Study of uranium isotopic composition in groundwater and deviation from secular equilibrium condition

R. M. Tripathi • S. K. Sahoo • S. Mohapatra • P. Lenka • J. S. Dubey • V. D. Puranik

Received: 4 June 2012 / Published online: 25 July 2012 © Akadémiai Kiadó, Budapest, Hungary 2012

Abstract Uranium concentration in groundwater reflect both redox conditions and uranium content in host rock. In the present study an attempt has been made to study the uranium concentration and activity ratios of uranium isotopes to present the geochemical conditions of the groundwater in Malwa region of Punjab state, India and the reason for high uranium levels and variation of activity ratios from secular equilibrium conditions. Uranium concentration in groundwater samples was found to be in the range of 13.9 ± 1.2 to 172.8 ± 12.3 µg/l with an average value of $72.9 \mu g/l$ which is higher than the national and international guideline values. On the basis of uranium concentration, the groundwater of the study region may be classified as oxidized aquifer on normal uranium content strata (20 %) or oxidized aquifer on enhanced uranium content strata (80 %). The ²³⁸U, ²³⁵U and ²³⁴U isotopic concentration in groundwater samples was found to be in the range of 89.2–1534.5, 4.4–68.5, and 76.4–1386.2 mBq/ l, respectively. Activity ratios of $^{234}U^{238}U$ varies from 0.94 to 1.85 with a mean value of 1.11 which is close to unity that shows secular equilibrium condition. High value of 234 U isotope than 238 U may be due to alpha recoil phenomenon. The plot of AR of 234 U/ 238 U against the total uranium content in log scale reveals that the groundwaters of the study region either belongs to stable accumulation or normal oxidized aquifer.

Keywords Uranium · Isotopic ratios · Activity ratios · Groundwater

Environmental Assessment Division, Bhabha Atomic Research Centre, Trombay, Mumbai 400 085, India e-mail: sksbarc@gmail.com

Introduction

Uranium is a naturally occurring radionuclide which has three isotopes namely ²³⁸U, ²³⁵U and ²³⁴U. The radiological half-lives of these isotopes are 4.5×10^9 , 7.1×10^8 and 2.5×10^5 years, respectively. ²³⁸U and ²³⁵U were parent radionuclides of two natural occurring radioactive series. ²³⁸U and ²³⁵U finally decays to ²⁰⁶Pb and ²⁰⁷Pb through a series of radionuclides consisting of 14 and 11 radionuclides, respectively. The mass percentage of 238 U, 235 U and 234 U in natural uranium is 99.27, 0.72 and 0.005 %, respectively and the activity percentage is 48, 2 and 48 % respectively. The activity ratios of uranium isotopes remain constant unless there is fractionation of isotopes due to natural or anthropogenic activities. Natural fractionation of uranium isotopes is used as a sensitive tool for the various environmental geochemistry studies. Due to long radioactive half-lives, 238 U and 234 U isotopes are in secular equilibrium in all minerals and rocks greater than one million years old in a closed system or undisturbed minerals since 234 U is a daughter product of 238 U. Therefore, activity ratio (AR) of 234 U to 238 U is unity in the bulk of such materials. However, when such rocks and minerals are interacted with groundwater, the ratio may deviate from unity on either side; disequilibrium is the result depending on the geochemical conditions [[1,](#page-5-0) [2\]](#page-5-0). Variations in this AR have been used as sensitive chemical indicator for identifying isotopically distinct groundwaters and geochemical processes [[3\]](#page-5-0). Fractionation of uranium isotopes in natural environment is due to mainly due to radiogenic phenomenon. Origin of variation of AR of $^{234}U/^{238}U$ is from preferential leaching of 234 U from the rock matrix which is due to the crystal defects due to alpha particle recoil of the 238 U parent nuclide [\[4](#page-5-0)] and radiation induced oxidation of 234 U [\[5](#page-5-0)]. As a result of the aforementioned phenomena, the

