

Seasonal variation of indoor radon/thoron and their progeny levels in lesser‑Himalayas of Jammu & Kashmir, India

Ajay Kumar1 · Raman Vij1,3 · Sumit Sharma2 · Amit Sarin3

Received: 4 September 2019 / Published online: 18 November 2019 © Akadémiai Kiadó, Budapest, Hungary 2019

Abstract

In this investigation, the passive estimation of radon (Rn^{222}) , thoron (Rn^{220}) and their progenies have been measured in the dwellings of Reasi district of Jammu & Kashmir for a period of 1 year. These estimations have been done with the help of latest developed single entry Pin-hole based dosimeters and progeny sensors based on deposition. The annual Equilibrium factors for 222 Rn, 220 Rn, and their progenies have been calculated separately for each dwellings The average annual effective dose was found to be 0.9 ± 0.2 mSv/y for ²²²Rn, which is less than prescribed limit of ICRP. The results obtained indicate no vital health hazards because of exposure of Rn^{222} , Rn^{220} and their progenies.

Keywords Deposition based sensors · Seasonal variation · Equilibrium factor · Prescribed level · House type

Introduction

Due to natural radiations, inhalation of $222Rn$, $220Rn$, and their decay-products contribute about 50% of worldwide effective dose to the general population $[1]$ $[1]$. Various case–control investigations of residential exposure to 222 Rn have been completed in different parts of the globe to enhance our understanding of the health risks of ionizing radiations. These controlled instigations provide the knowledge of an enchanting the risk of lung malignant with the expansion in exposure of ²²²Rn [[2\]](#page-10-1). ²²⁰Rn, then again, has not been concentrated in detail because of reference to lung cancer risk. Recently, 220Rn contribution is only recognized in the radiation dose [[1,](#page-10-0) [3](#page-10-2), [4](#page-10-3)].

The Inhalation dose due to 222 Rn and its short-lived progeny are the primary source and about 40% of the total radiation dose taken by the overall populace is the signifcant supporter to the issue in the respiratory tract, lung malady and sensitive tissue of the skin and cause skin disease [\[5,](#page-10-4)

 \boxtimes Ajay Kumar ajay782@redifmail.com

¹ Department of Physics, DAV College, Amritsar, Punjab 143001, India

[6](#page-10-5)]. In Past decades, an equilibrium factor (fixed value $=0.4$) (ratio of Equilibrium Equivalent Concentration of the shortlived to the Concentration of Radionuclide) can be utilized to measure the decay products of the radionuclide's [\[7](#page-10-6)], but in now a days, direct 222 Rn/²²⁰Rn progeny sensors (DTPS/ DRPS) have been utilized in this work for the progeny estimation. Unattached part, size distribution, and equilibrium factor are also the essential infuent parameters related to the lung dose computation [[8\]](#page-10-7).

Radon (222 Rn) and thoron (220 Rn) decay into various short-lived radio-isotopes. After the decay of 222 Rn, the recently framed radio-active nuclides react with environmental gases and vapors and form a cluster of particles of size around 1 nm, which are Un-attached particles. These unattached radio-active nuclides may likewise combine with existed aerosols presented in the atmosphere within a time period of 1–100 s, framing the attached particles [\[9\]](#page-10-8). The buildup of activity of 222 Rn gas and its short-lived alpha emitters inside enclosed spaces may increase the radiation risk to the public. This applies especially to work environments like, underground mines, visitor surrenders, and water supply offices which deal with high radon ground water sources. By and large, health risk by radon (^{222}Rn) is considerably more far-reaching than by thoron (^{220}Rn) . Since thoron $(^{220}$ Rn) has a short span of life, it is less capable than the 222 Rn to move from the point where it is shaped [[10\]](#page-10-9). As an outcome, materials used for building purposes are the most regular source of 220Rn exposure. Conversely,

² Department of Applied Sciences, Swami Sarvanand Group of Institutes, Dinangar, Punjab 143531, India

³ Department of Physical Sciences, I. K. G. Punjab Technical University, Jalandhar, Punjab 144011, India

radon $(^{222}$ Rn), have the half-life of 3.8 days can diffuse in the soil in excess of meter from where it's shaped. As the result, the under-ground structures are normally the essential wellspring of indoor 222 Rn [[11](#page-10-10)].

The northern part of India is well studied both in terms of radioactive nuclides and 220 Rn $/^{220}$ Rn concentrations [[1,](#page-10-0) [3](#page-10-2), [4,](#page-10-3) [12–](#page-10-11)[15](#page-10-12)]. However, such studies in Jammu and Kashmir region are scarce. No such type of study of natural radioactivity or indoor 222 Rn, 220 Rn and progeny concentration has been so far reported in Reasi district of Jammu & Kashmir. The most important geographical features of the study area are Reasi inlier and various fault lines. The fault is generally accompanied with specifc changes in geographical qualities, such as an extensive increment in the porosity and porousness of deformed shakes along this zone. Hence this part of Himalayas is very signifcant for the study of ionizing radiations. Several studies have been conducted that elevated concentration of radon gas in soil and groundwater could be signs of an imminent earthquake. It is believed that the radon is released from cavity and cracks as the Earth crust is strained prior to the sudden slip of an earthquake [[16](#page-10-13)]. The Present investigation includes the estimations of 222 Rn, 220 Rn, and their progeny concentration by utilizing deposition based time integrating passive technique. We aimed to see the efect of various factors like types of dwellings, the seasonal effect on observed values.

