# A thermostated coupled apparatus for the simultaneous determination of adsorption isotherms and differential enthalpies of adsorption at high pressure and high temperature

Adil Mouahid · David Bessieres · Frédéric Plantier · Gilles Pijaudier-Cabot

Received: 26 June 2011/Accepted: 20 July 2011/Published online: 4 August 2011 © Akadémiai Kiadó, Budapest, Hungary 2011

**Abstract** In order to assess and improve the quality of high pressure and temperature adsorption isotherms and differential enthalpies of adsorption on microporous and mesoporous materials, a specific thermostated device comprising a differential heat flow calorimeter coupled with a home-built manometric system has been built. The differential heat flow calorimeter is a Tian Calvet Setaram C80 model which can be operated isothermally, the manometric system is a stainless steel homemade apparatus. The thermostated coupled apparatus allows measurements for pressure up to 2.5 MPa and temperature from 303 to 423 K. Reliability and reproducibility were established by measuring adsorption isotherms on a benchmark sorbent (Filtrasorb F400). A detailed experimental study of the adsorption of pure carbon dioxide and methane has been made on activated carbons (Filtrasorb F400 and EcoSorb); a new procedure for determining the differential enthalpies of adsorption based on the stepwise method is also proposed. The error in the determination of the amount adsorbed is about 3.6%, and the error in the determination of the differential enthalpies of adsorption is 4%.

**Keywords** Adsorption · Calorimeter · Microporous materials · Activated carbons · High pressure · High temperature · Carbon dioxide · Methane

Laboratoire de Thermodynamique et Energétique de l'Université de Pau et des Pays de l'Adour, Avenue de l'université, 64013 Pau, France

e-mail: adil.mouahid@alumni.univ-pau.fr

#### Introduction

Adsorption isotherms and differential enthalpies of adsorption at high pressure and temperature are useful in many fields of applications like technological aspects in the petroleum engineering with the problematic of coal bed methane production, carbon dioxide sequestration or gas shale production [1-10], and computational simulations [11–18]. The main mechanisms of adsorption are known and have been confirmed in the case of ideal fluids near ambient conditions [19-21] but a comprehensive description of the supercritical adsorption on microporous and mesoporous adsorbents is still needed. In this context, the knowledge of the differential enthalpies of adsorption and the adsorption isotherms are of prime importance for a reliable description of the gas-solid interactions at high pressure and temperature. In general, calorimetric methods [21-23] are used for determination of differential enthalpies of adsorption and manometric [24-26] or gravimetric [27, 28] methods for the determination of the amount adsorbed. Direct calorimetric measurements are the most reliable way to determine differential enthalpies of adsorption because they are free from hypotheses from the attainment of equilibrium or on desorption rate law that must be made in indirect determinations [29–41]. Various apparatus have been built to determine simultaneously such quantities [15, 41-53], but some of them operate at low pressures and temperatures range [41–49] others at higher pressures and temperatures close to the ambient conditions [15, 50-53]. Those various recent studies were not made in both high temperature and pressure conditions, because of the difficulty to finalize a complete thermostated coupled apparatus at high temperature. The aim of this study is to determine simultaneously adsorption isotherms and differential enthalpies of adsorption at higher pressures and

A. Mouahid  $(\boxtimes) \cdot D$ . Bessieres  $\cdot F$ . Plantier  $\cdot$ 

G. Pijaudier-Cabot

temperatures on microporous and mesoporous materials. In this study, the description of a thermostated combined calorimetric-manometric apparatus is given, this specific experimental device comprising a Setaram C80 differential heat flow calorimeter coupled with a home-built manometric system can work for pressures from vacuum up to 2.5 MPa and temperatures from 303 to 423 K. The reliability of the apparatus and measurements procedures are analyzed and discussed. Both adsorption isotherms and differential enthalpies of adsorption of methane and carbon dioxide have been determined for two microporous-activated carbons.

# Experimental

## Experimental setup

A specific thermostated experimental device comprising a differential heat flow calorimeter coupled with a manometric system shown in Fig. 1 has been built for this study. The differential heat flow calorimeter is a Tian Calvet Setaram C80 model which measures the heat flux of a gas and can be operated isothermally. The inner part of the calorimeter includes two calorimetric cells; one of those cells was connected to a home-built manometric apparatus design for being inserted in the upper part of the calorimeter as shown in Fig. 2. The second calorimetric cell is connected to an empty reference cell to stabilize the heat flux. Thanks to the manometric temperature regulation system, the coupled apparatus works under isothermal conditions between 303 and 423 K. The manometric apparatus is made of stainless steel and consist in a set-up with three valves and a pressure transducer P (Fig. 1). All valves are type SS-41GS2-1466 and SS-41GS2-A-1466 from Swagelok.  $V_c$  is a calibration cell (1) type SS-4CD-TW-10, provided by Swagelok, which was used for the volume determination of the dosing volume  $V_d$  (2) and the volume of the adsorption cell  $V_{ads}$  (3), located in one of the two calorimetric cell, in which the porous sample was introduced. The dosing volume  $V_{\rm d}$  is the volume between the valves and the pressure transducer. This volume is used for stocking the adsorptive before sending it in the adsorption cell. The adsorption cell is closed with a 5 µm filter used to avoid the entrainment of little adsorbent particles and to prevent them from settling in the seating of the valves. Thanks to nitrogen expansions from  $V_c$  to other part of the apparatus, we have determined the value of the dosing volume  $V_{\rm d}$  and the adsorption cell  $V_{\rm ads}$  without sample. The value of  $V_{\rm c}$  has been checked with nitrogen expansions from  $(V_{ads} + V_d)$  to  $V_c$  at pressures between 0.1 and 0.2 MPa. The calculations were made thanks to the Ideal Gas equation of state; results with accuracy are given in Table 1.