R. M. Tripathi · S. K. Sahoo (⊠) · S. Mohapatra · P. Lenka · J. S. Dubey - V. D. Puranik

AR in the groundwater varies from near unity to ten with majority of the groundwater between 1 and 4 [[6](#page-5-0)]. Mainly two parameter influence strongly the AR in groundwater were the contact time between the groundwater and the rock matrix and the extent of leaching by the groundwater. In addition to this, the groundwater chemistry also plays a role determining the AR. The groundwater and host rock minerals are strongly interacted, thus both chemical and physical differentiation processes are enhanced according to rockwater ratio, surface area exposed and residence time [\[7](#page-5-0)]. Uranium has two geologically significant oxidation states $+6$ and $+4$ under different redox conditions. In the oxidized environment, uranium mostly exists in the $+6$ state and has a strong tendency to form uranyl complexes with carbonates and bi-carbonates and is readily transportable. Uranium remains in $+4$ state in reduced condition and almost precipitated quantitatively. This behavior is manifested in sand stones where uranium deposits have formed. Because of the unique properties of uranium isotopes depending on the prevailing geochemical environment, the isotopic activity ratios have been used to characterize and trace groundwater in a variety of environmental studies [[8,](#page-5-0) [9](#page-5-0)]. Groundwater can be classified according two parameters i.e. uranium con-centration and ²³⁴U/²³⁸U AR [\[10–12](#page-5-0)]. Uranium concentration levels allow to classify aquifers in oxidized aquifer on ''normal'' uranium content strata (values between 1 and 10 μg/l), oxidized aquifer on enhanced uranium content strata (values $>10 \mu g/l$) and reduced aquifer on low uranium content strata (values <1 µg/l). On the basis of $^{234}U^{238}U$ AR, the groundwater is called ''normal'' groundwater (values between 1 and 2); possible uranium accumulation (values >2), or possible remobilization of a uranium (values $\langle 1 \rangle$. High AR (\geq) can be due to a higher than normal ratio of leachable uranium in aquifer or amorphous uraninite, enhancing alpha recoil effect. Activity ratio lower than one implies intense dissolution of the rock matrix may be due to the acidic nature of groundwater. Uranium concentration levels reflect both redox conditions and uranium concentrations in host rocks [\[10](#page-5-0), [13\]](#page-5-0). Therefore, the study of uranium isotopic ratio in groundwater can provide information about the redox condition and source of uranium.

Globally uranium occurs in groundwater in the range of $1-10 \mu g/l$ but there are many reports of high uranium in groundwater including few parts of India are a major concern of the scientific community as uranium is both chemically and radiologically toxic. It was reported that occurrence of high uranium in groundwater in Malwa region of Punjab state [\[14](#page-5-0), [15](#page-5-0)] and Kollar district of Karnataka state $[16]$ $[16]$. In the present study, groundwater samples of 15 nos. from the Malwa region of Punjab, India have been analysed for uranium isotopic composition using alpha spectrometer to study the variation of AR, the source and prevailing geochemical conditions.

Study area

Groundwater samples of 15 nos. were collected from the Malwa region of state of Punjab in the northern part of India. The study area, Punjab is located in the northern part of India bordered by the Indian states of Himachal Pradesh and Chandigarh to the east, Haryana to the south and southeast and Rajasthan to the south-west as well as the Pakistani province of Punjab to the west, it is also bounded to the north by Jammu and Kashmir. The state of Punjab is well known for its high agricultural products. The geographical location of the study area is south-west of Punjab between latitude $29^{\circ}07' - 30^{\circ}57'N$ and longitude $74^{\circ}05' -$ 76°55'E at an average elevation of 200 m from the mean sea level. The soil of the study area is loose, sandy, calcareous and alluvial, which is an admixture of gravel, sand, silt and clay in varying proportions. The lands in the study are used for agriculture all over the year but many industries like thermal power plants, fertilizer factories, chemical factories, cement factories are established in the region.