Geography of study area

Reasi district lies between 33°05″ North latitude and 74°50″ East longitudes. The district imparts its limits to Udhampur district in the South, Ramban in the east, Shopian in the north and Rajouri in the west. The study zone is watershed of the waterway Chenab and its tributaries (Ans, Rudd, Plassu, Banganga, Pai, and Anji). It falls in the area which can be termed as Outer Hill Region, comprising the slopes and hills of Siwalik, Lesser Himalaya. The areas within the jurisdiction of the present study are hilly, comprising several off-shoots of great mountains inter-woven closely. The hills are of moderate heights and are surmountable. Though the areas in the north are very high, rising to the heights of above 4256 m but present study areas have a normal height ranging between 400 and 900 m. This region is on the southern side of the Pir Panjal. Out of four rock zones defned in the district according to Census report of 2011, the present study falls in two rock zones namely

- 1. The Reasi Limestone Inlier and
- 2. The Siwalik belt

Another important geographic feature of the study area is Reasi inliers which are about 80 km long and 8–20 km wide. In the Reasi fault, the Sirban arrangement is compared against the Tertiary sedimentary progressions of the Subathu—and the Murree formations in the northern part and against the Siwalik formation in the south [\[17](#page-10-14)]. Main boundary thrust and Medicott–Wadia thrust passes through this region, raising the interest of geologist across the world to study this area in detail especially after the devastating earth quake of 2005. Map of the study area is shown in Fig. [1](#page-2-0).

Materials and methods

Selection of locations

The study area is a cross segment of Medlicott–Wadia Thrust (MWT) near its interaction with Chenab river. MWT is the real dynamic (active) out-of-succession thrusts in Himalaya. In this region, MWT is generally referred to as Reasi Thrust. The determination of houses in the examination zone was taken to considering that cover the signifcant part of the study locale as logically feasible. The efforts were taken to select the dwellings with all impacting factors, such as building materials (cement, mud, marble, etc.) and ventilation conditions. The measurements were made in 87 houses of 28 villages for investigation of seasonal varieties in radon/ thoron and their alpha emitter concentrations. Whole year was partitioned into three periods of 4-month time frame as set 1 (November–March); set 2 (March–July); and set 3 (July–November), respectively. The primary points are to cover diverse kind's types of houses, with the goal that the reported results can be utilized as representative estimations of 222Rn and 220Rn in the locale considered for the study.

Measurement of 222Rn/220Rn concentrations

Measurements of 222 Rn and 220 Rn have been done by pinhole based ²²²Rn/²²⁰Rn discriminating cup by utilizing LR-115 Type II detector. The discriminating cup has the one passageway through which the gas goes to the primary chamber to be specifc as "radon+thoron" chamber through a flter paper of 0.56 µm and then difuses to 2nd part called "radon" chamber. LR-115 of size 3×3 cm² is lodged in both the chambers, such that LR-115 in primary chamber detects the tracks because of both 222 Rn and 220 Rn, while that in the 2nd part detects the tracks only because of 222 Rn gas. The reason is that only the radon gas enters the second chamber through 4 pin-holes of 2 mm in length and 1 mm in diameter made on a round circular disc owing to the very short half-life of 220 Rn (55 s). The alpha emanations from 222 Rn and 220Rn creates the tracks on LR-115 detector lodged at the end of the primary chamber while tracks are enlisted on LR-115 which is at the top of the 2nd chamber due to the alpha's of 222 Rn only. The schematic graph of the pin-hole based 222 Rn/²²⁰Rn discriminating cup is shown in Fig. [2](#page-2-1).

Fig. 1 Map showing the total area covered for the present study

Fig. 2 Schematic diagram of pin-hole based dosimeter

The 222 Rn and 220 Rn concentrations in an indoor environment were fgured as given by Sahoo [[18\]](#page-10-15)

$$
^{222}\text{Rn} \left(\text{Bq/m}^3\right) = \frac{T_1 - B_1}{d - K_{\text{R}}}
$$
 (1)

$$
^{220}\text{Rn} \left(\text{Bq/m}^3\right) = \frac{\left(T_2 - B_2\right) - \left(d \times 222_{\text{Rn}} \times K_{\text{R}}'\right)}{\left(d \times K_{\text{T}}\right)}\tag{2}
$$

where T_1 and T_2 =tracks seen in ²²²Rn and ²²²Rn + ²²⁰Rn chambers. B_1 and B_2 =back-ground track obtained for ²²²Rn and ²²⁰Rn. $d =$ presentation time frame.

Measurement of attached/un‑attached 222Rn/220Rn progeny

For the estimation of $^{222}Rn^{220}Rn$ alpha emitters (progeny), LR-115 (12 μ m cellulose nitrate covered on a 100 μ m thick poly-ester base) SSNDTs based direct ²²²Rn/²²⁰Rn progeny sensor techniques were utilized for present examination. Samplers are made up of latent atomic track identifer (SSNDTs-LR) mounted with an absorber of suitable

thickness for 220 Rn progeny, an absorber which is aluminium coated sheet of 50 μm thickness. It specifcally recognizes just 8.78 MeV α-particles radiated from ²¹²Po. For radon descendants, absorber comprises with the suitable match of an aluminized coated sheet of 25 μm and cellulose nitrate of 12 μm of efective thickness 37 μm, which mostly recognizes α 's produced from ²¹⁴Po (α energy = 7.69 MeV). For estimation of the only Attached 222 Rn and 220 Rn progeny to wire-mesh sensor were utilized as a part of the detached mode. Wire-mesh sensors comprise of direct sensors with a two hundred mesh wire-screen. The Un-attached part of the progenies is trapped on these wires and just attached part of the descendants get deposit on these sensors. The emitted alphas are deposited on wise caped progeny sensors is an estimation of the attached part of progeny concentration. Progeny concentration is ascertained by suspending DRPS/DTPS in the indoor environment far from entryway and windows [[19\]](#page-10-16).