Fig. 1 The thermostated coupled apparatus

Deringer



Fig. 2 The manometric apparatus

Table 1	Manometric	apparatus	volume	determination	
---------	------------	-----------	--------	---------------	--

	Vc	V <sub>d</sub>	$V_{\rm ads}$
Volume/cm <sup>3</sup>	9.81	6.30	10.24
Error/%	0.42	0.61	1.18

Pressure measurement

An MKS Baratron type 121A absolute pressure transducer is used for measuring the pressure. With an accuracy of 0.01% of full scale in the range from vacuum to 3.3 MPa, this pressure transducer allows an accurate measurement of the gas phase pressure in contact with the porous sample before and after adsorption. The separate housings of the sensor electronics unit enable the sensor to be heated up to 423 K and allow the electronic units to be mounted in a convenient location.

Manometric apparatus temperature regulation system

The home-made manometric device is plugged into an aluminum block specially designed for being inserted in the upper part of the calorimeter (Fig. 2). At the surroundings of this block, a heating resistor connected to an external PID regulation system is used for heating the manometric system. The same temperature is settled for the calorimeter and the manometric system allowing isothermal conditions in both parts of the coupled apparatus.

## Data acquisition system

National instruments data acquisition type PCI-MIO-16XE-50 and LabVIEW program (Fig. 3) are used for measuring the pressure and the calorimetric signals simultaneously. The software used allowed us to watch and



Fig. 4 Pressure and calorimetric signal recorded during an adsorption experiment

record the variation of the calorimetric signals and the pressure drop due to adsorption simultaneously (Fig. 4).

## Methods

Carrying out an adsorption measurement (adsorption isotherm and differential enthalpy of adsorption) at one temperature involves several steps:

- (1) Introduce the microporous or mesoporous sample into the adsorption cell and record the initial mass. Depending on the specific surface and the density of the material used for the study, the adsorption cell was filled with an amount of adsorbent sample corresponding at least to a surface of 50 m<sup>2</sup>.
- (2) The adsorbent sample is cleaned by simultaneous evacuation and heating up of the adsorption cell inside the calorimeter during 24 h. The temperature settled depend on the type of adsorbent sample, vacuum was achieved when cleaning the sample.



# Fig. 3 LabView software

- (3) An accurate determination of the volume occupied by the adsorbent must be done, this determination is performed using helium expansions from  $V_d$  to  $V_{ads}$ (filled with the porous sample) at pressures between 0.1 and 0.2 MPa. The resulting dead space depends on the final pressure of helium. This method has the advantage of giving a dead space volume reproducible from an adsorption experiment to another and from a laboratory to another. Helium is used for this determination because it is considered as not adsorbed. Calculations were made thanks to a specific helium equation of state [54].
- (4) The all apparatus is evacuated and the temperature for the study is settled.
- (5) An amount of gas is introduced in  $V_{\rm d}$ . When the equilibrium is reached, pressure and temperature are measured.
- (6) The valve is opened to allow the adsorptive reaching the adsorbent sample in  $V_{ads}$ . The gas must be introduced very slowly, so that the heat effect corresponding to the gas compression in the calorimeter may be calculated accurately. This can be done by opening the valve very slowly.
- (7) The calorimetric signal is continuously recorded during the complete step, the equilibrium pressure and temperature are recorded when calorimetric signals returns to baseline.
- (8) The adsorption cell is isolated by closing the valve.
- (9) Steps 5 to 8 are repeated to plot the adsorption isotherm and the differential enthalpies of adsorption.

#### Determination of the amount adsorbed

The measurements of the total amount of gas  $n_1$  admitted into the volume  $V_d$  and the amount of gas  $n_2$  remaining in the gas phase ( $V_d + V_{ads}$ ) at the adsorption equilibrium are determined by p-V-T measurements before and after adsorption (respectively  $p_1$  and  $p_2$ ) by the use of the Soave– Redlich–Kwong equation of state (Eq. 1).

$$p = \frac{RT}{v-b} - \frac{\frac{a}{\sqrt{T}}}{v(v+b)} \tag{1}$$

It allows calculating the molar volume of the gas before and after adsorption, respectively  $v_1$  and  $v_2$ , by using the experimental conditions in which:

- *p* is the pressure in Pa
- *T* is the temperature in K
- v is the molar volume of the adsorptive in  $m^3 mol^{-1}$
- *R* is the gas constant in J mol<sup>-1</sup> K<sup>-1</sup>
- The parameter *a* expresses the relation to the intermolecular interactions:

$$a = \frac{\omega_a R^2 T_c^{2.5}}{p_c} \tag{2}$$

• The parameter *b* is the co-volume:

$$b = \frac{\omega_b R T_c}{p_c} \tag{3}$$

where

- $T_{\rm c}$  is the critical temperature of the gas in K,
- $p_{\rm c}$  is the critical pressure of the gas in Pa.
- ω<sub>a</sub> and ω<sub>b</sub> are constant values and are function of the acentric factor ω:

$$\omega_a = 0.42137 \mathrm{e}^{0.27868\omega} \tag{4}$$

$$\omega_b = 0.0855 \mathrm{e}^{0.29161\omega} \tag{5}$$

The knowledge of the experimental volumes ( $V_{\rm d}$  and  $V_{\rm ads}$ ), temperatures (*T*), mass of adsorbent (*m*) introduced in the adsorption cell and pressures allow deducing, respectively, the molar quantity in the gaseous phase before adsorption  $n_1$  (Eq. 6) and after adsorption  $n_2$  (Eq. 7). It is then possible to calculate the amount adsorbed  $n_{\rm ads}$  thanks to Eq. 8.

$$n_1 = \frac{V_d}{v_1} \tag{6}$$

$$n_2 = \frac{V_{\rm d} + V_{\rm ads}}{\nu_2} \tag{7}$$

$$n_{\rm ads} = \frac{n_1 - n_2}{m} \tag{8}$$

where  $V_d$  is the value of the dosing volume in m<sup>3</sup>,  $V_{ads}$  is the volume calculated by helium expansion in m<sup>3</sup>, *m* is the mass of the microporous or mesoporous sample in g, and  $n_{ads}$  is the amount adsorbed in mol g<sup>-1</sup>.

