Mechanism of the Formation of O₂⁻ Radical Anions on CeO₂ and (0.5–10)% CeO₂/ZrO₂ during the Adsorption of an NO–O₂ Mixture

A. N. Il'ichev, M. D. Shibanova, A. A. Ukharskii, A. M. Kuli-zade, and V. N. Korchak

Semenov Institute of Chemical Physics, Russian Academy of Sciences, Moscow, 119991 Russia Received November 11, 2003

Abstract—It is established by ESR that the adsorption of an NO + O₂ mixture at 20°C on oxidized CeO₂ (O₂, $T = 400-700^{\circ}$ C) produces radical anions O₂⁻ located both on isolated Ce⁴⁺ cations (O₂⁻(1)) and in associated anionic vacancies (O₂⁻(2)). These species differ in thermal stability. For example, O₂⁻(2) decomposes at 20°C, while O₂⁻(1) decomposes at 50°C. Only O₂⁻(1) species are observed at -196°C in ZrO₂-supported CeO₂. In the case of NO + O₂ adsorption at 20°C, O₂⁻ is stabilized on Zr⁴⁺ cations and decomposes at 270°C. Increasing the cerium oxide content of the ZrO₂ surface from 0.5 to 10% only partially inhibits the formation of O₂⁻ -Zr⁴⁺. The Zr⁴⁺ cation is shown to possess a higher Lewis acidity than the Ce⁴⁺ cation, and the ionic bond in O₂⁻ -Zr⁴⁺ complexes is stronger than that in O₂⁻ -Ce⁴⁺ complexes. ESR, temperature-programmed desorption, and IR spectroscopic data for various adsorption complexes of NO on CeO₂ suggest that, in the key step of O₂⁻ formation, free electrons appear on the surface owing to the conversion of adsorbed NO molecules into nitrito chelates on coordinately unsaturated ion pairs Ce⁴⁺-O₂⁻.

INTRODUCTION

Catalytic systems containing CeO₂, ZrO₂, and CeO₂–ZrO₂ are promising for heterogeneous neutralization of nitrogen oxides NO_x (NO and NO₂) and oxidation of hydrocarbons and CO in automobile and plant exhaust. It is assumed that CeO₂ in these catalysts exerts its promoting effect through supply of O₂ to the reaction [1–3]. ZrO₂ improves the thermal stability of the catalyst and the redox properties of CeO₂ [4, 5]. It has recently been shown [6] that CeO₂ and CeO₂–ZrO₂ are active in the selective catalytic reduction of NO_x with hydrocarbons in excess oxygen, but their role in the reactions is poorly understood.

Electron transfer between adsorbed reactants can play a significant role in NO_x reduction [7]. Study of this process on MgO showed that the coadsorption of oxygen and hydrogen or weak acids (H–X) [8–12] is accompanied by the formation of O_2^- radical anions. In these reactions, the first step is the dissociative adsorption of H–X (X–H + $O_{surf}^{2-} \longrightarrow X^- + OH^-$) and the second step is electron transfer (X⁻ + O₂ $\longrightarrow X^+ + O_2^-$). Earlier, we studied the coadsorption of O₂ with NO and NO₂ on ZrO₂ and found that adsorbed nitrogen oxides also initiate the formation of O_2^- on ZrO₂ [13]. The reaction mechanism proposed in [14] assumes that free electrons are formed during the formation of NO_2^- and NO_3^- ions through the adsorption of nitrogen oxides on $M^{n+}-O^{2-}$ ion pairs.

To confirm the above view of the mechanism of O_2^- formation during the adsorption of an NO + O_2 mixture $(O_2^-(NO + O_2))$, we studied this reaction on pure CeO₂ and on (0.5-10)%CeO₂/ZrO₂ samples, varying thermal oxidation condition for the catalysts. NO adsorption species and their interaction with oxygen on CeO₂ were also studied by ESR, temperature-programmed desorption (TPD), and IR spectroscopy.

EXPERIMENTAL

Cerium and zirconium oxides with a specific surface area of $S_{sp} = 50 \text{ m}^2/\text{g}$ were obtained by decomposition of Ce(NO₃)₄ · 6H₂O and ZrO(NO₃)₂ in air at 500°C for 2 h. The specific surface area was determined by the BET method from low-temperature argon adsorption data.

