


## Erratum to: Inter-laboratory exercise with an aim to compare methods for $^{90}\text{Sr}$ and $^{239,240}\text{Pu}$ determination in environmental soil samples

Jixin Qiao<sup>1</sup>  · Susanna Salminen-Paatero<sup>2</sup> · Stina Holmgren Rondahl<sup>3</sup> · Marie Bourgeaux-Goget<sup>4</sup> · Per Roos<sup>1</sup> · Petra Lagerkvist<sup>3</sup> · Elisabeth Strålberg<sup>4</sup> · Henrik Ramebäck<sup>3,5</sup>

Published online: 11 October 2017  
© Akadémiai Kiadó, Budapest, Hungary 2017

### Erratum to: J Radioanal Nucl Chem DOI 10.1007/s10967-017-5385-9

In the original article, the LOD assigned to method Sr-B in Table 1 was published incorrectly as 24 Bq/kg, the correct LOD for that method should be 10.4 Bq/kg.

As a consequence the range of LODs, as presented in the first sentence of the section *Limit of detection* should be stated as “It can be seen from Table 1 that, the LODs of  $^{90}\text{Sr}$  vary from 0.2 to 10.4 Bq/kg among the four methods used in this work”.

---

The online version of the original article can be found under doi:[10.1007/s10967-017-5385-9](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10967-017-5385-9).

---

✉ Jixin Qiao  
jqiq@dtu.dk

<sup>1</sup> Center for Nuclear Technologies, Technical University of Denmark (DTU Nutech), Lyngby, Denmark

<sup>2</sup> Department of Chemistry - Radiochemistry, University of Helsinki (UH), P.O. Box 55, 00014 Helsinki, Finland

<sup>3</sup> CBRN Defence and Security, Swedish Defence Research Agency (FOI), Stockholm, Sweden

<sup>4</sup> Health and Safety Department, Institute for Energy Technology (IFE), Kjeller, Norway

<sup>5</sup> Department of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Nuclear Chemistry, Chalmers University of Technology, Gothenburg, Sweden