

# **Adsorption performance of U(VI) by amidoxime‑based activated carbon**

**Peng Liu1 · Qiang Yu1 · Yun Xue<sup>1</sup> · Jiaqi Chen<sup>1</sup> · Fuqiu Ma1**

Received: 29 December 2019 / Published online: 1 April 2020 © Akadémiai Kiadó, Budapest, Hungary 2020

# **Abstract**

We present a simple strategy for preparing amidoxime modifed activated carbon. The composition and morphology of the materials have been confrmed via powder XRD, BET, TGA, SEM and FT-IR studies. Batch adsorption experiment were exploited to explore adsorption performance of U(VI) by amidoxime-based activated carbon. The adsorption capacity of activated carbon was signifcantly improved after the modifcation by amidoxime, the amidoxime-based activated carbon was a possible adsorbent for adsorbing U(VI). This study reveals that with a facile synthesis and low-cost, amidoxime-based activated carbon can be regarded as a promising material for uranium-containing wastewater treatment.

**Keywords** Amidoxime · Activated carbon · Adsorption · Uranium-containing wastewater treatment

# **Introduction**

With the shortage of fossil fuels, a new energy source that can replace the fossil fuels is needed urgently [\[1](#page-8-0)]. Nuclear power perceived a new energy option. At the same time, a plenty of long-lived radionuclides inevitably was accompanying with the development of nuclear industry [\[2](#page-8-1)]. Uranium has been widely used in many felds as a crucial radionuclide [\[3](#page-8-2)]. However, numerous radioactive daughter products of uranium are likely to cause a harmful efect on biosphere and human health in the process of exploitation and application [[4–](#page-8-3)[6](#page-8-4)]. Therefore, it is pressing to fnd a lowcost and eco-friendly method to treat uranium-containing wastewater.

Currently, many reserve approaches, such as chemical precipitation, photocatalytic degradation, electrodeposition, evaporation, adsorption, membrane separation, and other approaches, have been applied to treat the uranium-containing wastewater [[7,](#page-8-5) [8](#page-8-6)]. However, most of these techniques for treating waste water are either too expensive or too inefective. Currently, adsorption is taken into account of a promising treatment method on account of its simple operation and low-costing [[9–](#page-8-7)[11\]](#page-8-8). A variety of materials were widely studied for the removal of uranium from aqueous solutions [\[12](#page-8-9)].

 $\boxtimes$  Fuqiu Ma mafuqiu@hrbeu.edu.cn Among multifarious adsorbents, activated carbon attracted much interest and were trusted to be an efficient adsorbent because of its good performance on the removal of uranium [[13](#page-8-10)]. However, the missing of functional groups and the selectivity of activated carbon restricted its adsorption capacity [\[14](#page-8-11)]. Therefore, numerous functional groups such as benzoylthiourea [\[15](#page-8-12)], diarylazobisphenol [[16](#page-8-13)], and polyethyleneimine [\[17\]](#page-8-14) were selected to modify activated carbon by diferent technique for improving the adsorption performance of  $U(VI)$ . Because of its great affinity to  $U(VI)$ , adsorbents with amidoxime (AO) functional groups became the most protential materials in the feld of uranium recovery from seawater  $[18]$  $[18]$ . In this work, activated carbon was direct modifed by the prepared amidoxime polyacrylonitrile solution, as thus, the operation was simple and the production of intermediates was reduced.

The amidoxime-base activated carbon was accomplished, the infuence of ambient condition and the mechanism of U(VI) adsorption was investigated in this work. Experimental results showed that amidoxime-base activated carbon can be regarded as a prospective adsorbent for the adsorption of U(VI) from uranium-containing wastewater.

 $<sup>1</sup>$  Harbin Engineering University, College of Nuclear Science</sup> and Technology, Harbin 150001, China

# **Materials and methods**

# **Materials**

All of the chemicals were analytical grade and dissolved with deionized water. U(VI) stock solution was made from  $UO<sub>2</sub>(NO<sub>3</sub>)2 \cdot 6H<sub>2</sub>O$ . Arsenazo-III (Aladdin, China) solution was prepared by dissolving 1 g Arsenazo-III in 1000 mL of deionized water. Activated carbon particle was purchased from Tianjin Fuchen Chemicals, China. Polyacrylonitrile power was purchased from Daqing Petrochemical Acrylic Plant, China. Dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) and polyvinylpyrrolidone (PVP) were purchased from Shanghai Houcheng Chemicals. All reagents were analytically pure and used as received without further treatment.