CeO₂/ZrO₂ samples were prepared by impregnating ZrO₂ with cerium nitrate solutions with different salt concentrations (towards a CeO₂ content of (0.5-10) mol %) followed by drying at 100°C and calcination at 350°C in air for 5 h. On the assumption that supported cerium ions are uniformly distributed over the ZrO₂ surface in the (0.5-10)%CeO₂/ZrO₂ samples, the ratio of the



Fig. 1. ESR spectrum of $CeO_2(O_2, 400^{\circ}C)$ after NO + O_2 adsorption at $T = 20^{\circ}C$. The spectrum is recorded at $-196^{\circ}C$ in vacuum.

number of cerium ions to the number of surface zirconium ions is from 0.15 to 3.

The formation of $O_2^-(NO + O_2)$ was studied by ESR. A 50-mg sample was placed in an ESR tube, pumped to 10^{-4} Pa, heated in vacuum at a prescribed temperature for 1 h, and oxidized in $O_2 (P_{O_2} = 10^2 \text{ Pa})$ or reduced in H₂ ($P_{H_2} = 10^3 \text{ Pa}$) at this temperature for 20 min. Next, the sample was cooled to 20°C, pumped, and exposed to the gas. After adsorption, ESR spectra of adsorption complexes were recorded at 20 or -196° C in the X range on an EPR-V spectrometer with a Diapazon temperature attachment. The number of paramagnetic species was determined from twice-integrated ESR spectra using CuSO₄ · 5H₂O as the standard. The accuracy of these measurements was 20%.

Thermal desorption was measured for a sample placed in an ESR tube. The sample was preoxidized at 600°C, and the gas was adsorbed on the sample at 20°C for 5 min. After adsorption, the sample was pumped for 10 min at room temperature and heated at a rate of 10 K/min under continuous pumping. Thermal desorption spectra as temperature dependences of the variation of desorbed gas pressure (w_p) were recorded using a Pirani gage [15]. The composition of the desorbed gas was monitored using an MX-7304 mass spectrometer. The amount of gas desorbed was determined as the change in pressure by extra TPD runs in a closed reactor.

Diffuse reflectance IR spectra were recorded on a Perkin-Elmer RX FT-IR spectrophotometer after 64 scans. For this purpose, a CeO_2 powder (100 mg) was placed in a quartz vacuum cell with CaF_2 windows. The sample was heat-treated in the same way as in the TPD runs.

NO, H_2 , and O_2 gases were obtained in vacuum using standard procedures [16].

RESULTS

ESR Data

Formation of O_2^- during adsorption of an NO + O₂ mixture on oxidized CeO₂. No ESR signal is observed upon the adsorption of NO or O_2 (P_{O_2} = 10^2 Pa, $P_{NO} = 10$ Pa, and $T = 20^{\circ}$ C) on oxidized $CeO_2(O_2, 400-700^{\circ}C)$. An ESR signal appears after NO and then O_2 or their mixture NO + O_2 is admitted to the sample. The ESR spectrum recorded at -196°C for $CeO_2(O_2, 400^{\circ}C)$ after NO + O₂ adsorption is presented in Fig. 1. This spectrum is similar to the spectrum of O_2^- formed on the reduced CeO₂(H₂, 400°C) sample upon oxygen adsorption. Therefore, the adsorption of an NO + O_2 mixture on oxidized CeO₂ produces radical anions O_2^- . The ESR spectra of O_2^- on reduced CeO_2 after O_2 adsorption were studied in detail [17]. Comparison of these spectra with the spectrum shown in Fig. 1 suggests that the line with $g_1 = 2.035$ and $g_2 =$ 2.011 and the line with $g_1 = 2.035$, $g_2 = 2.018$, and $g_3 =$ 2.011 are due to O_2^- located on Ce⁴⁺ cations in isolated anionic vacancies ($O_2^-(1)$). The line with $g_1 = 2.053$, $g_2 = 2.008$, and $g_3 = 2.005$ and the line with $g_1 = 2.043$, $g_2 = 2.011$, and $g_3 = 2.008$ can be assigned to O_2^- on Ce⁴⁺ cations belonging to aggregated anionic vacancies $(O_2^{-}(2)).$



Fig. 2. ESR spectra of CeO₂(O₂, 400°C) after (1) admission of an NO + O₂ mixture and (2, 3) subsequent pumping for (2) 10 and (3) 30 min at T = 20°C. The spectra are recorded at 20°C.

