Degradation of representative perfuorinated and hydrocarbon surfactants by electron beam irradiation

Caishan Jiao1 · Xiusen Men3 · Zhigang Li2 · Meng Zhang1 · Yang Gao¹ · Jiancong Liu4 · Yaorui Li1 · Hongtao Zhao2

Received: 16 November 2021 / Accepted: 29 January 2022 / Published online: 21 February 2022 © Akadémiai Kiadó, Budapest, Hungary 2022

Abstract

Perfuorinated and hydrocarbon surfactants are important contaminants in wastewater. In this study, degradation of surfactants including PFOS, LAS, and CTAB under electron beam irradiation is investigated under diferent irradiation conditions $(0-10 \text{ kGy}$ absorbed dose, pH 3–11). Fulvic acid and H_2O_2 are used as active species inhibitors to study the effect of OH and e_{α} [−] on surfactants degradation. Irradiation degradation products of surfactants are analyzed by liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry. The degradation mechanisms of surfactants are summarized according to the experimental results. It shows that surfactants degradation is promoted at a high absorbed dose and pH value. The degradation rate of PFOS, LAS, and CTAB is 93.8%, 89.1%, and 80.6% maximum, respectively. The e_{aq}^- plays a dominant role in the breakage of C–C bonds in surfactants. The bonds of C–F, C–S, and C–N are mainly destroyed by OH. The degradation of surfactants follows pseudofrst-order kinetics. Degradation products of surfactants include various long and short-chain molecules. Furthermore, concentrations of short-chain products increase with absorbed dose because of further degradation of long-chain products. This study shows the potential application for electron beam irradiation technology to remove perfuorinated and hydrocarbon surfactants from wastewater.

Keywords Electron beam irradiation · Surfactants · Irradiation degradation · Degradation products · Active species

Introduction

Surfactants, which are the common organic compounds composed of carbon chains, have been widely used in the felds of chemical production, water treatment, agriculture, medicine, and biotechnology. Some kinds of surfactants

 \boxtimes Yaorui Li liyr@hrbeu.edu.cn

 \boxtimes Hongtao Zhao zhaohongtao2019@163.com

- ¹ College of Nuclear Science and Technology, Harbin Engineering University, Harbin 150001, People's Republic of China
- ² Heilongjiang Key Laboratory of Nuclear Technology Application, Heilongjiang Institute of Atomic Energy, Harbin 150086, People's Republic of China
- ³ Nuclear Power Institute of China, Chengdu 610213, People's Republic of China
- ⁴ Key Laboratory of Functional Inorganic Material Chemistry, Ministry of Education of the People's Republic of China, Heilongjiang University, Harbin 150080, People's Republic of China

generated by industrial production are harmful contaminants for the human and natural environment due to their bioaccumulation, toxicity, and persistence. Perfuorinated surfactants and hydrocarbon surfactants are two typical types of surfactants. A representative perfuorinated surfactant, perfluorooctane sulfonate (PFOS, $C_8F_{17}SO_3H$), has been detected in the environment and wildlife [[1](#page-7-0), [2\]](#page-7-1). PFOS has excellent molecular stability because of the high strength of C–F bonds (116 kcal/mol) [\[3](#page-7-2)]. Therefore, it has attracted the attention of researchers for the removal of PFOS from water and soil [\[4](#page-7-3), [5\]](#page-7-4). Linear alkylbenzene sulphonate (LAS) and cetyl trimethyl ammonium bromide (CTAB) are typical anionic and cationic hydrocarbon surfactants respectively. They are also important organic contaminants in domestic and industrial sewage. It has raised wide concern for these surfactants abuse in recent decades.

Various treatment methods including adsorption [[6](#page-7-5)[–8](#page-7-6)], photolytic method [\[9](#page-7-7)[–11](#page-7-8)], oxidation/reduction method [\[12](#page-7-9)], sonochemical decomposition [\[5](#page-7-4), [13\]](#page-7-10), thermolysis [[14,](#page-7-11) [15](#page-7-12)], and electron beam irradiation $[16–18]$ $[16–18]$ are used to remove surfactants from solution. In these methods, electron beam irradiation is a new treatment technique for surfactants decomposition with potential applications. Electron beam has relatively strong penetration. Organic molecules can be degraded by the active species, e.g., OH and e_{aa}^- , which are produced by the splitting of water under electron beam irradiation (Eq. [1\)](#page-1-0) [[19\]](#page-7-15):

