n + |\gamma|$, $1 < p < (n + |\gamma|)/\alpha$ and suppose that for some q ,

$$\|I_{\square_\gamma}^\alpha f\|_{q, \gamma} \leq C_{n, \gamma, p} \|f\|_{p, \gamma}, \quad f \in S_{\text{ev}}. \tag{3.12}$$

Lets us show that inequality (3.12) holds only for $q = (n + |\gamma|)p/(n + |\gamma| - \alpha p)$. Lets consider the extension operator $\tau_\delta: (\tau_\delta f)(x) = f(\delta x)$, $\delta > 0$. We have

$$\|\tau_\delta f\|_{p,\gamma} = \delta^{-(n+|\gamma|)/p} \|f\|_{p,\gamma}, \tag{3.13}$$

$$I_{\square_\gamma}^\alpha f(x) = \delta^\alpha \tau_\delta^{-1} I_{\square_\gamma}^\alpha \tau_\delta f(x), \tag{3.14}$$

and

$$\|\tau_\delta^{-1} I_{\square_\gamma}^\alpha f(x)\|_{q,\gamma} = \delta^{(n+|\gamma|)/q} \|I_{\square_\gamma}^\alpha f(x)\|_{q,\gamma}. \tag{3.15}$$

From (3.13), (3.14), and (3.15) we immediately obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|I_{\square_\gamma}^\alpha f(x)\|_{q,\gamma} &= \delta^\alpha \|\tau_\delta^{-1} I_{\square_\gamma}^\alpha \tau_\delta f(x)\|_{q,\gamma} = \delta^{(n+|\gamma|)/q+\alpha} \|I_{\square_\gamma}^\alpha \tau_\delta f(x)\|_{q,\gamma} \\ &\leq C_{n,\gamma,p} \delta^{(n+|\gamma|)/q+\alpha} \|\tau_\delta f(x)\|_{p,\gamma} \\ &= C_{n,\gamma,p} \delta^{(n+|\gamma|)/q-(n+|\gamma|)/p+\alpha} \|f(x)\|_{p,\gamma} \end{aligned}$$

or

$$\|I_{\square_\gamma}^\alpha f(x)\|_{q,\gamma} \leq C_{n,\gamma,p} \delta^{(n+|\gamma|)/q-(n+|\gamma|)/p+\alpha} \|f(x)\|_{p,\gamma}. \tag{3.16}$$

If $(n + |\gamma|)/q - (n + |\gamma|)/p + \alpha > 0$ and $(n + |\gamma|)/q - (n + |\gamma|)/p + \alpha < 0$, then taking the limit in (3.16) as $\delta \rightarrow 0$ or $\delta \rightarrow \infty$, respectively, we get that

$$\|I_{\square_\gamma}^\alpha f(x)\|_{q,\gamma} = 0$$

for all functions $f \in L_p^\gamma$, and this is obviously false. This means that inequality (3.16) is possible only if $(n + |\gamma|)/q - (n + |\gamma|)/p + \alpha = 0$, that is, if $q = (n + |\gamma|)p/(n + |\gamma| - \alpha p)$. Thus, the necessity is proved.

Sufficiency. Assume, without loss of generality, that $f(x) \geq 0$, $x \in \mathbb{R}_n^+$. The quasilinear operator A has a weak type $(s, t)_\gamma$ if

$$\mu_\gamma(Af, \lambda) \leq \left(\frac{h \|f\|_{s,\gamma}}{\lambda} \right)^t \quad \forall f \in L_p^\gamma$$

(see (2.4)).