The ESR spectrum of $O_2^-(NO + O_2)$ at 20°C is presented in Fig. 2 (curve *1*). Spectrum *1* contains lines from $O_2^-(1)$ and $O_2^-(2)$. The spectral lines are well resolved in the region of g_1 values and overlap strongly in the region of g_2 and g_3 . As the sample is pumped, $O_2^-(1)$ and $O_2^-(2)$ species decompose at different rates (curves *1*–3). After the sample is evacuated for 30 min, spectrum *1* transforms into spectrum *3*, which corresponds to $O_2^-(1)$. This species decomposes upon heating at 50°C in vacuum for 10 min. Thus, $O_2^-(2)$ is less stable than $O_2^-(1)$.

As the CeO₂ oxidation temperature is raised from 400 to 700°C, the ratio of $O_2^-(1)$ to $O_2^-(2)$ remains virtually invariable and, as can be seen in Fig. 3 (curve *I*), the total amount of these species increases from 1.3×10^{16} to 3×10^{16} m⁻² in the temperature range 400–600°C and then decreases to 2×10^{16} m⁻² at 700°C. The decrease in the amount of O_2^- on CeO₂(O₂, 700°C) is likely to be caused by agglomeration taking place on the sample surface.

In Fig. 3, we plot the concentration of O_2^- on the reduced CeO₂ surface after oxygen adsorption ($P = 1 \times 10^2$ Pa, $T = 20^{\circ}$ C) versus the temperature at which the oxidized sample has been reduced in hydrogen or vacuum. In the case of hydrogen reduction (curve 2),



Fig. 3. Amount of O_2^- formed (1) upon NO + O_2 adsorption on oxidized CeO₂ and (2, 3) upon O_2 adsorption on CeO₂ that has been reduced in (2) hydrogen and (3) vacuum versus oxidation or reduction temperature.

the amount of O_2^- decreases monotonically from ~6 × 10¹⁶ to 6 × 10¹⁵ m⁻² as the temperature is increased from 400 to 650°C. In the case of heat treatment in vacuum (curve 3), O_2^- appears on CeO₂ starting at a heat-treatment temperature $T > 470^{\circ}$ C.

Formation of $O_2^-(NO + O_2)$ on oxidized (0.5–10)% CeO₂/ZrO₂. No ESR signal is observed upon the adsorption of \overline{O}_2 or NO ($P_{NO} = 10$ Pa, $P_{O_2} =$ 50 Pa, $T = 20^{\circ}$ C) on (0.5–10)%CeO₂/ZrO₂(O₂, 400–700°C) samples. However, a signal appears when an NO + O₂ mixture is adsorbed. This signal can be seen in the spectrum of the 2%CeO₂/ZrO₂(O₂, 400°C) sample (Fig. 4, curve 1). It is characterized by the following components of the g tensor: $g_1 = 2.033$, $g_2 =$ 2.007, and $g_3 = 2.003$. Spectrum 1 remains unchanged upon 1-h pumping and is not observed after the sample is heated at 270°C for 10 min. The above g values and high thermal stability are characteristic of O₂⁻ radical anions formed on Zr⁴⁺ cations (O₂⁻-Zr⁴⁺) upon the adsorption of an NO + O₂ mixture on ZrO₂ [14].

An increase in the cerium oxide content of the catalyst from 2 to 10% gives rise to a low-intensity absorption line with g = 2.011 (Fig. 4, curve 2) against the background of the intense signal of O_2^- –Zr⁴⁺ in the ESR spectrum of O_2^- . This line can be attributed to the small amount of O_2^- radical anions adsorbed on Ce⁴⁺ cations.

The plots of O_2^- (NO + O_2) concentration versus the cerium oxide content of the sample for different oxidation temperatures are presented in Fig. 5. As the cerium oxide content is raised from 0.5 to 2%, the O_2^- concentration decreases from 2.8 × 10¹⁶ to (0.5–1.0) × 10¹⁶ m⁻², regardless of the oxidation temperature. The O_2^- con-



Fig. 4. ESR spectra of $CeO_2/ZrO_2(O_2, 500^{\circ}C)$ samples with a CeO_2 content of (1) 2 and (2) 10% after NO + O_2 adsorption and pumping at 20°C.

centration remains in the $(0.5-1.0) \times 10^{16}$ m⁻² interval as the CeO₂ content is further increased in the range 5–10%.