$$
H_2O \rightarrow (0.27)e_{aq}^- + (0.28) \cdot OH + (0.07)H_2O_2
$$

+ (0.06)H + (0.05)H₂ + (0.27)H⁺ (1)

where the numbers in brackets are the yields (mmol/J) of those species per unit of radiation at pH 7. Electron beam irradiation shows an efficient removal ability for TWEEN20, a common nonionic surfactant from laundry effluent [[20](#page-7-16)]. The concentration of LAS decreases observably after irradiation of electron beam [[21\]](#page-8-0). According to the researches of Kim et al. [[16](#page-7-13), [22\]](#page-8-1), it shows good degradation rates for PFOS (>60%) under electron beam irradiation absorbed doses of 2000 kGy with the PFOS initial concentration of 10–100 mg/L. Furthermore, PFOS degradation rates are 32–57% at 500 kGy absorbed dose with initial concentrations of 10–40 mg/L $[23]$ $[23]$. It is reported by Kowald et al. $[17]$ that 41% PFOS is degraded at a relatively low absorbed dose (50 kGy) under initial concentrations between 0.00025 and 0.1 mg/L. Although some studies of surfactants degradation under electron beam irradiation have been reported, systematic researches and comparisons about the degradation of perfuorinated and hydrocarbon surfactants under diferent irradiation conditions are still insufficient to our knowledge. With the help of effective contrast of different surfactants degradation under variable electron beam irradiation conditions, treatment results can be achieved according to diferent processing demands, processing conditions, and technical foundation. Therefore, systematic study can provide the theoretical basis for the treatment of wastewater containing multiple surfactants.

In this study, the degradations of three kinds of surfactants, PFOS, LAS, and CTAB, under 0–10 kGy absorbed doses are investigated. The irradiation systems with diferent pH values and irradiation absorbed doses are carried out to investigate the degradation rates of surfactants under diferent treatment conditions. Fulvic acid (FA) and H_2O_2 could react with OH and e_{aq}^- respectively. Therefore, they are used as active species inhibitors to investigate the crucial roles of OH and e_{aa}^- in the degradation process of surfactants. The irradiation experiments are carried out to study the degradation kinetics of surfactants under diferent conditions. Furthermore, the mineralization mechanisms of surfactants under irradiation are summarized. The result shows that the degradation rate of PFOS, LAS, and CTAB under 10 kGy absorbed dose at pH 11 is 93.8%, 89.1%, and 80.6% maximum, respectively. e_{aq}^- plays a dominant role in the break of C–C bonds of surfactants. The degradation of surfactants follows pseudo-frst-order kinetics at diferent pH. Various long and short-chain species are generated in the process of irradiation degradation. This study confrms that electron beam irradiation has potential application for the removal of perfuorinated and hydrocarbon surfactants from solutions.

Materials and methods

Materials and reagents

The reagents of PFOS (99%), LAS (99%), and CTAB (99%) used in the experiment study were purchased from Wengjiang Chemical Reagent Company (Wengjiang, China). Fluoride standard samples were purchased from Anpu Biotechnology (Shanghai,China). Methanol (99%) and ammonium acetate (99%) were purchased from Sinopharm Chemical Reagent Company (Beijing,China). All the other chemicals which were used in this study were analytical grade and used without purifcation. All of the solution samples in the experiment were prepared with deionized water.

Irradiation experiments at diferent conditions

Surfactant solution (PFOS, LAS, or CTAB) was prepared with the initial concentration of 400 mg/L. 5 mL surfactant solution was added into the quartz tube. The irradiation samples were carried out at diferent pH values and doses. The pH values of the samples were adjusted to 3–11 with 1 M NaOH and HCl solution. Absorbed doses of systems were set as 0–10 kGy with a DD1.2 dynamitron electron accelerator (Xianfeng Electric Machinery Factory, Shanghai, China; Electron energy range of 0.8–1.2 MeV, a maximum beam current of 1 mA). The sample was placed at 30 cm below the radiation source. The irradiation time was determined by the equation (Eq. [2](#page-1-1)):