### **Adsorbent preparation**

Amidoxime-base activated carbon was prepared according to the under-mentioned procedure. 4.0 g of polyacrylonitrile power was dissolved in 200 mL of dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO). After stirred for 1 day, 8.0 g of hydroxylamine hydrochloride was added to the solution. Then the mixture was stirred for 5 day at room temperature. 2 g of polyvinylpyrrolidone (PVP) and activated carbon were dispersed into the mixture. Then the homogeneous solution was coated to activated carbon by sol–gel method. Finally, the amidoxime-functionalized artifcial activated carbon product was washed with ethyl alcohol and Milli-Q water 3 times and dried at 45 ◦C for 1 day [[19](#page-8-16)].

## **Batch experiment**

Calculated amounts of  $NaNO<sub>3</sub>$  solution, amidoxime-based activated carbon, uranium solution and deionized water were spiked into 50 mL polyethylene centrifuge tubes.  $HNO<sub>3</sub>$ or NaOH solution was added to adjust pH. The adsorbent and U(VI) solution were placed into a conical fask in an gas bath thermostatic oscillator (NKSY-200B, Shanghai Sukun, China) for 3 days. After 3 days, the samples were centrifuged and the supernatant was measured via Arsenazo-III spectrophotometric method [\[20\]](#page-8-17). The absorbance of uranium(VI)–Arsenazo-III complex was measured by a UV-1801 spectrophotometer (Beijing Rayleigh Analytical Instrument Co. Ltd., China) at 652 nm. Based on the experiment, the adsorption efficiency and capacity of  $U(VI)$ on amidoxime-based activated carbon was calculated as follows:

$$
\eta = \frac{(C_0 - C_1)}{C_0} \times 100\%
$$
\n(1)

$$
q_e = \frac{(C_0 - C_1)}{m} \times V \tag{2}
$$

where  $C_0$  and  $C_1$  are the initial and final concentration of U(VI), respectively; while *m* is the mass of amidoximebased activated carbon.

#### **Efect of initial pH**

The pH of the solution was adjusted to the desired value  $(2.5-9.0)$  by adding NaOH or HNO<sub>3</sub>. 0.11 g of absorbent, 3 mL of 0.1 mol/L NaNO<sub>3</sub>, 12 mL of 100 mg/L U(VI) solution and 15 mL of deionized water were placed in a 50 mL polyethylene centrifuge tubes with continuously shaking at 298 K. The concentration of U(VI) before and after adsorption was determined.

# **Efect of time**

The pH of the U(VI) solution was adjusted to the value of 5.0. 6 mL of 100 mg/L solution was 0.11 g of absorbent, 3 mL of 0.1 mol/L  $\text{NaNO}_3$  and 15 mL of deionized water were placed in a 50 mL polyethylene centrifuge tubes, pH of the mixed solution was adjusted to the value of 5.0. Take the time of mix the solution with U(VI) solution as the initial time. The sample were shaking continuously at 298 K, take out the sample after the scheduled time, the supernatant was fltered out with the flter membrane at once to determine the concentration of U(VI) and thus to plot the amount adsorbed versus time.

#### **Efect of temperature**

The effect of temperature was carried out at 298, 308, 318, 328 and 338 K using various initial U(VI) concentrations  $(1-250 \text{ mg/L})$  at pH 5.0. The concentration of U(VI) before and after adsorption was determined.

#### **Efect of adsorbent dosage**

The effect of adsorbent dosage was carried out at 298 K using various adsorbent dosage (0.02–7 g/L) at pH 5.0. The concentration of U(VI) before and after adsorption was determined.

## **Characterization**

The prepared amidoxime-based activated carbon was characterized using several techniques. Elemental analysis were obtained on an elementar vario MACRO cube. Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (FT-IR) was obtained on a Nicolet Nexus 670 spectrometer using the standard KBr disk method. X-ray difraction (XRD) measurements were



<span id="page-2-1"></span>**Fig. 1** Efect of pH on the U(VI) adsorption onto amidoxime-based activated carbon (**a**); relative species distribution of U(VI) in the presence of  $CO_2$  (**b**).  $m/V = 3.6$  g/L,  $I = 0.01$  M NaNO<sub>3</sub>,  $T = 298$  K, [U(VI)] = 40 mg/L,  $P_{CO_2} = 3.8 \times 10^{-4}$  atm

obtained on a Philips X'Pert Pro Panalytical difractometer equipped. Thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) of the samples were recorded on a TA Q50 DTA/TGA apparatus (USA). Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) were obtained using an S-4800 (Hitachi) microscope.