Material and method

Sample processing

Groundwater samples of 1 l each were collected from tube wells and bore wells directly in pre-acid cleaned plastic bottles as per standard protocols [[17\]](#page-5-0). After collection of water samples, filtration was carried out using $0.45 \mu m$ filter paper and acidified with nitric acid to pH \sim 2. The filtered water samples were analyzed for total uranium content using laser induced fluorimeter. The details of sample preparation and analysis was given in our earlier publications [\[18](#page-5-0), [19](#page-5-0)]. Then the samples were processed for measurement of isotopic composition of uranium by alpha spectrometer and the details are given below.

Water samples of 100 ml were digested with concentrated nitric acid as per ISO/DIS 15587-2 method [\[20](#page-5-0)]. After complete digestion, the residues were treated with concentrated hydrochloric acid twice to convert all metals to chloride form. Then 5 ml of 8 M HCl was added to the residues and warmed up, now the solution is ready for ion exchange column separation. Dowex-1 \times 8 anion exchange resin, chloride form (100–200 mesh size) is used for separation of uranium from other matrices. The resin is preconditioned with 8 M HCl and the prepared sample solution is subject to the resin for separation. After loading the sample solution, the resin was washed with 8 M HCl to eliminate the residual thorium traces and other impurities. Cations of U^{+6} were retained on the column as chloro complexes and eluted with 25 ml 1 M HNO₃. Purified

uranium is electrodeposited from sulphuric acid—ammonium hydroxide solution adjusted to a pH 2.2 [[21,](#page-5-0) [22](#page-5-0)]. Platinum rod was used as anode whereas cleaned buffed stainless steel disc was used as cathode. Electrodeposition was carried out at constant voltage of 6 V and 0.3 A with a distance of 2 cm between the cathode and anode for 2.5 h. Concentrated ammonia of 1 ml was added to the electrodeposition cell before switching off the voltage to avoid the uranium goes back to the electroplating solution which is acidic in nature. Then, the electroplated samples were subjected to Passivated Implanted Planar Silicon detector (PIPS ULTRA, bias 50 V) in an eight chamber alpha spectrometer (Ortec Octect plus). The PIPS detectors were having the active surface area of 450 mm^2 and depletion thickness of $100 \mu m$. The efficiency of alpha spectrometer is 15.2 % at 8 mm source to detector distance and the energy resolution is 35 keV at 4.2 MeV 238 U alpha energy. The detector is coupled to an integrated 4 K multi-channel pulse height analyzer. The source and sample deposition diameter was 19 mm on a 25 mm stainless steel planchette. The vacuum of the detector chamber maintained at 75 m Torr throughout the counting process using an high efficient vacuum pump (Edward Vacuum Pump, Model: A65401906). Blank, spiked samples and electroplated water samples were counted for 24 h to estimate uranium isotopes to reduce the counting relative standard deviations \leq 5%. Uranium standard solutions of (1 mg/l, Aldrich make) were processed similarly with each batch to estimate the recovery and few spiked recovery studies were also carried out.

Quality assurance

The accuracy and reliability of the method is verified by cross method analysis, replicate analysis and spike recovery study. All the water samples were analysed by both laser fluorimetry and alpha spectrometry. The results are in good agreement with each other and the correlation coefficient of 0.82 has been observed. The recovery of the spiked uranium concentration is found to be 70–94 %. The ratio of 234 U to 238 U is spiked uranium concentration was found to close to one which indicates that the solution is of natural uranium. The ratio of ^{235}U to ^{238}U in the spiked uranium is observed to be 0.046 which clearly establish that the uranium is of natural origin also.

All laboratory glass wares used for sample processing were soaked in 10 % nitric acid for 15 days and then rinsed thoroughly twice with ultra pure water (resistivity 18.1 M Ω , Thermo nanopure diamond TII water purification system) before use. Various precautions were taken in order to avoid cross contamination.