$$
T = 3.6 \times (f \times V \times I)/D \tag{2}
$$

where T was the irradiation time (h), f was the utilization factor of radiant energy (≈ 0.7) , *D* was the absorbed dose (kGy), *V* was acceleration voltage (V) and *I* was the current of the electron beam (A). The absorbed dose was measured with dichromate solution method [[24\]](#page-8-3). The irradiated samples after irradiation were sealed in plastic bags at 4 °C before being analyzed. The time interval between irradiation and measurement was one hour for all samples. The concentrations of surfactants and species of surfactants degradation product in solution were measured by Liquid Chromatogram-Time of Flight Mass Spectrometer (1260 LC- G6200 TOFMS, Agilent), which was equipped with ZORBAX Eclipse XDB-C18 $(4.6 \times 150 \text{ mm}, 5.0 \text{ }\mu\text{m})$ and Agilent Poroshell 120 EC-C18 column $(3.0 \times 100 \text{ mm})$, 2.7 μm, injection volume 20 μL) in series. The mobile phase was composed of 2 mmol/L ammonium acetate and methanol, with a fow rate of 300 μL/min and a column temperature of 40 °C. The mass spectrometry system was operated with ion spray voltage -4.5 kV, atomization temperature 160 °C, carrier gas of nitrogen (9 L/min, 325 °C), and capillary voltage+3.5 kV. The concentrations of F− and SO_4^2 ⁻ in solution were measured by an anion chromatography (DionexICS-2000, USA) with guard column (IonPac AG19-HC, 4 mm \times 50 mm), separation column (IonPac AS19-HC, 4 mm \times 250 mm) and autosampler (250 µL injection volume). The mobile phase was 25 mmol/L KOH solution (1.2 mL/min). The suppressor current was set as 75 mA.

Efects of ·OH and eaq− on surfactants degradation

OH and e_{aq}^- are the active species which contribute to the degradation of surfactants in alkaline solution under electron beam irradiation. In order to investigate the efect of each kind of active species on surfactants degradation separately, two diferent active species inhibitors were used to mask the interference from unwanted active species. FA and H_2O_2 were used in the irradiation systems as active species inhibitors for OH and e_{aq} ⁻ respectively. The concentrations of active species inhibitors in the systems were set as 0–150 mg/L at pH 11. The initial concentration of surfactant was 400 mg/L. The absorbed doses were in the range of 2–10 kGy. The degradation rates of surfactants in diferent irradiated samples are obtained to analyse the degradation mechanisms of surfactants.

Surfactants degradation kinetics

In degradation kinetics studies, the initial concentration of surfactant in solution was set as 400 mg/L. The irradiation experiments were carried out at pH 3, 5, 7, 9, and 11, respectively. The concentrations of surfactants in the systems at diferent irradiation time (0–350 s) were measured. Furthermore, the results of degradation kinetics of surfactants under irradiation of electron beam were ftted with the pseudofrst-order kinetic model (Eq. [3](#page-2-0)):

$$
\ln(C/C_0) = -kt \tag{3}
$$

where *C* was the concentrations (mg/L) of PFOS at time *t* (s), C_0 was the initial concentration of PFOS (mg/L), k was reaction rate constant (s^{-1}) .

Results and discussion

Efect of irradiation conditions on surfactants degradation

The degradation rates of surfactants at diferent pH and absorbed doses are shown in Fig. [1.](#page-2-1) The degradation rate of PFOS increases with the absorbed dose at the same pH (Fig. [1a](#page-2-1)). Typically, for the system at pH 3, the degradation rate of PFOS increases from 2.2 to 29.2% with absorbed dose (from 2 to 10 kGy). The other samples present a similar trend. Furthermore, the PFOS degradation rate increases with the system pH value under the same absorbed dose. The minimum degradation rates in the system of pH 3 are 2.2–29.2%. The samples in pH 11 show the highest degradation rates (40.8–93.8%). The variation trend of PFOS degradation rates in diferent pH systems in this research accords with that of previous reports [[25\]](#page-8-4).