# **Results and discussion**

### **Adsorption behavior studies**

# **Efect of pH**

The species of U(VI) and the surface charge of the amidoxime-based activated carbon can be afected by pH, therefore, study the effect of  $pH$  is of great significance for  $U(VI)$  adsorption [\[21\]](#page-8-18). As shown in Fig. [2](#page-2-0)a, the adsorption percentage of U(VI) on activated carbon and amidoxime-based activated carbon increased with pH increasing from 2.5 to 6.0, whereas the adsorption percentage of U(VI) adsorbed on activated carbon and amidoxime-based activated carbon decrease with pH further increasing, indicated that the adsorption is pH dependent. At lower pH, the protonation of the amidoxime on amidoxime-based activated carbon and the competition between  $U(VI)$  and  $H^+$  limited the adsorption process. With the increase of pH, the number of  $H^+$ diminished and the protonation became weak, the adsorption percentage increased gradually. Figure [2b](#page-2-0) describes the species distribution of U(VI) depend on the pH values. At  $pH < 5.0$ ,  $UO_2^{2+}$  was the main existing species of U(VI). As the pH increased (pH  $>$  5.0), hydrolysis of U(VI) increased and formed diferent hydroxy complexes. At 5.0 *<* pH *<* 7.0,



<span id="page-2-0"></span>**Fig. 2** Efect of contact time on the adsorption of U(VI) onto **a** amidoxime-based activated carbon (bottom right:the ftting plot of the pseudosecond-order equation); **b** Weber–Morris model. pH = 5.00,  $m/V = 3.6$  g/L,  $I = 0.01$  M NaNO<sub>3</sub>,  $T = 298$  K, [U(VI)] = 40 mg/L

the dominant species was  $(UO_2)_3(OH)_5^+$  and  $(UO_2)_4(OH)_7^+$ . A further increase in pH value will generate negatively charged species, including  $UO_2(CO_3)_2^{2-}$  and  $UO_2(CO_3)_3^{4-}$ , the hydrolysate of U(VI) is not favored by the amidoxime-based activated carbon [[22\]](#page-8-19), the adsorption percentage declined with the increasing of pH. Furthermore, the adsorption percentage of U(VI) adsorbed on amidoxime-based activated carbon is remarkably higher than that of U(VI) on activated carbon, this is due to that the surface groups of amidoxime-based activated carbon are favorable for binding U(VI) [\[23](#page-8-20)], amidoxime-based activated carbon can be an alternative material for uranium recovery from waste liquid (Fig. [1\)](#page-2-1).

## **Efect of contact time and adsorption kinetics**

The contact time of adsorbent and adsorbate is one of the most significant influence factor that could control the adsorption percentage [[24\]](#page-8-21). Efect of contact time on the adsorption towards U(VI) by amidoxime-based activated carbon was conducted at pH 5.0 and the result was shown in Fig. [2.](#page-2-0) The ftted results of pseudo-frst-order, pseudosecond-order and Weber–Morris model were summarized in Tables [1](#page-3-0) and  [2.](#page-3-1) As shown in Table [1,](#page-3-0) the pseudo-secondorder model ftted better than the pseudo-frst-order model due to the higher regression coefficient  $(R^2)$  value (0.9830) and less difference of calculated  $q_e$  (8.69 mg/g) with experimental adsorption capacity (8.71 mg/g). The adsorption kinetic of U(VI) on amidoxime-based activated carbon implied that chemical complexing reaction dominated the adsorption process [\[25\]](#page-8-22). Compared to conventional amidoxime resins and fbers, the same conclusion was obtained [\[27,](#page-9-0) [27,](#page-9-0) [28\]](#page-9-1). The Weber–Morris model indicated that the adsorption process of U(VI) on amidoxime-based activated carbon involves three steps (Fig. [2b](#page-2-0)). The obtained param-eters are listed in Table [2,](#page-3-1) where  $K_{ad}$  ( $K_{ad1}$ ,  $K_{ad2}$ ,  $K_{ad3}$ ) is the rate constants of the adsorption three steps, *C* is the intercept of the line. The frst step is the external transfer step, the

<span id="page-3-0"></span>**Table 1** Kinetic parameters of pseudo-frst-order and pseudo-secondorder models

Pseudo-first-order model		Pseudo-second-order model		
$k_1$ (g/mg min)	8.94	$k_2$ (g/mg min)	$5.91 \times 10^{-4}$	
$q_e$ (mg/g)	4.13	$q_e$ (mg/g)	8.69	
$R^2$	0.8180	$R^2$	0.9830	

second step suggests the intra-particle difusion process, the fnal stage corresponding to the fnal equilibrium step [\[29](#page-9-2)]. The intra-particle difusion process is the rate limiting step in the U(VI) adsorption onto amidoxime-based activated carbon process.