Deployment details and analysis

The dosimeters both pinhole, as well as DTPS/DRPS along with WM-DTPS/WM-DRPS, were deployed in indoor environments of 28 villages in Reasi district, such that they were at least 1.5 m above the from any level and no less than 10 cm far from any of the surfaces for the time of 4 months. After the environmental exposure, the exposed detectors were retrieved and etched in 2.5 N NaOH solutions at 60 °C for 90 min without stirring [[1,](#page-10-0) [4,](#page-10-3) [20](#page-10-17)]. The indicators were then cleaned in running water, dried, peeled and the track tallying was done using a spark counter with a voltage of 500 V. The tracks obtained from exposed flms are converted into activity concentration using appropriate calibration factors.

Radon/thoron progeny

The total (attached $+$ un-attached) and attached equilibrium equivalent concentration $\text{EEC}_{(A+U)}$ were computed using the relations given below [\[18](#page-10-15), [21](#page-11-0)]:

$$
EERC(i,j)(Bqm^-3) = \frac{T_{Rij} - T_B}{D \times S_{Rij}}
$$
(3)

$$
EETC(i,j)(Bqm^-3) = \frac{T_{Ti,j} - T_B}{D \times S_{Ti,j}}
$$
(4)

where $EERC(i, j)$ and $EETC(i, j)$ = total equilibrium equivalent concentration of 222Rn and 220Rn progeny, i.e., *i*, and *j* stands for attached part. $T_{\text{R}i,j}$ and $T_{\text{T}i,j}$ =tracks (Tr cm⁻²) produced on total and attached ²²²Rn and ²²⁰Rn progeny received in bare and wire-mesh sensors. T_B =back-ground

received because of sensor timeframe of realistic usability and amid travel, $D =$ the introduction of detector in given time frame (120 days). $S_{\text{R}i,j}$ and $S_{\text{T}i,j}$ are sensitivity coefficients for 220 Rn and 220 Rn progeny [[22\]](#page-11-1).

Since α energy of ²¹²Po (²²⁰Rn progeny) is greater than the ²¹⁴Po (²²²Rn progeny), the α 's radiates from both ²²²Rn and from ²²⁰Rn progeny go through the absorber (37 μ m) used in the DRPS. To compute exact 222 Rn progeny α 's from DRPS, tracks of 220Rn progeny must be subtracted using the following fgures:

$$
Tracks \frac{\text{only Rn } P}{\text{DRPS}} = \text{Tracks} \frac{\text{Total}}{\text{DRPS}} - \frac{\eta_{RT}}{\eta_{TT}} \text{Tracks} \frac{\text{Total}}{\text{DTPS}} \tag{5}
$$

where η_{RT} and η_{TT} =track enrolment efficiencies for ²²⁰Rn progeny in DRPS (0.01 \pm 0.0004 for each α radiates from 220 Rn progeny) and that in DTPS (0.083 \pm 0.0004 per each α 's radiates from ²²⁰Rn progeny [\[23](#page-11-2)], respectively

Calibration factors

The calibration factors used for the pinholes dosimeters are:

- For ²²²Rn + ²²⁰Rn section (K_T) (0.010 Tr cm⁻² d⁻¹/Bq m⁻³) and that for only ²²²Rn section (K_R) was 0.017 Tr cm⁻² d⁻¹/ Bq m⁻³ [\[18](#page-10-15)].
- For direct DTPS (direct thoron progeny sensors) and DRPS (direct radon progeny sensors), (0.94 Tr cm⁻² d⁻¹/Bq m⁻³ and 0.09 Tr cm⁻² d⁻¹/Bq m⁻³) respectively [\[19](#page-10-16)].
- For wire–mesh capped DTPS and DRPS, the calibration factors were 0.33 Tr cm^{-2} d⁻¹/Bq m^{-3} and 0.04 Tr cm⁻² d⁻¹/Bq m⁻³ respectively [[20](#page-10-17)].

Un‑attached equilibrium equivalent concentration and its un‑attached part

The un-attached ²²²Rn and ²²⁰Rn progeny concentrations $EC₍₁₎$ have been figured out by just subtracting the attached $\text{EEC}_{(A)}$ from the total (attached + un-attached) concentration $EEC_{(A+U)}$ utilizing an expressions [6](#page-3-0) and [7:](#page-3-1)

$$
EERC_{(U)} = EERC_{(A+U)} - EERC_{(A)}
$$
\n(6)

$$
EETC_{(U)} = EETC_{(A+U)} - EETC_{(A)}
$$
\n(7)

The unattached portion of potential alpha energy concentration (PAEC) of 222 Rn and 220 Rn progeny has been estimated utilizing the Eqs. (8) and (9) $[1, 24]$ $[1, 24]$ $[1, 24]$ $[1, 24]$:

$$
f_{\rm p}^{\rm Rn} = \frac{\text{EERC}_{\rm (U)}}{\text{EERC}_{\rm (A+U)}}\tag{8}
$$

$$
f_{\rm p}^{\rm Th} = \frac{\text{EETC}_{\rm (U)}}{\text{EETC}_{\rm (A+U)}}\tag{9}
$$

where f_p^{Rn} and f_p^{Tn} = unattached portions of ²²²Rn and ²²⁰Rn progeny, respectively

Equilibrium factor (EF)