The degradation rates of LAS and CTAB (Fig. [1](#page-2-1)b, c) present similar trends with that of PFOS. The degradation rates increase with pH value at the same absorbed dose. For example, the degradation rates of LAS at pH 11 systems are in the range of 50.9% to 89.1% under absorbed dose 2–10 kGy, which are apparently higher than those in pH 3 systems (10.1–34.3%). Furthermore, it shows higher degradation rates for hydrocarbon surfactants than the degradation rates of perfluorinated surfactants at $pH < 11$. At absorbed dose 10 kGy, LAS is degraded by 34.3%, 49.8%, 61.0%, and 77.7% at pH 3, 5, 7, and 9, respectively. With the same absorbed dose, CTAB degradation rates are 33.9%, 49.4%, 65.8%, and 69.5% at pH 3, 5, 7, and 9, respectively. As a

Fig. 1 Degradation rates of PFOS (**a**), LAS (**b**), and CTAB (**c**) at diferent experimental conditions

contrast, the degradation rates of PFOS are 29.2%, 37.1%, 48.6%, and 62.0%, which are relatively lower than those in LAS and CTAB systems. This is possible because of the higher molecular stability of perfuorinated surfactant than that of hydrocarbon surfactants.

However, PFOS is degraded no less than LAS and CTAB at strong alkaline (pH 11). Irradiation degradation of surfactants is promoted in an alkaline environment with following reasons. The hydrated electron e_{aq}[−] which plays an important role in the decomposition of organic molecules is consumed by large amounts of H^+ in a high acidity environ-ment (Eq. [4\)](#page-3-0). Under alkaline conditions, e_{aq} ⁻ is generated by reaction of OH[−] with H· (Eq 0.5). The e_{aq}^- has a lower redox potential (− 2.9 eV) than that of H \cdot (− 2.1 eV). This indicates that e_{aq}^- has stronger reduction ability than H \cdot . Degradation of surfactants is improved more signifcantly by e_{aq}[−]. Therefore, the degradation of surfactants is promoted in high pH levels. The effect of surfactants' molecular stability on their degradation is reduced to a certain extent.

$$
e_{aq}^- + H^+ \to H \tag{4}
$$

$$
H \cdot + OH^- \rightarrow e_{aq}^- + H_2O \tag{5}
$$

Efects of ·OH and eaq− on surfactants degradation

Under the same absorbed dose, the addition of FA has little infuence on the degradation rate of PFOS (Fig. [2](#page-3-1)a). Furthermore, the concentration of F− in solution decreases with the increase of FA concentration (Fig. [2](#page-3-1)c). As the ·OH generated from radiation decomposition of water is consumed by FA, which indicates that ·OH causes breaking of the bond of C–F rather than the bond of C–C. As a contrast, the degradation rate of PFOS decreases with the increase of H_2O_2 concentra-tion with the same absorbed dose (Fig. [2b](#page-3-1)). H_2O_2 consumes the e_{aa}^- in solution. So, the result indicates that C–C bond is disrupted by e_{aq}^- . In addition, F[−] concentration in solution decreases with the increase of H_2O_2 concentration, which indicates that e_{aa}^- also destroys the C–F bond of PFOS.

As shown in Fig. [3,](#page-3-2) the variation trends of degradation rates of LAS with different concentrations of active species inhibitors are similar to those of PFOS. It indicates that \cdot OH breaks the bond of C–S, and e_{aa}^- destroys

Fig. 2 Degradation rates of PFOS under diferent absorbed doses (**a** and **b**) and concentrations of F− under 10 kGy absorbed dose (**c**) with diferent concentrations of active species inhibitors

Fig. 3 Degradation rates of LAS under different absorbed doses (**a** and **b**) and concentrations of SO_4^2 under 10 kGy absorbed dose (**c**) with different concentrations of active species inhibitors

C–C bond and C–S bond. For the surfactant of CTAB, the degradation rate of CTAB and concentration of NH_4^+ are both relatively constant with the increasing of FA concentration under the same absorbed dose (Fig. [4](#page-4-0)a, c). It shows that the presence of ·OH has little influence on C–C bond and C–N bond. eaq− plays a dominant role in the break of the C–C bond and C-N bond of CTAB.