If we put $s = 1$ in Lemma 1, then $t = (n + |\gamma|)/(n + |\gamma| - \alpha)$, and inequality (3.2) will be of the form

$$\mu_\gamma((1 - \delta)^{(n+|\gamma|-\alpha)/2} (I_{\square_{\gamma,\delta}}^\alpha f)(x), \lambda) \leq C_{\alpha,n,\gamma,1} (1 - \delta)^{(n+|\gamma|-\alpha)/2} \left(\frac{\|f\|_{1,\gamma}}{\lambda} \right)^{(n+|\gamma|)/(n+|\gamma|-\alpha)}.$$

This means that the quasilinear operator $I_{\square_{\gamma,\delta}}^\alpha$ (see (3.1)) has a weak type $(1, (n + |\gamma|)/(n + |\gamma| - \alpha))_\gamma$. Similarly, assuming that $s = p_1$ and $t = (n + |\gamma|)p_1/(n + |\gamma| - \alpha p_1)$ in Lemma 1, we obtain that the quasilinear operator $I_{\square_{\gamma,\delta}}^\alpha$ has a weak type $(p_1, (n + |\gamma|)p_1/(n + |\gamma| - \alpha p_1))_\gamma$, where $1 < p_1 < (n + |\gamma|)/\alpha$.

Let us take $p_1 = p(1 - \tau)/(1 - \tau p)$, $\tau \in (0, 1)$, so that $1 < p_1 < (n + |\gamma|)/\alpha$. Then the operator $I_{\square_{\gamma,\delta}}^\alpha$ has weak types $(p_1, q_1)_\gamma$ and $(p_2, q_2)_\gamma$, where $p_1 = p(1 - \tau)/(1 - \tau p)$, $q_1 = p(n + |\gamma|)(1 - \tau)/((n + |\gamma|)(1 - \tau p) - \alpha p(1 - \tau))$, and $p_2 = 1$, $q_2 = (n + |\gamma|)/(n + |\gamma| - \alpha)$. Therefore, by Theorem 1 the operator $I_{\square_{\gamma,\delta}}^\alpha$ has a strong type $(p, (n + |\gamma|)p/(n + |\gamma| - \alpha p))_\gamma$, and by (2.8)

$$\|(I_{\square_{\gamma,\delta}}^\alpha f)(x)\|_{q,\gamma} \leq M \|f\|_{p,\gamma}, \tag{3.17}$$

where $1 \leq p < (n + |\gamma|)/\alpha$, $q = (n + |\gamma|)p/(n + |\gamma| - \alpha p)$, and $n + |\gamma| - 2 < \alpha < n + |\gamma|$, where $M = M(\gamma, \tau, \kappa, p_1, p_2, q_1, q_2)$ does not depend on f and $I_{\square_\gamma, \delta}^\alpha$.

Since $f(x) \geq 0$, for $0 < \delta_1 \leq \delta_2 \leq \dots \leq \delta_m \leq \dots < 1$, we have

$$(I_{\square_\gamma, \delta_1}^\alpha f)(x) \leq (I_{\square_\gamma, \delta_2}^\alpha f)(x) \leq \dots \leq (I_{\square_\gamma, \delta_m}^\alpha f)(x) \leq \dots$$

Since

$$\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 1} (I_{\square_\gamma, \delta}^\alpha f)(x) = (I_{\square_\gamma}^\alpha f)(x),$$

taking the limit in (3.17) as $\delta \rightarrow 1$, we obtain

$$\|(I_{\square_\gamma}^\alpha f)(x)\|_{q, \gamma} \leq M \|f\|_{p, \gamma}, \quad 1 \leq p < \frac{n + |\gamma|}{\alpha}, \quad n + |\gamma| - 2 < \alpha < n + |\gamma|.$$

This proves the desired result. \square

4 On absolute convergence of the hyperbolic Riesz B-potential

Let $\bar{p} = (p_1, \dots, p_n)$, $1 \leq p_i \leq \infty$, and $L_{\bar{p}}^\gamma(\mathbb{R}_n^+) = L_{\bar{p}}^\gamma$ be the space of measurable functions f on \mathbb{R}_n^+ that are even with respect to each variable for which the norm

$$\begin{aligned} \|f\|_{\bar{p}, \gamma} &= \|\dots\| \|f\|_{p_1, \gamma_1} \|_{p_2, \gamma_2} \dots \|_{p_n, \gamma_n} \\ &= \left(\int_0^\infty \left(\dots \left(\int_0^\infty \left(\int_0^\infty |f(x)|^{p_1} x_1^{\gamma_1} dx_1 \right)^{p_2/p_1} x_2^{\gamma_2} dx_2 \right)^{p_3/p_2} \dots \right)^{p_n/p_{n-1}} x_n^{\gamma_n} dx_n \right)^{1/p_n} \end{aligned}$$

is finite.