Thus, in the oxidized (0.5-10)%CeO₂/ZrO₂ samples at 20°C, O₂⁻ (NO + O₂) radical anions are mainly stabilized in the coordination sphere of Zr⁴⁺ cations. Supported CeO₂ partially inhibits the formation of O₂⁻ (NO + O₂) on ZrO₂.

Paramagnetic NO adsorption species and its interaction with O₂ on CeO₂ and CeO₂/ZrO₂. The ESR spectrum of NO adsorbed on CeO₂(O₂, 300°C) at -196° C ($N_{ads} = 6 \times 10^{19}$ molecule/g) is presented in



Fig. 5. Amount of O_2^- on CeO₂/ZrO₂ oxidized at (1) 400, (2) 500, (3) 600, and (4) 700°C versus the percentage of supported CeO₂.

Fig. 6 (curve 1). The signal is axially symmetric, with a hyperfine structure due to the interaction between the unpaired electron and the nuclear spin of nitrogen in the NO molecule. The parameters of the g and A tensors for spectrum *1* are $g_{\perp} = 1.998$, $g_{\parallel} = 1.865$, $A_{\perp} = 30$ G, and $A_{\parallel} = 0$, which are similar to earlier reported data [18, 19]. The concentration of NO molecules calculated from spectrum 1 is 0.2×10^{17} m⁻². Raising the CeO₂ preoxidation temperature from 300 to 600°C increases the NO concentration from 0.2×10^{17} to 7.0×10^{17} m⁻² (in this case, the parameters of the g and A tensors remain unchanged), indicating an increase in the concentration of Ce4+ Lewis acid sites on which NO molecules are adsorbed [20]. The difference between the concentration of adsorbed NO $(1.6 \times 10^{18} \text{ m}^{-2})$ and the NO concentration deduced from ESR data $(7.0 \times 10^{17} \text{ m}^{-2})$ is, most likely, due to the formation of nonparamagnetic dimeric NO adsorption species on the surface.

Figure 6 also shows the ESR spectra of NO adsorbed on 10%CeO₂/ZrO₂(O₂, 500° C) (curve 2) and ZrO₂(O₂, 500° C) (curve 3) recorded under similar conditions. Spectrum 2 has the same hyperfine structure as spectrum 1. When the cerium content of the samples is decreased, the hyperfine structure disappears and spectrum 2 transforms into spectrum 3. It is most likely that spectrum 2 is complicated and is the sum of the ESR spectra of NO adsorbed on Ce⁴⁺ and Zr⁴⁺ cations. Spectrum 3 is due to NO molecules adsorbed on Zr⁴⁺ cations.

The absence of a hyperfine structure in spectrum 3 is not related to line broadening due to the dipoledipole interaction between adsorbed NO molecules: reducing the amount of adsorbed NO by a factor of 10–20 decreases the intensity of the ESR signal of NO but no hyperfine structure appears [14]. According to earlier data [20], the presence of a hyperfine structure in the ESR spectra of NO adsorbed on CeO₂ and 10%CeO₂/ZrO₂ indicates a weak acidity of the Ce⁴⁺ ions, while its absence in the ESR spectrum of NO on ZrO₂ suggests that the Zr⁴⁺ ions are strongly acidic.

KINETICS AND CATALYSIS Vol. 46 No. 3 2005

The ESR spectra of NO adsorbed on CeO₂, 10%CeO₂/ZrO₂, and ZrO₂ at -196° C are stable and remain unchanged upon pumping of the samples for 1 h. The intensity of the spectra decreases as the samples are heated without pumping. The disappearance of the spectra between -150 and -80° C is accompanied by NO desorption into the sample bulk. Subsequent cooling of the sample to -196° C results in NO adsorption, and the ESR signal of NO is completely recovered.