The activity concentration of the short-lived radon progeny in air is always less than that of the radon gas. Equilibrium factor is the ratio of radon and its short lived radioactive decay products, which is a measure of the degree of disequilibrium between the radon gas and its progeny [[11\]](#page-10-10). If the activity concentration of the short-lived radon progeny is equal to the activity concentration of the radon gas (i.e., secular equilibrium has been reached), then *F* would be 1. But practically, it is always less than $1 \mid 12$ $1 \mid 12$. The variation of EF mainly depends on environmental conditions like humidity, sort of houses, and ventilation rate, etc. [\[25](#page-11-4)]. Therefore, EF for 222 Rn and 220 Rn have calculated as:

$$
EF_{\text{Rn}} = \frac{EERC_{A+U}}{222_{\text{Rn}}}
$$

$$
EF_{\text{Tn}} = \frac{EETC_{A+U}}{220_{\text{Rn}}}
$$

Annual efective dose

 222 Rn and 220 Rn doses depend basically on 222 Rn progeny and the duration of exposure, the breathing rate and airborne molecules including the activity size dissemination of 222 Rn descendant's aerosol and the un-attached part [\[26\]](#page-11-5). The dose transformation factor given by UNSCEAR [\[7\]](#page-10-6) has been utilized to evaluate the Annual Inhalation dosage. The annual effective dosage (Sv y⁻¹) for ²²²Rn (AE_{Rn}) and that of ²²⁰Rn (AE_{Tn}) were assessed utilizing the equations [\[7](#page-10-6)]:

$$
AE_{\text{Rn}} = EERC_{(A+U)} \times DCF_{\text{Rn}} \times OF \times T_{\text{Rn(exp)}}
$$

$$
AE_{\text{Tn}} = EETC_{(A+U)} \times DCF_{\text{Tn}} \times OF \times T_{\text{Tn(exp)}}
$$

where DCF_{Rn} (9 nSv y⁻¹ Bq⁻¹ m⁻³) and DCF_{Th} $(40 \text{ nSvh}^{-1} \text{ Bq}^{-1} \text{ m}^{-3})$ = radon and thoron dose conversion factor, OF=indoor occupancy factor, and $T_{\text{Rn}(exp)}$ and $T_{\text{Rn(exp)}}$ =exposure span per year (7000 h y⁻¹) respectively

Annual inhalation dosage

The total Annual Inhalation dosage because of introduction of indoor 222Rn, 220Rn and their progenies has been determined by utilizing the following expression [\[7\]](#page-10-6)

$$
D(mSv/y) = \{(0.17 + 9 \times EF_{Rn}) \times C_{Rn} + (0.11 + 40 \times EF_{Tn}) \times C_{Tn}\}\
$$

$$
\times 8760 \times 0.8 \times 10^{-6}
$$

where EF_{Rn} = equilibrium factor for ²²²Rn and EF_{Tn} = equilibrium factor for ²²⁰Rn. C_{Rn} =radon concentration and C_{Rn} is ²²⁰Rn concentration. 0.17 and 0.11 ($nSv/Bq/m³/h$) = the dose transformation co-efficient for 222 Rn and 220 Rn, 9 and $40 \text{ (nSv/Bq/m}^3/h)$ = the dose transformation factors for 222 Rn and ²²⁰Rn progenies, 8760 h/y = indoor inhabitancy time, 0.8 = the Indoor occupancy factor.

Results and discussion

222Rn/220Rn in an indoor environment

The overall results of 222 Rn and 220 Rn in indoor environment of 28 villages (approximate two to three dwellings in each village) of Reasi district (lesser Himalayan region) of Jammu & Kashmir, India are presented in Table [1](#page-5-0). The range of indoor radon concentration in studied dwellings have been from 18 ± 3 to 59 ± 13 Bq m⁻³ with arithmetic mean (AM) of 29 ± 9 , and geometric mean (GM) of 28 Bq m⁻³, respectively. The obtained results of indoor 222 Rn are much less than the suggested reference range (100–300 Bq m⁻³) prescribed by ICRP $[27]$ $[27]$. In three villages, the indoor 222 Rn concentration is greater than the world average value given by UNSCEAR, but the average value of indoor 222 Rn in studied area is less than the global average [[28\]](#page-11-7).

The calculated results of indoor 222 Rn are compared with neighboring states of the studied region. The obtained results of indoor radon in the study region (18–59 Bq m⁻³) are com-parable to that of Jammu district (16–50 Bq m⁻³) [\[29\]](#page-11-8) and Udhampur district (11–58 Bq m−3) [[4\]](#page-10-3) of Jammu & Kashmir and Jalandhar (6–47 Bq m^{-[3](#page-10-2)}) [3] and Kapurthala district (19–63 Bq m⁻³) [[30\]](#page-11-9) of Punjab. But observed concentration is less than that of Tosham region (37–80 Bq m⁻³) [[31\]](#page-11-10) of Haryana, Hamirpur region (25–208 Bq m−3) of Himachal Pradesh [[32\]](#page-11-11) and Garhwal Himalayas (13–291 Bq m⁻³) [\[1](#page-10-0)]. A high value of 222 Rn concentration in Tosham Haryana is due to the presence of granite rocks while in Garhwal Himalayas, it is due to active boundary thrust.

Similarly, indoor thoron concentrations in same dwellings have been found to vary from 30 ± 1 to 204 ± 19 Bq m⁻³ with an AM of 85 ± 42 Bq m⁻³ and GM of 76 Bq m⁻³. The obtained results of ²²⁰Rn concentration are much greater than the global value given by UNSCEAR $[33]$ $[33]$ $[33]$. The ²²⁰Rn concentration is comparatively much greater than the 222 Rn concentration in each dwelling due to difusive transportation of radon, suggesting high thoron emanation rate in soil and material used for building purposes in the examined region. Therefore,

S.D. standard deviation, *G.M.* geometric mean

S.D. standard deviation, G.M. geometric mean

high thoron content might be due to thorium-rich soil [\[12](#page-10-11)]. Figure [3](#page-6-0) represents the variation of indoor 222 Rn and 220 Rn concentration in studied locations of Reasi district, Jammu & Kashmir.