Results and discussion

Uranium concentration in groundwater samples was found to be in the range of 13.9 ± 1.2 to 172.8 ± 12.3 with an average value of $72.9 \mu g/l$. The levels of uranium in these groundwaters were higher than that reported for other part of the country but comparable to the values reported for the same region [[14,](#page-5-0) [15](#page-5-0)]. Out of the 15 samples, 67 % of samples contains uranium more than the international guideline value of 30 ppb $[23, 24]$ $[23, 24]$ $[23, 24]$ and 47 % of samples more than the national drinking water limit of 60 ppb [\[25](#page-5-0)]. On the basis of uranium concentration, the groundwater of the study region may be classified as oxidized aquifer on normal uranium content strata (20 %) or oxidized aquifer on enhanced uranium content strata (80 %). High uranium content in groundwater may be due to local natural geology, industrial activities in the region or use of phosphate fertilizers in the region in huge quantity for agricultural purpose as the region is well known for it or due to any other human activities. The present case may be similar to the study observed in Central Valley, California, USA [\[26](#page-5-0)]. The groundwater in this region is found to be rich in biocarbonates, nitrate and chlorides anions [\[14](#page-5-0)] and soil is calcareous one. As the region is well known for its agricultural activities, plant root respiration and microbial oxidation of organic matter in soil produce carbon dioxide, resulting in $CO₂$ partial pressure in the soil zone that are greater than the atmospheric pressure. Water percolating through the soil equilibrates with the soil atmosphere by dissolving $CO₂$ (g) to form carbonic acid. The carbonic acid reacts with the calcium carbonates (calcareous soil) to form bicarbonate which is a well-known efficient leaching agent for uranium from soils and sediments. Formation of bicarbonate while water is percolating through soil enhances its leaching efficiency. This may be one of the mechanisms which explain the high uranium in groundwater in the regions but other possibilities can't be ruled out.

The 238 U, 235 U and 234 U isotopic concentration in groundwater samples was found to be in the range of 89.2–1534.5, 4.4–68.5, and 76.4–1386.2 mBq/l, respectively (Table [1\)](#page-3-0). The distribution of uranium isotopes in groundwater is given in Fig. [1.](#page-3-0) The AR of $234U/238U$ and $^{235}U^{238}U$ in the groundwater samples were given in Figs. [2](#page-3-0) and [3](#page-3-0). From Fig. [2](#page-3-0), it is evident that the AR of 234 U/ 238 U varies from 0.94 to 1.85 with a mean value of 1.11 which is close to unity that shows secular equilibrium condition. In 73 % groundwater samples, the ²³⁴U/²³⁸U AR is greater than one. High value of 234 U isotope than 238 U may be due to alpha recoil phenomenon. In case of alpha recoil phenomenon, when ^{238}U isotope in a mineral particle undergoes alpha decay process, the 234 Th and alpha particle moves apart on opposite direction to conserve the

Table 1 Activity concentration of uranium isotopes and activity ratios in groundwater samples in the Malwa region of Punjab, India

Sl. no.	Sample code	238 U (mBq/l)	234 U/ 238 U	235 U/ 238 U	238 U/ 235 U	$^{234}U_{excess}$
	A	945.5 ± 32	0.98	0.042	23.76	-19.20
2	B	605.0 ± 16	1.04	0.043	23.35	24.38
3	\mathcal{C}	268.0 ± 8	1.07	0.042	23.93	18.00
4	D	663.4 ± 16	0.98	0.043	23.27	-12.60
5	Е	449.3 ± 20	1.11	0.044	22.90	48.97
6	F	1534.5 ± 26.3	0.97	0.045	22.39	-48.22
7	G	408.2 ± 23.3	1.06	0.046	21.92	23.94
8	H	806.3 ± 17.4	0.94	0.045	22.03	-46.19
9		89.2 ± 12.1	1.11	0.049	20.27	10.20
10		340.3 ± 15.1	1.11	0.047	21.10	37.40
11	K	398.0 ± 8.8	1.13	0.047	21.25	52.77
12	L	167.0 ± 6.4	1.13	0.048	20.84	21.17
13	M	105.2 ± 4.8	1.85	0.043	23.39	89.59
14	N	129.7 ± 6.2	1.14	0.043	23.49	17.59
15	Ω	151.5 ± 4.2	1.03	0.046	21.95	4.22