When oxygen (P = 50 Pa, $T = -196^{\circ}$ C) is admitted to a CeO₂(O₂, $T = 400-600^{\circ}$ C) sample containing adsorbed NO, the ESR signal of NO disappears and an ESR signal from O_2^- appears ((1.2–2.5) × 10¹⁶ m⁻²), whose spectrum is similar to that presented in Fig. 1. Pumping the sample has no effect on the shape or intensity of the ESR spectrum of O_2^- and does not bring about an ESR spectrum of NO. After subsequent addition of NO, the ESR signal of O_2^- disappears and the ESR signal of NO is completely recovered. After the sample is pumped, adding O_2 results in the disappearance of the ESR signal of NO but no ESR signal of $O_2^$ appears. The adsorption of NO on the pumped sample again recovers the ESR signal of NO. The reversible recovery and disappearance of the ESR signal of NO during the adsorption of NO and O2, respectively, without O_2^- formation indicate that the paramagnetic NO adsorption species is not involved in the formation of O_2^- (NO + O_2) on CeO₂. Earlier, we drew a similar conclusion for the adsorption of NO and O₂ on ZrO₂ [14].

On the one hand, the disappearance of the NO signal upon admission of oxygen to the sample is, probably, due to the displacement of NO molecules by oxygen from the surface to the gas phase and the removal of NO by pumping. On the other hand, the interaction of $O_2^$ with NO on the surface probably produces diamagnetic complexes (NO– O_2^-), which are not observed in the ESR spectrum.

The interaction between adsorbed NO and O₂ on 10%CeO₂/ZrO₂(O₂, 400°C) at -196°C also results in the disappearance of the signal from NO and in the appearance of the signal presented in Fig. 7 (curve *I*). After the sample is heated to $T = 20^{\circ}$ C under continuous pumping, spectrum *I* transforms into spectrum 2, which is due to O₂⁻-Zr⁴⁺. The subtraction of spectrum 2 from spectrum *I* gives spectrum *3* with *g*-tensor parameters of $g_1 = 2.036$ and $g_2 = 2.011$, which are characteristic of O₂⁻ located on Ce⁴⁺ cations in isolated anionic vacancies. Therefore, at -196°C, O₂⁻(NO + O₂) radical anions are formed on the 10%CeO₂/ZrO₂ sample, being stabilized both on particles of the CeO₂ phase and on the surface of the ZrO₂ support.



Fig. 6. ESR spectra of NO adsorbed at -196° C on (1) CeO₂(O₂, 300°C), (2) 10%CeO₂/ZrO₂(O₂, 500°C), and (3) ZrO₂(O₂, 500°C).

Because the paramagnetic NO adsorption species is inactive in O_2^- (NO + O_2) formation, NO complexes on CeO₂ were studied by TPD and IR spectroscopy.

IR Spectroscopic Data

The IR spectra of adsorption complexes on $CeO_2(O_2, 600^{\circ}C)$ at 20°C are presented in Fig. 8. These spectra were obtained after NO adsorption followed by pumping of the sample at different temperatures for 10 min. For instance, after NO ($P = 10^3$ Pa) is let in, absorption bands due to N₂O (2241 and 1252 cm⁻¹) and chelates of the nitrite ion NO₂⁻ (1275, 1166, and 824 cm⁻¹) are observed in the spectrum (curve 1) [18, 19]. After the sample is pumped at 20°C, the absorption bands of N₂O disappear while those of NO₂⁻ remain unchanged (curve 2). Heating the sample in vacuum at 100°C exerts no effect on the spectrum of nitrites



Fig. 7. ESR spectra of 10%CeO₂/ZrO₂(O₂, 500° C) after the (*1*) interaction between adsorbed NO and O₂ at –196°C and (2) subsequent pumping at 20°C and cooling to –196°C. (3) Differential spectrum obtained by subtracting spectrum 2 from spectrum *1*.

(curve 3), while heating at 300°C results in the disappearance of the absorption bands of nitrites and in the appearance of absorption bands at 1554, 1222, and 1013 cm⁻¹, assignable to monodentate or bidentate nitrates NO_3^- (curve 4) [21]. The nitrates decompose in vacuum at 480°C.