The radon level in study area can be reduced by taking some short term steps. These general steps are to seal cracks and holes found in walls, foors, drains and pipes. Renovate existing basement floors, particularly earth floors. Increase ventilation in the subfloors beneath the basement. Install a device that sucks the radon from the lowest space in the basement (radon sump system). Avoid using exhaust fans for a continuous amount of time. When you are not using the freplace, shut the chimney damper. By using these steps, we can help to minimize radon in our daily life.

Fig. 3 Variation of indoor 222 Rn $/^{220}$ Rn concentration in studied locations

222Rn/220Rn progeny concentration

Table [1](#page-5-0) gives the knowledge about the calculated results of progeny concentrations of 222 Rn and 220 Rn in examined dwellings of the studied region. The total equilibrium equivalent (EERC_{A+U)} of ²²²Rn and (EETC_{A+U})²²⁰Rn concentration were found to vary from 10 to 30 Bq m⁻³with a mean of $18±4$ Bq m⁻³ and from 0.9 to 3.3 Bq m⁻³ with a mean of 1.8 ± 0.6 Bq m⁻³, respectively. Majority of EERC_{A+U} lies in the range of 14–25 Bq m⁻³ and about 4% of total dwellings have radon progeny concentration above the 25 Bq m^{-3} , respectively. But the overall results of radon progeny concentration in buildings lie within the range $(2–50 \text{ Bq m}^{-3})$ prescribed by ICRP [\[11\]](#page-10-10). Similarly, most of the thoron progeny concentration lies in the range of 1.1–2.1 Bq m^{-3} and about 7% dwellings have higher thoron progeny level than 2.1 Bq m−3. However, 28% of the locations have higher thoron progeny than the range suggested by ICRP [\[11](#page-10-10)]. The variation seen in progeny of radon and thoron is might be the presence of radium content in bedrocks, diferent material used for construction as well as decorative purposes, ventilation rate, and type of houses. Figure [4](#page-6-1) represents the variation of 222 Rn and 2202 Rn progeny in studied houses. Longer the whisker plot in a positive direction and mean is larger than median shows that 222 Rn and 220 Rn progeny was well positively skewed.

The attached progeny concentration (EERC_A) of ²²²Rn and (EETC_A) of ²²⁰Rn in examined locations has been varying from 7 to 25 Bq m⁻³ with an AM of 14 ± 4 Bq m⁻³ and from 0.6 to 3.1 Bq m⁻³ with an AM of 1.4 ± 0.3 Bq m⁻³, respectively. Similarly, $EERC_U$ and $EETC_U$ in the dwellings ranged from 1 to 6 Bq m⁻³ with an AM of 4 ± 2 Bq m⁻³ and from 0.1 to 1.0 Bq m^{-3} , respectively.

Fig. 4 a Box Whisker plot for radon progeny. **b** Box plot for thoron progeny

Fig. 5 Variation of equilibrium factor in diferent ventilation conditions, seasons, and type of houses

Equilibrium factors

The annual estimation of equilibrium factor (EF) for 222 Rn and its progeny and 220 Rn and its progeny have been ranged from 0.2 to 0.9 with a mean of 0.6 ± 0.2 and from 0.01 to 0.04 with an average of 0.02 ± 0.01 respectively as given in Table [1.](#page-5-0) The seasonal variations of EF for $222Rn$ and $220Rn$ are graphically presented in Fig. [5](#page-7-0) and tabulated in Table [1](#page-5-0). The annual average of equilibrium for 222 Rn and its progeny has been observed to be little higher than its global value (0.4) as detailed by UNSCEAR [[34\]](#page-11-13). These values are calculated for the first time for this part of Himalayas. The annual average of EF for ^{220}Rn and its progeny have been observed to be lower than the all-around accepted value (0.02) as detailed in UNSCEAR [[7\]](#page-10-6). Radon progeny and the EF depend to a great extent on the environmental conditions, which may result in the variation in dosage calculations. Due to the comparatively short half-life of 220 Rn as compared to its decedents results in the non-uniformity of 220 Rn EF even in the natural environment. The large variation in estimated results of EF suggests that while calculating the radiation dosage because of the exposure of 222 Rn, 220 Rn and their decedents, the EF ought to be determined separately for an individual houses.

Estimation of an unattached fraction

Unattached fractions have also been calculated using progeny concentrations of 222 Rn and 220 Rn. In normal conditions, the unattached fractions of Rn^{222} (f_p^{Rn}) and Rn^{220} (f_p^{Th}) have been found varying from 0.1 to 0.5 with an AM of 0.2 and from 0.05 to 0.5 with an AM of 0.3, respectively. These obtained values are close to 222 Rn and 220 Rn

progeny concentrations. The obtained average result of radon unattached fraction is comparable to the prescribed value (0.15) reported in the literature $\left[35-37\right]$ $\left[35-37\right]$ $\left[35-37\right]$

A weak positive correlation has been seen between f_p^{Rn} and EF_{Rn} with Pearson's coefficient of 0.22 as shown in Fig. [6](#page-7-1). This weak relationship is due to low particle concentration inside the homes. The reason for this low attachment rate is might be due to high particle concentration in selected dwellings. Among these lines, 222 Rn progeny are for the most part free and in this way plate out on surfaces prompting an imperative dis-equilibrium amongst 222 Rn and its progeny [[38\]](#page-11-16).