#### **Adsorption isotherm analysis**

The initial concentration of U(VI) is another important infuence factor for the interaction between amidoximebased activated carbon and U(VI) [[30](#page-9-3)]. Figure [3](#page-3-2) shows the efects of U(VI) initial concentration on the adsorption to amidoxime-based activated carbon. The experimental data were ftted by the following three types of isotherms, the results were shown in Fig. [4](#page-4-0). The Langmuir isotherm can be expressed [[31\]](#page-9-4):

$$
\frac{C_e}{q_e} = \frac{C_e}{q_m} + \frac{1}{K_L q_m} \tag{3}
$$

The Freundlich isotherm is given as [\[32\]](#page-9-5):

$$
lnq_e = lnK_F + \frac{1}{m}lnC_e
$$
\n(4)

where  $K_F$  is the constant related to the adsorption capacity, and *n* is the Freundlich constant.



<span id="page-3-2"></span>Fig. 3 Effect of initial concentration of U(VI) on the adsorption on amidoxime-based activated carbon.  $pH = 5.00$ ,  $m/V = 3.6$  g/L,  $I = 0.01$  M NaNO<sub>3</sub>,  $T = 298$  K

<span id="page-3-1"></span>**Table 2** Kinetic parameters of the Weber-Morris model





<span id="page-4-0"></span>**Fig. 4** The adsorption isotherms of U(VI) onto amidoxime-based activated carbon. **a** Freundlich isotherm; **b** Langmuir isotherm; **c** D–R isotherm

The D–R isotherm assumed that the adsorbent has a heterogeneous adsorption energy [\[33\]](#page-9-6). The model can be expressed [\[34](#page-9-7)]:

$$
lnq_e = lnq_k - B\eta^2
$$
 (5)

$$
\eta = RTln\left(1 + \frac{1}{c_e}\right) \tag{6}
$$

$$
E = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2B}}\tag{7}
$$

where *B* (mol<sup>2</sup>/ $kJ^2$ ) is the D–R constant,  $q_k$  (mol/g) is the D–R adsorption capacity,  $\varepsilon$  is the Polanyi potential, and  $E$ (kJ/mol) is the free energy change.

The ftting results are summarized in Table [3](#page-4-1). Upon comparing the linear regression coefficiencies  $(R^2)$ , the U(VI) adsorption was better simulated by Langmuir model, which suggested that U(VI) adsorption onto the amidoxime-based activated carbon obeys the Langmuir isotherm model. The Langmuir isotherm was commonly used to describe homogeneous adsorption that all the absorption sites on a homogeneous surface having equal adsorbate affinity, thus, the adsorption process of amidoxime-based activated carbon for U(VI) was considered

<span id="page-4-1"></span>



homogeneous and a monolayer process. Other research about U(VI) adsorption on amidoxime-based adsorbent got the same conclusion  $[27, 35, 36]$  $[27, 35, 36]$  $[27, 35, 36]$  $[27, 35, 36]$  $[27, 35, 36]$  $[27, 35, 36]$ . The  $q_{max}$  of Langmuir isotherm model is the maximum adsorption capacity, the *qmax* value of U(VI) adsorbed on amidoxime-based activated carbon was calculated to be 14.16 mg/g. The nature of adsorption process can be physical for the *E* < 8 kJ/mol or chemical for  $8 < E < 16$  kJ/mol, as shown in Table 3, the value of *E* indicating that the adsorption process is controlled by chemical adsorption [\[37–](#page-9-10)[39](#page-9-11)].

#### **Temperature and thermodynamic parameters**

At 298, 308, 318, 328 and 338 K, the thermodynamic parameters of U(VI) adsorbed onto amidoxime-based activated carbon composites were calculated using the Van't Hoff equation  $[7]$ .

Table [4](#page-5-0) showed the calculated the thermodynamic parameters. The results indicated that the adsorption on amidoxime-based activated carbon is spontaneous and endothermic [[40,](#page-9-12) [41](#page-9-13)]. Xie et al. [\[27](#page-9-0)] reported that the adsorption of uranium(VI) onto amidoxime-functionalized fbers, the results showed that the adsorption is spontaneous and endothermic process. Heshmati et al. [[28\]](#page-9-1) got the same conclusion that adsorption of U(VI) on PAO chelating resin is endothermic and a feasible process.

#### **Efect of adsorbent dosage**

Adsorbent dosage determines the number of available binding sites and the dosage of amidoxime-based activated carbon will affect the adsorption capacity. The effect of adsorbent dose on U(VI) adsorption was represented in Fig.  $5$ , it was clear that the adsorption capacity of U(VI) increased sharply from 5 to 95% at amidoxime-based activated carbon dose increase from 0.02 to 7 g/L. This may be attributed to there was more available adsorption sites in the solution with increasing the adsorbent dosage [[9\]](#page-8-7).