Surfactants degradation kinetics

kinetic ftting parameters of surfactants degradation at

diferent pH

The degradation kinetics and ftting results of surfactants degradation are shown in Fig. [5](#page-4-1) and Table [1](#page-4-2). It is shown that the concentration of PFOS decreases with increasing irradiation time (Fig. [5a](#page-4-1)). Furthermore, degradation of PFOS follows pseudo-frst-order kinetics at diferent pH $(R² > 0.97)$. Consistent with results above, degradation of PFOS is promoted in alkaline medium. The PFOS degradation rate constant at pH 11 is about 8 times of that at pH 3. The frst-order rate constants for initial pH values of 3, 5, 7, 9, and 11 are $0.0010 s^{-1}$, $0.0014 s^{-1}$, $0.0020 s^{-1}$, 0.0028 s^{-1}, and 0.0080 s^{-1} respectively, showing that the efficiencies depend on the pH of the systems. The variation trends of degradation kinetics of LAS and CTAB are similar to that of PFOS (Fig. [5](#page-4-1)b, c). Nonetheless, hydrocarbon surfactants have signifcantly higher degradation velocity than PFOS at the same pH value. The frst-order degradation

Fig. 4 Degradation rates of CTAB under diferent absorbed doses (**a** and **b**) and concentrations of NH4 + under 10 kGy absorbed dose (**c**) with diferent concentrations of active species inhibitors

Fig. 5 Degradation kinetics and corresponding pseudo-frst-order model ftting of PFOS (**a**), LAS (**b**), and CTAB (**c**) at diferent pH

rate constants of LAS and CTAB at pH 11 are 0.0245 s⁻¹ and 0.0181 s⁻¹, respectively. Furthermore, when the pH is below 11, degradation rate constants of PFOS $(0.0028 \text{ s}^{-1} \text{ at }$ pH 9) are signifcantly lower than those of LAS and CTAB $(0.0154 \text{ s}^{-1}$ and 0.0133 s^{-1} respectively at pH 9). It indicates that perfluorinated surfactant is more difficult to be degraded than hydrocarbon surfactants. This may be caused by the better stability of C–F bonds in perfuorinated surfactants.

Degradation products of surfactants

The irradiation degradation products of PFOS under 10 kGy dose are shown in Fig. [6](#page-5-0)a. The irradiation degradation products of PFOS are $C_7F_15C00^-$ and shortchain perfuorinated carboxylic acids (PFCAs) including $C_6F_{13}COO^-$, $C_5F_{11}COO^-$, $C_4F_9COO^-$, $C_3F_7COO^-$, $C_2F_5COO^-$, and CF_3COO^- . The concentrations of degradation products present diferent trends with increasing doses. The concentrations of CF_3COO^- , $C_4F_9COO^-$, $C_2F_5COO^-,$ and $C_3F_7COO^-$ increase with the increasing absorbed dose. Among these products, $CF₃COO⁻$ is generated mostly (maximum 14.3 mg/L) with increasing absorbed dose. The concentrations of relative longer-chain PFCAs, such as $C_7F_{15}COO^-$ and $C_6F_{13}COO^-$, decrease with increasing absorbed dose under the condition of high irradiation (4–10 kGy). This may be due to that longer chain molecules are degraded into short chains, such as CF₃COO[−], etc.

The irradiation degradation products of LAS include HOOCCH₂COOH, HOOCC₂H₄COOH, $C_8H_9SO_3^-$, $C_{10}H_{13}SO_3^-$, $C_{12}H_{17}SO_3^-$, $C_{14}H_{21}SO_3^-$, and $C_{16}H_{25}SO_3$ ⁻ (Fig. [7\)](#page-5-1). The concentrations of HOOCCH₂COOH, HOOCC₂H₄COOH, C₈H₉SO₃⁻, and $C_{10}H_{13}SO_3^-$ increase with absorbed dose. The concentrations of long-chain molecules, such as $C_{12}H_{17}SO_3^-$, $C_{14}H_{21}SO_3^-$, and $C_{16}H_{25}SO_3^-$, decrease under high absorbed doses. The results show that the C-S bond between the benzene ring and SO_{30} ⁻ is difficult to be destroyed by irradiation.

The irradiation degradation products of CTAB are $N(CH_3)$ ₃, C₃H₇COO⁻, C₅H₁₁COO⁻, C₇H₁₅COO⁻, $C_9H_{19}COO^-, C_{11}H_{23}COO^-, C_{13}H_{27}COO^-, and$ $C_{15}H_{31}COO^-$ (Fig. [8\)](#page-6-0). Similar with the cases of PFOS and LAS, the concentrations of short-chain molecules

Fig. 6 Degradation products of PFOS (**a**) and their concentrations at diferent absorbed doses (**b**)

Fig. 7 Degradation products of LAS (**a**) and their concentrations at diferent absorbed doses (**b**)

Fig. 8 Degradation products of CTAB (**a**) and their concentrations at diferent absorbed doses (**b**)

 $(C_3H_7COO^-, C_5H_{11}COO^-,$ and $C_7H_{15}COO^-$) increase with absorbed dose. The long chain products, such as $C_{11}H_{23}COO^-$, $C_{13}H_{27}COO^-$, and $C_{15}H_{31}COO^-$, decrease at high-level irradiation. Furthermore, $N(CH_3)_3$ and $C_9H_{19}COO^-$ are relatively constant when absorbed dose is more than 6 kGy. This may be caused by the balance of degradation of N(CH₃)₃, C₉H₁₉COO⁻, and long-chain products.