Seasonal variation

The temperature of the studied area generally varies from minimum of 6° C in winter nights to maximum of 39 $^{\circ}$ C during peak summers. The annual average concentrations of 222 Rn during winter, summer and rainy seasons have been found to be 38 ± 21 , 26 ± 7 and 23 ± 7 Bq m⁻³, whereas for ²²⁰Rn they were found as 127 ± 73 , 68 ± 38 , and 81 ± 34 Bq m⁻³ as shown in Table [2](#page-8-0). Graphical representation of a variation of indoor 222 Rn and 220 Rn and progeny concentration is given in Fig. [7](#page-8-1). Results of seasonal variations of 222 Rn reveal maximum concentrations during the winter season. This might be due to temperature inversion which is generally expected in winter. Also, houses are kept closed during this season for most of the time which leads to poor ventilation [[1](#page-10-0)]. The concentration gradually decreases during summers and rainy seasons, lowest being in the rainy season. 222 Rn concentration is found a bit more in summers than in rainy season in contrast to the usual trend of winter maximum and

Fig. 6 Correlation between EF_{Rn} and f_p^{Rn}

	Seasons			Ventilation			Dwelling type		
	Winter	Summer	Rainy	Average	Bad	Good	Cemented	Mud	Marble
²²² Rn (Bq m ⁻³)	$38 + 21$	26 ± 7	$23 + 7$	31 ± 7	$33 + 13$	$23 + 6$	$27 + 7$	$30 + 9$	$28 + 6$
$EERC_{A+U} (Bq m^{-3})$	22 ± 8	14 ± 4	$19 + 5$	18 ± 5	22 ± 4	17 ± 6	$19 + 5$	20 ± 6	18 ± 4
$EERCA$ (Bq m ⁻³)	$16 + 9$	13 ± 4	$14 + 7$	14 ± 5	$17 + 4$	11 ± 5	$16 + 5$	$15 + 4$	14 ± 3
$EERC_{II} (Bq m^{-3})$	6	4	$\overline{4}$	3	$\overline{4}$	$\overline{4}$	3	4	$\overline{4}$
EF_{Rn}	0.58	0.54	0.83	0.58	0.67	0.74	0.7	0.67	0.64
²²⁰ Rn (Bq m ⁻³)	127 ± 15	$38 + 38$	81 ± 34	$80 + 62$	103 ± 43	$69 + 23$	$68 + 29$	$76 + 63$	61 ± 46
$EETC_{A+U}$ (Bq m ⁻³)	2.3 ± 1.5	1.3 ± 0.6	1.6 ± 0.6	1.7 ± 0.6	2.4 ± 0.6	1.4 ± 0.7	1.7 ± 0.6	2.1 ± 0.7	1.4 ± 0.5
$EETC_A$ (Bq m ⁻³)	1.8 ± 1.4	1.2 ± 1	1.4 ± 0.5	1.3 ± 0.6	2.2 ± 0.5	1.1 ± 0.7	1.1 ± 0.6	1.7 ± 0.9	0.9 ± 0.4
$EETCII$ (Bq m ⁻³)	0.8	0.6	0.3	0.4	0.6	0.4	0.5	0.3	0.7
EF _{Tn}	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.03	0.03	0.02

Table 2 Seasonal, ventilation and dwelling type variation of radon, thoron and its progeny (attached, unattached and total) concentration along with equilibrium factors

summer minimum as observed elsewhere. This might be due to the fact that temperature variation is almost the same during these two seasons in the studied area so ventilation rate is almost the same. But during rainy season soil becomes saturated with water and hence less concentration is exhaled.

Radon progeny concentration is also observed to be maximum in winters and minimum in rainy season. A similar trend is observed for 220 Rn and its progeny concentrations. As decay constant of 220 Rn is significantly larger than the ventilation rate, the difference in ventilation conditions does not influence 220 Rn concentrations. It is seen that the thoron concentration in all seasons is higher than the corresponding indoor radon concentration. This might be due to thorium-rich construction material used to build houses or thorium-rich soil in the region.

Variation according to types of houses

Observed values of 222 Rn, 220 Rn and progeny concentration levels in dwellings for diferent construction materials used covering all the three seasons are tabulated in Table [2.](#page-8-0) Graphical representation of observations is shown in Fig. [8.](#page-9-0) It is observed that the average 222 Rn and 220 Rn concentrations are greater in the Mud type (Mud foor+ Mud wall) dwellings. Likewise, the average values of EERC and EETC are also found maximum in the Mud type abodes. This is in accordance with the trend reported elsewhere and this might be explained on the criteria of high porosity and permeability in these types of houses as radon easily enters these houses from the ground below due to high porosity [[39\]](#page-11-17). On the other hand, modern housing techniques using types of cements blocks or marbles have shown low values of 222 Rn and 220 Rn concentration.

This may be due to low porosity and low difusion rate in cemented houses.

Houses in which foors are constructed using local construction material are found to have high thoron concentration than those constructed using marbles, which are mainly brought from outside. Due to its short half-life (55.6 s), 220 Rn in soil gas underneath a building, in most cases can't survive sufficiently enough to enter the building and contribute to the indoor 220 Rn level. In this way, indoor 220 Rn is ordinarily due to the exhalation from thorium, which might be available in materials utilized on the inside surfaces of the building. Also cemented foors are more porous than marble floors. Seeing it in combination with the fact that high thoron concentration is observed in comparison to radon concentration for all types of dwelling during all seasons, we might conclude that high indoor thoron concentration is due to thorium-rich bricks and local construction material like sand used in the construction of walls.

Dependent of radon, thoron, and its progeny on ventilation condition of houses

In mud houses, inhabitants used to keep a low number of doors and windows, which give poor ventilation conditions to a house. An attempt has been made to study variation in 222 Rn, 220 Rn, and their progeny concentration according to ventilation conditions. It is observed that values are high in the poorly ventilated room in comparison to average and good ventilated rooms. Average 222 Rn and 220 Rn concentration is found lowest in well ventilated rooms in accordance with the trends observed in other studies. Figure [9](#page-10-18) shows variation in radon, thoron and its progeny concentration according to ventilation conditions of the rooms selected. Figure [9](#page-10-18) shows the graphical representation of data observed.