Fig. 1 Distribution of uranium isotopes in groundwater samples

Fig. 2 Activity ratio of $^{234}U/^{238}U$ in groundwater samples

Fig. 3 Activity ratio of $^{235}U/^{238}U$ in groundwater samples

energy and momentum. ²³⁴Th now moves to periphery of the crystal and undergoes two beta particle emission to form 234U which is exposes to pore space which is liable to oxidation and preferential leaching to groundwater. Kronfeld et al. [\[27](#page-5-0)] suggested that due to nuclear transformations, the chemical bonds break and cause displacement within crystal structure, create micro-channels that allow for migration of water molecules into mineral grains and auto-oxidation of the daughter nuclide through the loss of two beta particles to the more soluble $+6$ oxidation state. This process make the 234 U isotope more susceptible to groundwater than the parent and allow it to be preferentially leached across rock boundaries. From the AR of $234U^{238}$ U, the uranium in the groundwater in Malwa region of Punjab is of natural origin. The fact was also endorsed

Fig. 4 U content versus $^{234}U/^{238}U$ activity ratio diagram

Table 2 Compariosn of AR of $^{234}U/^{238}U$ with reported values

SI. no.	234 _{I 1} 238 _I AR	Description	Reference
1	$1.1 - 2.19$	Groundwater, Poland	Pietrzak-Flis et al. $[31]$
\mathfrak{D}	$1.9 - 11.5$	Groundwater, Southern Nevada	Cizdziel et al. $\lceil 28 \rceil$
3	$0.4 - 15.4$	Groundwater, Northern Africa	Chkir et al. [7]
4	$1.01 - 1.22$	Groundwater in hot spring area, South Korea	Lee et al. $[32]$
5	$1.20 - 3.58$	Groundwater in cold spring area, South Korea	Lee et al. $\lceil 32 \rceil$
6	$0.52 - 2.02$	Groundwater, Syria	Abdul-Hadi et al. $[29]$
7	$0.37 - 1.30$	Groundwater, Poland	Grabowski and Bem [30]
8	$0.9 - 1.1$	Groundwater, Punjab, India	Present study (2012)

by the mean AR of $^{235}U/^{238}U$ (0.045 against the theoretical value of 0.046). From the AR of $2^{34}U/2^{38}U$, it is also confirmed that the groundwater is normal and comparable to worldwide values between 1 and 2. The plot of AR of 234 U/ 238 U against the total uranium content in log scale reveals that the groundwaters of the study region either belongs to stable accumulation or normal oxidized aquifer (Fig. 4). The AR of $^{234}U/^{238}U$ observed in the present study is compared to other reported values in various countries is given in Table 2. The observed values in the present study is comparable to groundwater in South Korea, Syria and Poland but less than that reported for Nevada, USA and Northern Africa. The amount of "excess" 234 U above the equilibrium condition with 238 U is helpful to identify geochemically similar water. ²³⁴U

Fig. 5 Uranium mixing diagram for groundwater from Malwa region, Punjab

excess is determined by subtracting one (equivalent to secular equilibrium) from AR and multiplied by the 238 U concentration in the sample [\[28](#page-5-0)]. The plot of uranium mixing diagram for groundwater is given in Fig. 5 which indicates that the uranium in groundwater in the study region is occur in the form carbonate complexes.