Let $x, y \in \mathbb{R}_n^+$. We have the generalized Minkowski inequality

$$\left\| \int_{\mathbb{R}_n^+} \varphi(x, y) y^\gamma dy \right\|_{\bar{p}, \gamma} \leq \left\| \int_0^\infty y_n^{\gamma_n} dy_n \dots \int_0^\infty y_2^{\gamma_2} dy_2 \right\| \left\| \int_0^\infty \varphi(x, y) y_1^{\gamma_1} dy_1 \right\|_{p_1, \gamma_1} \left\| \dots \right\|_{p_n, \gamma_n}. \quad (4.1)$$

Lemma 2. Let $\varphi(x) \in L_{\bar{p}}^\gamma$, $1 < p < (n + |\gamma| - 1)/\alpha$, $n + |\gamma| - 2 < \alpha < n + |\gamma| - 1$, and $1/q = 1/p - \alpha/(n + |\gamma| - 1)$. Then

$$\|I_{\square_\gamma}^\alpha \varphi\|_{\bar{q}, \gamma} \leq c_{n, \gamma, p} \|\varphi\|_{p, \gamma}, \quad \bar{q} = (p, \underbrace{q, \dots, q}_{n-1}).$$

Proof. We derive

$$\begin{aligned} \|I_{\square_\gamma}^\alpha \varphi\|_{\bar{q}, \gamma} &= \left\| \int_{K^+} r^{\alpha-n-|\gamma|}(y) (T^y f)(x) y^\gamma dy \right\|_{\bar{q}, \gamma} \\ &= \left\| \int_0^{+\infty} y_n^{\gamma_n} dy_n \int_{y_1^2 - y_2^2 - \dots - y_{n-1}^2 \geq y_n^2} r^{\alpha-n-|\gamma|}(y) (T^y f)(x) (y')^{\gamma'} dy' \right\|_{\bar{q}, \gamma}, \end{aligned}$$

where $y = (y_1, \dots, y_n) \in \mathbb{R}_n^+$, $y' = (y_1, \dots, y_{n-1})$, $\bar{q}' = (p, \underbrace{q, \dots, q}_{n-2})$, and $(y')^{\gamma'} dy' = y_1^{\gamma_1} \dots y_{n-1}^{\gamma_{n-1}} dy_1 \dots dy_{n-1}$.

Next we apply inequality (4.1):

$$\|I_{\square_\gamma}^\alpha \varphi\|_{\bar{q}, \gamma} \leq \left\| \int_0^{+\infty} y_n^{\gamma_n} dy_n \right\|_{y_1^2 - y_2^2 - \dots - y_{n-1}^2 \geq y_n^2} \left\| \int r^{\alpha-n-|\gamma|}(y) (T^y f)(x) (y')^{\gamma'} dy' \right\|_{\bar{q}', \gamma_n}.$$

Let us consider the expression

$$\int_{y_1^2 - y_2^2 - \dots - y_{n-1}^2 \geq y_n^2} r^{\alpha-n-|\gamma|}(y) (T^y f)(x) (y')^{\gamma'} dy' = \int_{\mathbb{R}_{n-1}^+} \tilde{r}^{\alpha-n-|\gamma|}(y', y_n) (T^{y'} (T^{y_n} f))(x', x_n) (y')^{\gamma'} dy',$$

where

$$\tilde{r}^{\alpha-n-|\gamma|}(y) = \tilde{r}^{\alpha-n-|\gamma|}(y', y_n) = \begin{cases} r^{\alpha-n-|\gamma|}(y), & y' \in \mathbb{R}_{n-1}^+, y_1^2 - y_2^2 - \dots - y_{n-1}^2 \geq y_n^2, \\ 0, & y' \in \mathbb{R}_{n-1}^+, y_1^2 - y_2^2 - \dots - y_{n-1}^2 < y_n^2. \end{cases}$$