Estimation of radiation doses

The annual effective dose due to indoor 222 Rn and its progenyare found to vary from 0.5 to 1.5 mSv y⁻¹ with an arithmetic mean of 0.9 ± 0.2 mSv y⁻¹ while the annual effective dose due to thoron and progeny are found to vary from 0.2 to 0.8 mSv y⁻¹ with an arithmetic mean of 0.4 ± 0.2 mSv y⁻¹. The total annual inhalation dose (D) due to exposure of indoor 222 Rn, 220 Rn, and their progenies is found to vary from 1.07 to 2.68 mSv y⁻¹ with an average value of 1.72 mSv y^{-1} . These values are less than the safe limit $(3-10 \text{ mSv y}^{-1})$ [[40](#page-11-18)] and pose no health risk to the population of the examined area. The contribution of indoor 220Rn and its progeny to total dose is about 1/4th. Thus thoron can't be ignored while evaluating radiation measurements.

Conclusions

The annual average values of indoor radon concentration are found lower than the world-wide average of 40 Bq m^{-3} , whereas thoron concentration is found to be higher than the world-wide average of 10 Bq m⁻³ as well as the national average of 12.2 Bq/m^3 . The ²²²Rn, ²²⁰Rn, and progeny concentrations have been found to be relying on type of season, ventilation conditions and type of building materials used to construct houses. Houses in which foors are constructed using local construction (mud and rocks) material are found to have high thoron concentration than those constructed using marbles, which are mainly brought from outside. Also, overall very high thoron concentration levels point to the fact that soil of the region is thorium-rich. The annual equilibrium factor for radon and its progeny and thoron and its progeny have been within the globally expected value prescribed by UNSCEAR. The large variation in the thoron

equilibrium factor even for the similar natural conditions is due to its short life.

Moreover, radiation dose is within safe limit and poses no health risk to the population of the study area. The contribution of indoor thoron and its progeny to total inhalation dose is about 1/4th. Thus thoron cannot be neglected while assessing radiation doses.

Acknowledgements Authors are thankful to the Board of Research in Nuclear Science (BRNS) (No. 2013/36/60-BRNS) and Principal, DAV College, Amritsar for providing fnancial support and necessary facilities to carry out this measurement. We are also thankful to the inhabitants of the study area for their co-operation amid feld work.

References

- 1. Ramola RC, Prasad M, Kandari T, Pant P, Bossew P, Mishra R, Tokonami S (2016) Dose estimation derived from the exposure to radon, thoron and their progeny in the indoor environment. Sci Rep.<https://doi.org/10.1038/srep31061>
- 2. USEPA (1991) National primary drinking water regulations; radio nuclides; proposed rules. Federal Register, vol 56. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
- 3. Kumar M, Kaushal A, Sarin A, Sharma R, Sharma N (2017) Radon/thoron and progeny levels in dwellings: regional variations and efect of dwelling characteristics—a case study in Jalandhar district of Punjab. Indoor Built Environ. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1177/1420326X16687614) [org/10.1177/1420326X16687614](https://doi.org/10.1177/1420326X16687614)
- 4. Kumar A, Sharma S, Mehra A, Narang S, Mishra R (2018) Assessment of indoor radon, thoron concentrations and their relationship with seasonal variation and geology of Udhampur district, Jammu & Kashmir, India. Int J Occup Environ Health 23:202–214
- 5. NRC, (National Research Council) (1991) Comparative dosimetry of radon in mines and homes. National Academy Press, Washington, DC
- 6. Sharma S, Kumar A, Mehra R, Mishra R (2019) Radiation hazards associated with radionuclide and theoretical evaluation of indoor radon concentration from soil exhalation of Udhampur district Jammu & Kashmir, India. Soil Sedim 19:1441–1455
- 7. UNSCEAR (2008) United Nations Scientifc Committee on the effect of atomic radiation. Report to the General Assembly. United Nation, New York
- 8. Sharma S, Kumar A, Mehra R (2018) Age dependent inhalation dose due to exposure of short lived progeny of radon and thoron for diferent age groups in Jammu & Kashmir, Himalayas. Radiat Prot dosim 182:427–437
- 9. Porstendorfer J (2001) Physical parameters and dose factors of the radon and thoron decay products. Radiat Prot Dosim 94(4):365–373
- 10. Park TH, Kang DR, Park SH, Yoon DK, Lee CM (2018) Indoor radon concentration in Korea residential environments. Environ Sci Pollut Res 25:12678–12685
- 11. ICRP (1993) Protection against radon-222 at homes and at work. ICRP Publication 65 Ann. ICRP: 3(2)
- 12. Sharma S, Kumar A, Mehra R, Kaur M, Mishra M (2018) Assessment of progeny concentration of 222 Rn/220Rn and their related doses using deposition based progeny sensors. Environ Sci Pollut Res 25:11440–11453
- 13. Bangotra R, Mehra R, Kaur K, Kanse S, Mishra R, Sahoo BK (2015) Estimation of EEC, unattached fraction and equilibrium factor for the assessment of radiological dose using pin-hole cup dosimeters and deposition based progeny sensors. J Environ Radioact 148:67–73
- 14. Kumar A, Vij R, Sharma S, Sarin A, Narang S (2018) Assessment of radionuclide concentration and exhalation studies in soil of lesser Himalayas of Jammu and Kashmir, India. Acta Geophys 66:1195–1202
- 15. Kumar M, Kumar P, Aggarwal A, Kumar R, Sahoo BK (2017) A study on seasonal variability of $222Rn-220Rn$ in dwellings around a thermal power plant, India. Radioanal Nucl Chem 314:39–48
- 16. Kim JW, Kim YH, Kim R, Moon JH (2018) Investigation of the relationship between earthquakes and indoor radon concentrations at a building in Gyeongju, Korea. Nucl Eng Technol 50:512–518
- 17. Klootwijh CT, Conaghan PJ, Naziullah R, Jing KA (1986) Further palaeomagnetic data from Chitral (Eastern Hindukush): evidence for an early India-Asia contact. Tectonophysics 237(1–2):1–25
- 18. Sahoo BK, Sapra BK, Kanse SD, Gaware JJ, Mayya YS (2013) A new pin hole discriminated 222 Rn/²²⁰Rn passive measurement device with single entry face. Radiat Meas 58:52–60
- 19. Mishra R, Mayya YS (2008) Study of a deposition-based direct thoron progeny sensor (DTPS) technique for estimating equilibrium equivalent thoron concentration (EETC) in indoor environment. Radiat Meas 43(8):1408–1416
- 20. Kaur M, Kumar A, Mehra R, Mishra M (2017) Assessment of attached and unattached progeny concentrations of ²²²Rn/²²⁰Rn