<span id="page-5-0"></span>**Table 4** Thermodynamic parameters for U(VI) adsorption onto amidoxime-based activated carbon

T(K)	$\Delta H$ (kJ/mol)	$\Delta S$ (J/mol K)	$\Delta G$ (kJ/mol)	$R^2$
298	14.72	51.95	$-0.58$	0.9502
308		$-1.49$	$-0.58$	
318		$-1.81$	$-0.58$	
328		$-2.40$	$-0.58$	
338		$-2.69$	$-0.58$	



<span id="page-5-1"></span>**Fig. 5** Efect of adsorbent dosage on the adsorption of U(VI) onto amidoxime-based activated carbon.  $pH = 5.00$ ,  $I = 0.01$  M NaNO<sub>3</sub>,  $T = 298$  K,  $[U(VI)] = 40$  mg/L

#### **Characterization analysis**

#### **Elemental analysis**

To further confrm the carbon, nitrogen, and hydrogen contents in the activated carbon and amidoxime-based activated carbon, elemental analysis was carried out. According to the results shown in Table [5](#page-5-2), negligible nitrogen content was detected for the activated carbon, it could be attributed to N-containing impurities in the activated carbon. In comparison with the activated carbon, an obvious increase of nitrogen content was observed for amidoxime-based activated carbon. This indicated that amidoxim groups were grafted onto activated carbon.

#### **FT‑IR analysis**

FT-IR analysis was further carried out to verify the conversion of functional group in the reaction process. As shown in Fig. [6,](#page-6-0) after modifcation, several peaks appeared in the spectrum compared with that of activated carbon. Peaks at 1639 cm<sup>−</sup><sup>1</sup> could be ascribed to the stretching vibration of  $C = N$ , the peaks at 1360 cm<sup>-1</sup> could be assigned to the bending vibration of  $NH_2$ , the peaks at 900 cm<sup>-1</sup> could be ascribed to the stretching vibration of the N–O in the amidoxime group.

<span id="page-5-2"></span>**Table 5** Elemental analysis results for the activated carbon and amidoxime-based

Sample	$C$ wt%	N wt%
Activated carbon	90.48	0.18
Amidoximebased activated carbon 72.54		5.26



<span id="page-6-0"></span>**Fig. 6** FT-IR spectra of activated carbon and amidoxime-based activated carbon



<span id="page-6-1"></span>**Fig. 7** XRD difraction patterns of activated carbon and amidoximebased activated carbon

The results suggest that the amidoxime groups were grafte onto activated carbon successfully.

#### **XRD analysis**

XRD patterns of activated carbon and amidoxime-based activated carbon are shown in Fig. [7.](#page-6-1) As evident, the XRD patterns of amidoxime-based activated carbon do not show obvious fresh peaks compared with activated carbon, which indicates that the amidoxime-based activated carbon maintain the original structures of activated carbon.

#### **N2 adsorption‑desorption isotherm**

The  $N<sub>2</sub>$  adsorption-desorption isotherms of activated carbon and amidoxime-based activated carbon are shown in Fig. [8.](#page-6-2) The Brunauer–Emmett Teller (BET) surface area of activated carbon and amidoxime-based activated carbon were calculated to be 646.77 and 357.17 m<sup>2</sup>/g<sup>-1</sup>, respectively. The BET surface area of amidoxime-based activated carbon became smaller after modifcation, it means that the pores of activated carbon were partially blocked after modifcation.

### **Thermogravimetric analysis**

Thermogravimetric analysis is favourable for analyze the thermal behaviors of activated carbon and amidoximebased activated carbon. As shown in Fig. [9,](#page-7-0) bare activated carbon is the most stable sample. For amidoxime-based activated carbon, the frst process occurs at 100 ◦C attributes to the elimination of physically adsorbed moisture on the surface of the particles [[42](#page-9-14)]; the second process occurs from 100 to 400  $\degree$ C, which can be assigned to thermal decomposition of organic components; the third process occurs from 400 to 700 ◦C, which is caused by carbon



<span id="page-6-2"></span>**Fig. 8** Nitrogen adsorption-desorption isotherm of activated carbon (**a**) and amidoxime-based activated carbon (**b**)



<span id="page-7-0"></span>**Fig. 9** Thermogravimetric analysis of activated carbon and amidoxime-based activated carbon

chain combustion in activated carbon. As for U(VI)-loaded amidoxime-based activated carbon, the thermal decomposition was relatively gentle, however, the weight loss was lower than that of the bare amidoxime-based activated carbon. This was because of the adsorption of U(VI) on the surface of amidoxime-based activated carbon [\[43\]](#page-9-15).