Then

$$\int_{y_1^2 - y_2^2 - \dots - y_{n-1}^2 \geq y_n^2} r^{\alpha-n-|\gamma|}(y) (T^y f)(x) (y')^{\gamma'} dy' = (T^{y_n} f(\cdot, y_n) * \tilde{r}^{\alpha-n-|\gamma|}(\cdot, y_n))_{\gamma'}.$$

Applying the Hausdorff–Young inequality (2.6) to this generalized convolution, we get

$$\|(T^{y_n} f(\cdot, y_n) * \tilde{r}^{\alpha-n-|\gamma|}(\cdot, y_n))_{\gamma'}\|_{\bar{q}'} \leq \|T^{y_n} f(\cdot, y_n)\|_p \|\tilde{r}^{\alpha-n-|\gamma|}(\cdot, y_n)_{\gamma'}\|_{\bar{s}},$$

where $\bar{s} = (1, \underbrace{s, \dots, s}_{n-2})$, $1/s = 1 - 1/p + 1/q$.

Lets estimate the norm $\|\tilde{r}^{\alpha-n-|\gamma|}(\cdot, y_n)_{\gamma'}\|_{\bar{s}}$. First, we have

$$\|\tilde{r}^{\alpha-n-|\gamma|}(\cdot, y_n)_{\gamma'}\|_{\bar{s}} = \|\|\tilde{r}^{\alpha-n-|\gamma|}(\cdot, y_n)\|_{1, \gamma_1}\|_{s, \gamma_2, \dots, \gamma_{n-1}}.$$

Further, it follows that

$$\|\tilde{r}^{\alpha-n-|\gamma|}(\cdot, y_n)\|_{1, \gamma_1} = \int_{K_+} (y_1^2 - y_2^2 - \dots - y_n^2)^{(\alpha-n-|\gamma|)/2} y_1^{\gamma_1} dy_1.$$

Since

$$\alpha = \left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q}\right)(n + |\gamma| - 1) \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{1}{s} = 1 - \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q},$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha - n - |\gamma| &= \alpha - n - |\gamma| + 1 - 1 = \left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q}\right)(n + |\gamma| - 1) - (n + |\gamma| - 1) - 1 \\ &= -(n + |\gamma| - 1) \left(1 - \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q}\right) - 1 = -\frac{n + |\gamma| - 1}{s} - 1 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\|\tilde{r}^{\alpha-n-|\gamma|}(\cdot, y_n)\|_{1, \gamma_1} = \int_{y_1^2 - y_2^2 - \dots - y_{n-1}^2 \geq y_n^2} (y_1^2 - |y'|^2)^{-(n+|\gamma|-1)/(2s)-1/2} y_1^{\gamma_1} dy_1.$$

By the change of variable $y_1 = \rho|y'|$ we derive

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\tilde{r}^{\alpha-n-|\gamma|}(\cdot, y_n)\|_{1, \gamma_1} \\ &= |y'|^{-(n+|\gamma|-1)/s+\gamma_1} \int_1^{+\infty} (\rho^2 - 1)^{-(n+|\gamma|-1)/(2s)-1/2} \rho^{\gamma_1} d\rho \\ &= |y'|^{-(n+|\gamma|-1)/s+\gamma_1} \int_1^{+\infty} (\rho - 1)^{-(n+|\gamma|-1)/(2s)-1/2} \rho^{(\gamma_1-1)/2} d\rho \\ &= |y'|^{-(n+|\gamma|-1)/s+\gamma_1} \int_0^1 (1 - \rho)^{-(n+|\gamma|-1)/(2s)-1/2} \rho^{(n+|\gamma|-1-\gamma_1)/(2s)-1} d\rho \\ &= |y'|^{-(n+|\gamma|-1)/s+\gamma_1} B\left(-\frac{n+|\gamma|-1}{2s} + \frac{1}{2}, \frac{n+|\gamma|-1-\gamma_1}{2s}\right) \\ &= C_1 |y'|^{-(n+|\gamma|-1)/s+\gamma_1}, \end{aligned}$$

where $-(n+|\gamma|-1)/(2s) + 1/2 > 0$ and $(n+|\gamma|-1-\gamma_1)/(2s) > 0$.