The errors estimations are about 0.6% on the determination of  $V_{\rm d}$  and about 1.2% on the estimation of  $V_{\rm ads}$ . For the molar quantity, the error estimation is about 0.5%, the error on the mass measurement has been considered as negligible, which lead to an error of 3.6% on the determination of  $n_{\rm ads}$ . The data presented in this study are Gibbs (or excess) adsorption [21].

## Determination of the differential enthalpy of adsorption

Each introduction of gas in the adsorption cell is followed by an exothermal effect until equilibrium is reached. In this procedure, one must consider that the gas is introduced reversibly. The calorimetric adsorption cell is then considered as an open system and the calorimetric signal E (expressed in  $\mu$ V) recorded by the thermopiles provides the total heat  $Q_g$ resulting from two contributions: the heat of adsorption  $Q_{ads}$ and the heat dissipated by the compressed gas  $Q_{comp}$ :



Fig. 5 EcoSorb-activated carbon Pore Size Distribution Function

Table 2 Properties of microporous adsorbent

	Specific BET surface area/m <sup>2</sup> $g^{-1}$	$\begin{array}{c} \text{Micropores} \\ \text{volume/cm}^3 \ \text{g}^{-1} \end{array}$
F400	1063	0.51
EcoSorb	1290	0.6

 Table 3 Critical properties and acentric factors of the adsorptive

Adsorptive	$CO_2$	$CH_4$
T <sub>c</sub> /K	304.128	190.654
P <sub>c</sub> /MPa	7.3773	4.5992
ω	0.2239	0.0114

$$Q_{\rm g} = Q_{\rm ads} + Q_{\rm comp} \tag{9}$$

where

$$Q_{\rm g} = k \int E(t) \mathrm{d}t \tag{10}$$

 $Q_{\rm g}$  is the total heat measured by the thermopiles in J,  $Q_{\rm ads}$  is the adsorption heat in J,  $Q_{\rm comp}$  is the heat dissipated by the compressed gas in J, E(t) is the calorimetric signal recorded in  $\mu$ V, k is the static gain of the calorimetric detector in W ( $\mu$ V)<sup>-1</sup> previously measured [55].

The determination of  $Q_{\text{comp}}$  can be done thanks to the following Maxwell equation:

$$\left(\frac{\partial S}{\partial p}\right)_T = -\alpha_P V \tag{11}$$

where  $\alpha_P$  is the isobaric expansion coefficient defined by  $1/V(\partial V/\partial T)_P$ . In a mass-open type calorimeter vessel (used in this study), the quantity of heat  $\delta Q_{\text{comp}}$  dissipated by the pressure drop dp under isothermal conditions is a sum of two opposite effects: one resulting from the gas and the other from the vessel wall [56]:



Fig. 6 CO<sub>2</sub> adsorption isotherm on F400-activated carbon at 318 K. Reproducibility test (*filled diamonds*) Run 1; (*open squares*) Run 2



Fig. 7  $CO_2$  differential enthalpies of adsorption on F400-activated carbon at 318 K. Reproducibility test (*filled diamonds*) Run 1; (*open squares*) Run 2

Table 4 CO<sub>2</sub> adsorption isotherms data on Filtrasorb F400-activated carbon at 318 K

Filtrasorb l	F400				
CO <sub>2</sub>					
Run 1 Run 2					
<i>p/</i> MPa	$n_{\rm ads}$ /mmol g <sup>-1</sup>	p/MPa	$n_{\rm ads}$ /mmol g <sup>-1</sup>		
0.06	0.946	0.02	0.463		
0.19	2.139	0.08	1.157		
0.41	3.317	0.21	2.222		
0.73	4.393	0.42	3.337		
1.12	5.267	0.74	4.413		
1.51	5.861	1.09	5.212		
1.93	6.331	1.48	5.835		
2.30	6.648	1.89	6.300		

$$\delta Q_{\rm comp} = \alpha_{\rm SS} V_{\rm E} T dp - \alpha_p V_{\rm E} T dp \tag{12}$$

where  $\alpha_{ss}$  is the isobaric coefficient of stainless steel in K<sup>-1</sup> (the material of which the adsorption cell is made), *T* the temperature in K, and  $V_E$  is the volume taken into account by the thermopiles deduced by helium measurement in m<sup>3</sup>.

Filtrasorb F400	Filtrasorb F400						
CO <sub>2</sub>							
Run 1		Run 2					
$n_{\rm ads}/{\rm mmol}~{\rm g}^{-1}$	$-\Delta H/kJ \text{ mol}^{-1}$	$n_{\rm ads}$ /mmol g <sup>-1</sup>	$-\Delta H/kJ \text{ mol}^{-1}$				
0.946	22.5	0.463	23.4				
2.139	20.7	1.157	21.2				
3.317	19.8	2.222	20.2				
4.393	20.0	3.337	19.6				
5.267	20.2	4.413	19.8				
5.861	21.0	5.212	20.1				
6.331	22.7	5.835	21.1				
6.648	23.8	6.300	20.9				



Fig. 8 F400 adsorption isotherm comparison with FP Mons results (*filled diamonds*) Run 1; (*thick line*) FP Mons

As small pressures steps  $\Delta p$  are applied in this study, the heat dissipated by the compressed gas can be expressed in the following form:

$$Q_{\rm comp} = -V_{\rm E}(\alpha_P - \alpha_{\rm ss})T\Delta p \tag{13}$$

Thanks to Eq. 13 it is then possible to calculate a corrective term for the compression of the gas and the deformation of the cell which is the novelty in the new of determining the differential enthalpies of adsorption.