#### **Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) analysis**

The surface morphologies changes before and after activated carbon modifed by amidoxime were analyzed via SEM. As shown in Fig. [10](#page-7-1), after modification, the surface of amidoxime-based artifcial was much rougher than activated carbon. Figure [10e](#page-7-1), f showed the SEM image of amidoxime-based activated carbon after U(VI) adsorption. The surface of amidoxime-based activated carbon became rougher, a remarkable amount of particles were disposed on amidoxime-based activated carbon surface, which demonstrated that U(VI) was adsorbed onto the surface of amidoxime-based activated carbon.



<span id="page-7-1"></span>**Fig. 10** SEM images of **a**, **b** activated carbon; **c**, **d** amidoxime-based activated carbon; **e**, **f** U(VI)-loaded amidoxime-based activated carbon

# **Conclusions**

The amidoxime-based activated carbon was prepared for study the adsorption process of U(VI). The adsorption data of U(VI) adsorption onto amidoxime-based activated carbon can be described by Langmuir isotherm. The consequence of experimental indicated that amidoxime-based activated carbon had a high removal amount towards U(VI), which was much higher than that of activated carbon, the synthesis of amidoxime-based activated carbon could offer a promising opportunities for further improvements in removal ofU(VI).

**Acknowledgements** The authors gratefully acknowledge support from the Foundation of Heilongjiang Postdoctoral Science Foundation (LBH-Z17050), the China Postdoctoral Science Foundation (2019M651257), the National Natural Science Foundation of China (21771045), the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities (3072019CFJ1501), and the Decommissioning of Nuclear Facilities and special funds for radioactive waste management ([2017]955). And the authors acknowledged the Innovation Center of Nuclear Materials for National Defense Industry.