TPD Data

Oxygen (curve 1) and NO (curve 2) desorption spectra for oxidized CeO₂(O₂, 600°C) are presented in Fig. 9. It follows from the shape of spectrum 1 that, after this specimen is treated with oxygen at 20°C and $P = 2 \times 10^2$ Pa, O₂ is desorbed at $T_{max} = 470$ °C. A similar spectrum was recorded when CeO₂(O₂, 600°C) was heated without oxygen treatment. This suggests that at 20°C oxygen does not form stable adsorption species on oxidized CeO₂. The O₂ desorption temperature is equal to the temperature of CeO₂ reduction in vacuum (Fig. 3). The amount of desorbed O₂ converted to surface concentration is $N_{O_2} = 1 \times 10^{17}$ m⁻² and does not exceed 2% of the amount of O^{2–} ions on the surface. Apparently, O₂ desorption is due to the removal of interstitial oxygen from the Ce⁴⁺ cations. Spectrum 2 illustrates the desorption of NO that has been adsorbed at 20°C and $P = 10^3$ Pa. The low-temperature region (40–180°C) contains a peak with two maxima at $T_{\text{max}} = 70$ and 100°C ($N_{\text{NO}} = 1.2 \times 10^{17} \text{ m}^{-2}$). Between 200 and 520°C, there are two peaks at $T_{\text{max}} =$ 260 and 480°C. The NO concentrations corresponding to these peaks are approximately the same and are equal to $3.3 \times 10^{17} \text{ m}^{-2}$. At 400–520°C, this sample desorbs the same amount of oxygen as the oxidized sample.

It follows from the TPD data that only stable nitrito complexes are formed on the oxidized CeO₂ surface upon NO adsorption at 20°C. Approximately 50% of them decompose with NO desorption at ~300°C, and the other 50% turn into surface nitrates decomposing at ~480°C. The nature of the NO complexes decomposing at 70–100°C remains unclear. They may be the two hypernitrite species that are assumed [19] to be intermediates in the formation of N₂O. The absorption bands due to these hypernitrites (1350, 1015, and 954 cm⁻¹ for *cis*-N₂O₂²⁻ and 1105 cm⁻¹ for *trans*-N₂O₂²⁻) were not observed (Fig. 8), probably because of the low concentration of these species on the surface.

DISCUSSION

Mechanism of $O_2^-(NO + O_2)$ Formation on Oxidized CeO₂

Oxygen adsorption on CeO₂ that has been reduced in hydrogen or vacuum is known to produce O_2^- radical anions through electron transfer from Ce³⁺ to adsorbed O_2 [17]. Ce³⁺ cations are paramagnetic; however, they are not detected by ESR in the reduced CeO₂ and CeO₂/ZrO₂ samples at -196 and 20°C, probably because of the short time of spin–lattice relaxation. Ce³⁺ cations are also absent from oxidized CeO₂ and CeO₂/ZrO₂ because O₂ adsorption on these materials produces O_2^- . The formation of O_2^- (NO + O₂) on oxidized CeO₂ indicates that NO adsorption complexes are involved in this reaction as electron donors.

Let us consider the mechanism of this reaction in more detail. We believe that active sites in this reaction are coordinately unsaturated ions Ce⁴⁺ and O^{2–} formed by surface dehydroxylation. This assumption is favored by the fact that the concentration of $O_2^-(NO + O_2)$ increases with increasing CeO₂ oxidation temperature (Fig. 3, curve 1).

Depending on the NO adsorption temperature, different surface compounds form on the coordinately unsaturated ions Ce⁴⁺ and O²⁻: NO–Ce⁴⁺ (–196°C), nitrites NO₂⁻, nitrous oxide N₂O (20°C), and nitrates NO₃⁻ (300°C). The participation of the compounds NO–Ce⁴⁺ and NO₃⁻ in this reaction seems to be improbable for the following reasons. For the first compound, O₂ adsorption at –196°C is accompanied by the decom-



Fig. 8. IR spectra of CeO₂(O₂, 600°C) after (1) admission of NO at 20°C and (2–4) subsequent pumping at (2) 20, (3) 100, and (4) 300°C.

position of NO-Ce⁴⁺ and by the displacement of NO into the sample bulk without O_2^- formation. In the second case, nitrates result from nitrites at 300°C, well above the $O_2^-(NO + O_2)$ formation temperature (20°C). Nitrous oxide is inactive in the reaction because no $O_2^$ results from the coadsorption of N_2O and O_2^- on CeO₂. The appearance of free electrons upon the formation of chelate nitrites seems to be most probable. According to an earlier report [19], chelate nitrite results from interaction between an NO molecule and a coordinately unsaturated ion pair Ce4+-O2- followed by electron transfer from the NO molecule to the surface with reduction of the Ce^{4+} cation to Ce^{3+} (reaction (I)). The reduction of cations upon nitrite formation is indicated by the appearance of electrons in the conduction band [22]. In this case, O_2^- formation is due to adsorbed O_2 molecules capturing electrons from the conduction band (reaction (II)).