and their contribution to dose using deposition based progeny sensors. Environ Earth Sci 76:557

- 21. Mayya YS, Mishra R, Prajith R, Sapra BK, Kushwaha HS (2010) Wire-mesh capped deposition sensors: novel passive tool for coarse fraction fux estimation of radon thoron progeny in indoor environments. Sci Total Environ 409(2):378–383
- 22. Mishra R, Sapra BK, Mayya YS (2014) Multi-parametric approach towards the assessment of radon and thoron progeny exposures. Rev Sci Instrum 85:022105-1–022105-8
- 23. Mishra R, Mayya YS, Khushwaha HS (2009) Measurement of 220 Rn/ 222 Rn progeny deposition velocities on surfaces and their comparison with theoretical models. Aerosol Sci 40:1–15
- 24. Knutson EO (1988) Modeling indoor concentrations of radon's decay products. In: Nazaroff WW, Nero AV Jr (eds) Radon and its decay products in indoor air. Wiley, New York, pp 161–199
- 25. Prasad M, Rawat M, Dangwal A, Kandari T, Gusain GS, Mishra R, Ramola RC (2016) Variability of radon and thoron equilibrium factors in indoor environment of Garhwal Himalaya. J Environ Radioact 151:238–243.<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvrad.2015.10>
- 26. Porstendorfer J, Mercer TT (1979) Infuence of electric charge and humidity upon diffusion coefficient of radon. Health Phys 15:191–199
- 27. ICRP (International Commission on Radiological Protection) (2010) Lung cancer risk from radon and progeny and statement on radon. ICRP Publication-115, Pergamon Press, Oxford
- 28. UNSCEAR (2009) United Scientifc Committee on the efects of atomic radiation
- 29. Kaur M, Kumar A, Mehra R, Mishra R (2017) Dose assessment from exposure to radon, thoron and their progeny concentrations in the dwellings of sub-mountainous region of Jammu & Kashmir. Radioanal Nucl Chem, India. [https://doi.org/10.1007/s1096](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10967-017-5632-0) [7-017-5632-0](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10967-017-5632-0)
- 30. Mehra R, Jakhu R, Mittal HM (2015) Assessment of lung dose from indoor 222Rn and 220Rn exposure in the Jalandhar and Kapurthala districts of Punjab, India. Indoor Built Environ 26:1305–1310
- 31. Singh P, Singh P, Singh S, Sahoo BK, Sapra BK, Bajwa BS (2015) A study of indoor radon, thoron and their progeny measurement in Tosham region Haryana, India. J Radiat Res Appl Sci 8(2):226–233
- 32. Singh P, Saini K, Mishra M, Sahoo BK, Bajwa BS (2016) Attached, unattached fraction of progeny concentrations and equilibrium factor for dose assessments from ²²²Rn and ²²⁰Rn. Radiat Environ Biophys 55(3):401–410
- 33. UNSCEAR (2006) Sources and effects of ionizing radiation (report to general assembly with scientific annexes). United Nations, New York
- 34. UNSCEAR (United Nations Scientifc Committee on the Efects of Atomic Radiation) (2000) Annex B: exposures from natural radiation sources. United Nations, New York, p 104
- 35. Kojima H, Abe S (1988) Measurements of the total and unattached radon daughters in a house. Radiat Prot Dosim 24(1–4):241–244
- 36. Reineking A, Porstendorfer J (1990) Unattached fraction of short-lived Rn decay products in indoor and outdoor environments: an improved single-screen method and results. Health Phys 58(6):715–727
- 37. Hopke PK, Jensen B, Li CS, Montassier N, Wasiolek P, Cavallo A, Gatsby K, Socolow R, James AC (1995) Assessment of the exposure to and dose from radon decay products in normally occupied homes. Environ Sci Technol 19:1359–1364
- 38. Huet C, Tymen G, Boulaud D (1999) Size distribution, equilibrium ratio and unattached fraction of radon decay products under typical indoor domestic conditions. Sci Total Environ 272:97–103
- 39. Kumar A, Chauhan RP (2014) Measurement of indoor radon– thoron concentration and radon soil gas in some north Indian dwellings. J Geochem Explor 143:155–162
- 40. ICRP (International Commission on Radiological Protection) (2008) Radiation dose to patients from radiopharmaceuticals, vol 38, no 1–2. International Commission on Radiological Protection, Pergamon Press, Oxford

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.