# **Compliance with ethical standards**

**Conflicts of interest** The authors declare that they have no confict of interest.

# **References**

- <span id="page-8-0"></span>1. Wu F, Pu N, Ye G, Sun T, Wang Z, Song Y, Wang W, Huo X, Lu Y, Chen J (2017) Performance and mechanism of uranium adsorption from seawater to poly(dopamine)-inspired sorbents. Environ Sci Technol 51(8):4606–4614
- <span id="page-8-1"></span>2. Xiao J, Jing Y, Yao Y, Wang X, Jia Y (2019) Synthesis of amidoxime-decorated 3d cubic mesoporous silica via self-assembly co-condensation as a superior uranium(VI) adsorbent. J Mol Liq 277:843–855
- <span id="page-8-2"></span>3. Wang D, Xu Y, Xiao D, Qiao Q, Yin P, Yang Z, Li J, Winchester W, Wang Z, Hayat T (2019) Ultra-thin iron phosphate nanosheets for high efficient  $U(VI)$  adsorption. J Hazard Mater 371:83–93
- <span id="page-8-3"></span>4. Wang Y, Huang H, Duan S, Liu X, Sun J, Hayat T, Alsaedi A, Li J (2017) A new application of a mesoporous hybrid of tungsten oxide and carbon as an adsorbent for elimination of  $Sr<sup>2+</sup>$ and  $Co<sup>2+</sup>$  from an aquatic environment. ACS Sustain Chem Eng 6(2):2462–2473
- 5. Zhao Y, Wang X, Li J, Wang X (2015) Amidoxime functionalization of mesoporous silica and its high removal of U(VI). Polym Chem 6(30):5376–5384
- <span id="page-8-4"></span>6. Yuan D, Chen L, Xiong X, Yuan L, Liao S, Wang Y (2016) Removal of uranium(VI) from aqueous solution by amidoxime functionalized superparamagnetic polymer microspheres prepared by a controlled radical polymerization in the presence of DPE. Chem Eng J 285:358–367
- <span id="page-8-5"></span>7. Zhang M, Gao Q, Yang C, Pang L, Wang H, Li H, Li R, Xu L, Xing Z, Hu J et al (2016) Preparation of amidoxime-based nylon-66 fbers for removing uranium from low-concentration aqueous solutions and simulated nuclear industry effluents. Ind Eng Chem Res 55(40):10523–10532
- <span id="page-8-6"></span>8. Zhao C, Liu J, Yuan G, Liu J, Zhang H, Yang J, Yang Y, Liu N, Sun Q, Liao J (2018) A novel activated sludge-graphene oxide composites for the removal of uranium(VI) from aqueous solutions. J Mol Liq 271:786–794
- <span id="page-8-7"></span>9. Fan Qh, Li P, Yf Chen, Ws Wu (2011) Preparation and application of attapulgite/iron oxide magnetic composites for the removal of U(VI) from aqueous solution. J Hazard Mater 192(3):1851–1859
- 10. Zhao G, Huang X, Tang Z, Huang Q, Niu F, Wang X (2018) Polymer-based nanocomposites for heavy metal ions removal from aqueous solution: a review. Polym Chem 9(26):3562–3582
- <span id="page-8-8"></span>11. Yin L, Song S, Wang X, Niu F, Ma R, Yu S, Wen T, Chen Y, Hayat T, Alsaedi A et al (2018) Rationally designed core-shell and yolk-shell magnetic titanate nanosheets for efficient  $U(VI)$ adsorption performance. Environ Pollut 238:725–738
- <span id="page-8-9"></span>12. Bq Lu, Li M, Xw Zhang, Huang Cm Wu, Xy Fang Q (2018) Immobilization of uranium into magnetite from aqueous solution by electrodepositing approach. J Hazard Mater 343:255–265
- <span id="page-8-10"></span>13. Dutta DP, Nath S (2018) Low cost synthesis of  $SiO<sub>2</sub>/C$  nanocomposite from corn cobs and its adsorption of uranium(VI), chromium(VI) and cationic dyes from wastewater. J Mol Liq 269:140–151
- <span id="page-8-11"></span>14. Ma D, Hu S, Li Y, Xu Z (2019) Adsorption of uranium on phosphoricacid-activated peanut shells. Sep Sci Technol. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1080/01496395.2019.1606016) [org/10.1080/01496395.2019.1606016](https://doi.org/10.1080/01496395.2019.1606016)
- <span id="page-8-12"></span>15. Zhao Y, Liu C, Feng M, Chen Z, Li S, Tian G, Wang L, Huang J, Li S (2010) Solid phase extraction of uranium(VI) onto benzoylthiourea-anchored activated carbon. J Hazard Mater 176(1–3):119–124
- <span id="page-8-13"></span>16. Starvin A, Rao TP (2004) Solid phase extractive preconcentration of uranium(VI) onto diarylazobisphenol modifed activated carbon. Talanta 63(2):225–232
- <span id="page-8-14"></span>17. Saleh TA, Tuzen M, Sarı A et al (2017) Polyethylenimine modifed activated carbon as novel magnetic adsorbent for the removal of uranium from aqueous solution. Chem Eng Res Des 117:218–227
- <span id="page-8-15"></span>18. Xie L, Wang Y, Wang Y, Li X, Tian Q, Liu D, Sun G, Wang X (2018) Study of poly(acrylamidoxime) brushes conformation with uranium adsorption by neutron refectivity. Mater Lett 220:47–49
- <span id="page-8-16"></span>19. Ladshaw AP, Wiechert AI, Das S, Yiacoumi S, Tsouris C (2017) Amidoxime polymers for uranium adsorption: influence of comonomers and temperature. Materials 10(11):1268
- <span id="page-8-17"></span>20. Bai J, Yao H, Fan F, Lin M, Zhang L, Ding H, Lei F, Wu X, Li X, Guo J et al (2010) Biosorption of uranium by chemically modifed rhodotorula glutinis. J Environ Radioact 101(11):969–973
- <span id="page-8-18"></span>21. Wang Y, Wang Z, Ang R, Yang J, Liu N, Liao J, Yang Y, Tang J (2015) Synthesis of amidoximated graphene oxide nanoribbons from unzipping of multiwalled carbon nanotubes for selective separation of uranium(VI). RSC Adv 5(108):89309–89318