Degradation mechanisms of surfactants under irradiation

Degradation mechanisms of three kinds of surfactants are speculated and summarized according to experimental results. PFOS is defuorinated by ·OH which is generated from splitting of water under electron beam irradiation. Desulfurization reaction occurs in this process. Furthermore, the end of the carbon chain is oxidized to carboxylic acid (Eq. [6\)](#page-6-1). Further degradation of $C_7F_{15}COO^-$ is caused by the breaking of the C–C bond because of e_{aq} [–] (Eq. [7\)](#page-6-2) [\[23](#page-8-2)]. Methanoic acid and F[−] are the split products of this process. Through this reaction, long-chain products of PFOS are degraded gradually in the unit of CF_2 (Eq. [8\)](#page-6-3).

$$
C_8F_{17}SO_3^- + 2 \cdot OH + H_2O \rightarrow C_7F_{15}COO^- + SO_4^{2-} + 2F^- + 4H^+ \tag{6}
$$

$$
C_7F_{15}COO^- + 2e_{aq}^- + 2 \cdot H \rightarrow C_6F_{13}COO^- + 2F^- + CH_2
$$
\n(7)

$$
C_nF_{2n+1}COO^- + 2e_{aq}^- + 2 \cdot H \to C_{n-1}F_{2n-1}COO^- + 2F^- + CH_2
$$
\n(8)

For the irradiation degradation of LAS, e_{aq}^- plays a dominated role in the fracture of the carbon chain. The long-chain products are degraded in the unit of C_2H_4 . CH₃COO⁻ is generated as end product in this reaction (Eq. [9\)](#page-6-4). ·OH takes part in the reaction of benzene removal and degradation (Eq. [10](#page-6-5), [11](#page-6-6) and [12](#page-6-7)). Benzene ring is removed from the carbon chain, and is decomposed into $COOHC₂H₄COOH$ and $COOHCH₂COOH$ with the existence of ·OH.

$$
C_nH_{2n+1}C_6H_4SO_3^- + e_{aq}^- + 2H_2O \rightarrow C_{n-2}H_{2n-3}C_6H_4SO_3^- + CH_3COOH + 4 \cdot H
$$
\n(9)

$$
C_2H_5C_6H_4SO_3^- + 3 \cdot OH \rightarrow H_2SO_4 + C_6H_6 + CH_3COO^- + \cdot H
$$
\n(10)

(11) $C_6H_6 + 6 \cdot OH \rightarrow COOHC_2H_4COOH + CH_3COOH + 2 \cdot H$

$$
C_6H_6 + 8 \cdot OH \rightarrow 2COOHCH_2COOH + 6 \cdot H
$$
 (12)

The C-N bond of CTAB is destroyed by e_{a} [–] (Eq. [13](#page-6-8)). The end of the carbon chain is oxidized to carboxylic acid. $N(CH_3)_3$ is further decomposed into HCOO⁻ and NH_4^+ (Eq. [14](#page-6-9)). Production and consumption amount of $N(CH_3)$ ₃ might be in relative balance. Therefore, the concentration of $N(CH_3)$ ₃ in the system is constant at a high radiation dose. Similar with the case of LAS degradation, long-chain products are degraded with the effect of e_{aq}^- in the unit of C_2H_4 , which is eventually oxidized to CH_3COO^- (Eq. [15](#page-7-18)). Large amounts of formic acid and acetic acid are generated in the irradiation degradation of surfactants. But formic acid and acetic acid are not shown in the mass spectra of degradation products due to their volatilization in the mass spectrometry measuring system.