The volume taken into account by the thermopiles  $V_{\rm E}$  can be deduced thanks to helium expansions from the dosing volume to the adsorption cell filled with the adsorbent. Helium is considered as not adsorbed, as a consequence the calorimetric signal recorded is only due to the heat dissipated by the compressed gas into the adsorption cell. By the knowledge of the helium isobaric coefficient  $\alpha_{P\rm He}$  and by calculating the integral of the calorimetric signal, it is possible to calculate  $V_{\rm E}$  thanks to Eq. 14:



Fig. 9 F400 adsorption isotherm comparison with Zurich (*filled diamonds*) Run 1; (*open squares*) ETH Zurich

$$V_{\rm E} = \frac{k \int E(t) dt}{-(\alpha_{\rm PHe} - \alpha_{\rm ss})T\Delta p}$$
(14)

by combining Eqs. 9 to 14 the differential enthalpy of adsorption can be deduced thanks to Eq. 15:

$$\Delta H = \frac{Q_{\text{ads}}}{\Delta n} = \frac{k \int E(t) dt + V_{\text{E}}(\alpha_P - \alpha_{\text{SS}}) T \Delta p}{\Delta n}$$
(15)

where  $\Delta H$  is the differential enthalpy of adsorption in J mol<sup>-1</sup>,  $\Delta n$  is the amount of gas adsorbed between each step of the experiment in mol,  $\Delta p$  is the pressure drop during adsorption experiments in Pa.

The procedure used to determine  $V_{\rm E}$  leads to an uncertainty of 2% on  $Q_{\rm comp}$ . The error associated to the total heat  $Q_{\rm g}$  estimated by a test of reproducibility is about 1%. The error in the determination of  $Q_{\rm ads}$  results from two additive causes of error in the successive determinations of  $Q_{\rm g}$  and  $Q_{\rm comp}$ . Combining errors on both  $Q_{\rm ads}$  and  $n_{\rm ads}$ , the error on the differential enthalpy of adsorption  $\Delta H$  is 4%.

## Materials

Commercially available Filtrasorb F400 from Chemviron Carbon and EcoSorb-activated carbon from Jacobi carbons were used as microporous adsorbent material. Filtrasorb F400-activated carbon is a well characterized material which is considered as a benchmark material and has been used in many previous studies [57–60]. The EcoSorb-activated carbon was characterized with a Micromeritics ASAP 2020 System. The pore size distribution was determined by a low pressure nitrogen adsorption isotherm at 77 K (from  $5 \times 10^{-7}$  to 0.99  $p/p_0$  in relative pressure range) evaluated by the Horvath and Kawazoe model [61]. The Pore Size Distribution Function given in Fig. 5 shows that the peak pore diameter is about 4.6 Å. The properties of these microporous adsorbent are given in Table 2. The adsorptive gases used were methane (CH<sub>4</sub>) and

Table 6 CH<sub>4</sub> adsorption isotherms data on EcoSorb-activated carbon

<b>Table 7</b> CO <sub>2</sub> adsorption isotherms data on EcoSorb-activated carbo
---

EcoSorb-activated carbon								
$CH_4$	CH <sub>4</sub>							
T = 32	23 K	T = 35	53 K	T = 38	33 K			
<i>p/</i> MPa	$n_{\rm ads}/mmol g^{-1}$	<i>p/</i> MPa	$n_{\rm ads}/mmol g^{-1}$	<i>p/</i> MPa	$n_{ m ads}/mmol g^{-1}$			
0.02	0.136	0.05	0.177	0.06	0.116			
0.06	0.296	0.19	0.516	0.13	0.242			
0.11	0.481	0.35	0.825	0.23	0.386			
0.17	0.689	0.54	1.127	0.45	0.691			
0.25	0.914	0.73	1.391	0.61	0.870			
0.35	1.145	0.95	1.649	0.78	1.045			
0.45	1.364	1.17	1.862	0.95	1.199			
0.56	1.544	1.47	2.125	1.16	1.357			
0.67	1.715	1.72	2.314	1.36	1.508			
0.78	1.872	2.00	2.512	1.55	1.638			
0.90	2.041	2.24	2.657	1.80	1.794			
1.04	2.201			2.03	1.929			
1.16	2.355							
1.31	2.502							
1.47	2.673							
1.68	2.853							
1.86	3.016							

carbon dioxide  $(CO_2)$  with 99.9% purity. The properties of  $CH_4$  and  $CO_2$  are given in Table 3.

# **Results and discussions**

#### Reliability

For checking the good operating of our coupled apparatus, we made CO<sub>2</sub> adsorption isotherms measurements at 318 K on the F400-activated carbon which is considered as a benchmark. We made comparison with the results obtain by an inter-laboratory study [59] conducted among four European research laboratories and those obtain by the Zurich Institute of Process Engineering [58]. In those studies, the measurements on Filtrasorb F400 were made at 318 K up to 16 MPa. The CO<sub>2</sub> adsorption isotherms results obtain in the inter-laboratory study were given by an empirical equation, with the appropriate correlated parameters, no experimental results were given. The Zurich Institute of Process Engineering study presents the experimental excess adsorption results versus the carbon dioxide density  $\rho$ . We choose to make comparison with both studies because the first one gives parametrical results and the second one directs experimental results. The comparison with the empirical equation results will give us a first