$$\begin{array}{c|c} & & & N \\ & & & \\ NO + Ce^{4+} - O^{2-} \Rightarrow & & Ce^{3+} \end{array} \right|, \qquad (I)$$

$$Ce^{3+} + O_2 \Rightarrow Ce^{4+} - O_2^{-}.$$
 (II)

KINETICS AND CATALYSIS Vol. 46 No. 3 2005

Since the ESR spectra of O_2^- (NO + O₂) and O_2^- (O₂) on the oxidized and reduced CeO₂ samples are similar, it is evident that the O_2^- (NO + O₂) species are stabilized in the coordination sphere of Ce⁴⁺ cations near nitrito



Fig. 9. (1) O₂ and (2) NO temperature-desorption spectra for CeO₂(O₂, 600°C). Adsorption conditions: 20°C, $P_{O_2} = 2 \times 10^2$ Pa, $P_{NO} = 10^3$ Pa.

complexes. Probably, the formation of $O_2^-(NO + O_2)$ on ZrO₂ proceeds by a similar mechanism [14].

Inhibition of $O_2^-(NO + O_2)$ Formation on ZrO_2 by CeO_2

It was demonstrated [23] that 2 mol % MoO₃ supported on ZrO₂ completely inhibits the formation of $O_2^{-}(NO + O_2)$ on ZrO₂ through immobilization of Mo⁶⁺ cations on surface O²⁻ ions. According to the data presented in Fig. 5, this reaction is not completely inhibited by CeO₂ even when the concentration of supported Ce4+ ions exceeds the surface concentration of Zr4+ cations by a factor of 3, as in the 10%CeO₂/ZrO₂ sample. We believe that the fact that the activity of ZrO_2 decreases as the percentage of supported cerium oxide increases from 0.5 to 2% is due to single cerium oxo complexes and dimeric oxide clusters covering the surface. Further increase in the CeO_2 content from 2 to 10% does not diminish the free surface area of the support, probably because the dimeric cerium oxide clusters transform into trimeric ones. The formation of trimeric clusters (or of the CeO_2 phase on the ZrO_2 surface in the case of 10%CeO₂/ZrO₂) is indicated by the appearance of the hyperfine structure characteristic of NO adsorbed on CeO_2 in the ESR spectrum upon the adsorption of NO (-196°C) (Fig. 6) and by the formation of $O_2^{-}(NO + O_2)$ on the Ce⁴⁺ cations of the CeO₂ clusters (Fig. 7). Note that $O_2^-(NO + O_2)$ was observed at -196°C on CeO₂ clusters and at 20°C on a CeO₂ monolith. This difference in O_2^- stabilization temperature can be explained by the strong interaction between the ZrO₂ support and cerium ions in the CeO₂ clusters [24].

On the Nature of the Bonds between O_2^- and Ce^{4+} and between O_2^- and Zr^{4+} and of the Acidity of Cations in CeO₂ and CeO₂/ZrO₂

The bonds between the oxygen radical and the metal ion in the $O_2^--Ce^{4+}$ and $O_2^--Zr^{4+}$ complexes differ in nature. For most metal oxides, the *g* tensor of O_2^- is consistent with the ionic model, according to which the smallest component of the *g* tensor must be equal to the value of a free electron (g_e) [25]. For the $O_2^--Zr^{4+}$ complexes, $g_3 = 2.003$, which is close to $g_e = 2.0023$ (Fig. 4), indicating ionic bonding in these compounds. For all $O_2^--Ce^{4+}$ complexes (Figs. 1, 7), g_3 is higher than g_e , in agreement with the ionic model. In this case, the bond is covalent rather than ionic. This covalent bond results from the overlap of the occupied π_y orbital of oxygen and unoccupied 4*f* orbitals of the cerium cation [24].

The covalent bond in the $O_2^--Ce^{4+}$ complexes is weaker than the ionic bond in the $O_2^--Zr^{4+}$ complexes: the $O_2^--Ce^{4+}$ complexes decompose below 50°C, whereas the $O_2^--Zr^{4+}$ complexes decompose at 270°C. In this case, we can assume that Zr^{4+} cations are more acidic than Ce⁴⁺ cations. This assumption is also suggested by the analysis of the hyperfine structures of the ESR spectra of NO adsorbed on CeO₂ and ZrO₂ at -196°C (Fig. 6).