$$
C_{16}H_{33}N(CH_3)_3^+ + e_{aq}^- + 2H_2O
$$

\n
$$
\rightarrow C_{15}H_{31}COOH + N(CH_3)_3 + 5 \cdot H
$$
\n(13)

$$
N(CH_3)_3 + 2e_{aq}^- + 6H_2O \rightarrow 3HCOO^- + NH_4^+ + 14 \cdot H
$$
\n(14)

$$
C_nH_{2n+1}COO^- + e_{aq}^- + 2H_2O
$$

\n
$$
\rightarrow C_{n-2}H_{2n-3}COO^- + CH_3COO^- + 5 \cdot H
$$
 (15)

It is a complicated chemical process for the degradation of surfactants under irradiation. Many kinds of unstable intermediate products may be generated and consumed during irradiation degradation $[16, 23, 26]$ $[16, 23, 26]$ $[16, 23, 26]$ $[16, 23, 26]$ $[16, 23, 26]$ $[16, 23, 26]$. More sophisticated analysis techniques are needed to investigate the intermediate processes and products in the irradiation system. The results in this study illustrate the essential mechanisms of irradiation degradation of surfactants under electron beam irradiation. It shows a good potential application for electron beam irradiation technology in the treatment of surfactants wastewater.

Conclusions

The degradation of PFOS, LAS, and CTAB under electron beam irradiation is promoted at a high absorbed dose and pH value. The maximum degradation rate of PFOS, LAS, and CTAB under 10 kGy absorbed dose at pH 11 is 93.8%, 89.1%, and 80.6%, respectively. e_{aq}[−] plays a dominant role in the breakage of the C–C bond of surfactants. The bonds between C and other atoms or groups, such as C–F, C–S, and C–N bonds, are destroyed by ·OH. The degradation of surfactants follows pseudo-frst-order kinetics at diferent pH values. Degradation products of the surfactants include various long and short-chain molecules. Concentrations of longchain products decrease at a high absorbed dose. Whereas short-chain products concentrations increase with absorbed dose. Formic acid, acetic acid, and inorganic ions are the fnal products of irradiation degradation of surfactants. This work provides a theoretical basis and research reference to remove perfuorinated and hydrocarbon surfactants from solutions by using electron beam irradiation.

Acknowledgements We acknowledge financial support from the National Natural Science Foundation of China (21771045), the project of Young Talents of China National Nuclear Corporation, University Nursing Program for Young Scholars with Creative Talents in Heilongjiang Province (No. UNPYSCT-2018013), Heilongjiang Provincial Postdoctoral Science Foundation (No. LBH-Z18232). The authors would like to thank Heilongjiang Institute of Atomic Energy for irradiation experiments and measurement.