EcoSo	EcoSorb-activated carbon						
CO <sub>2</sub>							
T = 32	23 K	T = 35	53 K	T = 38	33 K		
<i>p/</i> MPa	$n_{\rm ads}/mmol g^{-1}$	<i>p/</i> MPa	$n_{\rm ads}/mmol g^{-1}$	<i>p/</i> MPa	$n_{ads}/mmol g^{-1}$		
0.03	0.302	0.04	0.280	0.06	0.207		
0.08	0.794	0.11	0.632	0.14	0.464		
0.17	1.421	0.22	1.036	0.27	0.783		
0.30	2.100	0.36	1.498	0.40	1.075		
0.46	2.716	0.52	1.940	0.55	1.364		
0.63	3.335	0.68	2.328	0.71	1.641		
0.83	3.899	0.86	2.699	0.88	1.901		
1.01	4.347	1.04	3.021	1.06	2.159		
1.19	4.743	1.23	3.339	1.23	2.381		
1.38	5.094	1.41	3.608	1.41	2.591		
1.56	5.402	1.61	3.874	1.58	2.780		
1.74	5.674	1.76	4.070	1.75	2.955		
1.92	5.929	1.93	4.253	1.92	3.120		
2.09	6.161	2.12	4.450	2.10	3.282		

idea of the validity of our results and the comparison with the experimental measurements will show us if our results are reliable. A mass of 0.945 g of F400-activated carbon was degassed at 473 K during 24 h before being used in the adsorption measurement. Two independent sets of measurement (Run 1 and Run 2) were performed; the average deviation is less than 0.5 and 2.5% for adsorption isotherms and differential adsorption enthalpies, respectively. The F400 sample has been outgases at 473 K during 24 h between the two sets of measurements. The results are shown in Fig. 6 for the adsorption isotherms and Fig. 7 for the differential enthalpies of adsorption. Tables 4 and 5 give the experimental data of the adsorption isotherms and differential enthalpies of adsorption, respectively. We can notice that there is no effect of accumulation which shows that the outgassing made for this study is supposed to be enough to empty the micropores. Figure 8 shows the comparison of our experimental data for CO<sub>2</sub> with the results obtains by FP Mons laboratory from the European inter-laboratory study. We chose to compare our measurements with the FP Mons results because their cleaning protocol and their adsorbent sample mass were close of our. At low pressures (p < 0.5 MPa) the average deviation is about 36%, when p > 0.5 MPa the average deviation is about 6.3%. Those deviations can be explained by the fact that the parameters of the empirical equation have been calculated between 0 and 16 MPa, as a consequence the points calculated at low (P < 0.5 MPa) are not representative. We can see that for pressures higher than 0.5 MPa,



**Fig. 10** CH<sub>4</sub> adsorption isotherms on EcoSorb-activated carbon (*filled diamonds*) 323 K; (*open squares*) 353 K; (*times*) 383 K



Fig. 11  $CO_2$  adsorption isotherms on EcoSorb-activated carbon (*filled diamonds*) 323 K; (*open squares*) 353 K; (*times*) 383 K



Fig. 12  $CO_2$  and  $CH_4$  adsorption isotherm comparison at 323 K (*filled diamonds*)  $CO_2$ ; (*open squares*)  $CH_4$ 

the comparison between the results obtained can be considered as good. Furthermore, Fig. 8 shows us good agreement between the two curves. Figure 9 presents the comparison of our experimental data with those obtained by Zurich Institute of Process Engineering study. This comparison reveals good agreement between the data, in



**Fig. 13** CH<sub>4</sub> differential enthalpies of adsorption on EcoSorbactivated carbon (*filled diamonds*) 323 K; (*open squares*) 353 K; (*times*) 383 K



Fig. 14  $CO_2$  differential enthalpies of adsorption on EcoSorbactivated carbon (*filled diamonds*) 323 K; (*open squares*) 353 K; (*times*) 383 K

fact, the average deviation is less than 3%, which confirm the good operating of our coupled apparatus. The adsorption isotherm highlights a type I isotherm expected in the case of micropores filling. The differential enthalpies of adsorption are constant and close to 21.1 kJ mol<sup>-1</sup>, this constant value is the result of the interaction between the adsorptive and a homogeneous energetic adsorbent.

Methane and carbon dioxide adsorption on EcoSorb-activated carbon

Adsorption experiments were performed along three isotherms (323, 353, and 383 K) for pressures ranging from vacuum to 2.5 MPa. A mass of 1.0237 g of EcoSorbactivated carbon has been degassed at 423 K during 24 h before the experiments. The experimental data for methane and carbon dioxide are listed in Tables 6 and 7. Figures 10 and 11 present the adsorption isotherms of  $CH_4$  and  $CO_2$  on EcoSorb-activated carbon. Both methane and carbon

Table 8	$CH_4$	differential	enthalpies	of	adsorption	ı data	on	EcoSorb-activated	carbon
---------	--------	--------------	------------	----	------------	--------	----	-------------------	--------

EcoSorb	icoSorb							
CH <sub>4</sub>								
T = 323  K		<i>T</i> = 353 K		T = 383  K				
$n_{\rm ads}$ /mmol g <sup>-1</sup>	$-\Delta H/kJ \text{ mol}^{-1}$	$n_{\rm ads}$ /mmol g <sup>-1</sup>	$-\Delta H/kJ \text{ mol}^{-1}$	$n_{\rm ads}$ /mmol g <sup>-1</sup>	$-\Delta H/kJ \text{ mol}^{-1}$			
0.136	17.1	0.177	17.2	0.116	17			
0.296	16	0.516	17.1	0.242	17.7			
0.481	15.2	0.825	16.7	0.386	16.9			
0.689	15.6	1.127	16.4	0.691	15.9			
0.914	15.5	1.391	15.4	0.87	16			
1.145	15	1.649	15.8	1.045	16.2			
1.364	15.2	1.862	15.8	1.199	15.8			
1.544	16.2	2.125	16.3	1.357	17.1			
1.715	15.9	2.314	15.9	1.508	15.1			
1.872	17.4	2.512	15.9	1.638	15.4			
2.041	15.6	2.657	17.5	1.794	17.5			
2.201	16.5			1.929	16.6			
2.355	15							
2.502	18.1							
2.673	15.3							
2.853	17.5							