Conclusion

Uranium concentration in groundwater samples was found to be in the range of 13.9 ± 1.2 to 172.8 ± 12.3 with an average value of 72.9 µg/l which is higher than the WHO, USEPA and AERB drinking water guideline values. On the basis of uranium concentration, the groundwater of the study region may be classified as oxidized aquifer on normal uranium content strata (20 %) or oxidized aquifer on enhanced uranium content strata (80 %). The ²³⁸U, ²³⁵U and 234U isotopic concentration in groundwater samples was found to be in the range of 89.2–1534.5, 4.4–68.5, and 76.4–1386.2 mBq/l, respectively. AR of 234 U/ 238 U varies from 0.94 to 1.85 with a mean value of 1.11 which is very close to unity that shows secular equilibrium condition. High value of 234 U isotope than 238 U may be due to alpha recoil phenomenon. The plot of AR of $^{234}U/^{238}U$ against the total uranium content in log scale reveals that the groundwaters of the study region either belongs to stable accumulation or normal oxidized aquifer.

Acknowledgments Authors gratefully acknowledge the guidance of Dr. A. K. Ghosh, Director and Dr. D. N. Sharma, Associate Director, Health, Safety and Environment Group, BARC. Guidance and help received from Ms. P. D. Sawant, BARC for isotopic analysis of uranium is acknowledged. The suggestions and help from other colleagues during the course of work and preparation of this manuscript are acknowledged.