- <span id="page-8-19"></span>22. Wang X, Ji G, Zhu G, Song C, Zhang H, Gao C (2019) Surface hydroxylation of SBA-15 via alkaline for efficient amidoximefunctionalization and enhanced uranium adsorption. Sep Purif Technol 209:623–635
- <span id="page-8-20"></span>23. Zhang Z, Dong Z, Wang X, Ying D, Niu F, Cao X, Wang Y, Hua R, Liu Y, Wang X (2018) Ordered mesoporous polymer-carbon composites containing amidoxime groups for uranium removal from aqueous solutions. Chem Eng J 341:208–217
- <span id="page-8-21"></span>24. Aljarrah M, Al-Harahsheh MS, Mayyas M, Alrebaki M (2018) In situ synthesis of quaternary ammonium on silica-coated magnetic nanoparticles and it's application for the removal of uranium(VI) from aqueous media. J Environ Chem Eng 6(5):5662–5669
- <span id="page-8-22"></span>25. Li W, Liu Q, Liu J, Zhang H, Li R, Li Z, Jing X, Wang J (2017) Removal U(VI) from artifcial seawater using facilely and covalently grafted polyacrylonitrile fbers with lysine. Appl Surf Sci 403:378–388
- 26. Ma F, Dong B, Gui Y, Cao M, Han L, Jiao C, Lv H, Hou J, Xue Y (2018) Adsorption of low-concentration uranyl ion by amidoxime polyacrylonitrile fbers. Ind Eng Chem Res 57(51):17384–17393
- <span id="page-9-0"></span>27. Xie CY, Jing SP, Wang Y, Lin X, Bao HL, Guan CZ, Jin C, Wang JQ (2017) Adsorption of uranium(VI) onto amidoxime-functionalized ultra-high molecular weight polyethylene fbers from aqueous solution. Nucl Sci Technol 28(7):94
- <span id="page-9-1"></span>28. Heshmati H, Torab-Mostaedi M, Ghanadzadeh Gilani H, Heydari A (2015) Kinetic, isotherm, and thermodynamic investigations of uranium(VI) adsorption on synthesized ion-exchange chelating resin and prediction with an artifcial neural network. Desalination Water Treat 55(4):1076–1087
- <span id="page-9-2"></span>29. Tran HN, You SJ, Hosseini-Bandegharaei A, Chao HP (2017) Mistakes and inconsistencies regarding adsorption of contaminants from aqueous solutions: a critical review. Water Res 120:88–116
- <span id="page-9-3"></span>30. Wei X, Liu Q, Zhang H, Liu J, Chen R, Li R, Li Z, Liu P, Wang J (2018) Rapid and efficient uranium(VI) capture by phytic acid/ polyaniline/FeOOH composites. J Colloid Interface Sci 511:1–11
- <span id="page-9-4"></span>31. Guibal E, Milot C, Tobin JM (1998) Metal-anion sorption by chitosan beads: equilibrium and kinetic studies. Ind Eng Chem Res 37(4):1454–1463
- <span id="page-9-5"></span>32. Freundlich H (1907) Über die adsorption in lösungen. Z Phys Chem 57(1):385–470
- <span id="page-9-6"></span>33. Yang P, Liu Q, Liu J, Chen R, Li R, Bai X, Wang J (2019) Highly efficient immobilization of uranium(VI) from aqueous solution by phosphonate-functionalized dendritic fbrous nanosilica (DFNS). J Hazard Mater 363:248–257
- <span id="page-9-7"></span>34. Dabrowski A (2001) Adsorption-from theory to practice. Adv Colloid Interface Sci 93(1–3):135–224
- <span id="page-9-8"></span>35. Yin Z, Xiong J, Chen M, Hu S, Cheng H (2016) Recovery of uranium(VI) from aqueous solution by amidoxime functionalized wool fbers. J Radioanal Nucl Chem 307(2):1471–1479
- <span id="page-9-9"></span>36. Hazer O, Kartal Ş (2010) Use of amidoximated hydrogel for removal and recovery of U(VI) ion from water samples. Talanta 82(5):1974–1979
- <span id="page-9-10"></span>37. Duan S, Xu X, Liu X, Wang Y, Hayat T, Alsaedi A, Meng Y, Li J (2018) Highly enhanced adsorption performance of U(VI) by nonthermal plasma modified magnetic  $Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>$  nanoparticles. J Colloid Interface Sci 513:92–103
- 38. Imam EA, El-Sayed IET, Mahfouz MG, Tolba AA, Akashi T, Galhoum AA, Guibal E (2018) Synthesis of  $\alpha$ -aminophosphonate functionalized chitosan sorbents: effect of methyl vs phenyl group on uranium sorption. Chem Eng J 352:1022–1034
- <span id="page-9-11"></span>39. Zhang Z, Duan S, Chen H, Zhang F, Hayat T, Alsaedi A, Li J (2018) Synthesis of porous magnetic  $\text{Ni}_{0.6}\text{Fe}_{2.4}\text{O}_4$  nanorods for highly efficient adsorption of U(VI). J Chem Eng Data 63(5):1810–1820
- <span id="page-9-12"></span>40. Li P, Wang J, Wang X, He B, Pan D, Liang J, Wang F, Fan Q (2018) Arsenazo-functionalized magnetic carbon composite for uranium(VI) removal from aqueous solution. J Mol Liq 269:441–449
- <span id="page-9-13"></span>41. Yang P, Zhang H, Liu Q, Liu J, Chen R, Yu J, Hou J, Bai X, Wang J (2019) Nano-sized architectural design of multi-activity graphene oxide (GO) by chemical post-decoration for efficient uranium(VI) extraction. J Hazard Mater 375:320–329
- <span id="page-9-14"></span>42. Sun Y, Shao D, Chen C, Yang S, Wang X (2013) Highly efficient enrichment of radionuclides on graphene oxide-supported polyaniline. Environ Sci Technol 47(17):9904–9910
- <span id="page-9-15"></span>43. Nakkeeran E, Selvaraju N (2017) Biosorption of chromium(VI) in aqueous solutions by chemically modifed strychnine tree fruit shell. Int J Phytorem 19(12):1065–1076

**Publisher's Note** Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional afliations.