Table 9 CO2 differential enthalpies of adsorption data on EcoSorb-activated carbon

EcoSorb	EcoSorb CO <sub>2</sub>							
CO <sub>2</sub>								
T = 323  K		<i>T</i> = 353 K		<i>T</i> = 383 K				
$n_{\rm ads}$ /mmol g <sup>-1</sup>	$-\Delta H/kJ mol^{-1}$	$n_{\rm ads}$ /mmol g <sup>-1</sup>	$-\Delta H/kJ \text{ mol}^{-1}$	$n_{\rm ads}$ /mmol g <sup>-1</sup>	$-\Delta H/kJ \text{ mol}^{-1}$			
0.302	24.4	0.28	21.5	0.207	22.1			
0.794	21.1	0.632	20.7	0.464	19.6			
1.421	19.9	1.036	19.5	0.783	19.4			
2.1	19	1.498	19	1.075	18.4			
2.716	19.4	1.94	18.3	1.364	18.2			
3.335	18.8	2.328	18.3	1.641	19.2			
3.899	18.7	2.699	18.4	1.901	19.8			
4.347	18.8	3.021	18.5	2.159	17.9			
4.743	18.1	3.339	18.5	2.381	18.1			
5.094	19.3	3.608	19.6	2.591	17.2			
5.402	19.3	3.874	18.1	2.78	19			
5.674	19.9	4.07	18.9	2.955	18.3			
5.929	19.5	4.253	20.7	3.12	19.5			
6.161	19.6	4.45	20.9	3.282	17.7			

dioxide exhibit an expected type I behavior among the IUPAC classification but at the highest pressure studied, the saturation of the adsorbent is not reached. Furthermore, the adsorption of  $CO_2$  is about twice as important as in methane (Fig. 12). The differential enthalpies of adsorption

are shown in Fig. 13 for  $CH_4$  adsorption and in Fig. 14 for  $CO_2$  adsorption, the data are reported in Tables 8 and 9. The differential enthalpies of adsorption were plotted versus the amount adsorbed for each isotherm. These isotherms plots exhibit that the differential enthalpies of

adsorption do not vary between 323 and 383 K, which reveals that the porous adsorbents studied are homogeneous. The comparisons between the results obtain show that the differential enthalpy of adsorption increases when the adsorption capacities are better for a given adsorbent. Thus, the differential enthalpies of adsorption are 19.3 kJ mol<sup>-1</sup> for carbon dioxide and 16.3 kJ mol<sup>-1</sup> for methane on EcoSorb-activated carbon. It is relevant to note that these values, which should be analyzed as competitive effect between adsorbent-adsorptive and adsorptiveadsorptive interactions, are not affected by the temperature in the extended range investigated. This original information obtained on a well-characterized carbon can be used as a discriminatory test for the appropriate interaction potential choice to make in supercritical conditions molecular simulations, as the molecular parameters involved in the intermolecular potential are generally adjusted close to standards conditions.

## **Conclusions and perspectives**

A new thermostated coupled apparatus have been built. This new apparatus can measure simultaneously adsorption isotherms and differential enthalpies of adsorption for pressures from vacuum up to 2.5 MPa and temperatures from 303 to 423 K. After establishing the reliability of the thermostated coupled apparatus, methane and carbon dioxide adsorption isotherms and enthalpies of adsorption have been determinate on EcoSorb-activated carbon between 323 and 383 K. This study is the first stage of a study consisting in determining in a complete way the physical properties of microporous and mesoporous adsorbents at high pressure and high temperature. A second stage would consist in studying the adsorption on a mesoporous adsorbent and studying the simulation of the adsorption phenomenon at high pressure and high temperature thanks to appropriate interaction potential determined by experimental measurements.

# References

- Pini R, Ottiger S, Burlini L, Storti G, Mazzotti M. Sorption of carbon dioxide, methane and nitrogen in dry coals at high pressure and moderate temperature. Int J Greenh Gas Control. 2010;4:90–101.
- Himeno S, Komatsu T, Fujita S. High-Pressure adsorption equilibria of methane and carbon dioxide on several activated carbons. J Chem Eng Data. 2005;50:369–76.
- Krooss BM, van Bergen F, Gensterblum Y, Siemons N, Pagnier HJM, David P. High-pressure methane and carbon dioxide adsorption on dry and moisture-equilibrated Pennsylvanian coals. Int J Coal Geol. 2002;51:69–92.