This completes the proof of Lemma 2. \square

By (3.11) there is a unique extension of $I_{\square_\gamma}^\alpha$ to all L_p^γ , $1 < p < (n+|\gamma|)/\alpha$, preserving the boundedness. It follows that this extension is introduced by the integral (1.2) from its absolute convergence, and the absolute convergence of (1.2) is a consequence of Lemma 2 when $n+|\gamma|-2 < \alpha < n+|\gamma|-1$.

References

1. J. Bergh and J. Löfström, *Interpolation Spaces: An Introduction*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 1976.
2. O.V. Besov, V.P. Il'in, and S.M. Nikol'skii, *Integral Representation of Functions and Imbedding Theorems, Vol. I*, V.H. Winston, Washington, 1978.
3. I.A. Kipriyanov, *Singular Elliptic Boundary Value Problems*, Nauka, Moscow, 1997 (in Russian).
4. B.M. Levitan, Expansion in Fourier series and integrals with Bessel functions, *Usp. Mat. Nauk*, **6**(2(42)):102–143, 1951 (in Russian).
5. L.N. Lyakhov, Inversion of Riesz B-potentials, *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR*, **321**(3):466–469, 1991 (in Russian).
6. L.N. Lyakhov, Spaces of Riesz B-potentials, *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR*, **334**(3):278–280, 1994 (in Russian).
7. L.N. Lyakhov, Symbol of the integral operator of Riesz B-potential with single characteristic, *Dokl. Akad. Nauk*, **351**(2):164–168, 1996 (in Russian).
8. L.N. Lyakhov and E.L. Shishkina, Generalized Riesz B-potentials of the mixed type, *Dokl. Math.*, **73**(1):42–45, 2006.

9. L.N. Lyakhov and E.L. Shishkina, Inversion of general Riesz B-potentials, in M.V. Dubatovskaya and S.V. Rogosin (Eds.), *The 7th International Workshop on Analytical Methods of Analysis and Differential Equations, Vol. 10, Minsk, Belarus, September 10–15, 2012*, Cambridge Scientific Publishers, Cottenham, 2013, pp. 115–126.
10. L.N. Lyakhov and E.L. Shishkina, Marcinkiewicz interpolation theorem for weighted Lebesgue classes, *Vestn. Voronezh. Gos. Univ., Ser. Fiz. Mat.*, **10**(1):159–169, 2015 (in Russian).
11. V.A. Nogin and E.V. Sukhinin, Inversion and characterization of hyperbolic potentials in L_p -spaces, *Dokl. Akad. Nauk, Ross. Akad. Nauk*, **329**(5):550–552, 1993 (in Russian).
12. M. Riesz, Intégrale de Riemann–Liouville et solution invariante du problème de Cauchy pour l'équation de sondes, in *Comptes Rendus du Congrès International des Mathématiciens, Oslo 1936, Vol. II*, A.W. Brøggers Boktrykkeri A/S, Oslo, 1937, pp. 44–45.
13. M. Riesz, L'intégrale de Riemann–Liouville et le problème de Cauchy, *Acta Math.*, **81**:1–223, 1949.
14. S.G. Samko, A.A. Kilbas, and O.I. Marichev, *Fractional Integrals and Derivatives: Theory and Applications*, Gordon & Breach, Yverdon, 1993.
15. M.Z. Sarikaya, H. Yildirim, and Ö. Akin, On generalized Riesz type potential with Lorentz distance, *Lobachevskii J. Math.*, **29**(1):32–39, 2008.
16. E.L. Shishkina, Boundedness of potential operators with hyperbolic distance, in *Abstracts of Reports of the 8th International Workshop AMADE-2015, Minsk, Belarus, September 14–19, 2015*, Institute of Mathematics, NASB, 2015, p. 90.
17. Ya.I. Zhitomirskii, Cauchy's problem for systems of linear partial differential equations with differential operators of Bessel type, *Mat. Sb., Nov. Ser.*, **36(78)**(2):299–310, 1955.