References

- 1. Osmond JK, Cowart JB (1992) Groundwater. In: Ivanovich M, Harmon RS (eds) Uranium-series disequilibrium applications to earth, marine and environmental sciences, 2nd edn. Oxford Science Publications, Oxford
- 2. Ivanovich M, Harmon RS (1982) Uranium series disequilibrium: applications to environmental problems. Clarendon Press, Oxford
- 3. Osmond JK, Cowart JB (1982) Groundwater. In: Ivanovich M, Harmon RS (eds) Uranium-series disequilibrium: applications to environmental problems, 1st edn. Clarendon Press, Oxford, pp 202–245
- 4. Ivanovich M (1994) Uranium series disequilibrium: concepts and applications. Radiochim Acta 64:81
- 5. Gascoyne M (1992) Geochemistry of the actinides and their daughters. In: Ivanovich M, Harmon RS (eds) Uranium-series disequilibrium: applications to earth marine and environmental sciences, 2nd edn. Clarendon Press, Oxford, pp 34–61
- 6. Osmond JK, Cowart JB (1976) The theory and uses of natural uranium isotopic variations in hydrology. Energy Rev 14: 622–679
- 7. Chkir N, Guendouz A, Zouari K, Ammar FH, Moulla AS (2009) Uranium isotopes in groundwater from the continental intercalaire aquifer in Algerian Tunisian Sahara (North Africa). J Environ Radioact 100:649–656
- 8. Porcelli D, Andersson PS, Baskaran M, Wasserburg GJ (2001) Transport of U- and Th-series nuclides in a Baltic shield watershed and the Baltic Sea. Geochim Cosmochim Acta 65: 2439–2459
- 9. Reynolds BC, Wasserburg GJ, Baskaran M (2003) The transport of U- and Th-series nuclides in sandy confined aquifers. Geochim Cosmochim Acta 67:1955–1972
- 10. Cowart J, Osmon JK (1980) The relationship of uranium isotopes to oxidation/reduction in the Edwards carbonate aquifer of Texas. Earth Planet Sci 48(2):277–283
- 11. Osmond JK, Cowart JB (1983) Uranium disequilbrium in ground water as indicator of anomalies. J Appl Radial Isotopes 34:283
- 12. Bonotto DM (1999) Applicability of the uranium isotopic model as a prospecting technique in Guarani aquifer, South America. In: Ninth Annual V.M. Goldschmidt Conference, Abstract no 7021, LPI Contribution no 971, Lunar and Planetary Institute, Houston
- 13. Bonotto DM, Andrews JN (2000) The transfer of uranium isotopes 238U and 234U to the waters interacting with carbonates from Mendip Hills area (England). Appl Radiat Isot 52:965–983
- 14. Kuamr A, Rout S, Narayana U, Mishra MK, Tripathi RM, Singh J, Kumar S, Kushwaha HS (2011) Geochemical modeling of uranium speciation in the subsurface aquatic environment of Punjab state in India. J Geol Min Res 3(5):137–146
- 15. Singh J, Singh L, Singh S (1995) High uranium content obserced in some drinking waters of Punjab, India. J Environ Radioact 26:217–222
- 16. Babu MNS, Somashekar RK, Kumar SA, Shivanna K, Krishnamurthy V, Eappen KP (2008) Concentration of uranium levels in groundwater. Int J Environ Sci Technol 5(2):263
- 17. IAEA (1989) International atomic energy agency, measurement of radionuclides in food and environment, Technical Reports Series no. 295. IAEA, Vienna
- 18. Sahoo SK, Mohapatra S, Patra AC, Sumesh CG, Jha VN, Tripathi RM, Puranik VD (2009) Distribution of uranium in drinking water and associated age-dependent radiation dose in India. Radiat Prot Dosim 136(2):108–113
- 19. Sahoo SK, Mohapatra S, Patra AC, Sumesh CG, Jha VN, Tripathi RM, Puranik VD (2010) Determination of uranium at ultra trace level in packaged drinking water by laser fluorimeter and consequent ingestion dose. J Radioprot 45(1):55–66
- 20. ISO 17294-2 (2003) Water quality—Application of Inductively Coupled Plasma Mass Spectrometry—Part 2 Determination of 62 elements
- 21. Hallastadius L (1984) A method for the electrodeposition of actinides. Nucl Instrum Methods Phys Res 223:266–267
- 22. Talvitie NA (1972) Electrodeposition of actinides for alpha spectrometric determination. Anal Chem 44(2):280–283
- 23. WHO (2011) World Health Organization guidelines for drinking water quality, 4th edn, pp 430–431
- 24. USEPA (2000) United States environmental protection agency, national primary drinking water regulations; radionuclides; final rule, 40 CFR Parts 9, 141, and 142
- 25. AERB (2004) Atomic Energy Regulatory Board, limit for uranium in drinking water, India
- 26. Jurgens BC, Fram MSF, Belitz K, Burow KR, Landon MK (2009) Effects of groundwater development on uranium: central valley, groundwater, California, USA. doi:[10.11111/j.1745-6584.2009.](http://10.11111/j.1745-6584.2009.00635.x) [00635.x](http://10.11111/j.1745-6584.2009.00635.x)
- 27. Kronfeld J, Godfrey-Smith DI, Johannessen D, Zentilli M (2004) Uranium series isotopes in Avon Valley, Nova Scotia. J Environ Radioact 73:335–352
- 28. Cizdziel J, Farmer D, Hodge V, Lindley K, Stetzenbach K (2005) 234U/238U isotope ratios in groundwater from Southern Nevada : a compriosn of alpha counting and magnetic sector ICP-MS. Sci Total Environ 350:248–260
- 29. Abdul-Hadi A, Alhassanieh O, Ghafar M (2001) Disequilibrium of uranium isotopes in some Syrian groundwater. Appl Radiat Isotopes 55:109–113
- 30. Grabowski P, Bem H (2012) Uranium isotopes as a tracer of groundwater transport studies. J Radioanal Nucl Chem 292: 1043–1048
- 31. Pietrzak-Flis Z, Kaminska I, Chrzanowski E (2005) Uranium isotopes in public drinking water and dose assessment for man in Poland. Radiat Prot Dosim 113(1):34–39
- 32. Lee MH, Choi GS, Cho YH, Lee CW, Shin HS (2001) Concentration and activity ratios of uranium isotopes in the groundwater of the Okchun Belt in Korea. J Environ Radioact 57: 105–116