- Ottiger S, Pini R, Storti G, Mazzotti M. Measuring and modeling the competitive adsorption of CO<sub>2</sub>, CH<sub>4</sub>, and N<sub>2</sub> on a dry coal. Langmuir. 2008;24(17):9531–40.
- 5. Ottiger S, Pini R, Storti G, Mazzotti M. Competitive adsorption equilibria of  $CO_2$  and  $CH_4$  on a dry coal. Adsorption. 2008; 14:539–56.
- Cavenati S, Grande CA, Rodrigues AE. Adsorption equilibrium of methane, carbon dioxide, and nitrogen on Zeolite 13X at high pressures. J Chem Eng Data. 2004;49:1095–101.
- Sun Y, Liu C, Su W, Zhou Y, Zhou L. Principles of methane adsorption and natural gas storage. Adsorption. 2009;15:133–7.
- Barbosa MN, Araujo AS, Galvao LPFC, Silva EFB, Santos AGD, Luz GE Jr, Fernandes VJ Jr. Carbon dioxide adsorption over DIPA functionalized MCM-41 and SBA-15 molecular sieves. J Therm Anal Calorim. 2011. doi:10.1007/s10973-011-1398-8.
- Zhao H-Y, Cao Y, Lineberry Q, Pan W-P. Evaluation of CO<sub>2</sub> adsorption capacity of solid sorbents. J Therm Anal Calorim. 2011. doi:10.1007/s10973-011-1481-1.
- Walton KS, Cavalcante CL Jr, Douglas Levan M. Adsorption equilibrium of alkanes on a high surface area activated carbon prepared from Brazilian coconut shells. Adsorption. 2005;11: 107–11.
- Sweatman MB, Quirke N. Characterization of porous materials by gas adsorption at ambient temperatures and high pressure. J Phys Chem B. 2001;105:1403–11.
- Liu J-C, Monson PA. Molecular modeling of adsorption in activated carbon: comparison of Monte Carlo simulations with experiment. Adsorption. 2005;11:5–13.
- Li W-Z, Liu Z-Y, Che Y-L, Zhang D. Molecular simulation of adsorption and separation of mixtures of short linear alkanes in pillared layered materials at ambient temperature. J Colloid Interface Sci. 2007;312:179–85.
- Birkett GR, Do DD. On the physical adsorption of gases on carbon materials from molecular simulation. Adsorption. 2007;13: 407–24.
- 15. Ghoufi A, Gaberova L, Rouquerol J, Vincent D, Llewellyn PL, Maurin G. Adsorption of CO<sub>2</sub>, CH<sub>4</sub> and their binary mixture in Faujasite NaY: a combination of molecular simulations with gravimetry–manometry and microcalorimetry measurements. Microporous Mesoporous Mater. 2009;119:117–28.
- Pinto da Costa JMC, Cracknell RF, Seaton NA, Sarkisov L. Towards predictive molecular simulations of normal and branched alkane adsorption in carbonaceous engine deposits. Carbon. 2011;49:445–56.
- 17. Wells BA, Chaffee AL. Modeling gas separation in metal-organic frameworks. Adsorption. 2011;17:255–64.
- Tomar DS, Singla M, Gumma S. Potential parameters for helium adsorption in silicalite. Microporous Mesoporous Mater. 2011; 142:116–21.
- Gibbs JW. Collected works. New York: Longmans Green and Co.; 1877.
- Dabrowsko A. Adsorption from theory to practice. Adv Colloid Interface Sci. 2001;93:135–224.
- Rouquerol F, Rouquerol J, Sing K. Adsorption by powders and porous solids principles, methodology and applications. San Diego: Academic Press; 1999.
- Spiewak BE, Dumesic JA. Microcalorimetric measurements of differential heats of adsorption on reactive catalyst surfaces. Thermochim Acta. 1996;290:43–53.
- Wadso I, Wadso L. A second generation twin double microcalorimeter measurements of sorption isotherms, heats of sorption and sorption kinetics. J Therm Anal. 1997;49:1045–52.
- Berlier K, Bougard J, Olivier MG. Relevé automatique d'isotherme d'adsorption sur solides microporeux dans de large gamme de pression et de température. Meas Sci Technol. 1995;6:107–13.

- 25. Belmabkhout Y, Frère M, De Guy W. High-pressure adsorption isotherms of N<sub>2</sub>, CH<sub>4</sub>, O<sub>2</sub>, and Ar on different carbonaceous adsorbents. J Chem Eng Data. 2005;49:1379–91.
- Cross B, Puech L, Wolf PE. A temperature-controlled device for volumetric measurements of helium adsorption in porous media. J Low Temp Phys. 2007;148:903–7.
- Dreisbach F, Lösch HW. Magnetic suspension balance for simultaneous measurement of a sample, the density of the measuring fluid. J Therm Anal Calorim. 2000;62:515–21.
- Dreisbach F, Losch HW, Harting P. Highest pressure adsorption equilibria data: measurement with magnetic suspension balance and analysis with a new adsorbent/adsorbate-volume. Adsorption. 2002;8:95–109.
- Gravelle PC. Methods for the determination of heats of adsorption. J Therm Anal. 1978;14:53–77.
- Myers A-L, Siperstein F. Characterization of adsorbents by energy profile of adsorbed molecules. Colloids Surf A Physicochem Eng Asp. 2001;187–188:73–81.
- Dallos A, Martha VE, Biro Sz. Simultaneous determination of heats, equilibrium and kinetics of adsorption 1-ethoxy-2-propanol vapors. J Therm Anal Calorim. 2002;69:353–8.
- 32. Sheni D, Engelhard M, Siperstein F, Myers A-L, Bulow M. Comparison of sorption heats from isosteric and calorimetric experiments for nitrogen, oxygen and carbon dioxide on zeolites of types LTA and FAU. Adsorpt Sci Technol. 2000; 28(4): 106–10.
- Rothstein D-P, Wu B-G, Victor Lee T, Madey R. Adsorption isotherms and isosteric heats of adsorption for ethane, propane, and n-butane on polystyrene. J Colloid Interface Sci. 1985;106: 399–409.
- 34. Kean W, Shizhang Q, Xijun H. Study of isosteric heat of adsorption and activation energy for surface diffusion of gases on activated carbon using equilibrium and kinetics information. Sep Purif Technol. 2004;34:165–76.
- Van Dongen RH, Broekhoff JCP. The isosteric heat of adsorption on homogeneous, patchwise heterogeneous surface. Surf Sci. 1969;18:462–9.
- Floess JK, Kim HH, Edens G, Oleksy SA, Kwak J. Surface area and heat of adsorption measurements of a microporous carbon. Carbon. 1992;30:1025–32.
- Dezhi S, Jian C, Weimin L, Xiaoming Z. Heats of adsorption of some organic compounds on b-cyclodextrin determined by gas solid chromatography. J Chromatogr A. 1999;864:293–8.
- Grajeka H, Paciura-Zadrozna J, Witkiewicz Z. Chromatographic determination of the differential isosteric adsorption enthalpies and differential entropies on ordered silica materials. J Chromatogr A. 2008;1194:118–27.
- Grajek H. Comparison of the differential isosteric adsorption enthalpies and entropies calculated from chromatographic data. J Chromatogr A. 2003;986:89–99.
- Diaz E, Ordonez S, Auroux A. Comparative study on the gasphase adsorption of hexane over zeolites by calorimetry and inverse gas chromatography. J Chromatogr A. 2005;1095:131–7.
- 41. Zimmerman W, Keller JU. A new calorimeter for simultaneous measurement of isotherms, heats of adsorption. Thermochim Acta. 2003;405:31–41.
- Siperstein F, Gorte R, Myers A-L. A new calorimeter for simultaneous measurements of loading and heats of adsorption from gaseous mixtures. Langmuir. 1999;15:1570–6.
- Garcia-Cuello V, Moreno-Pirajan JC, Giraldo-Gutiérrez L, Sapag K, Zgrablich G. A new calorimeter of adsorption for the determination of differential enthalpies. Microporous Mesoporous Mater. 2009;120:239–45.