REFERENCES

- 1. Shelef, M. and Graham, G.M., *Catal. Rev.*, 1994, vol. 36, p. 433.
- Balducci, G., Kaspar, J., Fornasiero, P., Graziani, M., Islam, M.S., and Gale, J.D., *J. Phys. Chem. B*, 1997, vol. 101, p. 1750.
- Balducci, G., Kaspar, J., Fornasiero, P., Graziani, M., and Islam, M.S., *J. Phys. Chem. B*, 1998, vol. 102, p. 557.
- Fornasiero, P., Balducci, G., Monte, R.Di., Kaspar, J., Sergo, V., Gubitosa, G., Ferrero, A., and Graziani, M., *J. Catal.*, 1996, vol. 164, p. 173.
- 5. Hori, C.E., Permana, H., Brenner, Y., Rahmoeller, K.M., and Belton, D.N., *Apll. Catal.*, *B*, 1998, vol. 16, p. 105.
- 6. Daturi, M., Bion, N., Saussey, J., Lavalley, J.-C., Hedouin, C., Seguelong, T., and Blanchard, G., *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2001, vol. 3, p. 252.
- 7. Giamello, E., Catal. Today, 1998, vol. 41, p. 239.
- 8. Cordischi, D., Indoviva, V., and Occhiuzzi, M., J. Chem. Soc., Faraday Trans., 1978, vol. 74, no. 1, p. 456.
- 9. Ito, T., Yoshioka, M., and Nouda, T., J. Chem. Soc., Faraday Trans., 1983, vol. 79, no. 10, p. 2277.
- Garrone, G., Giomello, E., Ferraris, M., and Spoto, G., J. Chem. Soc., Faraday Trans., 1992, vol. 88, no. 3, p. 333.
- 11. Ito, T., Watanabo, T., Tashiro, T., and Toi, K., *J. Chem. Soc., Faraday Trans.*, 1989, vol. 85, no. 8, p. 2381.
- 12. Krylov, O.V., Kinet. Katal., 1973, vol. 14, no. 1, p. 35.
- 13. Konin, G.A., Il'ichev, A.N., Matyshak, V.A., and Korchak, V.N., *Mendeleev Commun.*, 2000, no. 5, p. 197.
- 14. Il'ichev, A.N., Konin, G.A., Matyshak, V.A., Kulizade, A.M., Korchak, V.N., and Yan, Yu.B., *Kinet. Katal.*, 2002, vol. 43, no. 2, p. 235.
- 15. Tret'yakov, I.I., Shub, B.R., and Sklyarov, A.V., *Zh. Fiz. Khim.*, 1970, vol. 44, p. 2112.
- 16. *Handbuch der preparativen anorganischen Chemie*, von Brauer, G., Ed., Stuttgart: Ferdinand Enke, 1981.

- 17. Soria, J., Martinez-Arias, A., and Conesa, J.C., J. Chem. Soc., Faraday Trans., 1995, vol. 91, no. 11, p. 1669.
- 18. Niwa, M., Furukawa, Y., and Murakami, Y., J. Colloid Interface Sci., 1982, vol. 86, no. 1, p. 260.
- Martinez-Arias, A., Soria, J., Conesa, J.C., Seoane, X.L., Arcoya, A., and Catalune, R., J. Chem. Soc., Faraday Trans., 1995, vol. 91, no. 11, p. 1679.
- 20. Rudolf, T., Bohlmann, W., and Poppl, A., J. Magn. Reson., 2002, vol. 155, p. 45.
- 21. Hadgiivanov, K.I., *Catal. Rev.*, 2000, vol. 42, nos. 1–2, p. 71.
- 22. Vol'kinshtein, F.F., *Fiziko-khimiya poverkhnosti poluprovodnikov* (Physical Chemistry of the Semiconductor Surface), Moscow: Nauka, 1973.
- 23. Il'ichev, A.N., Shibanova, M.D., and Korchak, V.N., *Kinet. Katal.*, 2004, vol. 45, no. 1, p. 126.
- 24. Soria, J., Coronado, J.M., and Conesa, J.C., *J. Chem. Soc., Faraday Trans.*, 1996, vol. 92, no. 9, p. 619.
- 25. Che, M. and Tench, A.J., Adv. Catal., 1983, vol. 32, p. 1.