References

- 1. Houde M, Martin JW, Letcher RJ, Solomon KR, Muir DCG (2006) Biological monitoring of polyfuoroalkyl substances: a review. Environ Sci Technol 40:3463–3473
- 2. Giesy JP, Kannan K (2001) Global distribution of perfuorooctane sulfonate in wildlife. Environ Sci Technol 35:1339–1342
- 3. Liu DD, Xiu ZM, Liu F, Wu G, Adamson D, Newell C, Vikesland P, Tsai AL, Alvarez PJ (2013) Perfuorooctanoic acid degradation in the presence of Fe(III) under natural sunlight. J Hazard Mater 262:456–463
- 4. Mahinroosta R, Senevirathna L (2020) A review of the emerging treatment technologies for PFAS contaminated soils. J Environ Manag 255:109896
- 5. Moriwaki H, Takagi Y, Tanaka M, Tsuruho K, Okitsu K, Maeda Y (2005) Sonochemical decomposition of perfuorooctane sulfonate and perfuorooctanoic acid. Environ Sci Technol 39:3388–3392
- 6. Zhou Q, Deng SB, Yu Q, Zhang QY, Yu G, Huang J, He HP (2010) Sorption of perfuorooctane sulfonate on organo-montmorillonites. Chemosphere 78:688–694
- 7. Pan M-M, Li Q, Xu L (2020) Efficient adsorption of perfluoroalkyl acids by the quaternized hierarchically porous polystyrenedivinylbenzene. Chem Eng J 386:123990
- 8. Cheng HF, Sabatini DA (2002) Simultaneous uptake of anionic surfactants and micellar-solubilized contaminants using anionexchange resins. Water Res 36:2062–2076
- 9. Jin L, Zhang P, Shao T, Zhao S (2014) Ferric ion mediated photodecomposition of aqueous perfuorooctane sulfonate (PFOS) under UV irradiation and its mechanism. J Hazard Mater 271:9–15
- 10. Kishimoto N, Doda K (2018) Efects of pH and coexisting chemicals on photolysis of perfuorooctane sulfonate using an excited xenon dimer lamp. Water Sci Technol 77:108–113
- 11. Levichev N, Lagunova Y, Seliverstov AF, Kireev SG, Tumashevich K, Shashkovskiy SG, Ershov BG (2020) Photodecomposition of sodium dodecyl sulfate under high-intensity pulsed UV radiation of continuous spectrum and hydrogen peroxide. Desalin Water Treat 196:131–136
- 12. Trojanowicz M, Bojanowska-Czajka A, Bartosiewicz I, Kulisa K (2018) Advanced oxidation/reduction processes treatment for aqueous perfuorooctanoate (PFOA) and perfuorooctanesulfonate (PFOS) - a review of recent advances. Chem Eng J 336:170–199
- 13. Singla R, Grieser F, Ashokkumar M (2009) Kinetics and mechanism for the sonochemical degradation of a nonionic surfactant. J Phys Chem A 113:2865–2872
- 14. Hori H, Nagaoka Y, Murayama M, Kutsuna S (2008) Efficient decomposition of perfluorocarboxylic acids and alternative fuorochemical surfactants in hot water. Environ Sci Technol 42:7438–7443
- 15. Lee YC, Lo SL, Chiueh PT, Liou YH, Chen ML (2010) Microwave-hydrothermal decomposition of perfluorooctanoic acid in water by iron-activated persulfate oxidation. Water Res 44:886–892
- 16. Kim T-H, Lee S-H, Kim HY, Doudrick K, Yu S, Kim SD (2019) Decomposition of perfluorooctane sulfonate (PFOS) using a hybrid process with electron beam and chemical oxidants. Chem Eng J 361:1363–1370
- 17. Kowald C, Brorman E, Shankar S, Klemashevich C, Staack D, Pillai SD (2021) PFOA and PFOS breakdown in experimental sand, laboratory-grade water, investigation-derived groundwater and wastewater effluent samples at 50 kGy electron beam dose. Radiat Phys Chem 180:109323
- 18. Petrovic M, Gehringer P, Eschweiler H, Barcelo D (2007) Radiolytic decomposition of multi-class surfactants and their biotransformation products in sewage treatment plant effluents. Chemosphere 66:114–122
- 19. Getoff N (1996) Radiation-induced degradation of water pollutants—state of the art. Radiat Phys Chem 47:581–593
- 20. Selambakkannu S, Othman NAF, Abu Bakar K, Thailan KM, Karim Z (2021) Degradation of surfactants from domestic laundry effluent by electron beam irradiation. Mater Today Proc 46:1807–1812
- 21. Borrely SI, Romanelli MF, Pereira MCC, da Silva GP, Mesquita LCA, de Moraes MCF (2009) Radiation processing of detergents and possible environmental benefts. Nukleonika 54:61–64
- 22. Kim T-H, Yu S, Choi Y, Jeong T-Y, Kim SD (2018) Profiling the decomposition products of perfuorooctane sulfonate (PFOS) irradiated using an electron beam. Sci Total Environ 631–632:1295–1303
- 23. Ma S-H, Wu M-H, Tang L, Sun R, Zang C, Xiang J-J, Yang X-X, Li X, Xu G (2017) EB degradation of perfuorooctanoic acid and perfuorooctane sulfonate in aqueous solution. Nucl Sci Tech 28:1–8
- 24. Han B, Ko J, Kim J, Kim Y, Chung W, Makarov IE, Ponomarev AV, Pikaev AK (2002) Combined electron-beam and biological

treatment of dyeing complex wastewater. Pilot plant Exp Radiat Phys Chem 64:53–59

- 25. Wang Y, Zhang PY, Pan G, Chen H (2008) Ferric ion mediated photochemical decomposition of perfuorooctanoic acid (PFOA) by 254 nm UV light. J Hazard Mater 160:181–186
- 26. Deng Y, Liang Z, Lu X, Chen D, Li Z, Wang F (2021) The degradation mechanisms of perfuorooctanoic acid (PFOA) and perfuorooctane sulfonic acid (PFOS) by diferent chemical methods: a critical review. Chemosphere 283:131168–131168

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.