- 44. Berlier K, Frere M. Adsorption of CO<sub>2</sub> on activated carbon: simultaneous determination of integral heat and isotherm of adsorption. J Chem Eng Data. 1996;41:1144–8.
- Rakic V, Dondur V, Gajinov S, Auroux A. Calorimetric study of room temperature adsorption of N<sub>2</sub>O and CO on Cu(II)-exchanged ZSM5 zeolites. Thermochim Acta. 2004;420:51–7.
- Denoyel DR, Beurroies I, Vincent D. Microcalorimetric methods for studying vapour adsorption, wetting of powders. J Therm Anal Calorim. 2002;70:438–92.
- 47. Simonot-Grange M-H, Bertrand O, Pilverdier E, Bellat J-P, Paulin C. Differential calorimetric enthalpies of adsorption of *p*xylene and *m*-xylene on Y faujasites at 25 °C. J Therm Anal. 1997;48:741–54.
- Garcia-Cuello V, Moreno-Pirajan JC, Giraldo-Gutierrez L, Sapag K, Zgrablich G. Determination of differential enthalpy and isotherm by adsorption calorimetry. Res Lett Phys Chem. 2008. doi:10.1155/2008/127328.
- Lehto V-P, Laine E. Simultaneous determination of the heat and the quantity of vapor sorption using a novel microcalorimetric method. Pharm Res 2000;17(6).
- Llewellyn PL, Guillaume M. Gas adsorption microcalorimetry and modeling to characterize zeolites and related materials. C R Chimie. 2005;8:283–302.
- Alexandre FPF, Marjo CM-H, Alfred B. Adsorption and differential heats of adsorption of normal and iso-butane on zeolite MFI. Microporous Mesoporous Mater. 2006;91:47–52.
- Bidyut BS, Shigeru K, Ibrahim IEI-S, Khairul H, Kandadai S, Pradip D. Evaluation of adsorption parameters and heats of adsorption through desorption measurements. J Chem Eng Data. 2007;52:2419–24.
- Dunne JA, Mariwala R, Rao M, Sircar S, Gorte RJ, Myers AL. Calorimetric heats of adsorption Isotherms 1. O<sub>2</sub>, N<sub>2</sub>, Ar, CO<sub>2</sub>, CH<sub>4</sub>, C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>6</sub>, and SF<sub>6</sub> on silicalite. Langmuir. 1996;12:5888–95.
- Hurly JJ, Schmidt JW, Boyes SJ, Moldover MR. Virial equation of state of helium, xenon, and helium-xenon mixtures from speed of sound and Burnett pvT measurement. Int J Thermophys. 1997; 18(3):579–634.
- Bessières D, Lafitte Th, Daridon J-L, Randzio SL. High pressure thermal expansion of gases: measurements and calibration. Thermochim Acta. 2005;428:25–30.
- 56. Randzio SL, Grolier J-PE, Quint JR. An isothermal scanning calorimeter controlled by linear pressure variations from 0.1 to 400 MPa calibration and comparison with the piezothermal technique. Rev Sci Instrum. 1994;65:960–5.
- 57. Mahmud S, Zhejun P, James EF, Robert LR Jr, Khaled AMG. Adsorption of methane, nitrogen, carbon dioxide, and their binary mixtures on dry activated carbon at 318.2 K and pressures up to 13.6 MPa. Langmuir. 2003;19:5323–31.
- Ronny P, Stefan O, Arvind R, Giuseppe S, Marco M. Reliable measurement of near-critical adsorption by gravimetric method. Adsorption. 2006;12:393–403.
- Gensterblum Y, van Hemert P, Billemont P, Busch A, Charriere D, Lia D, Krooss BM, de Weireld G, Prinz D, Wolf K-HAA. European inter-laboratory comparison of high pressure CO<sub>2</sub> sorption isotherms. I: Activated carbon. Carbon. 2009;47: 2958–69.
- Van Hermet P, Bruining H, Rudolph ES, Wolf KH, Mass JG. Improved manometric setup for the accurate determination of supercritical carbon dioxide sorption. Rev Sci Instrum. 2009;80: 035103.
- Horvath GG, Kawazoe K. Method for the calculation of effective pore-size distribution in molecular-sieve carbon. J Chem Eng Jpn. 1983;16:470–5.